1   **Effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam at LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ on the life table of soybean aphid**

2   *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae)

3   **Running title:** Effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on *Aphis glycines*

4

5   Aonan Zhang¹, Lin Zhu¹, Zhenghao Shi¹, Kuijun Zhao¹, Tianying Liu¹, Lanlan Han^{1*}

6

7   1 College of Agriculture, Northeast Agricultural University, 59 Wood Street, Harbin, Heilongjiang

8   150030, PR China.

9

10   *Corresponding author

11   E-mail: hanll_neau@aliyun.com (HL)

12

13   **Financial support:** This work was supported by the Heilongjiang Science Foundation Project (grant

14   number C2018011), Special Fund for Construction of Modern Agricultural Industry Technology

15   System (grant number CARS-04), and Research and Development of Technology and Products on

16   Nature Enemy Insects Prevent and Control (No: 2017YFD0201000).

Abstract

The soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura (Hemiptera: Aphididae), is a main pests of soybean that poses a serious threat to its production. Studies were conducted to understand effects of the different concentrations of the insecticides (imidacloprid and thiamethoxam) on the life table of *A. glycines* to provide vital information for its effective management. We found that the mean generation time, adult and total pre-oviposition periods in *A. glycines* specimens exposed to LC₅₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam were significantly longer than those in the control group. However, when exposed to LC₃₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam, the adult pre-ovipositional period was significantly shorter than that in the control group. The mean fecundity per female adult, net reproductive rate, intrinsic rate of increase, and finite rate of increase were significantly decreased in individuals exposed to LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ concentrations of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam, respectively ($P < 0.05$). Both insecticides produce stress effects on *A. glycines*, and specimens treated with LC₅₀ concentrations of the two insecticides exhibited a significant decrease in their growth rates than those treated with LC₃₀ concentrations. This study provides data that can be used as a reference to predict the effect of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on the population dynamics in the field, and agricultural producers could attach importance to prevent stimulation the reproduction made by low-lethal concentrations during actually applying pesticides.

Keywords: imidacloprid, thiamethoxam, soybean aphid, life table, reproduction, population

Introduction

The soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* Matsumura (Hemiptera: Aphididae), was first detected in North America in 2000 and had rapidly spread to north-central and northeastern United States and southeastern Canada. Although the damage from *A. glycines* to soybeans is rarely devastating in Asia, it is considered a primary pest in North America (Ragsdale, Voegtlin, & O'neil, 2004; Wang, Kritzman, Hershman, & Ghabrial, 2006; Ragsdale, Landis, Brodeur, Brodeur, & Desneux, 2011; Hopper et al., 2017).

Foliar insecticides have been commonly used to effectively control *A. glycines* (Lee, 2000). By the late 1990s, neonicotinoid insecticides had been introduced worldwide due to their high efficiency, low toxicity, and wide application range (Srigiriraju, Semtner, & Bloomquist, 2010; Basit, Saeed, Saleem, Denholm, & Shah, 2013). The sales of neonicotinoids accounted for more than 25 % of the global insecticide sales in 2014 (Bass, Denholm, Williamson, & Nauen, 2015). Imidacloprid is a first-generation neonicotinoid (Matsuda, Buckingham, Kleier, Rauh, Grauso, & Sattelle, 2001), and thiamethoxam is a second-generation neonicotinoid and has higher activity, better safety, and longer duration of efficacy (Shi, Wang, Liu, Qi, & Yu, 2017).

The insecticidal effect of a particular insecticide is closely related to its type, application method, and application frequency (Xie et al., 2010). The drift and degradation of neonicotinoids themselves in the fields would make the doses of some aphids exposed to lower than those normally recommended for control. The lower doses permit survival of heterozygotes for resistance thereby fixing a resistant gene in a population more rapidly (Nauen & Denholm, 2005). The risk of the rapid development of resistant populations, secondary pest outbreaks, and rapid deterioration of the ecological environment was greatly

increased (Isman, 2006; Khan, Abbas, Shad, & Afzal, 2014; Hanson et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018; Somar, Zamani, & Alizadeh, 2019).

A monitoring study performed in 2008 and 2011 reported an increased adaptation of the cotton aphid *Aphis gossypii* Glover to neonicotinoids in the south-central United States (Gore et al., 2013). In addition, cotton aphids from six different locations in Korea also exhibited adaptation to common neonicotinoids (Koo, An, Park, Kim, & Kim, 2014). Imidacloprid was the first commercial neonicotinoid used to control rice planthoppers in the early 1990s; however, after 10 years of its large-scale use in Asia, the sensitivity of rice planthoppers to imidacloprid decreased (Matsumura et al., 2013; Tao et al., 2019). *Bemisia tabaci* biotype Q (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) showed a similar response to conventional neonicotinoids (Luo, Jones, Devine, Zhang, Denholm, Denholm, & Gorman, 2010; Nauen et al., 2014).

To solve the recent problem of the rapid increase in pest adaptation to neonicotinoids, it is of great significance to carry out population dynamic analysis and to formulate an accurate application scheme (Felicangeli & Rabinovich, 1985; Stark & Wennergren, 1995; Gabre, Adham, & Chi, 2005; Bass et al., 2011; Abbas, Shad, & Shah, 2015; Jan, Abbas, Shad, & Saleem, 2015). In this study, based on the life table of *A. glycines*, we evaluated the effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on the reproductive development and population dynamics of *A. glycines* to provide a reference for the accurate use of these insecticides for controlling *A. glycines* populations.

Materials and methods

Laboratory aphid population and chemical agents

70 The laboratory strain of *A. glycines* used in this study was originally collected from a soybean field in
71 Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China. This strain had been cultured in the laboratory for several years
72 and never been exposed to any insecticides. Dongnong 52 soybean plants were used to maintain the
73 strain of *A. glycines* at Northeast Agricultural University, China. Soybean plants were grown in pots (15
74 cm diameter × 17 cm depth), with six plants in each pot kept at 25 ± 1 °C, a relative humidity of
75 65–70%, and a photoperiod of 14:10 (L:D) h. The laboratory aphid colony was maintained in the same
76 environmental conditions as the chamber used for plant germination. Twelve pots with soybean plants
77 were placed in a large tray (70 × 60 cm; L × W). Twice a week, one third of the old aphid-infested
78 soybean plants (i.e., the four oldest pots with an aphid infestation) were removed and replaced with new
79 aphid-free ones. Aphids were transferred by placing infested leaves on uninfested plants. This prevented
80 the accumulation of excessive honeydew and sooty mold and ensured the provision of a homogeneous
81 soybean plant for the aphids to feed on (Menger et al., 2020).

82 Water dispersible granules of insecticides (70% imidacloprid and 50% thiamethoxam) were purchased
83 from North China Pharmaceutical Group Corporation, Hebei, China and Shaanxi Thompson
84 Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Shaanxi, China respectively. Calcium nitrate, potassium nitrate, potassium
85 dihydrogen phosphate, magnesium sulfate, disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (disodium EDTA),
86 and streptomycin sulfate were all purchased from Shanghai Alighting Biochemical Technology Co.,
87 Ltd., Shanghai, China.

Preparation of culture medium

Non-toxic, transparent plastic Petri dishes (6 cm diameter × 1.5 cm height) were used to perform the bioassay on newly hatched nymphs of *A. glycines* and the life table study. The components of the plant nutrient solution concentrate used to prepare the medium were as follows: calcium nitrate (4.1 g), potassium nitrate (2.5 g), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (0.7 g), magnesium sulfate (0.6 g), 1.54% disodium EDTA aqueous solution (5.0 mL), one million units of streptomycin sulfate (0.05 g), and distilled water (5.0 L). The diluent was obtained by mixing the plant nutrient solution concentrate with distilled water at a ratio of 1:3. Agar was prepared by mixing 1% w/w agar powder with diluent and was boiled while constantly mixing. After cooling for approximately 10 minutes, the warm agar was poured into the Petri dishes to a depth of at least 3–4 mm. At least 10 mm distance was allowed between the top of the agar and the rim of the Petri dishes. The metal tube was used to cut leaf discs from clean, untreated leaves. The leaf discs were 2 mm lesser in diameter than the Petri dishes and were attached to the agar medium with the top-side facing down. A metal tube was sharpened and cleaned regularly to ensure the clean cutting of the leaf discs. *A. glycines* on leaf discs fed on the bottom surface. Each Petri dish was then placed upside down to keep *A. glycines* in a natural feeding state. The incision was kept neat to avoid excessive crushing of the tissue at the edge of the leaves when they were cut. This prevented the leaves from rapidly developing mildew.

Dose response bioassay

The dose response bioassays were conducted with newly hatched *A. glycines* nymphs using a leaf dip method recommended by the Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC;

<http://www.irac-online.org/resources/methods.asp>). Insecticidal stock solutions were prepared in 1% acetone and further diluted to different concentrations using distilled water containing 0.05 % (v/v) Triton X-100 before using in dose response bioassay. According to the preliminary bioassays, seven concentrations of imidacloprid (19.95 mg a.i./L, 13.70 mg a.i./L, 9.10 mg a.i./L, 6.10 mg a.i./L, 3.47 mg a.i./L, 2.35 mg a.i./L, 1.88 mg a.i./L) and thiamethoxam (29.95 mg a.i./L, 24.98 mg a.i./L, 14.97 mg a.i./L, 10.05 mg a.i./L, 4.94 mg a.i./L, 3.64 mg a.i./L, 1.98 mg a.i./L) were prepared respectively. Fresh soybean leaf discs were immersed in solutions of seven concentrations; each leaf disc was immersed in a specific concentration for 10 s, removed from the solution, and placed on paper towels (abaxial surface facing up) to air dry. The control leaf disc was immersed in a solution of distilled water containing 0.05 % (v/v) Triton X-100 and 1 % acetone. The air-dried leaf discs were attached to the agar medium with the top-side facing down and newly hatched nymphs were placed on them. Treatment details (insecticide, concentration, and date) were recorded for each Petri dish. A small drop of distilled water was placed on the surface of the agar prior to laying the leaf on the surface to help the leaf stick to the agar surface. Sixty newly hatched nymphs were used for dose response bioassays at each concentration; three replicates were used per concentration and each replicate had 20 newly hatched nymphs. Mortality was determined after 24 h of exposure. The newly hatched nymphs were considered dead if they were found upside down and not moving or if they did not move when prodded with a small paint brush (Cordero, Bloomquist, & Kuhar, 2007). The toxicity of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam to the nymphs were statistically analyzed using SPSS (version 23.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and the LC₅₀ and LC₃₀ values for the newly hatched nymphs were obtained.

Life table study

One hundred and fifty apterous adults were transferred onto fifteen leaf discs using a small paint brush and 10 apterous adults were placed on each leaf disc. Each Petri dish containing a leaf disc was sealed with a close-fitting, ventilated lid. Newly hatched nymphs were selected 24 h later and placed on a leaf disc pre-impregnated with LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam or a leaf disc pre-impregnated with distilled water containing 0.05 % (v/v) Triton X-100 and 1 % acetone.

One hundred newly hatched nymphs were exposed to each treatment; each newly hatched nymph was kept in a separate Petri dish, and each Petri dish was treated as one replicate. The growth, survival, mortality, and fecundity of the individuals were observed until all organisms died. After reaching the adult stage, the number of newly hatched nymphs reproduced by each adult every day was recorded and removed after recording.

Life table analysis

The age-stage-specific survival rate (s_{xj} , x = age, j = stage), age-specific survival rate (l_x), age-stage specific fecundity (f_{xj}), and age-specific fecundity (m_x) were calculated as follows (Chi & Liu, 1985; Chi & Getz, 1988; Chi, 1988).

$$s_{xj} = \frac{n_{xj}}{n_{01}}, \quad (1)$$

$$l_x = \sum_{j=1}^k s_{xj}, \quad (2)$$

$$m_x = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k s_{xj} f_{xj}}{\sum_{j=1}^k s_{xj}}, \quad (3)$$

where n_{01} stands for the number of newly hatched nymphs and k stands for the number of stages. The net reproductive rate (R_0), intrinsic rate of increase (r), finite rate of increase (λ), and mean generation time (T) were calculated as follows (Goodman, 1982):

$$R_0 = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} l_x m_x, \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} e^{-r(x+1)} l_x m_x = 1, \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda = e^r, \quad (6)$$

$$T = \frac{\ln R_0}{r}. \quad (7)$$

The life expectancy (e_{xj}), i.e. the time that an individual of age x and stage j is expected to live, was calculated according to Chi & Su (2006) as

$$e_{xj} = \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} \sum_{y=j}^k s'_{iy}, \quad (8)$$

where s'_{iy} is the probability that an individual of age x and stage j would survive to age i and stage y .

Fisher (1993) defined the reproductive value (v_{xj}) as the contribution of individuals of age x and stage j to the future population. It was calculated as

$$v_{xj} = \frac{e^{r(x+1)}}{s_{xj}} \sum_{i=x}^{\infty} e^{-r(i+1)} \sum_{y=j}^k s'_{iy} f_{iy}. \quad (9)$$

TWOSEX-MSChart software was used to estimate the mean values and standard errors of the population parameters, mean longevity of first instar to fourth instar nymphs and adults, adult and total pre-ovipositional period, mean fecundity per female adult, and the bootstrap test method was used to compare the differences in all the treatments ($B = 100,000$; Chi, 2012). All curve graphs were generated using SigmaPlot 12.0.

Results

Dose response bioassay for newly hatched nymphs of *A. glycines*

161 The LC₅₀ concentrations for imidacloprid and thiamethoxam were 4.440 (3.672–5.335) mg a.i./L and
162 7.049 (5.394–8.998) mg a.i./L respectively, whereas their LC₃₀ concentrations were 3.114 (2.425–3.757)
163 mg a.i./L and 4.184 (2.850–5.460) mg a.i./L, respectively (Table 1).

Life history traits

164 Imidacloprid and thiamethoxam had effects on the development time, longevity, and fecundity of *A.*
165 *glycines* (Table 2). Compared with that in the control group, exposure to LC₅₀ imidacloprid and
166 thiamethoxam resulted in longer first instar development time, adult pre-ovipositional period (APOP),
167 and total pre-ovipositional period (TPOP; $P < 0.05$), but decreased fecundity ($P < 0.05$) and adult
168 longevity ($P < 0.05$).

169 Compared with that in the control group (1.20 d), the development time of second instar nymphs
170 increased significantly when exposed to LC₅₀ imidacloprid (1.43 d, $P < 0.05$), but no significant change
171 was observed in nymphs exposed to LC₅₀ thiamethoxam (1.28 d, $P > 0.05$). The development time of the
172 third and fourth instars did not change on exposure to LC₅₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam ($P > 0.05$).

173 Exposure to LC₃₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam had no significant effect on the development time of
174 the first to fourth instars ($P > 0.05$). However, LC₃₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam decreased the APOP
175 and reduced the fecundity of *A. glycines* compared with that in the control ($P < 0.05$). The longevity of
176 adults exposed to LC₃₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam was significantly decreased compared with that

of the control group (11.02, 8.95, and 9.31 d in control, imidacloprid, thiamethoxam groups, respectively; $P < 0.05$). The TPOP of *A. glycines* exposed to LC₃₀ imidacloprid (4.55 d) showed no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) compared to that in the control group (4.66 d), whereas that of *A. glycines* exposed to LC₃₀ thiamethoxam was significantly decreased (4.29 d; $P < 0.05$).

Life table and fertility parameters

The mean fecundity per female adult, R_0 , r , and λ decreased significantly in the imidacloprid and thiamethoxam treatment groups compared to the control group ($P < 0.05$, Table 3). The T in LC₅₀ imidacloprid (9.50 d) and thiamethoxam (9.16 d) treatment groups was significantly longer compared with that in the control group (8.20 d; $P < 0.05$). In contrast, the T in the LC₃₀ thiamethoxam (7.71 d) group was significantly decreased compared with that in the control group ($P < 0.05$), whereas that in the LC₃₀ imidacloprid (7.99 d) group showed no significant change ($P > 0.05$, Table 3).

Due to the different development rates between individuals, the age-stage specific survival rates curves show obvious overlaps (Fig 1). The relative number of female adults in the LC₃₀ imidacloprid and thiamethoxam treatment groups was higher than that in the respective LC₅₀ treatment groups.

Age-specific survival rate is the probability that a newly hatched nymph will reach an age x , and the curve of the age-specific survival rate is a simplified form of the curve of the age-stage survival rate, disregarding developmental stages. After treatment with imidacloprid and thiamethoxam, the l_x curve decreased significantly (Fig 2).

The highest peak of m_x in the control group was higher than that in LC₃₀ and LC₅₀ treatment groups.

The highest peak of m_x in the control group appeared on day 8 (Fig 2), whereas that in the LC₃₀

imidacloprid group appeared on day 7, i.e., a day earlier than that in the control group. The highest peak of m_x in the LC₃₀ thiamethoxam group appeared on day 6, two days earlier than that in the control group. The highest peak of m_x in the LC₅₀ imidacloprid group appeared on day 10, two days later than that in the control group, while the highest peak of m_x in the LC₅₀ thiamethoxam group appeared on day 9; a day later than that in the control group (Fig 2).

The values of age-specific maternity ($l_x m_x$) were significantly dependent on l_x and m_x , and the maximum $l_x m_x$ values were 8, 8, 9, 7, and 6 d for the control, LC₅₀ imidacloprid, LC₅₀ thiamethoxam, LC₃₀ imidacloprid, and LC₃₀ thiamethoxam treatment groups, respectively.

The female reproductive values in the imidacloprid and thiamethoxam treatment groups decreased compared with those in the control group; however, the female reproductive value in the LC₃₀ treatment group was higher than that in the LC₅₀ group (Fig 3).

The age-stage life expectancy curve (e_{xj}) is shown in Fig 4. In the curve, the highest peak values of the first to fourth instar nymphs and female adults were lower in the treatment groups compared with the control group.

Discussion

The life table parameters used herein reflect the total effect of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on *A. glycines*. We found that imidacloprid and thiamethoxam at LC₅₀ significantly increased the APOP and TPOP and significantly decreased the mean fecundity per female adult compared with that in the control group ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, the APOP in individuals exposed to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam at LC₃₀ was shorter than that in the control group ($P < 0.05$, Table 2). In addition, according to the results in

the age-stage two-sex life table, the R_0 , λ , and r also decreased significantly ($P < 0.05$, Table 3).

Collectively, these results indicate that both imidacloprid and thiamethoxam have inhibitory effects on the reproduction of *A. glycines*.

The l_x curve is a basis for the s_{xy} curve. In this study, we found that the l_x curves of individuals exposed to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam showed a declining trend (Fig 2). During the first stage, *A. glycines* failed to respond effectively when initially exposed to a high dosage of insecticide; consequently, the l_x decreased sharply, and only some surviving individuals entered the second stage. During the second stage, intoxicated aphids refuse to eat or eat in small amounts and spend energy trying to get out of the toxic arena. This was the stage of confrontation between insecticides stress and *A. glycines*. The different effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam at LC_{50} and LC_{30} on the life table may be also related to the regulation strategies of the species, such as self-metabolism and detoxification. In the future research, we will be committed to metabolic detoxification, from the physiological indicators and even molecular level to continue understand the deep impact of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on population dynamics. During the third stage, the survival rate continued to decline, less sharply than in the first stage, but more sharply than in the second stage. During the third stage, the individual longevity may also be one reason for the decrease of the l_x ; this is consistent with the decrease in the age-stage life expectancy curve with increasing age (Fig 4).

In the present study, individuals in the LC_{50} thiamethoxam and LC_{50} imidacloprid treatment groups reached their reproductive peaks 1 and 2 days later compared with those in the control group, respectively. In contrast, individuals in the LC_{30} thiamethoxam and LC_{30} imidacloprid treatment groups reached their reproductive peaks 2 and 1 day earlier than the control group, respectively. Different types

and doses of insecticides have different biological and ecological effects on pests. More attention should be paid to the increase of pest reproduction caused by low doses of insecticides (Stark, Tanigoshi, Bounfour, & Antonelli, 1997; James & Price, 2002). For example, low-lethal concentration of spinetoram can decrease the developmental time of *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: *Tetranychidae*) from egg to adult (Wang, Zhang, Xie, Wu, & Wang, 2016). The rapid increase in the number of *A. glycines* individuals during the productive peak and the fast reproduction of species from generation-to-generation indicate that large outbreaks may occur within a short time. This phenomenon of low-lethal concentration promoting rapid reproduction has brought great pressure on the prevention and control of *A. glycines* in the field.

The actual application doses of neonicotinoids in soybean fields in northeast China was higher than LC_{50} , but the complex environmental conditions in the fields and the drift of neonicotinoids themselves would make the doses of some pests exposed to less than LC_{50} . The risk of low-lethal doses of neonicotinoids stimulate the rapid reproduction of field populations would highly possible happen. We will continue to study whether field populations show similar trends after exposure to low-lethal doses of neonicotinoids in the future.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Age-stage specific survival rate (s_{xj}) of *Aphis glycines*. Age-stage specific survival rate (s_{xj}) of

257 *Aphis glycines* exposed to the following treatments: control, imidacloprid LC₃₀ treatment group,
 258 imidacloprid LC₅₀ treatment group, thiamethoxam LC₃₀ treatment group, and thiamethoxam LC₅₀
 259 treatment group. L1 = s_{xj} of first instar nymphs; L2 = s_{xj} of second instar nymphs; L3 = s_{xj} of third
 260 instar nymphs; and L4 = s_{xj} of fourth instar nymphs. (XLS)
 261
 262 S2 Fig. Age-specific survival rate (l_x), age-specific fecundity of the total population (m_x), and
 263 age-specific maternity ($l_x m_x$) of *Aphis glycines*. Age-specific survival rate (l_x), age-specific fecundity of
 264 the total population (m_x), and age-specific maternity ($l_x m_x$) of *Aphis glycines* exposed to the following
 265 treatments: control, imidacloprid LC₃₀ treatment group, imidacloprid LC₅₀ treatment group,
 266 thiamethoxam LC₃₀ treatment group, and thiamethoxam LC₅₀ treatment group. (XLS)
 267
 268 S3 Fig. Age-stage specific reproductive values (v_{xj}) of *Aphis glycines*. Age-stage specific reproductive
 269 values (v_{xj}) of *Aphis glycines* exposed to the following treatments: control, imidacloprid LC₃₀ treatment
 270 group, imidacloprid LC₅₀ treatment group, thiamethoxam LC₃₀ treatment group, and thiamethoxam
 271 LC₅₀ treatment group. L1 = v_{xj} of first instar nymphs; L2 = v_{xj} of second instar nymphs; L3 = v_{xj} of third
 272 instar nymphs; and L4 = v_{xj} of fourth instar nymphs. (XLS)
 273
 274 S4 Fig. Life expectancy (e_{xj}) of *Aphis glycines*. Life expectancy (e_{xj}) of *Aphis glycines* exposed to the
 275 following treatments: control, imidacloprid LC₃₀ treatment group, imidacloprid LC₅₀ treatment group,
 276 thiamethoxam LC₃₀ treatment group, and thiamethoxam LC₅₀ treatment group. L1 = e_{xj} of first instar
 277 nymphs; L2 = e_{xj} of second instar nymphs; L3 = e_{xj} of third instar nymphs; and L4 = e_{xj} of fourth instar
 278 nymphs. (XLS)

279

280 S1 Table. Toxic effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on newly hatched nymphs of *Aphis glycines*.

281 SE = Standard error (XLS)

282

283 S2 Table. Mean value ($\pm$ SE) of life history parameters of *Aphis glycines* exposed to imidacloprid and

284 thiamethoxam. SE = Standard error. Means ($\pm$ SE) followed by different letters in the same row are

285 significantly different as calculated using the paired bootstrap test at the $P < 0.05$ level. Leaves treated

286 with pure water were used as the control. L1 = mean longevity of first instar nymphs; L2 = mean

287 longevity of second instar nymphs; L3 = mean longevity of third instar nymphs; L4 = mean longevity

288 of fourth instar nymphs; Fecundity = mean fecundity per female adult. (DOC)

289

290 S3 Table. Mean value ($\pm$ SE) of fertility parameters of *Aphis glycines* exposed to imidacloprid and

291 thiamethoxam. Means ($\pm$ SE) followed by different letters in the same row are significantly different as

292 calculated using the paired bootstrap test at the $P < 0.05$ level. Leaves treated with pure water were used

293 as the control. (DOC)

294

Acknowledgments

295 This work was supported by the Heilongjiang Science Foundation Project (grant number C2018011),

296 Special Fund for Construction of Modern Agricultural Industry Technology System (grant number

297 CARS-04), and Research and Development of Technology and Products on Nature Enemy Insects

298 Prevent and Control (No: 2017YFD0201000).

299

300   **Author Contributions**

301   All authors conceived research. AZ conducted experiments. AZ, LZ and TL analysed data and conducted
302   statistical analyses. AZ, LH and ZS wrote the manuscript. LH and KZ secured funding. All authors read
303   and approved the manuscript.

References

- 304 Abbas, N., Shad, S. A., & Shah, R. M. (2015). Resistance status of *Musca domestica* L. populations to
305 neonicotinoids and insect growth regulators in Pakistan poultry facilities. *Pak. J. Zool.* 47,
306 1663–1671.
- 307 Basit, M., Saeed, S., Saleem, M. A., Denholm, I., & Shah, M. (2013). Detection of resistance,
308 cross-resistance, and stability of resistance to new chemistry insecticides in *Bemisia tabaci*
309 (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 106, 1414–1422. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ec12414>.
- 310 Bass, C., Puinean, A. M., Andrews, M., Cutler, P., Daniels, M., Elias, J., ... Slater, R. (2011). Mutation
311 of a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor β subunit is associated with resistance to neonicotinoid
312 insecticides in the aphid *Myzus persicae*. *BMC Neurosci.* 12, 51.
313 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-12-51>.
- 314 Bass, C., Denholm, I., Williamson, M. S., & Nauen, R. (2015). The global status of insect resistance to
315 neonicotinoid insecticides. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 121, 78–87.
316 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.04.004>.
- 317 Chi, H., & Liu, H. (1985). Two new methods for the study of insect population ecology. *B. I. Zool.*
318 *Acad.* 24, 225–240.
- 319 Chi, H., & Su, H. Y. (2006). Age-stage, two-sex life tables of *Aphidius gifuensis* (Ashmead)
320 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) and its host *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Homoptera: Aphididae) with
321 mathematical proof of the relationship between female fecundity and the net reproductive rate.
322 *Environ. Entomol.* 35, 10–21.

323 Chi, H. (1988). Life-table analysis incorporating both sexes and variable development rate among
324 individuals. *Environ. Entomol.* 17, 26–34. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/17.1.26>.

325 Chi, H., & Getz, W. M. (1988). Mass rearing and harvesting based on an age-stage, two-sex life table: a
326 potato tuber worm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) case study. *Environ. Entomol.* 17, 18–25.

327 Chi, H. (2012). TWOSEX-MSChart: a computer program for the age-stage, two-sex life table analysis.
328 (<http://140.120.197.173/Ecology/prod02.htm>).

329 Cordero, R. J., Bloomquist, J. R., & Kuhar, T. P. (2007). Susceptibility of two diamondback moth
330 parasitoids, *Diadegma insulare* (Cresson) (Hymenoptera; Ichneumonidae) and *Oomyzus*
331 *sokolowskii* (Kurdjumov) (Hymenoptera; Eulophidae), to selected commercial insecticides. *Biol.*
332 *Control.* 42, 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.04.005>.

333 Feliciangeli, M. D., & Rabinovich, J. (1985). Vital statistics of triatominae (Hemiptera: reduviidae)
334 under laboratory conditions II. *Triatoma maculata*. *J. Med. Entomol.* 22, 43–48.
335 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/22.1.43>.

336 Fisher, R. A. (1993). The genetical theory of natural selection: a complete variorum edition. Oxford
337 University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.

338 Gabre, R. M., Adham, F. K., & Chi, H. (2005). Life table of *Chrysomya megacephala* (Fabricius)
339 (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Acta Oecol.* 27, 179–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actao.2004.12.002>.

340 Goodman, D. (1982). Optimal life histories, optimal notation, and the value of reproductive value. *Am.*
341 *Nat.* 119, 803–823.

342 Gore, J., Cook, D., Catchot, A., Leonard, B. R., Stewart, S. D., Lorenz, G., ... Kerns, D. (2013). Cotton
343 aphid (Heteroptera: Aphididae) susceptibility to commercial and experimental insecticides in the
344 southern United States. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 106, 1430–1439. <https://doi.org/10.1603/ec13116>.

345 Hanson, A. A., Menger-Anderson, J., Silverstein, C., Potter, B. D., MacRae, I. V., Hodgson, E. W., ...
346 Koch, R. L. (2017). Evidence for soybean aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) resistance to pyrethroid
347 insecticides in the upper Midwestern United States. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 110, 2235–2246.
348 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox235>.

349 Hopper, K. R., Lanier, K., Rhoades, J. H., Hoelmer, K. A., Meikle, W. G., Heimpel, G. E., ... Woolley,
350 J. B. (2017). Host specificity of *Aphelinus* species collected from soybean aphid in Asia. *Biol.*
351 *Control.* 115, 55–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2017.09.004>.

352 Isman, M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an
353 increasingly regulated world. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 51, 45–66.
354 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151146>.

355 James, D. G., & Price, T. S. (2002). Fecundity in two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) is
356 increased by direct and systemic exposure to imidacloprid. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 95, 729–732.
357 <https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-95.4.729>.

358 Jan, M. T., Abbas, N., Shad, S. A., & Saleem, M. A. (2015). Resistance to organophosphate, pyrethroid
359 and biorational insecticides in populations of spotted bollworm, *Earias vittella* (Fabricius)
360 (Lepidoptera: noctuidae), in Pakistan. *Crop Prot.* 78, 247–252.
361 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2015.09.020>.

362 Khan, H., Abbas, N., Shad, S. A., & Afzal, M. B. S. (2014). Genetics and realized heritability of
363 resistance to imidacloprid in a poultry population of house fly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera:
364 Muscidae) from Pakistan. *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 114, 38–43.
365 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2014.07.005>.

366 Koo, H. N., An, J. J., Park, S. E., Kim, J. I., & Kim, G. H. (2014). Regional susceptibilities to 12
367 insecticides of melon and cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and a point
368 mutation associated with imidacloprid resistance. *Crop Prot.* 55, 91–97.
369 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2013.09.010>.

370 Lee, C. C. (2000). Sublethal effects of insecticides on longevity, fecundity and behaviour of insect
371 pests: a review. *J. Biosci.* 11, 107–112.

372 Luo, C., Jones, C. M., Devine, G., Zhang, F., Denholm, I., & Gorman, K. (2010). Insecticide resistance
373 in *Bemisia tabaci* biotype Q (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) from China. *Crop Prot.* 29, 429–434.
374 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2009.10.001>.

375 Matsuda, K., Buckingham, S. D., Kleier, D., Rauh, J. J., Grauso, M., & Sattelle, D. B. (2001).
376 Neonicotinoids: insecticides acting on insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Trends. Pharmacol.*
377 *Sci.* 22, 573–580. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-6147\(00\)01820-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-6147(00)01820-4).

378 Matsumura, M., Sanada-Morimura, S., Otuka, A., Ohtsu, R., Sakumoto, S., Takeuchi, H., ... Satoh, M.
379 (2013). Insecticide susceptibilities in populations of two rice planthoppers, *Nilaparvata lugens* and
380 *Sogatella furcifera*, immigrating into Japan in the period 2005-2012. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 70, 615–622.
381 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3590>.

382 Menger, J., Beauzay, P., Chirumamilla, A., Dierks, C., Gavloski, J., Glogoza, P., ... Koch, R. L. (2020).
383 Implementation of a diagnostic-concentration bioassay for detection of susceptibility to
384 pyrethroids in soybean aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 113, 932–939.
385 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toz351>.

386 Nauen, R., & Denholm, I. (2005). Resistance of insect pests to neonicotinoid insecticides: current status
387 and future prospects. *Arch. Insect. Biochem.* 58, 200–215. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.20043>.

388 Nauen, R., Jeschke, P., Velten, R., Beck, M. E., Ebbinghaus-Kintscher, U., Thielert, W., ... Raupach,
389 G. (2014). Flupyradifurone: a brief profile of a new butenolide insecticide. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 71,
390 850–862. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3932>.

391 Ragsdale, D. W., Voegtlin, D. J., & O'neil, R. J. (2004). Soybean aphid biology in North America. *Ann.*
392 *Entomol. Soc. Am.* 97, 204–208. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/97.2.204>.

393 Ragsdale, D. W., Landis, D. A., Brodeur, J., Heimpel, G. E., & Desneux, N. (2011). Ecology and
394 management of the soybean aphid in North America. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 56, 375–399.
395 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120709-144755>.

396 Shi, T. F., Wang, Y. F., Liu, F., Qi, L., & Yu, L. S. (2017). Sublethal effects of the neonicotinoid
397 insecticide thiamethoxam on the transcriptome of the honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *J. Econ.*
398 *Entomol.* 110, 2283–2289. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tox262>.

399 Somar, R. O., Zamani, A. A., & Alizadeh, M. (2019). Joint action toxicity of imidacloprid and
400 pymetrozine on the melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii*. *Crop Prot.* 124, 104850.
401 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.104850>.

402 Srigiriraju, L., Semtner, P. J., & Bloomquist, J. R. (2010). Monitoring for imidacloprid resistance in the
403 tobacco adapted form of the green peach aphid, *Myzus persicae* (Sulzer) (Hemiptera: Aphididae),
404 in the eastern United States. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 66, 676–685. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1929>.

405 Stark, J. D., & Wennergren, U. (1995). Can population effects of pesticides be predicted from
406 demographic toxicological studies? *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88, 1089–1096.
407 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/88.5.1089>.

408 Stark, J. D., Tanigoshi, L., Bounfour, M., & Antonelli, A. (1997). Reproductive potential: its influence
409 on the susceptibility of a species to pesticides. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 37, 273–279.
410 <https://doi.org/10.1006/eesa.1997.1552>.

411 Tao, Y., Phung, D., Dong, F., Xu, J., Liu, X., Wu, X., ... Zheng, Y. (2019). Urinary monitoring of
412 neonicotinoid imidacloprid exposure to pesticide applicators. *Sci. Total Environ.* 669, 721–728.
413 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.040>.

414 Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Xie, W., Wu, Q., & Wang, S. (2016). Sublethal effects of spinetoram on the
415 two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.* 132,
416 102–107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.02.002>.

417 Wang, R. Y., Kritzman, A., Hershman, D. E., & Ghabrial, S. A. (2006). *Aphis glycines* as a vector of
418 persistently and nonpersistently transmitted viruses and potential risks for soybean and other crops.
419 *Plant Dis.* 90, 920–926. <https://doi.org/10.1094/pd-90-0920>.

420 Xie, W., Wang, S., Wu, Q., Feng, Y., Pan, H., Jiao, X., ... Zhang, Y. (2010). Induction effects of host
421 plants on insecticide susceptibility and detoxification enzymes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera:
422 Aleyrodidae). *Pest. Manag. Sci.* 67, 87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2037>.

423 Zhao, Y., Wang, Q., Ding, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, F., ... Mu, W. (2018). Sublethal effects of
 424 chlorfenapyr on the life table parameters, nutritional physiology and enzymatic properties of
 425 *Bradysia odoriphaga* (Diptera: Sciaridae). Pestic. Biochem. Phys. 148, 93–102.
 426 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.04.003>.

428 **Tables**

429 **Table 1.**

430 Toxic effects of imidacloprid and thiamethoxam on newly hatched nymphs of *Aphis glycines*

Insecticide	Concentration (mg a.i./L) (95 % CL) ⁻¹		Slope ± SE	χ^2 (df)
	LC ₅₀	LC ₃₀		
Imidacloprid	4.440 (3.672–5.335)	3.114 (2.425–3.757)	3.402 ± 0.469	0.464 (5)
Thiamethoxam	7.049 (5.394–8.998)	4.184 (2.850–5.460)	2.314 ± 0.339	0.502 (5)

431 SE = Standard error

Table 2.

Mean value ($\pm$ SE) of life history parameters of *Aphis glycines* exposed to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam

Parameters	LC ₃₀			LC ₅₀	
	Control	Imidacloprid	Thiamethoxam	Imidacloprid	Thiamethoxam
L1 (day)	1.23 $\pm$ 0.04c	1.19 $\pm$ 0.05c	1.14 $\pm$ 0.04c	1.88 $\pm$ 0.09a	1.57 $\pm$ 0.11b
L2 (day)	1.2 $\pm$ 0.04b	1.17 $\pm$ 0.05b	1.14 $\pm$ 0.04b	1.43 $\pm$ 0.08a	1.28 $\pm$ 0.07ab
L3 (day)	1.13 $\pm$ 0.05a	1.14 $\pm$ 0.05a	1.15 $\pm$ 0.05a	1.17 $\pm$ 0.06a	1.15 $\pm$ 0.05a
L4 (day)	1.01 $\pm$ 0.01a	1.02 $\pm$ 0.02a	1.02 $\pm$ 0.02a	1.1 $\pm$ 0.05a	1.06 $\pm$ 0.04a
Mean longevity of female adult (day)	11.02 $\pm$ 0.55a	8.95 $\pm$ 0.56b	9.31 $\pm$ 0.62b	8.48 $\pm$ 0.64b	8.62 $\pm$ 0.55b
APOP (day)	0.20 $\pm$ 0.04b	0.05 $\pm$ 0.03c	0.02 $\pm$ 0.02c	1.11 $\pm$ 0.15a	1.07 $\pm$ 0.15a
TPOP (day)	4.66 $\pm$ 0.07c	4.55 $\pm$ 0.08c	4.29 $\pm$ 0.07d	6.68 $\pm$ 0.20a	5.93 $\pm$ 0.18b
Fecundity	42.49 $\pm$ 1.83a	20.91 $\pm$ 1.39b	22.66 $\pm$ 1.60b	13.88 $\pm$ 1.56c	16.02 $\pm$ 1.37c

SE = Standard error. Means ($\pm$ SE) followed by different letters in the same row are significantly different as calculated using the paired bootstrap test at the $P < 0.05$ level. Leaves treated with pure water were used as the control. L1 = mean longevity of first instar nymphs; L2 = mean longevity of second instar nymphs; L3 = mean longevity of third instar nymphs; L4 = mean longevity of fourth instar nymphs; Fecundity = mean fecundity per female adult.

448 **Table 3.**

449 Mean value ($\pm$ SE) of fertility parameters of *Aphis glycines* exposed to imidacloprid and thiamethoxam

Population parameters	LC ₃₀			LC ₅₀	
	Control	Imidacloprid	Thiamethoxam	Imidacloprid	Thiamethoxam
Intrinsic rate of increase (r) (d^{-1})	0.439 $\pm$ 0.008a	0.310 $\pm$ 0.014b	0.341 $\pm$ 0.015b	0.180 $\pm$ 0.019c	0.223 $\pm$ 0.016c
Finite rate of increase (λ) (d^{-1})	1.551 $\pm$ 0.012a	1.363 $\pm$ 0.019b	1.406 $\pm$ 0.021b	1.198 $\pm$ 0.023c	1.250 $\pm$ 0.020c
Net reproductive rate (R_0)	36.54 $\pm$ 2.156a	11.92 $\pm$ 1.303b	13.82 $\pm$ 1.466b	5.55 $\pm$ 0.916c	7.69 $\pm$ 1.028c
Mean generation time (T) (d)	8.20 $\pm$ 0.076b	7.99 $\pm$ 0.107bc	7.71 $\pm$ 0.109c	9.50 $\pm$ 0.219a	9.16 $\pm$ 0.097a

450 Means ($\pm$ SE) followed by different letters in the same row are significantly different as calculated

451 using the paired bootstrap test at the $P < 0.05$ level. Leaves treated with pure water were used as the

452 control.

Figure

Survival rate (l_x)

Fecundity

Figure

Reproductive values (v_{xj})

Figure

Figure