Short title: Distinct roles of hydroxypyruvate reductases in photorespiration

Title: Integrative analysis of the hydroxypyruvate reductases revealing their distinct roles in photorespiration of *Chlamydomonas*

Menglin Shi¹, Lei Zhao^{2,3,*}, #, Yong Wang^{1,*}, #

1. College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

2. Key Laboratory of Systems Microbial Biotechnology, Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Tianjin 300308, China

3. National Technology Innovation Center of Synthetic Biology, Tianjin 300308, China

* Senior Authors: Yong Wang, wangyong@nankai.edu.cn; Lei Zhao, zhaol@tib.cas.cn

To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Yong Wang, Ph.D.

College of Life Sciences, Nankai University

Weijin Road 94, Tianjin 300071, China

Tel: +86-022-23504388

e-mail: wangyong@nankai.edu.cn

Lei Zhao, Ph.D.

Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

32 West 7th Avenue, Tianjin Airport Economic Area, Tianjin 300308, China

Tel: +86-022-24828786

e-mail: zhaol@tib.cas.cn

Brief summary

Identification and characterization of genes encoding hydroxypyruvate reductases in *Chlamydomonas*, demonstrating difference in the enzymatic activity, subcellular location, as well as function in photorespiration.

33 **Abstract**

34 Photorespiration plays an important role in maintaining normal physiological metabolism in
 35 higher plants and other oxygenic organisms such as algae. The unicellular eukaryotic organism
 36 *Chlamydomonas* is reported to have a different photorespiration system from that in higher plants,
 37 and only two out of nine genes encoding photorespiratory enzymes have been experimentally
 38 characterized. Hydroxypyruvate reductase (HPR), which is responsible for the conversion of
 39 hydroxypyruvate into glycerate, is poorly understood and not yet explored in *Chlamydomonas*. To
 40 identify the candidate genes encoding hydroxypyruvate reductase in *Chlamydomonas* (CrHPR)
 41 and uncover their elusive functions, we performed sequence comparison, enzyme activity
 42 measurement, subcellular localization, and analysis of knockout/knockdown strains. Together we
 43 identify five proteins to be good candidates as CrHPRs, all of which are detected with the activity
 44 of hydroxypyruvate reductase. CrHPR1, a NADH-dependent enzyme in mitochondria, may
 45 function as the major component of photorespiration, and deletion of *CrHPR1* causes severe
 46 photorespiratory defects. CrHPR2 takes parts in the cytosolic bypass of photorespiration as the
 47 compensatory pathway of CrHPR1 for the reduction of hydroxypyruvate. CrHPR4, with NADH as
 48 the cofactor, may participate in photorespiration by acting as the chloroplastidial glyoxylate
 49 reductase in glycolate-quinone oxidoreductase system. Therefore, our results reveal that the
 50 CrHPRs are far more complex than previously recognized, and provide a greatly expanded
 51 knowledge base for studies to understand how CrHPRs perform their functions in photorespiration.
 52 These will facilitate the genetic engineering for crop improvement by synthetic biology.

56 **Key Words:** photorespiration, photosynthesis, hydroxypyruvate reductase, glycolate,

57 *Chlamydomonas*,

58

59 INTRODUCTION

60 Photosynthesis and photorespiration are the two major pathways of plant primary metabolism
61 mediated by the bifunctional enzyme ribulose biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco,
62 Griffiths, 2006). Since the cellular O₂ concentration is much higher than that of CO₂,
63 correspondingly high amount of photosynthetically fixed carbon is released via the oxidation of
64 Rubisco during photorespiration (Somerville, 2001). The loss of fixed carbon associated with
65 photorespiration could be greatly elevated by the rising temperature and drought, resulting in the
66 severe reduction of crop yields (Bauwe et al., 2012). Hence, photorespiration is becoming the
67 important target for crop improvement and obtaining more attention considering that the world
68 will face more serious challenges such as extreme climate and severe food shortage (Ort et al.,
69 2015).

70 Photorespiration, conserved in higher plants and most algae, comprises a series of nine
71 consecutive enzymatic reactions distributed over chloroplasts, peroxisomes, mitochondria, and the
72 cytosol (Bauwe et al., 2012). It starts from the catalysis mediated by Rubisco in chloroplast, in
73 which ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) is oxidized into 2-phosphoglycolate (2-PG) and
74 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA, Tcherkez, 2013). 2-PG is dephosphorylated by the phosphoglycolate
75 phosphatase, leading to the generation of glycolate which enters photorespiratory metabolism flux
76 for the further conversion (Douce and Heldt, 2000). In the penultimate step of photorespiration
77 cycle, hydroxypyruvate is converted to glycerate catalyzed by hydroxypyruvate reductase (HPR),
78 and eventually to Calvin-Benson intermediate 3-PGA (Hagemann and Bauwe, 2016). Despite the
79 good consensus on the photorespiratory cycle, the serial catalytic enzymes and the detailed
80 functions till now have not yet been globally characterized (Eisenhut et al., 2019). One question
81 that remains to be resolved is concerning the presence and function of hydroxypyruvate reductase
82 in *Chlamydomonas* photorespiration, which is the topics of the present study.

83 HPRs are highly conserved evolutionarily, however, they are only experimentally
84 characterized in limited extent, especially in terms of underlying gene at molecular level. Since
85 1970s, HPRs have been purified and studied on their enzymological property with then
86 state-of-the-art methodology, and the studies mainly focused on HPRs from barley (Kleczkowski
87 et al., 1990), Spinach (Kleczkowski et al., 1988, 1991), Cucumber (Titus et al., 1983; Greenler et
88 al., 1989; Schwartz et al., 1992), Pumpkin (Hayashi et al., 1996; Mano et al., 2000), *Arabidopsis*
89 (Mano et al., 1997; Cousins et al., 2008) and *Chlamydomonas* (Stabenau et al., 1974; Husic and
90 Tolbert, 1987). Until recently, functional details of the underlying genes are revealed in model
91 organism like *Arabidopsis*. The deletion of *HPR1* causes no visible alternation of growth or
92 photorespiration in atmospheric air, differentiating from the lethal phenotype displayed by the

mutants with impairment in other photorespiratory components (Murray et al., 1989; Timm et al., 2008; Cousins et al., 2011; Ye et al., 2014). The non-typical photorespiratory phenotypes of *hpr1* could be explained by the study from *Arabidopsis*, in which the missing of peroxisome-targeting AtHPR1 is partially compensated by the cytosolic bypass of photorespiration mediated by AtHPR2 (Timm et al., 2008; Li et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the combined deletion of both *HPR1* and *HPR2* does not result in the lethal phenotype of *hpr1hpr2*, though the typical photorespiratory characteristics were detected (Timm et al., 2008; Ye et al., 2014), suggesting the presence of additional HP-reducing enzyme. With BLAST search, HPR3 was identified to be the potential candidate for such enzyme in *Arabidopsis*, and it showed activity with HP using NADPH as the co-substrate (Timm et al., 2011). Interestingly, HPR3 could accept glyoxylate as a substrate, thus it may represent an additional bypass both to known HPRs and to glyoxylate reductases in chloroplasts (Timm et al., 2011).

Unlike the higher plants, *Chlamydomonas* employed a CO₂ concentration mechanism (CCM) to increase CO₂ concentration in the vicinity of Rubisco, and its photorespiration system is assumed to work differently (Wang et al., 2015). Shortly after transfer from high CO₂ to low CO₂, but before the induction of CCM, photorespiration metabolism was induced rapidly and briefly (Brueggeman et al., 2012). Moreover, photorespiration is assumed to pass through the mitochondria rather than peroxisome in *Chlamydomonas*, which is not yet to be globally studied and confirmed (Nakamura et al., 2005). In terms of HPR, Stabenau initially detected the CrHPR activity in mitochondria (Stabenau, 1974), then Husic and Tolbert further investigated the enzymatic characteristics with the cell extracts (1985). In the decades since then, no further research on CrHPRs is reported, and both the underlying genes and their detailed functions remain waiting to be discovered.

To search for the elusive CrHPRs, we have now exploited the *Chlamydomonas* genome and identified 5 proteins as candidates for being novel CrHPRs. Detailed studies indicate that all five CrHPRs are detected with the activity of HPR. Using both bioinformatics and cell biology approaches, we were able to define the subcellular location of CrHPRs. We further addressed the physiological roles of *CrHPR1*, *CrHPR2* and *CrHPR4* by analyzing the respective knockout/knockdown strains, and revealed their distinct roles in photorespiration. These findings are important steps toward understanding how CrHPRs perform their functions, and will facilitate the genetic engineering for crop improvement by providing prime targets for modification.

125 RESULTS

126 Identification and bioinformatic analysis of CrHPRs

127 HPR proteins are highly conserved over a wide range of species (Kutner et al., 2018). The putative
128 Chlamydomonas HPR homolog is the protein encoded by *Cre06.g295450.t1.2* (hereafter
129 designated as *CrHPR1*). Sequence alignment of HPR proteins from select species indicated the
130 conservation of CrHPR1 as hydroxypyruvate reductase, manifesting as the presence of highly
131 conserved NAD(P)-binding motif, NAD recognition sites, substrate-orienting and catalytic pair
132 domains (Fig. S1).

133 To identify all candidate CrHPRs, protein sequence of CrHPR1 was used to search against the
134 Chlamydomonas Proteome via the BLASTP function built on the widely used online service,
135 including Phytozome 12, HMMER or AlgaePath, with the default parameters. The same list of
136 eight proteins was retrieved with each of the three independent searches, and CrHPR1 itself was
137 identified with the lowest E-value. Three remarkably similar proteins encoded by *Cre07.g344400*,
138 *Cre07.g344550* and *Cre07.g344600*, respectively and annotated as phosphoglycerate
139 dehydrogenase, were identified possibly because of the presence of NAD-binding and catalytic
140 domains. Since they were reported to function in thylakoid membrane remodeling in response to
141 adverse environmental conditions (Du et al., 2018), they are unlikely candidates for CrHPRs
142 related to photorespiration, and were not characterized further.

143 To confirm whether the proteins encoded by *Cre01.g019100.t1.2*, *Cre02.g087300.t1.3*,
144 *Cre07.g324550.t1.3* and *Cre16.g689700.t1.3*, were authentic CrHPRs, sequence alignment was
145 performed with CrHPR1 as the reference. As shown in Fig. S2, these five proteins shared the
146 conserved HPR domains suggesting that they are good candidates as hydroxypyruvate reductase.
147 Therefore, *Cre01.g019100.t1.2*, *Cre02.g087300.t1.3*, *Cre07.g324550.t1.3* and *Cre16.g689700.t1.3*
148 were serially named as *CrHPR2*, *CrHPR3*, *CrHPR4* and *CrHPR5* according to their location in
149 chromosome, respectively, which together with *CrHPR1* made of five candidate genes encoding
150 hydroxypyruvate reductase in Chlamydomonas genome.

151

152 CrHPRs are proteins with hydroxypyruvate reductase activity

153 To determine how reliable our identification of potentially novel CrHPRs was and compare their
154 enzymatic properties, CrHPRs identified above were heterologous expressed in *E. coli* and tested
155 different substrate and cofactor combinations (Table 1). The expression and purification of
156 tagged-recombinant CrHPRs were assayed with SDS-PAGE gels. As shown in Fig. S3, the
157 recombinant CrHPR proteins were obtained at high purity, and each migrated at the
158 expected molecular weight on the gels.

159 With the purified recombinant CrHPRs, we measured the enzymatic activity with different
160 substrate and cofactor combinations (Table 1). Tag-purified recombinant CrHRP1 was active in
161 the presence of NADH and hydroxypyruvate, whereas NADPH-dependent rate was >180 times
162 lower (312.5 vs 1.70 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$ protein). The enzyme also accepted glyoxylate as a
163 substrate, but at a considerably lower efficiency in the presence of NADH (312.5 vs 13.91
164 $\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$ protein). These parameters established CrHRP1 as the NADH-dependent HPR
165 in *Chlamydomonas*. By contrast, CrHPR5 mainly presented as the NADPH-dependent HPR with a
166 much higher enzymatic activity than that of any other combinations (>50 times).

167 CrHPR3 and CrHPR4 were detected with cofactors specificity, and they were only active in
168 the presence of NADPH and NADH, respectively. Intriguingly, CrHPR4 could accept pyruvate as
169 substrate with correspondingly high enzymatic activity, suggesting its potential roles in
170 fermentative metabolism (Burgess et al., 2015). Although it also accepted both hydroxypyruvate
171 and glyoxylate as substrates, the catalytic efficiency is much lower than that with pyruvate (<15
172 times). The purified CrHPR2 showed relaxed activity with both NADH and NADPH, and
173 hydroxypyruvate was the more favored substrate than glyoxylate.

174 **CrHPRs may function in multiple subcellular regions**

175 To explore the potential functions of CrHPRs, phylogenetic tree was generated to infer their
176 evolution characteristics using the maximum likelihood algorithm (Kumar et al., 2015). As shown
177 in Fig. S4, CrHRP1 was assigned into the plant subgroup, suggesting its conservation in
178 plant-specific metabolism during evolution, and it's consistent with the alignment analysis (Fig.
179 S1). CrHPR4 presented closely association with the photosynthetic cyanobacteria subgroup, which
180 may imply its potential role in chloroplast considering the endosymbiotic hypothesis (Martin et al.,
181 2015). CrHPR2, CrHPR3 and CrHPR5 are likely originated from the bacteria as they were put
182 into the ascomycetes and firmicutes subgroups, suggesting that they may participate in
183 mitochondrial or cytosolic metabolism.

185 To determine the reliability of subcellular localization inferred from phylogenetic analysis,
186 either the N-terminal or C-terminal of CrHPRs was tagged with mCerulean fluorescent protein
187 (CFP) as described in methods. Then the constructs were transformed into WT/CC-125 strain,
188 screened for the positive clones, and assayed by laser confocal microscopy. As visible in Fig.S5,
189 CrHRP1- and CrHPR5-CFP (amino acids of the N-terminus of CrHPR2 and CrHPR5)
190 accumulated in the punctuated dots distributed in cytosol, and they likely function in mitochondria
191 as no putative peroxisomal localization sequence, PTS1 or PTS2, were identified in their
192 sequences (Gould et al., 1987; Swinkels et al., 1991). Using both N-terminal and C-terminal
193 tagging only, CrHPR2 and CrHPR3 were confirmed to diffusely presented in the cytosol.

194 Chloroplast targeting was found for CrHPR4 (amino acids of the C-terminus) supported by the
195 overlapping between CFP-CrHPR4 signal and chlorophyll autofluorescence, and it's in
196 accordance with previous study (Burgess et al., 2015). Therefore, inference of CrHPRs'
197 subcellular localization from phylogenetic analysis is in consistent with the results of fluorescence
198 microscopy.

199

200 **NADH-dependent CrHPRs take parts in photorespiration as the major components**

201 Previous studies revealed that, cofactors, such as NADH or NADPH, are combined with the
202 substrate in the catalytic reaction of HPRs (Lassalle et al., 2016). Based on this, we designed a
203 strategy of two steps to determine the CrHPRs participating in photorespiration.

204 Step 1: We examined the cofactors (NADH or NADPH) employed in photorespiration, from
205 which we could infer the related CrHPRs on the basis of their catalytic characteristics (Table 1).

206 As shown in Fig. 1A, the enzyme activity of NADH-dependent HPRs was much higher in
207 photorespiration induced by air condition than that under non-photorespiration condition (CO₂
208 condition) while the activity of NADPH-dependent HPRs was only slightly changed, which
209 demonstrated the major roles of NADH-dependent CrHPRs in photorespiration. Since CrHPR1,
210 CrHPR2, CrHPR4 and CrHPR5 were detected with the activity of HPRs in the presence of NADH
211 (Table 1), thus their participation in photorespiration were examined further.

212 Step 2: If CrHPRs function in photorespiration, they could be induced in such condition.
213 Based on the assumption, we monitored their transcriptional profiles to determine the CrHPRs
214 involved in photorespiration. As indicated in Fig. 1B, a 2-fold increasement of *CrHPR1* and
215 *CrHPR4* was detected in their transcriptional levels when cells were transferred from CO₂ to air
216 conditions, suggesting their potential roles in photorespiration. By contrast, we did not observe
217 significant difference of *CrHPR2* or *CrHPR5* transcripts between CO₂ and air conditions,
218 suggesting their fewer dominant roles in photorespiration than *CrHPR1* or *CrHPR4* (Fig. 1B).

219 Together, the NADH-dependent CrHPRs take parts in photorespiration as the major
220 components, in which CrHPR1 and CrHPR4 may play more important roles than CrHPR2 and
221 CrHPR5.

222

223 **Knockout of CrHPR1 impairs photorespiration**

224 CrHPR1 was confirmed with high NADH-dependent activity, and may play major roles in
225 photorespiration (Table 1, Fig. 1). To explore its physiological function, we set out to isolate the
226 insertion strain for *CrHPR1/Cre06.g295450.t1.2* within the mutant library generated previously
227 (Cheng et al., 2017). As shown in Fig. 2A, the paromomycin resistance cassette *AphVIII* was

228 inserted into the seventh intron in *Crhpr1*, which was confirmed by the genome sequencing (data
229 not shown). The knockout then was verified by qRT-PCR analysis using primers that span the
230 cassette insertion site as described in methods. The mature transcript was found to be greatly
231 disrupted in the mutant as indicated in Fig. 2B and C. These results confirmed the knockout of the
232 *CrHPR1/Cre06.g295450.t1.2* in the insertion line *Crhpr1*, and used for the further study.

233 As visible in Fig. 2E, *Crhpr1* presented no noticeable difference of growth to WT when they
234 were cultured in tris-minimal medium under high CO₂ conditions. However, the photorespiratory
235 defects of *Crhpr1*, such as retarded growth and decreased ratio of Rubisco
236 (oxidation/carboxylation), were observed when the cells were transferred to the air condition (Fig.
237 2D and F, Table S5). Interestingly, a stronger ability to process photosynthetic electron flow was
238 detected in *Crhpr1*, manifesting as the elevation in the maximum photochemical quantum yield of
239 PSII, maximum electron transfer efficiency, and reduction in the minimum saturating irradiance
240 (Fig. S6). The phenotypic defects of *Crhpr1* may result from the leakage of photosynthetically
241 fixed carbon, which is supported by the more glycolate excreted into the medium by *Crhpr1* as
242 measured in Fig. 2G. Overexpression of *CrHPR1* in WT/CC-125 did not bring in visually
243 phenotypic changes (Fig. 2D, E, F and G).

244 The phenotypes of *Crhpr1* detected above were restored to the WT/CC-125 level in the
245 rescued strain *Crhpr1:CrHPR1* (Fig. 2B, C, D, F and G; Fig. S6), which provided evidence that
246 the photorespiration is disrupted in *Crhpr1* and thus that the function of CrHPR1 is closely related
247 to photorespiration.

248

249 **CrHPR2 knockdown strains show photorespiratory defects at *Crhpr1* background**

250 Although CrHPR2 was detected with NADPH-dependent HPR activity, it was not induced in
251 WT/CC-125 under photorespiratory condition as indicated by qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1A), and no
252 difference in phenotypes of CrHPR2 knockdown strains and WT/CC-125 was observed (Table S6,
253 Fig. S7). We speculated that CrHPR2 performs the compensatory functions of CrHPR1, and it
254 may act as the major component in the extra-mitochondria hydroxypyruvate-reducing pathway in
255 *Chlamydomonas*, which could catalyze the redundant hydroxypyruvate penetrated from
256 mitochondria. To test this possibility, we compared the transcript level of *CrHPR2* in WT/CC-125
257 and *Crhpr1*. As shown in Fig. 3A, the expression of *CrHPR2* was greatly induced in air when
258 *CrHPR1* was deleted, which verified the potential role of *CrHPR2* in photorespiration mentioned
259 above. To explore its physiological function, we generated the *CrHPR2* knockdown strains at
260 *Crhpr1* background, yielding serial *Crhpr1-a2* mutants. qRT-PCR analysis indicated that *CrHPR2*
261 transcript in *Crhpr1-a2* strains was reduced to various extents of that in WT/CC-125 (Fig. 3B),
262 which confirmed the disruption of *CrHPR2* expression. Thus, they were used for further analysis.

263 Although the expression of *CrHPR2* was greatly destroyed, no visible difference in growth
264 was observed between *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a2* strains when the cells were cultured in air,
265 regardless of the solid or liquid medium (Fig. 3C and D). Nevertheless, the catalytic rate of
266 Rubisco (carboxylation/oxidation) of *Crhpr1-a2* strains was much lower than that of *Crhpr1*,
267 especially that the carboxylation rate was greatly affected in *Crhpr1-a2* (Fig. 3E, Table S7). These
268 results suggest that the photosynthetic activity of *Crhpr1-a2* may have been affected by the
269 increased glycolate excreted by the strains. We further measured the concentration of glycolate
270 secreted to the medium by respective mutants, and the results indicated that more glycolate was
271 indeed released by *Crhpr1-a2* strains than *Crhpr1* (Fig. 3F).

272 These evidences confirm that photorespiration is affected in the *CrHPR2* knockdown strains,
273 and *CrHPR2* is indeed associated with photorespiration. This exacerbated excretion of glycolate
274 by *Crhpr1-a2* may bring damage to photosynthesis in some extents, manifesting as the decreased
275 quantum yield efficiency of PSII, electron transfer rate and minimum suturing irradiance (Fig. S8).

276 277 278 **CrHPR4 participates in photorespiration as a chloroplast-targeting glyoxylate reductase**

279 *CrHPR4* was greatly induced in both WT/CC-125 and *Crhpr1* strains in air (Fig. 1A and
280 4A), demonstrating its participation in photorespiration. To uncover its detailed function in
281 photorespiratory physiology, we generated the *CrHPR4* knockdown strains at WT/CC-125
282 background, yielding *WT/CC-125-a4*. Both photosynthetic activity and growth of *WT/CC-125-a4*
283 strains were only slightly affected (Fig. S9), which is consistent with previous study (Burgess et
284 al., 2015). However, the ratio of Rubisco (oxidation/carboxylation) was detected with a lower
285 value in *WT/CC-125-a4* strains than that in WT/CC-125 (Table S8), suggesting the relation of
286 CrHPR4 to photorespiration in some extents. To further explore the possibility, we generated the
287 *CrHPR4* knockdown strains at *Crhpr1* background, yielding *Crhpr1-a4*. As determined by the
288 qRT-PCR analysis, a reduced expression level of *CrHPR4* was detected in *Crhpr1-a4* strains (Fig.
289 4B) and they were used in the following studies.

290 Apparently, the visually enhanced growth was observed for *Crhpr1-a4* strains compared to
291 that of *Crhpr1* in both solid and liquid medium (Fig. 4C and D). The highly accumulated biomass
292 of *Crhpr1-a4* possibly resulted from its efficient activity in both photosynthesis and CO₂ fixation
293 (Fig. 4E, S10; Table S9). Unexpectedly, less glycolate, intermediate in photorespiration, was
294 excreted by *Crhpr1-a4* than both *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a2* (Fig. 4F), and this prompted us to
295 investigate whether CrHPR4 still perform functions as HPR. We then examined the enzymatic
296 activity with cell extracts from respective strains, and determined the difference (Fig. 4G).

297 Intriguingly, the enzymatic activity of NADH-dependent glyoxylate reductase rather than HPR

was significantly impaired/decreased in *Crhpr1-a4* strains compared to that in *Crhpr1* (Fig. 4G). These evidences established the relationship of CrHPR4 to photorespiration but it may mainly function as glyoxylate reductase not HPR, and its physiological effects seem to be different from those of CrHPR1 and CrHPR2.

Considering that CrHPR4 was targeted to the chloroplast (Fig. S5), it likely plays roles in the glycolate-quinone oxidoreductase system which could be inhibited by salicylhydroxamic acid (SHAM) (Goyal, 2002). To test this possibility, we added SHAM to the medium and found that the excretion of glycolate was indeed suppressed in *Crhpr1-a4* strains (Fig. 4F). Moreover, the halted glycolate-quinone oxidoreductase reaction by SHAM resulted in less reactive oxygen species which is the byproduct of the oxidoreductase system (Fig. 4H), and higher carboxylation efficiency (Fig. 4I).

Together, these results provide the evidence that CrHPR4 may take parts in photorespiration by acting as the chloroplasdial glyoxylate reductase in the glycolate-quinone oxidoreductase system, which differentiates it from CrHPR1 and CrHPR2.

DISCUSSION

The ensemble of CrHPR proteins identified here is previously uncharacterized photorespiratory components with hydroxypyruvate reductase

In an effort to identify all the hydroxypyruvate reductase in *Chlamydomonas* genome, we initially used the BLAST function with the protein sequence of CrHPR1 as reference to search against the *Chlamydomonas* proteome. The analysis generated a list of 5 candidate novel CrHPRs (including CrHPR1 itself), in which the conserved domains of HPR, including NAD(P)-binding motif, NAD recognition sites, substrate-orienting and catalytic pair domains, were identified (Fig. S1 and S2). Further study of the five CrHPRs by enzymatic assay showed that all five are indeed detected with the activity of HPR (Table 1). Subcellular localization (Fig. S5) and phenotypic characterization of respective mutants (Fig. 2, 3 and 4) provide evidences that some of these candidate proteins in fact act in photorespiration. Thus, we have globally identified the CrHPRs and explore their functions that were previously unknown.

CrHPRs are locating in multiple subcellular regions when function in photorespiration

Compared to the higher plants, photorespiration was assumed to pass through the mitochondria rather than peroxisome in *Chlamydomonas* (Nakamura et al., 2005), which is supported by the detected mitochondrial CrHPRs activity (Stabenau et al., 1974). Husic further demonstrated that

it's NADH-dependent CrHPRs that may function as the major components of HPRs in photorespiration (Husic and Tolbert, 1987), but not yet known at the sequence level. Here we identified two mitochondrion-targeting CrHPRs, CrHPR1 and CrHPR5, assayed with NADH-dependent activity for the first time according to our knowledge (Fig. S5, Table 1), and they are likely the proteins previously shown to be associated with the photorespiration (Husic and Tolbert, 1987). Especially CrHPR1, which was experimentally verified with high NADH-dependent enzymatic activity, may contribute most of the HPR activities measured by Husic (Husic and Tolbert, 1987). Therefore, it may not be coincidental that *Crhpr1* developed photorespiratory defects in air (Fig. 2 D, E, F and G). CrHPR5, active in the presence of NADPH, may have a function related to the glutathione peroxidase or peroxiredoxin antioxidant systems that need plenty of NADPH converted from NADH.

But Husic did not know that there are bypass pathways of photorespiration, a conclusion that is supported by both previous and present studies (Timm et al., 2008; Timm et al., 2011). In present study, we found that CrHPR2 and CrHPR4, which are proved to be related to photorespiration (Fig. 3 and 4), were targeted to cytosol and chloroplast (Fig. S5), respectively. They may function as such components of the bypass pathway of photorespiration, and it will be of interest to determine their detailed functions as discussed in the below sections.

CrHPR1 acts as the major NADH-dependent HPR in Chlamydomonas, and functions differently from HPR1 in higher plants

CrHPR1 was assigned into the plant-specific subgroup in phylogenetics analysis (Fig. S4), and it has been well inherited through evolution implicated by the presence of homologs in higher plants (Fig. S1). However, the function of CrHPR1 in photorespiration may be different from its homologs in higher plants according to the present study.

Unlike the peroxisome-location of HPR1 in higher plants, CrHPR1 was targeted to the mitochondria (Fig. S5), which supports the assumption that photorespiration may pass through mitochondria rather than peroxisome in *Chlamydomonas* (Nakamura et al., 2005). Further investigation revealed that *Crhpr1* presented obvious photorespiratory defects and retarded growth in air (Fig. 2), which are greatly different to the no visually noticeable phenotypes of *hpr1* mutants in high plants (Timm et al., 2008; Cousins et al., 2011). This leads us to suggest that CrHPR1 acts as the major NADH-dependent HPR in *Chlamydomonas*, and the roles of CrHPR1 in photorespiration seems to be much more dominant than that of HPR1 in higher plants. Apparently, the cytosolic or chloroplast bypass pathway of photorespiration (Fig. 3 and 4), performed by CrHPR2 and CrHPR4, could not fully compensate the lost function of mitochondrial CrHPR1.

Therefore, the novel phenotypes of *Crhpr1* described here expand the range of HPRs' phenotypes associated with photorespiratory defects.

In the course of analysis, we also noticed that *Chlamydomonas* and high plants responses differently to the photorespiratory defects. In *Arabidopsis*, 2-phosphoglycolate is accumulated and metabolized by G6P shunt in *hpr1* mutant (Li et al., 2019), and hydroxypyruvate is converted into glycolate after decarboxylation and oxidation, then glycolate reenters the core photorespiration pathway (Missihoun and Kotchoni, 2018). However, the *Crhpr1* mutant excretes a large amount of glycolate to balance the internal environment (Fig. 2G), which resulted in the greatly reduced efficiency of carbon fixation. It's likely that more complex photorespiration and adaption mechanisms have been adopted during evolution, but the underlying cause of the difference between *Chlamydomonas* and higher plants remains to be clarified.

CrHPR2 participates in the cytosolic bypass of photorespiration

The general assumption that conversion of hydroxypyruvate to glycerate is exclusively performed by the mitochondria- or peroxisome-targeted HPR1 may not be comprehensive (Timm et al., 2008; Timm et al., 2011; Ye et al., 2014; Missihoun and Kotchoni, 2018), considering that HPR2 could participate in the cytosolic bypass of photorespiration in both *Chlamydomonas* and higher plants (Table 1, Fig. 3; Timm et al., 2008). Our determination of the functions of CrHPR2 in photorespiration is based on evidence from several aspects as follows.

In our initial analysis, we found that CrHPR2 was assigned into the bacterial subgroup, which suggests that its participation may not be limited to the light-related metabolism (Fig. S4). To further investigate its function, we generated the CrHPR2 knockdown strains at WT/CC-125 background, but no obvious photorespiratory defect was observed (Fig. S7). Only when CrHPR2 was knocked down in *Crhpr1*, the more severe photorespiratory defects of *Crhpr1-a2* could be detected than those of *Crhpr1* (Fig. 3). These evidences imply the participation of CrHPR2 in photorespiration, but it may play a compensatory role in some extent, which is supported by the result from qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 3A). If so, these evidences mentioned above support the permeability of mitochondria matrix for hydroxypyruvate. Thus, our data provide indirect evidence that hydroxypyruvate could easily equilibrate with cytosol when no CrHPR1 is present within the mitochondria. Despite it remains to be examined the presence of suggested mitochondria channeling of photorespiratory intermediates HP (Keech et al., 2017), it is not unlikely that such equilibration mentioned above occurs in WT/CC-125.

Last but not least, it is of importance to build the interaction network of cytosolic CrHPR2, which would provide more details of CrHPR2 in both photorespiration and other metabolic

pathways. What's more, CrHPR3 was also localized to cytosol but did not show a similar function to that of CrHPR2. How CrHPR2 and CrHPR3 coordinate with each other in the cytosolic bypass of photorespiration remains to be explored.

CrHPR4, targeted to the chloroplast, mainly plays roles in photorespiration as glyoxylate reductase within this compartment

Here, we report to our knowledge a previously uncharacterized enzyme that could reduce HP, glyoxylate and pyruvate (Table 1), and hence could directly or indirectly contribute to photorespiration in *Chlamydomonas*. Considering its multiple substrates and preference for the cofactor NADH, the identified CrHPR4 could support mitochondrial and cytosolic CrHPRs as well as chloroplastidial and cytosolic glyoxylate reductase (Ching et al., 2012; Briki et al., 2017) for an optimal reduction of the respective intermediates (Fig. 1). Therefore, the observed effects of CrHPR4 on photorespiration clearly suggest its involvement in this process (Fig. 4).

By combining the data of enzymatic characteristics and the analysis of *Crhpr1-a4* strains, we proposed the detailed mechanism for *CrHPR4* participating in photorespiration as shown in Fig. 5: CrHPR4 was detected with the activity of glyoxylate reductase (Table 1), and it may act in the glycolate-quinone oxidoreductase system which is supported by the results presented in Fig. 5. When *CrHPR4* is knocked down in *Crhpr1*, excess glyoxylate is converted into CO₂ which directly inhibits the oxidation reaction of Rubisco while promoting the carboxylation reaction (Fig. 4E, Table S10). Meanwhile, the production of glycolate mediated by CrHPR4 is greatly disrupted in *Crhpr1-a4* strains, resulting in the less excretion of glycolate into medium (Fig. 4F). Together, the knockdown of CrHPR4 results in the increased CO₂ fixation and less loss of photosynthetic fixed carbon (Fig. 4H and I). As a result, *Crhpr1-a4* was observed with more robust growth compared to *Crhpr1* (Fig. 4C and D). Considering the great effects of CrHPR4 on the conversion of glyoxylate into glycolate, it may function as the dominant glyoxylate reductase in chloroplast. It's undeniable that CrHPR4 have linked the photosynthesis and photorespiration closely via the glycolate metabolism, and the fine-tuning mechanism remains unidentified yet.

Interestingly, CrHPR4 was detected with the activity of pyruvate reductase which is consistent with previous study (Burgess et al., 2015). As pyruvate reductase, CrHPR4, however, may mainly play an important role in anaerobic/fermentation metabolism (Burgess et al., 2015). It seems likely that CrHPR4 could participate in both photorespiration and anaerobic metabolism by acting as a bifunction enzyme, but how CrHPR4 performs roles in two pathways remains to be identified. Thus, the investigation of interaction network of CrHPR4 in chloroplast could provide more details. Moreover, CrHPR4 was also detected with the activity of HPR, but how HP is

transported from mitochondrion to chloroplast is not identified yet (Reumann and Weber, 2006; Keech et al., 2017). Thus, it would be very informative to analyze and compare the “-omics” of *Crhpr1-a4* under photorespiratory and non-photorespiratory conditions as fermentation, to uncover its elusive functions and exact physiological role.

In conclusion, although the role for CrHPR4 will need further investigation, this study presents strong indications that the enzyme is closely associated with the photorespiratory process and can at least partially participate in the chloroplast glycolate metabolism. Moreover, CrHPR4 could display a possible link of photorespiration to photosynthesis and fermentation that remains to be identified. It’s particularly important to clarify the underlying mechanism of CrHPR4 functioning in multiple pathways, and it will benefit both the construction of plant metabolic network and the crop improvement by synthetic biology.

Concluding remarks

Our results reveal that the CrHPRs are far more complex than previously recognized, and provide a greatly expanded knowledge base to understand their functions in photorespiration for future study. Considering the presence of multiple CrHPRs in *Chlamydomonas* genome and phenotypes of the mutants, it will be of great interest to uncover the detailed mechanism of how they coordinate with each other when performing roles in photorespiration.

CrHPRs could link photorespiration to photosynthesis and fermentation, and they may act as the central hub in the coordination of metabolism. Hence, the studies here will benefit the construction of plant metabolic network, and provide important clues for crop improvement by genetic engineering. Meanwhile, glycolate could be converted into methane (Günther et al., 2012), and it will be of interest to explore the potentiality of develop glycolate into bioenergy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and culture conditions

All *Chlamydomonas* strains used in the work are listed in Table S1. WT strain used was CC-125 (*Chlamydomonas* Resource Center, <https://www.chlamycollection.org>). The mutant *hpr1* was generated as previously described (Cheng et al., 2017) and obtained from the Wu Han Jingyu Microalgae Science CO., LTD, China. AmiRNA mutants was generated as previously described (Hu et al., 2014).

Chlamydomonas cells were maintained in solid Tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) plates, and cultured in liquid Tris-minimal medium (Gorman and Levine, 1965) at 25 °C under 80 $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

continuous light. For high CO₂ treatment, cells were grown in Tris-minimal medium with aeration of 3% CO₂.

qRT-PCR analysis

Total mRNAs used for qRT-PCR experiments were isolated from *Chlamydomonas* using the Easpep Super total RNA extraction kit (Promega), and cDNA was synthesized with the PrimeScript TMRT Master Mix kit (TaKaRa). qRT-PCR reactions were performed in triplicate using Mastercycler ep realplex (Eppendorf) with gene-specific primers and actin as the internal control (Winck et al., 2016). Gene-specific PCR primer pairs used for the actin and CrHPRs are listed in Table S2. PCR primers were designed using Primer-BLAST of NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/index.cgi?LINK_LOC=BlastHome) and the amplifying program was as follows: pre-incubation at 95 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 5 s, annealing at 62 °C for 5 s, and amplification at 72 °C for 25 s.

The change in fluorescence of SYBR Green I dye (SYBR Premix Ex Taq, TaKaRa) in every cycle was monitored by the realplex system software, and the cycle threshold (C_t) above background for each reaction was calculated. The C_t value of actin was subtracted from that of the gene of interest to obtain a ΔC_t value. The C_t value of an arbitrary calibrator was subtracted from the ΔC_t value to obtain a ΔΔC_t value. The fold changes in expression level relative to the calibrator were calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$.

Gene cloning, heterologous expression of *Chlamydomonas* HPR and purification of recombinant proteins

Chlamydomonas cDNA samples were prepared as described above. HPR cDNAs were amplified using PrimeSTAR HS DNA Polymerase (Takara, Ohtsu, Japan) with gene-specific primers as listed in Table S3. The PCR product was cloned directly into pMD20-T vector (Takara, Beijing, China), which was then transformed into competent *E. coli* DH5α cells. Positive clones were verified by sequencing (BGI Genomics, Beijing, China).

For heterologous expression, the plasmids containing HPR2 were digested with *Nco*I and *Xho*I and the resulted fragment was linked to pETMALc-H treated with the same restricted enzymes (Kellyann et al., 1997), yielding pETMALc-H-HPR2. The plasmids containing CrHPR1, CrHPR3, CrHPR4 and CrHPR5 were digested with *Nde*I and *Xho*I, respectively, and then ligated into pET-30a digested with the same enzymes, yielding pET-30a-CrHPR1, pET-30a-CrHPR3, pET-30a-CrHPR4, pET-30a-CrHPR5. The constructed expression plasmids were transformed into expression host *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) (CoWin Biosciences, Beijing, China), and the transformants

501 were cultivated in the autoinduction medium ZYM2052 (Studier, 2005).

502 The recombinant HPRs was purified with HIS-Select nickel affinity gel filler (CoWin
503 Biosciences, Beijing, China). Briefly, the supernatant of the broken cells collected and gently
504 mixed with HIS-Select nickel affinity gel, and washed up by three cycles of binding buffer. The
505 His-tagged HPRs was eluted with elution buffer.

506

507 Generation of amiRNA, overexpression and CrHPR-CFP cell lines

508 For generation of amiRNA cell lines, pHK460 vector was given from Kaiyao Huang (Institute of
509 hydrobiology, Chinese academy of sciences) (Nordhues et al., 2012). Target gene-specific
510 oligonucleotide sequences were designed using the WMD3 software
511 (<http://wmd3.weigelworld.org>). The resulting oligonucleotides that target CrHPR2 and CrHPR4
512 genes are listed in Table S4. Combined with the sequence of miRNA cre-MIR1157, these
513 oligonucleotides were linked into pHK460 vector at the unique *Xho*I and *Eco*RI site. The plasmids
514 were then isolated and subjected to transformation into Chlamydomonas cells.

515 For generation of overexpression cell lines, CrHPR1 were cloned from Chlamydomonas cDNA
516 samples with gene-specific primers (*Crhpr1*-O-F/R) as in Table S3. The PCR product was
517 connected into pMD20-T vector (Takara, Beijing, China) to form pMD20-CrHPR1, which was
518 then transformed into competent *E. coli* DH5 α cells. Positive clones were verified by sequencing
519 (BGI Genomics, Beijing, China). The plasmid pMD20-CrHPR1 was digested with *Xho*I and
520 *Eco*RI and the resulted fragment was linked to pHK460 treated with the same restricted enzymes.
521 The mRNA from pHK460 is expressed from the hsp70/rbcs2 promoter and end at 3'UTR of /rbcs2.
522 The transcript protein is fused with zeocin resistance selection maker, which will be cut off by the
523 FMDV 2A self-cleaving sequence (Nordhues et al., 2012).

524 The constructed plasmids were transformed into Chlamydomonas cells using the
525 electroporation method as described (Rasala et al., 2012). After transformation, cells were grown
526 on Tris-acetate-phosphate agar supplemented with 15 μ g/mL zeocin (Sigma-Aldrich). Colonies
527 derived from single cells were picked for DNA extraction, after digested with RNase, Colonies
528 were confirmed by PCR with primers (*Crhpr1*-O-F/R) to amplify the stripe which length is same
529 as cDNA of CrHPR1.

530 For generation of CrHPR-CFP cell lines, CrHPRs were cloned from Chlamydomonas cDNA
531 samples with gene-specific primers (CrHPRs-NT-F/R for testing N-terminal target signal and fuse
532 the CFP to C-terminal of CrHPRs; CrHPRs-CT-F/R for testing C-terminal target signal and fuse
533 the CFP to N-terminal of CrHPRs) as in Table S3. The PCR products were connected into
534 pMD20-T vector (Takara, Beijing, China) to form pMD20-CrHPRs-NT or pMD20-CrHPRs-CT,

535 and transformed into competent *E. coli* DH5 α cells. Positive clones were verified by sequencing
536 (BGI Genomics, Beijing, China). The plasmid pMD20-CrHPRs-NT was digested with *Xho*I and
537 *Bam*HI, and the plasmid pMD20-CrHPRs-CT was digested with *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI, then the
538 resulted fragment was linked to pHK460 treated with the same restricted enzymes.

539 The plasmids were then isolated and subjected to transformation into *Chlamydomonas* cells.
540 Transformants were selected from TAP plates supplemented with 15 μ g/mL zeocin
541 (Sigma-Aldrich).

542

543 **Fluorescence microscopy**

544 Representative cells were collected from TAP plates supplemented with zeocin. Images were
545 captured on Image-Pro Express 6.0 (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA) using Olympus
546 BX51 (Center Valley, PA, USA) with Retiga-2000R camera (QImaging, Tucson, AZ, USA).
547 Filters used in this research are CFP (excitation 436/10 nm, emission 470/30 nm) and Chloro
548 (excitation 500/23 nm, emission 535/30 nm). The fluorescence images were false-colored using
549 Adobe Photoshop CS3.

550

551 **Enzymatic activity assays**

552 Cells were collected and broken in 1 mL extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2
553 mM MgCl₂, 1mM β -mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5), and the supernatant was collected for the
554 determination of enzymatic activity after centrifugation at 4 °C. With 0.2 mM NADPH, 0.5 mM
555 hydroxypyruvate or 1 mM glyoxylate, and 50 μ L purified enzyme in 200mM sodium phosphate
556 buffer (pH 6.5), The hydroxypyruvate and glyoxylate reductase activity was determined according
557 to published procedures (Husic and Tolbert, 1987) by measuring the absorbance of NAD(P)H at
558 340 nm using Microplate Photometer (Thermo-FC) at 25 °C. The protein concentration was
559 measured by Bradford method.

560

561 **Chlorophyll fluorescence measurement**

562 Cells were immobilized, aiming to acquire accurate data, as described by Luz with modification
563 (Luz et al., 2015). Briefly, cells were cultured in Tris-minimal medium, and collected when
564 reached to the late logarithmic phase. Then the cells were washed with 0.85% (w/v) NaCl, and
565 concentrated by 12 times. 2 mL of the concentrated cells were mixed with 8 mL of 2% sodium
566 alginate solution. The 4 cm² squares of monofilament nylon with 24 threads per inch was
567 sterilized, and immersed into the above mixture. The monofilament nylon square was quickly
568 transferred into 2% CaCl₂ solution to solidify sodium alginate. The monofilament nylon squares

were rinsed with 0.85% NaCl to remove excess solution. The above steps were repeated once again to get two layers of cell immobilized sodium alginate onto the squares.

The immobilized cells were clipped with DLC-8 dark leaf clip and measured by MINI-PAM-II (Walz, Germany) with the saturation pulse method by following the manufacturer's instruction (Klughammer and Schreiber, 1994). Briefly, with the saturation pulse technique, the maximum quantum efficiency of PSII (Fv/Fm) was detected after 30 min dark acclimated. The light curves were generated by using increasing actinic irradiance sequence ranging from 0 to 500 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$.

Measurement of photosynthetic and respiration rates

Cells at late logarithmic phase ($3\sim5\times10^6$ cells/mL) were collected and concentrated by 5 times. The oxygen exchange was measured with a Chlorolab-2 oxygen electrode (Hansatech, Norfolk, UK) at 30 °C under both dark and light conditions by following the manufacturer's instructions. Light intensity was determined using a quantum photometer (Hansatech). The electron flow of Rubisco was calculated by combining the data of oxygen exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence (Valentini et al., 1995). Formula: $J_c = 1/3[\text{ETR}_{II} + 8(A + R_D)]$, $J_o = 2/3[\text{ETR}_{II} - 4(A + R_D)]$, J_c : the electron flux of Rubisco carboxylation; J_o : the electron flux of Rubisco oxygenation; A: net photosynthetic rate; R_D : day respiration.

Quantitative measurement of ROS

The ROS of cells were measured by Reactive Oxygen Species Assay Kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, Cells at late logarithmic stage were collected, and stained with 100 μM 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate ($\text{H}_2\text{DCF-DA}$) for 45 min at room temperature as described previously (Affenzeller et al., 2009). Then cells were washed 3 times with Tris-minimal medium to remove the unbound probes and resuspend in 1mL Tris-minimal medium. The cells mixture was measured by fluorescence spectrophotometer (Enspire, PerkinElmer LLC, US) by using 485 nm excitation and 530 nm emission.

Glycolate Determination

Cells were collected at late logarithmic phase and added to new Tris-minimal medium to make the final concentration reach at 2×10^6 cells/mL. After 48 hours, glycolate was detected from the supernatant according to Kenji's method (Takahashi, 1972). Samples containing 0.1 to 10 μg glycolate were used to draw the standard curve. 50 μL samples were added to the test tube with

603 1mL 0.01% 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene in concentrated sulfuric acid. After 20min of 100°C water
604 bath, the mixture was measured by Microplate Photometer (Thermo-FC) at 540 nm.

605

Bioinformatic analysis

607 To identify the Chlamydomonas HPR proteins, CrHPR1 protein sequence was searched against
608 the *C. reinhardtii* predicted protein database in Phytozome 12 using the BLASTP function,
609 searched against the HMMER *C. reinhardtii* reference proteome using the HMMER website
610 service (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/phmmer>) with the default parameters, or
611 searched against AlgaePath (http://algaepath.itps.ncku.edu.tw/algae_path/home.html). Protein
612 alignments were performed using ClustalW (Larkin et al., 2007) and viewed using the GeneDoc
613 software (Nicholas et al., 1997). The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was produced using
614 the MEGA 7 program (Kumar et al., 2015).

615

616

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

618 We are grateful to Dr. K.Y. Huang from the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of
619 Sciences for his generous gift of plasmid and technical guidance of electroporation method.

620 This work was supported by grant from Tianjin Synthetic Biotechnology Innovation Capacity
621 Improvement Project (TSBICIP-CXRC-027) to L.Z.

622 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

623

624

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

626 M.L.S., L.Z. and Y.W. conceived the project; M.L.S. performed the experiments; M.L.S., L.Z.
627 and Y.W. analyzed the data, wrote, and revised the manuscript; All the authors have read and
628 approved the manuscript prior to submission.

629

REFERENCE

- Affenzeller MJ, Darehshouri A, Andosch A, Lütz C, Lütz-Meindl U (2009) Salt stress-induced cell death in the unicellular green alga *Micrasterias denticulata*. *J Exp Bot.* 60(3):939-954.
- Bauwe H, Hagemann M, Kern R, Timm S: Photorespiration has a dual origin and manifold links to central metabolism. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 2012, 15:269-275.
- Brikis Carolyne J., Zarei Adel, Trobacher Christopher P., DeEll Jennifer R., Akama Kazuhito, Mullen Robert T., Bozzo Gale G., Shelp Barry J. (2017) ncient Plant Glyoxylate/Succinic Semialdehyde Reductases: GLYR1s Are Cytosolic, Whereas GLYR2s Are Localized to Both Mitochondria and Plastids. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 601
- Brueggeman, A.J., Gangadharaiah, D.S., Cserhati, M.F., Casero, D., Weeks, D.P. and Ladunga, I. (2012) Activation of the carbon concentrating mechanism by CO₂ deprivation coincides with massive transcriptional restructuring in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Cell* 24: 3483.
- Burgess, S. J., Taha, H., Yeoman, J. A., Iamshanova, O., Chan, K. X., Boehm, M., ... Nixon, P. J. (2015) Identification of the Elusive Pyruvate Reductase of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* Chloroplasts. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 57(1): 82–94.
- Cheng X, Liu G, KeW, et al. (2017) Building a multipurpose insertionalmutant library for forward and reverse geneticsin *Chlamydomonas*. *Cheng et al. Plant Methods* 13:36
- Ching, S L K, Gidda, S K, et al. (2012) Glyoxylate Reductase Isoform 1 is Localized in the Cytosol and Not Peroxisomes in Plant Cells. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 54(3):152-168.
- Cousins AB, Pracharoenwattana I, Zhou W, Smith SM, Badger MR. (2008) Peroxisomal malate dehydrogenase is not essential for photorespiration in *Arabidopsis* but its absence causes an increase in the stoichiometry of photorespiratory CO₂ release. *Plant Physiol.* 148(2):786-95.
- Cousins AB, Walker BJ, Pracharoenwattana I, et al. (2011) Peroxisomal hydroxypyruvate reductase is not essential for photorespiration in *Arabidopsis* but its absence causes an increase in the stoichiometry of photorespiratory CO₂ release, *Photosynth Res* 108:91–100
- Douce R, Heldt HW (2000) Photorespiration. In RC Leegood, S von Caemmerer, T Sharkey, eds, *Photosynthesis: Physiology and Mechanisms*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 115–136
- Du ZY, Lucker BF, Zienkiewicz K, Miller TE, Zienkiewicz A, Sears BB, Kramer DM, Benning C. (2018) Galactoglycerolipid Lipase PGD1 Is Involved in Thylakoid Membrane Remodeling in Response to Adverse Environmental Conditions in *Chlamydomonas*. *Plant Cell.* 30(2):447-465.
- Eisenhut M, Roell MS, Weber APM. (2019) Mechanistic understanding of photorespiration paves the way to a new green revolution. *New Phytol.* 223(4):1762-1769.
- Gorman, D.S. and Levine, R.P. (1965) Cytochrome f and plastocyanin: their sequence in the photosynthetic electron transport chain of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 54: 1665-1669.
- Gould, S. G., Keller, GA., and Subramani, S. (1987) Identification of a peroxisomal targeting signal at the carboxy terminus of firefly luciferase. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 105:2923-2931.
- Goyal, A. (2002) Glycolate metabolism in algal chloroplasts: inhibition by salicylhydroxamic acid (SHAM). *Physiologia Plantarum* 116(2): 264-270.
- Greenler, J.M., Sloan, J.S., Schwartz, B.W. and Becker, W.M. (1989) Isolation, characterization and sequence analysis of a full-length cDNA clone encoding NADH-dependent hydroxypyruvate reductase from cucumber. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 13: 139-150.

676 Griffiths, H. Designs on Rubisco. *Nature* (2006)441(7096), 940–941.

677 Günther, A., Jakob, T., Goss, R., König, S., Spindler, D., Rübiger, N., John, S. et al. (2012)

678 Methane production from glycolate excreting algae as a new concept in the production of

679 biofuels. *Biores. Technol.* 121: 454–457.

680 Hagemann M and Bauwe H et al. (2016) Photorespiration and the potential to improve

681 photosynthesis. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 35:109–116

682 Hayashi, M., Tsugeki, R., Kondo, M., Mori, H. and Nishimura, M. (1996) Pumpkin

683 hydroxypyruvate reductases with and without a putative C-terminal signal for targeting to

684 microbodies may be produced by alternative splicing. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 30: 183-189.

685 Hu J, Deng X, Shao N, et al. (2014) Rapid construction and screening of artificial microRNA

686 systems in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *The Plant Journal*, 79: 1052–1064.

687 Husic.DW, Tolbert NE, (1987) NADH:hydroxypyruvate reductase and NADPH:glyoxylate

688 reductase in algae: Partial purification and characterization from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*,

689 *Arch Biochem Biophys.* Feb 1;252(2):396-408.

690 Keech, O., Gardeström, P., Kleczkowski, L. A., and Rouhier, N. (2017) The redox control of

691 photorespiration: from biochemical and physiological aspects to biotechnological

692 considerations. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 40: 553– 569.

693 Kellyann D. Pryor, Barbara Leiting. (1997) High-Level Expression of Soluble Protein in

694 *Escherichia coli* Using a His6-Tag and Maltose-Binding-Protein Double-Affinity Fusion

695 System. *Protein Expression and Purification* (10): 1046-5928.

696 Kleczkowski LA, Edwards GE, Blackwell RD, Lea PJ, Givan CV (1990) Enzymology of the

697 reduction of hydroxypyruvate and glyoxylate in a mutant of barley lacking peroxisomal

698 hydroxypyruvate reductase. *Plant Physiol* 94: 819–825

699 Kleczkowski LA, Randall DD. (1988) Purification and characterization of a novel

700 NADPH(NADH)-dependent hydroxypyruvate reductase from spinach leaves. Comparison of

701 immunological properties of leaf hydroxypyruvate reductases. *Biochem J.* 250(1):145-52.

702 Kleczkowski LA, Randall DD, Edwards GE. (1991) Oxalate as a potent and selective inhibitor of

703 spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) leaf NADPH-dependent hydroxypyruvate reductase. *Biochem J.*

704 276:125-7.

705 Klughammer, C., Schreiber, U., (1994). An improved method, using saturating light pulses, for the

706 determination of photosystem I quantum yield via P700+ -absorbance changes at 830nm.

707 *Planta* 192: 261–268.

708 Kumar S, Stecher G, and Tamura K (2015) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis

709 version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33(7): 1870-1874.

710 Kutner, J., Shabalin, I. G., Matelska, D., Handing, K. B., Gasiorowska, O., Sroka, P., ... Minor, W.

711 (2018). Structural, Biochemical, and Evolutionary Characterizations of

712 Glyoxylate/Hydroxypyruvate Reductases Show Their Division into Two Distinct

713 Subfamilies. *Biochemistry*, 57(6): 963–977.

714 Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A., McWilliam, H., et al.

715 (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* 23: 2947–2948.

716 Lassalle, L., Engilberge, S., Madern, D., Vauclore, P., Franzetti, B., & Girard, E. (2016). New

717 insights into the mechanism of substrates trafficking in Glyoxylate/Hydroxypyruvate

718 reductases. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1): 20629.

719 Li J, Weraduwa S M, Peiser A L, et al. (2019) A Cytosolic Bypass and G6P Shunt in Plants

720 Lacking Peroxisomal Hydroxypyruvate Reductase. *Plant Physiology* 180: 783-792.

721 Luz E. de-Bashan, Juan Pablo Hernandez, and Yoav Bashan (2015) Interaction of *Azospirillum*

spp. with Microalgae: A Basic Eukaryotic-Prokaryotic Model and Its Biotechnological Applications. Springer International Publishing Switzerland.20:367-388

Mano S, Hayashi M, Kondo M, Nishimura M. (1997) Hydroxypyruvate reductase with a carboxy-terminal targeting signal to microbodies is expressed in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 38(4):449-55.

Mano S, Hayashi M, and Nishimura M (2000) A leaf-peroxisomal protein, hydroxypyruvate reductase, is produced by light-regulated alternative splicing. *Cell Biochem Biophys.* 32:147-54.

Martin W.F., Garg S., Zimorski V. (2015) Endosymbiotic theories for eukaryote origin. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond B Biol. Sci.* 370: 1678

Missihoun T D, Kotchoni S O. (2018) Aldehyde Dehydrogenases and the Hypothesis of a Glycolaldehyde Shunt Pathway of Photorespiration. *Plant signaling & behavior* 13(3):1-10.

Murray, A.J.S., Blackwell, R.D., and Lea, P.J. (1989). Metabolism of hydroxypyruvate in a mutant of barley lacking NADH-dependent hydroxypyruvate reductase, an important photorespiratory enzyme activity. *Plant Physiol.* 91: 395–400.

Nakamura, Y., Kanakagiri, S., Van, K., He, W. and Spalding, M.H. (2005) Disruption of the glycolate dehydrogenase gene in the high-CO₂-requiring mutant HCR89 of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Can. J. Bot.* 83: 820–833.

Nicholas, K.B., Nicholas, H.B.Jr. and Deerfield, D.W.II. (1997) GeneDoc: analysis and visualization of genetic variation. *Embnew News* 4: 14.

Nordhues, A., Schottler, M.A., Unger, A.-K. et al. (2012) Evidence for a role of VIPP1 in the structural organization of the photosynthetic apparatus in *Chlamydomonas*. *Plant Cell* 24: 637–659.

Ort DR, Merchant SS, Alric J, Barkan A, Blankenship RE, Bock R, Croce R, Hanson MR, Hibberd JM, Long SP et al. (2015) Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:8529-8536.

Rasala, B.A., Lee, P.A., Shen, Z., Briggs, S.P., Mendez, M. and Mayfield, S.P. (2012) Robust expression and secretion of xylanase1 in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* by fusion to a selection gene and processing with the FMDV 2A peptide. *PLoS ONE*, 7, e43349.

Reumann, S., and Weber, A.P. (2006). Plant peroxisomes respire in the light: Some gaps of the photorespiratory C₂ cycle have become filled others remain. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1763: 1496–1510.

Schwartz BW, Daniel SG, Becker WM. (1992) Photooxidative Destruction of Chloroplasts Leads to Reduced Expression of Peroxisomal NADH-Dependent Hydroxypyruvate Reductase in Developing Cucumber Cotyledons. *Plant Physiol.* 99(2):681-5.

Somerville CR. (2001) An early Arabidopsis demonstration. Resolving a few issues concerning photorespiration. *Plant Physiol* 125:20-24.

Stabenau H (1974) Distribution of microbody enzymes from *Chlamydomonas* on sucrose gradients, *Planta. Mar*;118(1):35-42.

Studier, F. W. (2005) Protein production by auto-induction in high-density shaking cultures. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 41, 207–234

Swinkels, B.W., Gould, S.J., Bodnar, A.G., Rachubinski, R.A., Subramani, S. (1991) A novel, cleavable peroxisomal targeting signal at the amino-terminus of the rat 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, *EMBO J.* 10: 3255–3262,

Takahashi, Kenji. (1972). A Colorimetric Method for Quantitative Determination of Glycolic Acid with 2, 7- Dihydroxynaphthalene. *Journal of Biochemistry.* 71. 563-565.

768 Tcherkez G. (2013) Is the recovery of (photo) respiratory CO₂ and intermediates minimal? New
769 Phytol 198:334-338.

770 Timm S, Florian A, Jahnke K, et al. (2011) The Hydroxypyruvate-Reducing System in
771 Arabidopsis: Multiple Enzymes for the Same End. Plant Physiology, 155(2):694-705.

772 Timm S, NunesNesi A, Pärnik T, Morgenthal K, Wienkoop S, Keerberg O, Weckwerth W,
773 Kleczkowski LA, Fernie AR, Bauwe H (2008) A cytosolic pathway for the conversion of
774 hydroxypyruvate to glycerate during photorespiration in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 20:
775 2848–2859

776 Titus DE, Hondred D, Becker WM. (1983) Purification and characterization of hydroxypyruvate
777 reductase from cucumber cotyledons. Plant Physiol. 72(2):402-8.

778 Valentini, R., Epron, D., Deangelis, P., Matteucci, G., Dreyer, E. (1995) In-situ estimation of net
779 CO₂ assimilation, photosynthetic electron flow and photorespiration in Turkey oak (Q-Cerris
780 L) leaves – diurnal cycles under different levels of water-supply. Plant Cell Environ. 18:
781 631–640.

782 Winck FV, Melo DO, Riaño-Pachón DM, Martins MC, Caldana C, Barrios AF. (2016) Analysis
783 of Sensitive CO₂ Pathways and Genes Related to Carbon Uptake and Accumulation in
784 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii through Genomic Scale Modeling and Experimental Validation.
785 Front Plant Sci. 7:43.

786 Ye N, Yang G, Chen Y, Zhang C, Zhang J, Peng X (2014) Two hydroxypyruvate reductases
787 encoded by OsHPR1 and OsHPR2 are involved in photorespiratory metabolism in rice. J
788 Integr Plant Biol 56: 170–180.

789 Yingjun Wang, Dan J. Stessman and Martin H. Spalding. (2015) The CO₂ concentrating
790 mechanism and photosynthetic carbon assimilation in limiting CO₂: how Chlamydomonas
791 works against the gradient. The Plant Journal 82: 429–448
792

793 **TABLES**

794 **Table 1. Activity assay of recombinant CrHPRs with substrate and cofactor combinations**

795

796 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

797 **Fig. 1 Enzyme activity assay and measurement of CrHPRs transcripts in photorespiration**

798 **(air) and non-photorespiration conditions (CO₂)** (A) Assay of CrHPRs enzyme activity. (B)

799 Detection of CrHPRs in transcriptional level. Mean values $\pm$ SD are from three independent

800 measurements. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at $P < 0.05$.

801 **Fig. 2 Knockout of *CrHPR1* impairs photorespiration** (A) Schematics of *CrHPR1* structures in

802 WT/CC-125 and *Crhpr1*. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of *CrHPR1* transcripts in the strains. (C) RT-PCR

803 of analysis of *CrHPR1* transcripts in the strains. (D-E) Growth curve of strains in air (D) and 3%

804 CO₂ condition (E). (F) The ratio of oxidation and carboxylation reaction of Rubisco in air.

805 Carboxylation reaction: white sector, Oxidation reaction: gray sector. (G) Concentration of

806 glycolate detected in the medium of each strain. Mean values $\pm$ SD presents data from three

807 measurements. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at $P < 0.05$.

808 **Fig. 3 CrHPR2 knockdown strains show photorespiratory defects at *Crhpr1* background**

809 (A) Measurement of *CrHPR2* transcripts at both WT/CC-125 and *Crhpr1* background in air

810 condition. (B) Measurement of *CrHPR2* transcripts in *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a2* strains. (C) Spot

811 tests showing growth of *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a2* strains. (D) Growth curves of *Crhpr1* and

812 *Crhpr1-a2* strains in air. (E) The ratio of oxidation and carboxylation reaction of Rubisco in

813 *Crhpr1-a2* strains. Carboxylation reaction: white sector, Oxidation reaction: gray sector. (F)

814 Concentration of glycolate detected in the medium of each strain. Mean values $\pm$ SD presents data

815 from three measurements. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at $P < 0.05$.

816 **Fig. 4 CrHPR4 participates in photorespiration as a chloroplast-targeting glyoxylate**

817 **reductase** (A) Measurement of *CrHPR4* transcripts at both WT/CC-125 and *Crhpr1* background

818 in air condition. (B) Measurement of *CrHPR4* transcripts in *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a2* strains. (C)

819 Spot tests showing growth of *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a4* strains. (D) Growth curves of *Crhpr1* and

820 *Crhpr1-a4* strains. (E) The ratio of oxidation and carboxylation reaction of Rubisco in *Crhpr1-a4*

821 strains. Carboxylation reaction: white sector, Oxidation reaction: gray sector. (F) Concentration of

822 glycolate detected in the medium of each strain. (G) Enzyme activity assay of *Crhpr1* and

823 *Crhpr1-a4* strains. HPR-NADH: white bars, HPR-NADPH: light gray bars, GR-NADH: gray bars,

824 GR-NADPH: dark gray bars. (H) Determination of ROS in *Crhpr1* and *Crhpr1-a4* strains treated

825 with salicylhydroxamic acid (SHAM). (I) Determination of Carboxylation efficiency in *Crhpr1*
826 and *Crhpr1-a4* strains treated with salicylhydroxamic acid (SHAM); Mean values $\pm$ SD from three
827 measurements. Means denoted by the same letter did not significantly differ at $P < 0.05$.

828 **Fig. 5 Schematics of the functional mechanism of CrHPR4 in photosynthesis and**
829 **photorespiration**

830

831 **Supplemental Data**

832 The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

833 **Table S1.** All *Chlamydomonas* strains used in the work.

834 **Table S2.** Oligonucleotides used in qRT-PCR.

835 **Table S3.** Oligonucleotides used in gene cloning.

836 **Table S4.** Oligonucleotides of amiRNA that target *CrHPRs*.

837 **Table S5.** Oxidation/carboxylation rate of Rubisco of WT/CC-125, *Crhpr1* and the rescued strains
838 in air.

839 **Table S6.** Oxidation/carboxylation of Rubisco of CC-125-a2 strains in air.

840 **Table S7.** Oxidation/carboxylation of Rubisco of *Crhpr1-a2* strains in air.

841 **Table S8.** Oxidation/carboxylation of Rubisco of CC-125-a4 strains in air.

842 **Table S9.** Oxidation/carboxylation of Rubisco of *Crhpr1-a4* strains in air.

843 **Fig. S1.** Sequence alignment of hydroxypyruvate reductase homologues from select species.

844 **Fig. S2.** Sequence alignment of *Chlamydomonas* hydroxypyruvate reductase.

845 **Fig. S3.** Expression and purification of recombinant CrHPRs assayed by SDS-gel.

846 **Fig. S4.** Phylogenetic tree of HPR proteins inferred by bacterial and eukaryotic sources.

847 **Fig. S5.** Subcellular localization of the CFP reporter fused with N- or C-terminal peptides from
848 CrHPRs

849 **Fig. S6.** Measurement of photosynthetic activity of *Crhpr1* and the rescued strains by chlorophyll
850 fluorescence.

851 **Fig. S7.** Phenotypic analysis of the *CrHPR2* knockdown strains at CC-125 background.

852 **Fig. S8.** Measurement of photosynthetic activity of *Crhpr1-a2* strains by chlorophyll fluorescence.

853 **Fig. S9.** Phenotypic analysis of the *CrHPR4* knockdown strains at CC-125 background.

854 **Fig. S10.** Measurement of photosynthetic activity of *Crhpr1-a4* strains by chlorophyll
855 fluorescence.

Table 1. Activity assay of recombinant CrHPRs with substrate and cofactor combinations

Substrates	CrHPR1	CrHPR2	CrHPR3	CrHPR4	CrHPR5
Hydroxypyruvate:NADH	312.5±19.3	2.58±0.01	-	46.23±1.31	1.86±0.11
Hydroxypyruvate:NADPH	1.70±0.24	11.03±0.57	3.03±0.01	-	292.40±13.49
Glyoxylate:NADH	13.91±0.48	2.05±0.08	-	14.35±0.20	0.91±0.04
Glyoxylate:NADPH	0.14±0.02	3.66±0.09	5.65±0.01	-	5.72±0.19
Pyruvate:NADH	-	-	-	666.67±12.36	-
Pyruvate:NADPH	-	-	-	-	-

Shown are mean activities ± SD from three independent measurements with tag-purified recombinant CrHPRs ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$ protein).

A

B

A **B** **C** **F** **D** **E** **G**

A **B** **C** **D** **E** **F**

CHLOROPLASTS