GPI anchor remodeling by the plant PGAP1 ortholog HLD1 is essential for *Papaver* self-incompatibility

Zongcheng Lin^{1,2,6,*}, Fei Xie^{1,2,6}, Marina Triviño^{1,2,3,6}, Tao Zhao⁴, Frederik Coppens^{1,2}, Lieven Sterck^{1,2}, Maurice Bosch^{3,*}, Vernonica E. Franklin-Tong^{5,*}, and Moritz K. Nowack^{1,2,*}

6 7

3

- ¹Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, Ghent, 9052,
 ⁹ Belgium
- 10 ²Center for Plant Systems Biology, VIB, Ghent, 9052, Belgium
- ³Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University,
 Gogerddan, Aberystwyth, SY23 3EB, UK
- ⁴State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas/Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Apple,
- 14 College of Horticulture, Northwest A & F University, Yangling, 712100, China
- ⁵School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, U.K
- ⁶These authors contributed equally
- 17 *Corresponding authors
- 18 19
- 20 *Zongcheng Lin: zongcheng.lin@psb.vib-ugent.be
- 21 Fei Xie: <u>fexie@psb.vib-ugent.be</u>
- 22 Marina Triviño: <u>mam124@aber.ac.uk</u>
- 23 Tao Zhao: <u>tao.zhao@nwafu.edu.cn</u>
- 24 Frederik Coppens: <u>frcop@psb.vib-ugent.be</u>
- 25 Lieven Sterck: <u>liste@psb.vib-ugent.be</u>
- 26 *Maurice Bosch: <u>mub@aber.ac.uk</u>
- 27 *Vernonica E. Franklin-Tong: <u>v.e.franklin-tong@bham.ac.uk</u>
- 28 *Moritz K. Nowack: <u>moritz.nowack@psb.vib-ugent.be</u>
- 29
- 30
- **Short title:** A PGAP1 ortholog regulates *Papaver* SI
- 32 33
- One sentence summary: The *Papaver* self-incompatibility response requires GPI-anchor
 modification by HLD1, an ortholog of the mammalian PGAP1.
- 36 37
- 38 Key words: GPI-inositol deacylase, PGAP1, GPI-AP remodeling, self-incompatibility,
- 39 programmed cell death, pollen rejection, Arabidopsis
- 40
- 41

42 Abstract

In eukaryotes, glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchored proteins (GPI-APs) are tethered to the 43 outer leaflet of the plasma membrane where they function as key regulators of a plethora of 44 biological processes. Self-incompatibility (SI) plays a pivotal role regulating fertilization in 45 higher plants through recognition and rejection of 'self' pollen. Here we used Arabidopsis 46 thaliana lines engineered to be self-incompatible by expression of Papaver SI determinants for 47 an SI suppressor screen. We identify HLD1, an ortholog of human GPI-inositol deacylase 48 PGAP1, whose mutation completely abolishes the SI response. We show that HLD1 functions 49 as a GPI-inositol deacylase and that this GPI-remodeling activity is essential for SI. Using GFP-50 SKU5 as a representative GPI-AP, we show that HLD1 mutation does not affect GPI-AP 51 52 production and targeting, but alters the configuration of mature GPI-APs. This prevents GPI-AP release from the plasma membrane, suggesting that this process plays a critical role in the 53 54 regulation of SI. Our data not only identify GPI anchoring as a new pathway of SI providing new directions to investigate SI mechanisms, but identifies for the first time a function for GPI-55 56 AP remodeling by inositol deacylation in plants.

57

58 Introduction

Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchoring is a highly conserved post-translational 59 modification in eukaryotes and plays crucial roles in the targeting and tethering of GPI-60 anchored proteins (GPI-APs) to the outer leaflet plasma membrane^{1,2}. Over 150 GPI-APs are 61 predicted in humans and more than 250 in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana)^{3,4}. GPI-APs are 62 functionally diverse; they are key regulators of cell signaling, growth, morphogenesis, 63 reproduction and pathogenesis in yeast, mammals, and plants⁵⁻⁷. GPI-AP biosynthesis, 64 remodeling, and export are well-characterized in yeast and animal cells^{8,9}. The GPI anchor is 65 synthesized and transferred to target proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)¹. Knockouts 66 of Phosphatidylinositol glycan anchor biosynthesis (PIG) genes in mouse and Arabidopsis 67 show that GPI-anchor synthesis is essential for early embryo and tissue development^{6,10,11}. 68 69 Although comparatively little is known about this process in plants, studies have shown that several proteins involved in GPI anchor biosynthesis are required for plant viability and male 70 fertility ⁶. Once the GPI anchor is attached to a target protein, several remodeling steps take 71 place. In animals, the first step involves the removal of an acyl chain on the GPI inositol, by 72 post-GPI attachment to proteins 1 (PGAP1), a GPI-inositol deacylase¹². This step is important 73 for the efficient transport of GPI-APs to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane ^{5,8}, where 74 they are either retained or released by cleavage¹³. However, although putative homologs of 75

PGAP1 have been identified in plant genomes^{6,14}, there are no functional data about this GPI
 remodeling and maturation step in plants.

78

Self-incompatibility (SI) regulates the rejection of 'self' pollen that is deposited on the stigma 79 of the same species, preventing inbreeding and promoting genetic diversity. Generally, SI is 80 genetically controlled by a polymorphic multi-allelic S-locus, with each S-haplotype encoding 81 a pair of S-determinants¹⁵. Stigmas discriminate between "self" and "non-self" pollen using 82 allele-specific interactions between these two S-determinants. In field poppy (Papaver rhoeas), 83 the female S-determinant PrsS is specifically expressed in the stigma¹⁶, whereas the male S-84 determinant PrpS is specifically expressed in pollen¹⁷. Cognate PrpS-PrsS interaction triggers 85 a well characterized signaling network resulting in pollen tube growth arrest and programmed 86 cell death (PCD) in 'self'-pollen¹⁸. Recently, we showed that Arabidopsis cells (both vegetative 87 and reproductive cells) expressing *PrpS* undergo an SI-PCD response comparable to *Papaver* 88 pollen when treated with cognate recombinant PrsS protein *in vitro*^{19,20}. Furthermore, cognate 89 90 *PrpS* and *PrsS* co-expressed in the self-compatible model plant Arabidopsis trigger a robust Papaver-like SI response. This SI process rendered Arabidopsis lines effectively self-91 92 incompatible demonstrating that Papaver S-determinants are sufficient to confer functional SI in Arabidopsis^{19,20}. These self-incompatible Arabidopsis lines (named At-SI hereafter) provide 93 a facile macroscopic readout of SI-PCD, as self-pollinated At-SI lines do not set seed and silique 94 development does not occur. 95

96

Here we used the At-SI lines as the basis for a forward genetic mutant screen to identify new 97 genes involved in controlling *Papaver* SI. We identified 12 mutant alleles of *highlander1* (*hld1*) 98 with suppressed SI resulting in restoration of self-compatibility. We demonstrated that HLD1 99 is a functional ortholog of the mammalian PGAP1 gene involved in the deacylation of GPI-100 101 APs. The HLD1 mutation results in "three-footed" GPI-APs but does not affect the expression of GPI-APs at the plasma membrane. This study reveals a crucial role of GPI-APs and GPI-102 103 remodeling of GPI-APs in the *Papaver* SI response and provides the first functional analysis of a GPI-remodeling protein in plants. 104

105

106 **Results**

107 Identification of SI-defective highlander mutants

To discover novel players of the *Papaver* SI process, we performed an ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS-) based forward genetic screen on roughly 50,000 mutated *At*-SI M1 individuals for

suppressors of SI (Fig S1, Materials & Methods). We identified twelve independent self-110 compatible SI-repressor mutants with high levels of self-seed set and silique development after 111 excluding those mutants with mutations in the transgene or changes in the PrpS₁-GFP 112 expression (Fig 1A; Fig S2). We named these mutants highlander (hld), after the immortal 113 warriors in the 1980s cult film. To establish whether hld mutants disrupted SI signaling on the 114 male (pollen) or female (stigma) side, we performed reciprocal crosses. Pollinating *hld* pollen 115 116 onto At-SI stigmas resulted in significantly longer siliques (Fig 1B, p<0.0001) and higher seed 117 set (Fig S2C, p<0.0001) than in the At-SI parent line, whereas the reciprocal cross did not (Fig 1B, p=0.1458, N.S.; Fig S2C, p=0.0909, N.S.). Thus, the *hld* mutations affect SI in the pollen 118 but not in the stigma. The identification of hld mutants from the M1 generation after 119 120 mutagenesis suggested the gametophytic nature of the *hld* mutations. This was further 121 confirmed by the observation that after the first backcross (BC) to the At-SI parent line, all the 122 heterozygous hld BC1 generation plants were self-compatible (Table S1, n= 167), demonstrating that the 50% mutant pollen grains produced by heterozygous plants is sufficient 123 124 to restore seed set, showing that the *hlds* are gametophytic (pollen) mutants.

125

126 WGS identified *At3g27325* as the causal gene for all the *hld* mutants

To reveal the molecular identity of the *hld* mutants, we used whole genome sequencing (WGS) 127 followed by SNP analysis to map the causal gene of these *hld* mutants. We sequenced the 128 genome of a self-compatible population of six independent mutants after two backcrosses. 129 SHOREmap analysis²¹ revealed six different nonsynonymous mutations in a single gene, 130 At3g27325 (Fig 1C, Fig S3). Analysis of the segregating populations revealed 100% linkage 131 between the At3g27325 mutation and suppression of SI (Table S2, n=298). Targeted 132 genotyping of the remaining *hld* mutants identified six additional independent mutant alleles of 133 the same gene (Table S3). These results indicate that our screen reached a high level of 134 saturation and suggest that At3g27325 (designated as HLD1 hereafter), is the single causal locus 135 for SI suppression in all the mutants identified here. 136

137

138 CRISPR/Cas9 confirms that *At3g27325* is the causal gene of *hld1* mutant phenotype

139 To confirm At3g27325 as the causal gene for SI suppression, we generated two independent

140 CRISPR knockout mutants (hld1-c1 and hld1-c2) with Arabidopsis transgenic line

141 $pNTP303::PrpS_1-GFP$ (At-PrpS_1) as the background (Fig S4), as the non-mutagenized parent

- 142 line At-SI is self-incompatible and unfeasible to use. Pollinating At-SI stigmas expressing $PrsS_1$
- 143 with *At-PrpS*₁ pollen resulted in a SI phenotype with short siliques and no seed set. However,

At-SI stigmas pollinated with At-PrpS₁/hld1-c1 or At-PrpS₁/hld1-c2 pollen displayed normal 144 siliques and seed set (Fig 1D, Fig S5A). This demonstrates that targeted knockout mutation of 145 At3g27325 is sufficient to prevent SI. Consistent with this, the F1 population of an At-SI x At-146 PrpS₁/hld1-c1/2^{+/-} cross consisted of 99.5% hld1^{+/-} heterozygous plants (n=358; Fig 1E), 147 showing that it is almost exclusively *hld1* mutant pollen that transmits its genome to the next 148 generation. When introgressed into the At-SI background line, hld1-c1 and hld1-c2 were both 149 sufficient to cause a breakdown of SI upon self-pollination, comparable to those EMS 150 151 mutagenesis-derived hld1 alleles (Figure S5B, C). Our finding that CRISPR-generated mutations of At3g27325 phenocopy the EMS-generated hld1 mutant phenotype confirms that 152 At3g27325 is the causal gene for hld1-mediated SI suppression. 153

154

155 SI-suppression by *hld1* does not depend on specific *S*-alleles

156 Successful prevention of self-pollination by SI relies on a polymorphic S-locus involving Sspecific self-recognition. Although the data presented so far show that HLD1 regulates the 157 *PrpS*₁-*PrsS*₁-based SI response, it was not clear if *HLD1* only regulates *PrpS*₁-*PrsS*₁-based SI, 158 or if it acts as a genuine S-specific Papaver SI regulator. To examine if the hld1 mutants regulate 159 160 SI independent of specific S-alleles, we examined if the *hld1* mutation disrupted SI in plants expressing an alternative pair of cognate S-alleles, PrpS₃ and PrsS₃. We introduced three hld1 161 mutant alleles, *hld1-c1*, *hld1-c2*, and *hld1-7*, into a *pNTP303::PrpS₃-GFP* line (*At-PrpS₃*). 162 Consistent with previous observations, when Col-0 stigmas were pollinated with Col-0, or At-163 PrpS₃, or At-PrpS₃/hld1 pollen, there was no significant difference observed in the silique 164 length and number of seeds per silique¹⁹. Pollinating plants expressing the $PrsS_3$ in the stigma 165 $(At-PrsS_3)$ with $At-PrpS_3$ pollen resulted in SI, with a significant reduction in silique length and 166 almost no seed, compared with the control pollination $\bigcirc At$ -PrsS₃ x $\bigcirc Col$ -0 (Fig 2A, Fig S6). 167 In contrast, pollinating At-PrsS₃ with At-PrpS₃/hld1 pollen resulted in normal silique lengths 168 and seed set (Fig 2A, Fig S6), demonstrating that mutation of the HLD1 gene abolishes the 169 PrpS₃-PrsS₃-based SI. These results demonstrate that HLD1 regulates SI irrespective of the S-170 171 alleles involved.

172

173 HLD1 regulates ectopic "SI" in Arabidopsis roots

We recently established that expression of $PrpS_1$ - $PrsS_1$ in Arabidopsis roots triggers an ectopic "SI-like PCD" response in vegetative tissues, resulting in root growth inhibition and death of root cells²². To test if *HLD1* is necessary for this ectopic "SI-like PCD" response outside the

177 reproductive context, we introduced *hld1-c1*, *hld1-c2* and *hld1-7* into the $pUBQ10::PrpS_1$

- transgenic line. While addition of recombinant $PrsS_1$ protein to plants carrying *pUBQ10::PrpS_1*
- led to root growth arrest and cell death²², treatment of pUBQ10:: $PrpS_1/hld1$ seedling roots with
- 180 PrsS₁ showed no such effect (**Fig 2B-D**). This suppression of root growth inhibition and root
- 181 cell death reveals that *HLD1* is not only required for SI, but also for the ectopic "SI-like PCD"
- 182 response independent of the reproductive context.
- 183

184 HLD1 is a HsPGAP1 ortholog

- *HLD1* contains a coding region of 3255 bp encoding a predicted protein of 1085 amino acids.
 Protein sequence analysis revealed that HLD1 contains a PGAP1-like domain (PFAM domain
 ID: PF07819), and is a putative PGAP1 homologue^{6,14}. *PGAP1* has been identified in mammals
 and its orthologue, <u>Bypass of Sec Thirteen 1</u> (Bst1) in yeast; it encodes a GPI inositol-deacylase.
 However, although the databases indicate the presence of *PGAP1*-like gene in plants, no
- analysis of *PGAP1* or the function of the protein it encodes has been made in plants to date.
- 191
- 192 To understand the evolution of the PGAP1 proteins, we constructed a phylogenetic tree of 631 predicted PGAP1 protein homologues from > 300 eukaryotic species (Fig S7A, B). Two major 193 194 phylogenetic clades were identified for plants; in Arabidopsis, HLD1 and another PGAP1-like domain containing protein At5g17670 were classified into two different clades (Fig S7A). The 195 divergence of these two clades can be traced back to an ancient whole genome duplication event 196 occurring before the radiation of extant Viridiplantae, including green algae and the land plants 197 (Fig S7A, B)²³. Comparison of the two homologous, HLD1 and At5g17670 from A. thaliana 198 with Homo sapiens PGAP1, revealed that HLD1 has a slightly higher amino acid sequence 199 similarity to HsPGAP1 (23.3%) than At5g17670 (20.1%). Importantly, HLD1 shares a similar 200 secondary protein structure to HsPGAP1 while At5g17670 does not (Fig S7C). This suggests 201 that HLD1 is likely to be the *Hs*PGAP1 ortholog in Arabidopsis^{6,14}. 202
- 203

204 HLD1 functions as a GPI-inositol deacylase

- Human PGAP1 is a GPI-inositol deacylase that removes the inositol acyl chain after the attachment of the GPI anchor to its target protein¹². *Hs*PGAP1 is ubiquitously expressed in humans²⁴, and likewise we found HLD1 expression in all the Arabidopsis tissues examined (**Fig S8, S9**)²⁵. A key feature of PGAP1 is a catalytic serine-containing motif, V***GHSMGG, in the PGAP1-like domain that is highly conserved across the eukaryotic kingdoms. The serine 218, at the active site is also present in *HLD1* (**Figure 3A**). Mutation of *PGAP1* in mammals
- results in a "three-footed" structure of GPI-APs that retain an extra acyl chain in addition to the

two membrane-anchored non-polar fatty acid tails¹². This particular conformation makes GPI-212 APs in the plasma membrane insensitive to cleavage-mediated release from the plasma 213 membrane by phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC)¹². We therefore attempted 214 to establish the biochemical function of the Arabidopsis HLD1 by examining if a GPI-AP in 215 the *hld1* mutant background contained the third inositol acyl chain and was resistant to PI-PLC 216 treatment. To verify that HLD1 functions as a GPI-inositol deacylase, we introduced the *hld1* 217 mutant into a line expressing GFP-tagged SKU5, which was adopted as a representative 218 Arabidopsis GPI-AP localizing to the plasma membrane and cell wall²⁶. A PI-PLC assay 219 revealed that GFP-SKU5 was highly resistant to PI-PLC-mediated GPI cleavage and was not 220 released from plasma membrane in the hld1 mutant (Fig 3B). This result recapitulates 221 analogous results obtained in mammalian *pgap1* knockouts^{9,12,27} and supports the idea that 222 GFP-SKU5/hld1 has three tails in the GPI anchor and is therefore insensitive to PI-PLC 223 treatment¹², providing good evidence that HDL1 is an inositol deacylase and a functional 224 ortholog of PGAP1. 225

226

227 HLD1 GPI-inositol deacylase function is required for SI

228 To examine if HLD1 inositol deacylase activity is required for the SI response, we mutated the conserved serine 218 in the catalytic core of the PGAP1-like domain¹² (Fig 3A) to alanine 229 (S218A), and cloned it into the pFAST-Green plasmid vector backbone²⁸. The use of pFAST-230 Green facilitates the examination of transmission of gametes by simply checking the green 231 fluorescence of the seeds. In segregating T2 seeds from heterozygous At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 plants, 232 only around 50% of the seeds were GFP positive. In contrast, heterozygous At-233 SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) plants displayed normal Mendelian segregation, with 75% GFP-234 positive T2 seeds, as expected for a full transmission via both germlines (Fig 3C; Table S4). 235 This suggests that pollen containing the *cHLD1* transgene did not achieve fertilization and 236 provides evidence for the failure of the S218A mutant allele to restore the SI response. This 237 hypothesis was verified by the observation that almost no GFP positive seeds were found when 238 239 At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 pollen was pollinated onto At-PrsS₁ stigmas, which was not observed for At-SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) pollen. Thus, unlike the wild-type HLD1 that rescued the hld1 240 phenotype and restored SI, the inositol deacylase-defective S218A HLD1 allele did not (Fig 241 **3C; Table S4)**. This demonstrates that the HLD1 inositol deacylase activity is essential for SI, 242 243 implicating a requirement for correctly remodeled GPI-APs in this process.

244

245 GPI-APs at the membrane is required for SI

To test this hypothesis, we next examined if the absence of GPI-APs at the plasma membrane 246 had a similar effect on SI as *hld1*. SETH1 and SETH2 are orthologs of PIG-C and PIG-A genes 247 involved in early GPI biosynthesis, respectively; knockouts lack expression of GPI-APs at the 248 plasma membrane^{5,27}. We introduced *seth1-2* and *seth2* mutant alleles¹⁰ into the *At-PrpS*₁ 249 background. As loss of SETH1 or SETH2 function results in homozygote lethality¹⁰, we 250 generated heterozygous At- $PrpS_{l}/sethl$ -2^{+/-} and At- $PrpS_{l}/seth2^{+/-}$ lines. Pollinating At- $PrsS_{l}$ 251 stigmas with pollen from At- $PrpS_1/seth1-2^{+/-}$ or At- $PrpS_1/seth2^{+/-}$ plants produced short siliques 252 without seeds, suggesting initially that mutations in these genes did not prevent the SI 253 phenotype (Fig 4A, Fig S10A). However, consistent with previous report, seth1-2 and seth2 254 showed almost completely abolish pollen germination and tube growth (Fig S10B)¹⁰, thus 255 potentially masking the role of these genes in SI. We therefore used the *in-vitro* SI bioassay²⁹ 256 with ungerminated pollen to examine the effect of these mutants on pollen viability and cell 257 death. Addition of recombinant PrsS₁ to At-PrpS₁/seth1-2^{+/-} or At-PrpS₁/seth2^{+/-} pollen, 258 resulted in close to 50% pollen grain death in contrast to > 98% death in At-PrpS₁ pollen (Fig. 259 260 **4B**, **C**, **Fig S10C**). This demonstrates that *SETH1* or *SETH2* knockouts prevent SI-induced cell death and implicates the presence of GPI-APs at the plasma membrane being indispensable for 261 262 SI-PCD.

263

264 *HLD1* mutation does not affect the expression levels of GPI-APs

In mammals and yeast, GPI-inositol deacylation by PGAP1 homologs¹² is important for 265 efficient sorting of GPI-APs to exit the ER^{5,30}. However, knockout of *PGAP1* does not prevent 266 transport of GPI-APs to the plasma membrane, so the precise functional significance of GPI 267 deacylation remains unclear³¹. To examine if prevention of GPI inositol deacylation in the *hld1* 268 mutant also fails to affect the expression of GPI-APs at the plasma membrane in plants, we 269 examined GFP-SKU5 levels (Fig S11A). Imaging of GFP-SKU5 confirmed that the HLD1 270 mutation had no obvious effect on GFP-SKU5 localization at the plasma membrane (Fig 5A; 271 Fig S11B). Quantitation of the GFP-SKU5 signals revealed that the GFP-SKU5 protein levels 272 in *hld1* mutant seedlings were not significantly different from the wild type (p=0.7995, n=8; 273 Fig 5B). This is consistent with the results of PGAP1 knockout in animals^{12,27} and is in line 274 with our observation that the *hld1* mutants had no obvious effect on overall Arabidopsis 275 development, except for slightly delayed onset of flowering (Fig S12). This contrasts with the 276 homozygous seth1/2 mutants, which are lethal, and gpi8-1 mutants, which show reduced GPI-277 APs expression and disrupted plant growth (Fig S12)³². These results, demonstrating that hld1278 279 does not affect GPI-AP abundance at the plasma membrane, show that *hld1* is not likely to

affect SI due to failure of GPI-AP targeting to the plasma membrane, implying a role of GPI-

AP remodeling in SI.

282

283 Inositol deacylation by HLD1 is required for release of GPI-APs

Besides their localization in the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane, many GPI-APs in 284 mammals can be cleaved and released into the extracellular space; this can be mediated by 285 phospholipase C (PLC) and is important for many cellular processes, including adhesion, 286 proliferation, survival and oncogenesis^{9,13}. In wild-type Arabidopsis, we detected GFP-SKU5 287 in both the membrane and the soluble fraction (Fig 5C); this is consistent with a report that 288 SKU5 can be cleaved and released into the apoplast²⁶. In contrast, in the *hld1* mutant, GFP-289 SKU5 was exclusively detected in the membrane fraction (Fig 5C). Moreover, using a Triton 290 X-114 assay, GFP-SKU5 was detected primarily in the aqueous phase in wild-type, whereas 291 292 the majority of GFP-SKU5 was present in the detergent phase in the *hld1* mutant (Fig S13). These results demonstrate that in absence of HLD1, GFP-SKU5 is more hydrophobic and is 293 294 retained at the plasma membrane. This provides evidence that release of GFP-SKU5 (and probably other GPI-APs) from the plasma membrane requires GPI inositol deacylation by 295 296 HLD1.

297

298 HLD1 regulates SI by affecting PLC-mediated release of GPI-APs

As release of the GPI-AP SKU5 from the plasma membrane by GPI cleavage is prevented by 299 the "three-footed" configuration in PGAP1 mutants^{9,12,27}, it is conceivable that the prevention 300 of SI by the HLD1 mutation is caused by a failure to release GPI-APs from the plasma 301 membrane into the apoplast. Studies on PGAP1 using animal cell lines lacking a GPI inositol 302 deacylase showed that GPI-APs were resistant to PLC-mediated release, showing that this 303 release can be mediated by PLC¹². We therefore investigated this possibility further by testing 304 whether inhibition of PLC activity might prevent SI in At-SI pollen. We applied three different 305 phospholipase C inhibitors (U73122, ET-18-OCH3, and C48/80)^{33,34} to the pollen in vitro SI-306 bioassay. As pollen tube growth requires PLC activity^{35,36}, we could not test the effect of these 307 inhibitors to see if they prevent SI-induced pollen tube growth inhibition, so we examined if 308 309 the PLC inhibitors prevented the SI-induced death of pollen. At-PrpS₁ pollen pretreated with 310 the PLC inhibitor U73122 significantly alleviated SI-induced cell death in a dose-dependent manner, with death reduced by ~40% at 10 μ M (p<0.001), and by ~63% at 25 μ M U73122 (Fig 311 5D, p<0.001). Pretreatment with the other two PLC inhibitors, ET-18-OCH3, and C48/80, 312 showed similar alleviation of SI-induced pollen death in a dose-dependent manner (Fig S14). 313

This reduction in death rates by PLC inhibitor treatment demonstrates that PLC activity is important for SI-induced pollen death, suggesting an important role of PLC-mediated release of GPI-APs from the plasma membrane in the SI response. As SI is prevented by the "threefooted" GPI-AP configuration resulting from the *HLD1* mutation, our evidence is not only consistent with the idea that GPI-APs play a key role in SI, but that specifically the prevention of their release from the plasma membrane is responsible for the breakdown of SI in the *hld1* mutant plants.

321

322 **Discussion**

We identified *HLD1*, a previously uncharacterized plant *PGAP1* ortholog that is essential for 323 the Papaver SI-response. We provide evidence that GPI anchoring, and specifically GPI 324 deacylation of GPI-APs, is essential for the SI response. Although a putative *PGAP1* ortholog 325 has been identified in the Arabidopsis genome databases^{6,14}, our study provides the first 326 functional analysis of a GPI-remodeling protein in plants. In animals, rather little is known 327 about the physiological functions of PGAP1 apart from its biochemical role in deacylation in 328 the GPI-anchoring pathway¹² and pathological consequences of PGAP1 mutations^{27,31,37,38}. 329 PGAP1 knock-out mice display serious developmental defects³¹ and humans with a null 330 mutation in *PGAP1* suffer from intellectual disabilities and encephalopathy^{27,31,37,38}. This shows 331 that retention of an extra acyl chain in GPI anchors causes severe developmental defects in 332 mammals. In contrast, in plants lack of GPI deacylation does not produce grave developmental 333 defects, but specifically interferes with the SI process in At-SI lines. As it has been proposed 334 that the lack of GPI deacylation disturbs GPI anchor-mediated signal transduction³¹, prevention 335 of deacylation in the *hld1* mutants may affect cell-cell signaling involved in SI. Intriguingly, 336 our data suggest that the cleavage and membrane release of GPI-APs may play an important 337 functional role in this context. As release of GPI-APs from the plasma membrane by GPI 338 cleavage is prevented by the "three-footed" configuration in PGAP1 mutants^{9,12,27}, and as we 339 showed that PLC inhibitors alleviate SI-induced death, our data are consistent with the idea that 340 341 prevention of SI by the *HLD1* mutation is caused by a failure to release GPI-APs from the plasma membrane into the apoplast. This suggests an important role for the release of GPI-APs 342 343 from the plasma membrane in this SI response.

344

Our identification of *HLD1* implicates an involvement of GPI-APs in the SI response. As *seth* mutants have the same detrimental effect on SI as *hld1* mutants, the presence of GPI-APs at the plasma membrane appears to be crucial (**Fig 5E**); While PrpS itself is clearly not a GPI-AP, so

cannot be a substrate of HLD1³⁹, PrpS functions might be regulated by GPI-APs (Fig 5E). In 348 mammalian cells, GPI-APs are involved in key events such as embryogenesis, development, 349 and fertilization⁸. Over 250 GPI-APs have been predicted in Arabidopsis^{4,40}; though despite 350 recent advances in our knowledge of GPI-APs in plants, information on their functional roles 351 remains limited. There is currently intense interest in plant GPI-APs, as they play a key role in 352 cell-cell signalling, through association with partner receptor-like kinases⁴¹⁻⁴³. It appears that 353 broadly expressed GPI-APs, rather than those specifically involved in reproduction, are 354 involved in SI, as the ectopic "SI-like PCD" response in Arabidopsis roots also requires HLD1. 355 Our study opens up new avenues for research into the involvement and regulation of GPI 356 anchoring in SI, investigation of the GPI-anchoring pathway and the identity and roles of GPI-357 APs in plant signalling processes in general. 358

- 359
- 360

361 **References**

- 3621Ferguson, M. A. J. & Williams, A. F. Cell-surface anchoring of proteins via glycosyl-363phosphatidylinositol structures. Annual Review of Biochemistry 57, 285-320,364doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.57.070188.001441 (1988).
- 3652Low, M. & Saltiel, A. Structural and functional roles of glycosyl-phosphatidylinositol in366membranes. Science 239, 268-275, doi:10.1126/science.3276003 (1988).
- 367 3 Borner, G. H. H., Lilley, K. S., Stevens, T. J. & Dupree, P. Identification of
 368 Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Proteins in Arabidopsis. A Proteomic and Genomic
 369 Analysis. *Plant Physiology* 132, 568-577, doi:10.1104/pp.103.021170 (2003).
- Zhou, K. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Proteins in Arabidopsis and One of Their 370 4 371 Common Roles in Signaling Transduction. Frontiers in Plant Science 10. 372 doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.01022 (2019).
- 373 5 Fujita, M. & Kinoshita, T. GPI-anchor remodeling: Potential functions of GPI-anchors in 374 intracellular trafficking and membrane dynamics. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -375 Molecular and Cell Biology Lipids 1821, 1050-1058, of doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2012.01.004 (2012). 376
- 3776Desnoyer, N. & Palanivelu, R. Bridging the GAPs in plant reproduction: a comparison of plant378and animal GPI-anchored proteins. *Plant Reproduction*, doi:10.1007/s00497-020-00395-9379(2020).
- 3807Gillmor, C. S. *et al.* Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Proteins Are Required for Cell Wall381Synthesis and Morphogenesis in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* **17**, 1128-1140,382doi:10.1105/tpc.105.031815 (2005).
- Lopez, S., Rodriguez-Gallardo, S., Sabido-Bozo, S. & Muñiz, M. Endoplasmic Reticulum Export
 of GPI-Anchored Proteins. 20, doi:10.3390/ijms20143506 (2019).
- 385 9 Kinoshita, T. Biosynthesis and biology of mammalian GPI-anchored proteins. *Open Biology* 10, 190290, doi:doi:10.1098/rsob.190290 (2020).
- 38710Lalanne, E. *et al.* SETH1 and SETH2, two components of the glycosylphosphatidylinositol388anchor biosynthetic pathway, are required for pollen germination and tube growth in389Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **16**, 229-240, doi:10.1105/tpc.014407 (2004).

- Bellai-Dussault, K., Nguyen, T. T. M., Baratang, N. V., Jimenez-Cruz, D. A. & Campeau, P. M.
 Clinical variability in inherited glycosylphosphatidylinositol deficiency disorders. *Clinical genetics* 95, 112-121, doi:10.1111/cge.13425 (2019).
- 39312Tanaka, S., Maeda, Y., Tashima, Y. & Kinoshita, T. Inositol deacylation of394glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins is mediated by mammalian PGAP1 and yeast395Bst1p. The Journal of biological chemistry 279, 14256-14263, doi:10.1074/jbc.M313755200396(2004).
- Fujihara, Y. & Ikawa, M. GPI-AP release in cellular, developmental, and reproductive biology.
 Journal of Lipid Research 57, 538-545, doi:10.1194/jlr.R063032 (2016).
- 39914Luschnig C. & G.J., S. Posttranslational Modifications of Plasma Membrane Proteins and Their400Implications for Plant Growth and Development., (Springer, 2011).
- 401 15 Takayama, S. & Isogai, A. Self-incompatibility in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 56, 467402 489 (2005).
- 40316Foote, H. C. C. *et al.* Cloning and Expression of a Distinctive Class of Self- Incompatibility (S)404Gene from Papaver rhoeas L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **91**, 2265-2269 (1994).
- 40517Wheeler, M. J. *et al.* Identification of the pollen self-incompatibility determinant in Papaver406rhoeas. *Nature* **459**, 992-995, doi:10.1038/nature08027 (2009).
- Wilkins, K. A., Poulter, N. S. & Franklin-Tong, V. E. Taking one for the team: self-recognition
 and cell suicide in pollen. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65, 1331-1342,
 doi:10.1093/jxb/ert468 (2014).
- Lin, Z., Eaves, D. J., Sanchez-Moran, E., Franklin, F. C. H. & Franklin-Tong, V. E. The *Papaver rhoeas S*-determinants confer self-incompatibility to *Arabidopsis thaliana* in planta. *Science* **350**, 684-687, doi:10.1126/science.aad2983 (2015).
- 413 20 de Graaf, Barend H. *et al.* The Papaver Self-Incompatibility Pollen S-Determinant, PrpS,
 414 Functions in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Current Biology* 22, 154-159, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.12.006
 415 (2012).
- 41621Schneeberger, K. *et al.* SHOREmap: simultaneous mapping and mutation identification by deep417sequencing. *Nature Methods* 6, 550-551, doi:10.1038/nmeth0809-550 (2009).
- Lin, Z. *et al.* Ectopic Expression of a Self-Incompatibility Module Triggers Growth Arrest and
 Cell Death in Vegetative Cells. *Plant Physiology* 183, 1765-1779, doi:10.1104/pp.20.00292
 (2020).
- 42123Leebens-Mack, J. H. *et al.* One thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green422plants. *Nature* 574, 679-685, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1693-2 (2019).
- 423 24 Uhlén, M. *et al.* Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* 347, 1260419,
 424 doi:10.1126/science.1260419 (2015).
- 425 25 Mergner, J. & Frejno, M. Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the Arabidopsis proteome. 579,
 426 409-414, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2094-2 (2020).
- 42726Sedbrook, J. C., Carroll, K. L., Hung, K. F., Masson, P. H. & Somerville, C. R. The Arabidopsis428SKU5 Gene Encodes an Extracellular Glycosyl Phosphatidylinositol–Anchored Glycoprotein429Involved in Directional Root Growth. The Plant Cell 14, 1635-1648, doi:10.1105/tpc.002360430(2002).
- 43127Murakami, Y. et al. Null mutation in PGAP1 impairing Gpi-anchor maturation in patients with432intellectual disability and encephalopathy. PLoS genetics 10, e1004320,433doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004320 (2014).
- 43428Shimada, T. L., Shimada, T. & Hara-Nishimura, I. A rapid and non-destructive screenable435marker, FAST, for identifying transformed seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana. *The Plant journal : for*436cell and molecular biology **61**, 519-528, doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04060.x (2010).
- Franklin-Tong, V. E., Lawrence, M. J. & Franklin, F. C. H. An *in vitro* Bioassay for the Stigmatic
 Product of the Self- Incompatibility Gene in *Papaver rhoeas* L. *New Phytol.* **110**, 109-118
 (1988).
- Fujita, M. *et al.* GPI glycan remodeling by PGAP5 regulates transport of GPI-anchored proteins
 from the ER to the Golgi. *Cell* 139, 352-365, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.08.040 (2009).

442 31 Ueda, Y. *et al.* PGAP1 Knock-out Mice Show Otocephaly and Male Infertility. *Journal of* 443 *Biological Chemistry* **282**, 30373-30380, doi:10.1074/jbc.M705601200 (2007).

- Bundy, M. G. R. *et al.* A Mutation in the Catalytic Subunit of the Glycosylphosphatidylinositol
 Transamidase Disrupts Growth, Fertility, and Stomata Formation. *Plant Physiology* **171**, 974doi:10.1104/pp.16.00339 (2016).
- Bronner, C. *et al.* Compound 48/80 is a potent inhibitor of phospholipase C and a dual
 modulator of phospholipase A2 from human platelet. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* -*Lipids and Lipid Metabolism* 920, 301-305, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2760(87)901081 (1987).
- 451 34 Powis, G. *et al.* Selective Inhibition of Phosphatidylinositol Phospholipase C by Cytotoxic Ether
 452 Lipid Analogues. *Cancer Research* 52, 2835-2840 (1992).
- 453 35 Helling, D., Possart, A., Cottier, S., Klahre, U. & Kost, B. Pollen Tube Tip Growth Depends on
 454 Plasma Membrane Polarization Mediated by Tobacco PLC3 Activity and Endocytic Membrane
 455 Recycling. *The Plant Cell* **18**, 3519-3534, doi:10.1105/tpc.106.047373 (2006).
- 45636Dowd, P. E., Coursol, S., Skirpan, A. L., Kao, T.-h. & Gilroy, S. Petunia phospholipase c1 is457involved in pollen tube growth. *The Plant cell* **18**, 1438-1453, doi:10.1105/tpc.106.041582458(2006).
- 459 37 Bosch, D. G. M. *et al.* Cerebral visual impairment and intellectual disability caused by PGAP1
 460 variants. *European Journal of Human Genetics* 23, 1689-1693, doi:10.1038/ejhg.2015.42
 461 (2015).
- 46238Kettwig, M. *et al.* Compound heterozygous variants in PGAP1 causing severe psychomotor463retardation, brain atrophy, recurrent apneas and delayed myelination: a case report and464literature review. *BMC Neurology* **16**, 74, doi:10.1186/s12883-016-0602-7 (2016).
- 465 39 Gíslason, M. H., Nielsen, H., Almagro Armenteros, J. J. & Johansen, A. R. Prediction of GPI466 anchored proteins with pointer neural networks. *Current Research in Biotechnology* 3, 6-13,
 467 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crbiot.2021.01.001 (2021).
- 46840Yeats, T. H., Bacic, A. & Johnson, K. L. Plant glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchored proteins at469the plasma membrane-cell wall nexus. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 60, 649-669,470doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12659 (2018).
- 41 Duan, Q., Kita, D., Li, C., Cheung, A. Y. & Wu, H.-M. FERONIA receptor-like kinase regulates RHO
 472 GTPase signaling of root hair development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*473 **107**, 17821-17826, doi:10.1073/pnas.1005366107 (2010).
- 474 42 Tsukamoto, T., Qin, Y., Huang, Y., Dunatunga, D. & Palanivelu, R. A role for LORELEI, a putative
 475 glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein, in Arabidopsis thaliana double fertilization and
 476 early seed development. *The Plant Journal* 62, 571-588, doi:10.1111/j.1365477 313X.2010.04177.x (2010).
- 43 Li, C., Wu, H.-M. & Cheung, A. Y. FERONIA and Her Pals: Functions and Mechanisms. *Plant*479 *Physiology* **171**, 2379-2392, doi:10.1104/pp.16.00667 (2016).

480

Acknowledgements: We thank members of the PCD laboratory for critical comments on the 481 manuscript, and Ms. Freya De Winter for technical assistance. This research was financially 482 supported by the European Research Council (ERC) StG PROCELLDEATH 639234 and 483 CoG EXECUT.ER 864952 (MKN), Biotechnology, Biological Sciences Research Council 484 (BBSRC) grant BB/P005489/1 (VEF-T, MB), Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) 485 grant G011215N (MT), FWO grant 12I7417N (ZL), Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) 486 grant 201806760049 (FX). 487 488 489 490 Author Contributions: ZL, MKN, VEF-T, and MB designed the study. ZL, FX, and MT performed the research and analyzed data. ZT contributed to the PGAP1 phylogeny analysis. 491 FC and LS contributed to the analysis of WGS data. ZL, VEF-T, MKN and MB wrote the 492 493 manuscript with input from all the other authors. 494 495 496 Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest. 497 498 499 Data and materials availability: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files). All the materials used in this 500 study will be freely available to the scientific community upon request under MTA. 501 502 503 Accession numbers: Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data 504 libraries under accession numbers: AtGPI8(At1g08750); AtPGAP1/HLD1 (At3g27325); 505 SETH1 (At2g34980); SETH2 (At3g45100); UBQ10 (At4g05320). 506 507 508 **Supplementary Information:** 509 Materials and Methods 510 Figs. S1 to S14 511 512 Tables. S1 to S5 References for Materials and Methods (refs 44-55) 513 514



515

516 Fig. 1. Identification of *hld1* as a male gametophytic mutant that overcomes SI.

517 (A) *hld1* was identified from an EMS mutagenesis screen. Mutants were screened for a 518 defective SI phenotype, with normal seed set. Upper panel: Inflorescences from *hld1-7* mutants 519 had normal seed set like WT *Col-0* plants, in contrast to *At-*SI. Lower panel: PrpS₁-GFP signals 520 in *hld1* mutant pollen are similar to those in *At-*SI pollen. Bar = 100 μ m.

(B) *hld1* mutants have pollen defects. *hld1* stigmas were pollinated with self-pollen (selfing) 521 or At-SI pollen (\mathcal{A} At-SI x \mathcal{Q}) and At-SI stigmas pollinated with hld1 pollen (\mathcal{Q} At-SI x \mathcal{A}). At-522 SI stigmas pollinated with At-SI pollen acted as the SI controls for these pollinations. Silique 523 length measurements (B) and number of seeds per silique (Fig S2C) showed that the *hld1* 524 mutants used as the male parent had significantly longer silique lengths and higher seed-set 525 than the At-SI parent line. hld1 mutants used as the female parent pollinated with At-SI pollen 526 displayed a normal SI phenotype of short silique lengths and low seed set. N=9-11. One-way 527 ANOVA. ***: p<0.001. NS: not-significant, p>0.05. 528

529 (C) Six different *At3g27325* mutant alleles were identified for the *hld1* mutants through
530 WGS. * indicates stop codon.

(D) **Mutation of** *At3g27325* phenocopies *hld1. At-*SI or Col-0 stigmas were pollinated with *At-PrpS*₁ pollen containing the CRISPR/Cas9-derived *At3g27325* mutant allele (*hld1-c1* or *hld1-c2*). Significant increases in both the silique lengths (C) and seed set (Fig S5A) were observed when *At3g27325* was mutated, in both heterozygous and homozygous mutants. N=12-16. One-way ANOVA. ***: p<0.001. NS: not-significant, p>0.05.

536 (E) *hld1* mutants are male gametophytic mutants. Segregation analysis of the F1 population 537 of QAt-SIx ∂At -*PrpS*₁/*hld1*^{+/-} showed that ~100% of the progenies are *hld1* heterozygous 538 mutants, demonstrating that when pollinated on *At*-SI stigmas, only *hld*⁻ mutant pollen can 539 bypass the SI response, resulting in successful fertilization and seed set.



540 541

542 Fig. 2. *HLD1* regulates SI in an *S*-specific manner and regulates ectopic "SI" in roots.

543 (A) *HLD1* regulates SI in an *S*-specific manner. At- $PrpS_3$ pollen containing hld1-c1, hld1-c2, 544 *or hld1*-7 mutant allele were pollinated onto At- $PrsS_3$ or Col-0 stigmas. Silique lengths (A) and 545 seed set (Fig S6) were measured. N=10-20. One-way ANOVA. ***: p<0.001.

(B-D) *HLD1* regulates ectopic "SI" in Arabidopsis roots. (B) Treatment of *pUBQ10::PrpS*₁ 546 547 seedlings with PrsS₁ resulted in root growth inhibition; in contrast, when *pUBO10::PrpS₁/hld1* seedlings were given the same treatment, no inhibition was observed (upper panel). Mock 548 treatment did not affect any of the seedling roots (lower panel). White lines indicate the position 549 of root tips when treated. Bar = 0.5 cm. The increases of root length 24h after treatment were 550 quantified in (C). 12-20 seedlings from three independent experiments were documented for 551 each treatment. Results = mean \pm SD. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparison test. 552 Different letters indicate p<0.05. (D) Treatment of pUBQ10: $PrpS_1$ seedlings with PrsS₁ 553 resulted in root cell death indicated by PI signals (white). However, when pUBQ10::PrpS1/hld1 554 seedlings were treated with PrsS1 proteins, no cell death was observed, comparable with what 555 was observed for *Col-0* seedlings. Bar = $100 \mu m$. 556



Fig. 3. HLD1 is a GPI inositol deacylase and its lipase activity is required for the SI response.

(A) The lipase motif in the PGAP1-like domain is highly conserved across the eukaryotic kingdoms. Upper panel: cartoon of HLD1 protein secondary structure. The PGAP1-like domain is indicated by the grey box. Black boxes indicate transmembrane domains. Middle panel: amino acid sequence alignment around the conserved lipase motif in the PGAP1-like domain in several higher plants, human and yeast. The grey box indicates the lipase motif with the catalytic site Serine 218 indicated (star). Bottom panel: amino acid motif logo shows that the lipase motif of the PGAP1-like domain is conserved across eukaryotic kingdoms.

(B) HLD1 functions as a GPI inositol deacylase. GFP-SKU5 was enriched in the pellet (P)
fractions in both wildtype (WT) and *hld1* mutants in the mock (buffer control) treatment. PIPLC treatment resulted in the GFP-SKU5 being found in the soluble (S) fraction in WT samples,
showing cleavage by PI-PLC, while in the *hld1* mutants, GFP-SKU5 remained in the pellet,
demonstrating that in the *hld1* mutant this GPI-AP was resistant to PI-PLC treatment. This
supports the idea that in the *hld1* mutant a persistent inositol-linked acyl chain makes them
insensitive to cleavage by PI-PLC.

(C) HLD1 lipase activity is required for the SI response. *Hld1* mutants were transformed
with *pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1* or *pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A)* cloned in the pFASTGreen plasmid vector backbone. Representative images of seeds resulting from pollinations by
pollen from T1 heterozygous plants onto self-stigmas (upper panel), *At-PrsS1* stigmas (middle
panel) or *Col-0* stigmas (lower panel). GFP signals report the pollen transmission in the seeds

579 in the F1 generation. Numbers indicate the ratio of GFP-positive seeds (number of GFP $\frac{1}{2}$

580 seeds/total seeds). Bar = 5 mm.

557



581 582

Fig. 4. The GPI-anchoring pathway is involved in the regulation of SI-induced death ofpollen

585 (A) Mutation of GPI-anchoring pathway genes does not phenocopy the hld1 mutant **phenotype.** GPI-anchoring pathway mutants, *seth1-2* and *seth2*, were introduced into *At-PrpS*₁ 586 background, and the pollen were pollinated onto At-PrsS1 or Col-0 stigmas. Pollinating At-587 $PrsS_1$ stigmas with At- $PrpS_1/seth1^{+/-}$ or At- $PrpS_1/seth2^{+/-}$ pollen resulted in short siliques (A) 588 589 and no seedset (Fig S10A). This is in consistent with the observation that seth1-2 and seth2 abolished the pollen fertilization ability, showing almost no transmission (Fig S10B). N=13-17. 590 (B-C) Mutation of GPI-anchoring pathway genes resulted in the alleviation of SI-induced 591 **PCD.** At-PrpS₁/seth1^{+/-} and At-PrpS₁/seth2^{+/-} pollen were treated with PrsS₁ proteins (B) or 592 Mock buffer control (Fig S10C). The samples were co-stained with FDA and PI 6h after 593 treatment. Bar = 100 μ m. The PI positive ratios were quantified in (C). Three independent 594 experiments were carried out. In each experiment, 100-200 pollen grains were counted for each 595 596 of the sample.



598 Fig. 5. HLD1 regulates SI by affecting PLC-mediated release of GPI-APs.

(A-B) Mutation of HLD1 does not affect GFP-SKU5 protein expression level and 599 localization. (A) Representative confocal images (single Z slice) of GFP-SKU5 signals of 4-600 day-old GFP-SKU5/WT or GFP-SKU5/hld1-1 seedlings. (B) Quantitation of the protein 601 expression level of GFP-SKU5 using western blot analysis of F3 seedlings derived from 16 F2 602 double homozygous siblings of the cross $\Im hld1$ -1 x $\Im GFP$ -SKU5. Tubulin was used as an 603 604 internal control. Quantification revealed that GFP-SKU5 protein expression level was not altered by the HLD1 mutation (p=0.7995, Students t test). These data show that this GPI-AP 605 was still targeted to the plasma membrane in the *hld1-1* mutant plants that lack inositol 606 deacylase activity. 607

608 (C) The HLD1 mutation inhibits the cleavage and release of GFP-SKU5 from the plasma

609 **membrane.** GFP-SKU5/WT and GFP-SKU5/hld1 proteins were extracted, separated into 610 membrane (M) and soluble, supernatant (S) fractions, and analyzed using western blots. In the

610 membrane (M) and soluble, supernatant (S) fractions, and analyzed using western blots. In the 611 WT samples, GFP-SKU5 was detected in both membrane and soluble fractions; in contrast, the

- 612 *hld1* mutant, GFP-SKU5 was only observed in the membrane fraction. This provides evidence
- that cleavage and release of GPI-APs from the plasma membrane is prevented in the *hld1-1*
- 614 mutant.
- 615 (D) The PLC inhibitor U73122 treatment inhibits SI-induced pollen death. *Col-0* and *At-*616 $PrpS_I$ pollen that were "mock treated" with Arabidopsis pollen germination medium (AtGM) 617 exhibited low levels of death; *At-PrpS_I* pollen treated with recombinant PrsS₁ had high levels 618 of death, and U73122 alleviated death in a dose-dependent manner. This suggests that cleavage

of GPI-APs by PLC is an important requirement for mediating SI-induced death of pollen.

- (E) Cartoon of key events in GPI-AP biosynthesis/maturation and how pgap1/hld1 affects 620 self-incompatibility. GPI-anchoring is a post-translational modification involving several 621 phases which have been established in mammals and yeast. First, biosynthesis of the GPI 622 involves a series of events [steps 1-10]. Once the core GPI is assembled [step 10], the target 623 precursor protein (blue sphere) is transferred to the GPI by a GPI transamidase complex [step 624 11]. The nascent immature GPI-AP then undergoes remodeling; the first of these is deacylation 625 [step 12], involving PGAP1, which we identified in this study as HLD1 (indicated in pink). 626 After this several other PGAP genes (not shown) mediate further modification during the 627 transport from ER to the Golgi and then to the plasma membrane. The genes involved identified 628 in humans are indicated in black; genes identified in plants are indicated in green. Knockouts 629 of genes early in the biosynthetic pathway in mammals result in lack of expression of GPI-APs 630 at the plasma membrane^{5,27}; in plants homozygous knockouts of the orthologs of PIG-C and 631 PIG-A, SETH1 and SETH2, are lethal. 632
- Our data show that correctly modified GPI-APs at the plasma membrane are crucial for the 633 Papaver SI response. This SI response is controlled by interaction of membrane-bound PrpS 634 and secreted PrsS. Interaction of cognate PrpS-PrsS triggers the SI-response leads to growth 635 arrest and programmed cell death (PCD) specifically of "self"-pollen. This SI-PCD response is 636 prevented in GPI biosynthesis and GPI-remodeling mutants. When interfering with GPI 637 biosynthesis by SETH1 or SETH2 mutation no SI-PCD occurs, suggesting that presence of 638 GPI-APs at the plasma membrane are required for SI. The GPI-remodeler HLD1 removes the 639 acyl chain linked to the GPI in nascent GPI-APs [step 12]. In the hld1 mutant, this step does 640 not occur. As a consequence, the GPI-APs retain their inositol-linked acyl chain (indicated in 641 642 pink), resulting in a "3-footed" configuration that retains the GPI-AP in the plasma membrane. We show that knockout of HLD1 completely prevents SI. This demonstrates that GPI-AP 643 remodeling by inositol deacylation is critical for the SI response. As release of GPI-APs from 644 the plasma membrane by phospholipase C activity (PLC) is implicated, it is tempting to 645 speculate that release of GPI-APs into the apoplast is critical for SI. Based on these 646 observations, we propose that SI depends on direct or indirect interaction of released apoplastic 647 GPI-APs. Cartoon adapted from⁹. 648

Supplementary Information for

GPI anchor remodeling by the plant PGAP1 ortholog HLD1 is required for *Papaver* self-incompatibility

Zongcheng Lin, Fei Xie, Marina Triviño, Tao Zhao, Frederik Coppens, Lieven Sterck, Maurice Bosch, Vernonica E. Franklin-Tong, and Moritz K. Nowack

Correspondence to: <u>zongcheng.lin@psb.vib-ugent.be;</u> <u>mub@aber.ac.uk;</u> <u>v.e.franklin-tong@bham.ac.uk;</u> <u>moritz.nowack@vib.be</u>.

This PDF file includes:

Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S14 Tables S1 to S5 References (44-55)

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Plants were grown as described in (22). Briefly, chlorine gas sterilized seeds were sown out on LRC2 plates (2.15g.L⁻¹ MS basal salts Duchefa Biochemie, 0.1g.L⁻¹ MES, pH adjusted to 5.7 with KOH, 1.0% Plant Tissue Culture Agar NEOGEN), and stored in cold room (4 °C) for three days before being moved to a growth chamber for vertical growth with continuous light emitted by white fluorescent lamps (intensity 120 μ mol.m².s⁻¹), at 22 °C. Seedlings were transferred to Jiffy pots in soil and grown under glasshouse conditions under a 16h light/8h dark regime at 22 °C. Seeds were collected when the plants were completely dry and kept at room temperature (RT) or 4 °C for long-term storage.

EMS mutagenesis and mutants screen

T2 seeds of At-SI lines were collected and sown out on LRC2 plates containing BASTA (10 μ g.ml⁻¹). Single insertion transgenic At-SI lines were obtained by selecting lines showing ~75% of BASTA resistance. Homozygous lines were obtained by selecting lines whose T3 seeds showed 100% BASTA resistance. As only ~50 seeds could be harvested from an At-SI plant, selected At-SI lines were propagated for two more generations to collect enough seeds for EMS mutagenesis. EMS mutagenesis was carried out as described in (44). M1 At-SI seeds after EMS mutagenesis were sown in Jiffy pots (~3 seeds/pot) and allowed to germinate and grow in a greenhouse. The first screen was carried out ~10 days after flowering. Mutants showing longer siliques were selected. For those M1 plants which did not show a desired phenotype, the primary inflorescences were cut back and a second screen was carried out ~2 weeks later. In total, ~50,000 M1 plants were screened, from which 40 mutants were identified. After eliminating those mutants with transgene mutations, altered PrpS₁-GFP expression levels, or pseudo-fertilizations, 12 mutants were left. These mutants were named *highlanders (hlds)*.

To eliminate the effect of the mosaic nature of the M1 genetic background, M1 *hld* mutants (male) were backcrossed with the parent *At*-SI line (female) to obtain the backcross1 (BC1) generation of *hld* mutants. To reduce the number of background single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) caused by EMS mutagenesis, BC1 *hld* mutants were backcrossed again with the parent *At*-SI line to obtain the BC2 generation (Fig S1).

WGS, backcrosses and SNP analysis to identify the causal gene for the hld1 mutants

For each of the *hld* mutant lines (*hld1-3/5/7/19/24/25*), leaf disc samples from 50 selfcompatible BC2 plants were pooled together, followed by DNA extraction using a CTAB-based protocol (45), and whole genome re-sequencing (WGS) using Illumina next generation sequencing (NGS) platform. The *At*-SI line was also sequenced as the background control. SNPs were identified using SHOREmap (21). As the *hlds* were gametophytic mutations, after backcrossing with the unmutagenised parent, any unlinked SNP generated by the EMS mutagenesis segregated 1:3 in the pool of mutant individuals of the F1 population of the second backcross (BC2), whereas the causative SNP segregated 1:1 (46). Thus, calculating the SNP ratio in the BC2 population allowed us to identify the causal mutation region.

Cloning, transgenic and T-DNA lines

All the expression vectors were generated using either Greengate cloning (47), or Gibson assembly (New England BioLabs). High-fidelity Phusion DNA polymerase (New England

BioLabs) was used for all the DNA fragment amplification. All the clones were verified through Sanger sequencing.

The expression clone pFASTGreen-pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 and pFASTGreenpHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A) was generated using Greengate cloning, during which entry clones pGG-A-pHLD1-B, pGG-B-mCherry-C, pGG-C-cHLD1-D or pGG-cHLD1(S218A)-D, pGG-D-linker-E, pGG-E-G7T-F, and pGG-F-linker-G were cloned into Greengate destination vectors pFAST-GK-AG (23). The Greengate entry clone pGG-B-mCherry-C, pGG-D-linker-E, pGG-E-G7T-F, and pGG-F-linker-G were obtained from PSB Plasmid Vector Collection (https://gatewayvectors.vib.be/collection). The Greengate entry clone pGG-A-pHLD1-B was obtained through Gibson assembly. The 2755 bps upstream of At3g27325.2 translational start site was amplified as the HLD1 promoter (pHLD1) sequence. As there is a BsaI restriction site within the pHLD1 sequence, two pHLD1 fragments were separately amplified using primer sets 665/666 and 667/668, respectively, with Col-0 Arabidopsis gDNA as the template to mutate the BsaI site, and assembled into BsaI linearized pGGA000 entry vector backbone using Gibson assembly. To make the Greengate entry clone pGG-C-cHLD1-D, HLD1 cDNA (cHLD1) was amplified and cloned into pJET1.2 using CloneJET PCR Cloning Kit (ThermoFisher). There are three different HLD1 splice variants according to TAIR (https://www.arabidopsis.org) annotation. The coding region of HLD1.1 was amplified in this experiment. As there are 3 BsaI restriction sites within the coding region of cHLD1.1, cHLD1.1 was amplified through a two-step protocol. Four cHLD1.1 fragments were amplified using primer sets 732/675, 676/677, 678/679, and 680/683 with Arabidopsis seedling cDNA as the template. Mutations in these primers were designed so that the encoded protein was not changed. These four DNA fragments were assembled into cHLD1.1 using overlap PCR. The resulting PCR products were cloned into pJET plasmid vector backbone to obtain the Greengate entry clone pGG-C-cHLD1-D. To make the Greengate entry clone pGG-CcHLD1(S218A)-D, two cHLD1(S218A) fragments were amplified using primer sets 732/834, 833/683 with pGG-C-cHLD1-D as the template. Mutations in the primers were designed so that the Serine 218 was changed to Alanine. These two PCR fragments were assembled into cHLD1(S218A) using overlap PCR, after which the resulting PCR products were cloned into pJET plasmid vector backbone to obtain the Greengate entry clone pGG-C-cHLD1(S218A)-D. Detailed primer information can be found in Supplemental Table S5. The expression vectors were transformed into GV3101 Agrobacterium tumefaciens competent cells. The floral-dipping method was adopted to stably transform homozygous At-SI/hld1-7 Arabidopsis plants to obtain At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 and At-SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) lines.

To generate new HLD1 mutant alleles, 4 gRNAs (Table S5) were designed using CRISPOR (48) and cloned into BbsI linearized Greengate entry vectors pGG-A-AtU6-26-B, pGG-B-AtU6-26-C, pGG-C-AtU6-26-D, and pGG-D-AtU6-26-E respectively via Gibson assembly. The resulting Greengate entry modules were assembled into pFASTGK-pUbi-Cas9-AG together with pGG-E-linker-G to generate expression vector pFASTGK-CRISPR-HLD1, which was transformed into At- $PrpS_1$ background line via Agrobacterium-mediated floral-dipping. Hld1-c1 and hld1-c2 alleles were screened from T2 Cas9-free seedlings and used for further experiments.

Seeds of T-DNA lines, *seth1-2* (SAIL_674_B03) and *seth2* (SALK_039599) were obtained from The Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (NASC). Primers used for genotyping these two T-DNA mutants can be found in Table S5. Genotyping of plants were carried out using Phire Plant Direct PCR Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufactural instructions.

Triton X-114 assay, and PI-PLC assay

Three-day-old seedlings were collected into a 2 mL tube with 3 steel beads (3 mm) and frozen in liquid N₂. Frozen samples were homogenized using a grinding mill (Retsch MM 400) at 20 Hz for 3 x 40 s. Samples were put back to liquid N₂ after each grinding. For Triton X-114 assays, 2% Triton X-114 extraction buffer [100mM Tris-HCl pH=7.5, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 2% Triton X-114 (Sigma-Aldrich), 1x cOmplete protease inhibitor (Roche)] was added to the homogenized samples. Triton X-114 was pre-processed as described in (49) before being added to extraction buffer. Samples were centrifuged at 21,000g at 4 °C, and the supernatant was kept for further experiments. Protein concentrations were determined using Bradford (Bio-Rad) assay by diluting the supernatant 10 times. To separate the detergent and aqueous phase, samples were incubated at 37 °C for 10 mins followed by centrifugation at 21,000g for 10 mins (50). The aqueous upper phase was carefully moved into a new tube. Proteins of both the detergent and aqueous phase were precipitated using MeOH/CHCl₃ (51) and dissolved in 1x loading buffer.

PI-PLC assays were modified from protocols published by (52, 53). In brief, membrane protein extraction buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 25% (w/w) sucrose, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM KCl, 2x cOmplete protease inhibitor (Roche)] was added to the homogenized samples. Samples were centrifuged at 600g for 3 mins and the supernatants were kept as whole protein extracts. To obtain the membrane fraction, supernatants were diluted with equal volume of water and centrifuged at 21,000g for 2 hours at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were suspended in PI-PLC treatment solution [10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5% (v/v) glycerol]. PI-PLC (ThermoFisher) was added to a final concentration of 2 units.mL⁻¹. For mock control, 50% glycerol was added. Samples were incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h, followed by centrifugation at 21,000g for 2 hours at 4 °C. The supernatant upper phase was carefully moved into a new tube, and precipitated using MeOH/CHCl3 (51). The pellet was dissolved in 1x loading buffer directly.

SDS-PAGE and Western blot were carried out as described in (19) with minor modifications. Proteins were separated by 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX Stain-Free Precast gels (Bio-Rad). Stain-free signals are indicated as "loading" in the figures. The primary antibodies anti-GFP (Takara), and anti-Aquaporins (Agrisera) were used at 1:1000 dilution, and anti- α -Tubulin (Sigma-Aldrich) were used at 1:4000 dilution. The secondary HRP-conjugated anti-Mouse (GEHEALTH) and anti-Rabbit (GEHEALTH) antibodies were both used at a dilution of 1:5000. The Western blot signals were detected using WesternBright ECL HRP substrate (ADVANSTA).

Seedlings treated with PrsS proteins

PrsS protein treatment was performed as described in (22). Briefly, PrsS proteins were dialysed in 1/5 LRC2 liquid medium overnight in 4 °C before use. The concentration of PrsS proteins was determined using Bradford assay (BioRad). To examine the effect of PrsS proteins on seedling growth, 10 μ l PrsS proteins (10 ng. μ l⁻¹) were added to the root tip of 4-day-old seedling on LRC2 plates. The plates were kept horizontally for 30 min to allow the PrsS proteins to dry before being placed back to the growth chamber vertically.

Arabidopsis pollen SI bioassay in-vitro

Arabidopsis pollen was transferred onto the surface of an 8-well Chambered Coverglass (Thermo Scientific Nunc Lab-Tek) by using tweezers to hold a stage 13-14 flower inverted of the coverglass and brushing the flower over the coverglass gently. Without hydrating the pollen, *A. thaliana* pollen germination medium [AtGM; 15% (w/v) sucrose, 0.01% (w/v) H₃BO₃, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 2.5 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, and 10% PEG3350, pH=7.0 adjusted using KOH; modified from (54) containing 10 ng.µl⁻¹ PrsS proteins (SI treatment) or not (Mock control)]

was added. For each sample, 200 μ l medium was used. Samples were incubated at RT for 6h before FDA/PI co-staining and fluorescent microscopy examination. FDA (2 μ g.ml⁻¹) and PI (5 μ g.ml⁻¹) were added to the sample right before microscopy examination, during which the number of FDA positive (alive) and PI positive (dead) pollen were counted. For each sample, 100 - 200 pollen were counted. When the PLC inhibitors (U73122, ET-18-OCH3, C48/80) were needed, the pollen were pretreated with the PLC inhibitors for 1h before the SI was induced. U73122 (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in chloroform. ET-18-OCH3 (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in DMSO, and C48/80 (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in water. For mock treatment, equal amount of solvent was used.

PGAP1 Phylogeny analysis

PGAP1 domain containing proteins were downloaded from Pfam (http://pfam.xfam.org/family/PGAP1). In total, 631 proteins from species across three eukaryotic kingdoms were downloaded (Table S6). Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MAFFT (version 7.187). IQ-TREE (version 1.7-beta7) was used for the maximum-likelihood tree inference with 1000 bootstrap replicates (under the model of 'JTT+R', -alrt 1000 -bb 1000).

Imaging, image analysis and figure preparation

Imaging of the PI stained root was performed using a Zeiss LSM710 microscope using a PlanApochromat 20x objective (numerical aperture 0.8). Seedling samples were mounted with 1/5 LRC2 medium containing 5 µg.ml⁻¹ PI. PI was excited with 561 nm and fluorescence emission between 580 nm and 700 nm were collected.

For the *in-vitro* pollen SI bioassay, pollen was co-stained using FDA (2 μ g.ml⁻¹) and PI (5 μ g.ml⁻¹) and visualized using a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning system with Fluostar VISIR 25x/0.95 water objective and HyD detector. FDA was excited with 488 nm and fluorescence emissions between 500 nm and 550 nm were collected. PI was excited with 561 nm and fluorescence emission between 580 nm and 700 nm were collected.

GFP-SKU5 were visualized using a Leica SP8 confocal laser scanning system with HCPL APO CS2 40x/1.10 (water) objective and HyD detector. Samples were excited with 488 nm, and fluorescence emissions between 500 nm and 550 nm were collected.

All the images were processed and analyzed using Fiji (https://fiji.sc/) (55).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 for Windows (www.graphpad.com).



Supplemental Figure S1. Experimental scheme.

Co-expression of $PrpS_1$ and $PrsS_1$ in the Col-0 background renders self-compatible Arabidopsis selfincompatible. The resulting SI Arabidopsis was used as the parent line in an EMS-based forward genetic screen looking for SC mutants. The mutants were named *highlanders (hlds)*. *Hld* mutants identified in the M1 population were back-crossed with parent *At*-SI line to obtain the backcross 1 (BC1) generation. To reduce the number of background non-causal SNPs, BC1 plants were backcrossed again with the parent *At*-SI line to obtain the BC2 generation. Fifty BC2 plants were pooled for WGS to identify the causal mutation. Seeds collected from BC2 selfing (BC2F1) were used for the identification of homozygous *hld* mutants.



Supplemental Figure S2. *hld1* mutants are defective in SI.

(A) *hld1* was identified from an EMS mutagenesis screen. Mutants were screened for a defective SI phenotype, with normal seed set. Representative images of mature inflorescences from Col-0, *At*-SI, and *hld1-3/5/19/24/25* mutant plants show that all these heterozygous mutants displayed no other obvious developmental phenotype in comparison with Col-0.

(B) **There was no alteration of PrpS**₁-**GFP signals in** *hld1* **mutants.** *At*-SI pollen grains showed homozygous $PrpS_1$ -GFP signal while only background fluorescence was observed in Col-0. No gross alteration in the $PrpS_1$ -GFP signals was observed in any of the *hld1* mutants compared to the parental *At*-SI line. Bar = 100 µm.

(C) *hld1* mutants have pollen defects. *hld1* stigmas were pollinated with self-pollen (selfing) or *At*-SI pollen (\diamond At-*SI* x \diamond) and *At*-SI stigmas pollinated with *hld1* pollen (\diamond At-*SI* x \diamond). Silique length measurements (Fig 1B) and number of seeds per silique (C) showed that the *hld1* mutants used as the male parent had significantly longer silique lengths and higher seed-set than the *At*-SI parent line. *hld1* mutants used as the female parent pollinated with *At*-SI pollen displayed a normal SI phenotype of short silique lengths and low seed set. N=9-11. One-way ANOVA. ***: p<0.001. NS: not-significant, p>0.05.



Supplemental Figure S3. WGS identified *At3g27325* as the causal gene candidate of the *hld1* mutants.

As the *hlds* were gametophytic mutations, after backcrossing with the unmutagenised parent, any unlinked SNP generated by the EMS mutagenesis segregated 1:3 in the pool of mutant individuals of the F1 population of the second backcross (BC2), whereas the causative SNP segregated 1:1. Thus, calculating the SNP ratio in the self-compatible BC2 population allowed us to identify the causal mutation region. Analysis of the SNPs in the 6 *hld1* mutants uncovered six different nonsynonymous mutations of an uncharacterized gene, *At3g27325*, in all the 6 *hld1* mutants. This suggests that *At3g27325* is the causal gene responsible for the SC phenotype in all the 6 *hld1* mutants.



Supplemental Figure S4. Two new *At3g27325* mutant alleles were generated using CRISPR/Cas9.

Hld1-3/5/6/7/17/18/19/24/25/30/37/39 (upper row) were identified from the EMS screen; *hld1-c1* and *hld1-c2* (bottom rows) are the CRISPR/Cas9 generated mutants. The detailed positional information of each mutant can be found in **Figure 1C** and **Supplemental Table S3**. Yellow boxes indicate the exons of *At3g27325.1*, blue text indicates gRNAs, red nucleotides indicate insertions, pink dashes indicate deletions.



Supplementary Figure S5. Evidence that *At3g27325* is the causal gene of the *hld1* mutants.

(A-C) **Mutation of** *At3g27325* phenocopies *hld1*. (A) *At-PrpS*₁ pollen containing the CRISPR/Cas9-derived *At3g27325* mutant allele (*hld1-c1* or *hld1-c2*) were pollinated onto *At-*SI or Col-0 stigmas. Significant increases in the silique lengths (**Figure 1D**) and number of seeds per silique (A) were observed when *At3g27325* was mutated, in both heterozygous and homozygous mutants. N=12-16. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ***: p<0.001. NS: p>0.1772. (B-C) Introduction of the *hld1-c1* or *hld1-c2* mutant allele into the *At-*SI background resulted in SC mutants showing silique lengths (B) and seed-set levels (C) similar to the EMS mutagenesis generated *hld1* mutants. N = 30 siliques from 3 independent plants, 10 each. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. ***: p<0.001.



Supplementary Figure S6. HLD1 regulates SI in an S-specific manner.

At-PrpS₃ pollen containing the *hld1* mutant allele (*hld1-c1*, *hld1-c2*, or *hld1-7*) were pollinated onto At-PrsS₃ or Col-0 stigmas. Silique lengths (**Figure 2A**) and seed set were measured. Consistent with previous observations, when *Col-0* stigmas were pollinated with *Col-0*, or *At-PrpS*₃, or *At-PrpS*₃/*hld1* pollen, there was no significant difference observed in the silique length and number of seeds per silique (20). Pollinating *At-PrsS*₃ stigmas with *At-PrpS*₃ pollen resulted in SI, with a significant reduction in silique length and almost no seed, compared with the control pollination $\stackrel{\circ}{+} At$ -*PrsS*₃ x $\stackrel{\circ}{-} Col-0$. In contrast, pollinating *At-PrsS*₃ stigmas with *At-PrpS*₃/*hld1* pollen resulted in silique length and seed-set like the controls, demonstrating that mutation of the *HLD1* gene abolishes the *PrpS*₃-*PrsS*₃-based SI. This provides good evidence that *HLD1* acts as a SI regulator in an S-specific manner.

N=10-20. One-way ANOVA. ***: p<0.001.



Supplemental Figure S7. HLD1 is a HsPGAP1 orthologue.

(A-B) **Phylogenetic analysis of the PGAP1-like domain-containing proteins across eukaryotic kingdoms.** We constructed a phylogenetic tree of 631 predicted PGAP1 protein homologues from > 300 eukaryotic species. Two major phylogenetic clades were identified for plants; in Arabidopsis, *HLD1* and another *PGAP1* homologue *At5g17670* were classified into different clades, indicated by red triangles. The divergence of these two clades can be traced back to an ancient whole genome duplication event occurring before the radiation of extant Viridiplantae, including green algae and the land plants. Stars indicate genome duplication events. Detailed information of the 631 PGAP1-like domain-containing proteins used in the construction of the phylogeny tree can be found in Supplemental Table S6.

(C) **Cartoon of the predicted secondary structure of HsPGAP1, HLD1 and At5g17670.** Comparison of the two homologs, *HLD1* and *At5g17670* from *A. thaliana* with *Homo sapiens PGAP1*, revealed that *HLD1* has a slightly higher amino acid sequence similarity to *HsPGAP1* (23.3%) than *At5g17670* (20.1%). Importantly, *HLD1* shares a similar secondary protein structure to *HsPGAP1* while *At5g17670* does not. Grey boxes represent the PGAP1-like domain. Black boxes represent predicted transmembrane domains. This suggests that *HLD1* is likely to be the *HsPGAP1* ortholog in Arabidopsis.





Supplemental Figure S8. Transcript and protein expression patterns of HLD1 (At3g27325) in *Arabidopsis thaliana* tissues.

The transcript (A) and protein (B) expression of the *HLD1* gene (*At3g27325*) across 30 different tissues was investigated using publicly available transcriptome and proteomics data (<u>http://athena.proteomics.wzw.tum.de/</u>). TPM: transcripts per million. iBAQ: intensity-based absolute quantification. Abbreviations: Sepal (SP); Petal (PT); Stamen (ST); Carpel (CP); Silique (SQ); Embryo (EB); Seed (SD); Seed imbibed (SDIMB); Pollen (P); Node (ND); Internode (IND); Cauline leaf (CLLF); Leaf distal (LFD); Leaf proximal (LFP); Leaf petiole (LFPT); Senescent leaf (SCLF); Root (RT); Flower pedicle (FLPD); Flower (FL); Silique septum (SQSP); Silique valves (SQV); Egg-cell like callus (RKD2); Callus (CIM); Cell culture early (CC3); Cell culture late (CC10); Cotyledons (CT); Hypocotyl (HY); Root tip (RTTP); Root upper zone (RTUZ); Shoot tip (SAMCT).



Supplemental Figure S9. HLD1 is expressed in various plant tissues.

The HLD1 expression pattern was examined using a pHLD1::GUS transgenic line. HLD1 was expressed in all the plant tissues examined. (A) 5-day-old seedling; (B) 8-day-old seedling; (C) mature leaf; (D) flower bud; (E) stage 13 flower. Representative images are shown from at least three independent lines. Bar = 1 cm.



Supplemental Figure S10. Evidence for involvement of the GPI-anchoring pathway in the regulation of SI-induced death of pollen

(A-B) Mutation of GPI-anchoring pathway genes does not phenocopy the *hld1* mutant phenotype. GPI-anchoring pathway mutants, *seth1-2* and *seth2*, were introduced into At- $PrpS_1$ background, and the pollen were pollinated onto At- $PrsS_1$ or Col-0 stigmas. Pollinating At- $PrsS_1$ stigmas with At- $PrpS_1$ /seth1^{+/-} or At- $PrpS_1$ /seth2^{+/-} pollen resulted in short siliques (Fig 4A) and no seedset (A). N=13-17. (B) Genotyping the F1 seedlings of $\bigcirc Col-0 \times \bigcirc At$ - $PrpS_1$ /seth1^{+/-} and $\bigcirc Col-0 \times \bigcirc At$ - $PrpS_1$ /seth2^{+/-} revealed that mutation of *seth1* or *seth2* abolished pollen fertilization completely.

(C) **Mutation of GPI anchor synthesis did not affect pollen viability.** The *seth1-2* and *seth2* mutations were introduced into At- $PrpS_1$ background, and the pollen was mock treated with At-GM (C) or $PrsS_1$ proteins (Fig 4B). The samples were co-stained with FDA and PI 6h after treatment. All the pollen samples showed >97% viability when treated with At-GM buffer. When treated with $PrsS_1$ proteins (Fig 4B), only minimal (~3%) pollen death was observed for *Col-0* or At- $PrpS_1$ /hld1 pollen, which was comparable to that of At-GM buffer control. Bar = 100 µm. Three independent experiments were carried out. In each experiment, 100-200 pollen grains were counted for each of the samples.



Supplemental Figure S11. Mutation of HLD1 does not affect the GFP-SKU5 protein expression level and localization.

(A) We examined the protein expression level of GFP-SKU5 using Western blot (A) in F3 seedlings derived from 16 F2 double homozygous siblings of the cross \Im hld1-1 x \Im GFP-SKU5. Tubulin was employed as the internal control. Quantification of the Western blot signals (Figure 5A) revealed that the GFP-SKU5 protein expression level was not altered by the HLD1 mutation (p=0.7995).

(B) Representative confocal images (maximum Z projections) of GFP-SKU5 signals of 4-day-old *GFP-SKU5/WT* or *GFP-SKU5/hld1-1* seedlings. Single Z slice in Fig 5B. Confocal examination showed that the HLD1 mutation had no obvious effect on the GFP-SKU5 signal distribution.



Supplemental Figure S12. Mutation of HLD1 has no major obvious effect on plant development except for a delay in flowering time.

(A-B) **Mutation of HLD1 has no major obvious effect on plant development.** The *gpi8-1* mutant was established to have reduced accumulation of GPI-APs; this affects both stomata formation, and plant growth (37). This *gpi8-1* was employed as a negative control here. (A) The *gpi8-1* mutation resulted in the formation of stomata clusters, while this was not observed in Col-0, nor the *hld1* mutants. (B) The *gpi8-1* mutation resulted in a significant delay of plant development, while this was not observed in Col-0, nor the *hld1* mutants.

(C) **Mutation of HLD1 resulted in a delay in flowering time of ~1 week.** Col-0 and homozygous *hld1* seeds were sown and grown under exactly the same conditions. Thirty-four-day-old Col-0 plants displayed extended inflorescences with flowers, while plants of the same age with the homozygous *hld1* mutation were just starting to flower. Flowering of *hld1* mutants was delayed for ~7 days. This was observed in 3 independent experiments. Eight plants of each genotype were grown in each experiment.



Supplemental Figure S13. In the HLD1 mutant, an increase in hydrophobicity of GFP-SKU5 is observed.

GFP-SKU5/WT and GFP-SKU5/hld1 proteins were extracted using 2% Triton X-114 extraction buffer, partitioned into aqueous phase and detergent phases, and subjected to Western blot analysis. The HLD1 mutation resulted in a shift of GFP-SKU5 from aqueous phase to detergent phase, revealing that mutation of HLD1 resulted in an increase of GFP-SKU5 hydrophobicity. A: aqueous phase; D: detergent phase.



Supplemental Figure S14. Treatment of PLC inhibitors, ET-18-OCH3 and C48/80, inhibits SI-induced pollen death.

Col-0 and *At-PrpS*₁ pollen grains were pretreated with different concentrations of PLC inhibitor ET-18-OCH3, C48/80, or solvent (Mock) before subject to SI induction (PrsS₁) or control treatment (AtGM). The samples were co-stained with FDA and PI 6h after treatment. The PI positive ratios were quantified in. Four independent experiments were carried out. In each experiment, 100-200 pollen grains were counted for each of the sample. See Fig 5D for the results of another PLC inhibitor U73122.

Mutant line	Total BC1 plants checked	Number of SC plants	Number of SI plants	SC phenotype
hld1-3	28	28	0	100%
hld1-5	21	21	0	100%
hld1-7	32	32	0	100%
hld1-19	24	24	0	100%
hld1-24	35	35	0	100%
hld1-25	27	27	0	100%

Supplemental Table S1. Screening of the BC1 population.

After back-crossing (BC) using M1 mutant pollen with unmutagenized *At*-SI stigma, the resulting BC1 seeds were sown. The siliques of the BC1 plants were scored for either a self-compatible (SC) phenotype, showing long siliques and normal seed set, or a self-incompatible (SI) phenotype with short siliques and ~no seed set. Examination of all 167 BC1 plants revealed that none of them showed the SI phenotype, with 100% of the BC1 plants having a SC phenotype, indicating genuine revertant mutants were identified.

This fits our prediction that all the BC1 plants should be self-compatible, as in the backcross using M1 mutant pollen on an unmutagenized *At*-SI stigma, WT pollen would undergo SI-PCD triggered by a normal $PrpS_1$ -PrsS₁ interaction, so only pollen carrying a *hld1* mutation (defective in SI) would be able to fertilize successfully and produce seeds. As the *hld1* SC phenotype existed in all the heterozygous BC1 *hld1* mutant lines examined (n= 167), this demonstrates that the hld1 mutants are gametophytic.

mutant	phenotype	genotype	number of plants
hld1-3	SC	At3g27325 ^{+/-} or At3g27325 ^{-/-}	7
	SI	Not found	0
hld1-5	SC	At3g27325 ^{+/-} or At3g27325 ^{-/-}	7
	SI	Not found	0
hld1-7	SC	At3g27325 ^{+/-} or At3g27325 ^{-/-}	197
	SI	At3g27325 ^{+/+}	4
hld1-19	SC	At3g27325 ^{+/-} or At3g27325 ^{-/-}	54
	SI	At3g27325 ^{+/+}	1
hld1-24	SC	At3g27325 ^{+/-} or At3g27325 ^{-/-}	26
	SI	At3g27325 ^{+/+}	2
hld1-25	Not checked		

Supplemental Table S2. Segregation analysis of the BC2F1 population.

Back-cross 2 (BC2) plants were allowed to self, generating a BC2 F1 population. The *At*-SI parent line we selected for the EMS mutagenesis screen was leaky, and not 100% SI, otherwise, the screen would have been impossible. This meant that very few self-incompatible plants could be found in the BC2 F1 population.

Resultant progeny were scored for either a self-compatible (SC) phenotype, showing long siliques and normal seed set, or a self-incompatible (SI) phenotype with short siliques and ~no seed set.

We also scored the genotype ($hld1^{+/+}$, $hld1^{+/-}$, or $hld1^{-/-}$) of the resulting BC2 F1 population using Sanger sequencing. This identified 100% linkage between the At3g27325 mutation and the self-fertile (SC) phenotype. This indicates that At3g27325 is highly likely to be the *hld1* causal gene.

mutant	Mutation at the DNA level	Mutation in protein level
hld1-6	G1700A	W335*
hld1-17	C234CT	K80*
hld1-18	C5181T	Q918*
hld1-30	G3223A	Splice site change
hld1-37	G3913A	Splice site change
hld1-39	G4145A	Splice site change

Supplemental Table S3. Identification of 6 additional *At3g27325* mutant alleles using Sanger sequencing.

Genotyping of the *At3g27325* DNA region of the remaining *hld1* mutants using Sanger sequencing identified 6 additional *At3g27325* mutant alleles. It is worth to notice that for *hld1-17*, instead of a point mutation, a thymine insertion was identified, which is rarely observed in EMS mutagenesis screens.

As our screen revealed 12 independent mutant alleles of *HLD1*, but no other mutants, it is likely that other genes involved in SI signaling act redundantly or are key to pollen fertilization, thus cannot be identified by forward genetic screens.

Line	Selfing	ୁ At-PrsS₁	ୁCol-0
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 ^{+/-} line 4	46.8%(109/233)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1+/- line 11	48.1%(115/239)	4.7%(25/532)	67.1%(457/681)
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 ^{+/-} line 16	48.5%(113/233)	2.6%(16/604)	73.2%(510/697)
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 ^{+/-} line 19	50.2%(139/277)	1.9%(8/432)	74.0%(273/369)
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 ^{+/-} line 21	56.5%(210/372)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1 ^{+/-} line 24	52.9%(156/295)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A) ^{+/-} line 1	75.6%(198/262)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A) ^{+/-} line 2	75.3%(226/300)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A)*/- line 6	72.2%(156/216)	49.1%(112/228)	50.1%(305/602)
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A) ^{+/-} line 8	77.1%(269/349)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A)*/- line 12	76.1%(249/327)	-	-
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A)*/- line 16	76.0%(187/246)	58.2%(102/175)	49.5%(103/208)
At-SI/hld1-7/pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1(S218A)+/- line 23	80.5%(186/231)	52.4%(204/389)	51.1%(218/427)

Supplemental Table S4. HLD1 lipase activity is required for the SI response.

Hld1 mutants were transformed with *pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1* or *pHLD1::mCherry-cHLD1*(S218A) cloned in the pFAST-Green plasmid vector backbone. The pollen of T1 heterozygous plants was used to pollinate self-stigmas, At- $PrsS_1$ or Col-0 stigmas. Pollen transmission was examined by checking the GFP signals (resulting from the pFAST-Green vector backbone) in the seeds. The ratios of GFP positive seeds of each pollination are shown in the table. The actual numbers of GFP positive seeds and total seeds in each pollination are indicated in the brackets.

For T2 seeds of heterozygous At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 lines, a ~50% of GFP positive ratio was observed, whereas a ratio of ~75% was observed for At-SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) lines (Fig 3C; Table S4). We reasoned that for the heterozygous T1 At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 lines, expression of cHLD1 in the hld1 mutant pollen rescued the hld1 mutant phenotype, resulting in SI-induced pollen death, and thus prevented pollen containing the cHLD1 transgene from achieving fertilization, which led to the reduction of GFP positive T2 seeds ratio from theoretical 75% to ~50%. However, for the heterozygous T1 At-SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) lines, the S218A mutation could not rescue the hld1 mutant deficiency, therefore resulting in normal Mendelian segregation of GFP signals in the T2 seeds. Consistent with this hypothesis, there is a significant reduction in the GFP positive seeds ratio when At-SI/hld1/cHLD1 (Fig 3C; Table S4). However, this difference of GFP positive seeds ratio was not observed for At-SI/hld1/cHLD1(S218A) lines (Fig 3C; Table S4). These data showed that the HLD1 WT allele rescued the hld1 mutant phenotype, but not the S218A mutant allele.

Supplemental Table S5. Primers used in this research.

Primer	Seqeuence (5'->3')
665_F-op-Bsal-A-pHLD7L	ATAGAAGTGAAGCTTGGTCTCAACCTttgaacagtataacgcatatatatatatatattctaggcc
666_R-pHLD7-mB	GCAATGGCTCGGTCTgTCATCCAGTCATGG
667_F-pHLD7-mB	CCATGACTGGATGAcAGACCGAGCCATTGC
668_R-op-Bsal-B-pHLD7L	ATAGGGCGAGAATTCGGTCTCATGTTTTTCAAGCTTTCAAGAGTACTAGAGTGAAAGTGCT CTTGTTTCC
675_R-HLD7-mB2	GGATAAGAGCATTGATTGAGATGAcACCTCCACGGC
676_F-HLD7-mB2	GCCGTGGAGGTgTCATCTCAATCAATGCTCTTATCC
677_R-HLD7-mB3	CCAACAAATAACAGATCAACGAcACCACTGTGAAGC
678_F-HLD7-mB3	GCTTCACAGTGGTgTCGTTGATCTGTTATTTGTTGG
679_R-HLD7-mB4	GCTTGACTCGGGTCTgGAGTTCAGTATTCCATGG
680_F-HLD7-mB4	CCATGGAATACTGAACTCcAGACCCGAGTCAAGC
683_R-op-Bsal-D-HLD7_ns	ATAGGGCGAGAATTCGGTCTCACTGAGTTTCTGTGTATTCTGCTTTTAGTACGGAAACG
732_F-op-Bsal-C-cHLD7.1	ATAGAAGTGAAGCTTGGTCTCAGGCTATGCGTGATGTAAGATTTGCTATGAGCATTCG
817_HLD7-gRNA1	AGAGTCGAAGTAGTGATTGGTGACGGATGATACAACTCCGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA
818_HLD7-gRNA2	AGAGTCGAAGTAGTGATTGAATCTGATAGAGCGTTTCAGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA
819_HLD7-gRNA3	AGAGTCGAAGTAGTGATTGTGGTAATTTACTGGACGCAGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA
820_HLD7-gRNA4	AGAGTCGAAGTAGTGATTGGATGGCTGCAATGCCAGAGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA
833_HLD7-S218A-F	GTGATCTTGGTTGGGCATGCTATGGGTGGTTTTGTTGC
834_HLD7-S218A-R	GCAACAAAACCACCCATAGCATGCCCAACCAAGATCAC
LB_SAIL	gccttttcagaaatggataaatagcc
Lp_seth1-2	AGTTGATGGCCTCACATTTTG
Rp_seth1-2	TGCAACCCAAAGTTGAATTTC
LB_SALK	ATTTTGCCGATTTCGGAACC
Lp_seth2	GAGTCGAAATGCTAGGTGCAG
Rp-seth2	CACTTATTCCTGGCGAGTCTG

References:

- 44. Y. Kim, K. S. Schumaker, J. K. Zhu, EMS mutagenesis of Arabidopsis. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.) 323, 101-103 (2006)10.1385/1-59745-003-0:101).
- 45. A. Healey, A. Furtado, T. Cooper, R. J. Henry, Protocol: a simple method for extracting next-generation sequencing quality genomic DNA from recalcitrant plant species. *Plant Methods* **10**, 21 (2014); published online Epub2014/06/27 (10.1186/1746-4811-10-21).
- 46. H. Lindner, M. T. Raissig, C. Sailer, H. Shimosato-Asano, R. Bruggmann, U. Grossniklaus, SNP-Ratio Mapping (SRM): Identifying Lethal Alleles and Mutations in Complex Genetic Backgrounds by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Genetics* 191, 1381-1386 (2012)10.1534/genetics.112.141341).
- 47. A. Lampropoulos, Z. Sutikovic, C. Wenzl, I. Maegele, J. U. Lohmann, J. Forner, GreenGate A Novel, Versatile, and Efficient Cloning System for Plant Transgenesis. *PLOS ONE* 8, e83043 (2013)10.1371/journal.pone.0083043).
- 48. J.-P. Concordet, M. Haeussler, CRISPOR: intuitive guide selection for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**, W242-W245 (2018)10.1093/nar/gky354).
- Y. Taguchi, H. M. Schätzl, Small-scale Triton X-114 Extraction of Hydrophobic Proteins. *Bio-protocol* 4, e1139 (2014); published online Epub2014/06/05 (10.21769/BioProtoc.1139).
- 50. C. Bordier, Phase separation of integral membrane proteins in Triton X-114 solution. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **256**, 1604-1607 (1981).
- 51. D. Wessel, U. I. Flügge, A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. Analytical Biochemistry 138, 141-143 (1984); published online Epub1984/04/01/ (https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(84)90782-6).
- L. Abas, C. Luschnig, Maximum yields of microsomal-type membranes from small amounts of plant material without requiring ultracentrifugation. *Analytical Biochemistry* 401, 217-227 (2010); published online Epub2010/06/15/ (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2010.02.030).
- 53. B.-Q. Gong, J. Xue, N. Zhang, L. Xu, X. Yao, Q.-J. Yang, Y. Yu, H.-B. Wang, D. Zhang, J.-F. Li, Rice Chitin Receptor OsCEBiP Is Not a Transmembrane Protein but Targets the Plasma Membrane via a GPI Anchor. *Molecular Plant* 10, 767-770 (2017)10.1016/j.molp.2016.12.005).
- 54. L. Wang, M. Triviño, Z. Lin, J. Carli, D. J. Eaves, D. Van Damme, M. K. Nowack, V. E. Franklin-Tong, M. Bosch, New opportunities and insights into Papaver self-incompatibility by imaging engineered Arabidopsis pollen. Journal of Experimental Botany 71, 2451-2463 (2020)10.1093/jxb/eraa092).
- 55. J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P. Tomancak A. Cardona, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9, 676-682 (2012)10.1038/nmeth.2019).