The effect of different exercise training modes on dentate gyrus neurodegeneration and synaptic plasticity in morphine-dependent rats

Kamal Ranjbar^{1,2}, Ebrahim Zarrinkalam^{3**}, Sara Soleimani Asl⁴, Iraj Salehi¹, Masoumeh Taheri¹,
Alireza Komaki^{1*}

¹ Neurophysiology Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

² Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Bandar Abbas Branch, Islamic Azad University, Bandar Abbas, Iran.

³ Department of Physical Education, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan Branch, Hamedan, Iran.

⁴ Anatomy Department, School of Medicine, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

* Corresponding author: Prof. Alireza Komaki, Neurophysiology Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

E-mail addresses: alirezakomaki@gmail.com, Komaki@umsha.ac.ir

URL: <http://umsha.ac.ir> (A. Komaki).

ORCID ID: [0000-0003-3865-9583](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3865-9583)

** Second Corresponding author: Dr. Ebrahim Zarrinkalam, Department of Physical Education, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Islamic Azad University, Hamedan Branch, Hamedan, Iran.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Various impacts of exercise on brain performance have been documented following morphine dependence induction; however, the underlying neuronal mechanisms remain unclear. The present research was done to investigate the impact of different exercise training modes on neuronal maturation, and synaptic plasticity in the perforant pathway (PP)-dentate gyrus (DG) synapse in the morphine-dependent rats. Five groups, including a control group (Con, ten healthy rats) and forty morphine-dependent rats were considered as follows (n=10/group): 1) sedentary-dependent (Sed-D); 2) endurance exercise-dependent (En-D); 3) strength exercise-dependent (St-D); and 4) concurrent exercise-dependent (Co-D). The exercise training groups were subjected to endurance, strength, and concurrent training 5 days per week for 10 weeks. After training sessions, the field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) slope and population spike (PS) amplitude in DG were determined in response to high-frequency stimulation (HFS) of the PP. For assessing neurogenesis NeuroD level was evaluated after performing all experiments. Concurrent training increased PS amplitude and EPSP than the control group. NeuroD in the morphine-dependent rats significantly decreased, but concurrent training returned the NeuroD to the healthy rat level. Concurrent training can ameliorate synaptic plasticity impairment in morphine-dependent rats through neurogenesis promotion. According to the results, concurrent training can be an appropriate novel candidate for treating opioid addiction.

Keywords: Exercise training; Synaptic plasticity; Hippocampus; Morphine addiction; Neurogenesis; NeuroD

Introduction

It has been shown that morphine addiction reduces learning and memory abilities and disrupts dentate gyrus (DG) synaptic plasticity via changes in synaptic transmission effectiveness [1-3].

In this regard, several studies have recently indicated that acute morphine exposure can modify the nervous system [4, 5], and hippocampal synaptic plasticity retrogression can be observed in those addicted to morphine [6]. It should be noted that repeated exposure to opioids can induce oxidative stress-related neurodegeneration, apoptosis [7], and neurogenesis [8], as well as a reduction in expression levels of postsynaptic density protein 95 (PSD-95), leading to a decrease in synapse efficacy and hippocampus function and impairment of the related behaviors [9]. However, the underlying neuronal mechanisms of learning and memory deficit following morphine dependence remain unknown [3].

Long-term potentiation (LTP) has been introduced as an experimental model to assess activity-dependent synaptic plasticity and also has been known as a cellular mechanism for learning and memory formation [3]. It has been suggested that morphine dependence impairs LTP induction in the rat hippocampal region [6, 10, 11]. Reversing or preventing LTP reduction following morphine dependence may ameliorate brain function.

Regular exercise training is an alternative therapeutic strategy to reverse or prevent pathological changes observed in morphine-dependent rats. Exercise training increase memory function in humans [12, 13] and animals [14]. It is beneficial for the hippocampus and attenuates memory impairment in morphine-dependent rats [15]; however, a few studies have been conducted on the type of exercise training. In this regard, Lin et al. demonstrated that various kinds of exercise can exert differential impacts on memory and neuronal compatibility in several brain areas [16]. Our

previous study showed the effectiveness of different kinds of exercise on learning and memory function [17]. However, it is still unknown why different types of exercise can result in various impacts on brain function following induction of morphine dependence and the most effective exercise type (endurance, strength, or concurrent (the combination of both endurance and resistance)) on LTP amelioration has not yet been found in morphine-dependent rats.

Neurogenesis is the main determinant of synaptic plasticity; thus, this study aimed at investigating the impacts of different exercise training modes on neurogenesis, and LTP in the perforant pathway (PP)-DG granule cell synapse of the morphine-dependent rats. We also tried to identify the most effective type of exercise training in LTP impairments due to morphine injection in male rats.

Materials and Method

Animals

Fifty 6-8-week old adult male Wistar rats weighting 150-180 g were kept in polypropylene cages (50 × 26 × 25 cm) (five per cage) in an air-conditioned room (21-23°C) with 12-h light-dark cycle (lights on from 08:00 to 20:00) at 55 ± 3% humidity. Food and water were freely accessible. The rats were purchased from the Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran. All experiments were conducted based on the National Institutes of Health and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publication 80-23, 1996). The Ethical Committee of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences confirmed the research protocol.

Morphine Dependence Induction

Initially, drinking water was added to 0.1 mg/mL of morphine sulfate (Temad Company, Iran) for two consecutive days; 0.2 mg/mL was added on the third and fourth days, 0.3 mg/mL on the fifth

and sixth days, and 0.4 mg/mL on the seventh to twenty-first days. Sucrose (40 mg/mL) was added to eliminate the bitter taste of the morphine sulfate in the first two weeks of the research [17]. It should be noted that the control group received water (30-50 mL/rat) without sucrose.

Withdrawal rating scale

To examine morphine dependence, 1 mg/kg of naloxone hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was administered via intraperitoneal (IP) injection one day after morphine treatment. After the injection of naloxone, the rats were placed in a specific quiet room and their behavior was noted for 30 min through a rater blinded to the study protocol and their withdrawal signs (wet-dog shakes, genital licks, circles, rearing, and jumping) were monitored and scored based on the modified version of Gellert-Holtzman scale [18].

Experimental design

When morphine dependence was confirmed by the naloxone test, a day later, the animals were assigned into five groups (10 animals per group): 1) sedentary-dependent (Sed-D), 2) endurance exercise-dependent (En-D), strength exercise-dependent (St-D), concurrent exercise dependent (Co-D), and 5) control (healthy; Con) groups. The training schedule was performed in two stages: adaptation and exercise. Adaptation (running on the treadmill for aerobic training and climbing up from a ladder in resistance training) lasted for one week (3 sessions, each session lasted 10 min). Figure 1 illustrates the experimental timeline and Table 1 presents the general features of the experimental groups.

Exercise training

Endurance training: Endurance exercise-dependent rats performed endurance training. After the adaptation stage, the subjects in the aerobic training groups were subjected to running on a treadmill with eight individual lanes for ten weeks (5 days per week). Intensity and duration of the exercise gradually increased from the first week of training (10m/min/5° incline/10 min) to the tenth week (30 m/min/12° incline/60 min).

Resistance training: Strength exercise-dependent group rats performed resistance training that was started 3 days after adaptation. Resistance training was conducted according to the Philippe et al. method [19] after some changes. In this group, the rats were trained to climb a 1 m high ladder at an 85° incline, 12 times per session (5 days per week) for 10 weeks. Animals were located at the bottom of ladder and forced for ladder climbing using tweezers (tweezers were used from primitive sessions), while the weights in a cloth bag were hung up to their tails. It should be noted that after a few sessions, the rats instinctively climbed up the ladder without any tension. In the beginning, the weights were 50% of the rats' body mass followed by a gradual increase to 130% within 10 weeks (1st and 2nd weeks: 50-60%; 3rd to 5th weeks: 70-90%; 6th to 8th weeks: 100-110%; and 9th to 10th weeks: 110-130%). The rats were weighed every two weeks. The exercise sessions included three sets of four repetitions with an interval of 15 s and 3 min during repetitions and sets, respectively. The rats could rest when they reached the top in a compartment on the top of the ladder.

Concurrent training: Concurrent exercise-dependent rats were subjected to the combined resistance-endurance training based on our recently published protocol [17] in half of each session. The climbing procedure included two sets of three repetitions followed by running on a treadmill for 30 min (30 m/min) [20]. Similar to the other two training groups, the intensity and duration of the exercise were gradually increased.

Surgical procedures

The methodologies used for surgeries were similar to previous studies published by our laboratory [21-23]. In brief, anesthetization was done 3 days after the last exercise session, using urethane administration intraperitoneally (1.5 g/kg, Sigma-Aldrich, USA, supplemental administrations as needed). For surgical procedures, implanting electrodes, and field potential recording, the animal's head was fixed in the stereotaxic device (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL, USA). For maintaining the rat's body temperature at $36.5 \pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$, a heating pad, which should be electrically shielded was employed during the experimental procedures. Small burr holes were made in the skull with a drill after making the initial skin incision. In the next step, implantation of the two bipolar Teflon-coated stainless steel electrodes (not for tips) with a diameter of 125 μm into the skull was done (Advent Co., UK). Electrode positioning was as follows [24]: placing the stimulating electrode into the PP (coordinates: 8.1 mm posterior to the bregma, 4.3 mm lateral to the midline, and 3.2 mm ventral below the skull) and the recording electrode into the granule cells of the DG (coordinates: 3.8 mm posterior to bregma and, 2.3 mm lateral to the midline) after observing the maximal field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) (commonly 2.7–3.2 mm ventral). For preventing brain tissue injuries, we lowered the electrodes very gradually (0.2 mm/min) from cortex to hippocampus.

Through the electrophysiological monitoring system, accurate ventral electrode positioning was achieved, in which the DG-evoked responses after a single-pulse PP stimulation are monitored.

Electrophysiological recording and hippocampal LTP recording protocol

As described in our previous studies [21, 25, 26], the current input/output specifications were determined via PP stimulation for calculating the stimulus intensity for all rats (40% maximal

population spike (PS)). Accordingly, a single pulse of 0.1 ms (0.1 Hz) was given using a constant-current stimulus isolation unit (A365; WPI, USA). Granular cells of the DG stimulated by PP were used for recording field potential. PP was given the test stimuli per 10 s. The positioning of the electrodes was for obtaining the greater PS and fEPSP amplitude. High-frequency stimulation (HFS) protocol was applied for LTP induction after a steady-state baseline response (about 40 min) using the following characteristics: 10 bursts of 20 stimuli at 400 Hz, the length of 0.2 ms, and an interburst interval of 10 s. The HSF was adjusted at the stimulus intensity to evoke PS amplitude and fEPSP slope of about 80% of the maximum response. For determining the alterations in the synaptic response of DG cells, fEPSP, as well as PS, were noted 5, 30, and 60 min after HFS. Ten continuous evoked responses were averaged with an interval of 10 s at each time point.

Using homemade software, the stimuli characteristics were determined (eTrace, www.sciencebeam.com). They were transferred through a data acquisition board connected to the A365 constant-current isolator unit before giving to the PP by stimulus electrodes. The resulted field potential response arisen from DG was moved through a preamplifier. It was then amplified (1000×) (Differential amplifier DAM 80 WPI, USA) and filtered (bandpass: 1-3 kHz). This was also digitized at 10 kHz and could be observed on a computer. The obtained values were recorded in a file for facilitating further offline analysis.

Immunohistochemistry

Neuronal differentiation factor NeuroD (also known as Beta2) is a proneural basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor involved in the increased neuronal survival, proliferation, and differentiation to neural progenitor cells in the DG of the hippocampus [27]. Neurogenesis includes

three stages: cell differentiation, proliferation, and survival [28]. It is well established that NeuroD is involved in embryonic and postnatal neurogenesis [29]. For determining NeuroD-positive cells, briefly, intracardial perfusion of the rat's brain (5 rats in each group) was first carried out by PBS, and then 4% paraformaldehyde in fetal bovine serum (FBS) (0.1 M, pH 7.4). The animal brain was quickly removed and fixed by immersion in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 72 h. Its materials were dehydrated in ascending alcohol series, and after xylene, baptist wax, and paraffin embedding, using MICROM HM 500 OM microtome, the hippocampal tissue blocks were separated into 5 µm sections. They were then washed three times within 5 min via 1xTBS pre-incubation using a blocking solution composed of 10% normal serum and 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS at room temperature (1 h). After deparaffinization and rehydration, the sections were immunostained by goat polyclonal anti-NeuroD (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, 1:200) to visualize NeuroD-positive cells. The sections then washed three times again in 5 min with 1x TBS, followed by exposure to corresponding biotinylated secondary antibody (rabbit anti-goat IgG) at a dilution of 1: 200 (Vector) and Cy3-conjugated streptavidin at a dilution of 1: 100 (Sigma) within 60 min at 25°C. In the next stage, they were subjected to washing in 1x TBS and mounted on slides. The number of the dark brown cells expressing NeuroD in the hippocampal DG was counted using the Motic Advanced Plus 2 software after taking digitized images by Olympus BX53 microscope (Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan), in three to five different fields per section at an absolute magnification of 400X, and five rats per group were examined.

Histology

At the end of the experiments, using brain sections, the stimulating and recording areas in the hippocampus were histologically approved. In the final stage, anesthesia was induced by urethane

followed by perfusion through formol saline [30]. The samples were cut into 50 μm thick serial sections and were subjected to Hematoxylin-Eosin (H&E) staining for histological confirmation and also the validation of the position of the electrode tip [21, 31] (Fig. 2).

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed by the GraphPadPrism® 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc, USA). Data are shown as the mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and 5 $\times$ 4 (group $\times$ time) mixed-plot factorial repeated-measures ANOVA were applied to analyze the data. We employed the Tukey post-hoc test to compare the experimental groups. P-values of less than 0.05 were regarded as significant.

Results

Withdrawal score

Statistical analyses showed that the withdrawal score was different between groups ($F_{(4,45)}=14.8$, $p<0.001$). Withdrawal score in morphine-dependent rats was more compared with healthy rats ($p<0.0001$). These results suggest that exposure to morphine was effective. On the other hand, there were no significant differences between dependent rats ($p>0.05$). Figure 3 shows withdrawal scores in the experimental groups.

Measurement of evoked potentials

As shown in Figure 4, the changes in two components of each field potential, EPSP and PS, were recorded. The PS amplitude was evaluated from the peak of the initial positive deflection of the evoked potential to the peak of the next negative potential. Measurement of the fEPSP slope functional role was based on the slope of the line, by which the beginning of the first positive

deflection of the evoked potential is connected to the peak of the second positive deflection, as we previously described [22, 32]. PP stimulation in the healthy and the morphine-dependent rats resulted in fEPSP slope as well as PS amplitude in the DG granular cells (Fig. 4).

HFS impact on the EPSP slope

Different exercise training effects on the HFS-induced EPSP slope and PS amplitude in the PP-DG region of the hippocampus in the healthy and the morphine-dependent rats are shown in Figures 5 and 6. The results of mixed-plot factorial repeated-measures ANOVA for EPSP slope indicated that there was a significant difference in terms of time ($F_{(3,135)}=327.5$, $p<0.0001$) and also a significant interaction was found between groups and time ($F_{(12,135)}=16.4$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 5). Based on the findings, there was a significant difference among the experimental groups ($F_{(4,45)}=32.3$, $p<0.0001$). In all groups, the highest increase in the HFS-induced EPSP slope was seen 5 min after HSF. The intragroup comparison demonstrated no significant difference in the EPSP in the Sed-D and control groups. In addition, no significant difference was observed in EPSP levels in the En-D group compared with the control and the Sed-D groups. Also, strength and concurrent training strongly increased HFS-induced EPSP in the PP-DG region of the hippocampus than the control, Sed-D, and En-D groups ($p<0.0001$). These results showed that concurrent and strength training, unlike aerobic training, can increase synaptic transmission and synaptic plasticity in the DG granular cells.

HFS impact on the PS amplitude

As shown in Figure 6, statistical analysis on PS amplitudes showed significant effects of time ($F_{(3,135)}=627.5$, $p<0.0001$) and also the group and time were found to be significantly correlated (F

($_{12,135}$)=10.2, $p<0.0001$). The LTP of PS amplitudes in DG granular cell synapses in responses to PP stimulation following an HFS was different between the experimental groups ($F_{(4,45)}=17.7$, $p=0.0001$). Also, 5 min after HFS, enhancement in the PS amplitude was greatest in all experimental groups. The changes in PS amplitudes were higher in the concurrent training group. Based on the results of post hoc analysis, chronic morphine addiction had no effects on PS amplitude in the hippocampus. These results showed that chronic morphine addiction had no effect on the magnitude of LTP in the CA1 region of hippocampal slices compared with healthy rats. On the other hand, no significant difference was found in the PS amplitude in the En-D group in comparison with the control and Sed-D groups. Accordingly, strength training significantly increased PS amplitude than that of the control, Sed-D, and En-D groups ($p<0.01$). However, PS amplitudes in the rats subjected to the concurrent training were higher compared with the other experimental groups ($p<0.01$). These results showed that concurrent training is more effective in the promotion of excitatory synapses efficiency.

NeuroD-positive cell numbers

As shown in Figure 7-A-F, the number of NeuroD-positive cells, as an indicator for newborn immature neurons, was different among the experimental groups ($F_{(4,20)}=14.8$, $P=0.0001$). In this regard, a significant reduction was found in the Sed-D rats than the healthy group ($p=0.0001$). For each animal, the average number of dark brown cells representing the expression of NeuroD- was obtained by counting 5 serial sections. The number of NeuroD1-expressing cells per mm^2 in healthy rats was 22 ± 1 and in the Sed-D group was 13 ± 1 . This result showed that chronic opiate exposure could significantly decrease neurogenesis ($p<0.0001$). In this regard, a significant increase was observed in the number of NeuroD-positive cells after 10 weeks of concurrent training compared with the Sed-D group ($p=0.002$). Endurance and strength training increased

NeuroD-positive cell numbers than the Sed-D group, but it was not significant ($p=0.06$ and $p=0.29$, respectively). These results indicated that concurrent training unlike aerobic and strength training promotes neurogenesis. The obtained results showed that 10 weeks of concurrent training attenuated deficit in neurogenesis due to morphine addiction by increasing the number of NeuroD-positive cells in the hippocampus.

Discussion

This research aimed at investigating the possible various effects of different exercise modes on LTP in the PP-DG granule cell synapse of the morphine-dependent rats in vivo. Briefly, according to the findings, although morphine addiction reduced NeuroD expression level, it had no effects on EPSP slope and SP amplitude, as indices of enhanced synaptic efficacy in DG granular cell synapses in responses to PP stimulation following an HFS. These results showed that morphine addiction did not lead to maladaptive changes in hippocampal plasticity.

The effect of morphine on LTP has been a controversial issue among researchers. Although it has been described with ameliorating effects [33], in other studies, it has been indicated with destructive effects [6, 10]. The chronic morphine administration in rats resulted in a significant decrease in the capacity of LTP in the CA1 hippocampal synapses through the drug withdrawal stage (from approximately 190% in the control to approximately 120%) [6]. It has been shown that the chronic administration of morphine (10 mg/kg at 12 h intervals for 10 days) caused an increase in the average basal EPSP and augmented LTP of the PS [33]. Salmanzadeh et al. indicated no changes in the fEPSP derived from dependent samples than the control group. This finding is in line with our results. Besides, the LTP of fEPSP in the CA1 area showed no difference after chronic morphine injection [34]. These contradictory results can be caused by the differences in

the protocols of the studies. Moreover, previous studies have shown that the tetanus-induced synaptic plasticity may include various magnitudes or directions at any time point following withdrawal [10].

Exercise training promotes brain function, but its underlying neural mechanism has not yet been found [33]. We found that the EPSP slope and PS amplitude following HFS increased in the concurrent and strength training groups than the control group, which is in line with the findings of previous studies indicating that exercise training can revert the memory impairments induced by morphine [15, 17]. Therefore, the findings of our study suggested that exercise training can ameliorate cognitive function impairments caused by morphine dependence through LTP promotion.

In agreement with previous studies, our findings showed that concurrent training can promote synaptic plasticity in the hippocampal DG by increased NeuroD expression level. In this respect, it has also been indicated that exercise training can reduce apoptosis as well as stress oxidative and promote the expression of neurotrophic factors, cell proliferation, neurogenesis, and astrocytic plasticity [33, 35]. In addition, exercise training facilitates the induction of LTP by lowering the LTP induction threshold [33]. Regular exercise training prevents the decrement of the hippocampal DG neuron numbers induced by the injection of morphine through a brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)-mediated mechanism [36].

The most remarkable achievement of our study was that different exercise training programs have different effects on the hippocampus synaptic plasticity. Our results showed that unlike endurance training, strength, and concurrent training significantly increased LTP. It should be noted that different types of exercise can cause various impacts on brain function [37]. The effect of short-term aerobic exercise training (continuous and interval) on synaptic plasticity has been studied in

a study at two different volumes. The results have demonstrated that there was an increase in the LTP calculated in the CA1 region only in animals trained with the interval 50% volume exercise compared with the sedentary group [38]. However, the exact causes of such changes are not clear. According to our findings, different impacts of various training modes on neuronal development can be considered as the main reason for such differences in LTP induction.

Our findings showed that the different effects of exercise modes on LTP were due to differences in the magnitude of the effect on neurogenesis. EPSP slope and PS amplitude 60 min after HFS in the Co-D group were 30% and 31% higher compared with the Sed-D group. These changes in percentage in response to concurrent training were higher compared with those observed in the strength (EPSP 23%, PS 16%) and endurance (EPSP 5%, PS -3%) training. However, the magnitude of neurogenesis promotion in the concurrent group was more compared with the endurance and strength groups (30%, 15%, and 92% promotion in the number of NeuroD-positive cells in response to endurance, strength, and concurrent training, respectively than the Sed-D group). Therefore, the effect of concurrent training on synaptic plasticity is dependent on neurogenesis amelioration.

Several complex mechanisms have been proposed for such differences, including differences in the density and/or distribution of NMDA receptors, mu-receptors, other kinds of glutamate receptors, intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration, and calmodulin-binding protein in the CA1 and DG areas. Furthermore, various exercise training types can protect the nervous system by the reduced oxidative stress as well as the improved antioxidant system and brain plasticity [39]. It has been reported that different training loads have remarkable influences on the cognition, emotion, and mental status of rats, and can affect the mRNA and protein expressions of NMDARs, PSD-95, and kinesin family member 17 (KIF-17) in rats [40]. In addition, cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 has a

pivotal role in long-term synaptic plasticity modulation in the hippocampal PP-DG synapses [41]. Kruger et al. recently reported that the COX-2 expression induction is more obvious following strength training [42].

In summary, concurrent training prevents morphine-induced neurodegeneration via a rise in neurogenesis in the hippocampus DG of rats resulting in synaptic plasticity enhancement. Therefore, exercise training may be an appropriate novel candidate for treating opioid addiction.

Acknowledgments

The staff of the Neurophysiology Research Center is appreciated for their cooperation.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Iraj Salehi, Alireza Komaki.

Data curation: Kamal Ranjbar, Ebrahim Zarrinkalam, Masoumeh Taheri.

Formal analysis: Sara Soleimani Asl, Alireza Komaki.

Funding acquisition: Iraj Salehi, Alireza Komaki.

Investigation: Kamal Ranjbar.

Methodology: Sara Soleimani Asl, Alireza Komaki.

Project administration: Iraj Salehi, Alireza Komaki.

Software: Ebrahim Zarrinkalam, Masoumeh Taheri.

Supervision: Alireza Komaki, Iraj Salehi.

Writing – original draft: Kamal Ranjbar.

Writing – review & editing: Ebrahim Zarrinkalam, Iraj Salehi, Alireza Komaki.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Famitafreshi H. Long-term morphine addiction reduces neurogenesis and memory performance and alters emotional reactivity and anxiety levels in male rats. 2015.
2. Yong Z, Yan L, Dong Z, Wang X, Su R, Gong Z. The effect of chronic thienorphine administration on long-term potentiation and synaptic structure in rat hippocampus. *Synapse*. 2013;67(11):779-85.
3. Han H, Dong Z, Jia Y, Mao R, Zhou Q, Yang Y, et al. Opioid addiction and withdrawal differentially drive long-term depression of inhibitory synaptic transmission in the hippocampus. *Scientific reports*. 2015;5.
4. Yang Y, Zheng X, Wang Y, Cao J, Dong Z, Cai J, et al. Stress enables synaptic depression in CA1 synapses by acute and chronic morphine: possible mechanisms for corticosterone on opiate addiction. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2004;24(10):2412-20.
5. Haghparast A, Soltani-Hekmat A, Khani A, Komaki A. Role of glutamatergic receptors located in the nucleus raphe magnus on antinociceptive effect of morphine microinjected into the nucleus cuneiformis of rat. *Neuroscience letters*. 2007;427(1):44-9.
6. Pu L, Bao G-B, Xu N-J, Ma L, Pei G. Hippocampal long-term potentiation is reduced by chronic opiate treatment and can be restored by re-exposure to opiates. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2002;22(5):1914-21.
7. Mattei V, Martellucci S, Santilli F, Manganelli V, Garofalo T, Candelise N, et al. Morphine Withdrawal Modifies Prion Protein Expression in Rat Hippocampus. *PloS one*. 2017;12(1):e0169571.
8. Eisch AJ, Barrot M, Schad CA, Self DW, Nestler EJ. Opiates inhibit neurogenesis in the adult rat hippocampus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2000;97(13):7579-84.
9. Yao W-D, Gainetdinov RR, Arbuckle MI, Sotnikova TD, Cyr M, Beaulieu J-M, et al. Identification of PSD-95 as a regulator of dopamine-mediated synaptic and behavioral plasticity. *Neuron*. 2004;41(4):625-38.
10. Salmanzadeh F, Fathollahi Y, Semnanian S, Shafizadeh M. Dependence on morphine impairs the induction of long-term potentiation in the CA1 region of rat hippocampal slices. *Brain research*. 2003;965(1):108-13.
11. Sarkaki A, Assaei R, Motamedi F, Badavi M, Pajouhi N. Effect of parental morphine addiction on hippocampal long-term potentiation in rats offspring. *Behavioural brain research*. 2008;186(1):72-7.
12. Rogge A-K, Röder B, Zech A, Nagel V, Hollander K, Braumann K-M, et al. Balance training improves memory and spatial cognition in healthy adults. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1):5661.

13. Chang H, Kim K, Jung Y-J, Kato M. Effects of Acute High-Intensity Resistance Exercise on Cognitive Function and Oxygenation in Prefrontal Cortex. *Journal of exercise nutrition & biochemistry*. 2017;21(2):1.
14. Araujo PCO, Quines CB, Jardim NS, Leite MR, Nogueira CW. Resistance exercise reduces memory impairment induced by monosodium glutamate in male and female rats. *Experimental Physiology*. 2017.
15. Miladi-Gorji H, Rashidy-Pour A, Fathollahi Y, Akhavan MM, Semnanian S, Safari M. Voluntary exercise ameliorates cognitive deficits in morphine dependent rats: the role of hippocampal brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Neurobiology of learning and memory*. 2011;96(3):479-91.
16. Lin T-W, Chen S-J, Huang T-Y, Chang C-Y, Chuang J-I, Wu F-S, et al. Different types of exercise induce differential effects on neuronal adaptations and memory performance. *Neurobiology of learning and memory*. 2012;97(1):140-7.
17. Zarrinkalam E, Heidarianpour A, Salehi I, Ranjbar K, Komaki A. Effects of endurance, resistance, and concurrent exercise on learning and memory after morphine withdrawal in rats. *Life sciences*. 2016;157:19-24.
18. Gellert VF, Holtzman SG. Development and maintenance of morphine tolerance and dependence in the rat by scheduled access to morphine drinking solutions. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 1978;205(3):536-46.
19. Philippe AG, Py G, Favier FB, Sanchez AM, Bonniieu A, Busso T, et al. Modeling the responses to resistance training in an animal experiment study. *BioMed research international*. 2015;2015.
20. Rech A, Radaelli R, De Assis AM, Fernandes JR, Longoni A, Vozari-Hampe MM, et al. The effects of strength, aerobic, and concurrent exercise on skeletal muscle damage in rats. *Muscle & nerve*. 2014;50(1):79-86.
21. Nazari M, Komaki A, Salehi I, Sarihi A, Shahidi S, Komaki H, et al. Interactive effects of AM251 and baclofen on synaptic plasticity in the rat dentate gyrus. *Brain research*. 2016;1651:53-60.
22. Komaki H, Saadat F, Shahidi S, Sarihi A, Hasanein P, Komaki A. The interactive role of CB1 receptors and L-type calcium channels in hippocampal long-term potentiation in rats. *Brain research bulletin*. 2017;131:168-75.
23. Asadbegi M, Komaki A, Salehi I, Yaghmaei P, Ebrahim-Habibi A, Shahidi S, et al. Effects of thymol on amyloid- β -induced impairments in hippocampal synaptic plasticity in rats fed a high-fat diet. *Brain research bulletin*. 2018;137:338-50.
24. Paxinos G, Watson C. *The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates*: Elsevier Academic Press. San Diego. 2005.
25. Asadbegi M, Yaghmaei P, Salehi I, Ebrahim-Habibi A, Komaki A. Neuroprotective effects of metformin against A β -mediated inhibition of long-term potentiation in rats fed a high-fat diet. *Brain research bulletin*. 2016;121:178-85.
26. Komaki H, Faraji N, Komaki A, Shahidi S, Etaee F, Raoufi S, et al. Investigation of protective effects of coenzyme Q10 on impaired synaptic plasticity in a male rat model of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain research bulletin*. 2019;147:14-21.
27. Gao Z, Ure K, Ables JL, Lagace DC, Nave K-A, Goebbels S, et al. Neurod1 is essential for the survival and maturation of adult-born neurons. *Nature neuroscience*. 2009;12(9):1090.
28. Shin M-S, Ko I-G, Kim S-E, Kim B-K, Kim T-S, Lee S-H, et al. Treadmill exercise ameliorates symptoms of methimazole-induced hypothyroidism through enhancing neurogenesis

and suppressing apoptosis in the hippocampus of rat pups. *International journal of developmental neuroscience*. 2013;31(3):214-23.

29. Kisoh K, Hayashi H, Itoh T, Asada M, Arai M, Yuan B, et al. Involvement of GSK-3 β phosphorylation through PI3-K/Akt in cerebral ischemia-induced neurogenesis in rats. *Molecular neurobiology*. 2017;54(10):7917-27.

30. Komaki A, Shahidi S, Lashgari R, Haghparsat A, Malakouti SM, Noorbakhsh SM. Effects of GABAergic inhibition on neocortical long-term potentiation in the chronically prepared rat. *Neuroscience letters*. 2007;422(3):181-6.

31. Nazari M, Komaki A, Karamian R, Shahidi S, Sarihi A, Asadbegi M. The interactive role of CB 1 and GABA B receptors in hippocampal synaptic plasticity in rats. *Brain research bulletin*. 2016;120:123-30.

32. Tahmasebi L, Komaki A, Karamian R, Shahidi S, Sarihi A, Komaki H. Interaction between paired-pulse facilitation and long-term potentiation during the stimulation of the cannabinoid and vanilloid systems in the dentate gyrus. *Brain research*. 2016;1643:27-34.

33. Miladi-Gorji H, Rashidy-Pour A, Fathollahi Y, Semnani S, Jadidi M. Effects of voluntary exercise on hippocampal long-term potentiation in morphine-dependent rats. *Neuroscience*. 2014;256:83-90.

34. Harrison JM, Allen RG, Pellegrino MJ, Williams JT, Manzoni OJ. Chronic morphine treatment alters endogenous opioid control of hippocampal mossy fiber synaptic transmission. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 2002;87(5):2464-70.

35. de Senna PN, Bagatini PB, Galland F, Bobermin L, do Nascimento PS, Nardin P, et al. Physical exercise reverses spatial memory deficit and induces hippocampal astrocyte plasticity in diabetic rats. *Brain research*. 2017;1655:242-51.

36. Rashidy-Pour A, Fathollahi Y, Miladi-Gorji H, Safari M. Enhancing hippocampal neuronal numbers in morphine-dependent rats by voluntary exercise through a brain-derived neurotrophic factor-mediated mechanism. *Middle East Journal of Rehabilitation and Health*. 2015;2(1).

37. Arida RM, Sanabria ERG, da Silva AC, Faria LC, Scorza FA, Cavalheiro EA. Physical training reverts hippocampal electrophysiological changes in rats submitted to the pilocarpine model of epilepsy. *Physiology & behavior*. 2004;83(1):165-71.

38. D'Arcangelo G, Triossi T, Buglione A, Melchiorri G, Tancredi V. Modulation of synaptic plasticity by short-term aerobic exercise in adult mice. *Behavioural brain research*. 2017;332:59-63.

39. Özbeyli D, Sarı G, Özkan N, Karademir B, Yüksel M, Kaya ÖTÇ, et al. Protective effects of different exercise modalities in an Alzheimer's disease-like model. *Behavioural brain research*. 2017;328:159-77.

40. Ren H, Yu X, Yu L, Zhang Y, Xie H, Shi N, et al. Effects of Different Training Loads on Emotional State and mRNA and Protein Expressions of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor Subunits, Postsynaptic Density 95, and Kinesin Family Member 17 in Hippocampus of Rats. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*. 2017;23:4954.

41. Chen R, Zhang J, Fan N, Teng Z-q, Wu Y, Yang H, et al. Δ 9-THC-caused synaptic and memory impairments are mediated through COX-2 signaling. *Cell*. 2013;155(5):1154-65.

42. Krüger K, Bredehöft J, Mooren FC, Rummel C. Different effects of strength and endurance exercise training on COX-2 and mPGES expression in mouse brain are independent of peripheral inflammation. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2016;121(1):248-54.

Figures legend:

Figure 1. Experimental timeline. After morphine dependence induction, the exercise training groups were subjected to the 10-week (5 days a week) endurance, strength, and concurrent training. At the end of the training, the rats were subjected to urethane anesthesia (IP injection) and located in a stereotaxic device for surgical procedures and electrophysiological recording. The field excitatory postsynaptic potential (fEPSP) slope and population spike (PS) amplitude in the dentate gyrus (DG) were determined after perforant pathway (PP) stimulation. After obtaining a stable baseline of responses for at least 20 min, long-term potentiation (LTP) was induced via the high-frequency stimulation protocol. Finally, for neurogenesis NeuroD level were assessed.

Figure 2. Exemplary photomicrograph indicating the positions of stimulating and recording electrodes tips (arrowheads) in a hippocampus sagittal section. Stimulating and recording electrode traces are observed in the right and the left sides (arrows), respectively. Scale bar: 0.5 mm.

Figure 3. The scores of Gellert-Holtzman in the experimental groups. Values are shown as mean $\pm$ SD. * $P < 0.05$ vs. the control group.

Figure 4. The excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) slope and population spike (PS) amplitude of representative sample traces of field potential were obtained in the lateral perforant pathway-dentate gyrus (PP-DG) synapses of the control group. The arrows represent PSs and the slope of the EPSP (A). Representative sample traces of the evoked field potential in the DG, recorded before and following high-frequency stimulation (HFS) in all groups (B).

Figure 5. Time-dependent changes of the long-term potentiation (LTP) of the excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) slope in granular cell synapses of the dentate gyrus (DG) after perforant path stimulation following a high-frequency stimulation (HFS). Values are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM % of the baseline. $\dagger P < 0.05$ (Co-D group vs. Con, Sed-D, and En-D groups), $* P < 0.05$ (St-D group vs. Con, Sed-D, and En-D groups). Co-D: concurrent training-dependent group, St-D, strength training-dependent group, Sed-D: sedentary morphine-dependent group, Con: control group, En-D: endurance training-dependent group.

Figure 6. Time-dependent changes of the long-term potentiation (LTP) of the population spike (PS) amplitudes in dentate gyrus (DG) granular cell synapses after perforant path stimulation following a high-frequency stimulation (HFS). Values are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM % of the baseline. $\dagger P < 0.05$ (Co-D vs. Con, Sed-D, En-D, and St-D groups), $* P < 0.05$ (St-D group vs. Con, Sed-D, En-D, and Co-D groups). Co-D: concurrent training-dependent group, St-D, strength training-dependent group, Sed-D: sedentary morphine-dependent group, Con: control group, En-D: endurance training-dependent group.

Figure 7. The NeuroD-positive cell numbers (mm²) in the rats' dentate gyrus (DG) of the control (Con), sedentary morphine-dependent (Sed-D), endurance training-dependent (En-D), strength training-dependent (St-D), and concurrent training-dependent (Con-D) groups. The graph indicates the comparison between the NeuroD-positive cells in the experimental groups. The number of dark brown cells expressing NeuroD was counted. Arrows show NeuroD-positive cells (400 $\times$). The scale bar was 10 μ m. Values are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM.

* P < 0.05 vs. the Con group.

† P < 0.05 vs. Sed-D group.

Table-1: General characteristics of the experimental groups

Group	Con	Sed-D	En-D	St-D	Co-D
Number	10	10	10	10	10
Age (Week)	6-8	6-8	6-8	6-8	6-8
Initial body mass (g)	164±11	158±07	172±12	160±08	172±15
Final body mass (g)	339±24	345±31	336±28	352±38	340±27

Co-D: concurrent training-dependent group, St-D, strength training-dependent group, Sed-D: sedentary morphine-dependent group, Con: control group, En-D: endurance training-dependent group.

Fig.1

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.13.439604>; this version posted April 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Trace of recording electrodes

Trace of stimulating electrodes

Fig. 2

Fig.3

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.13.439604>; this version posted April 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.13.439604>; this version posted April 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.13.439604>; this version posted April 13, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Fig. 7