1 **Title: Sex- and context-dependent effects of acute isolation on vocal and non-vocal**
 2 **social behaviors in mice**

3 **Short title: Effects of acute isolation on social behavior depend on sex and context**

4 Xin Zhao[¶], Patryk Ziobro[¶], Nicole M. Pranic[¶], Samantha Chu, Samantha Rabinovich, William
 5 Chan, Jennifer Zhao, Caroline Kornbrek, Zichen He, Katherine A. Tschida*

6 Department of Psychology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

7 [¶]Co-first authors

8 *Corresponding Author: Katherine Tschida, kat227@cornell.edu

Abstract

Humans are extraordinarily social, and social isolation has profound effects on our behavior, ranging from increased social motivation following short periods of social isolation to increased anti-social behaviors following long-term social isolation. Mice are frequently used as a model to understand how social isolation impacts the brain and behavior. While the effects of chronic social isolation on mouse social behavior have been well studied, much less is known about how acute isolation impacts mouse social behavior and whether these effects vary according to the sex of the mouse and the behavioral context of the social encounter. To address these questions, we characterized the effects of acute (3-day) social isolation on the vocal and non-vocal social behaviors of male and female mice during same-sex and opposite-sex social interactions. Our experiments uncovered pronounced effects of acute isolation on social interactions between female mice, while revealing more subtle effects on the social behaviors of male mice during same-sex and opposite-sex interactions. Our findings advance the study of same-sex interactions between female mice as an attractive paradigm to investigate neural mechanisms through which acute isolation enhances social motivation and promotes social behavior.

Keywords: vocalization, ultrasonic, social isolation, social context

Introduction

Social interactions form the backbone of our experiences as humans. We find social interactions intrinsically rewarding, and we are highly motivated to seek out social contact and to form and maintain social bonds. Consequently, the experience of social isolation is aversive and increases our motivation to seek out and engage in social interactions (1-3). Rodents are frequently used as a model to understand how social isolation impacts emotional states and engagement in social behaviors in humans. As in humans, chronic social isolation in rodents leads to increases in anti-social behaviors, including increased anxiety, increased aggression, and decreased social motivation (4-14). A number of studies have also reported effects (or lack thereof) of chronic social isolation on the production of ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) (15-19), which many rodents emit during same-sex and opposite-sex social encounters (20, 21). However, much less is known about how rodent social behavior is affected by short-term social isolation. A small number of studies have reported that acute social isolation promotes subsequent social interaction in rodents (22-25), but it remains unknown whether the production of social USVs is similarly enhanced during social encounters following acute isolation. Furthermore, most studies to date have characterized the effects of isolation on social behavior in a single context, often focusing on social interactions between male rodents (15, 17, 22, 23). Thus, it remains unknown whether the effects of acute isolation on rodent social behavior are generalized across many types of social interactions, or alternatively, vary according to sex and social context.

To address these questions, we examined the effects of acute (3-day) social isolation on the vocal and non-vocal social behaviors of adult male and female B57BL/6J mice during subsequent same-sex and opposite-sex interactions. We found that acute isolation exerts both sex- and context-dependent effects on USV production and non-vocal social behaviors, with particularly strong effects on the vocal and non-vocal social behaviors of same-sex pairs of

females. Furthermore, we found differences in how USV production is related to non-vocal social behaviors in different social contexts, revealing sex- and context-dependent differences in the coupling between USV production and social motivation. This study provides the first direct comparison of the effects of acute isolation on mouse social behavior across sex and social context, and our findings indicate that same-sex interactions between female mice are an attractive paradigm to investigate the neural mechanisms through which acute isolation enhances social motivation and promotes social interaction.

Results

To measure the effects of acute social isolation on the vocal and non-vocal social behaviors of mice, we performed video and audio recordings during 30-minute social encounters between opposite-sex and same-sex pairs of mice (i.e., female-female, male-male, and male-female interactions). Recordings were performed in the home cage of the resident animal, which had been either group-housed continuously with its same-sex siblings or single-housed for three days prior to the day of the experiment. An unfamiliar group-housed visitor mouse was then introduced to the resident's home cage, and vocal and non-vocal social behaviors were recorded. In the case of male-female encounters, the resident mouse was always the male. During interactions between same-sex pairs of mice, previous studies have found that either mouse in the pair can produce ultrasonic vocalizations (USVs) (26, 27). For this reason, we made no assumptions about which mouse in a same-sex pair was vocalizing at a given time and report the total number of USVs produced by the pair. In the case of opposite-sex interactions, previous work has shown that males produce the majority (~85%) of USVs during interactions with females (28, 29), and we similarly assume that most USVs are produced by the resident male mouse. We examined the effects of acute social isolation on USV production,

non-vocal social behaviors, and the relationship between vocalizations and non-vocal social behaviors in these three social contexts.

Effects of acute isolation on USV production in same-sex and opposite-sex interactions

We first measured the effects of acute social isolation on the production of USVs during interactions between pairs of females. We observed robust effects of acute social isolation on the vocal behavior of females, and the total number of USVs produced in female-female interactions was nearly four times higher in pairs with a single-housed resident compared to pairs with a group-housed resident (Fig. 1A; 1441 ± 654 USVs in $N=22$ single-housed resident trials vs. 369 ± 357 USVs in $N = 31$ group-housed resident trials; $p < 0.0001$, Mann Whitney U test). USV production tended to peak during the first 5 minutes in both types of pairs, although female-female pairs with a single-housed resident emitted USVs with a shorter average latency (Fig. 1A, S1 Fig; mean latency = 13.1 s for single-housed resident trials vs. 89.0 s for group-housed resident trials, $p = 0.03$, Mann Whitney U test). We conclude that acute social isolation robustly enhances the production of USVs during social interactions between pairs of females.

In contrast to the strong effects of isolation on female-female vocal behavior, there was no significant effect of acute isolation on the total number of USVs emitted during male-male encounters or during male-female encounters (Fig. 1B-C; $p = 0.15$ for $N = 20$ group-housed resident vs. $N = 21$ single-housed resident male-male trials; $p = 0.44$ for $N = 22$ group-housed resident vs. $N = 16$ single-housed resident male-female trials, Mann Whitney U tests). Although acute isolation had no significant effect on the total number of USVs recorded during male-male and male-female interactions, we noted subtle effects of isolation on male vocal behavior. First, out of the 20 male-male trials with group-housed residents, USVs were detected in 8 trials. However, we noted that 3 of these 8 trials had only very low rates of USV production (1-3 USVs detected over the 30-minute trial). If we considered only male-male trials in which moderate rates of USVs were recorded (>25 USVs), we found that a significantly greater proportion of

male-male trials with a single-housed resident had high USV production (14 of 21 trials with a single-housed resident vs. 5 of 20 trials with a group-housed resident; $p = 0.02$, z-test for two independent proportions). Second, we noted that single-housed male residents emitted USVs earlier in the trial than group-housed male residents when interacting with females (Fig. 1C, S1 Fig; mean latency = 35.3 s for single-housed resident trials vs. 182.9 s for group-housed resident trials; $p = 0.03$, Mann Whitney U test; $p = 0.61$ for difference in USV latency in male-male trials). In summary, acute social isolation enhances USV production between pairs of female mice and exerts more subtle effects on USV production by males during same-sex and opposite-sex interactions.

Effects of acute isolation on non-vocal social behaviors in same-sex and opposite-sex interactions

Acute isolation exerts sex- and context-dependent effects on USV production, and we wondered whether isolation also impacted non-vocal social behaviors differentially in these groups of mice. To examine the effects of isolation on the overall amount of social interaction, we considered non-vocal social behavior as the sum of all types of social interactions observed in a trial (i.e., sniffing, following, chasing, mounting, and fighting). We found that pairs of females with a single-housed resident spent significantly more time engaged in social interaction than pairs with a group-housed resident (Fig. 2A; pairs with single-housed resident spent $21.8 \pm 10.5\%$ of trial time interacting vs. $7.3 \pm 4.1\%$ in pairs with group-housed residents; $p < 0.001$, Mann Whitney U test). In male-male interactions, acute social isolation of the resident mouse tended to increase the time that males spent interacting, although this effect was not significant (Fig. 2A; pairs with single-housed resident spent $14.5 \pm 9.2\%$ of trial time interacting vs. $9.2 \pm 4.9\%$ in pairs with group-housed residents $p = 0.08$, Mann Whitney U test). Similarly, in male-female interactions, acute social isolation of the resident male tended to increase the time the pair spent interacting, but these effects were not significant (Fig. 2A; pairs with single-housed

resident spent $19.6 \pm 11.8\%$ of trial time interacting vs. $13.6 \pm 9.3\%$ in pairs with group-housed residents ($p = 0.08$, Mann Whitney U test). In summary, acute social isolation tends to increase the amount of time spent interacting in both same-sex and opposite-sex pairs, although it does so most robustly (and at the level of statistical significance) for female-female pairs.

We next considered the effects of acute social isolation on specific types of non-vocal social behaviors, by categorizing periods of social interaction as resident-initiated social interaction (i.e., sniffing, following, chasing), visitor-initiated social interaction, and mutual social interaction (i.e., mutual sniffing). Although mounting and fighting were observed in a subset of trials, these behaviors occupied a small percentage of the total trial time (typically $<5\%$) and are considered separately below. In female-female trials, we found that single-housed residents spent significantly more time initiating social interactions than group-housed residents (sniffing, following, and chasing), and there were no significant differences in visitor-initiated social interaction or mutual interaction (Fig. 2B, left; two-way ANOVA with repeated measures on one-factor followed by post-hoc tests; $p < 0.001$ for difference in resident-initiated interaction). Similarly, in male-male trials, single-housed residents spent significantly more time initiating social interaction than group-housed residents (Fig. 2B, middle; $p = 0.003$ for difference in resident-initiated interaction). Finally, in male-female trials, there were no significant differences in the proportion of time spent in resident-initiated, visitor-initiated, or mutual social interaction ($p > 0.05$). Thus, acute social isolation exerts sex- and context-dependent effects on social interaction, increasing resident-initiated social interaction in same-sex pairs of males and females but not in opposite-sex pairs of mice.

We next measured the effects of acute isolation on mounting behavior. Male mice commonly mount females during opposite-sex interactions, and mounting has also been reported to a lesser extent during same-sex interactions between males and females (30-33). Surprisingly, we observed a robust effect of acute isolation on mounting in female-female pairs. We never

observed mounting in female-female pairs with group-housed residents (Fig. 2C, 0/31 trials). In contrast, mounting was recorded in 11 of 22 trials with single-housed residents (Fig. 2C, $p < 0.0001$ for difference between groups, z-test for two independent proportions). Within these 11 trials, we observed mounting events initiated by residents and by visitors (N = 6 trials with resident-initiated mounting, N = 4 trials with visitor-initiated mounting, and N = 1 trials with mounting initiated by both resident and visitor). In male-male trials, mounting was observed infrequently, and there was no apparent effect of acute social isolation on mounting (Fig. 2C, observed in 3 of 20 trials with group-housed residents and in 1 of 21 trials with single-housed residents, $p > 0.05$). Finally, we found that acute social isolation increased mounting behavior of males during interactions with females (mounting in 3 of 22 male-female trials with group-housed residents vs. 9 of 16 trials with single-housed residents, $p = 0.005$). In summary, acute social isolation promotes mounting between same-sex pairs of females and in opposite-sex pairs of mice.

Finally, we examined the effects of acute isolation on fighting, given that chronic social isolation is known to increase aggression in male rodents (4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14). We never observed fighting in female-female and male-female trials (0/53 female-female trials, 0/38 male-female trials). In male-male trials, fighting was observed only infrequently, and there was no apparent effect of acute social isolation (fighting observed in 2 of 20 trials with group-housed residents and in 4 of 21 trials with single-housed residents, $p = 0.41$, z-test for two independent proportions). We conclude that acute social isolation does not significantly affect levels of aggression in males and female B57BL/6J mice.

Effects of acute isolation on the relationship of USV production to non-vocal social behaviors

We next characterized the relationship between USV production and non-vocal social behaviors during same-sex and opposite-sex interactions and asked whether acute isolation affects the

relationship between vocalization and other social behaviors. In short, what are mice doing when they vocalize, and is that relationship affected by acute isolation? We examined the relationship between USV production and non-vocal social behaviors in general by comparing the total number of USVs produced to the total time spent interacting in the three different social contexts (Fig. 3A). In female-female pairs with a group-housed resident, we observed a significant positive relationship between the number of USVs produced and the proportion of time in the trial the mice spent interacting (Fig. 3A, left, black symbols, $p = 0.002$ for linear regression, $R^2 = 0.28$). Female pairs with a single-housed resident spent more time interacting and produced USVs, but we again observed a significant positive relationship between USV production and time spent interacting (Fig. 3A, left, red symbols, $p = 0.001$ for linear regression, $R^2 = 0.42$). In summary, USV production is correlated with social interaction time in female pairs, and acute isolation potentiates social interaction during female-female encounters and drives a concomitant increase in USV production.

In contrast to our observations in female-female pairs, USV production was not well related to the total time spent interacting in male-male pairs with a group-housed resident (Fig. 3A, middle, black symbols, $p=0.83$ for linear regression). Notably, this relationship was altered by acute isolation, and in male-male pairs with a single-housed resident, USV production was positively related to total time spent interacting (Fig. 3A, middle, red symbols, $p = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.39$). Finally, USV production in male-female interactions was significantly correlated with the total time the mice spent interacting, and this was true for trials with group-housed residents as well as trials with single-housed residents ($p = 0.003$, $R^2 = 0.36$ for linear regression in group-housed resident trials, $p = 0.005$, $R^2 = 0.43$ for single-housed resident trials). We conclude that acute isolation drives the emergence of coupling between USV production and non-vocal social behaviors in male-male interactions and has no effect on the relationship between USV production and total social interaction time in male-female interactions.

What proportion of USVs are produced during different non-vocal social behaviors in same-sex and opposite-sex interactions, and does acute isolation impact these relationships? To visualize the relationship between vocal and non-vocal behaviors in each trial, we created ethograms in which USV rates are plotted over time against the production of different categories of non-vocal social behavior for each trial in our dataset (Figs. S2-S7). We then calculated the proportion of the total USVs in each trial produced during different types of non-vocal social behavior in each of the 6 groups of mice (trials with <25 USVs were excluded from this analysis). We first considered the relationship of USV production to resident-initiated, visitor-initiated, and mutual social interactions, and we separately consider the relationship of USV production to mounting and fighting below.

Female-female pairs with a single-housed resident produced more USVs during resident-initiated social interactions than pairs with a group-housed resident, and there were no significant differences in the proportion of total USVs produced during visitor-initiated and mutual interactions (Fig. 3B, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures on one-factor, followed by post-hoc tests; $p=0.03$ for difference in proportion of USVs produced during resident-initiated social interactions). We noted previously that resident-initiated interactions were significantly increased in female-female pairs with a single-housed resident, consistent with the idea that acute isolation increases USV production concomitantly with the increase in resident-initiated interactions (compare Fig. 3B left to Fig. 2B left). Similarly, male-male pairs with a single-housed resident produced a significantly higher proportion of total USVs during resident-initiated social interactions, in line with the observed increase in resident-initiated social interactions in male-male pairs with a single-housed resident (compare Fig. 3B middle to Fig. 2B middle). Finally, there were no significant differences in the proportion of total USVs produced during these three types of social behavior in male-female interactions with a group-housed vs. single-housed resident (Fig. 3B, right).

We then examined the relationship of USV production to mounting in the six groups of animals. As expected from previous work (34, 35), we found that mounting by males during opposite-sex social interactions was accompanied by USV production (Fig. 3C, right). Surprisingly, we found that all mounting events during same-sex female interactions were accompanied by USV production as well (Fig. 3C, mounting was accompanied by USV production in 11 of 11 trials with mounting). Finally, during male-male interactions, mounting was accompanied by USV production in 2 of the 4 total cases (Fig. 2C). In the two trials in which male-male mounting events were not accompanied by USV production, we note that mounting events immediately preceded fights (no fights were observed in the male-male trials in which mounting was accompanied by USV production). Because we only observed a small number of fights in our male-male trials, we cannot make any conclusions regarding the relationship between USV production and subsequent fighting. We note, however, that USV production never occurred during fights (Figs. S2-S7). In summary, acute isolation promoted mounting during female-female and male-female social interactions, and these mounting events were accompanied by USV production.

Discussion

In this study, we measured the effects of acute social isolation on vocal and non-vocal social behaviors of mice during same-sex and opposite-sex interactions. Acute social isolation had a profound effect on social interactions between pairs of females. Female pairs with a single-housed resident produced more USVs and spent more time interacting. In particular, single-housed female residents spent more time initiating social interactions with visitors, and we also observed the emergence of mounting accompanied by USV production in female pairs with single-housed residents, which was never observed in trials with group-housed residents. In contrast to these robust effects on same-sex interactions in females, acute isolation had more

subtle effects on social interactions between males. Although acute isolation did not significantly affect the total number of USVs produced during male-male interactions, a greater proportion of male pairs with a single-housed resident produced high rates of USVs (>25 USVs). Single-housed resident males spent more time initiating social interactions with visitors than group-housed residents, and acute isolation increased the coupling between USV production and non-vocal social interactions in male-male pairs. Finally, in male-female pairs, there were no effects of acute isolation of the resident male on the total number of USVs produced or the time spent interacting, although single-housed resident males were more likely to mount females than group-housed residents. We conclude that the effects of acute isolation on vocal and non-vocal social behavior vary according to sex and to social context, with the greatest impacts observed on interactions between pairs of females.

Why does acute isolation more robustly affect social interactions between females than interactions between males or opposite-sex pairs of mice? One idea is that interactions between pairs of females are affiliative and thus are strongly influenced by levels of pro-social motivation. Indeed, affiliative interactions between female mice are common, with demes of wild house mice frequently including several breeding and several non-breeding females (36, 37). In addition, female mice sometimes engage in communal nesting and nursing (38). In further support of this idea, social interactions between female mice are associated with neuronal activation within mesolimbic reward circuits, and artificial activation of dopaminergic inputs to the nucleus accumbens promotes social interaction between females (39). Acute social isolation may enhance pro-social motivation in females and thereby increase the production of vocal and non-vocal social behaviors in subsequent interactions between pairs of females. In contrast, interactions between males and females are dominated by male-initiated behaviors and likely reflect levels of sexual motivation rather than a more generic form of affiliative social motivation. Although we found that acute isolation increased male mounting during interactions with

females, the lack of effects on other aspects of vocal and non-vocal behavior during male-female encounters suggests that acute isolation does not strongly impact male sexual motivation. Finally, interactions between unfamiliar pairs of male mice are likely more agonistic than affiliative and thus are not as strongly impacted by acute social isolation as interactions between pairs of females. Although we observed low rates of fighting during the 30 minute-long male-male encounters used in our study, unfamiliar male mice engage in agonistic behaviors including chasing, mounting, and fighting to establish social hierarchies when housed together for longer periods of time (32, 40). In summary, one possibility is that acute social isolation strongly promotes affiliative social motivation and thereby strongly influences female-female social encounters, while exerting less pronounced effects on sexual and aggressive motivation during the social interactions of male mice.

We note, however, that the interpretation that isolation-induced increases in female social interaction are affiliative is somewhat complicated by our finding that acute isolation increases the occurrence of mounting during female-female interactions. Same-sex mounting in female mice has been described (31), and although its behavioral functions remain unclear, there is evidence that females use mounting to establish social dominance over other females (33). Of particular interest is our finding that female-female mounting is accompanied by USV production. Same-sex mounting between pairs of male mice is considered to be a low-level aggressive behavior (32), is controlled by a hypothalamic brain region important for aggression (30), and is typically not accompanied by USV production (30). This contrasts with male mounting of female mice, which is controlled by a hypothalamic brain region important for male sexual behavior (30, 41-44) and is typically accompanied by USV production (Fig. 3C) (30, 34, 35). At present, the neural circuits that regulate female-female mounting remain unknown, and the elucidation of these circuits in future studies may shed light on the function of same-sex mounting between pairs of female mice, as well as on whether the isolation-induced increases

in female social interaction observed in the current study reflect an increase in affiliative or aggressive motivation.

What neural mechanisms underlie the potentiating effects of acute isolation on social interactions between pairs of females? Previous work has shown that chronic social isolation can exert sex-specific effects on female neural circuits and particularly on neuroendocrine signaling. Social isolation from the time of weaning alters the intrinsic properties of neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN) that express corticotrophin-releasing hormone in female but not male mice (45). In prairie voles, a 4-week social isolation elevates the density of oxytocin-expressing neurons in the PVN and elevates plasma levels of oxytocin in females but not in males (46). In addition, although it remains unclear whether the isolation-induced increases in female interaction that we observed are affiliative or aggressive in nature, we note that female aggression is regulated by estradiol (47) and that social hierarchy position in female mice is associated with changes in estrogen receptor expression within the ventromedial hypothalamus (33). Future studies can examine whether changes in neuroendocrine and/or hormonal signaling contribute to the increase in female-female social interactions following acute isolation, with a particular emphasis on changes in signaling within neural circuits important to the production of USVs (48-50).

Chronic social isolation in rodents leads to increases in anti-social behaviors, including increasing aggressive behavior (4, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14), and studies performed with NIH Swiss and Swiss-Webster male mice also reported that acute social isolation increases aggressive behavior (51, 52). In contrast, work in male rats found that social isolation for up to 7 days does not increase aggressive behavior (23). In the current study, we observed fights between male mice only infrequently, and we didn't find any effects of acute social isolation on the occurrence of fighting between pairs of males. Taken together, these findings support the idea that there

may be both species and strain differences in the duration of social isolation that is required before the emergence of increased aggression and other anti-social behaviors is observed.

While characterizing the effects of acute isolation on social behavior, we discovered that the relationship of USV production to non-vocal social behaviors differs according to social context. During female-female and male-female encounters, USV production is well related to the amount of time spent the pairs of mice spent interacting, and this was true in pairs with group-housed residents as well as pairs with single-housed residents. We propose that in these social contexts, USV production can be used as a proxy for social and sexual motivation, respectively. In contrast, USV production wasn't well-coupled to the total time spent interacting in male-male trials with group-housed residents and we observed low rates of USV production in these trials, indicating that these pairs interacted without producing USVs. Interestingly, this relationship changes following acute isolation, and USV production scales with the total time spent interacting in male-male trials with single-housed residents. A prior study using chronic social isolation also found that USV production was positively related to the total amount of time spent interacting in pairs of males with a single-housed resident but not in pairs with a group-housed resident (15). The effect of acute isolation on the relationship between USV production and male-male social interaction is intriguing, and additional work is required to elucidate the significance of this change, and more broadly, the role of USV production during male-male social interactions.

One limitation of our approach is that we don't know which mouse is producing USVs during same-sex encounters. Indeed, the difficulty in ascertaining which mouse in a same-sex pair is vocalizing at a given moment has been a major roadblock to studying the behavioral functions of USV production during same-sex encounters. Recent studies employing microphone array recordings found that male mice produce most of the USVs in opposite-sex encounters (28, 29) and that both mice in a pair vocalize during male and female same-sex interactions, at least

when these interactions occur in a novel environment between previously single-housed animals (26, 27). However, microphone array recordings are challenging to implement in acoustically noisy home cage recordings, and the location of a behavioral encounter (home cage vs. novel chamber) can strongly impact the dynamics of a social interaction (53-55). For these reasons, we didn't implement microphone array recordings in the current study. Given that acute isolation increased female resident-initiated social interactions without affecting visitor-initiated social interactions, an attractive possibility is that an increase in USV production by the resident female following acute isolation drives the observed increase in USV rates in female-female pairs. Consistent with this idea, a previous studies showed that resident female mice will vocalize to an anesthetized female visitor but not vice versa (56). In future studies, we plan to implement real-time measurements and manipulations of activity in midbrain neurons important to USV production (48) to determine which mouse is vocalizing during same-sex interactions, and also to measure how manipulations of USV production affect social behavior during same-sex encounters.

Materials and Methods

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to the corresponding author, Katherine Tschida (kat227@cornell.edu).

Ethics Statement: All experiments and procedures were conducted according to protocols approved by the Cornell University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol #2020-001).

Subjects: Males and female C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratories, 000664) were housed with their same-sex siblings following weaning until the beginning of the experiment (<7 weeks of

age). Mice were kept on a 14:10 reverse day-night cycle and given unlimited access to water and chow. The estrous state of female mice was not monitored.

Behavioral Experiments: Three days prior to the day of behavioral measurements, male and female subject mice either continued residing with their same-sex siblings (group-housed residents) or were single-housed until the day of recording (single-housed residents). On the day of the behavior measurements, the subject mouse was transferred in its home cage to a recording chamber (Med Associates) equipped with an ultrasonic microphone (Avisoft), infrared light source (Tendelux), and webcam (Logitech, with infrared filter removed to enable video recording under infrared lighting). The home cage was either placed inside a custom acrylic chamber (similar dimensions to the home cage but taller) or was fitted with a custom lid to permit USV recordings. In the case of group-housed residents, the mouse's siblings were removed from the home cage and transferred to a clean cage for the duration of the test. A novel group-housed visitor mouse (male or female depending on the context) was then placed in the subject animal's home cage for 30 minutes, and video and audio recordings were made. Visitor mice were used across multiple experiments, including those with single-housed residents and those with group-housed residents, and were therefore socially experienced. Many of our subject animals were socially naïve at the time of the experiment (i.e., no social experience other than with their same-sex siblings), and a subset of the subject animals (21/53 female residents in female-female recordings, 22/41 residents in male-male-recordings) were previously given brief (~5 minute) social experiences with novel female conspecifics prior to postnatal day 40 as part of another study. Visitors were marked with acrylic paint or hair dye to facilitate identification in videos. We made measurements of vocal and non-vocal behaviors in three contexts: female residents with female visitors, male residents with male visitors, and male residents with female visitors.

Behavior analysis: Trained observers scored the following categories of behavior from webcam videos: (1) resident and visitor not interacting, (2) resident-initiated social interaction (sniffing, following, or chasing), (3) visitor-initiated social interaction, (4) resident mounting the visitor, (5) visitor mounting the resident, (6) resident-initiated fighting, (7) visitor-initiated fighting, and (8) mutual social interaction (mutual sniffing).

USV recording and analysis. USVs were recorded using an ultrasonic microphone (Avisoft, CMPA/CM16), connected to an Avisoft recording system (UltrasoundGate 166H, 250 kHz sample rate). In pilot experiments, USVs were detected using codes modified from those provided by the Holy lab (<http://holylab.wustl.edu/>) using the following parameters (mean frequency > 45 kHz; spectral purity > 0.3; spectral discontinuity < 0.85; min. USV duration = 5 ms; minimum inter-syllable interval = 30 ms). We found, however, that some USVs were low amplitude and not detected accurately by our automated codes, particularly USVs emitted during male-male interactions. To ensure the highest accuracy of USV detection, trained observers manually annotated USVs from wav files using custom Matlab codes.

Quantification and statistical analyses: Parametric, two-sided statistical comparisons were used in all analyses unless otherwise noted ($\alpha=0.05$). Details of the statistical analyses used in this study are included in S1 Table. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. Error bars represent standard deviation unless otherwise noted. Violin plots were created using code from Holger Hoffmann (2021, Matlab Central File Exchange, <https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/45134-violin-plot>).

Data availability: All source data generated in this study will be deposited in a digital data repository, and this section will be modified prior to publication to include the persistent DOI for the dataset.

Acknowledgements: Thanks to Tatyana Matveeva and David Smith for reading draft versions of this manuscript. Thanks also to Frank Drake and other CARE staff for their excellent mouse husbandry. This work was supported by funding from the Cornell Center for Social Sciences.

Author contributions: X.Z., N.M.P., P.Z., and K.A.T. designed the experiments. X.Z., P.Z., N.M.P., and K.A.T. conducted the experiments. X.Z., P.Z., N.M.P., S.C., S.R., Z.H., W.C., C.K., J.Z., and K.A.T. analyzed data. K.A.T. wrote the manuscript and all authors approved the final manuscript.

References

1. Tomova L, Wang KL, Thompson T, Matthews GA, Takahashi A, Tye KM, et al. Acute social isolation evokes midbrain craving responses similar to hunger. *Nat Neurosci*. 2020;23(12):1597-605.
2. Baumeister RF, Leary MR. The need to belong: desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychol Bull*. 1995;117(3):497-529.
3. Maner JK, DeWall CN, Baumeister RF, Schaller M. Does social exclusion motivate interpersonal reconnection? Resolving the "porcupine problem". *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2007;92(1):42-55.
4. Zelikowsky M, Hui M, Karigo T, Choe A, Yang B, Blanco MR, et al. The neuropeptide Tac2 controls a distributed brain state induced by chronic social isolation stress. *Cell*. 2018;173(5):1265-79 e19.
5. Barrot M, Wallace DL, Bolanos CA, Graham DL, Perrotti LI, Neve RL, et al. Regulation of anxiety and initiation of sexual behavior by CREB in the nucleus accumbens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(23):8357-62.
6. Wallace DL, Han MH, Graham DL, Green TA, Vialou V, Iniguez SD, et al. CREB regulation of nucleus accumbens excitability mediates social isolation-induced behavioral deficits. *Nat Neurosci*. 2009;12(2):200-9.
7. Matsumoto K, Pinna G, Puia G, Guidotti A, Costa E. Social isolation stress-induced aggression in mice: a model to study the pharmacology of neurosteroidogenesis. *Stress*. 2005;8(2):85-93.
8. Sciolino NR, Bortolato M, Eisenstein SA, Fu J, Oveisi F, Hohmann AG, et al. Social isolation and chronic handling alter endocannabinoid signaling and behavioral reactivity to context in adult rats. *Neuroscience*. 2010;168(2):371-86.
9. Ma XC, Jiang D, Jiang WH, Wang F, Jia M, Wu J, et al. Social isolation-induced aggression potentiates anxiety and depressive-like behavior in male mice subjected to unpredictable chronic mild stress. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(6):e20955.
10. Wiberg GS, Grice HC. Long-term isolation stress in rats. *Science*. 1963;142(3591):507.
11. Valzelli L. The "isolation syndrome" in mice. *Psychopharmacologia*. 1973;31(4):305-20.
12. Weiss IC, Pryce CR, Jongen-Relo AL, Nanz-Bahr NI, Feldon J. Effect of social isolation on stress-related behavioural and neuroendocrine state in the rat. *Behav Brain Res*. 2004;152(2):279-95.
13. An D, Chen W, Yu DQ, Wang SW, Yu WZ, Xu H, et al. Effects of social isolation, re-socialization and age on cognitive and aggressive behaviors of Kunming mice and BALB/c mice. *Anim Sci J*. 2017;88(5):798-806.
14. Toth M, Mikics E, Tulogdi A, Aliczki M, Haller J. Post-weaning social isolation induces abnormal forms of aggression in conjunction with increased glucocorticoid and autonomic stress responses. *Horm Behav*. 2011;60(1):28-36.

15. Chabout J, Serreau P, Ey E, Bellier L, Aubin T, Bourgeron T, et al. Adult male mice emit context-specific ultrasonic vocalizations that are modulated by prior isolation or group rearing environment. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(1):e29401.
16. Inagaki H, Kuwahara M, Tsubone H, Mori Y. The effect of post-weaning individual housing on 50-kHz calls emitted from male rats to sexually receptive female rats. *Physiol Behav*. 2013;110-111:30-3.
17. Keesom SM, Finton CJ, Sell GL, Hurley LM. Early-life social isolation influences mouse ultrasonic vocalizations during male-male social encounters. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(1):e0169705.
18. Seffer D, Rippberger H, Schwarting RK, Wohr M. Pro-social 50-kHz ultrasonic communication in rats: post-weaning but not post-adolescent social isolation leads to social impairments-phenotypic rescue by re-socialization. *Front Behav Neurosci*. 2015;9:102.
19. Screven LA, Dent ML. Social isolation produces no effect on ultrasonic vocalization production in adult female CBA/Cal mice. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(3):e0213068.
20. Portfors CV, Perkel DJ. The role of ultrasonic vocalizations in mouse communication. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2014;28:115-20.
21. Sales G, Pye D. Ultrasonic communication by animals. London, New York,: Chapman and Hall; Wiley; 1974.
22. Matthews GA, Nieh EH, Vander Weele CM, Halbert SA, Pradhan RV, Yosafat AS, et al. Dorsal raphe dopamine neurons represent the experience of social isolation. *Cell*. 2016;164(4):617-31.
23. Niesink RJ, van Ree JM. Short-term isolation increases social interactions of male rats: a parametric analysis. *Physiol Behav*. 1982;29(5):819-25.
24. Panksepp J, Beatty WW. Social deprivation and play in rats. *Behav Neural Biol*. 1980;30(2):197-206.
25. Matthews GA, Tye KM. Neural mechanisms of social homeostasis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2019;1457(1):5-25.
26. Sangiamo DT, Warren MR, Neunuebel JP. Ultrasonic signals associated with different types of social behavior of mice. *Nat Neurosci*. 2020;23(3):411-22.
27. Warren MR, Clein RS, Spurrier MS, Roth ED, Neunuebel JP. Ultrashort-range, high-frequency communication by female mice shapes social interactions. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):2637.
28. Neunuebel JP, Taylor AL, Arthur BJ, Egnor SE. Female mice ultrasonically interact with males during courtship displays. *Elife*. 2015;4.
29. Warren MR, Spurrier MS, Roth ED, Neunuebel JP. Sex differences in vocal communication of freely interacting adult mice depend upon behavioral context. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(9):e0204527.

30. Karigo T, Kennedy A, Yang B, Liu M, Tai D, Wahle IA, et al. Distinct hypothalamic control of same- and opposite-sex mounting behaviour in mice. *Nature*. 2021;589(7841):258-63.
31. Fang J, Clemens LG. Contextual determinants of female-female mounting in laboratory rats. *Anim Behav*. 1999;57(3):545-55.
32. Williamson CM, Lee W, Curley JP. Temporal dynamics of social hierarchy formation and maintenance in male mice. *Anim Behav*. 2016;115:259-72.
33. Williamson CM, Lee W, DeCasien AR, Lanham A, Romeo RD, Curley JP. Social hierarchy position in female mice is associated with plasma corticosterone levels and hypothalamic gene expression. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):7324.
34. Hanson JL, Hurley LM. Female presence and estrous state influence mouse ultrasonic courtship vocalizations. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7):e40782.
35. Matsumoto YK, Okanoya K. Phase-specific vocalizations of male mice at the initial encounter during the courtship sequence. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2):e0147102.
36. Bronson FH. The reproductive ecology of the house mouse. *Q Rev Biol*. 1979;54(3):265-99.
37. Lidicker WZ. Social behaviour and density regulation in house mice living in large enclosures. *J Anim Ecol*. 1976;45:677-97.
38. Manning CJ, Dewsbury DA, Wakeland EK, Potts WK. Communal nesting and communal nursing in house mice, *Mus musculus domesticus*. *Anim Behav*. 1995;50(3):741-51.
39. Gunaydin LA, Grosenick L, Finkelstein JC, Kauvar IV, Fenno LE, Adhikari A, et al. Natural neural projection dynamics underlying social behavior. *Cell*. 2014;157(7):1535-51.
40. Wang F, Kessels HW, Hu H. The mouse that roared: neural mechanisms of social hierarchy. *Trends Neurosci*. 2014;37(11):674-82.
41. Wei YC, Wang SR, Jiao ZL, Zhang W, Lin JK, Li XY, et al. Medial preoptic area in mice is capable of mediating sexually dimorphic behaviors regardless of gender. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):279.
42. Hart BL, Haugen CM, Peterson DM. Effects of medial preoptic-anterior hypothalamic lesions of mating behavior of male cats. *Brain Res*. 1973;54:177-91.
43. Larsson K, Heimer L. Mating behaviour of male rats after lesions in the preoptic area. *Nature*. 1964;202:413-4.
44. Hansen S, Kohler C, Goldstein M, Steinbusch HV. Effects of ibotenic acid-induced neuronal degeneration in the medial preoptic area and the lateral hypothalamic area on sexual behavior in the male rat. *Brain Res*. 1982;239(1):213-32.
45. Senst L, Baimoukhametova D, Sterley TL, Bains JS. Sexually dimorphic neuronal responses to social isolation. *Elife*. 2016;5.

46. Grippo AJ, Gerena D, Huang J, Kumar N, Shah M, Ughreja R, et al. Social isolation induces behavioral and neuroendocrine disturbances relevant to depression in female and male prairie voles. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2007;32(8-10):966-80.
47. Albert DJ, Petrovic DM, Walsh ML. Ovariectomy attenuates aggression by female rats cohabiting with sexually active sterile males. *Physiol Behav*. 1989;45(2):225-8.
48. Tschida K, Michael V, Takatoh J, Han BX, Zhao S, Sakurai K, et al. A specialized neural circuit gates social vocalizations in the mouse. *Neuron*. 2019;103(3):459-72 e4.
49. Michael V, Goffinet J, Pearson J, Wang F, Tschida K, and Mooney R. Circuit and synaptic organization of forebrain-to-midbrain pathways that promote and suppress vocalization. *Elife*. 2020;9.
50. Gao SC, Wei YC, Wang SR, Xu XH. Medial preoptic area modulates courtship ultrasonic vocalization in adult male mice. *Neurosci Bull*. 2019;35(4):697-708.
51. Lister RG, Hilakivi LA. The effects of novelty, isolation, light and ethanol on the social behavior of mice. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 1988;96(2):181-7.
52. O'Donnell V, Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC. Mouse aggression increases after 24 hours of isolation or housing with females. *Behav Neural Biol*. 1981;32(1):89-103.
53. Crowcroft P. *Mice all over*. London,: Foulis; 1966.
54. Yang T, Yang CF, Chizari MD, Maheswaranathan N, Burke KJ, Jr., Borius M, et al. Social control of hypothalamus-mediated male aggression. *Neuron*. 2017;95(4):955-70 e4.
55. Miczek KA, Maxson SC, Fish EW, Faccidomo S. Aggressive behavioral phenotypes in mice. *Behav Brain Res*. 2001;125(1-2):167-81.
56. Gourbal BE, Barthelemy M, Petit G, Gabrion C. Spectrographic analysis of the ultrasonic vocalisations of adult male and female BALB/c mice. *Naturwissenschaften*. 2004;91(8):381-5.

Figure Legends

Fig 1. Effects of acute isolation on USV production during same-sex and opposite-sex

social encounters. (A) Number of USVs recorded is shown for female-female social encounters, in which a group-housed female visitor was introduced into the home cage of either a group-housed or a single-housed resident. Left panel shows total number of USVs produced during social encounters with group-housed and single-housed female residents. Middle panels (trials with group-housed residents) and right panel (trials with single-housed residents) show the dynamics of USV production over time, plotted as total number of USVs produced in each 10s-long bin. Black line shows mean values for each condition, and thinner colored lines show 5 representative trials. (B) Same as (A), for male-male social encounters. (C) Same as (A), for male-female social encounters. Please note the difference in y axis ranges for the left-most plots in (A)-(C).

Fig 2. Effects of acute isolation on non-vocal social behaviors. (A) Proportion time spent engaged in social interaction is shown for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). (B) Violin plots show the proportion time spent engaged in different non-vocal social behaviors for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). Gray, trials with group-housed residents; red, trials with single-housed residents. White lines indicate median values, black lines indicate mean values. Asterisks, $p < 0.05$. (C) Pie charts show the number of trials with mounting in female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). White, no mounting; red, resident-initiated mounting; blue, visitor-initiated mounting; green, trials with both resident- and visitor-initiated mounting.

Fig 3. Effects of acute isolation on the relationship of USV production to non-vocal social

behaviors. (A) Scatterplots show the number of USVs emitted versus the proportion total time spent interacting for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials

(right). Black symbols, trials with group-housed residents; red symbols, trials with single-housed residents. (B) Violin plots show the proportion of USVs produced during different types of behavior. Gray plots summarize data for trials with group-housed residents, red plots summarize data for trials with single-housed residents. White lines indicate median values, black lines indicate mean values. Asterisks, $p < 0.05$. (C) Spectrograms show USVs produced during mounting events during female-female (left), male-male (middle), and male-female (right) social interactions. Pie charts show the number of trials in which mounting was accompanied by USV production (gray) versus trials in which mounting was not accompanied by USV production (white) during female-female (left), male-male (middle), and male-female (right) social interactions.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Effects of acute isolation on latency to first USV in same-sex and opposite-sex interactions. Latency to the first recorded USV is shown for female-female social encounters (A), male-male encounters (B), and male-female encounters (C). Trials with 0 USVs are excluded.

S2 Fig. Female-female ethograms, group-housed residents. Ethograms are shown for each female-female trial with a group-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta, resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction; cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white, not interacting.

S3 Fig. Female-female ethograms, single-housed residents. Ethograms are shown for each female-female trial with a single-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta, resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction; cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white, not interacting.

S4 Fig. Male-male ethograms, group-housed residents. Ethograms are shown for each male-male trial with a group-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta, resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction; cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white, not interacting.

S5 Fig. Male-male ethograms, single-housed residents. Ethograms are shown for each male-male trial with a single-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta, resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction; cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white, not interacting.

S6 Fig. Male-female ethograms, group-housed residents. Ethograms are shown for each male-female trial with a group-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta,

673 resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction;
 674 cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white,
 675 not interacting.

676 **S7 Fig. Male-female ethograms, single-housed residents.** Ethograms are shown for each
 677 male-female trial with a single-housed resident. The top half of each plot shows USV rate over
 678 time (total USVs in each 10s-long bin), and the bottom half of each plot shows the occurrence of
 679 difference non-vocal social behaviors over time. Red, resident-initiated interaction; magenta,
 680 resident-initiated mounting; orange, resident-initiated fighting; blue, visitor-initiated interaction;
 681 cyan, visitor-initiated mounting; black, visitor-initiated fighting; green, mutual interaction; white,
 682 not interacting.

683 **S1 Table. Statistics Summary**

Fig 1. Effects of acute isolation on USV production during same-sex and opposite-sex social encounters

Fig 1. Effects of acute isolation on USV production during same-sex and opposite-sex social encounters. (A) Number of USVs recorded is shown for female-female social encounters, in which a group-housed female visitor was introduced into the home cage of either a group-housed or a single-housed resident. Left panel shows total number of USVs produced during social encounters with group-housed and single-housed female residents. Middle panel (trials with group-housed residents) and right panel (trials with single-housed residents) show the dynamics of USV production over time, plotted as total number of USVs produced in each 10s-long bin. Black line shows mean values for each condition, and thinner colored lines show 5 representative trials. (B) Same as (A), for male-male social encounters. (C) Same as (A), for male-female social encounters. Please note the difference in y axis ranges for the left-most plots in (A)-(C).

Fig 2. Effects of acute isolation on non-vocal social behaviors

Resident-initiated mounting, Intruder-initiated mounting, Mounting initiated by both mice

Fig 2. Effects of acute isolation on non-vocal social behaviors. (A) Proportion time spent engaged in social interaction is shown for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). (B) Violin plots show the proportion time spent engaged in different non-vocal social behaviors for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). Gray, trials with group-housed residents; red, trials with single-housed residents. White lines indicate median values, black lines indicate mean values. Asterisks, $p < 0.05$. (C) Pie charts show the number of trials with mounting in female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). White, no mounting; red, resident-initiated mounting; blue, visitor-initiated mounting; green, trials with both resident- and visitor-initiated mounting.

Fig 3. Effects of acute isolation on the relationship of USV production to non-vocal social behaviors

Fig 3. Effects of acute isolation on the relationship of USV production to non-vocal social behaviors. (A) Scatterplots show the number of USVs emitted versus the proportion total time spent interacting for female-female trials (left), male-male trials (middle), and male-female trials (right). Black symbols, trials with group-housed residents; red symbols, trials with single-housed residents. (B) Violin plots show the proportion of USVs produced during different types of behavior. Gray plots summarize data for trials with group-housed residents, red plots summarize data for trials with single-housed residents. White lines indicate median values, black lines indicate mean values. Asterisks, $p < 0.05$. (C) Spectrograms show USVs produced during mounting events during female-female (left), male-male (middle), and male-female (right) social interactions. Pie charts show the number of trials in which mounting was accompanied by USV production (gray) versus trials in which mounting was not accompanied by USV production (white) during female-female (left), male-male (middle), and male-female (right) social interactions.