FLEXIBLE SIMULTANEOUS MESOSCALE TWO-PHOTON IMAGING OF NEURAL ACTIVITY AT HIGH SPEEDS

Mitchell Clough¹, Ichun Anderson Chen^{1,2}, Seong-Wook Park^{1,2}, Allison M. Ahrens³, Jeffrey N. Stirman⁴, Spencer L. Smith⁵, Jerry L. Chen^{1,2,3}

¹*Department of Biomedical Engineering, Boston University, Boston MA 02215, USA.*

²*Center for Neurophotonics, Boston University, Boston MA 02215, USA.*

³*Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston MA 02215, USA.*

⁴*Neuroscience Center, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA.*

⁵*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara CA 93106, USA.*

Correspondence and lead author: jerry@chen-lab.org

ABSTRACT

Understanding brain function requires monitoring local and global brain dynamics. Two-photon imaging of the brain across mesoscopic scales has presented trade-offs between imaging area and acquisition speed. We describe a flexible cellular resolution two-photon microscope capable of simultaneous video rate acquisition of four independently targetable brain regions spanning an approximate five-millimeter field of view. With this system, we demonstrate the ability to measure calcium activity across mouse sensorimotor cortex at behaviorally relevant timescales.

MAIN TEXT

Introduction

In order to understand how the brain functions as a whole to process information and carry out behavior, it is necessary to monitor the patterns of neuronal activity across the brain with cellular resolution. Two-photon microscopy with calcium indicators has become a standard tool in neuroscience for functional *in vivo* imaging of neuronal populations in awake behaving animals¹. Recently, the development of new two-photon microscopes has enabled imaging of increasingly large numbers of neurons across different brain areas. This has been achieved through the design and fabrication of custom optical elements that support imaging over several millimeter fields of view while maintaining cellular resolution^{2,3}. However, the current use of scanning strategies with single foci excitation produces a trade-off between the number of imaging areas and the overall rate of acquisition^{3,4}. Total frame rates of up to ~10 Hz have typically been achieved, which can limit the types of neuronal dynamics that can be investigated. Sensorimotor behaviors such as whisking, sniffing, eye movements, and locomotion have been linked to ongoing neuronal activity that fires on the order of 4-12 Hz⁵. Deconvolution of calcium transients can improve the temporal precision of spiking events to within less than 100ms⁶. Therefore, to link these estimated spikes to behavior, sampling rates need to be at a sufficient Nyquist frequency (>30 Hz). Parallel scanning using multi-foci excitation can achieve true simultaneous multi-area imaging at high scanning speeds^{7,8}. So far, large field of view multi-foci two-photon microscopes have been designed with fixed beam configurations to match spatially arranged detection schemes⁹. This restricts a user's ability to target specific neuronal populations of interest across the field of view and limits the ability to resolve fluorescence at increasing depths due to spatial cross talk from light scatter. To address the gap in these

technologies, we designed and constructed a quad-area large field of view two-photon microscope (Quadroscope) to achieve simultaneous, video-rate cellular-resolution imaging across four independently assignable field of views. We demonstrate its utility in carrying out population imaging across mouse sensorimotor cortex during whisker-guided behavior at behaviorally relevant timescales.

Results

The Quadroscope employs a custom optical design to achieve a 0.52 numerical aperture (NA) across a 4.8 mm field of view, sufficient to span mouse sensorimotor cortex (**Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1-2**). Diffraction-limited performance was optimized across an excitation wavelength range of $\lambda = 920\text{-}1040$ nm for two-photon imaging of green, yellow, and red fluorescent molecules. For scanning and independent positioning of multiple beams across all three axes, we employed a combination of two strategies. First, two independent scanning arms were deployed. Each scanning arm consisted of a resonant scanner for fast x-scanning followed by a 2D steering mirror. The 2D steering mirror provides both slow y-scanning as well as positioning across the entire field of view through fixed angular offsets along the optical axis of the system. The two scanning arms are combined prior to the tube lens using a polarizing beam splitter. Through this, each scanning arm can function independently and can also be synchronized by locking the two resonant scanners in phase. The use of two independent scanning arms means that the imaging areas from each arm can be positioned anywhere within the total FOV such that imaging areas from the first arm can also overlap with imaging areas from the second arm.

Positionable, non-overlapping imaging areas can further be deployed by inserting movable coupling units, named ‘focal plane units’ (FPUs), into each scanning arm (**Fig. 1b**). Independent focusing is achieved with electrically tunable lenses (ETLs) installed into each of the FPUs which provides a z-range of up to 480 μm . Lateral positioning of each beam is achieved through x/y-translation stages that introduce an offset of the respective beam from the optical axis of the first scan lens. This converts the offset into a pivoting angle of the beam around the resonant scanning mirror which is further translated into lateral movement of the corresponding imaging sub-area below the objective. For each FPU-assigned beam belonging to one scan arm, polarization is then matched in order for those beams to be combined with those belonging to the other scan arm. Adjustment of the polarization for each FPU-assigned beam independently controls excitation power of that beam. In the Quadroscope, two FPUs were installed on each scan arm to provide four independent scanning regions across a 4.8 mm total field of view. Within each scan arm, the scan field of the first scan lens defines the maximum separation between each FPU-beam (3 mm) while the scan angle of the resonance scanner defines the imaging field for each FPU-area (0.75 mm) (**Fig. 1c**). With these specifications, the system is designed to achieve simultaneous video rate imaging of four regions totaling 1.5 x 1.5 mm² within the entire 4.8 mm field of the view of the system (**Fig 1d**).

To achieve simultaneous imaging across four fields of view with minimal cross talk and maximal flexibility in beam positioning, multiple foci were generated using spatiotemporal multiplexing (**Fig. 1e**). Emission fluorescence was collected using a single hybrid photomultiplier tube and signals were demultiplexed using a field-programmable gate array. In order to resolve the 2-3 ns fluorescence lifetimes of existing genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs), we used custom Ytterbium (Yb)-fiber lasers, each with a 31.25 MHz

repetition rate to provide an 8 ns inter-pulse interval between each of the four imaging areas with minimal (<3%) cross talk (**Supplementary Fig. 3**). One laser is tuned to 920nm to provide two-photon excitation of green genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs)¹⁰. The other laser is tuned to 1040 nm to provide two-photon excitation of new classes of red and yellow GECIs, including RCaMP1.07 and jYCaMP1s^{11,12}.

We first measured the optical performance of the system. While the optical path of the system is designed for 10 mm scanning mirrors with a clear aperture of 7.2mm, we used commercially available 9mm 6khz resonance scanners with a clear aperture of 6.4mm to maximize scan speeds. The smaller clear aperture produced a beam diameter at the back pupil plane of the objective that is 12% under-filled, resulting in an effective excitation NA of 0.46. As expected from the decrease in excitation NA, we were able to achieve lateral and axial resolutions of $0.91 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{m}$ ($n=8$) and $10.51 \pm 0.43 \mu\text{m}$ ($n=8$) respectively at the center of the field of view and $1.22 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{m}$ ($n=32$) and $12.46 \pm 0.26 \mu\text{m}$ ($n=32$) respectively at the edges of the field of view (**Supplementary Fig. 4**). Due to the natural curvature of the mouse cortex, the field curvature of the system was not optimized (**Supplementary Fig. 5**). Instead, independent ETL focusing was used to account for differences in z depth. Excitation performance at different remote focusing depths was comparable when imaging at the center and edge of the field, as predicted from simulations (**Supplementary Fig. 6**). The effective detection NA varied as a function of distance from the center of the field of view (**Supplementary Fig. 7**). Overall, the optical performance of the system across the imaging field of view is suitable for cellular resolution imaging.

To demonstrate use of the Quadroscope for *in vivo* imaging of neuronal population activity, we sought to perform high speed simultaneous calcium imaging across targeted areas in

mouse sensorimotor cortex (**Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 8**). We virally expressed jYCaMP1s or GCaMP7f, into primary somatosensory cortex (S1), secondary somatosensory cortex (S2), and primary motor cortex (M1). A curved cover glass window ('crystal skull') was implanted to provide optical access to a complete hemisphere of mouse dorsal cortex¹³. Through a combination of FPU translation, 2D steering mirror, and ETL positioning, we selectively targeted each expression area. Individual neurons were clearly identifiable and distinguishable across all sub-areas. By performing simultaneous imaging across four areas at 30 Hz, we were able to observe calcium transients from 150-200 neurons in each area in the awake, behaving animal.

For each sub-area, we applied an average excitation power of 50-75mW, similar to standard 2P microscope system using 80 Mhz Ti:sapphire lasers. While the lower repetition rate lasers results in a 2.5-fold lower pulse rate per pixel dwell time (~5 pulses per 0.16us) compared to Ti:sapphire lasers, this is compensated by a 2.5-fold higher peak power per pulse (1.6-2.4nJ) providing comparable excitation during high speed imaging. Imaging at 50-75mW per sub-area results in ~200-300mW of total power into the brain. Sustained imaging using a single 400mW foci within one imaging region has been shown to induce lasting photo-damage¹⁴. We checked if similar damage could be induced with Quadroscope imaging under normal operation if similar total power was instead divided between 4 beams distributed across the cortex. Continuous, simultaneous four-beam imaging was carried out at 75 mW per beam for ~30 min with beams distributed across S1, S2, and M1 (**Supplementary Fig. 9**). Brains were extracted 16 hours after imaging, and immunohistochemistry was performed for astrocytic (anti-GFAP), microglial (anti-Iba1), heat shock (anti HSP-70/72), and apoptotic pathway (anti-Caspase-3) activation. No significant difference between stimulated and neighboring control areas was observed for each of

the markers. This demonstrates that sustained, distributed multi-region imaging does not induce significant tissue damage and is safe for long-term imaging experiments.

To demonstrate novel biological applications of the Quadroscope, we tracked neuronal activity during sensorimotor behavior (**Figure. 3**). Mice were trained to perform a whisker-based texture discrimination task where mice rhythmically whisk to discriminate the roughness or smoothness of different sandpaper textures^{7,15}. Using high-speed videography, we monitored changes in whisker angle related to rhythmic 7-12 Hz anticipatory whisking which we decomposed into specific components reflecting the phase, amplitude, and variations in the midpoint of the whisker cycle by Hilbert transform. Neuronal activity in S1 has been previously shown to be locked to specific phases of the whisk cycle while neurons in M1 track the amplitude and midpoint of whisking^{16,17}. Analysis of deconvolved calcium activity of simultaneously imaged neurons across S1 and M1 confirmed that imaging rates of 30Hz were sufficient to identify neurons with these whisking-related responses. This demonstrates the Quadroscope is capable of tracking neuronal responses across distant cortical areas at behaviorally relevant timescales.

Discussion

In summary, we demonstrate that the Quadroscope is a powerful new system to simultaneously capture neuronal dynamics across multiple cortical areas with high temporal resolution. Our overall system design affords a high degree of flexibility for multiple experimental conditions. The dual independent scan engines enable simultaneous imaging at different frame rates and fields of view between the two arms. The introduction of adaptive optics into the system can serve to improve optical aberrations emanating from sample tilt when attempting to image across

distant brain regions¹⁸. Further, our FPU-based beam combination method readily allows for increasing the number of imaging fields of view, solely dictated by the repetition rate of the laser source needed for spatiotemporal demultiplexing. High power laser sources below 20 MHz combined with modular time-multiplexing approaches can be used to image 8-16 regions tiled across multiple areas or depths at 30 Hz¹⁹. The ability to maintain high frame rates while imaging across distant imaging regions provides the distinct ability to track inter-area activity patterns at timescales relevant to behavior. Such scan speeds are further necessary with the development of faster genetically encoded indicators to more precisely follow activity and neural transmission^{20,21}. Overall, the versatility and modularity of this system will enable the pursuit of a range of neurobiological questions that seek to bridge local and global brain function.

METHODS

Microscope Design. We performed optical design and evaluation using Zemax OpticStudio software (Zemax LLC) and mechanical design using Autodesk Inventor (Autodesk Inc.). An ultrashort-pulsed 31.25 MHz repetition rate, 2 W, 920nm fiber laser (Alcor, Spark Lasers) and 7.8 W, 1040 nm fiber laser (Altair, Spark Lasers) were used as the illumination sources. The lasers' built in group delay dispersion pre-compensation were used to achieve a pulse duration of ~150 fs at the sample plane. The total illumination power delivered into the system was adjusted by rotating a half-wave plate (AHWP05M-980, Thorlabs) relative to a polarizing beam splitter cube (CCM1-PBS253/M, Thorlabs). The half-wave plate was rotated using a manual rotation mount (CRM1P/M, Thorlabs) and was rotated to allow the maximum amount of power possible into the system.

The laser beam was split into 4 distinct beam paths by using 3 ultrafast laser beam splitters (10RQ00UB.4, Newport). The first beam path was relayed directly to the rest of the microscope after splitting. For each subsequent beam an additional ~2.4 m of path length was inserted to achieve an 8 ns inter-pulse interval between each beam when combined at the objective. Each beam's diameter was adjusted by using pairs of achromatic lenses (AC254 lenses of various focal lengths, Thorlabs) to apply the necessary magnification to ensure an 8 mm beam diameter going into the focal plane units (FPUs). Half wave plates (AHWP10M-980, Thorlabs) installed in motorized rotation mounts (PRM1/MZ8, Thorlabs) were positioned prior to each FPU to adjust the polarization of each beam. These half wave plates, in combination with a polarizing beam splitter positioned prior to the tube lens, controlled the power level of each individual beam arriving at the sample. The FPU's were mounted on XY stages (8MTF-102LS05, Standa) to enable movement of the imaging region in the sample plane in X and Y. In each FPU a focus tunable lens (EL-16-40-TC) was conjugated to a resonance scanner (SC30-10x9-6-6, Electro-Optical Products Corp.) by a relay lens (AC254-100-B-ML, Thorlabs) and scan lens (S4LFT0089/094, Sill Optics GmbH). Positioning the focus tunable lenses in this way ensures that no changes in magnification occur when varying the depth of imaging. Between the relay lens and scan lens, a fold mirror (MRA10-M01, Thorlabs) was used to reflect the light vertically into the scan engine which translates FPU movement in X and Y to an angular tilt of the beam onto the resonance scanner. The resonance scanner performed fast axis scanning in the sample plane and was conjugated to a 2D beam steering mirror (OIM5010, Optics in Motion LLC) used for slow axis scanning and overall X and Y positioning of the imaging regions of interest. Conjugation between the resonance scanner and 2D mirror was achieved by a pair of scan lenses (S4LFT0089/094, Sill Optics GmbH). The 2D mirror was conjugated to the back pupil plane of the objective by a custom designed scan

lens and tube lens (**Supplementary Figure 2**). The custom scan lens and tube lens were designed to achieve diffraction limited RMS wavefront error across the entire 4.8mm FOV using any combination of FPU and 2D mirror beam steering at 920nm and 1040nm when the ETL was focused at 0 μ m offset. Field curvature performance was not optimized. Between the scan lens and tube lens a custom polarizing beam splitter was used to combine the beams from the two separate scan engines. The polarizing beam splitter also rejects any unwanted excess power from any of the four beams controlling the amount of excitation power reaching the sample. The custom objective²², scan lens, polarizing beam splitter, and tube lens were all manufactured by Rocky Mountain Instrument Co. Between the tube lens and objective, a large mirror (84-438, Edmund Optics) was used to reflect light down through a long-pass dichroic (Cold Light Mirror KS 93 / 45°, Qioptiq) and into the objective.

Movement of the sample relative to the microscope was achieved by mounting a lifting stage (HT160-DC, Steinmeyer Mechatronik GmbH) on top of an XY stage (KT310-DC, Steinmeyer Mechatronik GmbH) allowing for movement of the sample in three axes. The emitted fluorescence from the sample was collected by the custom objective and reflected into the detection system by the long-pass dichroic (Cold Light Mirror KS 93 / 45°, Qioptiq). An achromatic lens (AC508-150-A-ML, Thorlabs) was used to focus the emitted light into the detection path. A short-pass filter (FF01-720/SP-50, Semrock) rejected excitation light from the detection path and a long pass dichroic (FF573-DI01-50X50, Semrock) split red and green fluorescent light into two separate paths. Following the dichroic, 3 lenses were used to focus the light onto a hybrid photo-detector with a 5 mm diameter active area (R11322U-40, Hamamatsu Photonics). The 3 lenses used included an achromat (AC508-150-A), another achromat (AC254-030-A, Thorlabs), and an aspheric lens (ACL2018-A, Thorlabs). The aspheric lens was glued into a custom aluminum mount with external threading by using optical glue. The custom mount

was connected via the internal threads of the photo-detector to mount the aspheric lens as close as possible to the active area of the detector for the highest collection efficiency possible.

The data was acquired from the detectors using a digital I/O board (NI6587, National Instruments) and then processed using an FPGA (PXIe-7961R, National Instruments). A custom written FPGA program was created using the LabView FPGA module (National Instruments). The digital I/O board collected the signals from the detectors and synchronization signals from both resonance scanners and the laser. The laser synchronization signal was delayed using an electronic delay box (DB64, Stanford Research Systems) to ensure the timing of the synchronization signal matched the timing of the detected fluorescence. The FPGA used the synchronization signal from the laser to perform demultiplexing of the detected fluorescence and assign the signal to the appropriate imaging area. The fluorescence signal and the resonance synchronization signal for each of the four areas were then passed to the microscope software for display and storage. The microscope was controlled through a custom software, 'SCOPE', which controlled the stages, focus tunable lenses, half wave plates' mounts, scanning, and image acquisition for the microscope (C++; <http://rkscope.sourceforge.net/>). The microscope software enabled independent scanning of each scan engine and allowed each resonance scanner to run at different frequencies. Control of the scanners and focus tunable lenses was achieved using two DAQ cards (PXI-6733, National Instruments), one for each scan engine. The half wave plates' motorized rotation mounts were controlled by a USB controller (KDC101, Thorlabs). The stages for the sample were controlled via serial connection to a controller (FMC223, Steinmeyer Mechatronik) and the stages for the FPU's were controlled via local network connection to a controller (8SMC5-Ethernet, Standa).

Point spread function measurements. Fluorescent beads with a diameter of 0.5 μm (T7281, Invitrogen) were used to measure the point spread function of the microscope. Beads were embedded in a 1% agarose solution and then mounted on a slide with a well and a coverslip over the top of the gel. Imaging was performed through a coverslip and embedded in gel to mimic *in vivo* imaging conditions. To acquire images off axis up to a 4.8mm FOV we moved the FPU to reach a distance of 1.5mm in the sample and then tilted the 2D scanning mirror in order to reach 2.4mm off axis in the sample. Image stacks of the beads were acquired at $0.13 \times 0.13 \times 0.4 \mu\text{m}^3$ voxel resolution. For analysis and display, image stacks were resampled to $0.4 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \mu\text{m}^3$ voxel resolution in ImageJ. Each bead stack was resliced into XZ and YZ stacks and then a maximum intensity projection was performed. The maximum intensity projections for the XZ and YZ planes were then used to perform the FWHM measurements. A line was drawn through the center of the bead in the lateral and axial directions. Pixel intensities along that line were fit with a Gaussian and the full-width at half maximum values were determined based on the Gaussian fits.

For the simulated PSFs (**Supplementary Fig. 6**) at each ETL offset the RMS wavefront error was optimized by adjusting the depth of the focal plane in the sample. The Huygens illumination PSF was simulated at this depth and depths $\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ in $1 \mu\text{m}$ steps. The illumination PSF was saved at each plane and then squared to generate the excitation PSF^2 . The resulting PSF was analyzed using the same method as described above.

Animal preparation and imaging. All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee for the Charles River Campus at Boston University. In adult (12-14 week old) C57Bl6 mice, stereotaxic viral injections of AAV2/9.*hSynapsin1*.jYCaMP1s or AAV2/9.*hSynapsin1*.GCaMP7f were performed in L2/3 and

277 L5 of the cortex, 300 and 500 μm below the pial surface (600 nL total volume, 6.8×10^{11} gc/ml).
 278 Four areas of the cortex were targeted for virus injection: S1 (AP -1.1 mm, ML 3.3 mm), S2 (AP
 279 -0.3 mm, ML 4.0 mm), M1 (AP 0.8 mm, ML 1.5 mm), and the border between S1 and M1 (AP -
 280 0.2 mm, ML 3.0 mm). Optical access to the cortex was achieved by implantation of a “crystal
 281 skull”, which is a curved cranial window designed to match the curvature of the mouse cranium
 282 and avoid compression of the brain¹³ (LabMaker). The crystal skull was implanted over the left
 283 hemisphere. The right hemisphere was covered with dental cement so that a metal head post
 284 could be attached to allow head fixation. Animals were habituated to awake head fixation.
 285 Quadroscope imaging was carried out at 30 Hz frame rate (563x300 pixels per area) with the
 286 FPU and imaging arms positioned to target each of the expression sites. An average of 75 mW
 287 of laser power was delivered per imaging site.

288
 289 **Photo-damage assay.** Photo damage experiments were performed on two adult C57Bl/6 mice
 290 with viral expression of GCaMP7f activity and crystal skull implants. Thirty minutes of
 291 continuous frame scanning was performed for four excitation beams (75 mW per beam)
 292 positioned across S1, S2, and M1. Sixteen hours later, mice were transcardially perfused with
 293 0.1 M PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde 16 hours after laser exposure. Brains were postfixed in 4%
 294 paraformaldehyde overnight, then washed in 0.1 M PBS and cut into 50 μm coronal sections.
 295 Slices were incubated in a blocking solution of 10% normal goat serum and 1% Triton X-100,
 296 then washed three times in 0.1M PBS. Alternating slices were labeled for two primary
 297 antibodies, either GFAP and Iba1 or HSP and Caspase-3, in 5% normal goat serum and 0.1%
 298 Triton X-100. The primary antibodies used were: mouse monoclonal anti-GFAP (G3893; Sigma-
 299 Aldrich; 1:1,000 dilution), rabbit anti-Iba1 (019-19741; Wako Chemicals; 1:500 dilution), rabbit

anti-cleaved caspase-3 (Asp175) (9661; Cell Signaling; 1:250 dilution), and mouse anti-HSP70/HSP72 (C92F3A-5) (ADI-SPA-810-D; Enzo Life Sciences; 1:400 dilution). Slices were washed three times in 0.1 M PBS and incubated in secondary antibodies for four hours (1:500 dilutions). GFAP and HSP were labelled with goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen, A21235), and Iba1 and caspase-3 were labelled with goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen, A21429). Slices were washed and mounted with Fluoromount-G mounting medium (0100-01, SouthernBiotech), then imaged with a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni-E microscope and NIS-Elements software (Nikon Instruments). Relative fluorescent intensity compared to the contralateral hemisphere was measured for 8 areas of laser exposure (4 x 2 mice) and in 10 control areas with no laser exposure (4 and 6 per mouse). For each area, mean fluorescent intensity on the treated side was divided by mean intensity on the contralateral side.

Animal behavior. Mice were housed 2-3 per cage in reverse 12-hr light cycle conditions. All handling and behaviour occurred under simulated night time conditions. One week following chronic window implantation, mice were handled daily for 1 week while acclimated to a minimum of 15 minutes of head fixation. Mice were water restricted and trained to a go/no-go texture discrimination task previously described¹⁵. Imaging during behaviour began once animals reached a performance level of $d' > 1.75$ (80% correct) for one session.

Whisker tracking and analysis. High-speed videography of whisker movement was acquired at 500 Hz as previously described^{23,24}. For analysis, whiskers were automatically traced²⁴. The angle, was extracted for all traced whiskers. Using the mean whisker angle, a Hilbert transform was applied to determine whisking amplitude, phase, setpoint, and the reconstructed whisker angle¹⁷. The position of the rotor was automatically tracked in the video using custom scripts in

MATLAB (Mathworks). Whisker-rotor touch was scored as events in which the tip of at least one whisker came into within <5 pixel radius of the rotor face with ‘touch onset’ defined as the first possible whisker contact at the beginning of the sample and test period and ‘touch offset’ defined as the last possible whisker contact at the end of the sample and test period. Time vectors of kinematic parameters were down-sampled to the imaging frame rate for further analysis.

Data analysis. All image processing was performed in MATLAB (Mathworks). Two-photon images were first processed for motion correction using a piece-wise rigid motion correction algorithm²⁵. Regions of interest corresponding to individual active neurons were manually identified and calcium time courses were calculated as $(F-F_0)/F_0$ where F_0 represents the bottom 8th percentile of fluorescence across a 10 s sliding window.

Acknowledgements. We thank G. Estrada for initial input on microscope design, C-H.Y for assistance in microscope alignment, K. Kilic for guidance in animal surgeries, K. Maniar for assistance in analysing data, N. Manjrekar and W. Wang for assistance in immunohistochemistry, K. Podgorski for viral reagents, and C-H.Y and X. Ye for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain & Behavior Research Foundation, the Richard and Susan Smith Family Foundation, Elizabeth and Stuart Pratt Career Development Award, the Whitehall Foundation, National Science Foundation Neuronex Neurotechnology Hub (NEMONIC #1707287), National Institutes of Health BRAIN Initiative Award (R01NS109965), National Institutes of Health BRAIN Initiative Award (UF1NS107705), and National Institutes of Health New Innovator Award (DP2NS111134).

348 **Authors' Contributions.** J.L.C. initiated and supervised the study. M.C., I.A.C, and S.L.S
 349 designed and built the microscope. A.M.A performed animal surgeries and photo-damage
 350 experiments. M.C. and J.L.C. collected and analysed the data. M.C. and J.L.C. prepared the
 351 manuscript.

352 **Declaration of Interests.** The authors declare no competing interests.

353 **Code Availability.** The code used to control the microscope is hosted at
 354 <https://sourceforge.net/projects/rkscope/> and access to the code can be obtained by emailing the
 355 corresponding author and requesting access.

356

FIGURES

Figure 1. Large field of view quad area two-photon microscope system. **a)** Schematic of the Quadroscope system. Laser source is selected by a flip mounted mirror (FM). Laser output is then split into four beam paths (beam 1 – red, 2 – orange, 3 – green, 4 – blue) using 50/50 beam splitters (50/50). Beam 2 is delayed 8 ns relative to beam 1, beam 3 is delayed 8 ns relative to beam 2 and so on. Each beam is magnified by a beam expander (BE) entering into the focal plane units (FPUs). Half wave plates ($\lambda/2$) are used in conjunction with a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) to control power of each beam. Two scan engines each control two of the imaging regions. Each scan engine consists of 3 commercial scan lenses (SL1-3), a resonance scanner, a 2D scanning mirror, and a custom scan lens (SL4) before being combined using the PBS onto a custom tube lens. The beams enter a custom objective (OBJ) before arriving at the sample. A long-pass dichroic (IR/VIS Dichroic) is used to separate the excitation and emission light. **b)** FPU design. Each FPU is mounted on a motorized XY stage and contains an electrically tunable lens (ETL) and relay lens. **c)** Schematic of scan area positioning. Scanning regions of up to $\sim 0.75 \text{ mm}^2$ can be achieved for each of the four sub-areas. Each scan arm has a 3mm arm FOV in which sub-areas can be repositioned using the FPU. The arm FOV can be positioned anywhere within the 4.8mm total FOV using 2D scanning mirrors. **d)** Scanning areas and FOVs overlaid across an atlas of mouse cortex, adapted from ref. ²⁶ with permission. **e)** Spatiotemporal multiplexing of four beams. A 31.25 MHz laser source is split into 4 beams and delayed to achieve 8ns inter-pulse intervals. The detected fluorescence signal is then de-multiplexed and assigned to each imaging area.

Figure 2. Quad area two-photon calcium imaging of jYCaMP1s in sensorimotor cortex in the awake mouse using a 1040nm laser source. a) Wide-field fluorescence image of mouse sensorimotor cortex showing jYCaMP1s expression through an implanted crystal skull. **b)** Two-photon images of the expression jYCaMP1s regions displayed in [a] acquired with the Quadroscope. Imaging depth reflects relative focal plane positioned with each ETL at the imaging region. **c)** Calcium transients in the awake animal from cortical neurons imaged across the four areas in [b], simultaneously acquired at 30 Hz. Scale bars: 1 mm [a], 100 μm [b].

Figure 3. Behavior related responses of simultaneously imaged neurons in primary somatosensory and motor cortex. **a)** Schematic of Quadroscope imaging during a whisker-based texture discrimination task. Calcium imaging is performed across S1 and M1 while whisker movement is monitored using high speed videography. **b)** Single trial measurement of whisker angle decomposed into the amplitude, phase, and variations in the midpoint of the whisking cycle. Raw and deconvolved calcium signals of an example S1 and M1 neuron shown aligned to phases in the whisking cycle. **c)** Estimated spikes across behavioral trials along with average estimated firing rate across the trial period are shown for example S1 and M1 neuron. Whisking amplitude across trials are also shown (right). **d)** Estimated spikes binned according to whisking amplitude. M1 neuron exhibits preferred spiking to whisking amplitude. **e)** Estimated spikes binned according to whisking amplitude. M1 neuron exhibits preferred spiking to whisking amplitude. S1 neuron exhibits preferred spiking to protraction phase of the whisk cycle (* $P < 0.05$, Rayleigh test).

REFERENCES

- 1 Chen, J. L., Andermann, M. L., Keck, T., Xu, N. L. & Ziv, Y. Imaging neuronal populations in behaving rodents: paradigms for studying neural circuits underlying behavior in the mammalian cortex. *J Neurosci* **33**, 17631-17640 (2013).
- 2 Stirman, J. N., Smith, I. T., Kudenov, M. W. & Smith, S. L. Wide field-of-view, multi-region, two-photon imaging of neuronal activity in the mammalian brain. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 857-862, doi:10.1038/nbt.3594 (2016).
- 3 Sofroniew, N. J., Flickinger, D., King, J. & Svoboda, K. A large field of view two-photon mesoscope with subcellular resolution for in vivo imaging. *Elife* **5**, e14472, doi:10.7554/eLife.14472 (2016).
- 4 Lu, R. *et al.* Rapid mesoscale volumetric imaging of neural activity with synaptic resolution. *Nat Methods* **17**, 291-294, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0760-9 (2020).
- 5 Colgin, L. L. Mechanisms and functions of theta rhythms. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **36**, 295-312, doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-062012-170330 (2013).
- 6 Friedrich, J., Zhou, P. & Paninski, L. Fast online deconvolution of calcium imaging data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005423, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005423 (2017).
- 7 Chen, J. L., Voigt, F. F., Javadzadeh, M., Krueppel, R. & Helmchen, F. Long-Range population dynamics of anatomically defined neocortical networks. *Elife* **5**, e14679, doi:10.7554/eLife.14679 e14679 [pii] (2016).
- 8 Cheng, A., Goncalves, J. T., Golshani, P., Arisaka, K. & Portera-Cailliau, C. Simultaneous two-photon calcium imaging at different depths with spatiotemporal multiplexing. *Nature methods* **8**, 139-142 (2011).
- 9 Rumyantsev, O. I. *et al.* Fundamental bounds on the fidelity of sensory cortical coding. *Nature* **580**, 100-105, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2130-2 (2020).
- 10 Dana, H. *et al.* High-performance calcium sensors for imaging activity in neuronal populations and microcompartments. *Nat Methods* **16**, 649-657, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0435-6 (2019).
- 11 Ohkura, M., Sasaki, T., Kobayashi, C., Ikegaya, Y. & Nakai, J. An improved genetically encoded red fluorescent Ca²⁺ indicator for detecting optically evoked action potentials. *PLoS One* **7**, e39933, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039933 (2012).
- 12 Mohr, M. A. *et al.* jRCaMP: an optimized calcium indicator for two-photon imaging at fiber laser wavelengths. *Nat Methods*, doi:10.1038/s41592-020-0835-7 (2020).
- 13 Kim, T. H. *et al.* Long-Term Optical Access to an Estimated One Million Neurons in the Live Mouse Cortex. *Cell Rep* **17**, 3385-3394, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.004 (2016).
- 14 Podgorski, K. & Ranganathan, G. Brain heating induced by near-infrared lasers during multiphoton microscopy. *J Neurophysiol* **116**, 1012-1023, doi:10.1152/jn.00275.2016 (2016).
- 15 Chen, J. L., Carta, S., Soldado-Magraner, J., Schneider, B. L. & Helmchen, F. Behaviour-dependent recruitment of long-range projection neurons in somatosensory cortex. *Nature* **499**, 336-340 (2013).
- 16 Curtis, J. C. & Kleinfeld, D. Phase-to-rate transformations encode touch in cortical neurons of a scanning sensorimotor system. *Nat Neurosci* **12**, 492-501 (2009).
- 17 Hill, D. N., Curtis, J. C., Moore, J. D. & Kleinfeld, D. Primary motor cortex reports efferent control of vibrissa motion on multiple timescales. *Neuron* **72**, 344-356 (2011).
- 18 Turcotte, R., Liang, Y. & Ji, N. Adaptive optical versus spherical aberration corrections for in vivo brain imaging. *Biomed Opt Express* **8**, 3891-3902, doi:10.1364/BOE.8.003891 (2017).
- 19 Beaulieu, D. R., Davison, I. G., Kilic, K., Bifano, T. G. & Mertz, J. Simultaneous multiplane imaging with reverberation two-photon microscopy. *Nat Methods* **17**, 283-286, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0728-9 (2020).

- 452 20 Marvin, J. S. *et al.* Stability, affinity, and chromatic variants of the glutamate sensor iGluSnFR. *Nat*
453 *Methods* **15**, 936-939, doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0171-3 (2018).
- 454 21 Helassa, N., Podor, B., Fine, A. & Török, K. Design and mechanistic insight into ultrafast calcium
455 indicators for monitoring intracellular calcium dynamics. *Sci Rep* **6**, 38276, doi:10.1038/srep38276
456 (2016).
- 457 22 Yu, C.-H., Stirman, J. N., Yu, Y., Hira, R. & Smith, S. L. Diesel2p mesoscope with dual independent
458 scan engines for flexible capture of dynamics in distributed neural circuitry. *bioRxiv*,
459 2020.2009.2020.305508, doi:10.1101/2020.09.20.305508 (2020).
- 460 23 Condylis, C. *et al.* Context-Dependent Sensory Processing across Primary and Secondary
461 Somatosensory Cortex. *Neuron* **106**, 515-525 e515, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2020.02.004 (2020).
- 462 24 Clack, N. G. *et al.* Automated tracking of whiskers in videos of head fixed rodents. *PLoS Comput*
463 *Biol* **8**, e1002591, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002591 (2012).
- 464 25 Pnevmatikakis, E. A. & Giovannucci, A. NoRMCorre: An online algorithm for piecewise rigid motion
465 correction of calcium imaging data. *J Neurosci Methods* **291**, 83-94,
466 doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.07.031 (2017).
- 467 26 Kirkcaldie, M. T. K. in *The Mouse Nervous System* (eds Charles Watson, George Paxinos, & Luis
468 Puelles) 52-111 (Academic Press, 2012).

469

470

Surface #	Description	Radius (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Material	Semi-Diameter (mm)
1	2D scan mirror	Infinity	15.499		5.000
2		Infinity	1.937		10.743
3		-60.031	6.248	S-PHM53	11.600
4		-13.894	13.411	S-TIH53	11.600
5		-37.548	32.100		18.000
6		116.710	12.343	S-TIH53	33.000
7		40.204	25.041	S-LAH53	33.000
8		-83.493	48.547		33.000
9		-28.862	7.390	S-TIH53	27.000
10		-31.235	3.000	N-BK7	27.000
11		-37.279	6.600		27.000
12		Infinity	20.000		25.702
13	PBS	Infinity	75.000	N-BK7	37.500
14		Infinity	165.233		37.500
15		-60.635	17.500	N-BK7	37.000
16		-145.360	0.250		37.000
17		250.339	24.511	S-PHM52	37.000
18		-49.830	3.000	LF5	37.000
19		-146.999	8.125		37.000
20		138.454	3.175	LF5	34.000
21		42.357	15.926	S-FPL51	34.000
22		207.680	181.098		34.000
23	Objective	Infinity	0.000		19.106

Supplementary Figure 2. Lens specifications for custom scan lens and tube lens.

Supplementary Figure 3. Crosstalk from Spatiotemporal multiplexing/de-multiplexing. *In vivo* images of jYCaMP1s expression acquired sequentially from each excitation beam. De-multiplexed signals were assigned to each imaging area. Crosstalk for each area was calculated as the amount of detected signal relative to the main imaging area.

Supplementary Figure 4. Point spread function measurements across the field of view. a) Example images of 0.5 μm fluorescent beads from the center of the FOV, 1.5 mm off axis, and 2.4 mm off axis. Scale bars: 5 μm . **b,c)** Lateral full-width at half maximum (FWHM) measurements of beads along the full X axis [b] and the full Y axis [c] of the FOV. Bars represent the mean and error bars the s.e.m. A total of 8 beads were measured at each imaging location. **d,e)** Axial FWHM measurements of beads along the full X axis [d] and the full Y axis [e] of the FOV. The axial FWHM was measured in both the XZ and YZ planes to account for asymmetrical aberrations. Bars represent the mean and error bars the s.e.m.

502

503

504 **Supplementary Figure 5. Field curvature.** Change in focal plane depth across the full FOV of

505 the system as simulated in ZEMAX.

506

Supplementary Figure 6. Performance impact of adjusting the ETL. **a)** RMS wavefront error as a function of the focal plane change due to focusing of the electrically tunable lens (ETL). Performance is compared between the on axis condition and the 2.4mm off axis position in each the X and Y axis. **b and c)** Measured and simulated axial (**b**) and lateral (**c**) full width at half maximum PSF values. Measured values are from 0.5 μm fluorescent beads while simulated values come from the simulated Huygens PSF from ZEMAX. A total of 6-8 beads were collected for each imaging position. The bars represent the mean and error bars the s.e.m.

Supplementary Figure 7. Optical design and analysis of the detection system. a) Optical ray trace of the detection system. **b)** Plot of the fraction of modeled rays detected by the detector across the field of view. An efficiency of >78% was achieved across a 5 mm diameter FOV. **c and d)** Maximum numerical aperture at which all light reaches the detector as a function of position in the FOV along the x axis (**c**) and y axis (**d**).

Supplementary Figure 8. Quad area two-photon calcium imaging of GCaMP7f in sensorimotor cortex in the awake mouse using a 920nm laser source. Wide-field fluorescence image of mouse sensorimotor cortex showing GCaMP7f expression through an implanted crystal skull (left). **b)** Calcium transients in the awake animal from cortical neurons imaged across the four areas, simultaneously acquired at 30 Hz (right). Scale bars: 1 mm.

Supplementary Figure 9. Sustained Quadroscope imaging does not induce photodamage. a) Positioning of four imaging areas continuously scanned for 30 minutes at 75mW per beam. **b)** Examples of immunolabeled coronal sections on one imaging area (dotted line in [a]) with the area of laser exposure highlighted. **c-f)** No significant differences in relative fluorescence between 8 laser-exposure areas (4 x 2 animals) and 10 control areas. Bars are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. Data points in the laser condition are color coded based on the four locations/beams. Scale bars: 1 mm.