Ventral Intermediate Nucleus structural connectivity-derived segmentation: anatomical reliability and variability

Salvatore Bertino^{1,§}, Gianpaolo Antonio Basile^{1,§}, Alessia Bramanti², Rossella Ciurleo², Adriana Tisano³, Giuseppe Pio Anastasi¹, Demetrio Milardi¹, Alberto Cacciola^{1,*}

¹Brain Mapping Lab, Department of Biomedical, Dental Sciences and Morphological and Functional Images, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

²IRCCS Centro Neurolesi “Bonino Pulejo”, Messina, Italy

³Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

[§] These authors equally contributed to the present work

*** Correspondence**

Alberto Cacciola, MD

Brain Mapping Lab, Department of Biomedical, Dental Sciences and Morphological and Functional Images, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

Phone: +39 0902217143

alberto.cacciola0@gmail.com

Abstract

The Ventral intermediate nucleus (Vim) of thalamus is the most targeted structure for the treatment of drug-refractory tremors. Since methodological differences across existing studies are remarkable and no gold-standard pipeline is available, in this study, we tested different parcellation pipelines for tractography-derived putative Vim identification.

Thalamic parcellation was performed on a high quality, multi-shell dataset and a downsampled, clinical-like dataset using two different diffusion signal modeling techniques and two different voxel classification criteria, thus implementing a total of four parcellation pipelines. The most reliable pipeline in terms of inter-subject variability has been picked and parcels putatively corresponding to motor thalamic nuclei have been selected by calculating similarity with a histology-based mask of Vim. Then, spatial relations with optimal stimulation points for the treatment of essential tremor have been quantified. Finally, effect of data quality and parcellation pipelines on a volumetric index of connectivity clusters has been assessed.

We found that the pipeline characterized by higher-order signal modeling and threshold-based voxel classification criteria was the most reliable in terms of inter-subject reliability regardless data quality. The maps putatively corresponding to Vim were those derived by precentral- and dentate nucleus-thalamic connectivity. However, tractography-derived functional targets showed remarkable differences in shape and sizes when compared to a ground truth model based on histochemical staining on seriate sections of human brain. Thalamic voxels connected to contralateral dentate nucleus resulted to be the closest to literature-derived stimulation points for essential tremor but at the same time showing the most remarkable inter-subject variability. Finally, the volume of connectivity parcels resulted to be significantly influenced by data quality and parcellation pipelines. Hence, caution is warranted when performing thalamic connectivity-based segmentation for stereotacting targeting.

Keywords: cerebellum, cerebral cortex, dMRI, thalamus, tractography

Introduction

Functional neurosurgery techniques, such as deep brain stimulation (DBS) and magnetic resonance - guided focused ultrasounds (MRgFUS), have been increasingly used as effective therapeutic strategies for treating severe, drug-refractory tremors (Benabid et al., 1996; Elias et al., 2013).

Given its central role in tremor circuitry, the Ventral intermediate nucleus (Vim) of the thalamus is the most commonly targeted structure for treatment of essential tremor (ET) and tremor-dominant Parkinson's disease (PD) (Cury et al., 2017). The Vim, as described in Hassler's classification, belongs to motor thalamic nuclei and it is depicted as a wedge-shaped area, located at the inferior edge of ventrolateral thalamus (Hassler, 1983). Classical tract-tracing and immunohistochemical studies, conducted both on non-human and human primates, define the Vim as the entry zone of the dento-rubro-thalamo-cortical (DRTC) tract (Calzavara et al., 2005; Darian-Smith et al., 1990; Dum and Strick, 2003; Gallay et al., 2008).

As conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) lacks of intrinsic contrast to identify thalamic nuclei, interest towards advanced MRI techniques for personalized targeting is currently growing (Boutet et al., 2019; Fenoy and Schiess, 2018; Shah et al., 2020). Among these, structural connectivity-based-parcellation (CBP), which relies on the classification of thalamic voxels according to their connectivity profile, allows the identification of a discrete number of connectivity parcels whose spatial relation could be comprehensively investigated (Cacciola et al., 2019b; da Silva et al., 2017; Middlebrooks et al., 2018b; Plantinga et al., 2018; Pouratian et al., 2011). While many works have applied structural connectivity-based methods to identify the Vim in a clinical research context, a well-established and clinically suitable pipeline for thalamic parcellation is still lacking as significant methodological heterogeneity is present across different works (Akram et al., 2019; Krishna et al., 2019; Sammartino et al., 2016; Tian et al., 2018). Many methodological variables are known to have a strong impact on tractography-based parcellation results, such as acquisition parameters (b-value, spatial and angular resolution) (Ambrosen et al., 2020; Essayed et al., 2017), diffusion signal modelling (Behrens et al., 2007; Jbabdi and Johansen-Berg, 2011), tractography algorithm (Girard et al., 2020; Petersen et al., 2017) and voxel classification criteria (Bertino et al., 2020; Jbabdi and Johansen-Berg, 2011; Jeurissen et al., 2019; Plantinga et al., 2018). However, studies investigating systematically the impact of such variables on the results of the output thalamic parcellations are currently lacking. To serve as a good proxy for Vim identification, a "candidate" pipeline should rely on a combination of such variables resulting in connectivity maps that are reliable across subjects, adherent to the anatomical ground-truth and as close as possible to clinically effective stimulation/ablation point. In addition, it should provide interpretable results and be also sufficiently easy to perform in advanced-care clinical contexts. Indeed, while most of the existing works

employed high angular resolution diffusion imaging datasets (HARDI) (Jones et al., 1999) with high-order diffusion modelling techniques (Akram et al., 2019; Behrens et al., 2007; Middlebrooks et al., 2018b; Schlaier et al., 2017; Tournier et al., 2007), lower quality datasets and diffusion tensor modelling are still employed, as they require less computational power, are faster to implement, and, in general, more available in clinical settings (Fenoy and Schiess, 2017; Miller et al., 2019). A comparison of lower-level methods versus state-of-art tractography for thalamic parcellation may help in translating connectivity-derived thalamic parcellation into a reliable clinical routine. Herein, we tested four different parcellation pipelines for tractography-aided identification of thalamic functional targets to disentangle the effects of acquisition parameters, diffusion signal modelling and voxel classification criteria on parcellation results. Specifically, we evaluated different parcellation protocols in terms of between-subjects similarity, with the aim of identifying a reliable protocol able to work both on high quality and “clinical-like” data. In particular, we tested a hypothesis-driven thalamic parcellation method (Behrens et al., 2003) on a high quality, multi-shell dataset and a downsampled, clinical-like dataset of 210 healthy subjects of the Human Connectome Project (HCP) repository combining two different signal modelling techniques and two different voxel classification criteria. CBP pipelines were tested for reliability, and the best working approach in terms of inter-subject similarity was picked for further analyses such as similarity with histology-based Vim, group-level versus individualized maps similarity and spatial relations with literature-based optimal stimulation points.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

We employed diffusion and structural MRI data of 210 healthy subjects (males = 92, females = 118, age range 22-36 years) obtained from the HCP repository. Data have been acquired by the Washington University, University of Minnesota and Oxford university (WU-Minn) HCP consortium. Subject recruitment procedures, informed consent and sharing of de-identified data were approved by the Washington University in St. Louis Institutional Review Board (IRB) (Van Essen et al., 2013).

High quality HCP data

MRI acquisitions were carried out using a custom-made Siemens 3T “Connectome Skyra” (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), provided with a Siemens SC72 gradient coil and stronger gradient power supply with maximum gradient amplitude (Gmax) of 100 mT/m (initially 70 mT/m and 84 mT/m in the pilot phase), which allows improvement of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI).

DWIs were acquired using a single-shot 2D spin-echo multiband Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) sequence and equally distributed over 3 shells (*b-values* 1000, 2000, 3000 mm/s²), 90 directions per shell, spatial isotropic resolution of 1.25 mm (Sotiropoulos et al., 2013).

High resolution T1-weighted MPRAGE images were collected using the subsequent parameters: voxel size = 0.7 mm, TE = 2.14 ms, TR = 2,400 ms (Van Essen et al., 2012).

Data were acquired in the minimally preprocessed form, briefly, distortion correction, motion correction, brain extraction and registration of structural and diffusion data to one another and to MNI space were already carried out in the downloaded package (Glasser et al., 2016, 2013; Sotiropoulos et al., 2013; Van Essen et al., 2012).

Structural and diffusion data post-processing

T1-weighted structural images underwent cortical and subcortical segmentation implemented by FAST and FIRST FSL functions respectively (<https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl>) (Patenaude et al., 2011; Smith, 2002; Smith et al., 2004). T1-weighted volumes were also coregistered to the ICBM 2009b nonlinear asymmetric template (Fonov et al., 2009) using symmetric diffeomorphic image registration (SyN) normalization (Avants et al., 2009) and direct and inverse transformations were saved for further use. Thalamic regions of interest (ROIs) were retrieved from FSL FIRST tool, which allows, for each subject, the segmentation of fifteen subcortical structures in a fully automated fashion (Patenaude et al., 2011). Details about the other ROIs employed in this work can be found in Supplementary Information.

Two different signal modeling algorithms were applied on diffusion datasets: a traditional, diffusion-tensor based model (DTI) (Basser et al., 1994) and a high-order model based on multi-shell, multi-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution (MSMT-CSD). CSD signal modeling estimates white matter fibre Orientation Distribution Function (fODF) from the diffusion-weighted deconvolution signal using a single fiber response function (RF) as reference (Tournier, Calamante, & Connelly, 2007; Tournier et al., 2008). CSD signal modelling allows to reconstruct successfully complex fibers configurations, especially crossing-fibers (Farquharson et al., 2013). MSMT-CSD represents a further development of the conventional CSD approach calculating different response functions for gray matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) allowing for more precise fODF orientation reducing the presence of spurious fODF in voxels which do not contain white matter (Jeurissen et al., 2014). Both tensor fitting, response function calculation, fODF estimation and tractography were implemented using MRtrix3 (www.mrtrix.org).

Downsampled HCP data

In order to test how different pipelines would perform on lower quality datasets, diffusion data were downsampled to imitate the most relevant features of low-resolution, non-HARDI scans which are commonly available in advanced-care clinical settings. To this purpose, a single DWI shell ($b = 1000$ s/mm²) has been extracted including six b_0 volumes, spatial resolution was lowered by reducing voxel size to 2.00 mm isotropic and angular resolution was decreased retaining only 26 unique diffusion weighted volumes from the selected shell (Wasserthal et al., 2018). Since white matter modeling of traditional CSD may be affected in areas characterized by partial volume effects, and MSMT-CSD requires multi-shell data which are rarely acquired during clinical routine, we modeled diffusion signal using single shell 3-tissue CSD (SS3T-CSD). In such variant of the CSD-method, response functions for single-fibre WM as well as GM and CSF are estimated from the data using an unsupervised method (Dhollander et al., 2019). SS3T-CSD signal modeling was performed using MRtrix3Tissue (<https://3Tissue.github.io>), a fork of MRtrix3 (Tournier et al., 2019).

Tractography

Seed-based probabilistic tractography has been employed to reconstruct streamlines joining the thalamus to cortical targets and to the contralateral superior cerebellar peduncle (SCP) ROI. Tractography has been performed with default tracking parameters using default probabilistic algorithms available in the MrTrix3 software (iFOD2 for CSD data; Tensor-prob for tensor-based tractography). Both in CSD- and DTI-based approaches, streamlines joining thalamus to cortical areas have been extracted using default parameters, seeding from thalamus and selecting a given cortical area as an inclusion region. On the other hand, when CSD signal modeling was implemented, DRTC tract has been reconstructed seeding from SCP ROIs (Palesi et al., 2016, 2015), using masks of the contralateral red nucleus and thalamus as inclusion regions; when tensor model was fitted, streamlines were seeded throughout the SCP mask, using the ipsilateral red nucleus and thalamus as inclusion regions. This choice was motivated by the inability of tensor-based tractography in resolving crossing fibers and has been already implemented in previous studies employing DTI signal modeling (Krishna et al., 2019; Palesi et al., 2015; Sammartino et al., 2016).

Connectivity-based parcellation

Hypothesis-driven CBP of thalamus was performed according to its structural connectivity to eight cortical targets and to SCP, thus, for each subject, nine connectivity parcels were obtained. CBP has been performed on each subject, applying two distinct signal modeling techniques (CSD, DTI). In addition, each pipeline was carried out using two voxel classification criteria: threshold-

based approach and hard-segmentation. The former simply rules out voxels characterized by low connectivity values by applying an arbitrary threshold, that was set to 25%, as in previous works (Patriat et al., 2018; Plantinga et al., 2018). The hard segmentation approach uses a winner-takes-all strategy implemented on FSL to attribute voxels univocally to targets with the highest track-density (Behrens et al., 2003).

In summary, a total of four pipelines were implemented for each subject both on high-quality and on clinical-like datasets. For the sake of clarity, from now on, we will refer to the tested pipelines as follows:

- CSD-THR
- CSD-WTA
- DTI-THR
- DTI-WTA

Where “THR” refers to the threshold-based approach and “WTA” stands for “winner-takes-all” i.e. the hard-segmentation strategy.

The parcellation procedure was implemented as summarized below:

1. Streamlines connecting thalamus to ipsilateral cortical areas were reconstructed using seed-based tractography; in particular, thalamus was set as a seed region, whilst a selected cortical target was set as an include region; this process was re-iterated for each cortical target. DRTC was reconstructed using SCP as a seed region and contralateral red nucleus and thalamus as inclusion regions. Contralateral thalamus was used as exclusion region. Ipsilateral red nucleus and thalamus were used as inclusion regions in DTI-based pipelines.
2. The obtained streamlines were used as a form of contrast to obtain a track density weighted map for each pathway of interest (Calamante et al., 2010).
3. Track density maps were multiplied for thalamus masks using *fslmaths* command, thus allowing the retrieval of thalamic track density-weighted maps.
4. TDI maps were normalized by calculating for each map the ratio between its voxels’ intensity and its mean intensity to mitigate overestimation/underestimation effects due to targets volumes thus obtaining comparable values among maps.
5. In -THR pipelines, the normalized maps underwent a threshold of 25% to remove voxels characterized by lower track density, whilst in -WTA the find-the-biggest algorithm was applied to attribute voxels to the connectivity clusters characterized by highest number of streamlines.

6. All connectivity maps derived from the previous step were binarized and registered to the ICBM 2009b nonlinear asymmetric template (Fonov et al., 2009) using nonlinear transformations obtained from structural registration.
7. Once registered to standard space, binarized maps were summed up to obtain group-level probability maps. Finally, a 50% threshold has been applied to such maps to retain only voxels overlapping in at least 50% of the sample thus obtaining maximum probability maps (MPMs) (da Silva et al., 2017; Domin and Lotze, 2019). Such MPMs served as reference group-level maps for further analysis (see atlas-based and personalized procedures). Finally, volumes and center of gravity (COG) of MPMs, for each pipeline have been quantified.

Reliability analysis

Reliability across parcellation pipelines and connectivity clusters has been evaluated both in high quality and downsampled datasets, by calculating inter-subject similarity metrics derived from Tanimoto coefficient (Crum et al., 2006). Such analysis has been conducted after co-registration of the subject-level connectivity clusters to ICBM template, i.e. maps obtained in the abovementioned step 6 of CBP.

At first, “parcellation-level”, groupwise overlap was measured calculating the total accumulated overlap (TAO) for each pipeline. For a group of m pairs of images, where m represents all the possible pairwise combinations between images of the same cluster, TAO is defined as:

$$TAO = \frac{\sum_m \sum_{i=1:n} \alpha_i N(A_i \cap B_i)}{\sum_m \sum_{i=1:n} \alpha_i N(A_i \cup B_i)}$$

where n is the total number of clusters obtained from a given parcellation pipeline (CSD-THR, CSD-WTA, DTI-THR, DTI-WTA), i is the cluster label and α is a weighting coefficient. We defined α as the inverse of the mean of the absolute value of volumes for A and B, to avoid overestimation of larger parcels:

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{|A| + |B|}$$

The reliability of each connectivity parcel across all parcellation pipelines tested has been assessed by calculating overlap by label (OBL) which is defined as:

$$OBL = \frac{\sum_m \alpha_i N(A_i \cap B_i)}{\sum_m \alpha_i N(A_i \cup B_i)}$$

For both measures, the result is a number ranging between 0 and 1, where a value closer to 1 indicates higher similarity whilst a value closer to 0 indicates high dissimilarity (Bertino et al., 2020; da Silva et al., 2017).

Similarity between connectivity patterns and histologically-defined Vim

Among thalamic connectivity maps, we identified those which showed higher spatial similarity to Vim thalamic nucleus and could be then more suitable as a connectivity-derived proxy for Vim identification. Spatial similarity was evaluated by comparing individual connectivity maps and a publicly available Vim ROI obtained by digitizing high-resolution thin-slice histological data from a single post mortem specimen (Chakravarty et al., 2006) and made available as part of a standard-space MRI atlas (Ewert et al., 2018). Inverse transformations obtained from SyN registration have been employed to register the Vim ROI of each hemisphere as provided by the DISTAL atlas from 2009b MNI non-linear template to the native space of each subject. Finally, similarity of the Vim masks with individualized connectivity maps obtained after the normalization step has been assessed calculating average Dice similarity coefficients (Dice, 1945):

$$DICE = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{(|A| + |B|)}$$

Spatial maps showing higher similarity to histological Vim (average Dice coefficient ≥ 0.2 bilaterally and both in high-quality and downsampled data) were picked up for further analysis.

Variability across individualized connectivity maps

To evaluate differences between group-level and individualized thalamic parcels, i.e. how well the group-level MPMs were representative of subject-level connectivity maps we sought to assess spatial similarity between group level and individualized maps. In order to achieve this, the inverse transformations obtained from SyN have been used to register MPMs derived from the most reliable approach to each subject native space, then, similarity between atlas-based (MPMs) and individualized connectivity maps has been assessed by calculating Dice coefficient. In addition, the COGs of connectivity maps registered on the ICBM template have been extracted for each subject and pairwise Euclidean distances were also calculated between individual COGs and the COGs extracted from maximum probability maps.

To further evaluate between-subject variability in position of COGs, the mean displacement of individual COGs from average group-level coordinates was also calculated. Group level coordinates

were obtained by averaging the individual COGs across all subjects. Hence, pairwise Euclidean distances between group level-COGs and COGs of each individual connectivity cluster have been assessed at subject level and then averaged.

Spatial relations between MPMs and optimal stimulation points

In order to investigate if the location of group-level connectivity maps match the stimulation points for essential tremor (ET) available in literature, we calculated Euclidean distances between MPM COGs and three sets of coordinates provided by a recent study (Elias et al., 2020). Specifically, the first set of coordinates was represented by the “classic” literature-based optimal lead location, obtained from 37 patients affected by ET and translated to MNI space using a probabilistic conversion method (Horn et al., 2017; Papavassiliou et al., 2004). The second and third sets of coordinates were derived from the application of volume of tissue activated (VTA) probabilistic stimulation mapping on a cohort of 39 ET patients: the former was derived from an unweighted frequency map and the latter from clinically weighted hotspots. While the unweighted frequency maps represent the most frequently stimulated voxels across the cohort, the clinically weighted hotspots represents the voxels whose stimulation led to above-mean clinical improvement (Elias et al., 2020).

Effect of pipelines and data quality on connectivity map volumes

In order to obtain quantitative estimates of connectivity map volumes, streamline density index (SDI), which consists of the percentage volume ratio between connectivity maps and thalamus ROI, was calculated at subject level for all pipelines, both in high quality and downsampled datasets using the formula below (Theisen et al., 2017):

$$SDI = \frac{v}{V_{ROI}} \times 100$$

Where v is the volume (in voxels) of the parcel after threshold application or hard segmentation, namely, clusters obtained from the step 5 of the parcellation pipelines (see above); V_{ROI} is the volume (in voxels) of the thalamus ROI. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA was conducted to examine the effect of parcellation pipelines and data quality on SDI. For the selected connectivity clusters of each hemisphere a distinct general linear model was computed, setting SDI as dependent variable and data quality and pipeline as within-subject factors with two (high quality and downsampled) and four (CSD-THR, CSD-WTA, DTI-THR, DTI-WTA) levels respectively. A p-value of <0.05 was deemed to be significant and, if necessary, when pairwise comparisons were performed on pipelines, p-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. Statistical analysis has been

carried out using SPSS Statistics (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

Thalamic connectivity-based parcellation

The full, stepwise, workflow adopted for both high quality and downsampled datasets is summarized in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Experimental workflow. Once regions of interest were selected, tractographic reconstruction of cortico-thalamic and dento-rubro-thalamo-cortical pathways was performed using two different signal modeling techniques (CSD/DTI). Then, streamlines connecting thalamus to each region of interest were used as a contrast to obtain track-density weighted maps. For each obtained connectivity map, two different voxel classification criteria were applied (THR, WTA) thus providing four distinct parcellation solutions for each subject. The resulting maps were employed to evaluate quantitative differences across different pipelines and data quality. All the obtained maps were registered to ICBM 2009b template and binarized; once registered on the common standard space, such clusters underwent reliability analysis. Maps derived from most reliable approach underwent inter-subject variability analysis; then, have been summed up to obtain maximum probability maps (MPM). These group-level maps have been further employed to investigate atlas-based vs individualized differences.

Each parcellation pipeline brought nine connectivity clusters, each one exhibiting peculiar shape, dimensions and orientation along the anterior-posterior thalamic axis. The whole parcellation for the most reliable approach (see next paragraph) is shown in Figure 2 while spatial maps of each connectivity parcel are provided in Supplementary Figures 1-2. Regardless data quality, the spatial organization of connectivity maps followed, at least in part, the antero-posterior arrangement of cortical targets; besides, all parcels extended both in dorsal and ventral thalamic aspects, except for the dentate MPMs which occupied the most ventral and lateral thalamic portions. Overall, the parcellation here obtained shared similarities with those provided by existing studies (Behrens et al., 2003; Broser et al., 2011; Middlebrooks et al., 2018a; Traynor et al., 2010).

Figure 2. Group level thalamic CBP. 3D reconstruction of MPMs obtained from the most reliable approach (CSD-THR). The upper row depicts mid-sagittal sections of left (A) and right (B) MPMs obtained from high quality datasets. The bottom row shows mid-sagittal sections of left (C) and right (D) MPMs retrieved from downsampled data. MPMs have been labeled according to the following color code: precentral (red), SMA (green), paracentral (pink), postcentral (blue), dentate (yellow), prefrontal (orange), parietal (purple), temporal (light blue), occipital (cyan).

Parcellation-level and cluster-level reliability of parcellation

Once thalamic CBP has been performed on each subject of both datasets, TAO has been calculated, returning a number between 0 and 1 for each of the pipelines tested (Figure 3A, Table 1).

Total accumulated overlap (TAO)	High Quality		Downsampled	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
CSD-THR	0.37	0.36	0.39	0.39
CSD-WTA	0.29	0.29	0.17	0.18
DTI-THR	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12
DTI-WTA	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.18

Table 1. The table shows the values of total accumulated overlap (TAO) across different parcellation pipelines (CSD-THR, CSD-WTA, DTI-THR, DTI-WTA) and datasets (high quality and downsampled).

Across high quality datasets, TAO ranged from 0.13 to 0.37, with CSD-based pipelines systematically exhibiting higher values than DTI-based ones. In particular, the highest TAO was reached by CSD-THR pipelines (Left 0.37, Right 0.36), followed by CSD-WTA pipelines (Left 0.29, Right 0.29). Overall, DTI-based pipelines exhibited lower TAO values, with WTA approaches being slightly higher (Left 0.19, Right 0.19) than those employing the adaptive threshold (Left 0.13, Right 0.13). Concerning downsampled datasets, TAO values were lower than those displayed in high quality datasets, except for the CSD-THR pipeline which showed slightly higher values than those obtained for high quality datasets (Left 0.39, Right 0.39). The CSD-WTA pipeline showed the most remarkable difference between high quality (Left 0.29, Right 0.29) and downsampled data (Left 0.17, Right 0.18). On the other hand, although being lower, the difference in TAO values with high quality datasets in DTI-THR (Left 0.12, Right 0.12) and DTI-WTA (Left 0.18, Right 0.18), pipelines is minimal (Figure 3A). Summarizing, the highest TAO values have been observed for both kind of datasets with CSD-THR; thus, connectivity maps obtained using such combination have been considered for further analyses (see following paragraphs).

Figure 3. Parcellation-level and cluster-level reliability of parcellation. (A) Bar plots showing values for parcellation-wise, total accumulated overlap (TAO) for each parcellation pipeline, both in high quality (Blue) and downsampled (Green) datasets are provided. In the bottom part of the figure, heatmaps reporting values of cluster-wise overlap-by-label (OBL) for high quality (B) and downsampled (C) datasets are supplied. As shown by the color bar, dark blue cells display clusters with the lowest degree of inter-subject similarity, which, as increases, becomes yellow in cells corresponding to clusters exhibiting the highest inter-subject similarity.

Cluster-level reliability has been assessed by calculating OBL (Figure 3B-C, Table 2). Such inter-subject similarity metric exhibited values ranging from 0.05 to 0.49 for high quality datasets and from 0.02 to 0.48 for downsampled datasets. The pattern described for TAO, with higher scores achieved by CSD-THR pipelines both in high quality and downsampled data, was maintained in all connectivity clusters considered, with the only exception of dentate connectivity maps. Indeed, while other motor-related connectivity maps displayed fair inter-subject similarity, in CSD-THR approaches, the dentate maps showed overall low OBL, with the highest values displayed by CSD-WTA pipelines both in high quality (Left 0.29, Right 0.24) and downsampled datasets (Left 0.31, Right 0.29).

High Quality																		
OBL	Precentral		SMA		Paracentral		Postcentral		Dentate		Prefrontal		Parietal		Temporal		Occipital	
	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
CSD_THR	0.44	0.49	0.45	0.44	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.42	0.17	0.15	0.48	0.47	0.35	0.33	0.35	0.32	0.27	0.29
CSD_WTA	0.21	0.22	0.37	0.37	0.12	0.22	0.32	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.28	0.28	0.33	0.31	0.28	0.26
DTI_THR	0.06	0.19	0.04	0.05	0.22	0.14	0.15	0.20	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.20	0.14
DTI_WTA	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.21	0.18	0.19	0.22	0.05	0.10	0.35	0.33	0.24	0.19	0.26	0.20	0.21	0.16
Downsampled																		
OBL	Precentral		SMA		Paracentral		Postcentral		Dentate		Prefrontal		Parietal		Temporal		Occipital	
	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
CSD_THR	0.44	0.46	0.45	0.46	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.24	0.23	0.48	0.47	0.35	0.34	0.40	0.39	0.35	0.34
CSD_WTA	0.08	0.12	0.17	0.19	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.31	0.29	0.24	0.24	0.13	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.18
DTI_THR	0.07	0.18	0.04	0.05	0.23	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.02	0.03	0.13	0.09	0.18	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.09
DTI_WTA	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.09	0.14	0.35	0.33	0.22	0.19	0.25	0.21	0.15	0.11

Table 2. Table showing values of overlap by label (OBL) across different clusters for both high quality and downsampled datasets.

Connectivity-derived Vim identification

To identify connectivity parcels that could best suit as a proxy for Vim identification, we calculated average Dice coefficients between subject-level connectivity parcels (obtained using CSD-THR pipeline) and histology-based ROIs of Vim registered on each subject's native space (Table 3).

AvgDICE	High Quality		Downsampled	
Clusters	Left	Right	Left	Right
Precentral	0.25	0.30	0.27	0.31
SMA	0.22	0.20	0.26	0.27
Paracentral	0.18	0.20	0.24	0.27
Postcentral	0.08	0.11	0.20	0.23
Dentate	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.32
Prefrontal	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.16
Parietal	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.07
Temporal	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.03
Occipital	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.04

Table 3. Average Dice coefficients between histology-based Vim registered to each subject native space and each connectivity parcels for the most reliable pipeline (CSD-THR) in high quality and downsampled datasets.

Overall, connectivity-derived maps exhibited low similarity with Vim, with Dice values ranging from 0 to 0.30 for high quality dataset and from 0.03 to 0.32 for downsampled data. Among connectivity maps, the highest Dice values (≥ 0.2 bilaterally and both in high-quality and downsampled data) were

obtained by the precentral, dentate, and SMA clusters (Figure 4); these parcels were subsequently picked up for further analysis.

Figure 4. Comparison between histologic atlas-based and personalized procedures. This figure depicts spatial relations between a histology-based map of the Vim and the main “tremor-related” connectivity maps. For visualization purposes, the Vim has been superimposed on ICBM 2009b asymmetric template with precentral (red), SMA (green) and dentate (yellow) MPM derived from the most reliable pipeline. The upper row shows the Vim as included in the DISTAL atlas (A). The middle row shows frontal and lateral views of thalamus with Vim and MPMs obtained from high quality data superimposed (B). The lower row shows frontal and lateral views of thalamus with Vim and MPMs obtained from downsampled data (C). On the extreme right, bar plots summarizing average Dice coefficient between Vim and individualized clusters derived from high quality (B) and downsampled (C) are provided.

Notice that, amongst the remaining parcels, lesser degree of similarity had been observed for prefrontal and paracentral maps (≥ 0.2 in downsampled, but not in high-quality data) that were therefore not considered for further analysis, whilst minimal to none overlap was demonstrated between Vim and thalamic territories connected to postcentral, parietal, temporal and occipital cortices. Volumes and COG of the group-level putative Vim MPMs are summarized in Table 4 whilst those of the remaining MPMs are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

	High Quality				Downsampled			
Clusters	Volume	COG (x, y, z)			Volume	COG (x, y, z)		
Left precentral	12595	-14.96	-19.83	7.11	25531	-14.55	-18.73	7.41
Right precentral	13216	15.46	-19.61	6.54	19661	15.03	-18.77	7.06
Left SMA	18230	-12.63	-15.38	9.60	28033	-12.93	-15.96	8.46
Right SMA	17926	12.07	-15.03	9.58	26484	13.13	-15.80	8.13
Left postcentral	10593	-17.21	-22.84	6.23	20574	-16.22	-21.72	7.22
Right postcentral	8764	17.33	-22.24	6.20	16850	16.33	-21.29	7.26
Left Dentate	1127	16.17	-16.16	1.13	3192	9.46	-11.11	-1.31
Right Dentate	744	-16.67	-17.06	0.52	3028	-9.34	-12.24	-1.77

Table 4. Volumes (in voxels) and COG (x, y, z) of sensorimotor MPMs obtained from the most reliable pipeline (CSD-THR), for high quality and downsampled dataset.

Variability across individualized connectivity maps

The spatial relation between putative Vim MPMs and their individualized counterpart in a randomly selected, sample subject is depicted in Figure 5 while those of the remaining clusters are provided in Supplementary Figure 3.

Figure 5. Comparison between tractographic atlas-based and personalized procedures. This figure shows the spatial relations between sensorimotor MPMs registered on a random subject (100408 from the 100 unrelated subjects dataset) and the corresponding individualized connectivity clusters. Multiple axial slices centered on the thalamic region show the MPMs registered on subject native space (light orange) and the corresponding connectivity maps of precentral (red), SMA (green) and dentate (yellow) parcels. For each cluster, the upper rows show the connectivity maps obtained from high quality (HQ) data, while the lower rows display those obtained by the downsampled (DS) dataset.

Average Dice coefficients and Euclidean distances between each selected MPMs and the corresponding individualized maps for both datasets are reported in Table 5. On the other hand, Dice coefficients and Euclidean distances for the remaining MPMs are provided in Supplementary Table 2. Dice coefficients indicated varying similarity between group level and individualized maps for both high quality (range 0.19- 0.69) and downsampled (range 0.38-0.70) datasets.

Clusters	High Quality				Downsampled			
	AvgDICE		Avg Euclidean Distance		Avg DICE		Avg Euclidean Distance	
	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
Precentral	0.65	0.69	1.49	1.31	0.66	0.66	1.24	1.44
SMA	0.67	0.65	1.40	1.50	0.66	0.67	1.20	1.14
Postcentral	0.65	0.63	1.65	1.67	0.63	0.63	2.26	2.14
Dentate	0.23	0.19	3.30	3.54	0.41	0.38	3.67	3.77

Table 5. Average Dice coefficients and Euclidean distances between group-level and individualized sensorimotor maps, in high quality and downsampled datasets. MPMs and individual maps are both referred the most reliable pipeline (CSD-THR).

Across high quality datasets, a good spatial correspondence (Dice > 0.5) was observed for connectivity maps, with highest values exhibited by precentral (Left 0.65, Right 0.69) and SMA connectivity clusters (Left 0.67, Right 0.65). Vice versa, the dentate cluster showed the lowest similarity with its group-level counterpart (Left 0.23, Right 0.19). Such trend was maintained across motor-related maps derived from downsampled datasets with higher similarity showed by precentral (Left 0.66, Right 0.66) and SMA (Left 0.66, Right 0.67) parcels; on the other hand, in line with the high-quality dataset, similarity was lower for dentate parcels (Left 0.23, Right 0.19).

Accordingly, the COGs of subject-level clusters derived from connectivity to cortical targets such as precentral (Left 1.49mm, Right 1.31mm) and SMA (Left 1.40mm, Right 1.50mm) exhibited higher spatial proximity to the group-level counterpart, while the COGs of group-level and individualized dentate clusters resulted to be more distant (Left 3.30mm, Right 3.54mm). Similarly, in the downsampled dataset, the COG of atlas-based and individualized precentral (Left 1.24mm, Right 1.44mm) and SMA (Left 1.20mm, Right 1.14mm) parcels exhibited a fair spatial proximity, while those of dentate parcels showed pronounced distance (Left 3.67mm, Right 3.77mm).

Average Euclidean distances between mean COGs of connectivity maps across all individuals and the respective individualized COGs (Figure 6, Table 6) resulted also in remarkable differences ranging from 1.66 to 5.54mm in high quality dataset and from 0.90 to 2.81mm in the downsampled dataset.

Figure 6. Inter-individual variability. Coordinates of average and individualized COGs of the precentral (red), SMA (green), and dentate (yellow) clusters derived from high quality (A) and downsampled (B) datasets have been mapped on thalamus surfaces. The left half of the figure depicts lateral views of left and right thalamic surfaces on ICBM 2009b template. The right half of the figure shows superior views of thalamic surfaces (top) and strip plots (bottom) reporting Euclidean distances between mean and individual COGs.

The closest spatial relationship between the average COGs and individualized COGs has been observed for precentral (Left 1.69mm, Right 1.79mm) and SMA parcels (Left 1.61mm, Right 1.81) in high quality datasets and for SMA clusters (Left 1.09mm, Right 1.07mm) in downsampled datasets. Conversely, higher Euclidean distances have been observed for dentate clusters both in high quality (Left 5.23mm, Right 5.54mm) and in downsampled (Left 2.73mm, Right 5.54mm) datasets. Such

heterogeneity in COG position of the dentate connectivity map is conspicuous if compared to other parcels regardless the dataset quality considered (Figure 6, Table 6).

Avg Euclidean Distance	High Quality		Downsampled	
	Left	Right	Left	Right
Precentral	1.69	1.79	1.10	1.17
SMA	1.61	1.81	1.09	1.07
Postcentral	1.80	1.89	1.27	1.31
Dentate	5.23	5.54	2.73	2.81

Table 6. The table summarizes average Euclidean distances between mean COG and individualized COG of the main sensorimotor maps on the ICBM 2009b asymmetric template for both high quality and downsampled datasets.

Spatial relations between MPMs and optimal stimulation points

Coordinates of optimal stimulation points for ET showed remarkable differences in terms of location (Figure 7A-B).

Figure 7. Spatial relations between connectivity maps and optimal stimulation points for essential tremor. The upper part of the figure depicts three stimulation points mapped on thalamus surface in superior (A) and frontal (B) views: the “classic” stimulation point (violet), the voxel most frequently activated in 64 patients implanted with DBS(light blue), the most correlated voxel with clinical improvement (purple). (C) Three axial slices depicting the aforementioned stimulation points and the main sensorimotor MPMs as derived from CSD-THR pipeline.

However, Euclidean distances between COG of MPMs and such literature-based stimulation points (Elias et al., 2020), exhibited the same trend regardless the set of coordinates considered. The classic stimulation point was located in the most ventral thalamic aspect, in close proximity to the posterior subthalamic area and exhibited the highest proximity with the dentate MPMs (Left 5mm, Right 5mm). On the other hand, COG of precentral (Left 7mm, Right 6.4mm), SMA (Left 12.3mm, Right 9.6mm) and postcentral (Left 9.4mm, Right 9.8mm) MPMs, showed remarkable Euclidean distances with such coordinates. Stimulation points derived from unweighted frequency maps were located in a more dorsal position and showed overall the lowest Euclidean distance with dentate group-level connectivity maps (Left 0.7mm, Right 0.9mm), whilst higher Euclidean distances were exhibited by COG of precentral (Left 6.7mm, Right 6mm), SMA (Left 9.4mm, Right 9.7mm) and postcentral (Left 9.2mm, Right 8.5mm) MPMs. Finally, coordinates derived clinically weighted hotspots were located even more dorsally and anteriorly, and showed the lowest Euclidean distance with COG of dentate (Left 4mm, Right 4.9mm) clusters; conversely, higher distances were found for precentral (Left 7mm, Right 6.4mm), SMA (Left 7.3mm, Right 7.6mm) and postcentral MPM COGs (Left 9.2mm, Right 9.7mm) (Figure 7C, Table 7).

Cluster	Optimal stimulation points					
	Classical		Unweighted		Weighted	
	Left	Right	Left	Right	Left	Right
Precentral	7.0	6.4	6.7	6.0	4.0	4.9
SMA	12.3	9.6	9.4	9.7	7.0	6.4
Postcentral	9.2	8.5	9.2	8.5	7.3	7.6
Dentate	5.0	5.0	0.7	0.9	9.2	9.7

Table 7. Table summarizing Euclidean distances (in mm) between COG of MPMs and coordinates of optimal stimulation points for ET.

Effects of pipelines and data quality on connectivity clusters

For each putative Vim cluster (precentral, SMA, dentate), F-values describing main effects and interactions of data quality and parcellation pipelines on SDI, are reported below. A Mauchly's test was carried out to verify sphericity of data. When sphericity assumption was not met degrees of freedom and their related error was Greenhouse-Geisser corrected. Boxplots summarizing the distribution of SDI for each connectivity cluster are provided in Figure 8. Results concerning the remaining parcels (paracentral, postcentral, prefrontal, parietal, temporal, occipital) are included in Supplementary Tables 4-6.

For left precentral clusters, we found a significant main effect of data quality ($F(1,209)=2837.815$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.931$) and pipelines ($F(1,17,275.301)=6892.535$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.971$) on SDI. In particular, downsampled datasets displayed significantly higher SDI if compared to high quality ones

($p < .001$) whilst different pipelines showed significantly different pipelines with CSD-based pipelines showing the highest volumes ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). We observed also a significant interaction between data quality and pipeline ($F(1.208, 252.421)= 2791.503$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2= 0.930$). A similar pattern was observed for right precentral clusters, which exhibited significant main effect for both data quality ($F(1,209)=1667.440$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.889$) and pipelines ($F(1.826, 381.537)= 6921.534$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2= 0.971$) with higher SDI across downsampled datasets ($p < .001$) and significant differences across parcellation pipelines ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). A significant interaction was noticed between data quality and pipelines ($F(1.268,265.069)=1284.393$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.860$).

Figure 8. Connectivity clusters quantitative analysis. Boxplots showing the distribution of streamline density index (SDI), grouped for datasets and pipelines, for sensorimotor clusters which have been related to tremor treatment in existing literature. Boxes refer to quartiles of SDI values, their extension is equal to the standard deviation from the median SDI value (horizontal line); whiskers depict SDI values which fall out of from standard deviation. Outliers are shown as blank circles.

Also left SMA connectivity maps exhibited a significant main effect of data quality ($F(1,209)=1025.421$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.831$) and pipelines ($F(1.764)=5073.377$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.960$) on SDI values, with downsampled datasets exhibited higher SDI than high quality ones ($p < .001$) and higher SDI across CSD-based pipelines ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). Moreover, we noticed a significant interaction between data quality and pipelines ($F(1.475, 308.321)=1303.401$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.862$) such that data quality influenced mostly CSD-THR pipeline ($F(1,209)=1599.236$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.884$) and CSD-WTA ($F(1,209)=925.134$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.816$), pipelines. Similarly right SMA connectivity maps showed significant main effect for data quality ($F(1,209)=506.150$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.708$) and pipelines ($F(2.149,449.039)=5620.387$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.964$) with downsampled datasets showing significantly higher SDI than high quality ones ($p < .001$) and CSD-based pipelines resulting in higher SDI values than DTI-based ones ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). Interaction between data quality and pipelines was significant ($F(1.456, 304.323)=1086.817$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.839$).

A significant main effect of both data quality ($F(1,209)=364.643$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.636$) and pipelines ($F(1.724,360.293)=3221.193$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.939$) was observed also for left dentate clusters, with downsampled datasets showing higher SDI than high quality ones ($p < .001$) and CSD-WTA pipelines resulting in clusters characterized by highest SDI values ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). Moreover we noticed a significant interaction between data-quality and pipelines ($F(1.555, 325.008)=97.647$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.318$). The same trend was exhibited for right dentate clusters: both data quality ($F(1,209)=886.333$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.809$) and pipelines ($F(1.763, 368.505)=2471.665$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.922$) showed a significant main effect with downsampled datasets showing higher SDI values ($p < .001$) and pipelines displaying different effect on SDI, with the highest values reported for CSD-based pipelines ($p < .001$, Bonferroni corrected). The interaction between data quality and pipeline was significant ($F(1.802, 376.565)=237.181$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.532$).

Discussion

In the last decades, connectivity-derived thalamic parcellation has been accomplished using different imaging modalities both with hypothesis-driven and data-driven methods (Behrens et al., 2003; Fan et al., 2015; Lambert et al., 2017; O'muircheartaigh et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2008). While hypothesis driven approaches require arbitrary selection of the targets connected to the structure to be parcellated (Cacciola et al., 2019a; Patriat et al., 2018; Plantinga et al., 2018), data-driven approaches rely on different clustering algorithms to subdivide anatomical structures in a pre-defined number of clusters (Eickhoff et al., 2015; Saygin et al., 2011).

In the present study we tested a traditional hypothesis-driven CBP of thalamus on a large sample of healthy subjects from the HCP. Despite the known limitations of the hypothesis driven approach, including the introduction of a selection bias regarding the pre-selected target regions, our choice was motivated by the need of decreasing the number of variables which may affect the results of CBP. Indeed, data-driven methods strongly rely on the selected clustering algorithm, the chosen number of clusters and the validation metrics for evaluating stability of clustering solution (Reuter et al., 2020). Moreover, establishing anatomical priors may constitute a strength in stereotactic neurosurgery where anatomy and pathophysiology are strongly tied together in disease-specific models which drive the choice of targets (Helmich et al., 2013; Middlebrooks et al., 2020). Finally, hypothesis-driven methods are more straightforward and easier to implement in clinical practice for preoperative evaluation or retrospective analysis as already demonstrated by existing studies (Patriat et al., 2018; Plantinga et al., 2018).

Given the heterogeneity in acquisition parameters, diffusion modelling techniques and voxel classification criteria in existing studies, we combined the most commonly employed diffusion signal modelling techniques (CSD and DTI) and voxel classification criteria (THR-based and WTA approaches) thus resulting into four parcellation pipelines (i.CSD-THR ii.CSD-WTA iii. DTI-THR iv. DTI-WTA) for each subject. With the aim of identifying a parcellation pipeline that could work either on state-of-art data as well as on standard quality, clinical-like MRI acquisitions, we downsampled high-quality structural data from the HCP repository in order to match the most relevant features of DWI (voxel size and directions) that are commonly acquired in advanced-care clinical contexts. The choice of downsampling previously existing data, instead of directly testing our pipeline on lower-level acquisitions, would ensure that the high- and low-quality datasets would share exactly the same amount of variance (e.g. removing the effects of inter-subject anatomical variability, subject motion or susceptibility-induced artifacts) and that differences in between-subjects similarity could be more easily attributed to the different DWI data quality.

As a first selection step, we conducted an inter-subject similarity analysis to identify a pipeline which leads to more reliable results across subjects. Our results exhibited fair inter-subject reliability both at parcellation (TAO) and clusters level (OBL), showing similar values, even if slightly lower than those reported by a previous analysis (Traynor et al., 2010). This finding could be explained by the larger sample considered in this work (n=210 vs n=18) which likely implies more inter-individual variability. The higher values obtained by CSD-THR pipeline both in high quality and downsampled datasets, strongly suggest that, even in presence of low spatial and angular resolution, connectivity maps obtained using such approach could maintain a similar level of spatial coherence across subjects. By contrast, CSD-WTA pipeline showed fair reliability when applied in high quality

datasets, but notably lower values when the same pipeline was implemented on downsampled datasets, thus suggesting more sensitivity to data quality; DTI-based pipelines showed low reliability with minimal differences between high quality and downsampled datasets. Voxel classification criteria to be employed in the context of hypothesis-driven parcellation remain an open matter of debate; hard-segmentation has been criticized for the assumption of attributing univocally a parcel to a predefined connectivity pattern when it may actually contain multiple fiber populations (Patriat et al., 2018; Sudhyadhom et al., 2013). Caution is needed when interpreting results derived from hard-segmentation, as it has been demonstrated that apparently meaningful parcellations may be retrieved also from extremely corrupted data (Clayden et al., 2019). While the authors suggest that the use of threshold-based approaches could overcome this problem, by filtering out voxels characterized by minor tract density that are more likely to result from spurious connectivity, on the other hand, such procedure requires the choice of arbitrary thresholds which may actually lead to underestimation or overestimation of the results (Middlebrooks et al., 2018c; Plantinga et al., 2018). Our results suggest that, when dealing with higher-order signal modeling such as CSD, the choice of winner-takes-all or threshold-based approach as a final step of the parcellation protocol may have a relevant impact on between-subjects similarity measures, which is likely to be exacerbated when higher-order signal modeling is applied to low spatial and angular resolution datasets. Indeed, when CSD signal modelling is applied on clinical-like datasets, the resulting connectivity parcels may result to be highly variable across subjects because of the higher number of false-positive and spurious streamlines (Essayed et al., 2017). Conversely, DTI-based pipelines show substantially lower reliability regardless of data quality and seems to benefit from WTA in terms of between-subject similarity of spatial maps.

Spatial relations between connectivity-derived sensorimotor maps, histological Vim and optimal stimulation points

Once the most reliable approach was identified both for high-quality and downsampled datasets, we computed the Dice coefficient between individualized connectivity maps and a histology-derived map of Vim to investigate which connectivity pattern would best suit as a proxy for connectivity-derived Vim identification. Several neurophysiological studies underlined that abnormal oscillations across the DRTC tract may play an important role in the pathophysiology of tremors (Deuschl et al., 1996; Schnitzler et al., 2009), and that beneficial effects of DBS may be linked to the stimulation of DRTC tract or the caudal zona incerta (Akram et al., 2018; Al-Fatly et al., 2019; Blomstedt et al., 2018), suggesting that regions where DRTC tract intersects the thalamus may putatively correspond to the Vim (Fiechter et al., 2017). In addition, since the Vim is commonly defined as a region mainly

receiving cerebellar afferent connections and projecting to primary motor cortex (Asanuma et al., 1983a, 1983b), neuroimaging studies proposed thalamic regions connected to precentral gyrus, or to its somatotopic subdivisions (the hand-knob region), as potentially corresponding to such histological entity (Tian et al., 2018; Tsolaki et al., 2018). Finally, other studies proposed also thalamic regions connected to SMA/premotor cortices as functional targets possibly corresponding to ventro-oralis posterior (Vop) nucleus which receives projections from the GPi (Middlebrooks et al., 2018b; Morel et al., 1997; Pouratian et al., 2011).

Our results showed that, in line with the anatomical definition, the highest similarity with the histological Vim was showed by the dentate and precentral connectivity clusters, which ideally correspond to afferent and efferent projection areas of the Vim, respectively (Morel et al., 1997). On the other hand, very poor similarity was observed with postcentral, parietal, temporal and occipital connectivity clusters in both high-quality and clinical-like datasets.

However, it should be noted that low Dice values (< 0.5) were demonstrated by all motor-related connectivity clusters. The low similarity may be motivated by the fact that connectivity-based maps differ from cytoarchitectonic nuclei in size, shape and orientation (Ilinsky et al., 2018), therefore, tractography-derived functional targets are likely to straddle cytoarchitectonic boundaries leading to the observed morphological differences (Akram et al., 2018). Indeed, it should be kept in mind that the nomenclature of thalamic subdivisions is mainly based on histochemical staining of serial sections, rather than structural/functional connectivity (Morel et al., 1997). In addition, the histology-based Vim chosen for this analysis is derived from a single post-mortem specimen, which is unlikely to perfectly match the anatomy of the wider sample ($n=210$) here considered.

Beyond comparing the spatial distribution between connectivity-derived maps and anatomy of Vim thalamic nucleus defined as an histological entity, we also investigated the spatial relations of the group-level connectivity maps with higher similarity to Vim with three different, group-level coordinates of optimal stimulation points for ET, which have been recently provided in a study conducted on implanted patients (Elias et al., 2020) (Figure 8). Specifically, the first stimulation site consisted of the “classic” electrode location, commonly used to guide stereotactic neurosurgery of tremor (Horn et al., 2017; Papavassiliou et al., 2004), whilst the second and the third sets of coordinates have been obtained from probabilistic stimulation mapping (PSM) of volume of tissue activated (VTA), representing the most commonly stimulated voxels in the cohort (clinically unweighted maps) and clinically-weighted hotspots (zones where stimulation produced above-mean clinical improvements) respectively (Elias et al., 2020). “Classic” coordinates of electrode location were located in the ventral-most part of thalamus, just adjacent to dentate and precentral maps; such position likely represents the best match to the classic localization of Vim, as described in anatomical

studies (Asanuma et al., 1983c, 1983a). The most stimulated voxel in the cohort (clinically unweighted maps) was located more dorsally, being entirely centered in the dentate MPMs. Finally, the clinically-weighted hotspot was located further dorsally and anteriorly, lying just anterior to dentate and precentral MPMs encroaching SMA connectivity maps, as suggested by other existing studies (Middlebrooks et al., 2018b; Pouratian et al., 2011).

Interestingly, the spatial relations here described agree with data-driven connectomic analyses provided by normative connectomes on ET implanted patients (Al-Fatly et al., 2019; Elias et al., 2020). In the study conducted by Al-Fatly and colleagues structural and functional “therapeutic” connectivity patterns of leads located in close proximity to “classic” coordinates included cerebellum, pre and postcentral gyri, SMA and paracentral lobule; moreover, discriminative fiber tracts connected to VTA, thus being linked with clinical improvement, belong to DRTC tract (Al-Fatly et al., 2019; Baldermann et al., 2019). Similarly, in the study conducted by Elias and collaborators, clinically-weighted hotspots were connected to precentral gyrus, SMA and prefrontal regions, including again the DRTC (Elias et al., 2020). Herein, we show that the classic stimulation point lies in close proximity to dentate, precentral, SMA and paracentral MPMs. It may be hypothesized that DBS of DRTC entry zone, may exert a modulatory influence on neighbouring thalamic structures characterized by connectivity with those areas. Accordingly, we observed spatial proximity of the clinically weighted hotspots with precentral and SMA, but a slight anterior displacement from dentate MPMs.

Euclidean distances between COGs of the sensorimotor MPMs and coordinates of stimulation points suggest that dentate connectivity maps exhibit the most pronounced spatial proximity with each of the coordinates considered. Even if such finding is consistent with studies suggesting the DRTC as the main target for tremor-treatment and on discriminative fibers tracts analysis conducted on implanted patients, it is important to keep in mind that COG largely depends on the shape of connectivity clusters and does not necessarily correspond to the optimal location for stimulation delivery within connectivity maps, as it needs to be addressed by further studies on patient cohorts.

Once we selected the three spatial connectivity patterns that are more reliable in terms of inter-subject variability for connectivity-based Vim identification, we sought to characterize both similarity and COG spatial proximity between subject-level and group-level maps, attempting to get a measure of how much the group-level maps obtained in the present study are representative of inter-individual differences. Hence, we registered tractography-derived MPMs to each subject native space and computed the Dice coefficients between each pair of images and the Euclidean distance between the COG of MPMs and their individualized counterpart.

We found overall high similarity for all connectivity parcels related to cortical targets in both high quality and downsampled datasets, while, conversely, dentate connectivity maps exhibited the lowest Dice coefficient and highest between-COG Euclidean distances. These results are in line with those provided by Akram and colleagues which studied the spatial relations between group-level dento-thalamic connectivity maps and patient based maps, finding large Euclidean distances between group-level and individualized maps (Akram et al., 2018). Summarizing, spatial maps derived from dento-thalamic connectivity seems to be more influenced by inter-individual variability, suggesting that group-level maps could be, in this case, less representative of individual connectivity patterns.

We further analyzed inter-individual variability of these connectivity parcels, by assessing Euclidean distances between each subject's COG and the COG averaged across all subjects. Whilst spatial proximity was observed for almost all connectivity clusters, including those that may have implications in functional neurosurgery of tremor such as precentral and SMA maps (Middlebrooks et al., 2018b; Tian et al., 2018; Tsolaki et al., 2018), we found the highest Euclidean distances for the dentate clusters. Such variability may be due to technical reasons, as the DRTC is a long, decussating tract, often hard to reconstruct using tractography (Sinke et al., 2018), despite the reconstruction strategy applied herein has been already tested in other studies (Palesi et al., 2016, 2015; Tang et al., 2018). Another possible hypothesis is that the observed displacement may represent actual inter-individual anatomical variability, as demonstrated both in ex-vivo in thalamic nuclear boundaries (Morel et al., 1997) and in-vivo in putative Vim identified using tractography and functional MRI (Anderson et al., 2011; Anthofer et al., 2014; Kincses et al., 2012). Such inter-individual variability may also explain the remarkable heterogeneity of clinical outcomes, with improvement rates ranging from 18 to 88%, observed in patients who underwent Vim DBS via traditional atlas-based targeting (Middlebrooks et al., 2018a).

Data quality and pipelines impacts the volumes of connectivity-derived maps

Finally, we aimed at quantifying the impact of methodological variables on the volumetric estimates of the resulting connectivity maps, by evaluating the effects of data quality and pipelines over a volume-based metric, namely the SDI (Theisen et al., 2017).

We found significant differences between clusters obtained using CSD and DTI diffusion signal modeling with the former resulting in significantly higher SDI values. This result may be explained by the intrinsic properties of CSD signal modeling which resolves complex fibers configuration leading to more exhaustive reconstruction of white matter bundles (Barbeau et al., 2020). On the other hand, it is likely that modelling only principal diffusion direction entails an underestimation of the reconstructed tractograms, leading to small and circumscribed connectivity clusters (Farquharson

2013). In addition, we noticed that the choice of voxel classification criteria had a different effect when applied after CSD or DTI-signal modelling: in the former case, higher SDI values were exhibited when an adaptive threshold was applied, in the latter, hard-segmentation led to higher SDI values.

Moreover, significant volumetric differences were noticed between clusters obtained using high quality and downsampled datasets. All clusters derived from downsampled datasets were significantly larger than those derived from high quality ones. Such result could be explained by the poorer spatial and angular resolution in the downsampled sets which lead to an increased noise during tracking process and subsequently to larger and coarser maps overlapping each other (Barbeau et al., 2020). The interaction between data quality and parcellation pipelines was significant across different connectivity parcels suggesting that different combination of data quality and pipelines may contribute differently to variations observed in SDI. Effect sizes suggest that data quality has a higher influence on SDI values pertaining to CSD-based pipelines rather than DTI-based ones. While being present, such difference between CSD-and DTI-based parcellation pipelines due to data quality is mitigated for the dentate clusters suggesting greater sensibility to data quality when complex-configuration bundles are reconstructed using DTI signal modelling. On the other hand, volumetric differences related to the use of different pipelines resulted to be always remarkable regardless data quality. Such result may suggest a prominent role of pipeline choice over data quality in CBP settings.

Limitations

This study has several limitations that need to be addressed. Firstly, tractography cannot distinguish polarity of white matter bundles, detect synapses or reconstruct intracortical white matter (Jbabdi and Johansen-Berg, 2011). However, the main purpose of this study was to test impact of different methodologies on an anatomically informed parcellation scheme (Behrens et al., 2003; Johansen-Berg et al., 2005; Traynor et al., 2010) rather than characterize exhaustively thalamo-cortical and cortico-thalamic connections, their origin from thalamic histologic subdivisions or their termination within cortical sheet.

We acknowledge that connectivity maps obtained from CBP may be more properly defined as “connectivity modules” within parcellated structures but do not biologically imply that those effective parcellation exists within the structures considered. As a matter of fact, it has been underlined that connectivity-based thalamic parcellation probably underestimates the nuclear thalamic complexity (Iglesias et al., 2018; Su et al., 2019). Even if the overall organization here described agrees with the economy of brain wiring (Van Essen, 1997) and tractography-derived maps have been somehow reconducted to groups of thalamic nuclei (Behrens et al., 2003), this study is not aimed at

distinguishing thalamic cytoarchitectonic subdivisions. Indeed, connectivity methods may identify a functional target which is only putatively reconducted to the Vim or to other thalamic nuclei to maintain adherence with available pathophysiological models (Helmich et al., 2013). Nonetheless, in-vivo reconstruction of white matter has been demonstrated to be efficient in recognizing functional targets in an individualized fashion, improving clinical outcomes (Fenoy and Schiess, 2018). Our results pointed out that a more reliable parcellation may be obtained using threshold-based approach, in agreement with previous studies showing, by means of preliminary electrophysiology data, that position of threshold-based connectivity clusters agrees well with underlying neuronal populations activity of different thalamic nuclei (Elias et al., 2012; Sudhyadhom et al., 2013). It is worth to note that we evaluated reliability by assessing similarity between connectivity parcels across subjects belonging to the same sample, and cannot therefore disentangle the effect of anatomical variability of structures of interest from reliability of the parcellation pipeline. However, since we employed the same sample for the entire analysis, we may assume inter-subject anatomical variability as constant and interpret differences in similarity as a consequence of parcellation pipelines. Finally, we cannot recommend any of the proposed connectivity map as a better stimulation target in respect of the others, since such assumption need to be corroborated by clinical data on patients' cohorts. However, we believe that MPMs based on a large cohort may further inform the interpretation of outcomes of both retrospective and prospective studies, especially if joined with data-driven connectomic analysis methods.

Conclusions

In this work we investigated the influence of main methodological variables when performing individualized thalamic-CBP for possible applications in functional neurosurgery settings. We found that applying a pipeline that involves CSD-signal modelling and a threshold-based approach provides fair reliable estimates in terms of inter-subject variability of most motor-related thalamic parcels both in high quality and in downsampled, clinical-like, MRI acquisition. However, it is important to bear in mind that thalamic dMRI connectivity-based segmentation should be carefully checked in stereotactic targeting where a good outcome hinges on millimetric accuracy. Indeed, our findings further confirm that structural connectivity-derived maps differ for neuroanatomical orientation, shapes, and sizes when compared to a ground truth model based on histochemical staining on seriate sections of human brain. In addition, we found that DRTC tract, as reconstructed by diffusion tractography, is highly variable across subjects and its anatomical plausibility need to be carefully verified if used prospectively to guide neurosurgical targeting. Finally, we observed noteworthy

effects of data quality and parcellation pipelines on connectivity clusters volumes, highlighting major inconsistencies that can be derived by the high variability in dMRI acquisition and processing.

We hope that this work, will be of interest for further research focusing on advanced MRI based techniques for tailoring treatment on individuals and interpret outcomes using connectomic analyses.

Acknowledgements: Data were provided by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil; 1U54MH091657), funded by the 16 NIH institutes and centers that support the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research; and by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University.

Declaration of interest: The authors have nothing to declare

Author statement: GAB: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing, Visualization; SB: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing, Visualization; AB: Resources, Data curation; RC: Resources, Data curation; AT: Resources, Data curation, Writing – original draft; GA: Resources, Data curation, Writing – review & editing; DM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing; AC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Data availability: Data were provided by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil; 1U54MH091657) and are publicly available at <https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/hcp-young-adult/document/1200-subjects-data-release>.

Funding information: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

References

- Akram, H., Dayal, V., Mählknecht, P., Georgiev, D., Hyam, J., Foltynie, T., Limousin, P., De Vita, E., Jahanshahi, M., Ashburner, J., Behrens, T., Hariz, M., Zrinzo, L., 2018. Connectivity derived thalamic segmentation in deep brain stimulation for tremor. *NeuroImage Clin.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.01.008>
- Akram, H., Hariz, M., Zrinzo, L., 2019. Connectivity derived thalamic segmentation: Separating

848 myth from reality. *NeuroImage Clin.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101758>

849 Al-Fatly, B., Ewert, S., Kübler, D., Kroneberg, D., Horn, A., Kühn, A.A., 2019. Connectivity
850 profile of thalamic deep brain stimulation to effectively treat essential tremor. *Brain.*
851 <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz236>

852 Ambrosen, K.S., Eskildsen, S.F., Hinne, M., Krug, K., Lundell, H., Schmidt, M.N., van Gerven,
853 M.A.J., Mørup, M., Dyrby, T.B., 2020. Validation of structural brain connectivity networks:
854 The impact of scanning parameters. *Neuroimage* 204.
855 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116207>

856 Anderson, J.S., Dhatt, H.S., Ferguson, M.A., Lopez-Larson, M., Schrock, L.E., House, P.A.,
857 Yurgelun-Todd, D., 2011. Functional connectivity targeting for deep brain stimulation in
858 essential tremor. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 32, 1963–1968. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A2638>

859 Anthofer, J., Steib, K., Fellner, C., Lange, M., Brawanski, A., Schlaier, J., 2014. The variability of
860 atlas-based targets in relation to surrounding major fibre tracts in thalamic deep brain
861 stimulation. *Acta Neurochir. (Wien)*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-014-2103-z>

862 Asanuma, C., Thach, W.T., Jones, E.G., 1983a. Distribution of cerebellar terminations and their
863 relation to other afferent terminations in the ventral lateral thalamic region of the monkey.
864 *Brain Res. Rev.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173\(83\)90015-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(83)90015-2)

865 Asanuma, C., Thach, W.T., Jones, E.G., 1983b. Anatomical evidence for segregated focal
866 groupings of efferent cells and their terminal ramifications in the cerebellothalamic pathway of
867 the monkey. *Brain Res. Rev.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173\(83\)90016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(83)90016-4)

868 Asanuma, C., Thach, W.T., Jones, E.G., 1983c. Cytoarchitectonic delineation of the ventral lateral
869 thalamic region in the monkey. *Brain Res. Rev.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173\(83\)90014-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0173(83)90014-0)

870 Avants, B., Tustison, N., Song, G., 2009. Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS). *Insight J.*

871 Baldermann, J.C., Melzer, C., Zapf, A., Kohl, S., Timmermann, L., Tittgemeyer, M., Huys, D.,
872 Visser-Vandewalle, V., Kühn, A.A., Horn, A., Kuhn, J., 2019. Connectivity Profile Predictive
873 of Effective Deep Brain Stimulation in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry.*
874 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.12.019>

875 Barbeau, E.B., Descoteaux, M., Petrides, M., 2020. Dissociating the white matter tracts connecting
876 the temporo-parietal cortical region with frontal cortex using diffusion tractography. *Sci. Rep.*
877 10, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64124-y>

878 Basser, P.J., Mattiello, J., Lebihan, D., 1994. Estimation of the Effective Self-Diffusion Tensor
879 from the NMR Spin Echo. *J. Magn. Reson. Ser. B.* <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmrb.1994.1037>

880 Behrens, T.E.J., Berg, H.J., Jbabdi, S., Rushworth, M.F.S., Woolrich, M.W., 2007. Probabilistic
881 diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? *Neuroimage* 34,

144–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.018>

Behrens, T.E.J., Woolrich, M.W., Smith, S.M., Boulby, P.A., Barker, G.J., Sillery, E.L., Sheehan, K., Ciccarelli, O., Thompson, A.J., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2003. Non-invasive mapping of connections between human thalamus and cortex using DTI. *Nat. Neurosci.*

Benabid, A.L., Pollak, P., Gao, D., Hoffmann, D., Limousin, P., Gay, E., Payen, I., Benazzouz, A., 1996. Chronic electrical stimulation of the ventralis intermedius nucleus of the thalamus as a treatment of movement disorders. *J. Neurosurg.* <https://doi.org/10.3171/jns.1996.84.2.0203>

Bertino, S., Basile, G.A., Bramanti, A., Anastasi, G.P., Quartarone, A., Milardi, D., Cacciola, A., 2020. Spatially coherent and topographically organized pathways of the human globus pallidus. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 41, 4641–4661. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.25147>

Blomstedt, P., Persson, R.S., Hariz, G.M., Linder, J., Fredricks, A., Häggström, B., Philipsson, J., Forsgren, L., Hariz, M., 2018. Deep brain stimulation in the caudal zona incerta versus best medical treatment in patients with Parkinson’s disease: A randomised blinded evaluation. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 89, 710–716. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2017-317219>

Boutet, A., Gramer, R., Steele, C.J., Elias, G.J.B., Germann, J., Maciel, R., Kucharczyk, W., Zrinzo, L., Lozano, A.M., Fasano, A., 2019. Neuroimaging Technological Advancements for Targeting in Functional Neurosurgery. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-019-0961-8>

Broser, P., Vargha-Khadem, F., Clark, C.A., 2011. Robust subdivision of the thalamus in children based on probability distribution functions calculated from probabilistic tractography. *Neuroimage* 57, 403–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.04.054>

Cacciola, A., Milardi, D., Basile, G.A., Bertino, S., Calamuneri, A., Chillemi, G., Paladina, G., Impellizzeri, F., Trimarchi, F., Anastasi, G., Bramanti, A., Rizzo, G., 2019a. The cortico-rubral and cerebello-rubral pathways are topographically organized within the human red nucleus. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48164-7>

Cacciola, A., Milardi, D., Bertino, S., Basile, G.A., Calamuneri, A., Chillemi, G., Rizzo, G., Anastasi, G., Quartarone, A., 2019b. Structural connectivity-based topography of the human globus pallidus: Implications for therapeutic targeting in movement disorders. *Mov. Disord.* 34, 987–996. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27712>

Calamante, F., Tournier, J.D., Jackson, G.D., Connelly, A., 2010. Track-density imaging (TDI): Super-resolution white matter imaging using whole-brain track-density mapping. *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.07.024>

Calzavara, R., Zappalà, A., Rozzi, S., Matelli, M., Luppino, G., 2005. Neurochemical characterization of the cerebellar-recipient motor thalamic territory in the macaque monkey.

916 Eur. J. Neurosci. 21, 1869–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04020.x>

917 Chakravarty, M.M., Bertrand, G., Hodge, C.P., Sadikot, A.F., Collins, D.L., 2006. The creation of a
918 brain atlas for image guided neurosurgery using serial histological data. Neuroimage.
919 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.09.041>

920 Clayden, J.D., Thomas, D.L., Kraskov, A., 2019. Tractography-based parcellation does not provide
921 strong evidence of anatomical organisation within the thalamus. Neuroimage 199, 418–426.
922 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.019>

923 Crum, W.R., Camara, O., Hill, D.L.G., 2006. Generalized overlap measures for evaluation and
924 validation in medical image analysis. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging.
925 <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2006.880587>

926 Cury, R.G., Fraix, V., Castrioto, A., Pérez Fernández, M.A., Krack, P., Chabardes, S., Seigneuret,
927 E., Alho, E.J.L., Benabid, A.-L., Moro, E., 2017. Thalamic deep brain stimulation for tremor in
928 Parkinson disease, essential tremor, and dystonia. Neurology 89, 1416–1423.
929 <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000004295>

930 da Silva, N.M., Ahmadi, S.-A., Tafula, S.N., Cunha, J.P.S., Bötzel, K., Vollmar, C., Rozanski, V.E.,
931 2017. A diffusion-based connectivity map of the GPi for optimised stereotactic targeting in
932 DBS. Neuroimage 144, 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.06.018>

933 Darian-Smith, C., Darian-Smith, I., Cheema, S.S., 1990. Thalamic projections to sensorimotor
934 cortex in the macaque monkey: Use of multiple retrograde fluorescent tracers. J. Comp.
935 Neurol. 299, 17–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902990103>

936 Deuschl, G., Krack, P., Lauk, M., Timmer, J., 1996. Clinical neurophysiology of tremor. J. Clin.
937 Neurophysiol. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004691-199603000-00002>

938 Dhollander, T., Mito, R., Raffelt, D., Connelly, A., 2019. Improved white matter response function
939 estimation for 3-tissue constrained spherical deconvolution. Proc. Intl. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med
940 555.

941 Dice, L.R., 1945. Measures of the Amount of Ecologic Association Between Species. Ecology.
942 <https://doi.org/10.2307/1932409>

943 Domin, M., Lotze, M., 2019. Parcellation of motor cortex-associated regions in the human corpus
944 callosum on the basis of Human Connectome Project data. Brain Struct. Funct.
945 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-019-01849-1>

946 Dum, R.P., Strick, P.L., 2003. An unfolded map of the cerebellar dentate nucleus and its projections
947 to the cerebral cortex. J. Neurophysiol. 89, 634–639. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00626.2002>

948 Eickhoff, S.B., Thirion, B., Varoquaux, G., Bzdok, D., 2015. Connectivity-based parcellation:
949 Critique and implications. Hum. Brain Mapp. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22933>

950 Elias, G.J.B., Boutet, A., Joel, S.E., Germann, J., Gwun, D., Neudorfer, C., Gramer, R.M., Algarni,
951 M., Paramanandam, V., Prasad, S., Beyn, M.E., Horn, A., Madhavan, R., Ranjan, M., Lozano,
952 C.S., Kühn, A.A., Ashe, J., Kucharczyk, W., Munhoz, R.P., Giacobbe, P., Kennedy, S.H.,
953 Woodside, D.B., Kalia, S.K., Fasano, A., Hodaie, M., Lozano, A.M., 2020. Probabilistic
954 Mapping of Deep Brain Stimulation: Insights from 15 Years of Therapy. *Ann. Neurol.*
955 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25975>

956 Elias, W.J., Huss, D., Voss, T., Loomba, J., Khaled, M., Zadicario, E., Frysinger, R.C., Sperling,
957 S.A., Wylie, S., Monteith, S.J., Druzgal, J., Shah, B.B., Harrison, M., Wintermark, M., 2013.
958 A pilot study of focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 369,
959 640–648. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1300962>

960 Elias, W.J., Zheng, Z.A., Domer, P., Quigg, M., Pouratian, N., 2012. Validation of connectivity-
961 based thalamic segmentation with direct electrophysiologic recordings from human sensory
962 thalamus. *Neuroimage* 59, 2025–2034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.049>

963 Essayed, W.I., Zhang, F., Unadkat, P., Cosgrove, G.R., Golby, A.J., O'Donnell, L.J., 2017. White
964 matter tractography for neurosurgical planning: A topography-based review of the current state
965 of the art. *NeuroImage Clin.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.06.011>

966 Ewert, S., Plettig, P., Li, N., Chakravarty, M.M., Collins, D.L., Herrington, T.M., Kühn, A.A.,
967 Horn, A., 2018. Toward defining deep brain stimulation targets in MNI space: A subcortical
968 atlas based on multimodal MRI, histology and structural connectivity. *Neuroimage.*
969 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05.015>

970 Fan, Y., Nickerson, L.D., Li, H., Ma, Y., Lyu, B., Miao, X., Zhuo, Y., Ge, J., Zou, Q., Gao, J.-H.,
971 2015. Functional Connectivity-Based Parcellation of the Thalamus: An Unsupervised
972 Clustering Method and Its Validity Investigation. *Brain Connect.* 5, 620–630.
973 <https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2015.0338>

974 Farquharson, S., Tournier, J.-D., Calamante, F., Fabinyi, G., Schneider-Kolsky, M., Jackson, G.D.,
975 Connelly, A., 2013. White matter fiber tractography: why we need to move beyond DTI. *J.*
976 *Neurosurg.* <https://doi.org/10.3171/2013.2.JNS121294>

977 Fenoy, A.J., Schiess, M.C., 2018. Comparison of tractography-assisted to atlas-based targeting for
978 deep brain stimulation in essential tremor. *Mov. Disord.* 33, 1895–1901.
979 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27463>

980 Fenoy, A.J., Schiess, M.C., 2017. Deep Brain Stimulation of the Dentato-Rubro-Thalamic Tract:
981 Outcomes of Direct Targeting for Tremor. *Neuromodulation Technol. Neural Interface* 20,
982 429–436. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ner.12585>

983 Fiechter, M., Nowacki, A., Oertel, M.F., Fichtner, J., Debove, I., Lachenmayer, M.L., Wiest, R.,

984 Bassetti, C.L., Raabe, A., Kaelin-Lang, A., Schüpbach, M.W., Pollo, C., 2017. Deep Brain
985 Stimulation for Tremor: Is There a Common Structure? *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 95, 243–
986 250. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000478270>

987 Fonov, V., Evans, A., McKinstry, R., Almlri, C., Collins, D., 2009. Unbiased nonlinear average age-
988 appropriate brain templates from birth to adulthood. *Neuroimage*.
989 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119\(09\)70884-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-8119(09)70884-5)

990 Gallay, M.N., Jeanmonod, D., Liu, J., Morel, A., 2008. Human pallidothalamic and
991 cerebellothalamic tracts: Anatomical basis for functional stereotactic neurosurgery. *Brain*
992 *Struct. Funct.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-007-0170-0>

993 Girard, G., Caminiti, R., Battaglia-Mayer, A., St-Onge, E., Ambrosen, K.S., Eskildsen, S.F., Krug,
994 K., Dyrby, T.B., Descoteaux, M., Thiran, J.P., Innocenti, G.M., 2020. On the cortical
995 connectivity in the macaque brain: A comparison of diffusion tractography and histological
996 tracing data. *Neuroimage* 221, 117201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117201>

997 Glasser, M.F., Smith, S.M., Marcus, D.S., Andersson, J.L.R., Auerbach, E.J., Behrens, T.E.J.,
998 Coalson, T.S., Harms, M.P., Jenkinson, M., Moeller, S., Robinson, E.C., Sotiropoulos, S.N.,
999 Xu, J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., Van Essen, D.C., 2016. The Human Connectome Project’s
1000 neuroimaging approach. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 1175–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4361>

1001 Glasser, M.F., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Wilson, J.A., Coalson, T.S., Fischl, B., Andersson, J.L., Xu, J.,
1002 Jbabdi, S., Webster, M., Polimeni, J.R., Van Essen, D.C., Jenkinson, M., 2013. The minimal
1003 preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. *Neuroimage* 80, 105–124.
1004 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.127>

1005 Hassler, 1983. Stereotaxy of the human brain — anatomical, physiological and clinical applications.
1006 *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* [https://doi.org/10.1016/0303-8467\(83\)90036-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0303-8467(83)90036-7)

1007 Helmich, R.C., Toni, I., Deuschl, G., Bloem, B.R., 2013. The pathophysiology of essential tremor
1008 and parkinson’s tremor. *Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep.* [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-013-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-013-0378-8)
1009 0378-8

1010 Horn, A., Kühn, A.A., Merkl, A., Shih, L., Alterman, R., Fox, M., 2017. Probabilistic conversion of
1011 neurosurgical DBS electrode coordinates into MNI space. *Neuroimage*.
1012 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.02.004>

1013 Iglesias, J.E., Insausti, R., Lerma-Usabiaga, G., Bocchetta, M., Van Leemput, K., Greve, D.N., van
1014 der Kouwe, A., Fischl, B., Caballero-Gaudes, C., Paz-Alonso, P.M., 2018. A probabilistic atlas
1015 of the human thalamic nuclei combining ex vivo MRI and histology. *Neuroimage* 183, 314–
1016 326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.012>

1017 Ilinsky, I., Horn, A., Paul-Gilloteaux, P., Gressens, P., Verney, C., Kultas-Ilinsky, K., 2018. Human

1018 Motor Thalamus Reconstructed in 3D from Continuous Sagittal Sections with Identified
1019 Subcortical Afferent Territories. *eneuro* 5, ENEURO.0060-18.2018.
1020 <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0060-18.2018>

1021 Jbabdi, S., Johansen-Berg, H., 2011. Tractography: Where Do We Go from Here? *Brain Connect.*
1022 <https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2011.0033>

1023 Jeurissen, B., Descoteaux, M., Mori, S., Leemans, A., 2019. Diffusion MRI fiber tractography of
1024 the brain. *NMR Biomed.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.3785>

1025 Jeurissen, B., Tournier, J.D., Dhollander, T., Connelly, A., Sijbers, J., 2014. Multi-tissue
1026 constrained spherical deconvolution for improved analysis of multi-shell diffusion MRI data.
1027 *Neuroimage.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.07.061>

1028 Johansen-Berg, H., Behrens, T.E.J., Sillery, E., Ciccarelli, O., Thompson, A.J., Smith, S.M.,
1029 Matthews, P.M., 2005. Functional-anatomical validation and individual variation of diffusion
1030 tractography-based segmentation of the human thalamus. *Cereb. Cortex* 15, 31–39.
1031 <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhh105>

1032 Jones, D.K., Horsfield, M.A., Simmons, A., 1999. Optimal strategies for measuring diffusion in
1033 anisotropic systems by magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 42, 515–525.
1034 [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1522-2594\(199909\)42:3<515::AID-MRM14>3.0.CO;2-Q](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1522-2594(199909)42:3<515::AID-MRM14>3.0.CO;2-Q)

1035 Kincses, Z.T., Szabó, N., Valálik, I., Kopniczky, Z., Dézsi, L., Klivényi, P., Jenkinson, M., Király,
1036 A., Babos, M., Vörös, E., Barzó, P., Vécsei, L., 2012. Target identification for stereotactic
1037 thalamotomy using diffusion tractography. *PLoS One* 7.
1038 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029969>

1039 Krishna, V., Sammartino, F., Agrawal, P., Changizi, B.K., Bourekas, E., Knopp, M. V., Rezai, A.,
1040 2019. Prospective tractography-based targeting for improved safety of focused ultrasound
1041 thalamotomy. *Clin. Neurosurg.* <https://doi.org/10.1093/neuros/nyy020>

1042 Lambert, C., Simon, H., Colman, J., Barrick, T.R., 2017. Defining thalamic nuclei and topographic
1043 connectivity gradients in vivo. *Neuroimage* 158, 466–479.
1044 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.08.028>

1045 Middlebrooks, E.H., Domingo, R.A., Vivas-Buitrago, T., Okromelidze, L., Tsuboi, T., Wong, J.K.,
1046 Eisinger, R.S., Almeida, L., Burns, M.R., Horn, A., Uitti, R.J., Wharen, R.E., Holanda, V.M.,
1047 Grewal, S.S., 2020. Neuroimaging advances in deep brain stimulation: Review of indications,
1048 anatomy, and brain connectomics. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6693>

1049 Middlebrooks, E.H., Holanda, V.M., Tuna, I.S., Deshpande, H.D., Bredel, M., Almeida, L., Walker,
1050 H.C., Guthrie, B.L., Foote, K.D., Okun, M.S., 2018a. A method for pre-operative single-
1051 subject thalamic segmentation based on probabilistic tractography for essential tremor deep

1052 brain stimulation. *Neuroradiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-017-1972-2>

1053 Middlebrooks, E.H., Tuna, I.S., Almeida, L., Grewal, S.S., Wong, J., Heckman, M.G., Lesser, E.R.,
1054 Bredel, M., Foote, K.D., Okun, M.S., Holanda, V.M., 2018b. Structural connectivity-based
1055 segmentation of the thalamus and prediction of tremor improvement following thalamic deep
1056 brain stimulation of the ventral intermediate nucleus. *NeuroImage Clin.* 20, 1266–1273.
1057 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.10.009>

1058 Middlebrooks, E.H., Tuna, I.S., Grewal, Grewal, S.S., Almeida, L. eonardo., Heckman, M.G.,
1059 Lesser, E.R., Foote, K.D., Okun, M.S., Holanda, V.M., 2018c. Segmentation of the globus
1060 pallidus internus using probabilistic diffusion tractography for deep brain stimulation targeting
1061 in Parkinson disease. *Am. J. Neuroradiol.* 39, 1127–1134. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5641>

1062 Miller, T.R., Zhuo, J., Eisenberg, H.M., Fishman, P.S., Melhem, E.R., Gullapalli, R., Gandhi, D.,
1063 2019. Targeting of the dentato-rubro-thalamic tract for MR-guided focused ultrasound
1064 treatment of essential tremor. *Neuroradiol. J.* 32, 401–407.
1065 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1971400919870180>

1066 Morel, A., Magnin, M., Jeanmonod, D., 1997. Multiarchitectonic and stereotactic atlas of the
1067 human thalamus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 387, 588–630. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9861\(19971103\)387:4<588::AID-CNE8>3.0.CO;2-Z](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19971103)387:4<588::AID-CNE8>3.0.CO;2-Z)

1069 O’muirheartaigh, J., Keller, S.S., Barker, G.J., Richardson, M.P., 2015. White matter connectivity
1070 of the thalamus delineates the functional architecture of competing thalamocortical systems.
1071 *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 4477–4489. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv063>

1072 Palesi, F., Tournier, J.D., Calamante, F., Muhlert, N., Castellazzi, G., Chard, D., D’Angelo, E.,
1073 Wheeler-Kingshott, C.A.M., 2015. Contralateral cerebello-thalamo-cortical pathways with
1074 prominent involvement of associative areas in humans in vivo. *Brain Struct. Funct.*
1075 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0861-2>

1076 Palesi, F., Tournier, J.D., Calamante, F., Muhlert, N., Castellazzi, G., Chard, D., D’Angelo, E.,
1077 Wheeler-Kingshott, C.G., 2016. Reconstructing contralateral fiber tracts: Methodological
1078 aspects of cerebello-thalamo-cortical pathway reconstruction. *Funct. Neurol.*
1079 <https://doi.org/10.11138/FNeur/2016.31.4.229>

1080 Papavassiliou, E., Rau, G., Heath, S., Abosch, A., Barbaro, N.M., Larson, P.S., Lamborn, K., Starr,
1081 P.A., Sharan, A.D., Rezai, A.R., Benabid, A.L., Lozano, A.M., 2004. Thalamic Deep Brain
1082 Stimulation for Essential Tremor: Relation of Lead Location to Outcome. *Neurosurgery*.
1083 <https://doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000119329.66931.9E>

1084 Patenaude, B., Smith, S.M., Kennedy, D.N., Jenkinson, M., 2011. A Bayesian model of shape and
1085 appearance for subcortical brain segmentation. *Neuroimage* 56, 907–922.

1086 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.02.046>

1087 Patriat, R., Cooper, S.E., Duchin, Y., Niederer, J., Lenglet, C., Aman, J., Park, M.C., Vitek, J.L.,
1088 Harel, N., 2018. Individualized tractography-based parcellation of the globus pallidus pars
1089 interna using 7T MRI in movement disorder patients prior to DBS surgery. *Neuroimage* 178,
1090 198–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.048>

1091 Petersen, M. V., Lund, T.E., Sunde, N., Frandsen, J., Rosendal, F., Juul, N., Østergaard, K., 2017.
1092 Probabilistic versus deterministic tractography for delineation of the cortico-subthalamic
1093 hyperdirect pathway in patients with Parkinson disease selected for deep brain stimulation. *J.*
1094 *Neurosurg.* <https://doi.org/10.3171/2016.4.JNS1624>

1095 Plantinga, B.R., Temel, Y., Duchin, Y., Uludağ, K., Patriat, R., Roebroek, A., Kuijf, M.,
1096 Jahanshahi, A., ter Haar Romenij, B., Vitek, J., Harel, N., 2018. Individualized parcellation of
1097 the subthalamic nucleus in patients with Parkinson’s disease with 7T MRI. *Neuroimage*.
1098 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.023>

1099 Pouratian, N., Zheng, Z., Bari, A.A., Behnke, E., Elias, W.J., DeSalles, A.A.F., 2011. Multi-
1100 institutional evaluation of deep brain stimulation targeting using probabilistic connectivity-
1101 based thalamic segmentation: Clinical article. *J. Neurosurg.* 115, 995–1004.
1102 <https://doi.org/10.3171/2011.7.JNS11250>

1103 Reuter, N., Genon, S., Kharabian Masouleh, S., Hoffstaedter, F., Liu, X., Kalenscher, T., Eickhoff,
1104 S.B., Patil, K.R., 2020. CBPtools: a Python package for regional connectivity-based
1105 parcellation. *Brain Struct. Funct.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-020-02046-1>

1106 Sammartino, F., Krishna, V., King, N.K.K., Lozano, A.M., Schwartz, M.L., Huang, Y., Hodaie, M.,
1107 2016. Tractography-Based Ventral Intermediate Nucleus Targeting: Novel Methodology and
1108 Intraoperative Validation. *Mov. Disord.* <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26633>

1109 Saygin, Z.M., Osher, D.E., Augustinack, J., Fischl, B., Gabrieli, J.D.E., 2011. Connectivity-based
1110 segmentation of human amygdala nuclei using probabilistic tractography. *Neuroimage*.
1111 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.006>

1112 Schlaier, J.R., Beer, A.L., Faltermeier, R., Fellner, C., Steib, K., Lange, M., Greenlee, M.W.,
1113 Brawanski, A.T., Anthofer, J.M., 2017. Probabilistic vs. deterministic fiber tracking and the
1114 influence of different seed regions to delineate cerebellar-thalamic fibers in deep brain
1115 stimulation. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13575>

1116 Schnitzler, A., Münks, C., Butz, M., Timmermann, L., Gross, J., 2009. Synchronized brain network
1117 associated with essential tremor as revealed by magnetoencephalography. *Mov. Disord.*
1118 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22633>

1119 Shah, B.R., Lehman, V.T., Kaufmann, T.J., Blezek, D., Waugh, J., Imphean, D., Yu, F.F., Patel,

- 1120 T.R., Chitnis, S., Dewey, R.B., Maldjian, J.A., Chopra, R., 2020. Advanced MRI techniques
1121 for transcranial high intensity focused ultrasound targeting. *Brain* 143, 2664–2672.
1122 <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa107>
- 1123 Sinke, M.R.T., Otte, W.M., Christiaens, D., Schmitt, O., Leemans, A., van der Toorn, A.,
1124 Sarabdjitsingh, R.A., Joëls, M., Dijkhuizen, R.M., 2018. Diffusion MRI-based cortical
1125 connectome reconstruction: dependency on tractography procedures and neuroanatomical
1126 characteristics. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 223, 2269–2285. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1628-y)
1127 [1628-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1628-y)
- 1128 Smith, S.M., 2002. Fast robust automated brain extraction. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 17, 143–155.
1129 <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.10062>
- 1130 Smith, S.M., Jenkinson, M., Woolrich, M.W., Beckmann, C.F., Behrens, T.E.J., Johansen-Berg, H.,
1131 Bannister, P.R., De Luca, M., Drobnjak, I., Flitney, D.E., Niazy, R.K., Saunders, J., Vickers,
1132 J., Zhang, Y., De Stefano, N., Brady, J.M., Matthews, P.M., 2004. Advances in functional and
1133 structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL, in: *NeuroImage*.
1134 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051>
- 1135 Sotiropoulos, S.N., Jbabdi, S., Xu, J., Andersson, J.L., Moeller, S., Auerbach, E.J., Glasser, M.F.,
1136 Hernandez, M., Sapiro, G., Jenkinson, M., Feinberg, D.A., Yacoub, E., Lenglet, C., Van Essen,
1137 D.C., Ugurbil, K., Behrens, T.E.J., 2013. Advances in diffusion MRI acquisition and
1138 processing in the Human Connectome Project. *Neuroimage*.
1139 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.057>
- 1140 Su, J.H., Thomas, F.T., Kasoff, W.S., Tourdias, T., Choi, E.Y., Rutt, B.K., Saranathan, M., 2019.
1141 Thalamus Optimized Multi Atlas Segmentation (THOMAS): fast, fully automated
1142 segmentation of thalamic nuclei from structural MRI. *Neuroimage*.
1143 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.03.021>
- 1144 Sudhyadhom, A., McGregor, K., Okun, M.S., Foote, K.D., Trinastic, J., Crosson, B., Bova, F.J.,
1145 2013. Delineation of motor and somatosensory thalamic subregions utilizing probabilistic
1146 diffusion tractography and electrophysiology. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 37, 600–609.
1147 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.23861>
- 1148 Tang, Y., Sun, W., Toga, A.W., Ringman, J.M., Shi, Y., 2018. A probabilistic atlas of human
1149 brainstem pathways based on connectome imaging data. *Neuroimage* 169, 227–239.
1150 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.042>
- 1151 Theisen, F., Leda, R., Pozorski, V., Oh, J.M., Adluru, N., Wong, R., Okonkwo, O., Dean, D.C.,
1152 Bendlin, B.B., Johnson, S.C., Alexander, A.L., Gallagher, C.L., 2017. Evaluation of
1153 striatonigral connectivity using probabilistic tractography in Parkinson’s disease. *NeuroImage*

1154 Clin. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.09.009>

1155 Tian, Q., Wintermark, M., Jeffrey Elias, W., Ghanouni, P., Halpern, C.H., Henderson, J.M., Huss,
1156 D.S., Goubran, M., Thaler, C., Airan, R., Zeineh, M., Pauly, K.B., McNab, J.A., 2018.
1157 Diffusion MRI tractography for improved transcranial MRI-guided focused ultrasound
1158 thalamotomy targeting for essential tremor. *NeuroImage Clin.* 19, 572–580.
1159 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.05.010>

1160 Tournier, J.D., Calamante, F., Connelly, A., 2007. Robust determination of the fibre orientation
1161 distribution in diffusion MRI: Non-negativity constrained super-resolved spherical
1162 deconvolution. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.02.016>

1163 Tournier, J.D., Smith, R., Raffelt, D., Tabbara, R., Dhollander, T., Pietsch, M., Christiaens, D.,
1164 Jeurissen, B., Yeh, C.H., Connelly, A., 2019. MRtrix3: A fast, flexible and open software
1165 framework for medical image processing and visualisation. *Neuroimage*.
1166 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116137>

1167 Tournier, J.D., Yeh, C.H., Calamante, F., Cho, K.H., Connelly, A., Lin, C.P., 2008. Resolving
1168 crossing fibres using constrained spherical deconvolution: Validation using diffusion-weighted
1169 imaging phantom data. *Neuroimage* 42, 617–625.
1170 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.002>

1171 Traynor, C., Heckemann, R.A., Hammers, A., O’Muircheartaigh, J., Crum, W.R., Barker, G.J.,
1172 Richardson, M.P., 2010. Reproducibility of thalamic segmentation based on probabilistic
1173 tractography. *Neuroimage* 52, 69–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.024>

1174 Tsolaki, E., Downes, A., Speier, W., Elias, W.J., Pouratian, N., 2018. The potential value of
1175 probabilistic tractography-based for MR-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential
1176 tremor. *NeuroImage Clin.* 17, 1019–1027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.12.018>

1177 Van Essen, D.C., 1997. A tension-based theory of morphogenesis and compact wiring in the central
1178 nervous system. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/385313a0>

1179 Van Essen, D.C., Smith, S.M., Barch, D.M., Behrens, T.E.J., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K., 2013. The
1180 WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An overview. *Neuroimage* 80, 62–79.
1181 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.041>

1182 Van Essen, D.C., Ugurbil, K., Auerbach, E., Barch, D., Behrens, T.E.J., Bucholz, R., Chang, A.,
1183 Chen, L., Corbetta, M., Curtiss, S.W., Della Penna, S., Feinberg, D., Glasser, M.F., Harel, N.,
1184 Heath, A.C., Larson-Prior, L., Marcus, D., Michalareas, G., Moeller, S., Oostenveld, R.,
1185 Petersen, S.E., Prior, F., Schlaggar, B.L., Smith, S.M., Snyder, A.Z., Xu, J., Yacoub, E., 2012.
1186 The Human Connectome Project: A data acquisition perspective. *Neuroimage* 62, 2222–2231.
1187 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.02.018>

1188 Wasserthal, J., Neher, P., Maier-Hein, K.H., 2018. TractSeg - Fast and accurate white matter tract
1189 segmentation. Neuroimage 183, 239–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.07.070>
1190 Zhang, D., Snyder, A.Z., Fox, M.D., Sansbury, M.W., Shimony, J.S., Raichle, M.E., 2008. Intrinsic
1191 Functional Relations Between Human Cerebral Cortex and Thalamus. J. Neurophysiol. 100,
1192 1740–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.90463.2008>
1193
1194
1195