Class II *LBD* genes *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* regulate gibberellin and abscisic acid biosynthesis

Jing Xiong,^{a,2} Xuanjun Feng,^{a,b,2,3} Weixiao Zhang,^a Xianqiu Wang,^a Yue Hu,^a Xuemei Zhang,^a Fengkai Wu,^a Wei Guo,^a Wubing Xie,^a Qingjun Wang,^a Jie Xu,^{a,b} Yanli Lu,^{a,b,4}

^aMaize Research Institute of Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang, Sichuan, 611130, China;

^bState Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Exploration and Utilization in Southwest China, Wenjiang, Sichuan, 611130, China;

¹This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant: 32030078) and the Foundation of international cooperation in science and technology of Chengdu, China (Grant: 2020-GH02-00027-HZ) (to Y.L.), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant: 31801371) and the Applied basic research program of Sichuan province, China (Grant: 2020YJ0350) (to X.F.).

²These authors contribute equally to this work.

³Senior author

⁴Author for contact: Yanli Lu (yanli.lu82@hotmail.com).

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Yanli Lu (yanli.lu82@hotmail.com).

Author Contributions

X.F. and Y.L. designed the research. J.X., W.Z., X.W., Y.H. and X.Z. performed the main part of experiment. X.F., Q.W., W.G., W.X. and F.W. performed the field investigation of plant phenotype. J.X. and Y.L. are responsible for managing materials related to the project. X.F. and J.X. analyzed data and prepared the figures. X.F., J.X. and Y.L. wrote the manuscript.

Class II *LBD* genes *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* regulate gibberellin and abscisic acid biosynthesis

ABSTRACT

Lateral organ boundaries domain (LBD) proteins are plant-specific transcription factors. Class I *LBD* members are widely reported to be pivotal for organ development, however, the role of class II members is unknown in cereal crops. Class II LBD proteins are distinguished from class I by the lack of a Gly-Ala-Ser (GAS) peptide and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain, which is thought to be essential for protein dimerization. In this study, *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* form homo- and hetero-dimers, like class I members. At seedling stage, *ZmLBD5* promoted biomass accumulation (shoot dry weight and root dry weight), root development (root length, root number, and root volume), and organ expansion (leaf area), while *ZmLBD33* repressed these processes and display a dwarf phenotype. Both *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* displayed negative roles in drought tolerance mainly by increasing stomatal density and stomatal aperture. RNA sequencing, gene ontology enrichment analysis, and transient luciferase expression assays indicated that *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* are mainly involved in the regulation of the *TPS-KS-GA2ox* gene module, which comprises key enzymatic genes upstream of GA and ABA biosynthesis. GA₁ content increased in *ZmLBD5*-overexpressing seedlings, while GA₃ and abscisic acid content decreased in both transgenic seedlings. Consequently, exogenous GA₁ or GA₃ undoubtedly rescued the dwarf phenotype of *ZmLBD33*-overexpressing plants, with GA₁ performing better. The study of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* sheds light on the function of the class II *LBD* gene family in maize.

INTRODUCTION

Gibberellins (GAs) and abscisic acid (ABA) are two phytohormones derived from isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP). In plastids, IPP is converted to monoterpenes (C₁₀) and geranylgeranyl diphosphate (C₂₀, GGPP). At GGPP the pathway in plastids branches out in several directions, with separate pathways leading to the *ent*-kaurenoids and GAs (C₂₀), the phytol side-chain of

chlorophyll (C₂₀), phytoene and carotenoids (C₄₀), and the nonaprenyl (C₄₅) side-chain of plastoquinone (Hedden and Sponsel, 2015; He et al., 2020). Thus, manipulation of one of the pathways can have significant effects on the flux through other branches, and any regulation in the pathway upstream of GGPP will affect all branches. In GA biosynthesis, GGPP is first cyclized to *ent*-copalyl diphosphate (CPP) by CPP synthases (CPSs) and then converted to *ent*-kaurene by *ent*-kaurene synthases (KSs) (Hedden and Sponsel, 2015; He et al., 2020). This is followed by oxidative reactions catalyzed by cytochrome P450 oxygenases and 2-oxoglutarate-dependent oxygenases that regulate the dynamic balance between activation and deactivation of GAs (Hedden and Proebsting, 1999; Fu et al., 2016).

GAs and ABA are two of the most studied phytohormones in plants. The essential role of GAs in shoot elongation has been demonstrated clearly in a large number of studies (Spray et al., 1996; Teng et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Nagai et al., 2020). In addition, GAs regulate various aspects of plants, such as flowering (Bao et al., 2020), root development (Zimmermann et al., 2010), seed development (White et al., 2000), and stress response (Colebrook et al., 2014), and the “green revolution” of farming occurred largely owing to the application of *GA20ox* knockout crops (Hedden, 2003). ABA has multiple functions, and it is well known to ameliorate abiotic stress. Under the stress of drought, ABA accumulates rapidly and plays a positive role in drought tolerance by regulating multiple processes at different tiers, such as the expression of ABA-responsive genes, stomatal closure, root growth, and the production of protective metabolites (Mehrotra et al., 2014). ABA is mainly produced from the cleavage of carotenoids (Sponsel and Hedden, 2010; Xiong and Zhu, 2003), which are co-derived from GGPP along with GAs (Sponsel and Hedden, 2010). Thus, the crosstalk between GAs and ABA biosynthesis is universal.

Lateral organ boundaries domain (LBD) proteins are plant-specific transcription factors (Shuai et al., 2002; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). Characteristically, they comprise a cysteine C-block (CX₂CX₆CX₃C) required for DNA-binding activity, a Gly-Ala-Ser (GAS) block, and a leucine zipper-like coiled-coil motif (LX₆LX₃LX₆L) responsible for protein dimerization (Shuai et al., 2002; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). Based on

the conserved domains, LBD genes have been identified and classified into two groups (class I and class II) (Shuai et al., 2002; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Zhang et al., 2014; Yu et al., 2020). Class I, comprising most of the members, is characterized by a GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain, while class II members have no or an incomplete GAS and leucine zipper-like coiled-coil domain. It is thought that these differences underlie the functional diversity between class I and class II members (Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). Class I members have been mostly reported to play an important role in auxin response and plant development (Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011), such as maintaining the indeterminate cell state in the shoot apical meristem (SAM) (Semiarti et al., 2001; Iwakawa et al., 2007), female gametophyte development (Evans, 2007), inflorescence architecture (Bortiri et al., 2006), and formation of seminal and shoot-borne root primordia (Taramino et al., 2007; Majer et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015). In contrast, there are few reports about class II members, and their functions are unclear. The class II *LBD* genes that have been characterized thus far are not involved in development but in metabolism, such as anthocyanin biosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism (Rubin et al., 2009; Albinsky et al., 2010; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011). Although the phylogenetic characteristics of the *LBD* family in maize have been reported (Zhang et al., 2014), there are no reports about the function of class II *LBDs* in cereal crops.

In this study, two class II *LBD* maize genes, *LBD5* and *LBD33*, with high identity (Zhang et al., 2014), were investigated. Here we found homo- and hetero-dimerization in both *LBD5* and *LBD33*. This is at odds with the hypothesis that the GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain is essential for dimerization in LBDs. In addition, *LBD5* and *LBD33* play a role in the regulation of the core module in GA biosynthesis and affect the final output of GAs and ABA. This work may contribute to the initial understanding of the biological function of cereal class II *LBD* genes in cereal crops, and provide a new insight into the response of drought in maize.

RESULTS

LBD5 and LBD33 can form homodimers and heterodimers

Given that the GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain of class I members is essential for protein dimerization and class II members are characterized by the lack of or an incomplete domain, the ability of LBD5 and LBD33 to dimerize was tested. Although LBD5 and LBD33 have no GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain, homo- and hetero-dimerization were clearly detected through Y2H and BiFC assays (Fig. 1, A and B). Additionally, LBD5 and LBD33 displayed weak interactions with the class I member LBD44 (Fig. 1, A and B). To identify the critical domain for dimerization, LBD5 and LBD33 were cleaved optionally at two sites, and six kinds of peptides were obtained for Y2H assays (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Parts A, B, and C represent the N terminal C-block (CX2CX6CX3C), the GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil (LX6LX3LX6L) domain, and the C terminal domain, respectively (Fig. S1A). Unfortunately, we failed to clearly identify which part is responsible for protein-protein interaction (Supplemental Fig. S1B), but we proposed that the C part may have a positive effect on dimerization both in LBD5 and LBD33 (Supplemental Fig. S1B).

Effects of LBD5 and LBD33 on organ development and drought tolerance

To investigate the role of *LBD5* and *LBD33* in plant development or environmental stimuli, first their tissue-specific expression and stimuli responses were profiled. *LBD5* and *LBD33* were widely expressed in various tissues and relatively higher in roots (Supplemental Fig. S2A). Therefore, the response of *LBD5* and *LBD33* to eight phytohormones related to stress response and organ development was investigated in the roots of seedlings. *LBD5* and *LBD33* were induced most strongly by GA₃ and JA, and these stimuli had similar effects (Supplemental Fig. S2B). In addition, responses to PEG, nitrogen deficiency, and phosphorus deficiency were investigated in the root and leaf. *LBD5* and *LBD33* were remarkably induced by PEG-mimic drought stress and nitrogen deficiency in the root. However, responses in the leaf were weak and differed slightly between *LBD5* and *LBD33* (Supplemental Fig. S1, C and D).

LBD5 and *LBD33* were overexpressed, which were called LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) hereafter, in a wild-type maize line KN5585. More than seven

transgenic lines of each gene were constructed and transcript levels of *LBD5* and *LBD33* were measured. Three representative transgenic lines of each gene were used for further study after the detection of expression levels (Supplemental Fig. S3A). Homozygous lines were hybridized with the wild type, and the hybrid F1 progenies were used for phenotype investigation. During germination and the early seedling stage there was no difference between *LBD5*(OE), *LBD33*(OE), and the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S3B). About 6 days after germination, an obvious dwarf phenotype of *LBD33*(OE) was observed. About 12 days after germination, *LBD5*(OE) was noticeably taller than the wild type. Detailed analysis showed that the shoot length, leaf area, and biomass were remarkably affected by the over expression of *LBD5* and *LBD33*, and all of these phenotypic values increased in *LBD5*(OE) but decreased in *LBD33*(OE) (Fig. 3 and Supplemental Fig. S3D). To investigate the change at the micro-level, leaf cell length and width was observed. As expected, cells were longer in *LBD5*(OE) and shorter in *LBD33*(OE) than that in the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S4). Unexpectedly, *LBD33*(OE) plants possessed narrower leaves but wider cells and *LBD5*(OE) possessed wider leaves but narrower cells than the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S4). We thus speculated that the leaf cell number may be lower in *LBD33*(OE) and higher in *LBD5*(OE). To investigate the effects on below-ground parts, seedlings were grown in hydroponic conditions. The root number, primary root length, root surface area, root volume, shoot fresh weight, and root fresh weight were all greater in *LBD5*(OE) and lesser in *LBD33*(OE) than that in the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S3, C and D). However, the difference in root dry weight between *LBD5*(OE), *LBD33*(OE), and the wild type was not significant when grown in soil (Fig. 2B).

Given the strong response of *LBD5* and *LBD33* to PEG-mimic drought stress, wild type and different transgenic lines were grown in separate pots and exposed to water deficiency. Both *LBD5*(OE) and *LBD33*(OE) displayed earlier withering than the wild type, and this effect was more remarkable in *LBD5*(OE) than in *LBD33*(OE) (Fig. 2A). The biomass penalty under water deficient conditions also indicated that *LBD5*(OE) and *LBD33*(OE) were more sensitive to drought stress than the wild type when grown in separate pots (Fig. 2, B-D).

200 However, after anthesis in the field, the ear height and the internode length
201 of lines 5-2 and 5-4 were shorter than that of the wild type (Supplemental Fig.
202 S5C and Table S1). However, the effect of LBD5 on plant height was not clear,
203 because line 5-1 was taller, line 5-2 was shorter, and line 5-4 was similar when
204 compared with the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S5, A and B). The increase in
205 plant height of line 5-1 may be attribute to the larger number of internodes
206 (Supplemental Fig. S5D). Thus, we speculate that LBD5 may have a positive
207 effect on internode number and a negative effect on internode length.
208 LBD33(OE) plants were significantly shorter than the wild type owing to the
209 decrease in internode length but not internode number (Supplemental Fig. S5,
210 A-D and Table S1). Grain yields upon well-watering and drought stress
211 conditions supported the drought sensitive phenotype of LBD5(OE) and
212 LBD33(OE) (Fig. 2, G-I). In addition, *LBD5* and *LBD33* negatively regulate
213 grain yield with different mechanism. *LBD33* decreased ear size and
214 hundred-grain weight simultaneously, while *LBD5* mainly decreased ear size
215 (Fig. 2, G-I and Supplemental Fig. S5, E and F).

216 **LBD5 and LBD33 promote water loss by increasing stomatal density and** 217 **stomatal aperture**

218 To unravel the underlying mechanism by which LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE)
219 increased sensitivity to drought stress, the rates of water loss (RWL) of
220 detached leaves were measured. LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) had significantly
221 higher RWL than the wild type (Fig. 3A). The stronger water loss in LBD5(OE)
222 and LBD33(OE) may have, consequently, resulted in earlier soil drought after
223 the outage of water. Thus, the soil moisture content (SMC) was analyzed.
224 Fifteen days after the outage of water SMC was lowest in LBD5(OE) and
225 remarkably lower in LBD33 (OE) than in the wild type (Fig. 3B). Further, the
226 stomatal density and open-closed-ratio (OCR) were investigated. To measure
227 OCR, leaves were detached from 12-day-old seedlings and dehydrated for 12
228 minutes in original growing conditions. Interestingly, LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE)
229 had higher stomatal density and OCR than the wild type (Fig. 3, C and D and
230 Supplemental Fig. S6A).

231 In addition, stomatal aperture after ABA or H₂O₂ stimulation was investigated.
232 Detached leaves were pretreated with stomatal opening buffer to get the
233 maximum degree of stomatal openness. Then, ABA or H₂O₂ was added, and

stomatal aperture was fixed at different times. The stomatal aperture of LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) was greater than that of wild type, upon both ABA and H₂O₂ treatment (Supplemental Fig. S6, B and C). ABA and H₂O₂ are both powerful stimulators of stomatal closure. These results indicated that overexpression of *LBD5* or *LBD33* could reduce the sensitivity of stomata to ABA and H₂O₂.

To determine if there were other factors that made LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) more sensitive to drought stress, transgenic and wild-type plants were grown in the same pots to test the difference in drought tolerance. LBD5(OE), LBD33(OE), and the wild type withered at almost the same time after the outage of water. However, the penalty of shoot dry weight upon drought stress was greater in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) (Fig. 3E). These results suggest that enhanced water loss from stomata was one cause of drought sensitivity in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) plants.

LBD5 and LBD33 may function in the GGPP-CPP-kaurene/acid-GA metabolic pathway

As transcription factors, overexpression of LBD5 or LBD33 may widely affect the expression of downstream target genes, leading to the final phenotype. Therefore, RNA-seq was performed using 12-day-old seedlings to profile the change of gene expression in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) compared with the wild type. A total of 1844 and 1174 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified in LBD5 (OE) and LBD33(OE), respectively (Fig. 4A and Supplemental Table S2). There were 666 members in the intersection of DEGs between LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE), and almost all of these genes displayed a similar expression change in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) when compared to the wild type (Fig. 4A). Of the 666 shared DEGs, 417 were down regulated (Fig. 4A). When DEGs from LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) were combined, the 3018 total genes could be clustered into 6 groups based on the change in expression level (Fig. 4B). Almost all of the shared 666 genes were found in group 2 or group 5, in which genes displayed a similar expression change in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) compared to the wild type. It is plausible that the similar phenotype of LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) is caused by the 666 shared DEGs or the other members of group 2 and group 5.

Further, DEGs of LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) were used for Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis. Results provided a similar and reliable biologic process for LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE), namely terpenoid biosynthesis (Fig. 4C and Supplemental Table S3). A total of 15 DEGs annotated in terpenoid biosynthesis were mainly involved in the GGPP–CPP–kaurene/acid–GA pathway (Fig. 5A). Five of the six genes involved in GGPP biosynthesis were down-regulated in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) (Fig. 5A). We speculated that those 15 genes may be downstream targets of LBD5 and LBD33.

LBD5 and LBD33 directly regulate the *TPS-KS-GA2ox* gene module

To investigate whether LBD5 and LBD33 directly regulate the transcription of candidate target genes, promoters of the candidate genes were cloned for yeast one-hybrid assays. Firstly, the full length of LBD5 and LBD33 were used for the Y1H assays along with 5 candidate promoters. However, none of the five promoter-driven *LacZ* reporter genes could be activated by LBD5 or LBD33 (Supplemental Fig. S7A). Given that most genes were down-regulated by LBD5 and LBD33, we speculated that full length LBD5 and LBD33 may have transcriptional inhibitory activity and block the activation of reporter gene. Therefore, LBD5 and LBD33 were cleaved optionally at two sites and six kinds of peptides were obtained, as shown in Figure S1A, to test the transcriptional inhibitory activity. Full length LBD5, LBD5-BC, LBD33-B, and LBD33-BC displayed remarkable transcriptional inhibitory activity (Supplemental Fig. S7B). LBD5-AB and LBD33-AB, which contained the C-block for DNA binding, had no transcriptional inhibitory activity. Therefore, LBD5-AB and LBD33-AB were then used for Y1H assays along with 15 promoters. LBD5-AB and LBD33-AB could bind to 9 and 5 promoters, respectively (Fig. 5B).

Given that LBD proteins are plant specific transcription factors, the regulation of 15 candidate target genes by LBD5 and LBD33 was investigated in plant cells. The promoters of candidate genes were cloned to drive the expression of the *LUC* reporter gene. CaMV35S-controlled *LBD5* or *LBD33* was fused with *GFP* and transiently co-expressed with each candidate-promoter-driven *LUC* in tobacco. Compared with the fold-change of transcription level, luciferase enzymatic activity assays showed that 13 and 11 candidate promoters displayed similar behavior upon the overexpression of *LBD5* and *LBD33*, respectively (Fig. 5, A and B). Taking Y1H and

301 dual-luciferase expression assays into consideration, we identified that LBD5
302 and LBD33 directly regulated 7 and 5 of the 15 candidate target genes,
303 respectively.

304 **LBD5 and LBD33 affect seedling size by modulating GA biosynthesis**

305 In plants, ABA is mainly produced from the cleavage of carotenoids (Sponsel
306 and Hedden, 2010; Xiong, 2003), which are co-derived from GGPP along with
307 GAs. Aforementioned results indicated that LBD5 and LBD33 negatively
308 regulate drought tolerance by inhibiting stomatal closure, a process in which
309 ABA is important. Therefore, concentrations of ABA and GAs (the main
310 bioactive form GA₁, GA₃, GA₄, and GA₇) (Hedden and Phillips, 2000), two
311 kinds of phytohormone downstream of GGPP, were measured using
312 12-day-old seedlings. ABA and GA₃ contents were lower in both LBD5(OE)
313 and LBD33(OE) than in the wild type (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, GA₁ content was
314 higher in LBD5(OE) than in the wild type (Fig. 6A). This may explain why
315 LBD5(OE) seedlings were taller than the wild type. However, it is difficult to
316 determine the causal gene from the 15 candidate genes based on the subtly
317 different responses to LBD5 and LBD33 overexpression. Most redox reactions
318 in GA metabolism are catalyzed by cytochrome P450s (He et al., 2020;
319 Hedden and Sponsel, 2015; Sponsel and Hedden, 2010), and 44 cytochrome
320 P450 members were identified in the top enriched GO term (Supplemental
321 Table S3). Thus, the expression change of these 44 members may also
322 contribute to the change in GAs and ABA in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE).

323 GAs widely affect plant development, particularly stem elongation (Spray et
324 al., 1996; Teng et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014; Nagai et al., 2020). To test the
325 causality of GA₁ and GA₃ content on the dwarf phenotype of LBD33(OE)
326 seedlings, exogenous GA₁ and GA₃ were applied in hydroponic conditions.
327 The application of GA₁ and GA₃ remarkably promoted the growth of
328 LBD33(OE) seedlings and reduced the difference between LBD33(OE) and
329 the wild type (Fig. 6A). GA₁ displayed a well phenotypic compensatory effect at
330 0.05 µg/mL (Fig. 6, B and C). However, the effect of GA₃ was weak at 0.05
331 µg/mL, and a higher concentration was needed to better rescue the dwarf
332 phenotype of LBD33(OE) seedlings (Fig. 6, B and C). Therefore, GA₁ may
333 exhibit the dominant effect on shoot growth and elongation at the seedling
334 stage in maize.

DISCUSSION

Class I members of the LBD gene family are involved in the regulation of almost all aspects of plant development, including embryo, root, leaf, and inflorescence development (Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011). However, there are few reports to date on the function of class II members. Characterized class II members, *AtLBD37*, *AtLBD38*, and *AtLBD39*, are not involved in development but in anthocyanin biosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism (Scheible et al., 2004; Rubin et al., 2009; Albinsky et al., 2010; Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011). Here, we investigated the function of two members of the class II *LBD* genes in maize. Multiple lines of evidence suggested that *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* are involved in the regulation of terpenoid metabolism, and consequently determine GA and ABA content, thus affecting plant development and drought response.

Class I members of the LBD protein family are distinguished from class II members by the existence of the GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain (Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). This domain is thought to be required for protein dimerization (Majer and Hochholdinger, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). In this study, LBD5 and LBD33, which are class II members lacking a typical GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain, formed homodimers and heterodimers like class I members, implying the typical GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain is not essential for dimerization. However, no domain responsible for dimerization has been identified in LBD5 and LBD33. Heterodimerization between LBD5 and LBD33 was strong. However, the interaction was weak when LBD44, a class I member, was used to form heterodimers with LBD5 or LBD33. These results imply that similarity between sequences may facilitate dimerization between LBD monomers.

DEGs in *LBD5*- and *LBD33*-overexpressing plants compared to the wild type are perfectly enriched in the same biological process upstream of GA biosynthesis, which was reminiscent of the similar and drastic responses of *LBD5* and *LBD33* to GA₃ treatment. GA₃ content decreased in both LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE), which is consistent with the down-regulation of most DEGs in the GGPP–CPP–kaurene/acid–GA metabolic pathway. In line with the down-regulation of most DEGs, LBD5 and LBD33 have transcriptional inhibitory activity. Application of exogenous GA₁ and GA₃ clearly restored the

dwarf phenotype of LBD33(OE), particularly for shoot length and shoot fresh weight, indicating that decreased GA₁ and GA₃ content are the immediate cause of the dwarf phenotype. Although the expression change of most enriched genes was similar, GA₁ increased in LBD5(OE) and remained unchanged in LBD33(OE), which may explain the taller phenotype of LBD5(OE). GA₁ has been identified in 86 plants, more than any other GA, and studies utilizing single gene dwarf mutants have shown that it is the major bioactive form involved in stem elongation in *Zea mays* and *Pisum sativum* (Sponsel and Hedden, 2010; Spray et al., 1996). Our results also attest that GA₁ has a higher bioactivity, because low concentrations of GA₁ rescued the dwarf phenotype of LBD33(OE) as well as high concentrations of GA₃.

ABA is the most important signal in drought response. Under water deficient conditions, ABA accumulates rapidly and leads to stomatal closure to limit transpirational water loss (Mehrotra et al., 2014). In *LBD5*- and *LBD33*-overexpressing plants, the ABA content was decreased probably due to the down-regulation of genes involved in the biosynthesis of the upstream GGPP. As a result, LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) had larger stomatal apertures and enhanced transpirational water loss compared with the wild type. However, the mechanism by which *LBD5* and *LBD33* reduce the sensitivity of stomata to exogenous ABA and H₂O₂ is not clear.

In addition, *LBD5* and *LBD33* increased stomatal density. Although there are few reports on the involvement of *LBD* genes in the regulation of stomatal density, GID1, a very important receptor of GA, plays a negative role in stomatal density in rice (Du et al., 2015). The *gid1* mutant displays extreme dwarfism, increased stomatal density and decreased stomatal sensitivity to water deficiency (Du et al., 2015). Here, the phenotypes of LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) were similar to that of *gid1*. Further studies are needed to determine if the decrease of GA₃ in LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE) plants mimics *gid1* or if there exists underlying causality.

In conclusion, *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* are mainly involved in the regulation of the *TPS-KS-GA2ox* gene module, which comprises key enzymatic genes upstream of GA and ABA biosynthesis. Subtle differences in the regulation of these genes led to GA₁ accumulation and a taller phenotype in LBD5(OE) seedlings. Overexpression of *LBD5* and *LBD33* inhibited GA₃ and ABA

biosynthesis and resulted in a dwarf phenotype in LBD33(OE) and drought sensitive phenotype in both LBD5(OE) and LBD33(OE). The study of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* sheds light on the function of the class II *LBD* gene family in maize.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant growth and phenotyping

To analyze the expression patterns of LBD5 and LBD33 in different maize tissues, the primary roots and coleoptiles were collected from seedlings germinated for 3 days (VE stage); seminal roots and leaves were collected at the three-leaf stage (V1 stage); and aerial root, stem, ear leaf, husk, silk, immature ears, and tassels were collected from the V13 stage in a maize inbred line (B73) for RNA isolation.

For stress and phytohormone treatment, two-leaf-stage seedlings were transferred to Hoagland nutrient solution in a greenhouse with a 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod at 28°C and grown to the three-leaf-stage. Then, seedlings were subjected to polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG6000) (20% w/v), nitrogen deficiency (0.1 mM nitrogen), phosphorus deficiency (without phosphorus), ABA (10 µM), GA₃ (1 µM), ethephon (50 µM), indoleacetic acid (IAA) (5 nM), jasmonic acid (JA) (20 µM), salicylic acid (SA) (2 mM), 6-benzyl aminopurine (6-BA) (4 µM), or brassinolide (100 nM). For PEG, nitrogen deficiency, and phosphorus deficiency, root and leaf tissues were harvested after 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 48 hours of treatment. For phytohormone treatment, the roots were collected after 0, 1, 3, 6, 12, and 24 hours of treatment. The harvested samples were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and used for RNA isolation.

To analyze the root traits, seedlings were grown in rolled-up germination test paper in nutrient solution. After 15 days, root volume and root surface area were analyzed with WinRhizo Pro 2008a, an image analysis system (Regent Instr. Inc., Quebec, 13 Canada) with a professional scanner (Epson XL 1000; Japan). Root number, primary root length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight and shoot length (the length of the aerial part when the seedling was fully stretched) were measured manually. To analyze the effect of GA₁ and GA₃ on

435 *ZmLBD33*-overexpressing plants, wild type (KN5585) and overexpression line
436 33-4 were germinated and grown in rolled-up germination test paper in nutrient
437 solution containing GA₁ or GA₃ (0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, or 1.0 ng/mL). After 15 days,
438 the shoot length, second leaf area (length × width × 0.75), root fresh weight,
439 and shoot fresh weight were measured manually. Root traits were analyzed
440 with WinRhizo Pro. For each treatment, at least 15 seedlings were used, and
441 each treatment was repeated thrice.

442 For drought stress tests in individual pots, equal volumes of well-mixed soil,
443 containing 200 mL of water, was put in each pot (length × width × height = 10 ×
444 10 × 13 cm), and 5 seedlings of each line were grown in different pots. The
445 control group was well watered, whereas the test group was not watered until it
446 exhibited wilting. The shoot dry weight and root dry weight were measured. To
447 analyze the soil moisture, 1 cm³ soil was sampled from each pot at three
448 different stages (0, 10, and 15 days after planting).

449 For same-pot drought stress tests, larger pots (length × width × height = 54 ×
450 28 × 4 cm) were used. Various transgenic plant lines and wild type plants were
451 grown in the same pot. When test seedlings displayed significant wilting,
452 photographs were taken and shoot dry weight was measured.

453 **Overexpression of *LBD5* and *LBD33***

454 The coding sequence of *ZmLBD5* or *ZmLBD33* was cloned into
455 pCAMBIA3301 between BamH I and Sac I restriction sites and fused with HA
456 and FLAG tags at N- and C- terminals, respectively, and expression was driven
457 by the maize ubiquitin promoter. The vector was introduced into agrobacterium
458 EHA105. Agrobacterium-mediated maize transformation was performed at
459 Weimi Biotechnology (Jiangsu) Co. LTD, and the maize inbred line KN5585
460 was used as a recipient. Basta herbicide (0.3%, [v/v]) and PCR were used to
461 identify transgenic plants.

462 **Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) and bimolecular fluorescence complementation** 463 **(BiFC)**

464 To test whether *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* forms dimer with other LBDs or

itself, the full codon regions of *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33*, and *ZmLBD44* were individually cloned into pGBK-T7 and/or pGAD-T7 vector and fused with BD and/or AD. BD-fused *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33*, or *ZmLBD44* was individually co-transformed with AD-fused *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33*, and empty pGAD-T7 into the Y2HGold yeast strain. Yeast cells harboring pGBK-T7 and pGAD-T7 vectors were diluted to three concentrations and grown on nonselective (SD/-Trp/-Leu) or selective (SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade) medium. A pGBKT7-53 and pGADT7-T combination was used as a positive control (+). A pGBKT7-Lam and pGADT7-T combination was used as a negative control (-). To test the effect of different regions, *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* were segmented into three parts (A: N-terminal C-block domain, B: GAS and leucine-zipper-like coiled-coil domain, and C: C-terminal domain) based on the genome wide analysis of LBD genes in maize (Zhang et al., 2014). For BiFC assays, the full codon regions of *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33*, and *ZmLBD44* were individually cloned into pXYc104 and pXYn106 vectors and fused with the C-terminal (YC) and N-terminal (YN) of YFP. Then, indicated plastid combinations were co-transformed into agrobacterium cells. Transient expression was performed on *N. benthamiana* leaves. Primers and constructions are listed in Supplemental Table S4.

Yeast one-hybrid (Y1H)

To investigate the binding of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* to the promoters of candidate genes, *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD5AB*, *ZmLBD33*, and *ZmLBD33AB* were inserted into the pJG4-5 vector and fused with a TF-activating domain. The promoters (about 2,000-bp) of 15 candidate genes were inserted into pLacZi2u upstream of the lacZ reporter. Then, these vectors were co-transformed into the yeast strain EGY48, screened upon SD Base (without Trp and Ura, with glucose as the carbon source), and validated by PCR. The positive clones were then transferred to another SD Base [without Trp and Ura, replacing glucose with galactose and raffinose, and containing X-gal (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside)] for blue color development (Feng et al., 2016).

To investigate whether *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* have transcriptional

inhibition activity, A, B, C, AB, BC, and full codon regions of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* were amplified and fused with the *Gal4-AD* sequence. Then, the fused fragments were cloned into pGBKT7 and fused with the *Gal4-BD* sequence. *Gal4-AD* was cloned into pGBKT7 and fused with the *Gal4-BD* sequence, and complete *Gal4* was attained and used as a control. The transformation was conducted according to the manual of Yeast Protocols Handbook (Clontech). Primers and constructions are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Transient dual-luciferase expression assays

Reporters were constructed based on the pGreenII 0800-LUC vector and the effectors were constructed based on the pCAMBIA2300-eGFP vector. About 2,000-bp promoter fragments of candidate genes were amplified from B73 genomic DNA by PCR and cloned into pGreenII-LUC to drive the LUC reporter. *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* were cloned into pCAMBIA2300-eGFP and driven by the CaMV35S promoter. The empty vector pCAMBIA2300-eGFP was used as a control. Primers and constructions are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Transient dual-luciferase assays were performed in tobacco leaves. A dual-luciferase assay kit (Vazyme, DL101-01) was used for enzymatic activity measurement. Three independent measurements were carried out for each analysis, and four biological repeats were performed.

Measurement of stomatal density and stomatal aperture

At the three-leaf stage, the middle parts of the last fully expanded leaves were used for stomata measurement. The number of stomata in each microscopic field on abaxial leaf and adaxial leaf were calculated using an Olympus microscope (IX73, Japan) with a 10× objective lens. For each line, ten plants were selected for the counting and three replicates were performed. To investigate the ratio of open and closed stomata after dehydration, abaxial leaves were covered with clear nail polish 12 minutes after detachment. The shape of the stomata is stamped into the nail polish film during the rapid curing. The nail polish film was then stripped off and placed on a glass slide for

microscopic observation with a 100× objective lens. For the assessment of stomatal closure dynamics induced by ABA and H₂O₂, the detached leaves were floated on stomatal opening buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 5.6, 10 mM KCl, and 50 μM CaCl₂) for 3 h under light to induce the stomata to open to the maximum extent. Then, 10 μM ABA or 1 mM H₂O₂ was added to induce stomata closure and stomatal aperture was fixed at different time points using nail polish. Stomatal images were analyzed with ImageJ software to measure the aperture size. More than 30 stomata per sample were measured and each treatment included three replicates.

RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed on the transgenic lines 5-1, 33-5, and the wild type plants. Twelve-day-old seedlings were harvested for total RNA extraction. Sequencing and analysis were entrusted to Beijing Novogene company (<https://www.novogene.com/>) using the Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencing platform.

GA and ABA content

Endogenous GAs (GA₁, GA₃, GA₄, and GA₇) and ABA contents were measured in the overexpression lines 5-1 and 33-4, and in wild-type plants. Approximately 5 g of aerial tissue was harvested from 12-day-old seedlings grown under normal conditions (14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod at 28°C). Measurement of the GA and ABA content was entrusted to Convinced-Test (Nanjing) and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Two biological repeats were prepared, and three technical repetitions were performed for each sample.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Total RNA was isolated with a Plant Total RNA Isolation kit (FOREGENE, RE-05014). Genomic DNA in samples was removed with RNase-free DNase I (Trans, GD201-01). RNA concentration was measured by spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 2000C). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using Prime Script RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, RR047A) from DNase I-treated RNA.

Real-time quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR Green Fast qPCR Mix (ABclonal, RM21203) and a BioRad CFX96 machine. *ZmeF1a* was used as reference gene to normalize the expression of candidate genes. Primers are listed in Supplemental Table S5.

Statistical Analysis

Unless noted otherwise, data are presented as the mean $\pm$ sd. Statistical significance was determined through one-way ANOVA analysis using GraphPad Prism (version 9.0). Variations were considered significant if $P < 0.05$ (*), 0.01 (**) or 0.001 (***).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

Sequence data for genes and proteins presented in this article can be found in the *EnsemblPlants* database under the following accession numbers: *ZmLBD5* (Zm00001d029506), *ZmLBD33* (Zm00001d038717), *ZmLBD44* (Zm00001d023316), *ZmEF1a* (Zm00001d046449). The RNA-seq data was deposited in NCBI with BioProject ID: PRJNA715318.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Peter Hedden from Rothamsted Research, UK for his inspiring work and the valuable suggestions on our research.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Protein-protein interaction analyzed by yeast two-hybrid and bimolecular fluorescence complementation. Full codon region of *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33*, and *ZmLBD44* were used here. (A) The yeast cells harboring the indicated plasmid combinations were grown on nonselective (SD/-Trp/-Leu) or selective (SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade) medium. Cells were diluted in three concentrations from left to

right. (B) *ZmLBD5*, *ZmLBD33* and *ZmLBD44* was individually cloned into pXYc104 and pXYn106 vectors, and fused with C-terminal (YC) or N-terminal (YN) of YFP. The indicated plastid combinations were transiently co-expressed in tobacco.

Figure 2. *ZmLBD5* or *ZmLBD33* over-expressed plants are drought sensitive. (A) Wild type and individual transgenic lines were grown in separate pot exposed to well water or drought stress conditions. Quantitative description the phenotypes of root dry weight (B), shoot dry weight (C), ratio of dry weight between drought stress and well water conditions (D), shoot length (E) and leaf area (F). Ear phenotype (G), grain weight per ear (H), and hundred-grain weight (I) of transgenic plants and wild type in field upon well water and drought conditions. Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared with wild type is significant.

Figure 3. Overexpression of *ZmLBD5* or *ZmLBD33* promotes water loss. (A) Water loss rate of detached leaf. (B) Soil moisture of each pot grown with wild type or different transgenic plants after the outage of water. (C) Stomata number of the third leaf on abaxial surface. (D) The ratio of open and closed stomata 15 minutes after detachment. Represented open and closed stomata were shown in the left panel. (E) Phenotype of seedlings before and after drought stress when different lines of transgenic plants and wild type were grown in the same pot. In panels (A), (B) and (D), if all three lines were significant different with the wild type asterisk was labeled.

Figure 4. RNA-seq indicates *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* function in terpenoid metabolic pathway. (A) Different expressed gene (DEG) number in *LBD5* or *LBD33* overexpressed plants compared with the wild type. The fold change larger than 2 or smaller than 0.5 were determined as DEGs. (B) All of the DEGs were classified into 6 groups by the centered and logarithmic transformed expression levels in wild type, *LBD5* (OE) and *LBD33* (OE). Directed Acyclic Graph of gene ontology enrichment analysis by using DEGs from *LBD5* overexpressed plants (C) and *LBD33* overexpressed plants (D). The four lines of information in each circle represent GO number, function annotation, P-value of GO enrichment significance test, and DEG number/background gene number, respectively. The darker the circle, the higher the enrichment.

Figure 5. ZmLBD5 and ZmLBD33 directly regulate *TPS-KS-GA2ox* gene module. (A) 15 DEGs in the most enriched GO terms in figure 4C and 4D were listed. The expression fold change (FC) was converted to a logarithmic scale with base 2 and shown as different color. TPS: terpene synthase; CPS: *ent*-copalyl diphosphate synthase; KS: *ent*-kaurene synthase; KAO: *ent*-kaurenoic acid oxidase; GA2ox: GA 2-oxidase; GGPP: geranylgeranyl diphosphate; CPP: *ent*-copalyl diphosphate. (B) Y1H testing whether AB-segment of LBD5 and LBD33 bind to the promoters of five candidate genes. The yeast cells harboring the indicated plastid combinations were grown on nonselective (SD/-Ura/-Trp) or color development (SD/-Ura/-Trp/+x-gal) medium. The last four number of the candidate gene name was used to represent corresponding gene. Cells were diluted in three concentrations from left to right. (C) dual-luciferase reporter system was used to investigate the regulation of LBD5/LBD33 on candidate genes. Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared with wild type is significant.

Figure 6. Exogenous GA₁ and GA₃ rescue the dwarf phenotype of *ZmLBD33* overexpressed plants. (A) Endogenous content of GAs and ABA of 12-days-old seedlings. (B) Phenotype and (C) quantitative description of shoot length, leaf area, shoot fresh weight and root fresh weight. Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared with wild type is significant.

Supplemental materials

A

```

LBD5  MRMSCNGCRVLRKGCSDACTI RPCLQW KSPEAQANATVFLAKFYGRAGLLNLLAAPPADHLRPAAFRSLLEYACGRI VN 80
LBD33 MRMSCNGCRVLRKGCSDACTI RPCLQW DSPEAQANATVFLAKFYGRAGLLNLLAAPPDAAARPAVFRSLLEYACGRI VN 80

LBD5  PVYGSVGLLWSGQWQACQAAVEAVLKGDPI VQVQV-- DAASDASPPLGPRR- - - - - HDI RHVARDP- - AAAPDVL- - L 147
LBD33 PVYGSVGLLWSRRWQSCVDAVEAVLKGDPIVQVQVDA GSEAAAAPALLGASRPAAPPAAYDI RHVARDPDAVAAADLLRVA 160

LBD5  RSSSR- - - KRAASSSTSSKH- - - - - QPGSSKRASLGNRQRAEQE- - - - - PVPMVVE- - HGEESAGSHDHQHHRL 211
LBD33 RGGGRRRFKRA GSSNACKAKPLKGKASSNERASPSPTQRQHQQETEELPVPMPVVELEHGEESAGSHDH- - - HLQP 238

LBD5  QGSEEDTDVEAASHAHSHVSAEPEPEPETEPQSHAPACSQQQANQEHQDDDEELALELTLGLEPLVRQRQRPKSSRS 291
LBD33 QGSEEDTDVEAAS- - - HYSQAEAE- - - - - PYSSQSQALVADQ- - EGEEVRLLELTLGFEPVVRQQR- - - LS 297

LBD5  DCDHSGLSAASSLMCLRLQLPA 313
LBD33 CCDSSGLSAASSLI GLRLQLPAA 320

```

B

Figure S1. Investigation of the hetero- and homo- protein-protein interaction of ZmLBD5 and ZmLBD33. (A) Three segments of ZmLBD5 and ZmLBD33 were divided from red arrows indicated two sites. (B) Different segments of ZmLBD5 and ZmLBD33 were cloned into pGBK-T7 and fused with BD. Full codon region of ZmLBD5 and ZmLBD33 were cloned into pGAD-T7 and fused with AD. The yeast cells harboring the indicated plasmid combinations were grown on nonselective (SD/-Trp/-Leu) or selective (SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade) medium. Cells were diluted in three concentrations from left to right.

Figure S2. Expression of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* upon different tissues and different stimuli. (A) Expression of *ZmLBD5* and *ZmLBD33* in different tissues, (B) upon different phytohormones, (C) upon PEG caused osmotic stress, (D) upon nitrogen or phosphorus deficiency. Fold change of expression relative to 0 point of treatment is converted to a logarithmic scale with base 2 and shown as different color in (B), (C), and (D).

Figure S3. Phenotypic investigation of representative transgenic lines upon

674 **hydroponic conditions.** (A) Relative expression of *LBD5* and *LBD33* in different
675 transgenic lines. (B) The primary root length at different time after imbibition. (C)
676 Phenotype and (D) quantitative description of primary root length, root number, root
677 volume, root surface, shoot fresh weight and root fresh weight. Asterisks on bar represent
678 the difference compared with wild type is significant.
679

680
681 **Figure S4. Microscale phenotype of third leaf on adaxial surface, cell length, cell**
682 **width, leaf length and leaf width.** Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared
683 with wild type is significant.

Figure S5. Phenotype of plants after tasseling. (A) Representative plants under well water condition. Plant height (B), Ear height (C), and internode number (D) of transgenic plants and wild type in field upon well water and drought conditions. Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared with wild type is significant.

Figure S6. Stomata number and stomatal aperture upon ABA or H_2O_2 treatment. (A) Stomata number of the third leaf on abaxial surface and adaxial surface. Stomatal aperture of *LBD5* (B) and *LBD33* (C) overexpressed plants after ABA or H_2O_2 treatment. Asterisks on bar represent the difference compared with wild type is significant. In B and C, if all three lines were significant different with the wild type asterisk was labeled.

Figure S7. Yeast one-hybrid and potential transcriptional inhibition activity of LBD5 and LBD33. (A) The yeast cells harboring the indicated plasmid combinations were grown on nonselective (SD/-Ura/-Trp) or color development (SD/-Ura/-Trp/+x-gal) medium. The last four number of the candidate gene name was used to represent corresponding gene. (B) Different segments of LBD5 and LBD33 were fused with Gal4-AD and cloned into pGBK-T7 to fuse with Gal4-BD. The yeast cells harboring indicated construct were grown on nonselective (SD/-Trp) or selective (SD/-Trp/-His/-Ade) medium. Cells were diluted in three concentrations from left to right.

Table S1. internode length of plants after tasseling in field.

Table S2. DEGs in *LBD5*- and *LBD33*- overexpressing plant.

Table S3. Enriched GO terms and gene list in GGPP-CPP-kaurene/acid-GA

711 **metabolism and P450 members.**
712 **Table S4. Plasmid constructs and primers used in this study.**
713 **Table S5. primers for real-time qPCR.**

Parsed Citations

Albinsky D, Kusano M, Higuchi M, Hayashi N, Kobayashi M, Fukushima A, Mori M, Ichikawa T, Matsui K, Kuroda H, Horii Y, Tsumoto Y, Sakakibara H, Hirochika H, Matsui M, Saito K (2010) Metabolomic screening applied to rice FOX Arabidopsis lines leads to the identification of a gene-changing nitrogen metabolism. Mol Plant 3: 125-142

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Bao S, Hua C, Shen L, Yu H (2020) New insights into gibberellin signaling in regulating flowering in Arabidopsis. J Integr Plant Biol 62: 118-131

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Bortiri E, Chuck G, Vollbrecht E, Rocheford T, Martienssen R, Hake S (2006) ramosa2 encodes a LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY domain protein that determines the fate of stem cells in branch meristems of maize. Plant Cell 18: 574-585

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Chen Y, Hou M, Liu L, Wu S, Shen Y, Ishiyama K, Kobayashi M, McCarty DR, Tan BC (2014) The maize DWARF1 encodes a gibberellin 3-oxidase and is dual localized to the nucleus and cytosol. Plant Physiol 166: 2028-2039

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Colebrook EH, Thomas SG, Phillips AL, Hedden P (2014) The role of gibberellin signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress. J Exp Biol 217: 67-75

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Du H, Chang Y, Huang F, Xiong L (2015) GID1 modulates stomatal response and submergence tolerance involving abscisic acid and gibberellic acid signaling in rice. J Integr Plant Biol 57: 954-968

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Evans MM (2007) The indeterminate gametophyte1 gene of maize encodes a LOB domain protein required for embryo Sac and leaf development. Plant Cell 19: 46-62

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Feng XJ, Li JR, Qi SL, Lin QF, Jin JB, Hua XJ (2016) Light affects salt stress-induced transcriptional memory of P5CS1 in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113: E8335-E8343

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Fu J, Ren F, Lu X, Mao H, Xu M, Degenhardt J, Peters RJ, Wang Q (2016) A Tandem Array of ent-Kaurene Synthases in Maize with Roles in Gibberellin and More Specialized Metabolism. Plant Physiol 170: 742-751

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

He J, Xin P, Ma X, Chu J, Wang G (2020) Gibberellin Metabolism in Flowering Plants: An Update and Perspectives. Front Plant Sci 11: 532

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hedden P (2003) The genes of the Green Revolution. Trends Genet 19: 5-9

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hedden P, Phillips AL (2000) Gibberellin metabolism: new insights revealed by the genes. Trends in Plant Science 5: 523-530

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hedden P, Proebsting WM (1999) Genetic Analysis of Gibberellin Biosynthesis. Plant Physiol 119: 365-370

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Hedden P, Sponsel V (2015) A Century of Gibberellin Research. J Plant Growth Regul 34: 740-760

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Iwakawa H, Iwasaki M, Kojima S, Ueno Y, Soma T, Tanaka H, Semiarti E, Machida Y, Machida C (2007) Expression of the ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene in the adaxial domain of Arabidopsis leaves represses cell proliferation in this domain and is critical for the development of properly expanded leaves. Plant J 51: 173-184

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Majer C, Hochholdinger F (2011) Defining the boundaries: structure and function of LOB domain proteins. Trends Plant Sci 16: 47-52

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Majer C, Xu C, Berendzen KW, Hochholdinger F (2012) Molecular interactions of ROOTLESS CONCERNING CROWN AND SEMINAL ROOTS, a LOB domain protein regulating shoot-borne root initiation in maize (Zea mays L.). Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 367: 1542-1551

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Mehrotra R, Bhalothia P, Bansal P, Basantani MK, Bharti V, Mehrotra S (2014) Abscisic acid and abiotic stress tolerance - different tiers of regulation. J Plant Physiol 171: 486-496

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Nagai K, Mori Y, Ishikawa S, Furuta T, Gamuyao R, Niimi Y, Hobo T, Fukuda M, Kojima M, Takebayashi Y, Fukushima A, Himuro Y,

Kobayashi M, Ackley W, Hisano H, Sato K, Yoshida A, Wu J, Sakakibara H, Sato Y, Tsuji H, Akagi T, Ashikari M (2020) Antagonistic regulation of the gibberellic acid response during stem growth in rice. Nature 584: 109-114

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Rubin G, Tohge T, Matsuda F, Saito K, Scheible WR (2009) Members of the LBD family of transcription factors repress anthocyanin synthesis and affect additional nitrogen responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 21: 3567-3584

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Scheible WR, Morcuende R, Czechowski T, Fritz C, Osuna D, Palacios-Rojas N, Schindelasch D, Thimm O, Udvardi MK, Stitt M (2004) Genome-wide reprogramming of primary and secondary metabolism, protein synthesis, cellular growth processes, and the regulatory infrastructure of Arabidopsis in response to nitrogen. Plant Physiol 136: 2483-2499

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Semiarti E, Ueno Y, Tsukaya H, Iwakawa H, Machida C, Machida Y (2001) The ASYMMETRIC LEAVES2 gene of Arabidopsis thaliana regulates formation of a symmetric lamina, establishment of venation and repression of meristem-related homeobox genes in leaves. Development 128: 1771-1783

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Shuai B, Reynaga-Pena CG, Springer PS (2002) The lateral organ boundaries gene defines a novel, plant-specific gene family. Plant Physiol 129: 747-761

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Spray CR, Kobayashi M, Suzuki Y, Phinney BO, Gaskin P, MacMillan J (1996) The dwarf-1 (d1) Mutant of Zea mays blocks three steps in the gibberellin-biosynthetic pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 10515-10518

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Taramino G, Sauer M, Stauffer JL, Jr., Multani D, Niu X, Sakai H, Hochholdinger F (2007) The maize (Zea mays L.) RTCS gene encodes a LOB domain protein that is a key regulator of embryonic seminal and post-embryonic shoot-borne root initiation. Plant J 50: 649-659

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Teng F, Zhai L, Liu R, Bai W, Wang L, Huo D, Tao Y, Zheng Y, Zhang Z (2013) ZmGA3ox2, a candidate gene for a major QTL, qPH3.1, for plant height in maize. Plant J 73: 405-416

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

White CN, Proebsting WM, Hedden P, Rivin CJ (2000) Gibberellins and Seed Development in Maize. I. Evidence That Gibberellin-Absciscic Acid Balance Governs Germination versus Maturation Pathways. Plant Physiol 122: 1081-1088

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xiong L, Zhu JK (2003) Regulation of abscisic acid biosynthesis. Plant Physiol 133: 29-36

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xu C, Luo F, Hochholdinger F (2016) LOB Domain Proteins: Beyond Lateral Organ Boundaries. Trends Plant Sci 21: 159-167

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Xu C, Tai H, Saleem M, Ludwig Y, Majer C, Berendzen KW, Nagel KA, Wojciechowski T, Meeley RB, Taramino G, Hochholdinger F (2015) Cooperative action of the paralogous maize lateral organ boundaries (LOB) domain proteins RTCS and RTCL in shoot-borne root formation. New Phytol 207: 1123-1133

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Yu Q, Hu S, Du J, Yang Y, Sun X (2020) Genome-wide identification and characterization of the lateral organ boundaries domain gene family in Brassica rapa var. rapa. Plant Divers 42: 52-60

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zhang Y-m, Zhang S-z, Zheng C-c (2014) Genomewide analysis of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES Domaingene family in Zea mays. J Genet 93: 79-91

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)

Zimmermann R, Sakai H, Hochholdinger F (2010) The Gibberellic Acid Stimulated-Like gene family in maize and its role in lateral root development. Plant Physiol 152: 356-365

Google Scholar: [Author Only](#) [Title Only](#) [Author and Title](#)