***Fusarium graminearum* DICER-like-dependent sRNAs are required for the suppression of host immune genes and full virulence**

Bernhard Werner¹, Aline Koch², Ena Šečić¹, Jonas Engelhardt¹, Lukas Jelonek³, Jens Steinbrenner¹, Karl-Heinz Kogel¹&

¹Institute of Phytopathology, Centre for BioSystems, Land Use and Nutrition, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, D-35392, Giessen, Germany

²Institute for Phytomedicine, University of Hohenheim, Otto-Sander-Straße 5, D-70599, Stuttgart, Germany

³Institute of Bioinformatics and Systems Biology, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, D-35392, Giessen, Germany

Email addresses

Bernhard.T.Werner@online.de

Aline.Koch@uni-hohenheim.de

Ena.Secic@agrار.uni-giessen.de

JonasEngelhardt@yahoo.de

Lukas.Jelonek@computational.bio.uni-giessen.de

Jens.Steinbrenner@agrار.uni-giessen.de

&Corresponding author

Karl-Heinz.Kogel@agrار.uni-giessen.de

Abstract

In filamentous fungi, gene silencing by RNA interference (RNAi) shapes many biological processes, including pathogenicity. Recently, fungal small RNAs (sRNAs) have been shown to act as effectors that disrupt gene activity in interacting plant hosts, thereby undermining their defence responses. We show here that the devastating mycotoxin-producing ascomycete *Fusarium graminearum* (Fg) utilizes DICER-like (DCL)-dependent sRNAs to target defence genes in two Poaceae hosts, barley (*Hordeum vulgare* Hv) and *Brachypodium distachyon* (Bd). We identified 104 Fg-sRNAs with sequence homology to host genes that were repressed during interactions of Fg and Hv, while they accumulated in plants infected by the DCL double knock-out (dKO) mutant PH1-*dcl1/2*. The strength of target gene expression correlated with the abundance of the corresponding Fg-sRNA. Specifically, the abundance of three tRNA-derived fragments (tRFs) targeting immunity-related *Ethylene overproducer 1-like 1* (HvEOL1) and three Poaceae orthologues of *Arabidopsis thaliana* BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1 (HvBAK1, HvSERK2 and BdSERK2) was dependent on fungal DCL. Additionally, RNA-ligase-mediated Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RLM-RACE) identified infection-specific degradation products for the three barley gene transcripts, consistent with the possibility that tRFs contribute to fungal virulence via targeted gene silencing.

Keywords: RNAi, sRNA, *Fusarium graminearum*, DCL, Barley, *Hordeum vulgare*, *Brachypodium distachyon*, SERK, EOL1, BAK1

44 ***Significance Statement***

45 *Fusarium graminearum* is one of the most devastating fungal pathogens in cereals, while
 46 understanding the mechanisms of fungal pathogenesis is a prerequisite for developing efficient
 47 and environmentally friendly crop protection strategies. We show exploratory data suggesting
 48 that fungal small RNAs play a critical role in *Fusarium* virulence by suppressing plant
 49 immunity.

50

Introduction

RNA interference (RNAi) is a biological process in which small RNA (sRNA) molecules mediate gene silencing at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional level. In agriculture, RNAi-mediated silencing strategies have the potential to protect crops from pests and microbial pathogens (Koch & Kogel 2014; Guo et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2020; Šečić & Kogel 2021; Koch & Wassenegger 2021). Expression of non-coding double-stranded (ds) RNA targeting essential genes in a pest, a pathogen or a virus can render host plants more resistant by a process known as host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) (Rosa et al. 2018; Cai et al. 2018a; Gaffar & Koch 2019; Niehl & Heinlein 2019). Alternatively, plants can be protected by foliar application of dsRNA to plants (Koch et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016a; Konakalla et al. 2016; Mitter et al. 2017; Kaldis et al. 2018; McLoughin et al. 2018; Sang et al. 2020). While these RNAi-based crop protection strategies are proving to be efficient and agronomically practical in the control of insects (Head et al. 2017) and viruses (Niehl et al. 2018), many questions remain unanswered with regard to the control of fungi.

The blueprint for using RNA to fight disease comes from nature (Cai et al. 2018a). During infection of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (*At*), the necrotrophic ascomycete *Botrytis cinerea* (*Bc*) secretes DICER-like (DCL)-dependent sRNAs that are taken up into plant cells to interact with the Arabidopsis ARGONAUTE protein AtAGO1 and initiate silencing of plant immune genes (Weiberg et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2018b). For instance, sRNA *Bc*-siR3.2 targets mitogen-activated protein kinases, including *MPK2* and *MPK1* in *At*, and *MAPKKK4* in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), while *Bc*-siR37 targets several immune-related transcription factors including *WRKY7*, *PMR6* and *FEI2* (Wang et al. 2017b). Likewise, the oomycete *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* produces 133 AGO1-bound sRNAs, which are crucial for virulence (Dunker et al. 2020), and microRNA-like RNA1 (*Pst*-miR1) from the yellow rust causing biotrophic basidiomycete *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Pst*) reduced expression of the defence gene

Pathogenesis-related 2 (PR2) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) (Wang et al 2017a). Notably, when comparing sRNA in the leaf rust fungus *Puccinia triticina* (*Pt*), 38 *Pt*-sRNAs were homologous to sRNAs previously identified in *Pst* (Dubey et al. 2019; Mueth et al. 2015), hinting to the possibility that sRNA effectors are conserved among related fungal species as it is known for plant miRNAs (Reinhart et al. 2002, Jones-Rhoades 2012). One group of conserved sRNAs with putative effector function are transfer RNA (tRNA)-derived fragments (tRFs). Bacterial tRFs play a role in the symbiotic interaction between soybean (*Glycine max*) and its nitrogen fixing symbiont *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* during root nodulation (Ren et al. 2019). Similarly, the protozoan pathogen *Trypanosoma cruzi* secretes tRF-containing microvesicles resulting in gene expression changes in mammalian host cells (Garcia-Silva et al. 2014).

Fungal species of the genus *Fusarium* belong to the most devastating pathogens of cereals causing Fusarium head blight and crown rot (Dean et al., 2012), and contaminate the grain with mycotoxins such as the B group trichothecenes deoxynivalenol (DON), nivalenol (NIV), and their acetylated derivatives (3A-DON, 15A-DON, and 4A-NIV) (Desjardins et al., 1993; Jansen et al., 2005; Ilgen et al., 2009). Viability, aggressiveness, and virulence of Fusaria are under control of the RNAi machinery (Kim et al., 2015; Son et al., 2017; Gaffar et al. 2019).

To test the possibility of *Fg* producing sRNAs that exert effector function and promote pathogenesis, we predicted *Fg*-sRNA targets in two Poaceae hosts, *Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*) and *Brachypodium distachyon* (*Bd*). Among the many predicted plant targets of fungal sRNA, three fungal tRFs had sequence similarity to *BR11-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1)* homologs and *EOL1 (Ethylene overproducer 1-like 1)* in *Hv* and *Bd*. Upon infection with the wild type *Fg* strain, transcripts of genes were strongly reduced, while in contrast they were increased upon infection with *Fg* strains compromised for DCL activity. Degradation products of target mRNAs were detected by RNA-ligase-mediated Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RLM-

100 RACE), supporting the possibility that DCL-dependent sRNAs play a critical role in the
101 interaction of *Fg* with cereal hosts.

102

Results

Fusarium graminearum DCL mutants are less virulent on barley and Brachypodium leaves

The *Fusarium* mutant IFA65-*dcl1* is partially impaired in infecting wheat ears and causing *Fusarium* head blight (Gaffar et al. 2019). We extended this earlier study to examine the effects of impaired DCL activity on the plant defence response. To this end, two to three-week-old detached second leaves of barley cv. Golden Promise (GP) were drop-inoculated with 3 µl of a solution containing 150,000 conidia per ml of *Fg* isolate PH1 or the double knock-out (dKO) mutant PH1-*dcl1/2*. At five days post inoculation (dpi), the dKO mutant produced significantly smaller necrotic lesions (30%; median (MED) (27%); interquartile range (IQR) (47%) Wilcoxon rank sum test, p=0.007) than the wild type (wt) strain, confirming that DCL activity is required for full *Fg* virulence (Fig. 1A).

Next, we determined the virulence of *DCL* mutants on *Brachypodium distachyon* Bd21-3. Flag leaves of three-week-old plants were inoculated with 10 µl (10,000 conidia ml⁻¹) of fungal inoculum. Single mutants IFA65-*dcl1* and IFA65-*dcl2* produced significantly smaller lesions than the wt (IFA65-*dcl1*, 54%; MED (42%); IQR (56%) and IFA65-*dcl2*, 66%; MED (60%); IQR (58%); pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum test; Bonferroni corrected; p<0.005) (Fig. 1B). These results substantiate the earlier findings (Gaffar et al. 2019) that fungal DCL activity is required for *Fusarium* virulence on graminaceous plants.

Selection of sRNAs with sequence homology to plant genes

We looked for interaction-related fungal sRNAs that potentially could interfere with plant gene expression by sequence-specific silencing. To this end, a previously published sRNA sequencing data set of *Fg* sRNAs from an axenic IFA65 culture (Koch et al. 2016) was analysed for sRNAs with sequence complementarity to barley genes. In order to identify a wide range of potential targets, we applied only two selection criteria, namely *i.* size (21-24 nt) and *ii.* a

minimal number of reads (at least 400 reads in the dataset). From a total of 35,997,924 raw reads, 5,462,596 (comprising 589,943 unique sequences) had a length of 21-24 nt. From the unique sequences, 1,987 had at least 400 reads. Since the IFA65 genome has not been sequenced, we used the published genome information of *Fg* strain PH1 (genome assembly ASM24013v3 from International Gibberella zeae Genomics Consortium: GCA_000240135.3) for further analysis. The majority of the 1,987 unique sRNAs mapped to rRNA (64.4%) and intergenic regions (21.6%), while 3.7% and 2.4% mapped to protein coding genes and tRNAs, respectively, and 7.8% did not perfectly match the reference genome (Fig. S1). According to the TAPIR algorithm, the 1,987 sequences overall matched mRNAs of 2,492 genes (*Hordeum vulgare* IBSC PGSB v2 reference genome; Mascher et al., 2017) sufficiently close according to the refined target prediction criteria suggested by Srivastava et al. (2014). GO-enrichment analysis revealed an enrichment in functions of nucleotide binding, motor activity and kinase activity and processes such as transport and localization (Fig. S2). Most of the 14,156 transcripts of the 2,492 target genes, which we nominated as potential sRNA targets, showed partially homologous sequences to more than one sRNA accounting for a total of 17,275 unique pairs of potential target gene - sRNA combinations. Target prediction results are presented with only one transcript (splice variant) for every combination (Tab. S2). Of note, merely 101 out of the 1,987 sRNAs had no predicted target among the total number of 248,391 plant mRNAs in the IBSC_PGSB_v2 annotation.

Barley immune genes accumulate to higher levels in PH1-dcl1/2-infected leaves

From the set of 2,492 barley genes with partial sequence homology to *Fg* sRNAs, we selected 16 genes for further analysis, based on an educated guess that they are potentially involved in biotic stress reactions during plant-fungal interaction (Tab. 1). When tested with RT-qPCR, we found eight genes significantly higher expressed (Student's *t*-test, paired, **p*<0.1, ***p*<0.05,

*** $p < 0.01$) in leaves infected with PH1-*dcl1/2* vs. PH1 (Fig. 2). Among these genes are three that encode proteins involved in the regulation of either ethylene (ET) (Ethylene overproducer 1-like 1, *HvEOL1*) or auxin responses (Auxin response transcription factors *HvARF10* and *HvARF19*) and three kinases, of which Somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase 2 (*HvSERK2*) and BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1 (*HvBAK1*) are likely involved in recognition of microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs). Moreover, genes encoding the plastid kinase 2-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 2 (*HvPGLP2*), Resurrection 1 (*HvRST1*, with a rather elusive function in cuticle formation and embryo development), and the histone-lysine N-methyltransferase Su(var)3-9-related protein 5 (*HvSUVR5*, involved in transcriptional gene silencing) were also strongly expressed.

HvEOL1 transcripts also accumulate to higher levels upon DCL knock-down via spray induced gene silencing (SIGS)

We selected *HvEOL1* (*HORVU2Hr1G119180*), which is a homologue of *At Ethylene overproducer1* (*AtETO1*; *AT4GO2680.1*), for further analysis. The alignment of the respective protein sequences of *HvEOL1* and *AtETO1* is shown in Fig. S3. *AtETO1* negatively regulates ethylene synthesis in *At* by ubiquitination of type-2 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthases (ACSs), which produce the direct precursor of ET (Christians et al. 2009) (Fig. S4). Upon inoculation with PH1, *HvEOL1* expression was reduced by 23% as compared to non-inoculated barley leaves. In contrast, *HvEOL1* was strongly expressed in PH1-*dcl1/2*-infected leaves well above the levels measured either in PH1- or mock-inoculated leaves. To further substantiate that *HvEOL1* expression is under the control of fungal DCL activity, we used a SIGS strategy (Koch et al. 2016) to partially inactivate DCL function in *Fg*. Two-week-old detached leaves were sprayed with 20 ng μl^{-1} of dsRNA-*dcl1/2*, a 1,782 nt long dsRNA derived from the sequences of *IFA65-DCL1* and *IFA65-DCL2* (Fig. S5A,B). 48 h later, leaves were drop inoculated with conidia and harvested at 5 dpi. Consistent with the expectation that

exogenous dsRNA-*dcl1/2* mediates silencing of their *DCL* gene targets, RT-qPCR analysis confirmed that the transcript levels of IFA65-*DCL1* and IFA65-*DCL2* were reduced to 22% and 42%, respectively, as compared with the Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer control (Fig. 3A). In accordance with the results obtained with strain PH1, *HvEOL1* was also significantly ($p=0.029$, Student's *t*-test (Δ ct), one sided, paired) downregulated in response to IFA65 infection compared to mock controls treated with 0.02% Tween20 (Fig. 3B). In contrast, however, when leaves were sprayed with dsRNA-*dcl1/2* prior to inoculation with IFA65, *HvEOL1* transcripts strongly accumulated in comparison with the inoculated leaves sprayed with TE buffer (Fig. 3C).

Fungal sRNAs targeting HvBAK1, HvEOL1, and HvSERK2 mRNAs are less abundant in PH1-dcl1/2 vs. PH1

To detect the abundance of specific *Fg*-sRNAs, originally identified by sequencing of axenic IFA65 mycelium, in PH1-infected plant tissue, we performed reverse transcription stem-loop qPCR (Chen et al. 2005). From the above defined pool of 1,987 *Fg*-sRNAs (axenic, 21-24 nt length, ≥ 400 reads) 22 unique sRNAs matched partial sequences of *HvEOL1*, 10 matched *HvBAK1* and five matched *HvSERK2*. *Fg*-sRNA-1921 matched all three genes and *Fg*-sRNA-321 matched both *HvEOL1* and *HvBAK1* (Tab. 2; Tab. S2). These two sRNAs show high sequence similarities among each other. To identify their origin, they were aligned to the genomic sequence of strain PH1 (GCA_900044135.1). We found that they match the gene *Fg_CS3005_tRNA-Gly-GCC-1-9* encoding tRNA-Gly for the anticodon GCC. Of note, a larger cluster of 27 overlapping tRNA-derived fragments (tRFs) with more than 50 reads matching the tRNA-Gly gene sequence were detected (Fig. S6). To assess differential accumulation of tRFs from the *Fg_CS3005_tRNA-Gly-GCC-1-9* cluster in leaves infected with PH1 vs. PH1-*dcl1/2*, sRNAs were reverse transcribed using hairpin-priming followed by qPCR amplification

(Chen et al. 2005). For this analysis, we chose *Fg*-sRNA-321, the most abundant tRF from this cluster, along with *Fg*-sRNA-1921, which targets all three GOIs and an additional tRF (*Fg*-sRNA-6717), which targets *HvEOL1* and *HvBAK1* (see Tab. 2) to assess the sensitivity of the assay. In the initial IFA65 dataset the *Fg*-sRNA-321 had a read count of 2,106, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 had 416 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 had 86 from a total of more than 5 million reads (Fig. S7). This equals 386 reads per million (rpm) for *Fg*-sRNA-321, while in average unique reads had only 1.7 rpm. Using TAPIR (Bonnet et al. 2010), we also calculated the target score values for all three tRFs, which is a measure for the similarity between sRNA and target. A high value refers to more dissimilarities. Mismatches (MMs) increase the score by one point and G-U pairs by 0.5 points. These values are doubled if the respective MMs and G-U pairs are located between the second and 12th nt of the sRNA (5'-3') because a high similarity in the seed region of the sRNA is especially important for RNAi (Mallory et al. 2004). *Fg*-sRNA-321 has a score of 4.5 for *HvBAK1* and *HvEOL1*, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 has a score of 4, 3.5 and 6 for *HvBAK1*, *HvEOL1* and *HvSERK2*, respectively and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 has a score of 5.5 and 4.5 with *HvBAK1* and *HvEOL1*. In plants other than Arabidopsis, such as wheat and rice, a score cut off at 4 or 6 points lead to a precision of 82% or 62% and a recall of known interactions of 39% or 58% respectively according to Srivastava et al. (2014).

All three fungal tRFs were detected in infected leaves, while they could not be found in uninfected leaves (Fig. 4). Significantly lower amounts of *Fg*-sRNA-1921 (59%), *Fg*-sRNA-321 (56%), and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 (60%) were detected in PH1-*dcl1/2* vs. PH1-infected leaves (Fig. 4), showing that their biogenesis is DCL-dependent.

***Fg*-sRNA-321 and *Fg*-sRNA-1921 also match *SERK2* in *Brachypodium distachyon* Bd21-3**

Next, we assessed the possibility that *Fg*-sRNA-321, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 also have sequence homologies in *At* and the model grass *Bd*. Target prediction with the TAPIR

algorithm using the optimised parameters for *At* (score=4; mfe=0.7), could not detect potential targets in *At* ecotype Col-0. In contrast, these three tRFs matched the sequence of *Brachypodium somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase 2* (*BdSERK2*) in Bd21-3 with a score of 3.5, 3 and 5.5, respectively (Tab. 2). We examined the expression pattern of *BdSERK2* in response to leaf infection: *BdSERK2* is relatively weakly expressed in uninfected plants and is not further suppressed after inoculation with PH1, whereas it strongly accumulated in PH1-*dcl1/2* vs. PH1-infected Bd21-3 (Fig. 5). This finding further supports the possibility that the control of *SERK2* expression via RNAi pathways by *Fg* is evolutionary conserved in cereals.

RLM-RACE shows infection specific degradation products of HvBAK1, HvEOL1 and HvSERK2

We assessed the sRNA-mediated cleavage of *HvBAK1*, *HvEOL1*, and *HvSERK2* mRNAs, using a modified RNA-ligase-mediated Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RLM-RACE) assay. Control samples were prepared both from uninfected tissue and from infected tissue without the reverse transcription step (no-RT control) and PCR products were visualized on an EtBr-Agarose gel. In these no-RT controls no amplification was visible.

For each gene more than one infection-specific product was amplified (blue and red arrows), which could not be amplified from the uninfected sample (Fig. 6D-F). We excised three bands (red arrows) of the expected size for a *Fg*-sRNA-1921 guided cleavage of *HvBAK1* (Fig. 6D) and one band for *HvEOL1* (Fig. 6E) and *HvSERK2* (Fig. 6F) and cloned them into the pGEM-T easy vector system. According to the IBSC_PGSSB_v2 assembly, *HvBAK1* has splice variants, which could produce cleavage products of different lengths while for *HvSERK2* and *HvEOL1* there are no introns between sRNA target site and primer. From each band, five colonies were picked and for 23 of these extracted plasmids sequences were obtained. 16 sequences perfectly matched the reference genome, four with one MM and one with four MMs. Two sequences did not match the reference sufficiently enough to be aligned over the full length. The observed

cleavage products are close to but do not match the canonical slice site between the 10th and 11th nt of *Fg*-sRNA-1921 and *Fg*-sRNA-321 (Fig. 6A-C).

Total sRNAs predicted to target a gene in barley are correlated with the de-repression strength

Not all potential targets of *Fg*-sRNAs are downregulated nor do all potential targets show a re-accumulation upon infection with PH1-*dcl1/2* (see Fig. 3). To address this bias we conducted a more focused target prediction exclusively for the 16 genes already tested by RT-qPCR. This allowed a much more thorough search, where targets for all sRNAs with at least two reads were predicted. From these 136,825 unique sRNAs (axenic, 21-24 nt length, ≥ 2 reads) representing 4,997,312 reads of the total of 5,439,472 reads 21-24 nt in length, 5,052 have potential target sequences in the 16 mRNA sequences selected for further investigation in the *Hordeum vulgare* cv. GP assembly GCA_902500625. An additional filter step was employed to select for sRNAs with a maximum of one MM to the PH1 assemblies GCA_000240135.3 and GCA_900044135.1. Subsequently, sRNAs with up to one MM to *Fg*-rRNAs were removed leaving a total of 1,212 sRNAs with 1,311 potential sRNA-mRNA interactions representing 85,531 reads in the analysis.

To establish a correlation of the observed resurgence of potential target genes and targeting sRNAs, we analysed the *DCL*-dependent expression change using $\Delta\Delta\text{Act}$ values. To compare the expression of a GOI in two samples, the difference between the ct-values for a reference gene and the GOI can be determined (ΔAct) and to calculate the expression difference between the control and treated sample the difference between the ΔAct values ($\Delta\Delta\text{Act}$) is calculated. We further defined the $\Delta\Delta\text{Act}$ value as the difference between the ΔAct values for a GOI in PH1 and PH1-*dcl1/2*-infected samples. From this follows a gene with a negative $\Delta\Delta\text{Act}$ value shows a higher transcript accumulation during the infection with a fungal strain with compromised

DCL function and the stronger the accumulation the lower this $\Delta\Delta\Delta\text{ct}$ value is. We found a negative correlation between the $\Delta\Delta\Delta\text{ct}$ value and the number of total sRNAs targeting a GOI (Fig. 7). This correlation becomes more significant if a lower score cut-off for the target prediction is chosen until the cut-off of four. The most significant correlation is for all predicted interactions with a score equal or below four with a p-value of 0.011 (t-test) (Fig. 7B). The p-value for a correlation with a cut-off of five (Fig. 7C) is 0.033 (t-test) and six (Fig. 7D) is 0.094 (t-test), while a score cut-off of 3 leads to a situation, where there are no predicted sRNA interactions for all genes except for three (Fig. 7A).

Discussion

We show here that full virulence of the ascomycete fungus *Fusarium graminearum* on graminaceous leaves depends on the activity of fungal DCLs. The dKO mutant PH1-*dcl1/2* is less virulent on barley and the two single KO mutants IFA65-*dcl1* and IFA65-*dcl2* also are less virulent on *Brachypodium*. These results are consistent with our previous studies showing that knock-down or SIGS-mediated silencing of *Fusarium DCLs* and other components of the RNAi machinery reduced the virulence of the fungus on barley (Gaffar et al. 2019; Werner et al. 2020). DCL enzymes are key components of the fungal RNAi machinery required for the biogenesis of sRNAs directing silencing of sequence-complementary endogenous and foreign genes (Lax et al. 2020). The latter case involves DCL-dependent pathogen-derived sRNAs that target plant defense genes to increase virulence as shown for *Botrytis cinerea* (Weiberg et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2017b), *Puccinia striiformis* (Wang et al. 2017a) and *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Zanini et al. 2021).

In the present work we found potential host target genes for fungal small RNAs (*Fg*-sRNAs) that were differentially regulated in response to plant infection with *Fg* wt vs. *Fg* DCL KO mutants, and the same effect was confirmed when DCLs were silenced by SIGS. This suggests a scenario in which impaired DCL function resulting in reduced fungal RNAi activity ultimately leads to de-repression of host target genes. Of note, target gene de-repression was also observed when the transcript was not significantly downregulated by the wt fungus during infection. This could be explained by a mutually neutralizing effect in which *Fg*-sRNAs continuously target genes for silencing, while concurrent plant immune responses are a trigger for up-regulation. Thus, one can speculate that these described effects reflect an abrogation of host-favouring upregulation by host immunity vs. pathogen-favouring downregulation by sRNA effectors.

We identified three tRFs predicted to target *BdSERK2*, *HvBAK1*, *HvEOL1* and *HvSERK2*. Unexpectedly, these tRFs are partially DCL-dependent, with a reduced abundance by more than

50% during infections with the dKO mutant PH1-*dcl1/2* vs. wt PH1 based on fungal biomass. Current knowledge of tRFs in fungi and oomycetes suggests that their silencing activity is independent of DCL, as shown for *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lee Marzano et al. 2019) and *Phytophthora infestans*, where the production is partially dependent on AGO (Åsman et al. 2014). Furthermore, analysis of tRFs in *Cryptococcus* spp. revealed a RNAi-independent generation of tRFs and possible compensatory effects in an RNAi-deficient genotype (Streit et al. 2021). Interestingly however, the tRFs *Fg*-sRNA-321, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 are neither 5' - or 3' tRNA halves nor do they belong to any of the described tRF-1, tRF-2, tRF-4 or tRF-5 classes (Kumar et al. 2016a) applied by the tRFtarget database for animals, yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*) and the bacterium *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* (Li et al. 2021). When following the classification of the tsRBase used for all eukaryotic kingdoms and bacteria (Zuo et al. 2021), the three tRFs are classified as internal tRFs based on the origin within the mature tRNA. Interestingly, there are tRFs found in *Phytophthora sojae* starting in the anticodon loop and ending in the T loop of mature tRNAs (Wang et al. 2016b), which resembles the *Fg*-sRNA tRFs (Fig. S8).

We observed several infection-specific degradation products of the predicted host target genes *HvBAK1*, *HvEOL1* and *HvSERK2* for tRFs *Fg*-sRNA-321, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717. However, cleavage occurred outside the canonical miRNA cleavage site as defined by Mallory et al. (2004), though these genes are partially silenced during infection and silencing is apparently abolished upon infection with the DCL dKO mutant. While the canonical cleavage site for miRNA-directed cleavage in *At* is well defined, the tRF-directed cleavage observed by 5' RACE of transposable elements in *At* (Martinez et al. 2017) and of defence-related genes during the infection of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*) with *Phytophthora capsici* (Asha & Soniya 2016) was found outside of the canonical cleavage site. Additionally, the identification of sRNA-directed cleavage sites in barley often leads to divergent findings. Ferdous et al. (2017) predicted ~400 target genes for 11 presumably drought responsive miRNAs and found cleavage

products for 15 targets overlapping the respective miRNAs alignment through degradome sequencing in the two barley cultivars Golden Promise (GP) and Pallas. From these confirmed targets, 13 were cleaved at the canonical 10th-11th nt site, one was cleaved at 19th-20th nt, and one at the 5th-6th nt. Hackenberg et al. (2015) predicted 97 target genes of drought responsive miRNAs in GP and identified eight targets through degradome sequencing, which were all cleaved outside of the 10th-11th nt site. Thus, both studies suggest the presence of non-canonical miRNA directed cleavage. Of note, both studies relied on the same degradome sequencing dataset from GP, while Ferdous et al. also observed non-canonical cleavage in an independent Pallas dataset. Moreover, in a study performed by Curaba et al. (2012) 96 target genes of GP for miRNAs involved in seed development and germination were identified by degradome sequencing and only 16 targets were cleaved exclusively at the 10th-11th nt site, while the other targets were sporadically cleaved with an offset (24) and 56 were cleaved in majority in a non-canonical site. Finally, Deng et al. (2015) identify in the barley cultivar Morex 65 target genes of 39 miRNAs, and for only 32% of the identified targets the canonical 10th-11th nt cleavage product was the major degradome product. Together these studies highlight the challenges in the identification of cleavage sites of sRNAs in barley and cleavage sites of tRFs in plants. The absence of canonical cleavage products for tRFs does therefore not exclude the tRF-directed cleavage of *HvBAK1*, *HvEOL1* and *HvSERK2*.

We found that 22 *Fg*-sRNAs target *HvEOL1*, a putative negative regulator of ET biosynthesis in barley. In *Arabidopsis thaliana* the EOL1 homolog *AtETO1* acts together with *AtEOL1* and *AtETO1*-like 2 (EOL2) in directing the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of type-2 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase (ACS) proteins (e.g. ET overproducer 2 (ETO2)) (Christians et al. 2009; Yoshida et al. 2006). ET is a gaseous plant hormone that plays an important role in regulating plant growth and development, and is critical for pathogen interaction and abiotic stresses (Abeles et al. 1992). Generally, ET acts synergistically with jasmonate (JA) in the defence response against necrotrophic pathogens and this ET/JA response

has antagonistic effects on salicylic acid (SA) signalling against biotrophic pathogens. Yet in low amounts JA and SA act synergistically (Glazebrook 2005; Li et al. 2019). Therefore, controlling both ET biosynthesis and ET signalling is crucial for plants. Towards this, plants have evolved complex mechanisms that allow tight regulation of ET pathways e.g. at the level of (i) ET production mainly by regulating ACS gene family members, (ii) ET perception through constitutive triple response 1 (CTR1)-mediated inhibition of positive regulator ET insensitive 2 (EIN2) (Kieber et al. 1993, Alonso et al. 1999), and (iii) expression of ET-responsive TFs (e.g. ET response factor 1 (ERF1)) via EBF-mediated degradation of ET insensitive 3 (EIN3) (Potuschak et al. 2003) (Fig. S4). According to the anticipated role of ET in the plant response to necrotrophic pathogens, such as *Fg*, targeting negative regulators of ET synthesis such as *HvEOL1* would be detrimental to *Fg* colonization. Of note, our findings are consistent with previous results demonstrating that *Fg* exploits ET signalling to enhance colonization of Arabidopsis, wheat and barley (Chen et al. 2009), supposedly through an increase in DON-induced cell death through ET. These findings further challenge the role of ET in defence against necrotrophic pathogens. Strikingly, the authors showed that in Arabidopsis ET overproducing mutants (ETO1 and ETO2) and a negative regulator of ET signalling (CTR1) are more susceptible to *Fg*, while *At* mutants in ET perception (ETR1) and signalling (EIN2 and EIN3) are resistant. These findings were confirmed by the direct application of ET during the infection of wheat and barley, which lead to increased susceptibility to *Fg*. Based on these findings, we suggest that negative regulators of ET are efficient targets for sRNA-directed manipulation of host immunity by *Fg*.

The bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* secretes two effector molecules, AvrPto and AvrPtoB, into host plants. These effectors interact with the receptor-like kinase BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1 (BAK1), also known as SERK3, thereby preventing the recognition of various MAMPs through the association of BAK1 with pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) such as flagellin-sensitive 2 (FLS2) and Ef-Tu receptor (EFR) (Shan et al. 2008). We observed

FgDCL-dependent silencing of the cereal BAK1 homologs *HvBAK1*, *HvSERK2* and *BdSERK2*. While these genes have a higher similarity to *AtSERK2* than to *AtSERK3* (*AtBAK1*), they still are among the closest homologs to *AtBAK1* found in cereals (Fig. S9). It is tempting to speculate that further experiments will uncover additional hubs that are targeted both by protein and sRNA effectors.

Conclusion

Our data show that in the necrotrophic ascomycete *Fusarium graminearum* gene silencing by RNAi shapes its ability to cause disease, which is consistent with earlier results on the significance of the RNAi machinery in *Fg* (Gaffar et al. 2019; Son et al. 2017). Pathogenicity relies on DICER-like (DCL)-dependent sRNAs that were identified as potential candidates for fungal effectors targeting defence genes in two Poaceae hosts, barley and *Brachypodium*. We identified *Fg*-sRNAs with sequence homology to host genes that were down-regulated by *Fg* during plant colonisation, while they were expressed above their level in healthy plants after infection with a DCL dKO mutant. In PH1-*dcl1/2* vs. PH1 the strength of target gene accumulation correlated with the abundance of the corresponding *Fg*-sRNA. Our data hint to the possibility that three DCL-dependent tRFs with sequence homology to immunity-related *Ethylene overproducer 1-like 1* (*HvEOL1*) and three Poaceae orthologues of *Arabidopsis thaliana* *BRI1-associated receptor kinase 1* (*HvBAK1*, *HvSERK2* and *BdSERK2*) contribute to fungal virulence via targeted gene silencing.

412 ***Experimental procedures***

413 ***Plants, fungi and plant infection***

414 *Fusarium graminearum* (*Fg*) strain PH1, the double knock-out (dKO) PH1-*dcl1/2* (Dr. Martin
415 Urban, Rothamsted Research, England), strain IFA65 (IFA, Department for
416 Agrobiotechnology, Tulln, Austria) and single mutants IFA65-*dcl1* and IFA65-*dcl2* (Gaffar et
417 al. 2019) were cultured on synthetic nutrient poor agar (SNA). Preparation of fungal inoculum
418 was performed as described (Koch et al. 2013). *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0 and
419 *Atago1-27* (Morel et al. 2002; Polymorphism:3510706481) were grown in 8 h photoperiod at
420 22°C with 60% relative humidity in a soil - sand mixture (4:1) (Fruhstorfer Type T, Hawita,
421 Germany). For infection, 15 rosette leaves were detached and transferred in square Petri plates
422 containing 1% water-agar. Drop-inoculation of *Arabidopsis* leaves was done with 5 µl of a
423 suspension of 5×10^4 *Fg* conidia ml⁻¹ at two spots per leaf. Infection strength was recorded as
424 infection area (size of chlorotic lesions relative to total leaf area) using the ImageJ software
425 (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>).

426 For infection of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* cv. Golden Promise, GP) and *Brachypodium*
427 *distachyon* (Bd21-3), plants were grown in a 16 h photoperiod at 20°C/18°C day/night and 60%
428 relative humidity in soil (Fruhstorfer Type LD80, Hawita). Ten detached second leaves were
429 transferred into square Petri plates containing 1% water-agar. GP leaves were drop-inoculated
430 with 3 µl of 1.5×10^5 conidia ml⁻¹ conidia suspension. Bd21-3 leaves were drop-inoculated on
431 two spots with 10 µl of 1×10^4 conidia ml⁻¹ conidia suspension. Infection strength was measured
432 with the PlantCV v2 software package (<https://plantcv.danforthcenter.org/>) by training a
433 machine learning algorithm to recognize necrotic lesions. For gene expression analysis, a
434 suspension of 5×10^4 *Fg* conidia ml⁻¹ was used and leaves were either inoculated on 3 spots
435 with 20 µl (barley) or on 2 spots with 10 µl (*Brachypodium*), respectively and experiments were
436 evaluated 5 days post inoculation (dpi).

437 ***Fungal transcript analysis***

438 Gene expression analysis was performed using reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-
439 qPCR). RNA extraction was performed with GENEzol reagent (Geneaid) following the
440 manufacturer's instructions. Freshly extracted mRNA was used for cDNA synthesis using
441 qScript™ cDNA kit (Quantabio). For RT-qPCR, 10 ng of cDNA was used as template in the
442 QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Amplifications were performed
443 with 5 µl of SYBR® green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) with 5 pmol
444 oligonucleotides. Each sample had three technical repetitions. After an initial activation step at
445 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles (95°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec) were performed
446 followed by a melt curve analysis (60°C-95°C, 0.075°C/s). Ct values were determined with the
447 QuantStudio design and analysis software supplied with the instrument. Transcript levels were
448 determined via the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen 2001) by normalizing the amount of
449 target transcript to the amount of the reference transcript *Elongation factor 1-alpha (EF1-a*,
450 FGSG_08811) gene (Tab. S1).

451 ***Plant transcript analysis***

452 Leaves were shock frozen at 5 dpi and RT-qPCR was performed as described above. Reference
453 genes were *Ubiquitin-40S ribosomal protein S27a-3* (HORVU1Hr1G023660) for GP and *Ubi4*
454 (Bradi3g04730) for Bd21-3 according to Chambers et al. (2012) (Tab. S1). Primers were
455 designed using Primer3 v2.4.0 (Untergasser et al. 2012).

456 ***Spray application of dsRNA***

457 Second leaves of 2 to 3-week-old GP were detached and transferred to square Petri plates
458 containing 1% water agar. dsRNA was diluted in 500 µl water to a final concentration of 20 ng
459 µl⁻¹. As control, Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer was diluted in 500 µl water corresponding to the
460 amount used for dilution of the dsRNA. Typical RNA concentration after elution was 500 ng/µl,

with 400 μ M Tris-HCL and 40 μ M EDTA in the final dilution. Each plate containing 10 detached leaves was evenly sprayed with either dsRNAs or TE buffer with 500 μ l, and subsequently kept at room temperature (Koch et al. 2016). Two days after spraying, leaves were drop-inoculated with three 20 μ l drops of *Fg* suspension containing 5×10^4 conidia ml⁻¹. After inoculation, plates were closed and incubated for five days at room temperature.

Target prediction for sRNAs

RNA was purified and enriched for sRNAs from fungal axenic culture using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Life Technologies). Indexed sRNA libraries were constructed from these sRNA fractions with the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reads were trimmed with the cutadapt tool v2.1 (Martin 2011) by removing adapters and retaining reads with a length of 21-24 nt and quality checked with the fastQC tool v0.11.9 (<http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>). For Fig. S1 reads were aligned to the *Fg* reference genome (GCF_000240135.3_ASM24013v3) with bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg 2012) following a sensitive alignment policy (-D 100, -R 10, -L 19). The aligned reads were assigned to the additional attribute "gene_biotype" with htseq-count (Anders et al. 2015) according to the latest assembly (ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/release-44/fungi/gff3/fungi_ascomycota3_collection/fusarium_graminearum_gca_000240135). Remaining reads were collapsed with the fastx toolkit v0.0.14 (Hannon 2010) and reads with at least 400 reads were targeted against the IBSC_PGSEB_v2 cDNA annotation with the plant miRNA target prediction algorithm TAPIR (Bonnet et al. 2010), following the optimized parameters according to Srivastava et al. (2014). The results of the target prediction were further analysed with RStudio (RStudio Team 2016) and the package biomaRt (Durinck et al. 2005) to find targets associated with stress and immunity associated Gene ontology (GO) terms in the database "plants_mart" from plants.ensembl.org hosted by the EBI (European Bioinformatics

Institute) and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute. The same method was used for the identification of target genes in *B. distachyon* (GCA_000005505.4) and *A. thaliana* (Araport11).

Stemloop-RT-qPCR of sRNAs

RNA was extracted and genomic DNA was digested as described above. The sequences of sRNAs found in axenic fungal culture were used to design specific stem loop (SL) primers matching the sRNA over 6 nt at the 3' end. For the primer design, the tool of Adhikari et al. (2013) was used. SL-primers were diluted to 10 pM and folded in a cyclor (95°C for 15 min, 90°C 5 min, 85°C 5 min, 80°C 5 min, 75°C 1 h, 68°C 1 h, 65°C 1 h, 62°C 1 h, 60°C 3 h). These primers were used for cDNA synthesis (Thermo Scientific RevertAid RT Reverse Transcription Kit) according to manufacturer's instruction with an annealing step at 16°C instead of 25°C and were used in multiplex to target respective fungal sRNAs and barley miRNAs *Hvu-mir159* and *Hvu-mir168* as references. To obtain amplification efficiencies, a mix from all RNAs was diluted in a four step dilution series with a factor of ten and reverse transcribed. Reactions were set up with the highest concentration of 15 ng μl^{-1} and the lowest of 15 pg μl^{-1} cDNA. All sRNA amplifications showed an efficiency of 80-82% and an R^2 between 1 and 0.997 except for Fg-sRNA-6717 with an efficiency of 66.4%. For RT-qPCR, 1.5 μl of 3 ng μl^{-1} cDNA was used as template in the QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Amplifications were performed with 5 μl of SYBR[®] green JumpStart Taq ReadyMix (Sigma-Aldrich) with 1.5 pmol or 3 pmol oligonucleotides. Each sample had three technical repetitions. As forward primer the unused nucleotides of the remaining sequence of the sRNA were used, which were extended to achieve optimal melting temperature, and as reverse primer the universal stem loop primer developed by Chen et al. (2005) was used. Relative abundance of the sRNAs was calculated with the $\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}$ -method with incorporation of amplification efficiencies. sRNAs were

normalized against the reference miRNAs Hvu-mir-159a and 168-5p and after this against the fungal biomass measured as *EFL-α* against *HvUBQ* (*HORVUIHr1G023660*).

Statistics

To assess the differential expression of genes via RT-qPCRs the Δ ct values were compared via a one or two sided paired Students *t*-test. Disease symptoms were either compared via Students *t*-test if the data showed a normal distribution in Shapiro-Wilk test or via a Wilcoxon rank sum test.

RLM-RACE

RNA from GP barley infected with *Fg*-IFA65 at 5 dpi and an uninfected control was extracted with the Isolate II plant miRNA kit (Bioline). 1 µg of RNA (>200 nt) of infected, uninfected and a mix of both samples for a –RT-control were assembled. 1 µl of the 5'RACE Adapter [0.3 µg/µl], 1 µl of the 10x Reaction Buffer, 1 µl of 1mg/µl BSA, 0.5 µl of T4 RNA Ligase [10U/µl] (Thermo Scientific) and DEPC-treated water up to 10 µl were prepared and incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Subsequently, the whole reaction was used for reverse transcription (RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase, Thermo Scientific). 10 µl ligation reaction, 1 µl Random Hexamer [100pmol/µl], 4 µl 5x Reaction Buffer, 0.5 µl RiboLock RNase Inhibitor (Thermo Scientific), 2 µl dNTP Mix [10 mM] and 1 µl RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase (or water (–RT control)) and 1.5 µl water were mixed and run for 10 min at 25°C, 60 min at 42°C and 10 min at 70°C. Then, a nested hot-start touch-down PCR for each target gene was performed. The primer sequences for the outer (first) and inner (second) PCR are shown in Tab. S1. 5 µl of 10x Buffer B, 1 µl of a dNTP Mix [10 mM], 2 µl MgCl₂ [25 mM], 1µl Adapter specific Primer [10 pmol µl⁻¹] and 1 µl gene specific primer (GSP) [10 pmol µl⁻¹], 0.6 µl DCS DNA Polymerase (DNA Cloning Service) [5 U/µl] and 2 µl cDNA or outer PCR reaction and 37.4 µl water were mixed and run at 95°C for 5 min, (95°C for 30 s, 68°C-0.5°C/cycle for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s)*15, (95°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s)*18 and 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were evaluated in

a 1.5% agarose gel and bands of the expected size, which were present in the infected but not uninfected samples, were excised. Products were cleaned with the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega) and cloned with the pGEM-T easy Vector Systems (Promega). For each band, five clones were picked for sequencing. Plasmids from O/N cultures were extracted with the Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (New England Biolabs) and sent for sequencing to LGC genomics.

Analysis of target genes and targeting sRNAs

After the initial target prediction an additional target prediction for the newly released cultivar specific genome (GCA_902500625) of barley cv. Golden Promise (GP) was conducted. Adapters were removed and reads were collapsed as described before for the target prediction. All sRNA sequences were read with SeqinR (v3.6-1; Charif & Lobry 2007) and stored in a list of SeqFastadna objects. To identify the homologous genes to the already identified targets in GP, the cDNA library was blasted with the command-line blast application (Nucleotide-Nucleotide BLAST 2.6.0+) (Camacho et al. 2009) against the identified target sequences from the IBSC_PGSC_v2 cDNA library with percent identity of 90 and a query coverage of 55% as cut-off values. All sRNAs with at least two reads were written to a file in chunks of 2000 each and ran against each individual target gene with TAPIR via the system2 function in R (R Core Team 2019) in the RStudio software. Results were collected, stored in a data.frame, and further analysed with R. sRNAs identified to target a gene of interest (GOI) were written to a fasta file with SeqinR and blasted against the rRNAs from the assemblies GCA_900044135.1 (*Fg*-PH1), GCA_000240135.3 (*Fg*-PH1) and the Fusarium rRNAs from the RNACentral fungal ncRNA dataset (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/RNACentral/current_release/sequences/by-database/ensembl_fungi.fasta (12/Sep/2020)) with the options wordsize=4, perc_identity=95, qcov_hsp_perc=95. All sRNAs matching rRNAs were removed. Thereafter, sRNAs were

compared to the *Fg* assemblies GCA_900044135.1 (*Fg*-PH1) and GCA_000240135.3 (*Fg*-PH1) with the same blast strategy and only perfectly matching sRNAs were retained.

To derive the relative expression of a GOI between two samples the following formula is used.

$$Relativeexpression_{GOI} = 2^{-\Delta\Delta ct_{GOI}}$$

We further defined the $\Delta\Delta\Delta ct$ value as the difference between the $\Delta\Delta ct$ values for a GOI in PH1 and PH1-*dcl1/2*-infected samples.

$$\Delta\Delta\Delta ct = \Delta\Delta ct_{PH1-dcl1/2} - \Delta\Delta ct_{PH1}$$

This enables the calculation of the re-accumulation between the two samples as follows.

$$DCL-dependent\ resurgence\ factor = \frac{Relativeexpression_{PH1-dcl1/2}}{Relativeexpression_{PH1}} = 2^{-\Delta\Delta\Delta ct_{GOI}}$$

The sum of all reads and the corresponding $\Delta\Delta\Delta ct$ -value were plotted with ggplot2 (Wickham 2016) and a linear regression was added to the plot. To allow a log2-transformation of the plots genes with zero targeting reads were set to one targeting read. The plots were arranged using ggpubr v.0.4.0 (Kassambara 2017).

GO enrichment analysis

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed via the AgriGO v.2.0 analysis toolkit (Tian 2017) with the standard parameters singular enrichment analysis (SEA).

Phylogenetic analysis of SERK homologs

Homologs of *HvBAK1* and *HvSERK2* were searched in *At*, *Hv* and *Bd* with biomaRt v.2.40.5 (Durinck et al. 2005) and downloaded from the EMBL's European Bioinformatics Institute plants genome page (plants.ensembl.org) in the plants_mart dataset hvulgare_eg_gene (Ensembl Plants Genes v. 50). For these homologs the CDS of all homologs within the

581 respective datasets *athaliana_eg_gene*, *hvulgare_eg_gene* and *bdistachyon_eg_gene* were
582 downloaded. The CDS were subsequently aligned with the muscle algorithm in MEGA7
583 (Kumar et al. 2016b) and a phylogenetic tree was constructed via a bootstrap method with 200
584 iterations.

585 *Acknowledgements*

586 We thank the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory for providing the sequence-indexed
587 Arabidopsis TDNA insertion mutants. This work was supported by the Deutsche
588 Forschungsgemeinschaft (Research Unit FOR5116) to KHK.

589

590 ***Supporting Information***

591 ***Fig. S1: Feature mapping of Fg-sRNAs with a read length of 21-24 nt***

592 Reads were trimmed as described earlier and aligned to the PH1 reference genome
593 (GCF_000240135.3_ASM24013v3) with bowtie2 (Langmead & Salzberg 2012).

594 ***Fig. S2: GO-enrichment analysis of all potential targets of Fg-sRNAs with more than 400*** 595 ***reads***

596 The plot shows all significantly enriched GO-terms in the target gene set for (A) molecular
597 function and (B) biological process. The analysis was done using agriGo v2.0. Each box
598 contains information regarding one term. GO: indicates the GO accession, in brackets the p-
599 value is stated (Fisher; Yekutieli (FDR)). After the bracket the GO-term description is written
600 followed by the number of genes associated with said term 1. in the gene set and 2. In the
601 background.

602 ***Fig. S3: Alignment of AtETO1 and HvEOL1***

603 Identical amino acids are marked blue and similar amino acids are marked red. The alignment
604 and visualization was done with the msa package for R (Bodenhofer et al. 2015).

605 ***Fig. S4: Regulation of ET synthesis in At***

606 *AtETO1* negatively regulates ethylene (**ET**) synthesis in *At*. *AtETO1* acts together with *AtEOL1*
607 and *AtETO1*-like 2 (*EOL2*) in directing the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of type-2
608 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase (ACS) proteins (e.g. ET overproducer 2
609 (*ETO2*)), which produce the direct precursor of ET.

610 ***Fig S5: Sequences of dsRNA-dcl1/2***

Coding Sequences (CDS) of the respective *FgDCL* genes with the sequences comprising the dsRNAs marked in red. **A.** *FgDCLI*-FGSG_09025 (912 nt long dsRNA-*FgDCL1*). **B.** *FgDCL2*-FGSG_04408 (870 nt long dsRNA-*FgDCL2*).

Fig. S6: Position and read count of all tRFs from *Fg*-tRNA-Gly(GCC)

Alignment position of all *Fg*-sRNAs from axenic culture with more than 50 reads perfectly matching the *Fg*-tRNA-Gly(GCC)-9 gene (*Fusarium_graminearum_CS3005*-tRNA-Gly-GCC-1-9) colored by read count.

Fig. S7: Abundance of unique *Fg*-sRNAs in axenic culture of IFA65

A: Histogram of the read count of every unique sRNA. The plot is truncated to make abundances recognizable. Most sRNAs have very low read counts and very few sRNAs have more reads than 3,000. Maximum read count per sRNA is 42,866. B: Violin plot of log₂-transformed reads counts untruncated.

Fig. S8: Origin of tRFs in *Fg*-tRNA-Gly(GCC)

The centroid secondary structure of the *Fg*-tRNA-Gly(GCC) generated on the RNAfold web server (<http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi>) with the origin and alignment of *Fg*-sRNA-321, *Fg*-sRNA-1921 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717. The colors of bases indicate the base pair probabilities.

Fig. S9: Molecular Phylogenetic analysis by Maximum Likelihood method

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the General Time Reversible model (Nei & Kumar, 2000). The tree with the highest log likelihood (-25430.37) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the

635 number of substitutions per site. The analysis involved 77 nucleotide sequences. Codon
636 positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd. There were a total of 2427 positions in the final dataset.
637 Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar et al. 2016b).

638 ***Tab. S1: Primer sequences***

639 Sequences and target accessions for all primers used in the study

640 ***Tab. S2: Target prediction results***

641 Results of the target prediction with the TAPIR algorithm for all *Fg*-sRNAs with more than 400
642 reads

643

644

References

- Abeles, F. W. (1992). Roles and physiological effects of ethylene in plant physiology: dormancy, growth, and development. *Ethylene in plant biology*.
- Adhikari, S., Turner, M., & Subramanian, S. (2013). Hairpin priming is better suited than in vitro polyadenylation to generate cDNA for plant miRNA qPCR. *Molecular plant*, 6(1), 229-231.
- Alonso, J. M., Hirayama, T., Roman, G., Nourizadeh, S., & Ecker, J. R. (1999). EIN2, a bifunctional transducer of ethylene and stress responses in Arabidopsis. *Science*, 284(5423), 2148-2152.
- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 31(2), 166-169.
- Asha, S., & Soniya, E. V. (2016). Transfer RNA derived small RNAs targeting defense responsive genes are induced during Phytophthora capsici infection in black pepper (Piper nigrum L.). *Frontiers in plant science*, 7, 767.
- Åsman, A. K., Vetukuri, R. R., Jahan, S. N., Fogelqvist, J., Corcoran, P., Avrova, A. O., ... & Dixelius, C. (2014). Fragmentation of tRNA in Phytophthora infestans asexual life cycle stages and during host plant infection. *BMC microbiology*, 14(1), 308.
- Bodenhofer, U., Bonatesta, E., Horejš-Kainrath, C., & Hochreiter, S. (2015). msa: an R package for multiple sequence alignment. *Bioinformatics*, 31(24), 3997-3999.
- Bonnet, E., He, Y., Billiau, K., & Van de Peer, Y. (2010). TAPIR, a web server for the prediction of plant microRNA targets, including target mimics. *Bioinformatics*, 26(12), 1566-1568.

667 Cai, Q., He, B., Kogel, K. H., & Jin, H. (2018a). Cross-kingdom RNA trafficking and
668 environmental RNAi—nature's blueprint for modern crop protection strategies. *Current*
669 *opinion in microbiology*, 46, 58-64.

670 Cai, Q., Qiao, L., Wang, M., He, B., Lin, F. M., Palmquist, J., ... & Jin, H. (2018b). Plants send
671 small RNAs in extracellular vesicles to fungal pathogen to silence virulence genes. *Science*,
672 360(6393), 1126-1129.

673 Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., & Madden, T.
674 L. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC bioinformatics*, 10(1), 1-9.

675 Chambers, J. P., Behpouri, A., Bird, A., & Ng, C. K. (2012). Evaluation of the use of the
676 Polyubiquitin Genes, Ubi4 and Ubi10 as reference genes for expression studies in
677 *Brachypodium distachyon*. *PLoS One*, 7(11), e49372.

678 Charif, D., & Lobry, J. R. (2007). SeqinR 1.0-2: a contributed package to the R project for
679 statistical computing devoted to biological sequences retrieval and analysis. In *Structural*
680 *approaches to sequence evolution* (pp. 207-232). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

681 Chen, C., Ridzon, D. A., Broomer, A. J., Zhou, Z., Lee, D. H., Nguyen, J. T., ... & Guegler, K.
682 J. (2005). Real-time quantification of microRNAs by stem-loop RT-PCR. *Nucleic acids*
683 *research*, 33(20), e179-e179.

684 Chen, X., Steed, A., Travella, S., Keller, B., & Nicholson, P. (2009). *Fusarium graminearum*
685 exploits ethylene signalling to colonize dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants. *New*
686 *Phytologist*, 182(4), 975-983.

687 Christians, M. J., Gingerich, D. J., Hansen, M., Binder, B. M., Kieber, J. J., & Vierstra, R. D.
688 (2009). The BTB ubiquitin ligases ETO1, EOL1 and EOL2 act collectively to regulate ethylene
689 biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* by controlling type-2 ACC synthase levels. *The Plant Journal*,
690 57(2), 332-345.

691 Curaba, J., Spriggs, A., Taylor, J., Li, Z., & Helliwell, C. (2012). miRNA regulation in the early
692 development of barley seed. *BMC plant biology*, 12(1), 1-16.

693 Dean, R., Van Kan, J. A., Pretorius, Z. A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P.
694 D., ... & Foster, G. D. (2012). The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology.
695 *Molecular plant pathology*, 13(4), 414-430.

696 Deng, P., Wang, L., Cui, L., Feng, K., Liu, F., Du, X., ... & Weining, S. (2015). Global
697 identification of microRNAs and their targets in barley under salinity stress. *PLoS One*, 10(9),
698 e0137990.

699 Desjardins, A. E., Hohn, T. M., & McCORMICK, S. P. (1993). Trichothecene biosynthesis in
700 *Fusarium* species: chemistry, genetics, and significance. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology*
701 *Reviews*, 57(3), 595-604.

702 Dubey, H., Kiran, K., Jaswal, R., Jain, P., Kayastha, A. M., Bhardwaj, S. C., ... & Sharma, T.
703 R. (2019). Discovery and profiling of small RNAs from *Puccinia triticina* by deep sequencing
704 and identification of their potential targets in wheat. *Functional & integrative genomics*, 19(3),
705 391-407.

706 Dunker, F., Trutzenberg, A., Rothenpieler, J. S., Kuhn, S., Pröls, R., Schreiber, T., ... &
707 Weiberg, A. (2020). Oomycete small RNAs bind to the plant RNA-induced silencing complex
708 for virulence. *Elife*, 9, e56096.

709 Durinck, S., Moreau, Y., Kasprzyk, A., Davis, S., De Moor, B., Brazma, A., & Huber, W.
710 (2005). BioMart and Bioconductor: a powerful link between biological databases and
711 microarray data analysis. *Bioinformatics*, 21(16), 3439-3440.

712 Ferdous, J., Sanchez-Ferrero, J. C., Langridge, P., Milne, L., Chowdhury, J., Brien, C., &
713 Gaffar, F. Y., & Koch, A. (2019). Catch me if you can! RNA silencing-based improvement of
714 antiviral plant immunity. *Viruses*, 11(7), 673.

715 Gaffar FY, Imani J, Karlovsky P, Koch A, Kogel KH (2019) Different components of the RNAi
716 machinery are required for conidiation, ascosporeogenesis, virulence, DON production and
717 fungal inhibition by exogenous dsRNA in the Head Blight pathogen *Fusarium graminearum*.
718 *Front. Microbiol* doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01662.

719 Garcia-Silva, M. R., Cabrera-Cabrera, F., Cura das Neves, R. F., Souto-Pradón, T., de Souza,
720 W., & Cayota, A. (2014). Gene expression changes induced by Trypanosoma cruzi shed
721 microvesicles in mammalian host cells: relevance of tRNA-derived halves. *BioMed research*
722 *international*, 2014.

723 Glazebrook, J. (2005). Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic
724 pathogens. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*, 43, 205-227.

725 Guo, Q., Liu, Q., Smith, N.A., Liang, G., & Wang, M.B. (2016). RNA Silencing in plants:
726 mechanisms, technologies and applications in horticultural crops. *Current Genomics*, 17, 476–
727 489.

728 Hannon, G. "Fastx-toolkit." FASTQ/A Short-reads Preprocessing Tools (2010)

729 Hackenberg, M., Gustafson, P., Langridge, P., & Shi, B. J. (2015). Differential expression of
730 micro RNA s and other small RNA s in barley between water and drought conditions. *Plant*
731 *biotechnology journal*, 13(1), 2-13.

732 Head, G. P., Carroll, M. W., Evans, S. P., Rule, D. M., Willse, A. R., Clark, T. L., ... & Meinke,
733 L. J. (2017). Evaluation of SmartStax and SmartStax PRO maize against western corn rootworm
734 and northern corn rootworm: efficacy and resistance management. *Pest management science*,
735 73(9), 1883-1899.

736 Ilgen, P., Hadel, B., Maier, F. J., & Schäfer, W. (2009). Developing kernel and rachis node
737 induce the trichothecene pathway of *Fusarium graminearum* during wheat head infection.
738 *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*, 22(8), 899-908.

739 Jansen, C., Von Wettstein, D., Schäfer, W., Kogel, K. H., Felk, A., & Maier, F. J. (2005).
740 Infection patterns in barley and wheat spikes inoculated with wild-type and trichodiene synthase
741 gene disrupted *Fusarium graminearum*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
742 *102*(46), 16892-16897.

743 Jones-Rhoades, M. W. (2012). Conservation and divergence in plant microRNAs. *Plant*
744 *molecular biology*, *80*(1), 3-16.

745 Kaldis, A., Berbati, M., Melita, O., Reppa, C., Holeva, M., Otten, P., & Voloudakis, A. (2018).
746 Exogenously applied dsRNA molecules deriving from the Zucchini yellow mosaic virus
747 (ZYMV) genome move systemically and protect cucurbits against ZYMV. *Molecular plant*
748 *pathology*, *19*(4), 883-895.

749 Kassambara, A. (2017). ggpubr: “ggplot2” based publication ready plots. R package version
750 0.1. 6.

751 Kieber, J. J., Rothenberg, M., Roman, G., Feldmann, K. A., & Ecker, J. R. (1993). CTR1, a
752 negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis, encodes a member of the
753 raf family of protein kinases. *Cell*, *72*(3), 427-441.

754 Kim, H. K., Jo, S. M., Kim, G. Y., Kim, D. W., Kim, Y. K., & Yun, S. H. (2015). A large-scale
755 functional analysis of putative target genes of mating-type loci provides insight into the
756 regulation of sexual development of the cereal pathogen *Fusarium graminearum*. *PLoS Genet*,
757 *11*(9), e1005486.

758 Koch, A., Biedenkopf, D., Furch, A., Weber, L., Rossbach, O., Abdellatef, E., ... & Kogel, K.
759 H. (2016). An RNAi-based control of *Fusarium graminearum* infections through spraying of
760 long dsRNAs involves a plant passage and is controlled by the fungal silencing machinery.
761 *PLoS pathogens*, *12*(10), e1005901.

762 Koch, A., & Kogel, K. H. (2014). New wind in the sails: improving the agronomic value of
763 crop plants through RNA i-mediated gene silencing. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 12(7), 821-
764 831.

765 Koch, A., Kumar, N., Weber, L., Keller, H., Imani, J., & Kogel, K. H. (2013). Host-induced
766 gene silencing of cytochrome P450 lanosterol C14 α -demethylase–encoding genes confers
767 strong resistance to Fusarium species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
768 110(48), 19324-19329.

769 Koch, A., & Wassenegger, M. (2021). Host-induced gene silencing–mechanisms and
770 applications. *New Phytologist* doi: 10.1111/nph.17364.

771 Konakalla, N. C., Kaldis, A., Berbati, M., Masarapu, H., & Voloudakis, A. E. (2016).
772 Exogenous application of double-stranded RNA molecules from TMV p126 and CP genes
773 confers resistance against TMV in tobacco. *Planta*, 244(4), 961-969.

774 Kumar, P., Kuscu, C., & Dutta, A. (2016a). Biogenesis and function of transfer RNA-related
775 fragments (tRFs). *Trends in biochemical sciences*, 41(8), 679-689.

776 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., & Tamura, K. (2016b). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics
777 analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution*, 33(7), 1870-1874.

778 Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
779 *methods*, 9(4), 357.

780 Lax, C., Tahiri, G., Patiño-Medina, J. A., Cánovas-Márquez, J. T., Pérez-Ruiz, J. A., Osorio-
781 Concepción, M., ... & Calo, S. (2020). The Evolutionary Significance of RNAi in the Fungal
782 Kingdom. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(24), 9348.

783 Lee Marzano, S. Y., Neupane, A., Mochama, P., Feng, C., & Saleem, H. (2019). Roles of
784 argonautes and dicers on Sclerotinia sclerotiorum antiviral RNA silencing. *Frontiers in Plant*
785 *Science*, 10, 976.

786 Li, N., Han, X., Feng, D., Yuan, D., & Huang, L. J. (2019). Signaling crosstalk between salicylic
787 acid and ethylene/jasmonate in plant defense: do we understand what they are whispering?.
788 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(3), 671.

789 Li, N., Shan, N., Lu, L., & Wang, Z. (2021). tRFtarget: a database for transfer RNA-derived
790 fragment targets. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49(D1), D254-D260.

791 Liu, S., Jaouannet, M., Dempsey, D. M. A., Imani, J., Coustau, C., & Kogel, K. H. (2020).
792 RNA-based technologies for insect control in plant production. *Biotechnology advances*, 39,
793 107463.

794 Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-
795 time quantitative PCR and the 2- $\Delta\Delta$ CT method. *methods*, 25(4), 402-408.

796 Mallory, A. C., Reinhart, B. J., Jones-Rhoades, M. W., Tang, G., Zamore, P. D., Barton, M. K.,
797 & Bartel, D. P. (2004). MicroRNA control of PHABULOSA in leaf development: importance
798 of pairing to the microRNA 5' region. *The EMBO journal*, 23(16), 3356-3364.

799 Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
800 reads. *EMBnet. journal*, 17(1), 10-12.

801 Martinez, G., Choudury, S. G., & Slotkin, R. K. (2017). tRNA-derived small RNAs target
802 transposable element transcripts. *Nucleic acids research*, 45(9), 5142-5152.

803 Mascher, M., Gundlach, H., Himmelbach, A., Beier, S., Twardziok, S. O., Wicker, T., ... &
804 Stein, N. (2017). A chromosome conformation capture ordered sequence of the barley genome.
805 *Nature*, 544(7651), 427-433.

806 McLoughlin, A. G., Wytinck, N., Walker, P. L., Girard, I. J., Rashid, K. Y., de Kievit, T., ... &
807 Belmonte, M. F. (2018). Identification and application of exogenous dsRNA confers plant
808 protection against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Botrytis cinerea*. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1-14.

809 Mitter, N., Worrall, E. A., Robinson, K. E., Li, P., Jain, R. G., Taochy, C., ... & Xu, Z. P. (2017).
810 Clay nanosheets for topical delivery of RNAi for sustained protection against plant viruses.
811 *Nature plants*, 3(2), 1-10.

812 Morel, J. B., Godon, C., Mourrain, P., Béclin, C., Boutet, S., Feuerbach, F., ... & Vaucheret, H.
813 (2002). Fertile hypomorphic ARGONAUTE (ago1) mutants impaired in post-transcriptional
814 gene silencing and virus resistance. *The Plant Cell*, 14(3), 629-639.

815 Mueth, N. A., Ramachandran, S. R., & Hulbert, S. H. (2015). Small RNAs from the wheat
816 stripe rust fungus (*Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. tritici). *Bmc Genomics*, 16(1), 1-16.

817 Nei, M., & Kumar, S. (2000). *Molecular evolution and phylogenetics*. Oxford university press.

818 Niehl, A., & Heinlein, M. (2019). Perception of double-stranded RNA in plant antiviral
819 immunity. *Molecular plant pathology*, 20(9), 1203-1210.

820 Niehl, A., Soininen, M., Poranen, M. M., & Heinlein, M. (2018). Synthetic biology approach
821 for plant protection using ds RNA. *Plant biotechnology journal*, 16(9), 1679-1687.

822 Nowara, D., Gay, A., Lacomme, C., Shaw, J., Ridout, C., Douchkov, D., ... & Schweizer, P.
823 (2010). HIGS: host-induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen *Blumeria*
824 *graminis*. *The Plant Cell*, 22(9), 3130-3141.

825 Potuschak, T., Lechner, E., Parmentier, Y., Yanagisawa, S., Grava, S., Koncz, C., & Genschik,
826 P. (2003). EIN3-dependent regulation of plant ethylene hormone signaling by two Arabidopsis
827 F box proteins: EBF1 and EBF2. *Cell*, 115(6), 679-689.

828 R Core Team, R. (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

829 Reinhart, B. J., Weinstein, E. G., Rhoades, M. W., Bartel, B., & Bartel, D. P. (2002).
830 MicroRNAs in plants. *Genes & development*, 16(13), 1616-1626.

831 Ren, B., Wang, X., Duan, J., & Ma, J. (2019). Rhizobial tRNA-derived small RNAs are signal
832 molecules regulating plant nodulation. *Science*, 365(6456), 919-922.

833 Rosa, C., Kuo, Y. W., Wuriyanghan, H., & Falk, B. W. (2018). RNA interference mechanisms
834 and applications in plant pathology. *Annual review of phytopathology*, 56, 581-610.

835 RStudio Team (2016). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudioInc., Boston, MA URL
836 <http://www.rstudio.com/>.

837 Sang, H., & Kim, J. I. (2020). Advanced strategies to control plant pathogenic fungi by host-
838 induced gene silencing (HIGS) and spray-induced gene silencing (SIGS). *Plant Biotechnology*
839 *Reports*, 14(1), 1-8.

840 Šečić E and Kogel KH (2021). Requirements for fungal uptake of dsRNA and gene silencing
841 in RNAi-based crop protection strategies. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, COBIOT-D-21-
842 00048.

843 Shan, L., He, P., Li, J., Heese, A., Peck, S. C., Nürnberger, T., ... & Sheen, J. (2008). Bacterial
844 effectors target the common signaling partner BAK1 to disrupt multiple MAMP receptor-
845 signaling complexes and impede plant immunity. *Cell host & microbe*, 4(1), 17-27.

846 Son H, Park AR, Lim JY, Shin C, Lee Y-W (2017) Genome-wide exonic small interference
847 RNA-mediated gene silencing regulates sexual reproduction in the homothallic fungus
848 *Fusarium graminearum*. *PLoS Genet* 13(2): e1006595. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006595

849 Srivastava, P. K., Moturu, T. R., Pandey, P., Baldwin, I. T., & Pandey, S. P. (2014). A
850 comparison of performance of plant miRNA target prediction tools and the characterization of
851 features for genome-wide target prediction. *BMC genomics*, 15(1), 1-15.

852 Streit, R. S. A., Ferrareze, P. A. G., Vainstein, M. H., & Staats, C. C. (2021). Analysis of tRNA-
853 derived RNA fragments (tRFs) in *Cryptococcus* spp.: RNAi-independent generation and
854 possible compensatory effects in a RNAi-deficient genotype. *Fungal Biology*.

855 Tian, T., Liu, Y., Yan, H., You, Q., Yi, X., Du, Z., ... & Su, Z. (2017). agriGO v2. 0: a GO
856 analysis toolkit for the agricultural community, 2017 update. *Nucleic acids research*, 45(W1),
857 W122-W129.

858 Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M., & Rozen, S.
859 G. (2012). Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic acids research*, 40(15), e115-
860 e115.

861 Wang, B., Sun, Y.F., Song, N., Zhao, M.X., Liu, R., Feng, H., Wang, X.J., & Kang, Z.S.
862 (2017a). *Puccinia striiformis f. sp tritici* microRNA-like RNA 1 (Pst-milR1), an important
863 pathogenicity factor of Pst, impairs wheat resistance to Pst by suppressing the wheat
864 pathogenesis-related 2 gene. *New Phytologist*, 215, 338–350.

865 Wang, M., Weiberg, A., Dellota, E. Jr, Yamane, D, & Jin, H. (2017b). Botrytis small RNA Bc-
866 siR37 suppresses plant defense genes by cross-kingdom RNAi. *RNA Biology*, 14, 421–428.

867 Wang, M., Weiberg, A., Lin, F.-M., Thomma, B. P. H. J., Huang, H.D., & Jin, H. (2016a).
868 Bidirectional cross-kingdom RNAi and fungal uptake of external RNAs confer plant protection.
869 *Nature Plants*, 2, 16151.

870 Wang, Q., Li, T., Xu, K., Zhang, W., Wang, X., Quan, J., ... & Shan, W. (2016b). The tRNA-
871 derived small RNAs regulate gene expression through triggering sequence-specific degradation
872 of target transcripts in the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora sojae*. *Frontiers in plant science*,
873 7, 1938.

874 Weiberg, A., Wang, M., Lin, F. M., Zhao, H., Zhang, Z., Kaloshian, I., ... & Jin, H. (2013).
875 Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA interference pathways.
876 *Science*, 342(6154), 118-123.

877 Werner, B. T., Gaffar, F. Y., Schuemann, J., Biedenkopf, D., & Koch, A. M. (2020). RNA-
878 spray-mediated silencing of *Fusarium graminearum* AGO and DCL genes improve barley
879 disease resistance. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 476.

880 Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. springer.

881 Yoshida, H., Wang, K.L., Chang, C.M., Mori, K., Uchida, E., Ecker, J.R. (2006). The ACC
882 synthase TOE sequence is required for interaction with ETO1 family proteins and
883 destabilization of target proteins. *Plant Mol Biol*. 62(3):427-37.

884 Zanini, S., Šečić, E., Busche, T., Galli, M., Zheng, Y., Kalinowski, J., & Kogel, K. H. (2021).
885 Comparative Analysis of Transcriptome and sRNAs Expression Patterns in the Brachypodium
886 distachyon—*Magnaporthe oryzae* Pathosystems. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*,
887 22(2), 650.

888 Zuo, Y., Zhu, L., Guo, Z., Liu, W., Zhang, J., Zeng, Z., ... & Peng, Y. (2021). tsRBase: a
889 comprehensive database for expression and function of tsRNAs in multiple species. *Nucleic
890 Acids Research*, 49(D1), D1038-D1045.

891

892

893 **Tables**

894 **Tab. 1: Selected GO-terms of tested genes and closest homologs in *A. thaliana***

Name	ensembl_gene_id	GO_term	<i>A.thaliana</i> Homolog	Abbr.
<i>HvARF3</i>		auxin-activated signaling pathway		
Auxin response transcription factor 3	HORVU1Hr1G076690	regulation of transcription, DNA-templated nucleus	AT2G33860	<i>ARF3</i>
<i>HvSUB1</i>		Golgi apparatus		
Short under blue light 1	HORVU2Hr1G028070	transferase activity, transferring glycosyl groups fucose metabolic process	AT4G08810	<i>SUB1</i>
<i>HvPPR</i>				
Pentatricopeptide repeat superfamily protein	HORVU2Hr1G078260	protein binding	AT2G06000	
<i>HvSERK2</i>		integral component of membrane		
Somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase 2_1	HORVU2Hr1G080020	positive regulation of innate immune response regulation of defense response to fungus	AT1G34210	<i>SERK2</i>
<i>HvARF10</i>		auxin-activated signaling pathway		
Auxin response transcription factor 10	HORVU2Hr1G089670	regulation of transcription, DNA-templated nucleus	AT2G28350	<i>ARF10</i>
<i>HvEOL1</i>		regulation of ethylene biosynthetic process		
ETO1-like 1	HORVU2Hr1G119180	protein binding	AT4G02680	<i>EOL1</i>
<i>HvRST1</i>		integral component of membrane		
Resurrection 1	HORVU3Hr1G016630	membrane	AT3G27670	<i>RST1</i>
<i>HvPIX7</i>		protein serine/threonine kinase activity		
Putative interactor of XopAC 7	HORVU3Hr1G051080	ATP binding protein kinase activity	AT5G15080	<i>PIX7</i>
<i>Hvemb2726</i>		translation elongation factor activity		
Embryo defective 2726	HORVU5Hr1G024470	mitochondrion intracellular	AT4G29060	<i>emb2726</i>
<i>HvPGLP2</i>		chloroplast		
2-Phosphoglycolate phosphatase 2	HORVU5Hr1G052320	phosphoglycolate activity hydrolase activity	AT5G47760	<i>PGLP2</i>

<i>HvATG2</i> Autophagy-related 2	HORVU6Hr1G034660	autophagy of peroxisome autophagy	AT3G19190	<i>ATG2</i>
<i>HvSUVR5</i> Su(var)3-9-related protein 5	HORVU6Hr1G069350	histone-lysine N-methyltransferase activity chromosome methyltransferase activity	AT2G23740	<i>SUVR5</i>
<i>HvRDR1</i> RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 1	HORVU6Hr1G074180	RNA-directed 5'-3' RNA polymerase activity RNA binding gene silencing by RNA	AT1G14790	<i>RDR1</i>
<i>HvGDH</i> Glycine decarboxylase complex H	HORVU6Hr1G076880	glycine decarboxylation via glycine cleavage system glycine cleavage complex mitochondrion	AT2G35370	<i>GDH1</i>
<i>HvBAK1</i> Somatic embryogenesis receptor-like kinase 2_2	HORVU7Hr1G068990	integral component of membrane transmembrane receptor protein serine/threonine kinase signaling pathway	AT1G34210	<i>SERK2</i>
<i>HvARF19</i> Auxin response transcription factor 19	HORVU7Hr1G096460	auxin-activated signaling pathway regulation of transcription, DNA- templated nucleus		
<i>BdSERK2</i>	BRADI_5g12227v3	integral component of membrane positive regulation of innate immune response regulation of defense response to fungus	AT1G34210	<i>SERK2</i>

qPCR and RT primer used in this study. First column shows the name of the respective template.

Second column gives the accession for genes or the sequence of sRNAs. Third column shows

the primer names and fourth column gives the oligonucleotide sequence. Lower case letters are

complementary to the respective sRNA.

901

902 **Tab. 2: Target prediction results of Fg-sRNAs with more than 400 reads in IFA65 axenic**
 903 **culture.**

sRNA-Name	Reads	Score	Alignment		Length
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-321	2106	4.5	3'	GCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 22
				.. .o o	
<i>HvEOL1</i>			5'	GAAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-1921	416	3.5	3'	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 21
				. .o o	
<i>HvEOL1</i>			5'	AAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-6717	86	4.5	3'	UAGCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUAC	5' 23
				.. .o o	
<i>HvEOL1</i>			5'	AUGAAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-1921	416	6	3'	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 21
				. . .	
<i>HvSERK2</i>			5'	GCACGCAGGGGUCACCGAUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-321	2106	4.5	3'	GCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 22
				o . . .	
<i>HvBAK1</i>			5'	UGCACACAGGGCUCCCCAUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-1921	416	4	3'	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 21
				. . .	
<i>HvBAK1</i>			5'	GCACACAGGGCUCCCCAUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-6717	86	5.5	3'	UAGCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUAC	5' 23
				. o . . .	
<i>HvBAK1</i>			5'	UUUGCACACAGGGCUCCCCAUG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-321	2106	5.5	3'	GCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 22
				.. .o o o	
<i>BdEOL1</i>			5'	GAAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-1921	416	4.5	3'	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 21
				. .o o o	
<i>BdEOL1</i>			5'	AAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-6717	86	5.5	3'	UAGCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUAC	5' 23
				.. .o o o	
<i>BEOL1</i>			5'	AUGAAUUCAGGGCUCCCGGUG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-321	2106	3.5	3'	GCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 22
				o . . .	
<i>BdSERK2</i>			5'	UGCACGCAAGGCUCGCCAUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-1921	416	3	3'	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	5' 21
				. . .	
<i>BdSERK2</i>			5'	GCACGCAAGGCUCGCCAUGG	3'
<i>Fg</i> -sRNA-6717	86	5.5	3'	UAGCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUAC	5' 23
				..o . . .	
<i>BdSERK2</i>			5'	UCUGCACGCAAGGCUCGCCAUG	3'

904 Mismatches (MMs) between mRNA and sRNA are marked as “.”, while G-U pairs are marked
905 as “o”.

906

907

Figure legends

Fig. 1: Virulence of *Fusarium graminearum* strains PH1 and IFA65 DCL single and dKO mutants on barley and *Brachypodium*.

A: Relative infected area on leaves of barley cv. Golden Promise (GP) at 5 dpi. Detached leaves were inoculated with 3 µl of a solution containing 150,000 conidia per mL. The area with leaf necrosis was measured with the free image analysis software package PlantCV. Boxplots represent the median and quartiles of three independent biological experiments (n=56). (Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, $P=7.1 \times 10^{-3}$, $x=\text{mean}$)

B: Relative infected leaf area on leaves of *Brachypodium distachyon* Bd21-3 at 5 dpi. Detached leaves were inoculated with 10 µl of a solution containing 10,000 conidia per mL. The area with leaf necrosis was measured with ImageJ. Boxplots represent the median and quartiles of nine independent biological experiments (n=63). (Pairwise Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, Bonferroni corrected, $P_{dcl1}=4.9 \times 10^{-8}$, $P_{dcl2}=2.6 \times 10^{-4}$, $x=\text{mean}$)

Outliers (>2.5) are not shown but indicated as written values next to the upward arrow (↑).

Fig. 2: Relative expression (log2 fold) of potential barley target genes for fungal sRNAs in leaves infected with *Fusarium graminearum* wt strain PH1 vs. PH1-dcl1/2.

Expression was normalized against barley *Ubiquitin (HvUBQ)* and subsequently against the Δact of the uninfected control (mock treatment). Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SE of three independent biological replicates. Significant differences were calculated for the expression of a respective gene in PH1 vs. PH1-dcl1/2-infected samples and PH1 vs. controls. The dotted line shows the expression level of mock treatment. (Student's *t*-test, (paired) one sided, * $P<0.1$, ** $P<0.05$, *** $P<0.01$)

Fig. 3: Relative expression of *HvEOL1* in response to inoculation of barley leaves with *Fusarium graminearum*.

A, Relative expression of *FgDCL1* and *FgDCL2* on detached barley cv. Golden Promise leaves at 5 dpi in wt strain IFA65 and 7 days post spray application of the 1,782 nt long dsRNA construct dsRNA-*dcl1/2* vs. TE buffer. **B**, Relative expression of *HvEOL1* at 5 dpi with IFA65 vs. mock control. **C**, Relative expression of *HvEOL1* 5 dpi with wt strain IFA65 and 7 days post spray application of dsRNA-*dcl1/2* vs. TE buffer. Gene expression was first normalized against the reference gene *HvUBQ* (*HORVUIHr1G023660*) and subsequently against the Δ ct of the respective control for B (mock = 0.002% Tween20) and for A,C (IFA65 / TE). Bars represent the mean $\pm$ SE of three (B) and four (A, C) independent biological replicates. (Student's *t*-test, **P*<0.05, ****P*<0.005)

Fig. 4: Relative amount of different fungal tRFs with homology to *HvEOL1* mRNA

Relative amount of different fungal tRFs with homology to *HvEOL1* mRNA during infection of barley leaves with PH1 and PH1-*dcl1/2* normalized to fungal biomass and relative quantity of sRNAs normalized to wt PH1 measured by qPCR. *Fg*-sRNA-1921, *Fg*-sRNA-321 and *Fg*-sRNA-6717 quantity was normalized to *Hvu*-miR159 and *Hvu*-miR168 and fungal biomass as determined by *FgEF1a* expression was normalized to *HvUBQ*. Subsequently the amount of sRNAs was normalized with fungal biomass. The amount of sRNA in PH1-infected leaves was set to 1. Values and error bars represent the mean $\pm$ SE of three independent biological replicates. Significance was calculated via a one-sample *t*-test. (***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.005)

Fig. 5: Relative expression of *BdSERK2* in response to inoculation of *Brachypodium distachyon* leaves with *Fusarium graminearum*.

Relative expression of *BdSERK2* in detached Bd21-3 leaves at 4 dpi with PH1 vs. PH1-*dcl1/2*. The gene expression was first normalized against the reference gene *BdUBI4* and subsequently against the Δ ct of the mock treated control. Values and error bars represent the mean $\pm$ SE of three independent biological replicates. (Student's t-test, paired, one sided, **P<0,01)

Fig. 6: Analysis of potential target sites of *Fg*-sRNAs as determined by RLM-RACE products.

A,B,C Potential target sites of *Fg*-sRNA-321 and *Fg*-sRNA-1921 predicted by TAPIR (blue), genomic DNA (GPv1, GCA_902500625.1, A: contig7:321364603-321365033, B: contig2:598018255-598018555, C: contig2:474465508-474465708) of barley cv. Golden Promise (green), and the alignment of sequences derived from the RLM-RACE PCR (red) relative to the *Hv*-gDNA and *Fg*-sRNAs. **D,E,F** PCR-products of the second nested RLM-RACE-PCR visualized in an EtBr-Agarose gel. Red arrows indicate excised bands and blue arrows indicate infection specific products.

Fig. 7: The degree of DCL-dependent gene silencing is correlated with the number of homologous fungal sRNAs.

Each dot represents a predicted target gene of *Fg*-sRNAs. On the x-axis the $\Delta\Delta\Delta$ ct-value is shown with bars representing SD. On the y-axis the $\log_2$ of the number of total sRNAs potentially targeting each gene are shown. The dotted line represents a linear regression model. P indicates the significance (t-test) of the model and the score cut-off indicates the score limit used during the target prediction. Plot A, B, C and D are the calculations for a score cut off of 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

980 **Tab. S1: Primer sequences**

Gene	Accession	Primer Name	Sequence
HvARF3	HORVU1Hr1G076690	HORVU1Hr1G076690_F	GGTTCAGCTCAGAAACGAAGC
		HORVU1Hr1G076690_R	ATTCTGACGCTCCACTCCTTG
HvPPR	HORVU2Hr1G078260	HORVU2Hr1G078260_F	GGGTGCTTCATCGAGTTGGAA
		HORVU2Hr1G078260_R	CTGCAAAACCACAGAGCTTGT
HvSERK2	HORVU2Hr1G080020	HORVU2Hr1G080020.6_F	GATGACAGACAGAGTCCTGCT
		HORVU2Hr1G080020.6_R	AGCACTACTACCAGCACCGA
HvARF10	HORVU2Hr1G089670	HORVU2Hr1G089670_F	CACATCGGCGATGAACCTTTC
		HORVU2Hr1G089670_R	TCGGCTCAAGATCGATGGATG
HvPGLP2	HORVU5Hr1G052320	HORVU5Hr1G052320_F	CTCCTTGTTCTGTCAGGTGTGA
		HORVU5Hr1G052320_R	ATTGCTGGTGCTGTATTCGGA
HvATG2	HORVU6Hr1G034660	HORVU6Hr1G034660_F	TTCTTATCTCGGGGCTTGGTG
		HORVU6Hr1G034660_R	TCGTAGCAGCCAAGAACCATT
HvGDH	HORVU6Hr1G076880	HORVU6Hr1G076880_F	GGCAACGTGGAGAGTGTGAA
		HORVU6Hr1G076880_R	GTACGGGCTCGAGTTGATCAG
HvARF19	HORVU7Hr1G096460	HORVU7Hr1G096460_F	GGGCCGGTCTATCGACATTAG
		HORVU7Hr1G096460_R	TTGACAACTCCTCCCAAGGG
HvSUB1	HORVU2Hr1G028070	MLOC_12796.1_F	CAGAGTTCAGGAGGGGCAAG
		MLOC_12796.1_R	GACAAACGTCCGGTTGAGGA
HvSUVR5	HORVU6Hr1G069350	MLOC_14605.1_F	TGCATTTTGTGACCGCAGG
		MLOC_14605.1_R	AGGCTTGTCTGGGAACGATG
Hvemb272	HORVU5Hr1G024470	MLOC_58105.1_F	AGACTGATGTTGCGGTGGAG
		MLOC_58105.1_R	GGTTGCGACCTAACTTGGGA
HvPIX7	HORVU3Hr1G051080	MLOC_5991.1_F	GATGGGCTTCAGGGGCATAA
		MLOC_5991.1_R	ATGGGAGCGGAAATGACCTC
HvRDR1	HORVU6Hr1G074180	MLOC_75294.1_F	TATCTGAAGGTTCCGGCCTGC
		MLOC_75294.1_R	GTTCCGCTCCACAGAACAGA

<i>HvRST1</i>	HORVU3Hr1G016630	MLOC_75306.1_F	TTGCGGGACTTGTTCCTTGGT
		MLOC_75306.1_R	TGACAGATGGCAGAGCAAGG
<i>HvEOL1</i>	HORVU2Hr1G119180	MLOC_8741_F	CACTTCAAGCCCCTGACTA
		MLOC_8741_R	CTCATGTATCGTGCTCGCCT
<i>BdSERK2</i>	BRADI_5g12227v3	PNT61220_F	AGTTGCGTTTCCTCCGTCTT
		PNT61220_R	ACCAGTTGATGGAACCTCTCC
<i>HvUBI</i>	HORVU1Hr1G023660	Ubideg60_F	ACCCTCGCCGACTACAACAT
		Ubideg60_R	CAGTAGTGCGGGTCGAAGTG
<i>FgEF1a</i>	FGSG_08811	EF1a_F	CAAGGCCGTCGAGAAGTCCAC
		EF1a_R	TGCCAACATGATCATTTTCGTCGTA
Name	Sequence (RNA)	Primer	Sequence (Primer)
<i>Hvu-miRNA-159a</i>	UUUGGAUUGAAGGGAGCUCUG	hvu-mir159a_F	TGGCTCGCTtttgattgaaggga
		hvu-mir159a_RT	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGA GGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACcagag c
<i>Hvu-miRNA-168</i>	UCGCUUGGUGCAGAUCGGGAC	hvu-mir168-5p_F	GTTTCGCTtcgcttggtgcagat
		hvu-mir168-5p_RT	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGA GGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACgtccc g
<i>Fg-sRNA-321</i>	GCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	Fg-sRNA_321-2106_F	TCGCTccatcggggagccctg
		Fg-sRNA_321-2106_RT	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGA GGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACcgaac c
<i>Fg-sRNA-1921</i>	CUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUACC	Fg-sRNA_1921-416_F	TCGCTccatcggggagccct

		Fg-sRNA_1921-416_RT	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGA GGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACgaacc c
Fg-sRNA-6717	UAGCUUGGGUCCCGAGGGGCUA C	Fg-sRNA_6717-86_F	TCGCTcatcggggagccctggg
		Fg-sRNA_6717-86_RT	GTCGTATCCAGTGCAGGGTCCGA GGTATTCGCACTGGATACGACcatcga a
Universal SL Reverse		UniSL_R	CCAGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTA
Target	Accession	Name	Sequence
RLM-adapter		RLM_Adapter	GCUGAUGGCGAUGAAUGAACACU G CGUUUGCUGGCUUUGAUGAAA
RLM outer adapter Primer		RLM_Uni_O1	GCTGATGGCGATGAATGAACACTG
RLM inner adapter primer		RLM_Uni_I1	GAACACTGCGTTTGCTGGCTTTGAT G
HvEOL1	HORVU2Hr1G119180	HvEOL1_outer	GAATTTACTGATGGCCCGCAT
	HORVU2Hr1G119180	HvEOL1_inner	ACCCACCATTAAGCATCGCA
HvSERK2	HORVU2Hr1G080020	HvSERK2_1_outer	GAGCCTCAGGAGACGGTTTT
	HORVU2Hr1G080020	HvSERK2_1_inner	AGTGGAGTCGACGATCCAGT
HvBAK1	HORVU7Hr1G068990	HvSERK2_2_outer	GGGTTTGCACATGCTCGTAC
	HORVU7Hr1G068990	HvSERK2_2_inner	TGAGGACCCAGCTCTACCTC

981

982

Fig. 1

Figure 1

Fig. 2

Figure 2

Fig. 3

Figure 3

Fig. 4

Figure 4

Fig. 5

Figure 5

Fig. 6

Figure 6

Fig. 7

Figure 7

Fig. S1

Figure S1

Fig. S2

A

B

Figure S2

Fig. S3

Figure S3

Fig. S4

Figure S4

Fig. S5A

FgDCL1-FGSG_09025

ATGGACACCGACTCGACCGACAGCGAAGATGATCGCGTTCACTATCGCCTGACCGTGAGGCCTTCGAAGCATCGAAAGAATACAGAGAAGAAGCGCCTGAACAAGCAGGTTTTGAAA
CAATACATGATAGAACACGACAGAGAAGCCTATGCCAAAGATTCTGAGAAAAAGAAGCGCGGCCCTTCGCTGAAGCTTCCACCGACATTACACCGCGAGAATATCAGATAGAACTCT
TCGAAGCCGCCAAGGAGAAGAATCTCATTGTGGTTTTACCTACAGGTATTTCTTGTCCCTTCTGACTCTACTCTGGACATTCTAATAGGCACAGGTTCTGGTAAAACACTCATCTCCAT
CTTATTGCTAAAATACTACATTGAATCGAAGTGAATCTCGCGCTCTTGGAATCCGAGGAAGGTGGCCTTTTTCTTGGTGAAAAAGTAGCCCTCTGTGAGCAGCAATACCGATTTT
TTAAGGACCAGATTTTCGGCCACAACATTGTCATGTTACAGGCGATAACCGCGGCGTGACCAAGGACAAGAAGTACTGGGATGATCAGTTTTCTCAAACAAGGTTGTGGTCTGCAC
TGCTCACATTTTGCTCGACTGTCTGAACAACGGCTTCATTACAATGGACCAGATCAACCTCCTCATCTTCGACGAAGCCCATCATGCAAAGAAGAAGCATGATTACGCGCAAATTGTCC
GACGATATTATTCTACCGAAAAAGAACAAGAGACCTCGCATTCTAGGAATGACCGCTTCCCCTGTGGATTCCAAGGCTGGAGATGTTGCAGAACTGGCACTTGAACCTGAGAAAAAC
CCTTGACAGCGAGATCGCAACACTCTCCGACAAGATGATGCGACAGGCGACTGATTTCCAAGTTCATGTTGAAGAGACAGTCAAATACAACACACTTGGACTACCAGACGAGACCAAG
ACACAGCTTTGGGACTCGATCTCTAAGCTAGTATCGCGAAACAAGGAATTCAGGCGTCTCTCGACTTCACAAAAGAGGCCTCCACAATCCTAGGACCCTGGTGTGCGGACCGATACT
GGCAAGTCTTGATCGACGATACAGAGATCAAGCGACTCGCCGACAGGACTCGCATGGCTTTTTTCGGGGGTGGAGAGAAGTTGTTGGCAAGAGGAGACCAAGCAGAAGAGGCTGTC
AGGGAGGTTCAAAGGTCGTCGACGCCACGAGTTTAGGGCGATCAGTCTCAATCGCAGGAATTGTCAGCTAAAGTGAAATGTCTACATGAAATCCTGGTTCATGCCTTCACAGTCG
ATAACACAAAGCGCTGCATCGTTTTTTGTTGATCAGAGACACACAGCTTGCTCCTTTTCGGACCTTTACGACCAAGTTTCAATGGCAATTCCTCGGTATGAATGCTTCGTATATGGTAAGTT
GAGTCCCATTTC AACAGCAGTA ACTAACCACAATAGATTGGTCAACAATCTAGCAGCAGCACCTTGGAATATGTCTCTGCGAAAGCAATGTTCAACGCTCAAGAACTTTAGGGACG
GCGTGATAAACTGTCTTTGCAACATCAGTGGCAGAGGAGGGAATTGACATTCCGAGTTGTGATCTGTTATCCGATTTGATCTCTATACTTCTGTTATTAGTATGTTCAATCCAAAG
GGCGTGCGAGACACGAATCTTCACGGTATATCACCATGCTGGAAGACGGCAACATGAGACAGATTGCGAGTCTGAAACAAGCAGCGAGAGATGCAACAGCCCTTCGAGAGTTCTGTC
TAAGAATACCTGCCGATCGAAAACCTCAAGACGATGTATTTGATGAGGAGACGGAAAGTCAGATCAAGCAAATACGTTTAACTGTACAAAATAGAATCAACGGGCGCACAACCTTAC
ATCCCCCTCAAGCCTCGAGATACTAGCTCGATTGTTGCATCCTGGGTACAGCAGAGAGCAGCCATAGCAAGGCTGAATATCACGTCTACAAGGTGGGAACATATTTACAGCCGCC
GTCAATCTACCGTCCAGTTCCCCCATCGTCTCCCAAACAGGCTATCCACAACGAAGCAAGCTTCTCGCAAATGCTCAGCGGCTTTTGAAGTTTGAAGAAGCTCATCAATGGCAAACA
CATTGATGATCATCTTCAGCCTACTTTCAAGAAACATTTCCACAAAATGCGCAATGCTCGTGTGGGAATAAGCCCTAACAAGAAGGGTGAGCATGACATGCGCCTGAGGCCCAACGTTT
GGAGTATCCGTGGAGAATGGACACACTTCTTCCCAACAAGAATTACTTTGACAGGGATTGTGGAGAGAAAAACAGGTCGTTGATTCTTCTTTCGCGAAGTCCACTTCAGGACTACCT
TCAATCCCTCTATTCTTCGGCAATGGACGCTCAGCCATCGTTGAAGTGACATGTTCTCAAGAACCTTTACCCATCACGACCGAGGAAGCTGGGGGTTTGAAGTCTTTCACACTCAAATC
TTTGCCGACGTTTTTCAAGTAAAGAGTTTGAAGCCACTTGCGACCAGTTTCTTACCTTCTTGCCCCCTTGCGAAAAGACACCAATCTAAACGAAATATCGCGAATCGACTGGGATACTGTC
AACCTTGTGAGAGACCATGACAGTCTCGAATGGGAGAATGCGCCGACGATTTCTTTTTCGACAAGCTTGTGTAGATCCATATGATGGAGGGCGCAAGCTTATAATCAAAGGCATTG
ACAAATCCAAGAAGCCTTCTGATCCTACACCGGAGGGAGTGCTGAGTCGAGAAGTCGTGCTTATAGGTCTGCGGAACAAAACATTAAGCAGTACAGCAACAGTCTGTTTTCCAAGTC
TCGACTAACGGCCAGTGCGGAGACGATCAACCGGTTGTCAAAGCTGAGCTTCTCTCATTGCGACGCAATCTGTTGGACGAGTTTCAAGTAAACGAGGAAATCAACAAGGATTGCTTC
GTCATTTTAGAACCCTCAACGTGTACCTGTAAGTGAAAGACTCTTCTGTAACGATCTAACATGCCAGCTGCCAATTGACGTCGTTTCCATGGCACTCAAGTTCCCGGCAATCAT
CCACAGAATCGATTCTGCTCTGATCGCTCTTGATGCATGCGAACTATTCGACCTCTCTATTCCGCCAGCGCTGGCACTCGAGGCAATGACCAAAGACAGCGACAACACTGAGGATCATG
GCAAGCAACAAATCAATTTCCAAGCTGGCATGGGTTCCAATATGAAAGGTTGGAATTTCTTGGAGACTCGTTTCTCAAATGGCCACCACAATCTCCATTTTTGACTCAAACCCAAGA
GCAACGAATGTTTGTACCATGTAGAGCGCATGCTGCTCATCTGCAACAACAATCTGTTCAACACGGCCGTAGATTGCAAGCTCCAGAGTACATACGATCCTTGGCATTTCGACAGGCG
AACTTGGTACCCTGATCTTACACTCAGAAAAGGCAAAGCTTTCAAGGCAACAGCGCGACAGCGCTTAGCCGACAAAAGTATTGCGGATGTCTGTGAAGCTCTCATTGGTGTGCATAC
CTCTCAAGCAAGGATGACAATTTGAACATGGCCGTCAAAGCTGTGTACAGATGTGCAAAGCAAAGTACCATAACATGATGGCTTACGATGAGTACTACGCATCTTCAAGGTTCCAG
ATTGGCAGAAAGCCAGTCCAAACGCCAACCAGCGTAGACTTGTGCAGAAAGTGGCAGACGCTACCGGGTACCACTTCAAGTCTGCGCCGCTGCTCCAGAGTGCATTACACACCCCTTC
TTACGCGTATTCAGGGAAAGCTTCCAACTATCAACGCCTCGAGTTTCTAGGCGATGCCCTCATCGACATGACCATCGTCAATATCTCTATCGCAACTTTCCCCTCGCAGACCCTCAGTG
GTTGACGGAGCAAGATGGCAATGGCCTCGAACCAATTTCTCGGTTGTCTGTGTGTTAAGCTCAATCTGCACCACCATCTTCTGTTCAACACGTCGAGTTTCATCAGCAAAATTCGTG
ACTATGTGGCCGAACCTTGAGTTGGCTGAAGAGACTGCGCGCCAAGAGGCGAGAAGAAGACGGGACTCCAATGCGCATGGACTTTTGGCTCAATGCGACAACGCCTCCAAAAGCGTACG
CAGATTCAATCGAGGCTCTTATGGGGGCCATGTTTGTGGATTCTGAATTCGACTATTCTGTTGTTGAGGATTTCTTTACCAAGTTCATCTTCCGTAATTTAAAGACATGTCTCTGTACGA
TACCTTTGCAAACAAGCATCTTACACATTTCTACCAAGAAGATGCAACAGGAGATCGGGTGCATGAAATCTGTATGATTTACAGACACCCGCGTTCCTGATGCAGAGCGTGGCATGG
AAGTTATGAAAGAGTACGACATATATTAGCCTTTCAAGTGACGAAAGAGTCATCACATGTCAGTATCAAAGAGTGGAAGGTACGGTAAGATTGCTGCTGCAAAGGGGGCACTCG
AGTTGTTGGAGCCGTATGGCGGTGATGTGGTCGCGATGAAGAAGCTGTTGGGCTGCGACTGCGACTCTGTAACAGCAGCCATGGCGGAGATGGACCATGGAACAGCTGTCTAA

Figure S5A

Fig. S5B

FgDCL2-FGSG_04408

ATGTCCTCAAGCGATAAGGTCATGGCGGACGCCTCTTCCATACCAGACTCCGAGGTCAAACAATAGCGTCGTCCTCGGTGCTCGAGATCTCTGCTACAGGCGAGAAGGAGATAACGA
CTCATATACCTGTCGCAGATACACCAATGTGCTCAGATGACCAGGCCAATGTGCAAGAGCAAGACGAAGAAGTTAAGCCTCAGAAAGTGACGCCAATCCAGAGGTGGTAAATCCCC
GCGGTTACCAGCGAGAGATGCTGGAACAAAGCATCAAAAGGAATGTCATCGTTGCAGTAAGTTATTCCAAGTACCCCATTAATCTGCATTGCGGTGACCTGATTAGATGGACACGGGA
AGTGGTAAAACCAAGTGTATGTCACTTCCATACCATCGAAAAAGAGAGAGACTAATTATAACTCGTAGGGCCGTGATGCGCATCCAACATGAACTCGATACATGTGCACCAGACAAG
GTTGGTGAACAAAGAAACATAATATCGCGGTCAAACCTAACCAATATAGATTATCTGGTTCCTAGGCAAGACAGTATCGCTATGTGAACAGCAATACAGCGTTGTCCAAAGGCCAAATG
CCGTCGGTATCGATGAACTGCTAACGGGGCAATTGAACATCGATGCATGGTCCGAGGACGTCTGGCCCCGTATCCTTAATGGGACTCGTATCATTGTCTCGACCTTTGATATCCTGCG
AGATGCTTTGGACCATGCATTTGTCAAGATGAACATGCTGTCCCTTATCGTCTTCGATGAAGGTGAGACATCGCCAACACTTACAATATCATTACTGACTTTTTACAGTTCATAATTGTGT
AAAGAATAGCTCTGGTCGAAAGGTTATGGTGAATTTCTATCACGAACACAAGAACGCCGGCATGCCGTGCCTGCTATCCTGGGTTTAACAGCCAGCCGATACAGTCGAAGTCAATC
CACGACGAAATCCTTGAGCTCGAGGTCACCATGGATGCTGTATGCATCACTCCAACAATTAACCGGAAAGAACTCCTCCAGCACGTCAACAAGCCCAATCTTTCCCGAGTATTGTATGA
TGTGGAAGAGCATCCGACTCGAACCCCCCTAATGCAGACTCTGCAGTCTGAGTACTCGGCGATGGACATCACCCAGGACCCAAGTATTATAAAGGCCAAGCAACTTATCGCTAAGGGC
GAGAAGACTGGACCTGAAATACTAAGTATGTTGATGAAACACAGGACCTTCTCTCAGAAGCAGTTAAAGTCCTTATGGAACAAAAGCAAAGACATTCTTGATGAACTCGGGCCTTGGG
CTGCTGACAAGTACATCTCCGAGTTGGTCAGTCTGTTTCTCAAGAGAATCGACTCGCCAATGACGTTTAACGAGTCTGGAGCAATGAGGATAGGACCTACCTCGCAGGTCAATTTGAG
ACAGATCGCTGCCAGTCCCCATCAGCCCAAACCTACCAGACAGACACAACCTTGGCCGACAAGACGAATAAACTAATCCAGGAACTACTTGCAGCGGATGAAGATGTGGTCGGCATTATA
TTCGTCAGATCAAGGGCTGCTGCCAACGTCCTTTGTGCTCTTTTGAAGGAGCACCCCGAGATTGACAGCGATATCGAGTCGGCTCTGTAGTAGGATCCGAGCCACCAAGATTGCAA
AGCAAAACATCTACGAGTATCTGCCCGGCGCGACTGCCGATACATTACGCGATTTCAAAACAGGCGCCATCAACCTTTTGGTCTCGACTAGTGTTCTTGAAGAGGGTATTGATGTCGCA
GTGTGCAACCTCGTCATATGTTTCGATGAGACAACGACACTCAAGTCCCATATCCAACGCCGCGGACGAGCTCGAAACAAAATCAAAGATGATAGTACTTGTAGATCTTCATCCGA
CGCTCGGGAATGGGATCCCTAGAAAGAGACATGAAGAGTCGTTATGAGCAGGAAAGGGGAGAGTTGGACGCTTATAGAGATAGAGGCTCGCACTGAAGCGACGTCCTCTTTTCTTA
TACTGTGAAAACTCAGGGGCTAGATTGGACCTCGAGAATTCTCGCCAGCATCTGGAACATTTTTGTAACAAGGTTTTCCAGCGAGATTACGTTGATCCGAGACCCGTCTACATTTTCC
ACAAGACCGAACTGGGATCAGCACC GCCGACTTTCAGCGCAACGGTGACTCTTCCCTCGGGTCTGCCTAAGCACCTCCGAAGGTGCCAAGGTGGAGGTGGATGGAGATCAGAAAAGA
ATGCGATGAAGGAAGCGGCTTTTCGTGCATTGCTCATGCTGCACCAAGAAGGTTTGGTTAGCGACCACCTTCTCCCTAAATGCAGATTGAAAGAAGCAGAAGAAGAGGTGCAGCT
AACTGCGCCCGAGCTTCTATTTGACCCATGGAAGACATTGCACAGCGGTGGGAGACCACGGCTGAGAAATGGCTCTATGCTTACGAGTTCGCCGATCACGAGTATGTTACTCCTCTTC
ATTTGAGATTGCCTTGCTGTGTCTTCCACGACCCCGCGACATCACCTTTCATCCTGAAGAGGGACTTAAATGGCATGTCAAATGCACCTCAATCAAGAGGATCTCCAATGATGAAT
GCTTGGGCTTGCCAGATCATACGTCAACCCTATTGGCGATGCATTATGGCCACCGCTGGAACGTGGAAGATCGTGACCATGTGATCAAATTCATATATGAGAACAAAGATCTCACCCG
AGATCAAATTGGATCGGTACCCTTCGGCGAAAGCATTGATGCTCTATTGGAAAAAGAGAGTCTGGTTCCGGGACCCCAAAAATACTCCCTTCCACTACGTCAAATGATCCCATCAAAGC
CTCCAAAAGAGCAAGTTCAGCACCCATTTAATGAATACGAGGAAGCGCCAGAAGAACAGTATCTAGTTGTGGATCAATGGACACGCAGGTCCGACCTGTTGCATGAGATAAAACCCG
GTCAGGGGAAGAGCTCTTGACCAAACCTACCGCTGGGTTCTCCGATTTCCAGAGCGACTGTTGATGAGGTTCTCGGCGTGCCGCTAAGTGCGGTATGCTTATCCCTCCATCATT
CACGAATTGGAGGTTGAGTCTATCGCGAATGAACTGTCCTCGACACTTTTGGCGCCAGTTGGTATCACAGATCTGCAATTGGTGATTGAAGCCATCAGCTCGCGTAGCGCTGCAGAGC
CTGTTGACTATGAACGTATTGAATTTTTGGGCGATTGCGTTTTGAAGTATTGCACTGTTATTCAAGCCTACTCTGAACGTAAGTCTTCCGCATCCCTCTATCGTCACTTTCACTGATCATT
GTCACAGATCCCTTTTGGCCGAAGGTCTACTCAACCATTTCAAAGACCGACTAGTCTCCAATACCCGTTTGACTCGCATGTGCCTTGAGACAGGCCTTTCCAAGTTCAATTTTTCCAAAA
CATTTACTGGAATCAAGTGGAGACCGCTATATCGAGACGAATTCCTAGATAAGAAGCCAGTCGATGGTGTATCAAGGTTTGTGGTCCAAAGACTCTTGCTGATGTGGTCGAGGCACT
TGTTGGAGCCTCTACCAGGATGGAGGAATCAGCAAAGCTCTGGAGTGCATCAAGGTTTTCTGGGCACCAAGTGAATTTGGCATGATGACAAAGTCGCCAGAGACATACTCTCCGA
GCAGCAATTAGCGACGTACCCTTGCCCCACGATGGAGCCTTTGGAAGAGCTCATCGGGTACACATTTCAGAAGAAGTCTTACTCATTGAGGCAATGACTCACGGGTGCTATGCGG
CTGATGGGCAGCAGCATCTTATGAACAACCTCGAGTTTCTGGAGATGCGGTTCTTGACTACATTGTCGTAACCCGAATGTTCCAATCTGACCCCCAGTGCCCAATGGACGCTTACAC
ATGGTCAAGACTGCCATGGCCAACGCTGATTTCTTGCTTTTACAAACATGCAACATGGACTACGCCGGCCTGAAGTTGAAATAAATGAGAACGGCGAACCAGTGCCTACAGAAGTTT
CACTGCCGATATGGAAATTCATGCGTCATAGCTCTCCAGAGATGGGTAGAATCATGAATGAGACCCAGGCCGATTTGAGAGCCTTCAAGAGGAAATCAATGAGGCTAGGACGAATG
GTAAACACTACCCCTGGACACTTCTCGCTCGTCTTCACCCGAAGAAGTTCTACTCCGATATTTTCGAGGCTACCCTAGGTGCCATTTGGGTTGATTGAGGAGATATCGAAGTATGCACA
GCCTTTCTTACAAGTTTCGGCGTCTTGCCGTATCTTGACCGAATTCAGCGATAATATTGATGTTCAACATCCTAAAGAGGAACTCGCCAACTAGCAATCGACCAGAAAATGACGTAT
GATTATACGGCTGTTGATGGGCCTATAAAGGAGTACCTCTGCACGGCCAAGGTTGGAGATCGCGTCGTGGGAGTCGTGTCAGGGGCACTCAATAAGGCTGAGGCAATGACCAAGGCT
GCCGAAGAGGGCGTGAATTTTTGAATGGGGAGCAGAAACGTGCAGAGAAGGCGGCTCAGGATGAAATGGCGCGATTTCTTGTTGCCATGGAACCTATTAG

Figure S5B

Fig. S6

Fusarium_graminearum_CS3005_tRNA-Gly-GCC-1-9 cluster

Figure S6

Fig. S7:

Fig. S8

>Fg-sRNA-321
>Fg-sRNA-1921
>Fg-sRNA-6717

>lcl|Query_57846 *Fusarium graminearum*_CS3805_tRNA-Gly-GCC-1-9
centroid secondary structure

CCAUCGGGGAGCCUGGGUUCG
CCAUCGGGGAGCCUGGGUUC
CAUCGGGGAGCCUGGGUUCGAU

GCGUAAUUGGUUUAGUGGUAAAAUUCUCCGUUGCCAUCGGGGAGCCUGGGUUCGAUUCCAGAUUACGCA
(((((((.....(((((((.....))))))....(((((((.....)))))))))

Figure S8

Fig. S9

Figure S9