Title: Intergenerational microbial transmission in the little skate (*Leucoraja erinacea*)

Running Title: Microbial transmission in the little skate

Katelyn Mika,^{1,2*} Alexander S. Okamoto,^{3*} Neil H. Shubin,¹ David B. Mark Welch⁴

¹Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

²Genetic Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, USA

³Human Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

⁴Josephine Bay Paul Center for Comparative Molecular Biology and Evolution, Marine

Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA, USA

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence should be addressed to K.M. (kmmika12@gmail.com).

900 E 57th St, Culver Hall 108 OBA

University of Chicago

Chicago, IL 60637-1428

Phone: 773-834-4774

Abstract

Background

Microbial transmission from parent to offspring is hypothesized to be universal in vertebrates. However, evidence for this is limited as many evolutionarily important clades remain unexamined. Chondrichthyes, as one of the earliest–branching vertebrate lineages, provides an opportunity to investigate the phylogenetic breadth of this hypothesis. The little skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*, is an important model for this clade, yet little is known about its microbiome composition and establishment.

Methods

To assess the potential for bacterial transmission in an oviparous chondrichthyan, we used 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing to characterize the microbial communities associated with the skin, gill, and egg capsule of the little skate, at six points during ontogeny. Community composition was analyzed using the QIIME2 and PiCRUST2 pipelines and microbial continuity between stages was tracked using FEAST.

Results

We identify site-specific microbiomes dominated by the bacterial phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*, a composition similar to, but distinct from, that of other chondrichthyans. Our data reveal that the skate egg capsule harbors a highly diverse bacterial community—particularly on the internal surface of the capsule—and facilitates intergenerational microbial transfer to the offspring. Embryonic skin and external gill tissues host similar bacterial communities; the skin and gill communities later diverge as the internal gills and skin denticles develop.

Conclusions

Our study is the first exploration of the chondrichthyan microbiome throughout ontogeny and provides the first evidence of vertical transmission in this group, which may be the primary mechanism for the signature of phylosymbiosis previously observed in elasmobranchs.

Introduction

Host-associated microbial communities are often species and tissue-specific due to complex local interactions between hosts and microbes [1, 2]. Species can acquire their microbiomes through three possible processes: horizontal microbial transmission between conspecifics, vertical microbial transmission from parents to offspring, or similar environmental sourcing across the host species [3]. In the first case, microbes can be horizontally transferred between conspecifics during social interactions and sexual behaviors, potentially homogenizing the bacterial communities across the host population. Alternatively, vertical transmission allows parents to directly provision their progeny with symbiotic microbial taxa [4]. Lastly, microbes can be recruited from the surrounding environment through the host's contact with fluids, substrates, or diet, allowing for rapid changes in community composition in response to external conditions over the lifespan of an individual. The relative contributions of these transmission modes likely covary with life history, balancing the need for intergenerational continuity of genomic information with the capacity for rapid environmental responsiveness.

Next-generation sequencing has facilitated the characterization of a broad range of microbiomes across an increasing diversity of host species; nonetheless, many important marine clades remain understudied. Chondrichthyans—the earliest branching of the extant, jawed-vertebrate lineages—constitute one of the major divisions of vertebrates [5]. To date, only a limited number of

metagenomic studies of chondrichthyan microbiomes have been conducted [6–12]. Existing studies of chondrichthyan species belonging to subclass Elasmobranchii, which includes sharks, skates, rays, and guitarfish, are skewed towards the skin or gut microbiota of pelagic sharks [6–10, 12], with the remaining focusing on the skin of select ray species [10, 11]. These datasets show that elasmobranch skin microbiomes differ from the surrounding environment and are primarily dominated by the phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*, similar to the skin microbiomes of other marine species [13–15]. However, this work is limited to adult elasmobranchs, providing no direct information on juvenile microbiota or intergenerational transmission in chondrichthyans.

In some clades, microbiome composition closely tracks host phylogeny over evolutionary time, resulting in long-term eco-evolutionary relationships known as phylosymbiosis [3]. Previous research has identified signatures of phylosymbiosis in elasmobranchs by showing a correlation between host phylogenetic distance and the taxonomic composition of the microbiome [10]. Of the three processes of transmission described, horizontal transmission has the most limited explanatory potential for this finding as chondrichthyan species are largely asocial with aggregations driven primarily by environmental factors or reproduction [16, 17]. Vertical transmission or environmental sourcing are more promising potential mechanisms to explain the signature of phylosymbiosis. Data on environmental sourcing in chondrichthyans are limited [8, 11] and can be difficult to acquire, while vertical transmission has been unexplored. Given the lack of data and the hypothesis that vertical transmission is universal in vertebrates [4], we investigated the potential for vertical transmission in the model chondrichthyan, the little skate (*Leucoraja erinacea*).

Oviparity is present in almost half of chondrichthyans and may be the plesiomorphic reproductive mode for this clade [5, 18]. Like other skates (family: Rajidae), little skates are egg-laying elasmobranchs that protect their embryos inside egg capsules, colloquially known as a mermaid's purses [19]. Development of the egg capsule starts in the nidamental organ where the posterior half is formed before the fertilized egg is deposited into the capsule, at which point the capsule is rapidly sealed shut [20]. These capsules are then laid on the seafloor and the embryos develop inside for months to years depending upon the temperature [21, 22] and species [23]. While initially sealed to the environment, slits at the anterior and posterior ends of egg capsule open up late in development allowing seawater to flow through [24]. The potential effects of this environmental shift on the microbiome and development are unknown. Upon hatching, juvenile skates are self-sufficient, with no known parental care [5]. These life history traits—long embryonic development and lack of parental care—pose potential obstacles to vertical microbial transmission in members of this clade. Thus, skates can be a powerful system for testing vertical transmission because confounding parental sources are minimized and any transmitted microbial community must be stable over a substantial period of time.

The little skate is a model system for research in embryology and development [22, 25–28] with a sequenced genome [29]. This species is common in the North Atlantic [30] and easy to obtain though sampling and breeding methods implemented in that region. Little skate embryos have gestational periods inside the egg capsule of 22–54 weeks depending on the season [21]. Embryogenesis is divided into thirty-three stages, based on morphological features [31, 32]. Here, we use 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing to describe and track changes in bacterial diversity

throughout little skate ontogeny by sampling the microbiome of the skin and gills, as well as the internal liquid and internal surface of the egg capsule at six developmental stages. These stages are (i) stage 0, when capsules are freshly laid and fertilization cannot be visually confirmed; (ii) stage 16, an early stage when the embryo can be visually identified; (iii) stage 26, by which external gill filaments have formed and the egg capsule is still sealed; (iv) stage 30, when the egg capsule starts to open and the gills remain external; (v) stage 33, by which time the egg capsule is open and the embryo is fully formed with internal gills; and (vi) adult. We also present the first evidence of vertical microbial transmission in an oviparous chondrichthyan by tracking community continuity between these stages.

Materials and Methods

Sample Collection

Adult skates used in this study were wild caught in the Northern Atlantic and housed in 15°C filtered seawater at the Marine Resources Center (MRC) of the Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, MA. All embryos were laid within the facility tanks by this adult population. Samples were collected from adult females ($n=4$) by separately swabbing the gills and the skin around the cloaca. Adult females were not directly associated with any particular egg capsule used in this study but were all sexually mature, housed in the MRC breeding tanks, and thus serve as representative, potential mothers. Egg capsules were sampled at five time points: stages 0, 16, 26, 30, and 33 ($n=4$ each, $n=20$ total) as per refs. [31, 32]. Capsules were windowed with a razor blade and the embryos euthanized by cervical transection. At each stage, samples of the internal liquid ($n=20$) were collected using a 1000 mL pipette and the inside of the egg capsule

was swabbed ($n=20$), as shown in supplementary figure 1. All samples at stage 33 were open, as were two samples (A & D) at stage 30. All other samples were closed. Samples where the egg capsule slits were already open to the environment were drained into a collection tube before the egg capsule was windowed. At stages 26, 30 and 33, gill filament samples and tail clippings (~2 cm long) were collected ($n=4$ each, $n=24$ total). Control samples included hand swabs of A.S.O and K.M. and bench swabs before sample processing both on the day of sample collection and again on the day of DNA extraction ($n= 6$ total). A sample of the bench after sterilization ($n=1$) was taken on the day of sample collection as well. To broadly sample the marine bacteria likely to be encountered by skates in the MRC, 1 mL of water was collected from (i) ambient-temperature water tanks ($n=2$); (ii) 15°C tanks housing the skates ($n=2$); (iii) ocean water from the dock neighboring the pump into the MRC ($n=2$); and (iv) the bucket used to transfer the skate embryos from the MRC to the dissection station was collected before ($n=1$) and after sampling ($n=1$). Prior to sample collection, the bench and all dissection tools were sterilized using Clorox bleach, followed by 70% ethanol. These surfaces were re-sterilized with 70% ethanol between each egg capsule and with bleach and ethanol between every four egg capsules. All skate samples were collected on the same day and egg capsules were opened in a randomly selected order.

The DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Hilden Germany) was used for isolation of the microbial DNA from each sample. FLOQSwabs (COPAN, Murrieta CA) were used to collect all swab samples. Swabs trimmed to fit or tissue samples from the gills and tail were placed directly in the PowerSoil Kit PowerBead tubes after collection. For all liquid samples, 200 μ L of the sample was added to the corresponding tube. After collection, samples were left at -20°C overnight prior

to completion of the extraction protocol. Extraction continued according to the manufacturer's instructions. The negative control and post-cleaning bench swab failed to amplify, suggesting our sterilization technique was effective. Pre-cleaning bench samples were thus unlikely to have contaminated other samples and were excluded from further analysis.

Sequencing and Library Preparation

To identify the bacterial community within each sample, the V4-V5 region of the 16S gene was amplified and sequenced at the Keck Environmental Genomics Facility at the Marine Biological Laboratory as described [33]. Amplification was done using forward primer (518F) CCAGCAGCYGCGGTAAN and reverse primers (926R) CCGTCAATTCNTTTRAGT, CCGTCAATTTCTTTGAGT, and CCGTCTATTCCTTTGANT with PCR cycle structure: 94°C for 2 minutes, 30 cycles of repeating 94°C for 30° seconds, 57°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 1 minute, followed by 72°C for 2 minutes then a hold at 4°C. Sequencing was done on an Illumina MiSeq platform. Results were then uploaded to the Visualization and Analysis of Microbial Population Structures (VAMPS) website (<https://vamaps2.mbl.edu/>) [34]. Raw data was obtained and deposited at the NCBI Short Read Archive (PRJNA688288).

Denoising to address amplicon errors, classifying to identify the taxonomic affiliation of each sequence, and alpha and beta diversity methods to assess community composition were all implemented using QIIME2 version 2019.10 [35]. Demultiplexed paired-end sequencing data was denoised without any trimming and chimeras removed using the DADA2 [36] QIIME2 plugin. The resulting amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were classified by training a Naive Bayes classifier using the SILVA (132 release) 16S only, 99% identity clustered sequences [37–39]. ASVs were collapsed to a maximum specificity of seven taxonomic levels, which

corresponds to the species level. Data were normalized using `transform_sample_counts` in Phyloseq [40, 41] by dividing the count per ASV within a sample by the total count for that sample, followed by multiplying this ratio by 10,000.

Microbial Community Analysis

QIIME2 was used to calculate Shannon and Chao1 alpha diversity indices [42] and the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index for beta diversity [43]. Beta diversity differences between sample types were visualized using principal coordinate analysis. Between-group significance levels for alpha and beta diversity were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis [44] and PERMANOVA [45] tests, respectively, with a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction. The significance threshold for these tests was set at $q < 0.05$. Taxa comprising the common core microbiome [46] of the (i) egg capsule, (ii) combined external gill and embryonic skin, (iii) internal gill, and (iv) adult skin were identified using the *feature-table core-features* function in QIIME2 at a threshold of 75% presence. This threshold was chosen to prioritize taxa with high levels of occupancy in tissues of interest. Given our small sample size for each tissue and stage, thresholds higher than 75% result in very few core taxa, while lowering this threshold results in rapid increases in core taxa numbers.

LEfSe (Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) Effect Size) was used to identify significantly enriched taxa and implemented in the Galaxy web application (<http://huttenhower.org/galaxy/>) [47] using a *P*-value cut-off of 0.05, an LDA score cut-off of 2, and a one-against-all strategy. FEAST [48] in R v3.6.2 [49] was used to track bacterial community continuity between stages, with samples from the preceding stage (unless otherwise noted), water, and the investigators' hands used as potential sources.

Differences in Predicted Gene Function

To explore possible shifts in the functional properties of these bacterial communities, PICRUST2 (Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States 2) was used to predict gene enrichment using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) ortholog (KO) groups for each sample [50]. A pairwise PERMANOVA test was implemented using the *RVAideMemoire* (ver. 0.9-77) R package with 100,000 permutations to test for significant differences in the predicted KEGG annotations identified between sample types [51]. Significantly over-represented KEGG annotations were identified using LEfSe with samples normalized to 1 million, a *P*-value cut-off of 0.05, and LDA score cut-off of 2. Pathways were identified using the KEGG Mapper–Reconstruct Pathway online tool (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/tool/map_pathway.html). R Studio (Version 1.2.5033) with the *ggplot2* package [52] was used to produce all figures.

Results

Eighty-four out of eighty-eight samples successfully amplified and were sequenced to produce a total of 3,516,842 reads, which mapped to 41,486 ASVs (Supplementary Table 1). These ASVs collapsed into 2,255 unique taxonomic classifications. Each sample contained between 170 and 147,651 reads, with a median value of 36,480. ASV assignments ranged from 1 to 33,211 reads, with a median value of 29. Rarefaction curves of ASVs recovered versus sequencing depth showed that additional sequencing was unlikely to recover additional ASVs (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Taxonomic characterization of the *L. erinacea* microbiome

Skate and egg capsule samples are dominated by ASVs of the phyla *Proteobacteria* (58% of ASVs), *Bacteroidetes* (21%), and *Planctomycetes* (5%). The dominant classes identified are *Gammaproteobacteria* (33%), *Alphaproteobacteria* (21%), *Bacteroidia* (21%), and *Planctomycetacea* (4%) (Fig. 1). Adult skin samples are uniquely enriched for *Bacteroidetes*, which accounts for 81% of the bacterial community, while in all other samples *Proteobacteria* are most abundant. Within the control samples, the water samples are dominated by *Proteobacteria* (87%), followed by *Bacteroidetes* (5%), *Cyanobacteria* (2.6%), and *Actinobacteria* (2%). The investigators' hand samples are quite distinct from all other samples, dominated by the phyla *Actinobacteria* (49%), *Cyanobacteria* (31%), *Proteobacteria* (9%) and *Firmicutes* (5%), showing the characteristic microbial community skew associated with ocean water exposure [53]. As is typical when investigating poorly studied environments, fewer ASVs can be categorized at finer phylogenetic resolutions, with <98% being classified at the phylum level, 97% at the class level, <83% at the order level, <70% at the family level and <60% at the genus level. The most common families identified are *Rhodobacteraceae* (8.1%), *Flavobacteriaceae* (6.9%), *Enterobacteraceae* (6.1%), *Saprospiraceae* (3.2%), and *Devosiaceae* (3.2%) (Supplementary Fig. 3). The most common genera are *Escherichia-Shigella* (6.1%), *Cutibacterium* (3.2%), *Devosia* (2.0%), and *Lutibacter* (0.9%) (Supplementary Fig. 4).

Beta and alpha diversity of skate bacterial communities

We explored variation in microbial community composition between samples—Beta diversity—by sample tissue and stage using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (Fig. 2). Due to the low number of internal liquid samples at stage 16 which successfully amplified (n=2), these samples cannot be statistically differentiated from other samples but cluster tightly with stage 0 internal liquid.

Water is likewise distinct from all skate tissues ($q < 0.05$) except internal liquid from stage 33 embryos ($q < 0.08$), at which time the internal liquid contains a significant amount of water due to the egg capsule opening. All egg capsule samples form a tight cluster distinct ($q < 0.08$) from all other samples except mid-stage internal liquid (stages 16-30; $q < 0.50$). Gill tissue samples split into two clusters ($q < 0.05$): the external, embryonic gill stages (26-30), and the internal, later stages (33-Adult). Internal gill samples are distinct from egg capsule ($q < 0.05$) and late skin samples (stage 33-Adult, $q < 0.05$) while external gill samples (stage 26-30) cannot be distinguished from early skin samples (stages 26-30; $q > 0.20$). Stage 30, 33 and adult skin are all significantly different ($q < 0.05$) from each other. Adult skin is distinct from all other samples ($q < 0.05$) and separates from all others along PC3 (Fig. 2B). Samples at stage 30 show no clear separation on open or closed status for any of the tissues examined.

Principal coordinate axes generated using the full dataset are primarily driven by the differences between sampling locations, which may obscure tissue-specific clustering patterns. Therefore, we stratified the data into individual tissues and reran Bray-Curtis dissimilarity analyses on the reduced datasets (Supplementary Fig. 5). Egg capsule samples, which have indistinct subclustering in Fig. 2, show more substructure when analyzed independently, with stages 0, 30, and 33 forming unique subclusters ($q < 0.05$; Supplementary Fig. 5A). Internal liquid has no statistically significant subclusters ($q > 0.09$; Supplementary Fig. 5B). Bray-Curtis dissimilarity analysis on all gill samples supports the internal and external gill subclusters seen in the full dataset (Supplementary Fig. 5C; $q < 0.05$). Adult skin is distinct from all other skin (Supplementary Fig. 5D; $q < 0.05$) along PC1 which explains 36.5% of the variance within skin

samples. Due to high variability in stage 26 and 30 skin, stage 33 skin is statistically differentiated ($q < 0.05$) but clusters tightly with the majority of these samples.

We explored diversity within a sample (alpha diversity), in two different ways: we used the Shannon index to assess both observed richness (the observed number of ASVs present in each sample) and evenness (relative abundance of ASVs in each sample); and the Chao1 index to assess the estimated total richness of the tissue site (the number of ASVs in the population represented by each sample) (Fig. 3). These indices were calculated for each sample site, splitting gills into external (stages 26-30) and internal (stages 33-Adult), skin into embryonic (stages 26-33) and adult, and averaging across all stages for egg capsule and internal liquid. The total richness of the egg capsule is significantly greater than all other sampling sites by Chao1 (pairwise Kruskal-Wallis, $q < 0.05$). The total richness of the internal liquid increases with stage, but this trend is not significant; a similar trend is not seen in the egg capsule. When considering observed richness and evenness, egg capsule samples have a significantly higher mean Shannon index than all other sites except external gills. Taken together this suggests that while external gills have a relatively small number of taxa, the community is much more evenly distributed than most other sites. In contrast, adult skin has a small number of taxa dominated by a few highly abundant taxa. No other pairwise comparisons were significant using either metric.

Microbial source identification for each stage

We performed FEAST source tracking on each stage to assess the relative contribution of each sampling site in facilitating intergenerational transmission. Samples from the preceding embryonic stage were used as source pools for the target community (sink) of the focal stage to

track microbial continuity until hatching. At stage 0, swabs from the representative adult females were used as the source pools (Fig. 4). For all timepoints, water samples were included as an environmental source pool, and the experimenters' hands were also considered as a source of potential contamination. FEAST estimates the relative proportional contribution of each potential source and assigns any unexplained components of the sink bacterial community to an unknown source [48]. A consequence of this emphasis on proportional mixing is limited power to identify the source of taxa with higher relative abundances in the sink compared to any source, leading to inflated unknown source values. Since some taxa are likely enriched during development of the little skate, FEAST values should be interpreted as identifying the most important sources at each stage, not directly estimating continuity between timepoints.

Hand samples are a negligible source for all samples except stage 0 internal liquid (hand contribution $20.1 \pm 5.5\%$, mean $\pm$ standard deviation, Fig. 4A). Environmental water rarely contributes to the little skate microbiome; the exceptions are stage 0 egg capsule ($14.8 \pm 16.9\%$) and stage 33 internal liquid ($37.3 \pm 38.5\%$). In addition to water, the egg capsule microbiome at stage 0 is similar to the adult gill community ($50.2 \pm 17.2\%$, Fig. 4A). At stage 16, the egg capsule and internal liquid microbiomes are both largely conserved from the same tissue of the previous stage ($54.6 \pm 10.4\%$ and $36.5 \pm 35.7\%$ continuity, respectively, Fig. 4B). At stage 26, egg capsule from the previous stage is the dominant bacterial source for all tissues ($63.9 \pm 18.4\%$, Fig. 4C). At stage 30, egg capsule and internal liquid are sourced primarily from stage 26 egg capsule ($68.6 \pm 8.9\%$ and $39.0 \pm 22.7\%$, respectively), but gill and skin are sourced from a combination of the egg capsule, internal liquid, and gill of the previous stage (Fig. 4D). At stage 33, egg capsule remains contiguous ($39.1 \pm 24.0\%$) while the internal liquid draws from egg capsule

(25.6±21.6%) and internal liquid (13.2±8.2%) sources along with environmental water (Fig. 4E).

The stage 33 gill microbiome, comprised of the earliest internal gill samples, is not particularly similar to any source while the skin is most similar to S30 egg capsule (31.6±7.2%).

To understand the extent to which taxa from the embryonic microbiome contributes to the adult bacterial communities, we ran FEAST on the adult samples using stage 33 tissues as the source pools (Fig. 4F, top). Adult gill has some contribution from stage 33 egg capsule (11.1±6.1%), internal liquid (14.3±10.3%), and skin (10.6±11.8%). The adult skin bacterial community is primarily derived from that of the late embryonic egg capsule (73.3±14.0%) (Fig. 4F).

Identification of the skate core microbiome

For subsequent analyses, we used Bray-Curtis dissimilarity to group samples of interest into four statistically significant and biological relevant groups: (1) egg capsule (all stages), (2) external gills (stages 26-30) and embryonic skin (stages 26-33) together, (3) internal gill (stage 33-adult), and (4) adult skin. Due to heterogeneity in the composition of the internal liquid throughout ontogeny and lack of large source contributions to other tissues, these samples are not considered in further analyses.

To extract the core microbiome of the little skate from our dataset, we identified taxonomic groups classified to the most specific level possible which were present in 75% or more of the samples comprising the four groups specified above (Supplementary Table S2). Egg capsule samples have a rich core microbiome with 212 identified taxonomic groups. Skate samples have smaller core microbiomes: combined external gill and embryonic skin have ten, internal gills

have twenty-two, and adult skin has fifty-six groups. There is high overlap between the core microbiomes of these tissues (Table 1) and only egg capsule and adult skin house unique taxa (Supplementary Table S2).

We cross-referenced the genera identified as core to only the adult skin with the full taxa list for all samples in order to identify taxa exclusively present on adult tissues. This comparison identified six exclusively adult taxa. *Undibacterium* is the only genus found in all adult skin and gill samples that does not appear in any egg capsule or embryonic sample. *Spiroplasma*, *Salimicrobium*, and *Propionivibrio* were each found in a single adult gill sample and in the adult skin core microbiome. *Sulfurospirillum* sp. *SM-5* and *Aeromonas* were unique to adult skin tissues.

Differential abundance of bacterial taxa and predicted functions

For each of the four sample groups in Table 1, we used LEfSe analysis to identify taxa enriched in abundance ($P < 0.05$, $LDA > 2$; Supplementary Fig. 6). Adult skin is enriched in *Bacteroidia*, *Vibrio*, and *Mycoplasma agassizii*. Embryonic skin and the external gills are enriched in *Sphingomonadales*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, and *Escheria-Shigella*. Internal gills are enriched in *Rhodobacterales* and *Alphaproteobacteria* of *SAR11 clade 1*. Finally, the egg capsule is characterized by higher abundance of *Alteromonadales*, *Pirellulales*, *Saprospiraceae*, and *Verrucomicrobia*.

Additionally, we used LEfSe to identify shifts in taxa abundance associated with the opening of the egg capsule slits. We compared open and closed samples of the egg capsule, internal liquid,

and a combined set of the two tissues. While a few taxa were identified as statistically significant, closer inspection of abundances in each sample did not exhibit the expected pattern of similar levels of abundance across all samples in one condition compared to the other. Instead, significance was driven by differences in group means due to a few samples with high abundances in either the open or closed condition (Supplementary Fig. 7).

To examine potential functional differences between microbiomes of different tissue types, we used PiCRUST2 to generate KEGG Orthology (KO) gene predictions for each sample, followed by pairwise PERMANOVA tests. Egg capsule, adult skin, internal gill, and combined external gill and embryonic skin bacterial communities have significantly different predicted functional properties (FDR-adjusted, $P < 0.01$ for all, pairwise PERMANOVA). The most differentially abundant predicted genes for each of these groups were identified using LEfSe. Enrichments for each tissue group mapped predominantly to metabolic pathways using KEGG Mapper. The complete lists of predicted genes and enriched pathways for each group can be found in Supplementary Table 3.

Discussion

Our results show that the phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* comprise most of the bacterial communities associated with the little skate, as has been shown for other chondrichthyans [7, 8, 10–12]. Adult skate skin within our study has a uniquely high proportion of *Bacteroidetes* (>50%) compared to all other batoids [10, 11]. Within *Proteobacteria*, relative proportions of each class vary between chondrichthyan species, including the little skate [7, 8, 10–12]. Below the phylum-level, there is evidence of unique site-specific communities in our study. While all

skate samples included *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, *Pirellulaceae*,
Rhodobacteraceae, and *Saprospiraceae*, we are unable to identify a common core microbiome
below the family level for all skate samples across all developmental time points. Instead, our
data suggest that early embryonic tissues support similar bacterial communities which
differentiate into distinct internal gill and adult skin microbiomes later in development.

We sampled two parts of the egg capsule: the inner surface of the capsule and the internal liquid,
which fills the space in the egg capsule not occupied by the developing embryo and yolk. The
microbiome of the egg capsule has the highest taxonomic richness of any tissue sampled and has
a complex core microbiome. This provides evidence that the egg capsule is a rich reservoir of
bacteria for the developing embryo, similar to the dense microbiome observed in squid egg
capsules [54, 55]. This diversity likely takes the form of a biofilm lining the inside of the egg
capsule, a hypothesis that is supported by an enrichment of processes related to biofilm
formation such as pilus assembly and fimbrial biosynthesis [56, 57]. While the microbiome of
the internal liquid is generally similar to egg capsule samples, there appears to be a collapse of
Actinobacteria and *Bacilli* after stage 16, both rare in the egg capsule, with relative replacement
by *Bacteroidia* and *Planctomycetacia*, both more abundant in the egg capsule. Given the
relatively limited quantity of internal liquid, many bacterial taxa present initially are likely
outcompeted by more abundant strains living on the substrates provided by the egg capsule, yolk,
and embryo. These abundant strains are likely able to endure despite opening of the egg capsule
to the environment. Indeed, upon closer analysis, we find no taxa which undergo consistent shifts
in abundance in either the egg capsule or internal liquid upon opening.

Gills are the primary site of gas and waste exchange with the environment, offering a unique habitat for microbial life. Little skate embryos develop transient external gill filaments between stages 25 and 32, which later regress into the body to form the adult internal gills [32]. During early stages, we find that the gill microbiome is undifferentiated from that of the embryonic skin. The mature gills, however, harbor a distinct microbiome, which is enriched for *Rhodobacterales* and *Alphaproteobacteria* of the *SAR11 clade*. These taxa are also enriched in the gills of reef fish, suggesting that marine vertebrate gills provide similar microbial environments [58]. Additionally, gills are the largest site of urea loss in skates [59]. This makes adult gill tissue particularly well-suited for a commensal relationship with *Nitrosopumilus*, which is known to use urea as an energy source [60], and is identified as a core bacterial genus in the gills starting at stage 33. Overall, functional predictions for the internal gill microbiome show an abundance of molecular transportation pathways consistent with the biology of the gills as an active site of gas and waste exchange.

Adult skin has the lowest Shannon diversity of any tissue and unlike all other sites its microbiome is primarily composed of *Bacteroidetes* ASVs assigned to class *Bacteroidia* (81.2%). *Bacteroidetes* are dominant in many niches, are adapted to life on marine surfaces, play roles in polymer degradation, and contribute to immune function, suggesting a potential fitness benefit to the host [61, 62]. This low diversity may be due to the distinct properties of chondrichthyan skin, which is characterized by dermal denticles and a thin mucus layer [63]. This structure is hypothesized to offer a selective microbial environment due to micropatterning of the dermal denticles, reduced laminar flow, and antimicrobial compounds [8, 64]. In support of this hypothesis, shark skin micropatterning has been shown to hinder microbial colonization

and migration [65, 66]. The biophysical properties of chondrichthyan skin likely select for a distinct microbial community only after embryonic development is complete as skin denticles do not develop until around the time of hatching [67]. Our data show that the adult skate skin bacterial community is distinct from that of embryonic skin, which clusters closely with the other embryonic tissues (Fig. 2). We hypothesize that the development of mature denticles creates a distinct selective pressure on the bacterial community of adult chondrichthyan skin that is not present in the embryo, though this hypothesis requires explicit testing.

An alternate possibility for the low-diversity skin microbiome is that the taxa present possess antimicrobial properties and suppress competition. While stingray skin microbiota show some antibiotic potential, skate skin microbes exhibit lower levels of antibiotic activity and are unlikely to be particularly potent [68, 69]. Predicted gene enrichment among the bacterial communities present in adult skin in this study identify some antimicrobial properties. Future studies are needed to validate this finding. In addition, multiple genes were identified relating to iron utilization, including iron complex outer membrane receptor protein, outer membrane receptor for ferrienterochelin and colicins, and ferrous iron transport protein B. A similar enrichment in iron acquisition and metabolism was detected in the skin microbiome of black-tip reef sharks [8]. Iron is scarce in marine environments and required for growth by the majority of bacteria [70]. The taxa that are able to persist on the challenging substrate created by the denticles may be those most able to metabolically outcompete rivals for limited resources, such as iron, rather than those which compete using antimicrobial secretions to reduce competition.

Environmental sources likely contribute to the microbiome of adult skates. The one environmental source included in this study, the surrounding water, did not contribute meaningfully to gills or skin at any stage; however, deeper sampling may be necessary to detect low abundance taxa present in the water column. Sampling other potential environmental sources, such as diet and benthic substrates for wild caught adults, was not feasible for this study. Taxa identified only on adults cannot be explained by vertical transmission. In this study, we identified only six genera uniquely present on adult tissues. Of these six, only a single genus, *Undibacterium*, was present in all adult samples of both skin and gill. *Undibacterium* species have been isolated from other fishes and may play a role in biofilm degradation [71–74]. Given that this taxon was not detected in embryonic or water samples, skates likely acquire *Undibacterium* from an unknown environmental source, drastically enrich this genus from starting levels below the limit of detection, or through horizontal transmission.

We provide the first evidence of vertical transmission in an elasmobranch by tracking community continuity between different developmental stages and tissues. First, we found minimal water contributions to each sample, with the exception of internal liquid at stage 0 and after egg capsule opening. Second, skate samples have largely similar taxa between consecutive stages, with the largest shifts in community composition matching developmental changes in the physical properties of host tissues. Given the sealed nature of the egg capsule, differences in community composition are hypothesized to reflect enrichment of existing bacteria rather than recruitment from unknown sources, but this requires additional study to confirm. Finally, only six taxa were exclusively found on adult tissues, suggesting environmental sourcing may be limited. While individually these points are insufficient to show vertical transmission, taken

together, along with the life history of the little skate, they build a convincing argument for vertical microbial transmission. This finding is significant as widespread vertical transmission offers a potential explanation for the pattern of phyllosymbiosis observed in elasmobranchs [10]. The prevalence of vertical transmission in chondrichthyans with alternate life histories awaits further research, which will expand our current understanding of the mechanisms underlying this signature of phyllosymbiosis.

There are additional considerations for this dataset given our experimental design. Since individual egg capsules were not associated with particular adult females, direct pairwise comparisons between parent and offspring were not possible. Furthermore, embryonic sampling is lethal, so each developmental timepoint is comprised of different, unrelated embryos. Thus, inter-individual variation limits our ability to accurately track all ASVs between timepoints. While we did sample adult female skin at the cloaca, these samples are unlikely to capture the extent of diversity housed in the reproductive tract where the egg capsules form [23]. Like most elasmobranchs, little skates are polyandrous and multiple paternity is likely [75]. Similar promiscuity has been associated with higher microbial diversity in the female reproductive tract in other vertebrate groups [76–78], a pattern that may hold for skates. We hypothesize that the microbiome of the reproductive tract is highly diverse, potentially providing a richer source of microbiota to the egg capsule than is captured by the adult tissues sampled in this study. Nonetheless, the precise mechanisms by which the egg capsule is seeded with its rich bacterial community, and how transmission of pathogenic bacteria is minimized, await further investigation.

Conclusions

This study provides the first exploration of the bacterial communities associated with the little skate throughout ontogeny and offers many intriguing possibilities for future microbiome research using this model chondrichthyan. Specifically, we identified a site-specific microbiome that is primarily transferred between generations via the internal surface of the egg capsule. With our finding of vertical transmission in the little skate, we provide a potential mechanism underlying the signature of phylosymbiosis observed in chondrichthyans [10]. Some potential avenues for future research include investigating the reproductive tract microbiome as a source of vertically transmitted microbes to the embryo, the role of the host genome in modulating host-microbe interactions, and the effects of environmental variables, such as temperature and pH, on the little skate microbiome.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics Approval

All procedures were conducted in accordance with Marine Biological Laboratory IACUC protocol 19-42.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Raw sequencing data is available at the NCBI Short Read Archive (PRJNA688288). All scripts are available online at <https://github.com/kmmika/Intergenerational-microbial-transmission-in-the-little-skate-Leucoraja-erinacea>.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This project was funded by a Microbiome Pilot Project Grant from the University of Chicago Microbiome Center to D.M.W and N.H.S. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship to A.S.O. (DGE1745303).

Author Contributions

K.M. and A.S.O. conceived of and designed the project in consultation with N.H.S and D.M.W. K.M. and A.S.O. collected the data, performed the computational analyses, and wrote the manuscript. All authors edited and approved the final paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank David Remsen, Dan Calzarette, and the MRC staff for assistance sampling the skates; Andrew Gillis for inspiration and staging the skate embryos, Tetsuya Nakamura for assistance with our IACUC protocol, Emily Davenport for directing us to computational resources, and Nipam H. Patel for use of lab space. We are deeply grateful to Tom A. Stewart, Terence D. Capellini, and the Carmody Lab for helpful comments on the manuscript.

550

551 **References**

- 552 1. Chiarello M, Villéger S, Bouvier C, Auguet JC, Bouvier T. Captive bottlenose dolphins and
553 killer whales harbor a species-specific skin microbiota that varies among individuals. *Sci Rep*.
554 2017;7:1–12.
- 555 2. Larsen A, Tao Z, Bullard SA, Arias CR. Diversity of the skin microbiota of fishes: Evidence
556 for host species specificity. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2013;85:483–94.
- 557 3. Lim SJ, Bordenstein SR. An introduction to phyllosymbiosis. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*.
558 2020;287.
- 559 4. Funkhouser LJ, Bordenstein SR. Mom Knows Best: The Universality of Maternal Microbial
560 Transmission. *PLoS Biol*. 2013;11:1–9.
- 561 5. Compagno LJ. Alternative life-history styles of cartilaginous fishes in time and space.
562 *Environ Biol Fishes*. 1990;28:33–75.
- 563 6. Givens CE, Ransom B, Bano N, Hollibaugh JT. Comparison of the gut microbiomes of 12
564 bony fish and 3 shark species. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*. 2015;518:209–23.
- 565 7. Pogoreutz C, Gore MA, Perna G, Millar C, Nestler R, Ormond RF, et al. Similar bacterial
566 communities on healthy and injured skin of black tip reef sharks. *Anim Microbiome*. 2019;1:1–
567 16.
- 568 8. Doane MP, Haggerty JM, Kacev D, Papudeshi B, Dinsdale EA. The skin microbiome of the
569 common thresher shark (*Alopias vulpinus*) has low taxonomic and gene function β -diversity.
570 *Environ Microbiol Rep*. 2017;9:357–73.
- 571 9. Johny TK, Saidumohamed BE, Sasidharan RS, Bhat SG. Metabarcoding data of bacterial
572 diversity of the deep sea shark, *Centroscyllium fabricii*. *Data Br*. 2018;21:1029–32.

573 10. Doane MP, Morris MM, Papudeshi B, Allen L, Pande D, Haggerty JM, et al. The skin
574 microbiome of elasmobranchs follows phylosymbiosis, but in teleost fishes, the microbiomes
575 converge. *Microbiome*. 2020;8:93.

576 11. Kearns PJ, Bowen JL, Tlusty MF. The skin microbiome of cow-nose rays (*Rhinoptera*
577 *bonasus*) in an aquarium touch-tank exhibit. *Zoo Biol*. 2017;36:226–30.

578 12. Storo R, Easson C, Shivji M, Lopez J V. Microbiome Analyses Demonstrate Specific
579 Communities Within Five Shark Species. *Front Microbiol*. 2021;12 February:1–10.

580 13. Apprill A, Robbins J, Eren AM, Pack AA, Reveillaud J, Mattila D, et al. Humpback whale
581 populations share a core skin bacterial community: Towards a health index for marine
582 mammals? *PLoS One*. 2014;9.

583 14. Wahl M, Goecke F, Labes A, Dobretsov S, Weinberger F. The second skin: Ecological role
584 of epibiotic biofilms on marine organisms. *Front Microbiol*. 2012;3 AUG:1–21.

585 15. Chiarello M, Villéger S, Bouvier C, Bettarel Y, Bouvier T. High diversity of skin-associated
586 bacterial communities of marine fishes is promoted by their high variability among body parts,
587 individuals and species. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2015;91:1–12.

588 16. Jacoby DMP, Croft DP, Sims DW. Social behaviour in sharks and rays: Analysis, patterns
589 and implications for conservation. *Fish Fish*. 2012;13:399–417.

590 17. Pratt HL, Carrier JC. A review of elasmobranch reproductive behavior with a case study on
591 the nurse shark, *Ginglymostoma cirratum* Harold. *Environ Biol Fishes*. 2001;60:157–88.

592 18. Dulvy NK, Reynolds JD. Evolutionary transitions among egg-laying, live-bearing and
593 maternal inputs in sharks and rays. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*. 1997;264:1309–15.

594 19. Chiquillo KL, Ebert DA, Slager CJ, Crow KD. The secret of the mermaid’s purse:
595 Phylogenetic affinities within the Rajidae and the evolution of a novel reproductive strategy in

596 skates. *Mol Phylogenet Evol.* 2014;75:245–51.

597 20. Hobson AD. A Note on the Formation of the Egg Case of the Skate. *J Mar Biol Assoc United*
598 *Kingdom.* 1930;16:577–81. doi:10.1017/S0025315400072945.

599 21. Palm BD, Koester DM, Iii WBD, Sulikowski JA. Seasonal variation in fecundity, egg case
600 viability, gestation, and neonate size for little skates, *Leucoraja erinacea*, in the Gulf of Maine.
601 *Environ Biol Fishes.* 2011;92:585–9.

602 22. Santo V Di. Ocean acidification exacerbates the impacts of global warming on embryonic
603 little skate, *Leucoraja erinacea* (Mitchill). *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol.* 2015;463:72–8.

604 23. Serra-Pereira B, Figueiredo I, Gordo LS. Maturation of the gonads and reproductive tracts of
605 the thornback Ray *Raja Clavata*, with comments on the development of a standardized
606 reproductive terminology for oviparous elasmobranchs. *Mar Coast Fish.* 2011;3:160–75.

607 24. Koob TJ, Summers A. On the hydrodynamic shape of little skate (*Raja erinacea*) egg
608 capsules. *Bull Mt Desert Isl Biol Lab.* 1996;35:108–11.

609 25. Marconi A, Hancock-Ronemus A, Gillis JA. Adult chondrogenesis and spontaneous cartilage
610 repair in the skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*. *Elife.* 2020;9:1–26.

611 26. Nakamura T, Klomp J, Pieretti J, Schneider I, Gehrke AR, Shubin NH. Molecular
612 mechanisms underlying the exceptional adaptations of batoid fins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.*
613 2015;112:15940–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.1521818112.

614 27. Turner N, Mikalauskaite D, Barone K, Flaherty K, Senevirathne G, Adachi N, et al. The
615 evolutionary origins and diversity of the neuromuscular system of paired appendages in batoids.
616 *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci.* 2019;286.

617 28. Criswell KE, Coates MI, Gillis JA. Embryonic development of the axial column in the little
618 skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*. *J Morphol.* 2017;278:300–20.

619 29. Wyffels J, King BL, Vincent J, Chen C, Wu CH, Polson SW. SkateBase, an elasmobranch
620 genome project and collection of molecular resources for chondrichthyan fishes. F1000Research.
621 2014;3 May.

622 30. Packer DB, Zetlin CA, Vitaliano JJ. Little Skate, *Leucoraja erinacea*, Life History and
623 Characteristics. 2003.

624 31. Ballard WW, Mellinger J, Lechenault H. A Series of Normal Stages for Development of
625 *Scyliorhinus canicula*, the Lesser Spotted Dogfish (Chondrichthyes: Scyliorhinidae). J Exp Zool.
626 1993;267:318–36.

627 32. Maxwell EE, Frobish NB, Heppleston AC. Variability and Conservation in Late
628 Chondrichthyan Development: Ontogeny of the Winter Skate (*Leucoraja ocellata*). Anat Rec.
629 2008;291:1079–87.

630 33. Nelson MC, Morrison HG, Benjamino J, Grim SL, Graf J. Analysis, Optimization and
631 Verification of Illumina-Generated 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Surveys. PLoS One.
632 2014;9:e94249. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094249.

633 34. Huse SM, Mark Welch DB, Voorhis A, Shipunova A, Morrison HG, Eren AM, et al.
634 VAMPS: a website for visualization and analysis of microbial population structures. MBC
635 Bioinforma. 2014;15.

636 35. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon MR, Bokulich NA, Abnet CC, Al-Ghalith GA, et al.
637 Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. Nat
638 Biotechnol. 2019;37:852–7. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9.

639 36. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-
640 resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13:581–3.

641 37. Yilmaz P, Parfrey LW, Yarza P, Gerken J, Priesse E, Quast C, et al. The SILVA and “All-

species Living Tree Project (LTP)” taxonomic frameworks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014;42:D643–
8. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1209.

38. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bolyen E, Knight R, et al. Optimizing
taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2’s q2-feature-
classifier plugin. *Microbiome.* 2018;6:90. doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z.

39. Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, et al. Scikit-learn:
Machine Learning in Python. *J Mach Learn Res.* 2011;12:2825–30.

40. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and
Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS One.* 2013;8.

41. Callahan BJ, Sankaran K, Fukuyama JA, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. Bioconductor Workflow
for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses. *F1000Research.*
2016;5:1492. doi:10.12688/f1000research.8986.2.

42. Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, et al. Normalization and
microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome.*
2017;5:27. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y.

43. Faith DP, Minchin PR, Belbin L. Compositional dissimilarity as a robust measure of
ecological distance. *Vegetatio.* 1987;69:57–68. doi:10.1007/BF00038687.

44. Kruskal WH, Wallis WA. Use of Ranks in One-Criterion Variance Analysis. *J Am Stat
Assoc.* 1952;47:583. doi:10.2307/2280779.

45. Anderson MJ. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). In: Wiley
StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2017. p. 1–15.
doi:10.1002/9781118445112.stat07841.

46. Risely A. Applying the core microbiome to understand host–microbe systems. *J Anim Ecol.*

2020; November 2019:1–10.

47. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biol.* 2011;12.

48. Shenhav L, Thompson M, Joseph TA, Briscoe L, Furman O, Bogumil D, et al. FEAST: fast expectation-maximization for microbial source tracking. *Nat Methods.* 2019;16:627–32. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0431-x.

49. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2013. <http://www.r-project.org/>.

50. Douglas GM, Maffei VJ, Zaneveld J, Yurgel SN, Brown JR, Taylor CM, et al. PICRUST2: An improved and extensible approach for metagenome inference. *bioRxiv.* 2019;:672295. doi:10.1101/672295.

51. Hervé M. RVAideMemoire: Testing and Plotting Procedures for Biostatistics. Version 0.9-77. 2020. <https://cran.r-project.org/package=RVAideMemoire>.

52. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. 2016.

53. Nielsen MC, Jiang SC. Alterations of the human skin microbiome after ocean water exposure. *Mar Pollut Bull.* 2019;145 February:595–603. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.06.047.

54. Barbieri E, Paster BJ, Hughes D, Zurek L, Moser DP, Teske A, et al. Phylogenetic characterization of epibiotic bacteria in the accessory nidamental gland and egg capsules of the squid *Loligo pealei* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae). *Environ Microbiol.* 2001;3:151–67.

55. Kerwin AH, Nyholm S V. Symbiotic bacteria associated with a bobtail squid reproductive system are detectable in the environment, and stable in the host and developing eggs. *Environ Microbiol.* 2017;19:1463–75.

56. Yildiz FH, Visick KL. *Vibrio* biofilms: so much the same yet so different. *Trends Microbiol.*

688 2009;17:109–18.

689 57. Lavery G, Gorman SP, Gilmore BF. Biomolecular mechanisms of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
690 and *Escherichia coli* biofilm formation. *Pathogens*. 2014;3:596–632.

691 58. Pratte ZA, Besson M, Hollman RD, Stewart FJ. The gills of reef fish support a distinct
692 microbiome influenced by host-specific factors. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2018;84:1–15.

693 59. Hazon N, Wells A, Pillans RD, Good JP, Anderson WG, Franklin CE. Urea based
694 osmoregulation and endocrine control in elasmobranch fish with special reference to
695 euryhalinity. *Comp Biochem Physiol - B Biochem Mol Biol*. 2003;136:685–700.

696 60. Qin W, Heal KR, Ramdasi R, Kobelt JN, Martens-Habbena W, Bertagnolli AD, et al.
697 *Nitrosopumilus maritimus* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Nitrosopumilus cobalaminigenes* sp. nov.,
698 *Nitrosopumilus oxyclinae* sp. nov., and *Nitrosopumilus ureiphilus* sp. nov., four marine
699 ammonia-oxidizing archaea of the phylum thaumarchaeo. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*.
700 2017;67:5067–79.

701 61. Fernández-Gómez B, Richter M, Schüler M, Pinhassi J, Acinas SG, González JM, et al.
702 Ecology of marine bacteroidetes: A comparative genomics approach. *ISME J*. 2013;7:1026–37.

703 62. Troy EB, Kasper DL. Beneficial effects of *Bacteroides fragilis* polysaccharides on the
704 immune system. *Front Biosci*. 2010;15:25–34. doi:10.2741/3603.

705 63. Meyer W, Seegers U. Basics of skin structure and function in elasmobranchs: A review. *J*
706 *Fish Biol*. 2012;80:1940–67.

707 64. Lee M. Shark Skin: Taking a Bite Out of Bacteria. In: Lee M, editor. Remarkable Natural
708 Material Surfaces and Their Engineering Potential. Cham: Springer International Publishing;
709 2014. p. 1–163. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-03125-5.

710 65. Reddy ST, Chung KK, McDaniel CJ, Darouiche RO, Landman J, Brennan AB.

Micropatterned surfaces for reducing the risk of catheter-associated urinary tract infection: An in vitro study on the effect of sharklet micropatterned surfaces to inhibit bacterial colonization and migration of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *J Endourol*. 2011;25:1547–52.

66. Mann EE, Manna D, Mettetal MR, May RM, Dannemiller EM, Chung KK, et al. Surface micropattern limits bacterial contamination. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2014;3.

67. Cooper RL, Thiery AP, Fletcher AG, Delbarre DJ, Rasch LJ, Fraser GJ. An ancient Turing-like patterning mechanism regulates skin denticle development in sharks. *Sci Adv*. 2018;4:1–11.

68. Ritchie KB, Schwarz M, Mueller J, Lapacek VA, Merselis D, Walsh CJ, et al. Survey of antibiotic-producing bacteria associated with the epidermal mucus layers of rays and Skates. *Front Microbiol*. 2017;8 JUL:1050.

69. Cho SH, Lee BD, An H, Eun JB. Kenojeinin I, antimicrobial peptide isolated from the skin of the fermented skate, *Raja kenojei*. *Peptides*. 2005;26:581–7.

70. Sandy M, Butler A. Microbial iron acquisition: Marine and terrestrial siderophores. *Chem Rev*. 2009;109:4580–95.

71. Morohoshi T, Oi T, Suzuki T, Sato S. Identification and characterization of a novel extracellular polyhydroxyalkanoate depolymerase in the complete genome sequence of *Undibacterium* sp. KW1 and YM2 strains. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:1–11.

72. Kämpfer P, Irgang R, Busse HJ, Poblete-Morales M, Kleinhagauer T, Glaeser SP, et al. *Undibacterium danionis* sp. Nov. isolated from a zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2016;66:3625–31.

73. Lee SY, Kang W, Kim PS, Kim HS, Sung H, Shin NR, et al. *Undibacterium piscinae* sp. Nov., isolated from Korean shiner intestine. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 2019;69:3148–54.

74. Lokesh J, Kiron V, Sipkema D, Fernandes JMO, Moum T. Succession of embryonic and the

intestinal bacterial communities of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) reveals stage-specific microbial signatures. *Microbiologyopen*. 2019;8:1–16.

75. Fitzpatrick JL, Kempster RM, Daly-Engel TS, Collin SP, Evans JP. Assessing the potential for post-copulatory sexual selection in elasmobranchs. *J Fish Biol*. 2012;80:1141–58.

76. MacManes MD. Promiscuity in mice is associated with increased vaginal bacterial diversity. *Naturwissenschaften*. 2011;98:951–60.

77. White J, Richard M, Massot M, Meylan S. Cloacal bacterial diversity increases with multiple mates: Evidence of sexual transmission in female common lizards. *PLoS One*. 2011;6.

78. Yildirim S, Yeoman CJ, Janga SC, Thomas SM, Ho M, Leigh SR, et al. Primate vaginal microbiomes exhibit species specificity without universal *Lactobacillus* dominance. *ISME J*. 2014;8:2431–44.

FIGURES

Figure 1: Taxonomic composition of embryonic and adult skate bacterial communities.

Relative abundance of the top ten bacterial classes in the dataset are shown for each site and timepoint as well as for water and hand controls. First, classes of phylum *Proteobacteria* are shown in shades of blue, followed by other classes ordered alphabetically.

Figure 2: Principal coordinate analysis plots of little skate bacterial samples. PCoA analysis (Bray-Curtis) of skate and control samples. PC1 versus PC2 (A) and PC1 versus PC3 (B).

Figure 3: Alpha diversity of embryonic and adult skate bacterial communities. Boxplots of Shannon (A) and Chao1 (B) alpha diversity metrics for each sample site. Datapoints shown in black. Colored points are statistical outliers. Stage is indicated by shape. Grey: experimenters' hands, blue: water, red: egg capsule, orange: internal liquid, green: gill, and purple: skin.

Figure 4: Source contributions to the little skate microbiome for each stage and tissue.

Boxplots showing source contributions to the bacterial community of the skate microbiome at stage 0 (A), stage 16 (B), stage 26 (C), stage 30 (D), stage 33 (E) and adult (F) estimated using FEAST. Stage 0 used adult tissues as the source pools. Source contributions to adults (F) are

767 shown for stage 33 source pools. Sources are colored as in Fig. 2. Letter codes refer to source
 768 pools from the previous stage or controls. H: Experimenters' hands, W: water; EC: egg capsule,
 769 IL: egg capsule internal liquid, G: gill, S: skin, U: unknown source.
 770

Taxa	Egg Capsule	External Gill/ Embryonic Skin	Internal Gill	Adult Skin
<i>Actinomarinales</i>	x			x
<i>Alphaproteobacteria</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>Bacteroidia</i>	x	x		x
<i>Burkholderiaceae</i>	x			x
<i>Candidatus Nitrosopumilus</i>	x		x	
<i>Chloroplast</i>	x		x	x
<i>Colwellia</i>	x		x	x
<i>Escherichia-Shigella</i>	x	x	x	
<i>Flavobacteriaceae</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>Flavobacteriales</i>	x			x
<i>Gammaproteobacteria</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>Haliaceae</i>	x	x		x
<i>Lentisphaera</i>	x		x	x
<i>Pirellulaceae</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>Pseudoalteromonas</i>	x		x	x
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	x			x
<i>Rhizobiaceae</i>	x		x	x
<i>Rhodobacteraceae</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>Rhodothermaceae</i>	x			x
<i>Rubritalea</i>	x			x
<i>Saprospiraceae</i>	x	x	x	x
<i>SAR11 clade (Clade I)</i>	x		x	x
<i>Shewanella</i>	x		x	
<i>Sphingomonadaceae</i>	x		x	x
<i>Sulfitobacter</i>	x			x
<i>Synechococcus CC9902</i>	x		x	
<i>Thermoanaerobaculaceae</i> Subgroup 10	x			x

<i>Thiothrix</i> sp. FBR0112	x			x
<i>Ulvibacter</i>	x		x	
<i>Uncultured verrucomicrobium</i> DEV007	x		x	
<i>Verrucomicrobium</i> sp. KLE1210	x			x
<i>Vibrio</i>	x			x
<i>Vibrionaceae</i>	x		x	x

Table 1: Core taxonomic units shared between tissues

List of taxa identified as part of the common core microbiome at a 75% presence cut-off in a least two of the following tissues: egg capsule, combined external gill (stages 26-30) and embryonic skin, internal gill (stage 33-adult), and adult skin.

Supplementary Figure 1: Internal liquid and egg capsule sampling locations. Egg capsules were windowed to access the developing embryo. Black arrowheads indicate the internal surface

of the egg capsule. White arrowhead in A indicates where the transparent, gelatinous internal liquid of closed egg capsules was collected. Open egg capsules were directly drained into microcentrifuge tubes before windowing.

Supplementary Figure 2: ASV rarefaction curves of samples. While number of reads varied between samples, species richness plateaus for each below the maximum sequencing depth.

789 **Supplementary Figure 3: Family-level composition of embryonic and adult skate bacterial**
 790 **communities.** Relative abundance of the top ten bacterial families in the classes
 791 *Alphaproteobacteria* (A), *Gammaproteobacteria* (B), and *Bacteroidia* (C) in the dataset are
 792 shown for each site and timepoint as well as for water and hand controls.

Supplementary Figure 4: Genus-level composition of embryonic and adult skate bacterial communities. Relative abundance of the top ten bacterial genera in the classes

Alphaproteobacteria (A), *Gammaproteobacteria* (B), and *Bacteroidia* (C) in the dataset are shown for each site and timepoint as well as for water and hand controls.

Supplementary Figure 5: Principal coordinate analysis plots of bacterial communities by tissue. PCoA analysis (Bray-Curtis) plots of PC1 versus PC2 for (A) egg capsule, (B) Internal liquid, (C) gill, and (D) skin samples.

Supplementary Figure 6: Differentially abundant bacterial taxa among skate tissues.

LEfSe analysis at $P < 0.05$ and $LDA > 2$. Only differentially abundant tree branches are shown.

eggcase: egg capsule, Egillskin: embryonic external gills (stages 16–30) and embryonic skin (stages 16–33), Lgill: internal gill (stage 33-Adult), adultskin: adult skin.

Supplementary Figure 7: Significant taxa comparisons in closed versus open egg capsules.

Heatmap of the taxa identified by LefSe ($P < 0.05$, $LDA > 2$) at the lowest categorized taxonomic level for comparisons of open versus closed egg capsule (red), internal liquid (orange) and combined egg capsule and internal liquid (black). Abundances of each sample are shown as Z-scores. Open samples include stage 30 replicates A & D, as well as all stage 33 samples. All other samples come from closed egg capsules.

Supplementary Table 1: Sample reads summary statistics

Table S1 Samples.xlsx

Supplementary Table 2: Complete core microbiome results

Table S2 Core Microbiome.xlsx

Supplementary Table 3: KO gene and pathways enrichments

Table S3 KO Pathways.xlsx