A Novel Family of RNA-Binding Proteins Regulate Polysaccharide Metabolism in

Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron

Amanda N.D. Adams^a, Muhammad S. Azam^{a,b}, Zachary A. Costliow^{a,c}, Xiangqian Ma^a,

Patrick H. Degnan^{d#} and Carin K. Vanderpool^{a#}

^aDepartment of Microbiology, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois, USA

^bPresent address: Department of Microbiology, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA

^cPresent address: The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

^dDepartment of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of California-Riverside, Riverside, California, USA

[#]Correspondence to: Patrick H. Degnan, patrickd@ucr.edu; or Carin K. Vanderpool,

cvanderp@illinois.edu

Running Title: *Bacteroides* RBPs regulate polysaccharide metabolism

Abstract

Human gut microbiome composition is constantly changing, and diet is a major driver of these changes. Gut microbial species that persist in mammalian hosts for long periods of time must possess mechanisms for sensing and adapting to nutrient shifts to avoid being outcompeted. Global regulatory mechanisms mediated by RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that govern responses to nutrient shifts have been characterized in Proteobacteria and Firmicutes but remain undiscovered in the Bacteroidetes. Here we report the identification of RBPs that are broadly distributed across the Bacteroidetes, with many genomes encoding multiple copies. Genes encoding these RBPs are highly expressed in many *Bacteroides* species. A purified RBP, RbpB, from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* binds to single-stranded RNA *in vitro* with an affinity similar to other characterized regulatory RBPs. *B. thetaiotaomicron* mutants lacking RBPs show dramatic shifts in expression of polysaccharide utilization and capsular polysaccharide loci, suggesting that these RBPs may act as global regulators of polysaccharide metabolism. A *B. thetaiotaomicron* $\Delta rbpB$ mutant shows a growth defect on dietary sugars belonging to the raffinose family of oligosaccharides (RFOs). The $\Delta rbpB$ mutant had reduced expression of *BT1871*, encoding a predicted RFO-degrading melibiase, compared to the wild-type strain. Mutation of *BT1871* confirmed that the enzyme it encodes is essential for growth on melibiose and promotes growth on the RFOs raffinose and stachyose. Our data reveal that RbpB is required for optimal expression of *BT1871* and other polysaccharide-related genes, suggesting that we have identified an important new family of global regulatory proteins in the Bacteroidetes.

Importance

The human colon houses hundreds of bacterial species, including many belonging to the genus *Bacteroides*, that aid in breaking down our food to keep us healthy. *Bacteroides* have many genes responsible for breaking down different dietary carbohydrates and complex regulatory mechanisms ensure that specific genes are only expressed when the right carbohydrates are available. In this study, we discovered that *Bacteroides* use a family of RNA-binding proteins as global regulators to coordinate expression of carbohydrate utilization genes. The ability to turn different carbohydrate utilization genes on and off in response to changing nutrient conditions is critical for *Bacteroides* to live successfully in the gut, and thus the new regulators we have identified may be important for life in the host.

Key words RRM-1, RNA-binding protein, Hfq, melibiose, PUL, CPS

Introduction

The human gut microbiome is an important player in host health, with diet being one of the principal drivers of gut microbial composition and function (1–3). Dietary carbohydrates, including complex polysaccharides and oligosaccharides are not readily absorbed by the host and reach the distal gut where they are broken down and metabolized by a consortium of microbes with diverse enzymatic capabilities (4). Members of the dominant bacterial phylum Bacteroidetes can readily switch between carbohydrate types as they become available due to dozens of substrate-specific polysaccharide utilization loci (PUL) that encode proteins responsible for sensing and catabolizing diverse polysaccharides (5–7). Characterized PULs are tightly regulated by several distinct families of transcriptional regulators so that they are only abundantly expressed when their substrates are available (5, 8–17). However, accumulating evidence suggests that post-transcriptional regulation also plays an important part in gut colonization and preferential use of carbohydrates through control of PULs (18–20).

Post-transcriptional regulation can be mediated by multiple regulators. In *Bacteroides* species, the roles of small RNA (sRNA) regulators (19, 21) and other RNA regulatory elements like riboswitches (22–24) in control of carbohydrate and vitamin metabolism are beginning to be recognized. In well-studied Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, post-transcriptional regulation of carbon metabolism and other systems often occurs through the actions of sRNAs and their helper RNA chaperones (25). Three of the most well-studied RNA chaperones include Hfq, CsrA, and ProQ. Collectively, these three RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) regulate the bulk of the RNA regulatory interactome in organisms like *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*, and

each RBP has its own distinct RNA targets (26, 27). Hfq in particular functions as a global post-transcriptional regulator of gene expression (28, 29). It binds to both mRNAs and sRNAs, facilitating their interactions through short stretches of complementarity (30, 31). These interactions result in a variety of different regulatory outcomes, primarily resulting from changes in translation initiation or mRNA stability (28). In many organisms, mutation of *hfq* causes global changes in gene expression and pleiotropic phenotypes (32–34).

Though regulatory RNA chaperones have not been characterized in the Bacteroidetes, post-transcriptional regulation has been implicated in control of gene expression in *Bacteroides* species (21, 35), including regulation of PUL expression (19). In particular, the cis-antisense PUL-associated sRNA DonS in *B. fragilis* as well as several PUL-associated cis-antisense sRNAs in *B. thetaiotaomicron* (19, 21) have been implicated in modulation of PUL function through repression of carbohydrate transporter gene expression. A recent study identified dozens of sRNAs encoded throughout the genome of *B. thetaiotaomicron* with many being PUL-associated, suggesting that sRNA-mediated regulation of PUL function may be a common phenomenon in *Bacteroides* (21). Additionally, there are a growing number of examples of regulatory effects in *Bacteroides* mediated by sequences in mRNA untranslated regions (UTRs) (18, 36) and these may be mediated by as yet unidentified sRNAs or RNA chaperones. To better understand the scope of RNA-mediated regulatory mechanisms in the Bacteroidetes, we sought to identify and characterize RBPs that may act as regulatory RNA chaperones.

Here we report the identification of a family of genes commonly found in Bacteroidetes genomes, which encode RBPs with a single RNA Recognition Motif 1 (RRM-1) domain. These genes are conserved, often exist in multiple copies per genome, and are highly expressed in many human gut *Bacteroides* isolates. We demonstrate that a member of this family, RbpB, is a single-stranded (ss) RNA-binding protein that binds with some specificity and affinities similar to other characterized RNA chaperones. *B. thetaiotaomicron* mutants lacking one or more of these RBPs have large-scale changes to their transcriptomes compared to the wild-type strain, with genes belonging to PUL and capsular polysaccharide (CPS) loci being the most differentially regulated. *B. thetaiotaomicron rbpB* mutants have growth defects on the common dietary plant sugars raffinose family oligosaccharides due to decreased expression of *BT1871*, an essential melibiase encoded in PUL24. Our findings suggest that this family of RBPs play an important role in global regulation of polysaccharide metabolism in *Bacteroides*.

Results

Identification of a conserved family of RNA-binding proteins in the phylum

Bacteroidetes

To identify putative RNA-binding proteins that may act as global regulators in the Bacteroidetes, we compared a set of 313 human gut-associated microbial genomes representing major phyla commonly found in gut microbial communities (37). We first searched for canonical RNA chaperones – Hfq, ProQ, and CsrA, which are involved in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression in Proteobacteria. Using hidden

Markov models (HMMs) with trusted cutoffs, we identified Hfq in 23% (72/313) of the genomes (a total of 79 Hfq homologs) mostly in the Proteobacteria, although there were some identified in Firmicutes genomes (Fig.1A and Dataset S1). ProQ homologs (a total of 40 across 36 genomes) were entirely restricted to the Proteobacteria, with the majority being found in γ -proteobacterial genomes. CsrA homologs were identified in 25% (79/313) of genomes with a total of 93 CsrA homologs distributed across the Proteobacteria and Firmicutes. We did not identify any Hfq, ProQ, or CsrA homologs among Bacteroidetes genomes suggesting that if RNA chaperone regulators are present in this phylum, they do not belong to these canonical families.

To identify other putative RNA chaperones in our model organism, we searched the *B. thetaiotaomicron* VPI-5482 genome for proteins with conserved RNA-binding domains. This yielded three intriguing candidates comprised of a single RNA Recognition Motif 1 (RRM-1; PF00076) domain, here named RbpA (BT0784), RbpB (BT1887), and RbpC (BT3840). The small, ~70 amino acid RRM-1 domain is one of the most common RNA-binding domains in eukaryotes where it is typically found in multidomain proteins involved in post-transcriptional RNA processing events including regulation of RNA stability, translation, and turnover (38). Though poorly characterized, many bacterial genomes appear to encode RRM-1 domain-containing proteins (39, 40). Given the characterized roles of RRM-1 domain-containing proteins in post-transcriptional RNA regulatory processes, we chose to focus on these homologs for further characterization.

Expanding our search for RRM-1 domain-containing proteins to our larger set of gut microbial genomes identified homologs of *B. thetaiotaomicron* RBPs in 69 of 313

genomes (Fig. 1A and Dataset S1). These proteins were widely distributed among Bacteroidetes genomes accounting for 86% (149/174) of the total number of RRM-1 domain proteins identified. We also identified homologs in a small subset of Proteobacterial genomes (Fig. 1A, Dataset S1). In contrast to eukaryotes, the bacterial RRM-1 proteins we identified are small, single-domain proteins ranging in size from 60-132 amino acids (aa), with the majority being 80-100 aa. Each protein contains a single ferredoxin-like fold RRM-1 motif followed by a predicted disordered C-terminus of varying lengths. This structure is reminiscent of the disordered C-termini of Hfq and other RNA chaperones that plays a role in RNA-binding and cycling among various binding partners (41–45). CsrA, Hfq, and ProQ homologs were largely encoded in single copy with only a few instances of more than one copy in individual genomes (Dataset S1). In contrast, RRM-1 genes frequently occurred in multiple copies per genome in Bacteroidetes genomes (Table S1, Fig. 1B). Of the Bacteroidetes genomes we analyzed, 50 of 58 contained one to four copies of genes encoding RRM-1 domain proteins, with the majority of genomes containing three (Fig. 1B).

To analyze phylogenetic relationships among novel RRM-1 domain proteins found in Bacteroidetes genomes, we used MCL (Markov cluster algorithm) with a 70% amino acid identity cutoff and compared the resulting clusters to a species phylogeny of the Bacteroidetes (Dataset S1). Clustering was chosen because the extent of divergence among the homologs and their short lengths makes phylogenetic reconstruction unreliable. The clustering revealed a complicated history of divergence and duplication resulting in 10 clusters designated *rbpA*–*rbpJ*. It is notable that the three loci represented in *B. thetaiotaomicron*, *rbpA*, *rbpB*, and *rbpC* represent the most

widespread clusters. Even within these clusters we identified evidence of likely duplications or horizontal gene transfer among particular lineages, resulting in genomes that encode two genes belonging to a single cluster. For example, the *Bacteroides fragilis* 3_1_2 genome contains *rbpA* and *rbpA'* which share 84% amino acid identity.

The well-characterized RNA chaperone Hfq is an abundant protein in Proteobacteria (46). To determine whether genes encoding *Bacteroides* RBPs show similarly high levels of expression, we analyzed available RNA-seq data for *B. thetaiotaomicron* (generated by us (see Materials and Methods) and others (23)) and eight additional species – *Bacteroides caccae* (47), *Bacteroides cellulosyliticus* (48), *Bacteroides dorei* (49), *Bacteroides massilensis* (18), *Bacteroides uniformis* (24), *Bacteroides vulgatus* (24), and *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* (50) that were grown under a variety of *in vitro* conditions. Virtually all of the genes encoding RBP homologs were highly expressed in these datasets. Most *Bacteroides rbp* genes (represented by colored triangles, Fig. 1C, 1D) were expressed at levels placing them among the top 10% of most highly expressed genes (represented by grey dots, Fig. 1C, 1D). Looking specifically at *B. thetaiotaomicron rbpA*, *rbpB*, and *rbpC*, we observed that these genes are highly expressed both *in vitro* (minimal medium with glucose and TYG medium) and *in vivo* in monocolonized mice (51) or mice colonized with a synthetic consortium (47) (Fig. 1D).

***B. thetaiotaomicron* RbpB is a single-stranded RNA-binding protein**

To test the RNA-binding activity of a representative of this family of *Bacteroides* RBPs, we conducted electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs). We overexpressed and purified *B. thetaiotaomicron* RbpB and tested binding to a series of *in vitro*

transcribed single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) “pentaprobess” (52). The twelve ssRNA pentaprobess are each 100-nucleotides (nts) in length and collectively contain all possible 5-nt sequence combinations (Table S1). RbpB shifted ten of the twelve probes to varying degrees, indicating that RbpB binds ssRNA *in vitro* and suggesting that it does so with some degree of sequence specificity (Figs. 2A and S1A). RbpB showed no evidence of binding to two of the pentaprobess PP6 and PP12 (Figs. S1A and 2B). Probes PP2 and PP3 shifted at lower concentrations of RbpB compared with other probes (Figs. 2A and S1A). The calculated K_D of RbpB binding to PP3 is 10.5 μM (Fig. 2C), a dissociation constant similar to those previously reported for RRM domains (38, 53). RRM domains can interact with a variable number of nts in the binding pocket, with binding motifs that are typically 5-8 nts in length (54). To identify candidate RbpB binding motifs in the pentaprobess, we used MEME motif discovery tool (55) to identify sequence motifs (<9-nt in length) that occurred in RbpB-binding pentaprobess but were absent in non-binding pentaprobess (Fig. S1B). MEME identified 10 such motifs in RbpB-binding pentaprobe sequences. Motif 1, comprised of G, U, and A residues was the most common motif found exclusively in the bound pentaprobess (Figs. 2D and S1B). A C/U-rich motif, motif 9 (Fig. 2E and S1B), was present in probes PP2 and PP3, which bound RbpB with higher affinity than other pentaprobess (Fig. 2A and C and S1A). To test whether RbpB would bind specifically to motif 1 (5'-GUAGGAUA-3') or motif 9 (5'UCCUGUGC-3'), we conducted EMSAs using new RNA oligonucleotide probes containing three repeats of each motif. RbpB shifted the probe containing three copies of motif 1 with a K_D of 5.1 μM (Fig. 2D). In contrast, RbpB did not shift a probe containing three copies of motif 9 (Fig. 2E). Overall, these results demonstrate that

RbpB binds ssRNA with some degree of specificity at affinities comparable to known RNA-binding proteins (56–58).

Loss of RBPs leads to altered expression of PUL and CPS loci

To assess possible functions of RBPs in *B. thetaiotaomicron*, we made mutant strains lacking *rbpA* and *rbpB*. We generated three strains: $\Delta rbpA$ (BT0784), $\Delta rbpB$ (BT1887), and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$. We were unable to generate a $\Delta rbpC$ mutant. We performed RNA-seq on RNA samples from $\Delta rbpA$, $\Delta rbpB$, and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strains grown to mid-log or stationary phase in rich medium (TYG). Among protein coding genes, 12.3% (587/4,778) were significantly differentially regulated (q-value <0.06, log₂ fold-change of $\geq +1$ or ≤ -1) in at least one condition (Dataset S2A), with $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ having the greatest number of differentially regulated genes among the three mutants. To identify functional classes of differentially expressed genes, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (59) was used with *B. thetaiotaomicron*-specific custom gene sets (see Materials and Methods). Differentially regulated genes that were not categorized in GSEA were further grouped according to Gene Ontology (Dataset S2A and Materials and Methods). Considering all differentially regulated genes across all strains, enriched functional groups included CPS loci, PULs, hypothetical proteins, transmembrane transport, redox activities, B-vitamin metabolism, transcription, translation, and a variety of other metabolic pathways (Fig. 3A). The largest functional group of differentially regulated genes was CPS genes, accounting for 17% (98/587) of differentially regulated genes across all six wild-type to mutant comparisons (Fig. 3A, B). CPS loci encode functions that produce the polysaccharide coats that surround the *Bacteroides* cell surface (10, 17, 60). Of the eight CPS loci in *B. thetaiotaomicron* VPI-5482, five were

differentially regulated across the three mutant strains including CPS2, CPS4, CPS5, CPS6, and CPS7 loci (Fig. 3B). CPS4 and 6 loci were downregulated in all three mutants compared to wild-type in both conditions, whereas CPS2, 5, and 7 loci were upregulated in some mutants compared to wild-type in a subset of conditions. CPS2 was upregulated in both $\Delta rbpA$ and $\Delta rbpB$ mutants in mid-log and stationary phase, but was unchanged in the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ mutant in either growth condition, implying a genetic interaction between *rbpA* and *rbpB* in the regulation of the CPS2 locus. Expression patterns for all mutants were similar between mid-log and stationary phase conditions, except for the CPS7 locus. CPS7 was upregulated in $\Delta rbpA$ and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ mutants compared to wild-type in mid-log cells but only the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ mutant showed a difference with wild-type in stationary phase.

PULs were the second most abundantly represented functional group among differentially regulated genes (Fig. 3A). Of the 88 annotated PULs (5), 29 (33%) had at least one differentially regulated gene in *rbp* mutant strains compared to wild-type, accounting for 29% (75/263) of genes across the 29 PULs (Fig. 3C). In contrast to CPS expression, PUL expression differences in mutant strains frequently varied according to growth phase. PUL56 was downregulated in all three mutant strains exclusively during stationary phase. In contrast, PUL71 was downregulated in all three mutant strains during stationary phase but in mid-log was only downregulated in single mutants $\Delta rbpA$ and $\Delta rbpB$ (Fig. 3C). Similar to the CPS loci, several PULs demonstrated expression patterns indicative of a genetic interaction between *rbpA* and *rbpB*, including PUL22. PUL22 was upregulated in the $\Delta rbpB$ mutant but downregulated in $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ double mutant during mid-log growth. In contrast, in stationary phase, PUL22 was upregulated

in $\Delta rbpA$ and downregulated in $\Delta rbpB$ and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ mutant strains. Several PULs including PUL08, 10, 14, 51, 59, 75, 80, and 81, were differentially regulated in specific single mutant strains but not differentially regulated in the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ double mutant. We also saw some expression patterns that may be indicative of redundant regulation by RbpA and RbpB – PULs 36, 45, 54, 72, 73, and 82 were not differentially expressed in the single deletion mutants but were differentially expressed in the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ double mutant. Collectively, these results suggest that RbpA and RbpB play global roles in *B. thetaiotaomicron* gene expression, and in particular suggest that they coordinate capsular polysaccharide production and carbohydrate utilization through control of CPS and PUL genes, respectively.

The $\Delta rbpB$ mutant is defective for growth on raffinose family oligosaccharides

To determine whether *B. thetaiotaomicron* RBPs are required for growth on specific carbohydrates, we carried out an initial screen of $\Delta rbpA$, $\Delta rbpB$, and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strains for growth defects on Biolog plates containing a variety of carbon sources (Fig. S2 and Dataset S3). All three strains were defective for utilization of a number of dietary and host-associated glycans (Fig. S2 and Dataset S3). As observed for the transcriptome, phenotypes for the double mutant $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strain did not recapitulate all growth defects observed in single mutant $\Delta rbpA$ or $\Delta rbpB$ strains, implying a genetic interaction between *rbpA* and *rbpB*. For example, the $\Delta rbpA$ strain showed faster growth than the wild-type strain on maltotriose, α -methyl-D-galactoside, α -D-lactose, and lactulose while showing slower growth on sucrose, D-trehalose, turanose, D-mannose, and palatinose as compared to wild-type. Defects on turanose, D-trehalose, and palatinose were recapitulated in the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strain, but the other

growth changes seen in the $\Delta rbpA$ strain were not observed in the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strain. The $\Delta rbpB$ strain grew slower than wild-type on D-melibiose, β -methyl-D-galactoside, palatinose, and mannan, and defects on β -methyl-D-galactoside, palatinose, and mannan were also observed for the $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strain. Interestingly, all three strains were defective for growth on palatinose and a methylated galactoside. Unique to $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ was slow growth on gentiobiose and N-acetyl-D-galactosamine. Overall, these results are consistent with transcriptome results that suggest that both *rbpA* and *rbpB* play a role in regulation of carbohydrate utilization.

One of the carbohydrates on which the $\Delta rbpB$ mutant alone had substantial growth defects was D-melibiose, a subunit of the raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFOs) (Fig. S2). Since RFOs are prevalent in the human diet and are available to organisms that can metabolize them in the distal gut, we chose this phenotype for further evaluation. RFOs consist of the disaccharide sucrose (α -1,2-glucose-fructose) bound to repeating α -1,6-galactosyl residues producing the trisaccharide raffinose and the tetrasaccharide stachyose (Fig. 4A), along with the pentasaccharide verbascose. In addition to sucrose, the α -1,6-galactose-glucose disaccharide melibiose is an RFO subunit. When grown in minimal medium with RFOs or their subunits as the sole carbon source, the $\Delta rbpB$ strain displayed growth defects on melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose (Fig. 4B). The doubling time of the wild-type strain on minimal medium with melibiose as the sole carbon source was 2.86 hours compared to twice that for the $\Delta rbpB$ strain (5.76 hours). The $\Delta rbpA$ and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$ strains did not have growth defects on these substrates, again consistent with possible genetic interactions between RbpA and RbpB with respect to growth on RFOs. $\Delta rbpA$, $\Delta rbpB$, and $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$

strains showed no growth defects on monosaccharide subunits of RFOs, including glucose, galactose, and fructose, or on the disaccharide sucrose (Fig. 4B-C), suggesting that the $\Delta rbpB$ growth defect is due to the inability of this strain to utilize sugars containing the α -1,6-galactose-glucose linkage.

Complementation of the $\Delta rbpB$ strain was attempted with two different constructs (Fig. S3A). Neither complementation construct restored growth of the $\Delta rbpB$ mutant on RFOs (Fig. S3B). We measured *rbpB* mRNA levels from wild-type (*rbpB*⁺), $\Delta rbpB$, and both complementation strains and found that levels of *rbpB* mRNA in complementation strains were significantly lower than in the wild-type strain (Fig. S3C), which may account for the inability to restore growth on melibiose.

Upon further inspection of the *rbpB* (*BT1887*) native locus in our TYG RNA-seq data, we noticed reduced expression of the immediately adjacent genes *BT1886*, *BT1885*, and *BT1884* in the $\Delta rbpB$ strain, especially in mid-log phase, suggesting a possible polar effect of the *rbpB* mutation on *BT1886-BT1884* (Fig. S4A). We also observed a single transcription start site upstream of *rbpB-BT1884* in TYG (21), a terminator prediction after the *rbpB* ORF, and a terminator prediction after *BT1884* (21), suggesting *rbpB* may be expressed as both a monocistronic mRNA and polycistronic with *BT1886-BT1884* (Fig. S4B). To determine if we could detect *rbpB* co-transcription with *BT1886-BT1884* under conditions relevant to the *rbpB* mutant phenotype we conducted RT-PCR on RNA samples harvested from wild-type (*rbpB*⁺) cells grown to mid-log phase on minimal media with glucose or melibiose. Primer sets spanning junctions between each gene in the putative operon yielded PCR products (Fig. S4C), suggesting that *rbpB* and *BT1886-BT1884* are expressed as an operon. *BT1886*,

BT1885, and *BT1884* encode a putative RhIE DEAD-box RNA helicase, a hypothetical protein, and a cold shock domain containing protein, respectively. The operon structure suggests the functions of these proteins are linked. One other possibility that may explain the inability to complement the *rbpB* mutant melibiose growth phenotype is that the appropriate stoichiometry of these proteins was not restored by the complementation constructs.

Loss of RbpB leads to decreased expression of an essential melibiase in PUL24

Given the inability of $\Delta rbpB$ to utilize α -1,6 linked RFOs, we hypothesized that a gene (or genes) encoding an α -galactosidase would be differentially regulated in the $\Delta rbpB$ mutant strain compared to wild-type. Although there are several α -galactosidases annotated in the genome (7), none of them were significantly differentially regulated in our TYG RNA-seq, suggesting differential regulation may be specific to growth in minimal medium with melibiose. We therefore performed more RNA-seq to identify candidate genes responsible for this phenotype. We compared transcriptome profiles of wild-type and $\Delta rbpB$ strains grown in minimal media with glucose or melibiose. To identify genes that are uniquely transcriptionally responsive to the α -1,6 linkage in melibiose, we also compared the glucose- and melibiose-grown cells' transcriptomes to that of cells grown in minimal medium with a 1:1 mixture of glucose and galactose, the monosaccharides that makeup melibiose.

Comparing wild-type and $\Delta rbpB$ transcriptomes in all three media, we identified genes in PUL24 that were strongly differentially regulated (Fig. 5A and Dataset S2B). PUL24 (genes *BT1871-BT1878*) contains a SusC/D-like pair (*BT1874-BT1875*), a σ /anti- σ factor pair (*BT1876-BT1877*), and four putative glycosyl hydrolases belonging

to families GH3 (BT1872), GH43 (BT1873), GH76 (BT1878), and GH97 (BT1871). Genes *BT1873-BT1878* were expressed at very low levels in wild-type and $\Delta rbpB$ strains in all of the conditions we tested (Fig. 5A, Dataset S2B) suggesting that these genes are not involved in glucose, galactose, or melibiose metabolism. However, *BT1871* and *BT1872* were highly expressed in the wild-type strain growing in glucose and melibiose (Fig. 5A) (and the glucose-galactose mixture, Dataset S2B) but were expressed at barely detectable levels in the $\Delta rbpB$ strain. In contrast, *BT1871* and *BT1872* were not differentially expressed between wild-type and $\Delta rbpB$ strains grown in TYG (Dataset S2A).

Previous work showed that *BT1871* has *in vitro* melibiase activity (61), and transposon insertions in *BT1871* led to decreased fitness in melibiose in a carbohydrate utilization screen (62). To confirm that *BT1871* was important for *B. thetaiotaomicron* utilization of RFOs including melibiose as a sole carbon source, we deleted *BT1871* and cultured the $\Delta BT1871$ and wild-type strains in minimal media with melibiose, raffinose, stachyose, and sucrose (Fig. 5B). The $\Delta BT1871$ strain showed no growth defect on sucrose compared to the wild-type strain, which is expected based on its predicted melibiase activity. In contrast, the $\Delta BT1871$ mutant could not grow on melibiose, indicating *BT1871* is essential for melibiose utilization. Additionally, the $\Delta BT1871$ mutant showed reduced growth on raffinose and stachyose compared to wild-type (Fig. 5B), indicating that *BT1871* is required for metabolism of RFOs in general. Residual growth of the $\Delta BT1871$ mutant on raffinose and stachyose is presumably due to the ability to utilize fructose from the α -1,2 sucrose linkage.

We constructed three different complementation strains to confirm that *BT1871* is responsible for the melibiose growth defect. There is a single predicted promoter upstream of *BT1872* (21) and the *BT1872* and *BT1871* open reading frames are separated by only 32 bp suggesting that they are co-expressed. Complementation strain 1 (compl1, Fig. 5C) carried the native promoter upstream of *BT1872* followed by a deletion of the *BT1872* ORF and the intact *BT1871* gene. Complementation strain 2 (compl2, Fig. 5C) carried the intact promoter and *BT1872* and *BT1871* genes. Complementation strain 3 (compl3, Fig. 5C) carried the promoter and *BT1872* only. The compl1 construct partially restored growth on melibiose, raffinose, and stachyose (Fig. 5D). The compl2 construct improved growth on RFOs compared to the compl1 construct, whereas the compl3 construct (*BT1872* alone) failed to complement (Fig. 5D). Taken together these results indicate that *BT1871* is an essential melibiase required for RFO utilization and the decrease in *BT1871* mRNA in the $\Delta rbpB$ strain is responsible for the melibiose growth defect.

Discussion

Though it is well established that rapid nutrient shifts affect the composition and metabolic activities of gut microbes (47, 63–65), the regulatory mechanisms that allow them to sense and rapidly adapt to use of different nutrient sources are poorly understood. Canonical mechanisms for global transcriptional regulation of carbon source utilization in model organisms from the phyla Proteobacteria and Firmicutes(66) are absent in the Bacteroidetes (67–69). Likewise, RNA chaperones and RNA-mediated post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms that coordinate metabolism and responses

to changing environmental conditions (28, 70–73) are commonly found in Proteobacteria and Firmicutes but have not been described in Bacteroidetes. In this study, we identify a family of conserved RNA-binding proteins that is broadly distributed among members of the Bacteroidetes and some Proteobacteria that lack canonical RNA chaperone regulators. These RBPs occur in multiple copies in a given genome and are highly expressed in a number of *Bacteroides* species from the human gut in culture and in mouse models. At least one of these proteins from *B. thetaiotaomicron*, RbpB, is able to bind ssRNA *in vitro* in a sequence specific manner. Deletion of *rbpA* and *rbpB* in *B. thetaiotaomicron* leads to global dysregulation of CPS loci and PULs and perturbed growth on a variety of carbohydrate sources. Overall, these results suggest that this family of RBPs may play global regulatory roles in carbohydrate metabolism in the *Bacteroides*.

While our study provides strong evidence for the importance of these RBPs in global regulation of gene expression, the mechanisms by which RBPs mediate these effects are still unknown. We hypothesize that RBPs act as RNA chaperones that control mRNA stability and translation by binding to target mRNAs and modulating ribosome association or access of RNases (Fig. 6). RBP modulation of mRNA translation or stability may be through direct interaction of RBPs with target mRNAs (Fig. 6A) or through facilitating base pairing of sRNAs to mRNAs (Fig. 6B), either of which could result in changes to mRNA structure that alter accessibility to ribosomes or RNases. Little is known about RNA chaperone function in the Bacteroidetes, but in the case of RbpB, its role in RNA metabolism is supported by its genomic location. Annotations for BT1885 (DEAD-box RNA helicase) and BT1884 (cold-shock protein)

suggest that *rbpB-BT1884* may be an RNA metabolism operon. To date, we do not have evidence supporting or refuting a role for RBPs in modulation of sRNA function. However, recent literature suggests that sRNAs may play an important role in modulation of carbohydrate metabolism in *Bacteroides* (19, 21). One recent study described an N-acetyl-D-glucosamine-inducible sRNA called GibS that binds *in vitro* to mRNAs involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Mutant strains lacking GibS had nine differentially-regulated genes compared to the wild-type parent strain. Two of these were *BT1871-BT1872*, where expression was reduced in the Δ *gibS* compared to the wild-type strain. GibS binding to *BT1871* mRNA was predicted *in silico* but could not be demonstrated *in vitro*. The authors speculated that GibS binding to *BT1871* mRNA required an unidentified RNA chaperone. To test whether RbpB facilitates RFO utilization by a GibS-dependent mechanism, we generated deletion mutants Δ *gibS* and Δ *rbpB* Δ *gibS* and grew these strains alongside wild-type in the presence of melibiose (Fig. S5A). The Δ *gibS* mutant grew similarly to wild-type and the Δ *rbpB* Δ *gibS* mutant grew similarly to the Δ *rbpB* parent strain on melibiose (Fig. S5A). RT-qPCR showed that levels of *BT1871* mRNA were also similar between wild-type and Δ *gibS* strains (Fig. S5B), suggesting that GibS does not play a major role in modulating *BT1871* mRNA levels in our growth conditions. Overall, these results suggest that under our growth conditions, RbpB regulates *BT1871* independently of GibS.

We have yet to explore the role of RBPs in helping *B. thetaiotaomicron* colonize or be maintained in the host gut. In a recent study (62) screening transposon (Tn) mutants for a wide variety of *in vitro* and *in vivo* phenotypes, there were no reported insertions in *rbpA* or *rbpB*. Insertions in *rbpC* led to reduced fitness on glucose-

containing media (62), possibly explaining our inability to generate $\Delta rbpC$ mutants in our standard glucose-rich media. The *rbpC* mutants also had an increased growth on melibiose suggesting that *rbpC* also plays a role in utilization of RFOs. In the same study (62), colonization of germ-free mice fed a plant polysaccharide-rich diet with the *B. thetaiotaomicron* Tn-mutant pool led to increased fitness of *rbpC* mutants. *BT1871* mutants showed decreased fitness over time, whereas Tn-insertions into several other PUL24 genes led to increased fitness *in vivo*. Overall, these data indicate that RBPs and the PULs they regulate may be important for *in vivo* fitness.

Regulation by RBPs may represent a critical mechanism for coordination of carbohydrate utilization and production of cell surface capsular polysaccharides. Differential regulation of PULs and CPS loci in *rbp* deletion strains is consistent with several reports indicating a regulatory link between these polysaccharide metabolic processes in *B. thetaiotaomicron* (17, 74, 75). Our RNA-seq data showed that deletion of RBPs leads to reduced expression of CSP4 and CPS6 and increased expression of CPS5. In *B. thetaiotaomicron*, CPS4 is normally the most highly expressed locus *in vitro* and in mouse models when dietary glycans are present (64, 75, 76), and disruption of CPS4 expression leads to decreased fitness in mouse competitions (77, 78). In a study monitoring CPS expression in a mouse model over time, it was observed that even when the *B. thetaiotaomicron* inoculum expressed one dominant CPS locus, expression over time varied between mice (75). While CPS4 was most often highly expressed in mice fed a high-fiber diet, mice on fiber-free diets typically expressed CPS5 or CPS6. *B. thetaiotaomicron* mutants that could only express a single CPS locus had a decreased ability to recover from antibiotic-induced stress (75). These studies along with our

present work collectively suggest that the ability to shift among different CPS types is advantageous in the host and that this regulation may be mediated in part by RBPs. Further characterization of the RBPs and their regulatory mechanisms may provide critical insight into how *Bacteroides* coordinately control carbohydrate availability with cell surface properties. This could reveal key principles governing mechanisms in host dynamics.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial culturing and genetic manipulation

B. thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 strains were grown anaerobically in a Coy Laboratory Products vinyl anaerobic chamber with an input gas of 20% CO₂, 10% H₂, 70% N₂ balance. Routine culturing of *B. thetaiotaomicron* was done in Tryptone-Yeast-Extract-Glucose (TYG) (79) broth and on Difco Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar plates with 10% defibrinated Horse Blood (HB) (Quad Five) at 37°C. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown aerobically at 37°C on BHI-10%HB for conjugations and Luria Broth for all other applications. Minimal medium (22) was supplemented with B₁₂ [3.75nM final] (Sigma) and carbohydrates as needed at the following final w/v concentrations unless otherwise indicated: 4.0% stachyose (Sigma), 2.0% D-(+)-raffinose (Sigma), 0.5% D-(+)-melibiose (Sigma), 0.5% α-D-glucose (Sigma), 0.5% D-(+)-galactose (Sigma), 0.5% β-D-(-)-fructose (MP Biomedicals), and 0.5% sucrose (MP Biomedicals). When needed, antibiotics were added at the following final concentrations: 100 µg/ml ampicillin (Sigma), 200 µg/ml gentamicin (Goldbio), 25 µg/ml erythromycin (VWR), 200µg/ml 5'-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (VWR), 100ng/ml anhydrotetracycline (Sigma), 25 µg/ml kanamycin (Fisher). All strains, vectors, and primers are listed in Table S1. For all experiments, wild-type *B. thetaiotaomicron* is Δtdk (strain AA0014 in Table S1).

Markerless deletions were made in *B. thetaiotaomicron* using the pExchange_ *bla_tdk_ermGb* (80) and the pLGB13_ *bla_ermG* (81) suicide vector-based allelic exchange methods. Upstream and downstream regions of the gene to be deleted were amplified using Kappa HiFi (Kappa Biosystems) and cloned into

pExchange_ *bla_tdk_ermGb* using standard restriction digest and ligation methods and splicing by overlap exchange (SOE) (22). Alternatively, inserts were cloned into Q5 (NEB) amplified pExchange_ *bla_tdk_ermGb* using restriction digest and ligation of a gBlock insert (IDT). GibS flanks were Q5 amplified and ligated to restriction-digested pLGB13_ *bla_ermG*. Complete vectors were conjugated into *B. thetaiotaomicron* with *E. coli* S17 λ -pir using established methods (22). Complementation pNBU2_ *bla_ermGb* vectors (5, 82) were cloned using standard restriction digest and ligation methods and conjugated into *B. thetaiotaomicron* as done with pExchange. pNBU2_ *bla_ermGb* vectors were PCR screened for insertion into a single attachment site as done previously (5). The pET-28a-*rbpB* protein expression vector was generated by inserting the *rbpB* (BT1887) ORF 5' to the thrombin cleavage site and 6xHis tag in the pET28a backbone. pET-28a-*rbpB* was cloned using Q5 PCR amplification and NEBuilder assembly (NEB) in *E. coli* XL10-Gold competent cells (Agilent) before being moved into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) for protein expression.

Computational identification of RNA regulators in human gut-associated microbial genomes

To identify genomes containing CsrA, ProQ, RRM-1, and Hfq in the human gut microbiome, we utilized a custom database of 313 human gut-associated microbial genomes containing a single representative genome for a species (22, 37). Candidate RNA regulator genes were identified using hmmer v3.3 (hmmer.org) with trusted cutoffs and the individual hidden Markov model from each protein queried: Hfq, PF17209.4; CsrA, PF02599.17; ProQ, PF04352.14; RRM-1, PF00076.23 (39). The resulting gene

list was then run against Pfam-A.hmm version 33.1 using hmmer to verify that the query PFAM was the top hit for the target domain using trusted cutoff values. ProQ PF04352.14 gene hits that also contained an N-terminal FinO_N domain (PF12602.9) were removed from the final annotation list. RRM-1 PF00076.23 gene hit list was limited to less than 150 amino acids to remove a few genes containing transmembrane domains. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree in Fig. 1A was built using a multisequence alignment of 13 conserved core genes (AspS, Ffh, FusA, GltX, InfB, LeuS, RplB, RpsE, RpsH, RpsK, TopA, TufA, RpoB) identified and described previously (83). Briefly, protein sequences for each group of orthologs were individually aligned with MUSCLE (84), concatenated, and subjected to phylogenetic reconstruction with RAXML(85). The phylogeny was visualized using FigTree (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

RBP expression in publicly available RNA-seq datasets

Publicly available RNA-seq datasets were downloaded from NCBI (see Table S2) for sample IDs. RNA-seq reads were quality filtered with Trimmomatic v0.36 (86). Read mapping and sample normalization was calculated with Rockhopper v2.03 (87, 88) and normalized expression values were graphed using JMP v15 (89).

RbpB EMSAs and motif identification

Purification of RbpB. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells with the pET-*rbpB* vector were grown to late exponential phase (0.6-0.8 OD₆₀₀ as measured on an Ultraspec 2100 Pro, Amersham) and protein expression induced with 1 mM final IPTG (Goldbio) for 4 hr at

37 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and pellets resuspended in 30 ml of extraction buffer (1X PBS, 0.5M NaCl, pH 7.2) before being lysed in a French press. Supernatant was collected after centrifugation at 16,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then fractionated using a HiTrap Ni²⁺ column (GE Healthcare) following the manufacturer's instructions. Fractions containing RbpB were dialyzed overnight in TGED buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 5% glycerol, 0.1mM EDTA, and DTT 0.015 mg/mL) and loaded onto a HiTrap-Q column (GE Healthcare). The column was washed with TGED buffer, and protein was eluted with a linear gradient of NaCl (0.1 M to 1 M) in TGED buffer. The fractions containing the protein were pooled, dialyzed, and concentrated using Centricon 10 concentrators (Millipore-Sigma), mixed with an equal volume of 100% glycerol and stored at -20°C.

Radiolabeled pentaprobe synthesis. Twelve pentaprobases containing all the possible 5-nt combinations were prepared based on a published protocol with some modifications (52). All oligonucleotides used in pentaprobe synthesis are listed in Table S1. Single-stranded oligonucleotides for PP1-PP6 were Q5 PCR amplified with a 5' T7 promoter for either the Watson strand or the Crick strand, generating twelve dsDNA templates with a single T7 site, two each for PP1-PP6. The Watson strand of PP1 dsDNA is identical to the coding strand of the PP1 pentaprobe, and the Crick strand is identical to the coding strand of the PP7 pentaprobe. These dsDNA fragments were then used to produce twelve different ssRNA pentaprobases by *in vitro* transcription from the T7 promoter with a MEGAscript T7 kit (Ambion). Transcribed RNA fragments were 5'-end-labeled with ATP [$\gamma^{32}\text{P}$] (PerkinElmer) using the KinaseMax kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer's protocol.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay and motif prediction. RNA-protein gel

electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed using 0.01 pmol of ³²P-labeled pentaprobe RNA and the indicated amounts of RbpB in binding buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 10mM KCl, 5mM Na₂HPO₄–NaH₂PO₄ pH 8.0). The mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes, and non-denaturing loading buffer (50% glycerol and 0.1% bromophenol blue) was added. The samples were resolved on a 4.6% native polyacrylamide gel for 1.5 hours at 10 mA. The fraction of RbpB bound was determined using a Fluorescent Image Analyzer FLA-3000 (FUJIFILM) to quantify the band intensities. *K_D* values were calculated using Sigmaplot software based on a published method (90). The MEME program (55) was used to predict conserved motifs for the positive pentaprobe sequences with the following parameters: maximum number of motifs, 10; minimum motif width, 4; and maximum motif width, 8. *K_D* was calculated for RbpB binding to PP3 and motif 1 using three technical replicates as done previously (56).

RNA sequencing sample prep and processing

For rich media RNA-seq, strains were cultured in 5 ml of TYG in biological triplicate to stationary phase overnight. Each culture was then sub-cultured 1:100 into two 5 ml TYG cultures. One tube was cultured to mid-log (0.35-0.6 OD₆₀₀) and the second tube was cultured to early stationary phase (1.2-1.4 OD₆₀₀) as measured in a Thermo Spectronic 200 (Thermo Fisher Scientific brand, referred to as ThermoSpec below). Then 500 µl of cells were then spun down at 7,500 x g for 3 min at room temperature, supernatant removed, and pellets re-suspended in 600 µl of TriReagent

(Sigma). RNA was then isolated from the re-suspensions using the Zymo Direct-Zol RNA Mini-Prep kit (Zymo) which includes on-column DNaseI treatment. RNA quality was evaluated using a Qubit 2.0 fluorometer (Invitrogen) and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (UIUC Biotechnology Center). Total RNA was then submitted to the W. M. Keck Center for Comparative and Functional Genomics at UIUC for rRNA depletion, library construction, and sequencing. Briefly, ribosomal RNAs were removed from total RNA with an Illumina Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit for Bacteria. RNA-seq libraries were produced with a ScriptSeq v2 kit (Illumina) and cleaned with AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter) to remove any fragments <80 nt. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2500 using HiSeq SBS sequencing kit v4 to give 160 nt single-end reads. Results were de-multiplexed with bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14 (Illumina). Reads were quality filtered and trimmed using Bioconductor package ShortRead (91) to first remove reads with >1 N or if ≥75% of a read is a single nucleotide and then the first 2 nucleotides were removed from each sequence read. Sequencing adapters were removed with fastx_clipper (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/index.html). Residual rRNAs were removed using bowtie2 (92, 93) and final reads mapped to the genome and analyzed using Rockhopper v2.03. All raw Rockhopper calculated expression values were increased by 1 and fold changes (FC) calculated as $\log_2(\text{mutant expression value} + 1/\text{wild-type expression value} + 1)$. RNA-seq processing statistics are summarized in Dataset S2C.

For RNA-seq of cultures grown in minimal media, a single colony/strain was smeared onto half a 100 mm BHI-10%HB agar plate with a cotton swab and cultured for 24 hours. Lawns were then re-suspended in 5 ml of minimal medium + glucose (MMG) and spun down at 4000g x 5 min. Cell pellets were washed three times with 1 ml of

MMG and then diluted to 0.07 OD₆₃₀ in 200 µl of MMG, as measured on a Biotek Synergy HT plate reader (referred to as Biotek below). Cells were then diluted 1:1000 in 25 ml of MMG and cultured overnight to 0.35-0.50 OD₆₀₀ (ThermoSpec). Cells were then spun down and re-suspended in 1 ml of minimal medium without a carbon source per every 5 ml of culture. For each biological replicate, these suspensions were then diluted to 0.1 OD₆₀₀ (ThermoSpec) in 5 ml of MMG, minimal medium + melibiose (MMM), or minimal medium + 0.25% w/v glucose + 0.25% w/v galactose (MMGG). Cultures were then grown to 0.45-0.65 OD₆₀₀ (ThermoSpec), and then stabilized in Qiagen RNA protect. Briefly, 4 ml of culture was combined with 8 ml of RNA protect, vortexed, and then incubated at room temperature for 5 min. Suspensions were then spun at 4,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were decanted and pellets stored at -80 °C. Cell pellets were thawed, and RNA prepped using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit. RNA was sequenced and analyzed as done above with the RNA-seq for cells grown in TYG, with the exception that libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq4000 to produce 150 nt single-end reads.

RNA-seq protein functional and pathway analyses

RNA-seq data from each mutant strain ($\Delta rbpA$, $\Delta rbpB$, $\Delta rbpA\Delta rbpB$) was compared to wild-type in rich medium at mid-log and stationary phase, yielding six comparisons. All genes with a $\log_2FC \geq +1$ or ≤ -1 with a q-value < 0.06 were assigned to functional groups using the following framework. GSEA was used on each of the six differentially expressed gene sets individually to identify enriched functional groups. *B. thetaiotaomicron*-specific functional gene sets used included: KEGG pathways, CPS loci, PULs, and corrinoid transport (22). GSEA identified enriched gene sets

corresponding to PULs, CPS loci, TCA cycle (KEGG pathway bth00020), corrinoid transport, and microbial metabolism in diverse environments (bth01120). Since these were identified as enriched categories, if one of the significantly differentially regulated genes in Dataset S2A was part of one of these gene sets, it was assigned that as a category identifier with the exception of “microbial metabolism in diverse environments.” GSEA-identified enriched genes in this KEGG category were further split into sub-categories including TCA cycle and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (bth00630). If genes were associated with both TCA cycle and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, they were assigned TCA cycle since this category was identified as enriched by GSEA directly, but glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism was not. Since corrinoid transport was enriched in our differentially expressed genes, any gene associated with B₁₂ metabolism that was in our differentially regulated genes was assigned a “B-vitamin metabolism” category. Remaining gene functions were assigned using gene ontology (GO) from QuickGO. Briefly, gene names were used to extract UniprotKB identifiers that were then used to pull GO biological process annotations from QuickGO when available. Only the first reported GO assignment was used for each gene. GO terms were further grouped into custom functional categories to make a more tractably sized list of functional categories for visualization. Genes without any of the above functional assignments were labeled as either “hypothetical protein” if they were annotated as such or “miscellaneous” if the gene had a putative functional annotation that was not captured by the other functional categories. All resulting functional groups are listed in Dataset S2A.

Biolog carbon utilization assays

Biolog carbon utilization assays were conducted according to manufacturer recommendations as follows. Biolog carbon source PM1 and PM2A MicroPlates were brought to room temperature to avoid condensation prior to opening the seals. Plates were then cycled into the anaerobic chamber and maintained in an anaerobic desiccant box for 24 hours. Single colonies of each strain were swabbed onto BHI-10% horse blood plates and cultured overnight to produce a lawn of cells. Cells were aerobically suspended into 5 ml of reduced minimal medium without a carbon source to 40% turbidity (OD₅₉₀, ThermoSpec) using a cotton swab. Suspensions were cycled into the chamber and 1.5 ml combined with 22 ml of anoxic, reduced minimal medium without a carbon source. Each carbon source plate was then inoculated with 100 µl of diluted cells and statically incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature to facilitate compound dissolution before measuring time point zero. Plates were statically incubated at 37 °C with manual OD₆₃₀ readings taken every hour in the plate reader for the first 11 hours of growth. Plates were then left in the chamber overnight and optical density readings were resumed after 24 hours of growth. Time points were then taken every 3 hours to a final time point of 36 hours of growth. Linear regression and prediction curves were calculated using Prism. Negative control wells and Xylitol (PM2A) were removed from linear regression calculations. Xylitol was removed due to an unknown occlusion (potentially condensation or precipitation) causing transiently high OD₆₃₀ readings. In the absence of these transient values, *B. thetaiotaomicron* could not grow on Xylitol as a sole carbon source in these experiments.

Minimal media growth assays

Strains were cultured from a colony in 5 ml of TYG for 24 hours and then sub-cultured 1:1000 into 5 ml of MMG for 24 hours. 1 ml of stationary phase MMG cultures were spun down 4000 g x 10 min at room temperature. Supernatants were removed and pellets resuspended in 1 ml of minimal medium without a carbon source. 2 µl of cells were then sub-cultured into 198 µl of minimal media containing carbon sources to appropriate final concentrations in flat bottom, 96 well Corning Costar tissue culture-treated plates (Sigma). Plates were sealed with a Breathe-Easy gas permeable membrane (Sigma) and statically cultured in the Biotek plate reader for 48 hours with optical density recorded every 30 minutes.

qRT-PCR of *rbpB* and *BT1871*

Strains were cultured in MMG to stationary phase overnight, sub-cultured 1:100 into 5 ml of MMG, and then cultured to mid-log (0.38-0.52 OD₆₀₀, ThermoSpec). All cultures for strains containing pNBU2_ermGb vectors contained erythromycin. Four ml of cells were pelleted at 4,000 g x 10 min, supernatant decanted, and then RNA isolated with a Qiagen RNeasy mini kit. Residual DNA was degraded on-column using Qiagen RNase-Free DNase Set and the RNA cleaned with a Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit. First-strand cDNA synthesis was done with a SuperScript II RT kit (Invitrogen) and random hexamers (Invitrogen). Post reverse transcription, the SuperScript reaction was incubated with 27 µl of 1N NaOH at 65 °C for 30 min, neutralized with 27 µl of 1N HCl, and cleaned up with a Qiagen MinElute PCR purification kit. cDNA was diluted and *rbpB*, *BT1871*, and 16s rRNA copies amplified using 2x QX200 ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (Biorad) and quantified using the QX200 Droplet Digital PCR system (Biorad)

according to manufacturer instructions. All ddPCR consumables were supplied by Biorad and Rainin (pipette tips only). Relative ratios were calculated by dividing the *rbpB* or *BT1871* counts by the 16s rRNA counts.

Determination of operon structure of *rbpB* in *B. thetaiotaomicron*

Strains were cultured in MMG to stationary phase overnight and then sub-cultured 1:1,000 into 4 ml of MMM and 1:10,000 into 4 ml MMG. MMG and MMM cultures were grown to mid-log (OD₆₃₀ 0.25-0.35, Biotek), pelleted at 4,000g x 10 min at 4°C, and supernatant removed. RNA and cDNA were prepped as done for qPCR with the exception that residual DNA was degraded on beads using an Ambion nuclease-free DNase kit. Overlap end-point PCR was done with KAPA HiFi (KAPA Biosystems). gDNA was prepped using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and tissue kit.

Data Availability

All RNA-seq datasets corresponding to the samples listed in Dataset S2C are publicly available on NCBI under BioProject accession number PRJNA723047.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alvaro Hernandez, Chris Wright, and staff of the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center for assistance with RNA-seq. We also thank Danielle Campbell for guidance on comparative genomics and Aurore Gupta and Saika Hossain for assistance with EMSAs. We are grateful to Sandy Pernitzsch (Scigraphix) for assistance with model graphics. This work was funded by UIUC and the UIUC Department of Microbiology, a Roy J. Carver Charitable Trust award (15-4501) to P.H.D. and initial complement funding to P.H.D. from UCR. A.N.D.A. was funded by an Alice Helm Graduate Research Excellence Fellowship and the UIUC Department of Microbiology.

A.N.D.A., M.S.A., Z.A.C., P.H.D., and C.K.V. designed research and analyzed data. A.N.D.A., M.S.A., Z.A.C., X.M., and P.H.D. performed research. A.N.D.A., M.S.A., P.H.D., and C.K.V. wrote the paper.

References

1. Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, González A, Fontana L, Henrissat B, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2011. Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. *Science* 332:970–974.
2. Carmody RN, Gerber GK, Luevano JM, Gatti DM, Somes L, Svenson KL, Turnbaugh PJ. 2015. Diet dominates host genotype in shaping the murine gut microbiota. *Cell Host Microbe* 17:72–84.
3. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen YY, Keilbaugh SA, Bewtra M, Knights D, Walters WA, Knight R, Sinha R, Gilroy E, Gupta K, Baldassano R, Nessel L, Li H, Bushman FD, Lewis JD. 2011. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* 334:105–108.
4. Kaoutari AE, Armougom F, Gordon JI, Raoult D, Henrissat B. 2013. The abundance and variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 11:497–504.
5. Martens EC, Chiang HC, Gordon JI. 2008. Mucosal glycan foraging enhances fitness and transmission of a saccharolytic human gut bacterial symbiont. *Cell Host Microbe* 4:447–457.

- 746 6. Rogers TE, Pudlo NA, Koropatkin NM, Bell JSK, Balasch MM, Jasker K, Martens EC.
747 2013. Dynamic responses of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* during growth on glycan
748 mixtures. Mol Microbiol 88:876–890.
- 749 7. Terrapon N, Lombard V, Drula É, Lapébie P, Al-Masaudi S, Gilbert HJ, Henrissat B.
750 2017. PULDB: the expanded database of Polysaccharide Utilization Loci. Nucleic Acids
751 Res 46:D677–D683.
- 752 8. Pereira GV, Abdel-Hamid AM, Dutta S, D'Alessandro-Gabazza CN, Wefers D, Farris
753 JA, Bajaj S, Wawrzak Z, Atomi H, Mackie RI, Gabazza EC, Shukla D, Koropatkin NM,
754 Cann I. 2021. Degradation of complex arabinoxylans by human colonic Bacteroidetes.
755 Nat Commun 12:459.
- 756 9. Martens EC, Lowe EC, Chiang H, Pudlo NA, Wu M, McNulty NP, Abbott DW,
757 Henrissat B, Gilbert HJ, Bolam DN, Gordon JI. 2011. Recognition and degradation of
758 plant cell wall polysaccharides by two human gut symbionts. PLoS Biol 9:e1001221.
- 759 10. Xu J, Bjursell MK, Himrod J, Deng S, Carmichael LK, Chiang HC, Hooper LV,
760 Gordon JI. 2003. A genomic view of the human-*Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*
761 symbiosis. Science 299:2074–2076.
- 762 11. Xu J, Chiang HC, Bjursell MK, Gordon JI. 2004. Message from a human gut
763 symbiont: sensitivity is a prerequisite for sharing. Trends Microbiol 12:21–28.

764 12. Cho KH, Cho D, Wang GR, Salyers AA. 2001. New regulatory gene that contributes
765 to control of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* starch utilization genes. J Bacteriol
766 183:7198–7205.

767 13. Schwalm ND, Townsend GE, Groisman EA. 2016. Multiple signals govern utilization
768 of a polysaccharide in the gut bacterium *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. mBio 7:e01342-
769 16.

770 14. Chang C, Tesar C, Li X, Kim Y, Rodionov DA, Joachimiak A. 2015. A novel
771 transcriptional regulator of L-arabinose utilization in human gut bacteria. Nucleic Acids
772 Res 43:10546–10559.

773 15. Ravcheev DA, Godzik A, Osterman AL, Rodionov DA. 2013. Polysaccharides
774 utilization in human gut bacterium *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*: comparative genomics
775 reconstruction of metabolic and regulatory networks. BMC Genomics 14:873.

776 16. Sonnenburg ED, Zheng H, Joglekar P, Higginbottom SK, Firbank SJ, Bolam DN,
777 Sonnenburg JL. 2010. Specificity of polysaccharide use in intestinal *Bacteroides*
778 species determines diet-induced microbiota alterations. Cell 141:1241–1252.

779 17. Martens EC, Roth R, Heuser JE, Gordon JI. 2009. Coordinate regulation of glycan
780 degradation and polysaccharide capsule biosynthesis by a prominent human gut
781 symbiont. J Biol Chem 284:18445–18457.

- 782 18. Pudlo NA, Urs K, Kumar SS, German JB, Mills DA, Martens EC. 2015. Symbiotic
783 human gut bacteria with variable metabolic priorities for host mucosal glycans. *mBio*
784 6:e01282-15.
- 785 19. Cao Y, Förstner KU, Vogel J, Smith CJ. 2016. *cis*-encoded small RNAs, a
786 conserved mechanism for repression of polysaccharide utilization in *Bacteroides*. *J*
787 *Bacteriol* 198:2410–2418.
- 788 20. Townsend GE, Han W, Schwalm ND, Hong X, Bencivenga-Barry NA, Goodman AL,
789 Groisman EA. 2020. A master regulator of *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* gut
790 colonization controls carbohydrate utilization and an alternative protein synthesis factor.
791 *mBio* 11:03221–19.
- 792 21. Ryan D, Jenniches L, Reichardt S, Barquist L, Westermann AJ. 2020. A high-
793 resolution transcriptome map identifies small RNA regulation of metabolism in the gut
794 microbe *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *Nat Commun* 11:3557.
- 795 22. Degnan PH, Barry NA, Mok KC, Taga ME, Goodman AL. 2014. Human gut
796 microbes use multiple transporters to distinguish vitamin B₁₂ analogs and compete in
797 the gut. *Cell Host Microbe* 15:47–57.
- 798 23. Costliow ZA, Degnan PH. 2017. Thiamine acquisition strategies impact metabolism
799 and competition in the gut microbe *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *mSystems* 2:e00116-
800 17.

- 801 24. Costliow ZA, Degnan PH, Vanderpool CK. 2019. Thiamine pyrophosphate
802 riboswitches in *Bacteroides* species regulate transcription or translation of thiamine
803 transport and biosynthesis genes. bioRxiv 867226.
- 804 25. Papenfort K, Vogel J. 2014. Small RNA functions in carbon metabolism and
805 virulence of enteric pathogens. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 4:1–12.
- 806 26. Smirnov A, Schneider C, Hör J, Vogel J. 2017. Discovery of new RNA classes and
807 global RNA-binding proteins. Curr Opin Microbiol 39:1–9.
- 808 27. Hör J, Gorski SA, Vogel J. 2018. Bacterial RNA biology on a genome scale. Mol Cell
809 70:785–799.
- 810 28. Vogel J, Luisi BF. 2011. Hfq and its constellation of RNA. Nat Rev Microbiol 9:578–
811 589.
- 812 29. Santiago-Frangos A, Woodson SA. 2018. Hfq chaperone brings speed dating to
813 bacterial sRNA. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA 9:e1475.
- 814 30. Iosub IA, Nues RW van, McKellar SW, Nieken KJ, Marchioreto M, Sy B, Tree JJ,
815 Viero G, Granneman S. 2020. Hfq CLASH uncovers sRNA-target interaction networks
816 linked to nutrient availability adaptation. eLife 9:e54655.
- 817 31. Melamed S, Peer A, Faigenbaum-Romm R, Gatt YE, Reiss N, Bar A, Altuvia Y,
818 Argaman L, Margalit H. 2016. Global mapping of small RNA-target interactions in
819 bacteria. Molecular Cell 63:884–897.

820 32. Chao Y, Vogel J. 2010. The role of Hfq in bacterial pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol*
821 13:24–33.

822 33. Richards GR, Vanderpool CK. 2011. Molecular call and response: the physiology of
823 bacterial small RNAs. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1809:525–531.

824 34. Bobrovskyy M, Vanderpool CK. 2014. The small RNA SgrS: roles in metabolism and
825 pathogenesis of enteric bacteria. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 4:1–8.

826 35. Waters JL, Salyers AA. 2012. The small RNA RteR inhibits transfer of the
827 *Bacteroides conjugative* transposon CTnDOT. *J Bacteriol* 194:5228–5236.

828 36. Townsend GE, Han W, Schwalm ND, Raghavan V, Barry NA, Goodman AL,
829 Groisman EA. 2019. Dietary sugar silences a colonization factor in a mammalian gut
830 symbiont. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116:233–238.

831 37. Methé BA, Nelson KE, Pop M, Creasy HH, Giglio MG, Huttenhower C, Gevers D,
832 Petrosino JF, Abubucker S, Badger JH, Chinwalla AT, Earl AM, FitzGerald MG, Fulton
833 RS, Hallsworth-Pepin K, Lobos EA, Madupu R, Magrini V, Martin JC, Mitreva M, Muzny
834 DM, Sodergren EJ, Versalovic J, Wollam AM, Worley KC, Wortman JR, Young SK,
835 Zeng Q, Aagaard KM, Abolude OO, Allen-Vercoe E, Alm EJ, Alvarado L, Andersen GL,
836 Anderson S, Appelbaum E, Arachchi HM, Armitage G, Arze CA, Ayvaz T, Baker CC,
837 Begg L, Belachew T, Bhonagiri V, Bihan M, Blaser MJ, Bloom T, Bonazzi VR, Brooks P,
838 Buck GA, Buhay CJ, Busam DA, Campbell JL, Canon SR, Cantarel BL, Chain PS,
839 Chen I-MA, Chen L, Chhibba S, Chu K, Ciulla DM, Clemente JC, Clifton SW, Conlan S,
840 Crabtree J, Cutting MA, Davidovics NJ, Davis CC, DeSantis TZ, Deal C, Delehaunty

841 KD, Dewhurst FE, Deych E, Ding Y, Dooling DJ, Dugan SP, Dunne WM, Durkin AS,
842 Edgar RC, Erlich RL, Farmer CN, Farrell RM, Faust K, Feldgarden M, Felix VM, Fisher
843 S, Fodor AA, Forney L, Foster L, Francesco VD, Friedman J, Friedrich DC, Fronick CC,
844 Fulton LL, Gao H, Garcia N, Giannoukos G, Giblin C, Giovanni MY, Goldberg JM, Goll
845 J, Gonzalez A, Griggs A, Gujja S, Haas BJ, Hamilton HA, Harris EL, Hepburn TA,
846 Herter B, Hoffmann DE, Holder ME, Howarth C, Huang KH, Huse SM, Iizard J, Jansson
847 JK, Jiang H, Jordan C, Joshi V, Katancik JA, Keitel WA, Kelley ST, Kells C, Kinder-
848 Haake S, King NB, Knight R, Knights D, Kong HH, Koren O, Koren S, Kota KC, Kovar
849 CL, Kyrpides NC, Rosa PSL, Lee SL, Lemon KP, Lennon N, Lewis CM, Lewis L, Ley
850 RE, Li K, Liolios K, Liu B, Liu Y, Lo C-C, Lozupone CA, Lunsford RD, Madden T,
851 Mahurkar AA, Mannon PJ, Mardis ER, Markowitz VM, Mavrommatis K, McCorrison JM,
852 McDonald D, McEwen J, McGuire AL, McInnes P, Mehta T, Mihindukulasuriya KA,
853 Miller JR, Minx PJ, Newsham I, Nusbaum C, O’Laughlin M, Orvis J, Pagani I,
854 Palaniappan K, Patel SM, Pearson M, Peterson J, Podar M, Pohl C, Pollard KS, Priest
855 ME, Proctor LM, Qin X, Raes J, Ravel J, Reid JG, Rho M, Rhodes R, Riehle KP, Rivera
856 MC, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Rogers Y-H, Ross MC, Russ C, Sanka RK, Sankar P,
857 Sathirapongsasuti JF, Schloss JA, Schloss PD, Schmidt TM, Scholz M, Schriml L,
858 Schubert AM, Segata N, Segre JA, Shannon WD, Sharp RR, Sharpton TJ, Shenoy N,
859 Sheth NU, Simone GA, Singh I, Smillie CS, Sobel JD, Sommer DD, Spicer P, Sutton
860 GG, Sykes SM, Tabbaa DG, Thiagarajan M, Tomlinson CM, Torralba M, Treangen TJ,
861 Truty RM, Vishnivetskaya TA, Walker J, Wang L, Wang Z, Ward DV, Warren W,
862 Watson MA, Wellington C, Wetterstrand KA, White JR, Wilczek-Boney K, Wu YQ, Wylie
863 KM, Wylie T, Yandava C, Ye L, Ye Y, Yooseph S, Youmans BP, Zhang L, Zhou Y, Zhu

864 Y, Zoloth L, Zucker JD, Birren BW, Gibbs RA, Highlander SK, Weinstock GM, Wilson
865 RK, White O. 2012. A framework for human microbiome research. *Nature* 486:215–221.

866 38. Maris C, Dominguez C, Allain FHT. 2005. The RNA recognition motif, a plastic RNA-
867 binding platform to regulate post-transcriptional gene expression. *FEBS J* 272:2118–
868 2131.

869 39. El-Gebali S, Mistry J, Bateman A, Eddy SR, Luciani A, Potter SC, Qureshi M,
870 Richardson LJ, Salazar GA, Smart A, Sonnhammer ELL, Hirsh L, Paladin L, Piovesan
871 D, Tosatto SCE, Finn RD. 2019. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic*
872 *Acids Res* 47:D427–D432.

873 40. Herren CD, Rocha ER, Smith CJ. 2003. Genetic analysis of an important oxidative
874 stress locus in the anaerobe *Bacteroides fragilis*. *Gene* 316:167–175.

875 41. Voss JE, Luisi BF, Hardwick SW. 2014. Molecular recognition of RhlB and RNase D
876 in the *Caulobacter crescentus* RNA degradosome. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:13294–13305.

877 42. Al-Husini N, Tomares DT, Bitar O, Childers WS, Schrader JM. 2018. α -
878 Proteobacterial RNA degradosomes assemble liquid-liquid phase-separated RNP
879 bodies. *Mol Cell* 71:1027–1039.

880 43. Santiago-Frangos A, Kavita K, Schu DJ, Gottesman S, Woodson SA. 2016. C-
881 terminal domain of the RNA chaperone Hfq drives sRNA competition and release of
882 target RNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113:E6089–E6096.

883 44. Večerek B, Rajkowitsch L, Sonnleitner E, Schroeder R, Bläsi U. 2007. The C-
884 terminal domain of *Escherichia coli* Hfq is required for regulation. *Nucleic Acids Res*
885 36:133–143.

886 45. Santiago-Frangos A, Jeliazkov JR, Gray JJ, Woodson SA. 2017. Acidic C-terminal
887 domains autoregulate the RNA chaperone Hfq. *eLife* 6:e27049.

888 46. Sobrero P, Valverde C. 2012. The bacterial protein Hfq: much more than a mere
889 RNA-binding factor. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 38:276–299.

890 47. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, Pudlo
891 NA, Kitamoto S, Terrapon N, Muller A, Young VB, Henrissat B, Wilmes P, Stappenbeck
892 TS, Núñez G, Martens EC. 2016. A dietary fiber-deprived gut microbiota degrades the
893 colonic mucus barrier and enhances pathogen susceptibility. *Cell* 167:1339–1353.

894 48. Wu M, McNulty NP, Rodionov DA, Khoroshkin MS, Griffin NW, Cheng J, Latreille P,
895 Kerstetter RA, Terrapon N, Henrissat B, Osterman AL, Gordon JI. 2015. Genetic
896 determinants of *in vivo* fitness and diet responsiveness in multiple human gut
897 *Bacteroides*. *Science* 350:aac5992.

898 49. Huang Y, Sheth RU, Kaufman A, Wang HH. 2019. Scalable and cost-effective
899 ribonuclease-based rRNA depletion for transcriptomics. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48:e20.

900 50. Despres J, Forano E, Lepercq P, Comtet-Marre S, Jubelin G, Chambon C, Yeoman
901 CJ, Miller MEB, Fields CJ, Martens E, Terrapon N, Henrissat B, White BA, Mosoni P.
902 2016. Xylan degradation by the human gut *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* XB1AT involves

903 two distinct gene clusters that are linked at the transcriptional level. BMC Genomics
904 17:1–14.

905 51. Schofield WB, Zimmermann-Kogadeeva M, Zimmermann M, Barry NA, Goodman
906 AL. 2018. The stringent response determines the ability of a commensal bacterium to
907 survive starvation and to persist in the gut. Cell Host Microbe 24:120–132.

908 52. Bendak K, Loughlin FE, Cheung V, O’Connell MR, Crossley M, Mackay JP. 2012. A
909 rapid method for assessing the RNA-binding potential of a protein. Nucleic Acids Res
910 40:e105.

911 53. Teplova M, Farazi TA, Tuschl T, Patel DJ. 2016. Structural basis underlying CAC
912 RNA recognition by the RRM domain of dimeric RNA-binding protein RBPMS. Q Rev
913 Biophys 49:e1.

914 54. Afroz T, Cienikova Z, Cléry A, Allain FHT. 2015. One, two, three, four! How multiple
915 RRMs read the genome sequence. Methods Enzymol 558:235–278.

916 55. Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, Ren J, Li WW, Noble
917 WS. 2009. MEME Suite: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res
918 37:W202–W208.

919 56. Azam MS, Vanderpool CK. 2017. Translational regulation by bacterial small RNAs
920 via an unusual Hfq-dependent mechanism. Nucleic Acids Res 46:2585–2599.

921 57. Stein EM, Kwiatkowska J, Basczok MM, Gravel CM, Berry KE, Olejniczak M. 2020.
922 Determinants of RNA recognition by the FinO domain of the *Escherichia coli* ProQ
923 protein. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48:7502–7519.

924 58. Nithin C, Mukherjee S, Bahadur RP. 2019. A structure-based model for the
925 prediction of protein-RNA binding affinity. *RNA* 25:1628–1645.

926 59. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA,
927 Paulovich A, Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP. 2005. Gene set
928 enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide
929 expression profiles 102:15545–15550.

930 60. Krinos CM, Coyne MJ, Weinacht KG, Tzianabos AO, Kasper DL, Comstock LE.
931 2001. Extensive surface diversity of a commensal microorganism by multiple DNA
932 inversions. *Nature* 414:555–558.

933 61. Gloster TM, Turkenburg JP, Potts JR, Henrissat B, Davies GJ. 2008. Divergence of
934 catalytic mechanism within a glycosidase family provides insight into evolution of
935 carbohydrate metabolism by human gut flora. *Chem Biol* 15:1058–1067.

936 62. Liu H, Shiver AL, Price MN, Carlson HK, Trotter VV, Chen Y, Escalante V, Ray J,
937 Hern KE, Petzold CJ, Turnbaugh PJ, Huang KC, Arkin AP, Deutschbauer AM. 2021.
938 Functional genetics of human gut commensal *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* reveals
939 metabolic requirements for growth across environments. *Cell Rep* 34:108789.

940 63. Faith JJ, McNulty NP, Rey FE, Gordon JI. 2011. Predicting a human gut
941 microbiota's response to diet in gnotobiotic mice. *Science* 333:101–104.

942 64. Sonnenburg JL, Xu J, Leip DD, Chen CH, Westover BP, Weatherford J, Buhler JD,
943 Gordon JI. 2005. Glycan foraging *in vivo* by an intestine-adapted bacterial symbiont.
944 *Science* 307:1955–1959.

945 65. Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI. 2009. The effect
946 of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic
947 mice. *Sci Transl Med* 1:6ra14.

948 66. Görke B, Stülke J. 2008. Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: many ways to
949 make the most out of nutrients. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 6:613–624.

950 67. Hylemon PB, Phibbs PV. 1974. Evidence against the presence of cyclic AMP and
951 related enzymes in selected strains of *Bacteroides fragilis*. *Biochem Biophys Res*
952 *Commun* 60:88–95.

953 68. Barabote RD, Saier MH. 2005. Comparative genomic analyses of the bacterial
954 phosphotransferase system. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 69:608–634.

955 69. Brigham CJ, Malamy MH. 2005. Characterization of the RokA and HexA broad-
956 substrate-specificity hexokinases from *Bacteroides fragilis* and their role in hexose and
957 *N*-acetylglucosamine utilization. *J Bacteriol* 187:890–901.

958 70. Holmqvist E, Berggren S, Rizvanovic A. 2020. RNA-binding activity and regulatory
959 functions of the emerging sRNA-binding protein ProQ. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene*
960 *Regul Mech* 1863:194596.

961 71. Kavita K, Mets F de, Gottesman S. 2018. New aspects of RNA-based regulation by
962 Hfq and its partner sRNAs. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 42:53–61.

963 72. Olejniczak M, Storz G. 2017. ProQ/FinO-domain proteins: another ubiquitous family
964 of RNA matchmakers? *Mol Microbiol* 104:905–915.

965 73. Updegrove TB, Zhang A, Storz G. 2016. Hfq: the flexible RNA matchmaker. *Curr*
966 *Opin Microbiol* 30:133–138.

967 74. Coyne MJ, Reinap B, Lee MM, Comstock LE. 2005. Human symbionts use a host-
968 like pathway for surface fucosylation. *Science* 307:1778–1781.

969 75. Porter NT, Canales P, Peterson DA, Martens EC. 2017. A subset of polysaccharide
970 capsules in the human symbiont *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* promote increased
971 competitive fitness in the mouse gut. *Cell Host Microbe* 22:494–506.

972 76. Bjursell MK, Martens EC, Gordon JI. 2006. Functional genomic and metabolic
973 studies of the adaptations of a prominent adult human gut symbiont, *Bacteroides*
974 *thetaiotaomicron*, to the suckling period. *J Biol Chem* 281:36269–36279.

975 77. Peterson DA, McNulty NP, Guruge JL, Gordon JI. 2007. IgA response to symbiotic
976 bacteria as a mediator of gut homeostasis. *Cell Host Microbe* 2:328–339.

- 977 78. Goodman AL, McNulty NP, Zhao Y, Leip D, Mitra RD, Lozupone CA, Knight R,
978 Gordon JI. 2009. Identifying genetic determinants needed to establish a human gut
979 symbiont in its habitat. *Cell Host Microbe* 6:279–289.
- 980 79. Holdeman L, Cato E, Moore W. 1977. *Anaerobe laboratory manual*, 4th Edition.
981 Anaerobe Laboratory, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg,
982 VA.
- 983 80. Koropatkin NM, Martens EC, Gordon JI, Smith TJ. 2008. Starch catabolism by a
984 prominent human gut symbiont is directed by the recognition of amylose helices.
985 *Structure* 16:1105–1115.
- 986 81. García-Bayona L, Comstock LE. 2019. Streamlined genetic manipulation of diverse
987 *Bacteroides* and *Parabacteroides* isolates from the human gut microbiota. *mBio*
988 10:e01762-19.
- 989 82. Wang J, Shoemaker NB, Wang GR, Salyers AA. 2000. Characterization of a
990 *Bacteroides* mobilizable transposon, NBU2, which carries a functional lincomycin
991 resistance gene. *J Bacteriol* 182:3559–3571.
- 992 83. Brown JR, Douady CJ, Italia MJ, Marshal WE, Stanhope MJ. 2001. Universal trees
993 based on large combined protein sequence data sets. *Nat Genet* 28:281–285.
- 994 84. Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and
995 high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32:1792–1797.

996 85. Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
997 analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.

998 86. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
999 sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30:2114–2120.

1000 87. McClure R, Balasubramanian D, Sun Y, Bobrovskyy M, Sumby P, Genco CA,
1001 Vanderpool CK, Tjaden B. 2013. Computational analysis of bacterial RNA-Seq data.
1002 *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:e140.

1003 88. Tjaden B. 2015. *De novo* assembly of bacterial transcriptomes from RNA-seq data.
1004 *Genome Biol* 16:1–10.

1005 89. 1989. JMP® Version 15. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

1006 90. Ryder SP, Recht MI, Williamson JR. 2008. Quantitative analysis of protein–RNA
1007 interactions by gel mobility shift. *Methods Mol Biol* 488:99–115.

1008 91. Morgan M, Anders S, Lawrence M, Aboyoun P, Pagès H, Gentleman R. 2009.
1009 ShortRead: a bioconductor package for input, quality assessment and exploration of
1010 high-throughput sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 25:2607–2608.

1011 92. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*
1012 *Methods* 9:357–359.

1013 93. Langmead B, Wilks C, Antonescu V, Charles R. 2018. Scaling read aligners to
1014 hundreds of threads on general-purpose processors. *Bioinformatics* 35:421–432.

1015

Figure 1: RRM-1 is a conserved, abundantly expressed RNA-binding domain in gut bacteria. (A) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic species tree of 313 human gut-associated microbial genomes. Colored bars indicate presence of at least one copy of the indicated RNA regulatory proteins in a given genome. (B) Histogram of total RRM-1 genes per genome in the 58 Bacteroidetes genomes represented in (A). (C-D) RNA-seq expression plots of all genes in publicly available transcriptomes for various *Bacteroides* species (C) or *B. thetaiotaomicron* only in various growth conditions (D). Grey dots represent a single gene and triangles *rbp* genes. The top 10% of expressed genes lie above the whiskers.

Figure 2: RbpB is a ssRNA-binding protein. (A-B) RbpB-pentaprobe EMSAs for pentaprobe 2 (A) and 12 (B) (PP2 and PP12, respectively). RbpB [μ M] increases from left to right as follows: 0, 0.02, 0.05, 0.09, 0.19, 0.38, 0.75, 1.50, 3.00, 6.00. (C) Pentaprobe 3 repeat EMSA with RbpB [μ M] increasing from left to right in the gel as follows: 0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, 1.60, 3.20, 6.40, 12.80. (D-E) EMSAs of a 3x repeat of MEME motif 1 (D) or motif 9 (E) with RbpB increasing from left to right as in (C). Asterisk * indicates the unbound radiolabeled pentaprobe.

Figure 3: Loss of RBPs leads to altered expression of PULs and CPS loci.

(A) Functional categories enriched in differentially regulated genes in rich media RNA-sequencing (genes with a $\log_2FC \geq +1$ or ≤ -1 with a q-value <0.06). (B-C) Differentially regulated genes for PULs and CPS loci. Only genes that were significantly differentially regulated are shown. Gene names listed in Dataset S2A.

Figure 4: $\Delta rbpB$ is defective for growth on raffinose family oligosaccharides. (A) Raffinose family oligosaccharides and their subunits. (B-C) Representative normalized growth curves in minimal media from a single biological replicate (n=3) for wild-type (WT) and *rbp* mutants with optical densities (OD₆₃₀) recorded every 30 minutes.

Figure 5: Loss of *rbpB* leads to loss of expression of an essential melibiase in PUL24. (A) Normalized expression coverage curves for mid-log phase cultures in PUL24 with putative gene annotations. (B and D) Representative growth curves in minimal media from a single biological replicate (n=3) with optical densities (OD₆₃₀) recorded every 30 minutes. (C) Genomic regions inserted into pNBU2 vectors for complementation of *BT1871-BT1872* shown in (D). (D) Empty vector (EV) controls contain integrated pNBU2 without an insert.

Figure 6: Model for possible mechanisms of RBP-mediated regulation

(A) RBP binding directly to mRNAs could promote structural changes (represented by the transition from light gray to dark gray conformation) that alters access of ribosomes or RNases to change translation or mRNA stability. (B) RBPs could facilitate sRNA binding to mRNA targets and alter access of ribosomes or RNases to change translation or mRNA stability.