Homothorax Controls a Binary Rhodopsin Switch in *Drosophila* Ocelli
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Abstract

Visual perception of the environment is mediated by specialized photoreceptor (PR) neurons of the eye. Each PR expresses photosensitive opsins, which are activated by a particular wavelength of light. In most insects, the visual system comprises a pair of compound eyes that are mainly associated with motion detection, color or polarized light perception and a triplet of ocelli that are thought to be critical during flight to detect horizon and movements. It is widely believed that evolutionary diversification of compound eye and ocelli in insects occurred from an ancestral visual organ around 500 million years ago. Concurrently, opsin genes were also duplicated to provide distinct spectral sensitivities to different PRs of compound eye and ocelli. In the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, Rhodopsin1 (Rh1) and Rh2 are closely related opsins that are originated from the duplication of a single ancestral gene. However, in the visual organs, Rh2 is uniquely expressed in ocelli whereas Rh1 is uniquely expressed in outer PRs of the compound eye. It is currently unknown how this differential expression of Rh1 and Rh2 in the two visual organs is controlled to provide unique spectral sensitivities to ocelli and compound eyes. Here, we show that Homothorax (Hth) is expressed in ocelli and confers proper *rhodopsin* expression. We find that Hth controls a binary Rhodopsin switch in ocelli to promote Rh2 expression and repress Rh1 expression. Genetic and molecular analysis of *rh1* and *rh2* supports that Hth acts through their promoters to regulate Rhodopsin expression in the ocelli. Finally, we also show that when ectopically expressed in the retina, *hth* is sufficient to induce Rh2 expression only at the outer PRs in a cell autonomous manner. We therefore propose that the diversification of *rhodopsins* in the ocelli and retinal outer PRs occurred by duplication of an ancestral gene, which is under the control of Homothorax.

Introduction
The ability to perceive and discriminate a broad range of environmental stimuli in nature is essential for many aspects of life. Animals rely heavily on visual cues to perform complex tasks such as navigation to find food, mates and shelter as well as social interactions. Visual cues are perceived by visual organs that contain photoreceptors (PRs) as light-sensing structures. PRs are specialized cells that gather information from the surrounding world which is subsequently processed by the brain. Each PR expresses a unique photosensitive opsin/rhodopsin and they define the wavelength of light by which a PR will be activated.

It is believed that eyes have evolved separately due to fundamental differences between visual organs of different animals [1]. However, it is also known that eye development in different animal phyla share a common genetic network initiated by Pax6 gene orthologs [2]. Finding similarities in the gene regulatory network that controls eye development further strengthened the idea that phylogenetically diverse eye types may share a conserved eye developmental program [3-5]. Insects are among the largest and most diverse animal groups. Decoding eye development in insects offers great opportunity to unravel developmental insights that lead to the emergence of evolutionary complexity.

In most insects, compound eyes represent the prominent visual organ that is responsible for providing major share of the visual information. In the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, each compound eye consists of approximately 850 ommatidia and each ommatidium houses eight PRs: six outer PRs and two inner PRs [6]. Additionally, winged insects (such as Drosophila) possess ocelli that are comparatively simple photosensory organs embedded in the dorsal head cuticle [7]. In Drosophila, a triplet of ocelli (one medial and two lateral) are arranged in a triangular shape between the two compound eyes and the dorsal vertex of the head [8]. It is
believed that insect compound eye- and ocellus-like precursor structures have segregated from an ancestral eye over 500 million years ago [9, 10]. The evolution of new opsin genes by gene duplication enabled these visual organs to perform different functions that require distinct spectral sensitivities [11]. In Drosophila, phylogenetic analysis supports that Rhodopsin1 (Rh1), Rh2 and Rh6 have originated from a common ancestral gene [12]. A first gene duplication may have separated Rh6 from Rh1/Rh2 and a second gene duplication may have separated the closely related Rh1 and Rh2 [12]. The green sensitive Rh6 is expressed in the inner PRs of the compound eye and is critically involved in color perception [13-15]. The blue-green sensitive Rh1 is expressed in the outer PRs of the compound eye and is mainly associated with motion detection [16-18]. Conversely, the UV-blue sensitive Rh2 is expressed in PRs of the ocelli [19-21] and is proposed to be involved in horizon sensing and flight stabilization [22, 23]. While it is known that rh1, rh2 and rh6 are genetically linked [24], it is still unknown how they are differentially expressed in different PRs in Drosophila.

Here we show that the homeodomain transcription factor Homothorax (Hth) regulates Rh2 expression in the ocelli. We demonstrate that Hth is expressed in ocellar PRs and controls a binary rhodopsin switch by promoting Rh2 expression and repressing Rh1 expression in ocelli. We also demonstrate that misexpression of Hth forces outer PR of the retina to induce Rh2 expression and clonal expression in the retina suggest that this process is cell autonomous. Furthermore, genetic and molecular analysis of rh1 and rh2 shows that the rhodopsin switch in ocelli is transcriptionally controlled by Hth and that it may act directly through rh1 and rh2 promoter sequences. Finally, we argue that while Hth maintains Rh3 fate in the DRA [25], it initiates Rh2 fate in the ocelli. The results presented here greatly adds to our
understanding of how genetically linked opsins are spatiotemporally controlled to provide distinct spectral sensitivity to different visual organs.
Results

Differential expression of rhodopsins in the compound eye and ocelli

It is believed that the hexapod ancestor of extant insects only had a single visual organ (also referred as ancestral eye) and that other visual organs (such as compound eye and ocelli) evolved as a result of a morphological bifurcation event over 500 million years ago [10, 11] (Figure 1A and 1C). It is hypothesised that different functions of compound eye and ocelli in conjunction of gene duplication events of opsins led to the emergence of an ocelli specific rhodopsin gene, with a distinct spectral sensitivity [11].

In the Drosophila compound eye, brightness detection is achieved by inputs from six outer-PRs (R1-R6) that express the long wavelength opsin Rhodopsin 1 (Rh1). Drosophila uses outer PRs mainly for motion detection and dim light vision [16-18].

Color vision requires two inner PRs that encode either one of the UV-sensing opsins Rh3 or Rh4 in R7 PRs, and either the blue-sensing Rh5 or the green-sensing Rh6 in R8 PRs [26, 27]. In most insects, ocelli express an opsin, which is different from those present in the compound eye [11, 21, 28]. In Drosophila, PRs of the ocelli express the long wavelength opsin Rh2 (Figure 1B) that is sensitive to the violet spectrum of wavelengths of light [19-21]. The different spectral sensitivity of ocelli is also reflected by their involvement to perform different functions than the compound eye and they are believed to detect horizon, control head orientation and stabilize flight posture while flying [22]. To get an insight of rhodopsin gene duplications in Drosophila, we compared the coding sequences of rh1 to rh6 by generating a phylogenetic tree (by using MUSCLE online tool; phylogeny.fr) [29]. The phylogenetic tree made by maximum likelihood method showed two clades with branching support value of 1 each (Figure 1D). Clade-I showed a tandem gene duplication that separated Rh5 from the closely related Rh3/Rh4. Clade-II represents long-wavelength opsin gene
duplications in *Drosophila* where first a tandem gene duplication separated Rh6 from Rh1/Rh2 (Figure 1D). Rh6 subsequently got expressed in the inner PRs of the compound eye (Figure 1J). A further gene duplication led to the separation of Rh1 and Rh2 (Figure 1D). While Rh1 subsequently got expressed in the outer PRs of the compound eye (Figure 1H), Rh2 got exclusively expressed in the ocelli (Figure 1F).

To analyse the evolutionary origin of long-wavelength opsin gene duplications, we generated a phylogenetic tree by using amino acid sequences of *Drosophila* opsins and probable Rh1, Rh2 and Rh6 orthologs from other dipteran species (*Ceratitis capitata* or med fly, *Musca domestica* or house fly, *Glossina palpalis* or tsetse fly, *Lucilia cuprina* or Australian sheep blow fly and *Aedes aegypti/Anopheles gambiae* or mosquitoes; sequences collected from Feuda lab on bitbucket ([https://bitbucket.org/Feuda-lab/opsin_diptera/src/master/](https://bitbucket.org/Feuda-lab/opsin_diptera/src/master/)) [30]. The resulting phylogenetic alignment of Rh1, Rh2 and Rh6 suggests that Rh6 is ancestral to all dipteran species including mosquitoes. A common Rh1/Rh2 ortholog originated from a duplication of Rh6 in the lineage leading to the higher dipterans and a second gene duplication separated Rh1 from Rh2. Further gene duplications of Rh6 occurred in the mosquitoes and of Rh1 in the house fly *Musca domestica* (Figure S1).

**Homothorax regulates a binary Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli**

In the compound eye, the homeodomain transcription factor Homothorax (Hth) is expressed in the dorsal rim area (DRA) of inner PRs, where it has been shown to be both necessary and sufficient to regulate Rh3 expression and thereby critically contributes to the polarized-light sensing system [25, 31]. We found that Hth is also expressed in all PRs of the ocelli (Figure 2A). Since ocellar PRs express Rh2, we first analysed if Hth is involved in regulating this expression. We performed knockdown of
hth using the pan-photoreceptor driver IGRM-Gal4 and observed a complete loss of
Rh2 expression in PRs of the ocelli (Figure 2B). Additionally, we also observed that in
hth knockdown, the loss of Rh2 expression in the ocelli is compensated by gain of Rh1
(Figure 2B), a Rhodopsin that is normally expressed in outer PRs of the compound
eye. Thus, Hth regulates a binary switch of Rhodopsin in the ocelli where it promotes
Rh2 expression and represses Rh1 expression.

Hth often acts together with Extradenticle (Exd) [32, 33]. Therefore, to see if
Exd is also involved to regulate the binary Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli, we performed
knockdown of exd with IGRM-Gal4 and found that it also resulted in the loss of Rh2
expression and gain of Rh1 expression in the ocellar PRs (Figure 2C).

In the compound eye, a feedback mechanism has been described that allows
Rh6 to transcriptionally repress Rh5 in yellow R8 PRs [34]. Since in hth knockdown,
extopic Rh1 expression in the ocelli replaces Rh2, we speculated that Hth may repress
Rh1 in order to allow Rh2 expression. Knockdown of hth in turn would remove this
repression and as a result Rh1 would get activated and would repress Rh2 in the
ocelli. To check this hypothesis, we misexpressed Rh1 in ocelli by using IGRM-Gal4
and found that ectopic expression of Rh1 was not sufficient to repress Rh2 and in this
case ocelli co-expressed both Rh1 and Rh2 (Figure S2A). Thus, loss of Rh2 in hth
knockdown seems to be independent of Rh1 repression.

To further test whether switching Rhodopsin expression might also alter PR
identity based on other molecular markers of outer PRs in the retina, we monitored
Seven-up (svp) and BarH1 expression in the ocelli in hth knockdown. Svp is a steroid
hormone receptor and is expressed in R3/R4 and R1/R6 pairs whereas BarH1 is a
homeobox transcription factor expressed in the R1/R6 pair of the developing
compound eye [35, 36]. We found that neither Svp nor BarH1 are expressed in
wildtype nor in hth knockdown ocelli (Figure S3A, S3B, S3C and S3D). Therefore, although ocellar PRs gained Rh1 and lost Rh2 expression when hth was knocked down, they do not seem to undergo an identity change towards retinal outer PRs.

We next investigated whether regulation of this Rhodopsin switch by Hth occurs at the transcriptional level by using ocelli specific rh2-lacZ [20] and outer PR specific rh1-lacZ [36] reporter lines. In wildtype control animals, β-gal expression was specifically observed in ocelli in case of rh2-lacZ (Figure 2D) whereas no expression was observed in ocelli in the case of rh1-lacZ (Figure 2G). In hth knockdown background, we found that β-gal expression from rh2-lacZ is completely abolished from the ocelli (Figure 2E), whereas ectopic β-gal expression from rh1-lacZ is now seen in ocellar PRs (Figure 2H). Therefore, Hth is indeed involved in regulating a binary Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli by promoting transcription of rh2 and repressing transcription of rh1.

Since Hth and Exd act together, we next investigated whether Exd is also involved in regulating rh1 and rh2 transcriptionally. We indeed found that β-gal expression from rh2-lacZ was lost (Figure 2F) and ectopic β-gal expression from rh1-lacZ (Figure 2I) was observed in exd knockdown background in ocellar PRs.

We have previously shown that the homeodomain transcription factor Hazy (Flybase: Pph13 for PvuII-PstI homology 13) controls expression of Rh2 in the ocelli [37, 38]. We therefore next asked if Hazy and Hth act jointly to regulate the Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli. However, we find that in hazy−/− null mutant flies, absence of Rh2 expression is not accompanied with the ectopic expression of Rh1 in ocellar PRs (Figure S2B). Moreover, we found that Hth is still expressed in the ocelli of hazy−/− mutant flies (Figure S2C). Also, the expression of Hazy remained unchanged in ocellar
PRs when knocking down *hth* (Figure S2D). Thus, the Hth-dependent Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli does not depend on Hazy.

**Hth controls a binary Rhodopsin switch in ocelli by acting through the promoters of *rh1* and *rh2**

Since Hth encodes a homeodomain transcription factor we next investigated if Hth may act by directly regulating the *rhodopsin* promoters. Minimal promoter sequences for *rh1* and *rh2* have been identified previously [36] [20]. Like all other *Drosophila rhodopsin* promoters, they are rather short (300-400 bp) and contain a Rhodopsin-Conserved-Sequence-I (RCSI) element that provides binding sites for Pax6 orthologs and other factors that promote photoreceptor-specific expression [39]. We aligned the minimal promoter sequences of twelve different *Drosophila* species (Figures S4 and S5). We found a high level of conservation within the twelve *rh1*-promoter sequences, while the *rh2* promoters were more divergent. A direct alignment of the two promoters was not possible since they have no sequence similarities apart from the RCSI site. A portion of the *rh2* minimal promoter sequence overlaps with the coding sequence of the neighbouring gene *CG14297* (Figure S5). We identified three potential Hth binding sites in the minimal promoter region of *rh2* (-293/+55) [20]: one within the coding sequence of *CG14297*, one directly following its Stop codon and one within its 3'UTR. While the sites in the coding sequence and in the 3'UTR are conserved, the site at the Stop codon is only present in the four closest relatives of *Drosophila melanogaster*. The *rh1* minimal promoter region (-247/+73) [36] contains two potential Hth binding sites upstream of the RCSI (Figure S4). To test if the Hth binding sites in the *rh1* and *rh2* promoter regions may be involved in the Rhodopsin switch in ocelli, we created transgenic flies containing transgenes with the minimal...
promoter regions of rh1 or rh2 driving GFP (rh1-GFP and rh2-GFP [37]). Next, in order to abolish Hth binding, we introduced point mutations in the Hth binding regions of the rh1 and rh2 promoters and created rh1(hth mut)-GFP and rh2(hth mut)-GFP transgenic flies (Figure 3A and 3D) (See material and methods for details). In support with the previous observations, we find rh2-GFP expression in the ocelli (Figure 3B) whereas rh1-GFP is not expressed in ocellar PRs (Figure 3E). However, by mutating the Hth binding sites in the promoter region of rh2 (Rh2 (hth mut)-GFP), we observed a loss of GFP expression in the ocelli (Figure 3C). Conversely, we found that deleting the Hth binding sites in the promoter region of rh1 (rh1 (hth mut)-GFP) leads to ectopic GFP expression in the ocelli (Figure 3F).

**Hth is sufficient to induce Rh2 expression in the outer PRs of the retina**

In the dorsal rim area of the retina, Hth is required in inner PRs to promote Rh3 expression and ectopic expression of Hth under the control of lGMR-Gal4 was sufficient to block the expression of inner PR Rhodopsins (Rh4, Rh5 and Rh6) and to induce Rh3 expression in all inner PRs. However, in outer PRs, expression of Rh1 was not affected suggesting that only inner PRs were responsive to Hth misexpression [25]. We next investigated if misexpression of Hth in the retina was also sufficient to induce Rh2 expression. In the wildtype retina, Rh1 was uniquely expressed in outer PRs whereas Rh2 was absent (Figure 4A, 4A’ and 4A’’). When Hth was misexpressed in the retina under the control of lGMR-Gal4, we observed that Rh2 was now ectopically co-expressed in all outer PRs. However, we found that Rh1 expression was unaffected and it was still expressed in all outer PRs (Figure 4B, 4B’ and 4B’’). We also found that ectopic Rh2 expression was only limited to the outer PRs (and not...
inner PRs) suggesting that only Rh1 expressing PRs were competent to induce Rh2 expression in the retina, while inner PRs were not.

To investigate if this process is cell autonomous, we generated outer PR versus inner PR Hth gain-of-function clones in the retina using the “flip-out” technique [40] (material and methods for details). Flip-out clones were marked by presence of GFP expression. We found that when clones were generated in the inner PRs, Rh2 expression was not induced (Figure 5A, 5A’ and 5A’’). However, when clones were generated in the outer PRs, Rh2 expression was ectopically induced in all GFP expressing clones (Figure 5B, 5B’ and 5B’’). Thus, ectopic Rh2 expression in Rh1 expressing PRs of the retina is a cell-autonomous process. Expression of Hth in inner PR clones did not have any effect on Rh1 expression in the neighbouring outer PRs (Figure 5C, 5C’ and 5C’’) and Rh1 expression was maintained when clones were generated in the outer PRs (Figure 5D, 5D’ and 5D’’).

Role of Scrib and Ets65A as potential repressors of Rh1 in the ocelli.

To understand if Hth acts together with any of its known interactors to regulate Rh1 and Rh2 expression in the ocelli, we performed a knockdown mini-screen of known Hth interactors (mentioned in Flybase; Figure S6) by lGMR-Gal4 and assayed for Rh1 and Rh2 expression in the ocellar PRs. In agreement with the previous finding, Rh2 expression was lost in the ocelli in calmodulin-binding transcriptional activator (camta), longitudenals lacking (lola) and defective proventriculus (dve) knockdown (Mishra et al., 2016). However, we did not observe a gain of Rh1 in any of these knockdowns (Figure 6B, 6C and 6D). Surprisingly, in the mini-screen, we found that knockdown of the scaffolding protein Scribble (Scrib) [41, 42] and the Ets domain
transcription factor Ets65A [43, 44] resulted in a gain of Rh1 expression in the ocellar PRs without changing Rh2 expression (Figure 6E and 6F).

Taken together, we showed that Hth regulates a binary Rhodopsin switch in ocelli by promoting Rh2 expression at the cost of Rh1. We found that regulation of Rh1 and Rh2 is transcriptionally controlled by Hth and it acts together with its binding partner Exd. We also found that Hth regulates the Rhodopsin switch by acting through the promoters of \( \text{rh1} \) and \( \text{rh2} \). We further demonstrated that misexpression of Hth in the retina modifies outer PRs to acquire Rh2 expression resulting in outer PRs co-expressing Rh1 and Rh2 and we show that this process is cell-autonomous. Finally, by knockdown mini-screen, we identified Scrib and Ets65A as potential repressors of Rh1 expression in the ocelli (Figure 7).

**Discussion**

*Homothorax expression provides new insights to understand Rhodopsin fate in Drosophila*

In insects, ocelli represent a fundamentally simpler visual organ whose spectral sensitivity is different from the compound eye. The unique spectral sensitivity of ocellar PRs in *Drosophila* is provided by the violet-sensing Rh2 [21]. The presence of this particular Rhodopsin exclusively in ocelli and not in the retina may explain why ocelli perform different functions than the compound eye. We have characterized roles of Hth during terminal differentiation of ocellar PRs and showed that Hth acts together with Exd and regulates a binary Rhodopsin switch in ocelli that promotes Rh2 expression and represses Rh1 expression. Hth is known to be expressed in the ocellar primordium of the early third instar laval (L3) eye antennal imaginal disc but gets downregulated later at mid- to late-L3 [45]. However, it is unknown how expression of
Hth is re-induced in ocellar PRs. One possible hypothesis could be that a temporal change during metamorphosis such as a pulse of ecdysone hormone with additional signals induces Hth expression in ocelli. In our study, we show that Hth is expressed in all mature and terminally differentiated PRs of ocelli.

Hth performs similar functions in PRs of the ocelli and the DRA of the retina: in ocelli, it induces Rh2 expression by repressing Rh1 whereas in the DRA of the retina it induces Rh3 by repressing inner-PR Rhodopsins [25]. Loss of hth transforms the DRA into odd-coupled ommatidia where Rh3 is expressed in R7 and Rh6 in R8 suggesting that the Rh3/Rh6 pair represents the default state of Rhodopsins in inner PRs of the retina [25]. In ocelli, we find ectopic expression of Rh1 by loss of hth suggesting that Rh1 may be the default state in ocellar PRs. Loss of Hth leads to ectopic expression of the R8 specific transcription factor Senseless in the DRA, which is otherwise not expressed in the wildtype DRA suggesting a fate change of the DRA to become odd-coupled ommatidia [31]. Interestingly, Hth loss in ocelli does not induce expression of outer PR-specific transcription factors (such as Svp and BarH1) suggesting that the ocellar PR fate may not have been changed.

Genetic and molecular analysis of Hth in the ocelli during PR development

The DNA binding property of Hth is required for proper DRA fate in the retina [31]. Similarly, we observed that Hth regulates a binary Rhodopsin switch in ocelli by transcriptionally controlling rh1 and rh2 expression through binding to their upstream DNA sequences. The homeodomain transcription factor Hazy controls Rhodopsin expression in the retina and in ocelli [37, 38]. However, epistatic analysis showed that both Hth and Hazy act independently to control Rh2 expression in ocelli. Misexpression of hth in all developing PRs of the retina is sufficient to induce a fate
switch where inner PRs are transformed into PRs of DRA [31]. Rh3 is expanded to all inner PRs whereas specific loss of Rh4, Rh5 and Rh6 was seen. However, outer PR fate was not changed suggesting that only those PRs, which were previously committed to become inner PRs during development, were responsive to Hth [31]. We additionally found that outer PRs, too were responsive to \textit{hth} misexpression since they induce Rh2 expression while maintaining its default fate by expressing Rh1. However, the genetic program activated by Hth misexpression to induce Rh2 expression in outer PRs is still unknown. One hypothesis could be that Hth directly activates Rh2 expression in the ocelli but it requires an additional cofactor to repress Rh1 expression. This cofactor could be present in the ocelli but not in the retina and this would explain why knockdown of Hth activates Rh1 expression in the ocelli but is not sufficient alone to repress Rh1 expression in the retina. We additionally found that knockdown of Scrib and Ets65A induces Rh1 expression in the ocelli. However, further experiments will be required to establish the role of Scrib and Ets65A as potential repressors of Rh1 expression in ocelli versus retina.

\textbf{Rhodopsin duplication and role of Hth during ocelli diversification.}

Photoreception is achieved by expression of different opsins in conjunction with an array of proteins of the phototransduction cascade. Each PR contain a specific opsin, which are light sensing proteins that define the particular wavelength of light to which a given PR will respond. In most insect species, opsins were mainly categorized into three major clades: 1) UV-sensitive opsins 2) blue-sensitive opsins and 3) long-wavelength (LW) opsins, which are ranging from violet such as Rh2 in \textit{Drosophila} [46] to green-red in some butterflies [47]. Presence of all three opsin types in most insect species may imply that the ancient retina was trichromatic [48]. Further phylogenetic
analyses support ancestral trichromacy in insects and show that opsin sensitivity must have derived from a single ancestral opsin as a result of gene duplications [12]. In many insect species, spectral sensitivity of opsin gene clades is represented by more than one paralog such as the long wavelength opsin clade consisting of three paralogs in *Drosophila* (Rh1, Rh2 and Rh6), five in the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* and two in the honeybee *Apis mellifera* [12]. It is believed that a first tandem gene duplication in *Drosophila* separated Rh6 from its sister paralog Rh1/Rh2 and while Rh6 is retained in the inner PRs of compound eye, Rh1/Rh2 was associated with the outer PRs of retina and PRs of ocelli. A second gene duplication event led to the diversification of Rh1 and Rh2 by accumulation of further amino acid changes in their sequence. While Rh1 expression was retained in the outer PRs of the compound eye, Rh2 became associated with the PRs of the ocelli. Our results also suggest that the ability of Hth to bind to both promoters may have been a prerequisite during evolution to differentially regulate the expression of the two paralogs and thus, provide spectral sensitivity of ocelli by promoting Rh2 expression and repressing Rh1 expression. However, it would be interesting to know if a change in the spectral sensitivity of ocelli upon Hth loss would in turn change their specific functions. Also, if gene duplications of opsins occurred during evolution to allow the diversification of different spectral sensitivities in different visual organs, it would be interesting to know what kind of spectral sensitivity was present in the ancestral visual organ. We conclude that Hth may act as an evolutionary factor required by ocelli to provide their unique spectral identity to ocellar PRs that are marked by expression of Rh2. To maintain this unique spectral identity, Hth controls a binary Rhodopsin switch to repress outer PR fate in ocelli by repressing Rh1 expression.
The opposing regulatory function of Hth on its direct targets.

We show here that Hth acts along with its binding partner Extradenticle to function both as a transcriptional activator of \( rh2 \) and as a transcriptional repressor of \( rh1 \). Usually, Hth in conjunction with its binding partner Extradenticle acts as a transcriptional activator. Interestingly, by knocking down \( exd \), we also observed a lack of Rh2 and a gain of Rh1 expression suggesting that both acts together. It was previously shown that binding of Hth to the DNA requires the presence of the co-factor Exd [49, 50]. Therefore, likely regulation of Rh1 and Rh2 depend on the presence of both Hth and Exd binding to the regulatory region. Hth has also been shown to function in gene repression [51]. In this case the formation of the repressor complex occurs directly at the regulatory regions of the repressed gene and depends on the proximity of DNA-binding sites for different components of the complex in the regulatory region. The same mechanism could also explain the opposing regulatory effect that Hth has on \( rh1 \) in comparison to \( rh2 \) in the ocelli. Analysis of transcription profiles of different PR types would provide a list of Hth interactors expressed in the ocelli versus the outer PRs of the retina. Binding analysis of these interactors to the \( rh1 \) and \( rh2 \) minimal promoter sequences would help to identify the potential repressor complex forming on the \( rh1 \) promoter, helping to better understand the regulatory functions of Hth.
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Materials and methods

Fly stocks

Wildtype Canton S flies have been used in this study. Other fly strains used were: UAS-\textit{hth}^{RNAi} (BL27655 and BL34637; we do see the Rhodopsin switch phenotype in both RNAi lines. However, all the experiments were done in BL27655), UAS-\textit{exd}^{RNAi} (BL29338 and BL34897; Rhodopsin switch phenotype was observed in both RNAi lines. However, all experiments were done in BL34897). For knockdown mini-screen, we used UAS-\textit{RNAi} flies from Bloomington’s \textit{Drosophila} stock center and the stock numbers are mentioned in Figure S6. Other fly strains are: UAS-\textit{rh1} [34], UAS-\textit{hth} [52], \textit{rh1}(-252/+57)-\textit{lacZ} [36], \textit{rh2} (-309/+32)-\textit{lacZ} [20], \textit{rh2} (-293/+55)-\textit{GFP} [37], \textit{hazy} / [53], \textit{hsFLP}; UAS-\textit{mCD8::GFP}; \textit{IGMR<wt>>Gal4} (a gift from Claude Desplan lab). The following transgenic lines were made in this study: \textit{rh2 (hth mut)-GFP}, \textit{rh1-GFP} and \textit{rh1 (hth mut)-GFP}. Flies were reared on standard food medium and at 25°C. Knockdown flies were grown at 29°C temperature. For \textit{hth} overexpression clones by “flip-out” technique, flies were grown at 25°C and heat shock at 37°C was performed
for half an hour at the late pupal stage. Vials were placed back at 25°C and freshly hatched flies were dissected.

**Generation of rh1 and rh2 reporter transgenes**

The *rh1* minimal promoter (-247 to +73) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA with primers “rh1 enh Kpn fw” (gcggtacCTGGAGACTCAAGAATAATACTCGGCCAG) and “rh1 enh Xba re” (gatctagAGGGTTCCTGGATTCTGAATATTTCACTG) and cloned into pBluescript vector using the KpnI and XbaI sites added to the primers. For cloning of the *rh2* minimal promoter see [37]. The same *rh1* and *rh2* promoter fragments were *in vitro* synthesized (BioCat) altering the sequences of the potential Hth binding sites. The first Hth site in the *rh1* promoter (TGACAT) was changed to TaAgcT creating a HindIII restriction site. The second Hth site in the *rh1* promoter (CTGTCG) was changed to CTaaaG. The first Hth site in the *rh2* promoter (GGACAG) was changed to GtttAG, the second Hth site in the *rh2* promoter (GTGTCA) was changed into agcTgA and the third Hth site (CTGTCC) was changed to CTaaaC. Both versions of the two enhancers were cloned into a GFP reporter plasmid containing *eGFP*, a *miniwhite* marker and an *attB* site kindly provided by Jens Rister. The plasmids were injected into nos-φC31; attP40 flies for integration on the second chromosome using the φC31 site-specific integration system [54].

**Immunohistochemistry**

Adult ocelli were dissected and stained by a protocol published in [37] whereas dissection and immunohistochemistry of adult retinas were done according to [55]. After the immunostainings, tissue samples were mounted by using Vectashield H-1000 (Vector laboratories). Primary antibodies and their dilutions were as follows:
Rabbit anti-Rh2 1:100 [37], Rabbit anti-Rh6 1:10,000 [56], Rabbit anti-Hth 1:500 [57],
Chicken anti-βGal 1:1000 (Abcam), Chicken anti-GFP 1:2000 (Life technologies),
Rabbit anti-Hazy 1:500 [53], Mouse anti-Svp 1:100 [58], Rat anti-BarH1 1:200 [59],
Mouse anti-Rh1 1:20, Rat anti-Elav 1:20 and Mouse anti-Chp 1:20 (Developmental
Studies Hybridoma bank). Phalloidin conjugated with Alexa-568 and Alexa-647
(Sigma-Aldrich, Life Technologies) marks F-actin and were used (1:1000) during
incubation with secondary antibodies. The following secondary antibodies were used:
Goat anti-rabbit, Goat anti-mouse, Goat anti-rat and Goat anti-chicken that are
conjugated with Alexa-488, Alexa-555 and Alexa-647 (Jackson Immunoresearch). All
secondary antibodies are used at 1:200 dilution.

Confocal microscopy and Image analysis
Tissue samples were imaged with a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope at a
resolution of 1024x1024 pixels and optical sections were taken in the range of 1-2 µm
depending on the sample size. Images were further processed and analysed in

Figure legends

Figure 1: Overview of an insect visual system, its evolutionary diversification
and comparison of Rhodopsin expression in the Drosophila ocelli and
compound eye. (A) Dorsal view of the head of a fruit fly showing locations of
compound eye (CE) and ocelli (black circle). (B) Cross section of a Drosophila adult
brain where ocelli are marked by expression of Rh2 (in green). Chp expression (in
blue) marked ocellar PRs and Elav expression (in red) marked neuronal population
(C) Schematic representation of a morphological bifurcation event that occurred over
500 million years ago (mya) in an ancestral eye of hexapod ancestors that is believed to form ocelli and compound eye. (D) Schematic representation of gene duplications in *Drosophila rhodopsins* during evolution. Blue dotted square box marks the long wavelength opsin clade consisting of Rh1, Rh2 and Rh6. It is believed that a first tandem gene duplication separated Rh6 from Rh1/Rh2 and a second gene duplication separated the closely related Rh1 and Rh2. (E-G) Longitudinal sections through the ocelli stained for Rh1 (E), Rh2 (F) or Rh6 (G) shown in green. These proteins, if expressed, are located to the rhabdomeres directly below the lenses, while Elav (red counterstain), which was used to identify the position of the ocelli, localizes to the nuclei of the photoreceptors, which are positioned below the rhabdomeres (compare with schematic drawing in C). (H-J) Cross sections of photoreceptors in the retina stained for Rh1 (H), Rh2 (I) or Rh6 (J) shown in green and for Phalloidin localising to the rhabdomeres shown in red. (E, H) Rh1 is exclusively expressed in the outer PRs of the retina but not in ocelli. (F, I) Rh2 is uniquely expressed in all ocellar PRs but not in the retina. (G, J) Rh6 is normally expressed in the inner PRs in the retina but not in ocelli.

**Figure 2: Hth expression and phenotype in ocelli.** (A) Antibody staining of Hth in the wildtype ocelli showing its expression in all ocellar PRs. Chp (green) and Elav (blue) marks ocellar PR neurons. (B) *hth* knockdown (*IGMR>*UAS-*hth*RNAi) in the ocelli by pan-photoreceptor *IGMR*-Gal4. In *hth* knockdown, Rh2 expression (green) is lost and there was a gain of Rh1 expression (red) in the ocellar PRs. (C) *exd* knockdown (*IGMR>*UAS-*exd*RNAi) in ocelli showed a similar phenotype i.e., loss of Rh2 expression (green) and gain of Rh1 expression (red). (D, E, F) Antibody staining of β-Galactosidase (β-Gal) in the control (*rh2-lacZ; IGMR/+*), in *hth* knockdown (*rh2-lacZ;
lGMR>hth<sub>RNAi</sub>) and in exd knockdown ocelli. βGal (green) expression was seen in control ocelli, also marked by Rh2 (blue) (D). However, βGal (green) expression was absent in both hth and exd knockdown ocelli, and ocellar PRs in both knockdowns were marked by Rh1 (red) (E, F). (G, H, I) Antibody staining of βGal in the control (rh1-lacZ; lGMR/+), in hth knockdown (rh1-lacZ; lGMR>hth<sub>RNAi</sub>) and in exd knockdown ocelli. In the control, ocelli were marked by Rh2 (blue) and βGal (green) expression was absent (G) whereas βGal expression was seen ectopically in both hth and exd knockdown ocelli where ocellar PRs were marked by Rh1 (red) (H, I).

**Figure 3: Expression of rh1 and rh2 reporter constructs in ocelli.** (A) Schematic representation of the rh2 minimal promoter used for making GFP reporter constructs ranging from positions -293 to +55 of the transcription start (+1, arrow). The wildtype promoter (rh2-GFP; in the top) contains three potential Hth binding sites (green) with their corresponding sequences shown. In the hth mutant promoter (rh2(hth mut)-GFP; in the bottom), the mutated sequences are shown with altered residues depicted in red. (B, C) Expression of the wildtype rh2-GFP and mutant rh2(hth mut)-GFP reporter lines (green) in ocelli stained with antibodies against GFP (green), Rh2 (red) and Rh1 (blue). Wildtype rh2-GFP (marked by anti-GFP) is expressed in PRs of ocelli (marked by anti-Rh2) staining the entire rhabdomeres (B) whereas the mutant rh2(hth-mut)-GFP (marked by anti-GFP) is not expressed in ocelli (C). (D) Schematic representation of the rh1 minimal promoter used for making the GFP reporter constructs ranging from positions -247 to +73 of the transcription start (+1, arrow). The wildtype promoter (top) contains two potential Hth binding sites (green; with its corresponding sequence shown). In the hth mutant promoter (bottom), the mutated sequences are shown with the altered residues depicted in red. (E, F) Expression of the wildtype rh1-GFP and
mutant rh1(hth mut)-GFP reporter lines in ocelli stained with antibodies against GFP (green) Rh2 (red) and Rh1 (blue). (E) Like Rh1, the rh1-GFP reporter is not expressed in the PRs of ocelli expressing only Rh2. (F) The mutant rh1(hth-mut)-GFP reporter line is expressed in PRs of ocelli.

Figure 4: Hth misexpression phenotype in the retina. (A) Antibody staining to show expression of Rh2 and Rh1 in the wildtype retina. Rh2 (green) is normally not expressed in the retina (A’) whereas Rh1 (blue) is expressed in the outer PRs of retina (A’’). PRs of the retina were stained with phalloidin (red). (B) Expression of Rh1 and Rh2 in the hth overexpression retina by lGMR-Gal4. Rh2 expression (green) can now be ectopically seen in the outer PRs of retina (B’) whereas Rh1 expression (blue) remained unaffected (B’’).

Figure 5: Hth overexpression clones in the retina. (A, B, C, D) Antibody staining to mark hth overexpression in clones (green) in the retina generated by the flip-out technique. (A, A’) Antibody staining against GFP to identify inner PR clones of the retina, also co-stained by Rh2 (blue) and Phalloidin (red). Rh2 expression was not induced (A’’). When clones were generated in the inner PRs. (B, B’) Antibody staining against GFP to identify outer PR clones of the retina, also co-stained by Rh2 (blue) and Phalloidin (red). When clones were generated in the outer PRs, Rh2 expression was induced (B’’). White arrows marked inner and outer PR clones (A, A’, B, B’) and their corresponding Rh2 expression. (C, C’, D, D’) Antibody staining against GFP to identify inner and outer PR clones of the retina, also co-stained by Rh1 (blue) and Phalloidin (red). When clones were either induced in the inner PRs (C, C’) or outer PRs (D, D’) of the retina, Rh1 expression is unaffected (C’’, D’’).
Figure 6: Knockdown screening of Hth interactors in the ocelli. (A) Expression of Rh1 (red) and Rh2 (green) in the wildtype ocelli. Ocellar PRs normally expresses Rh2 and Rh1 is usually absent. Knockdown of camta (B), lola (C) and dve (D) by lGMR-Gal4 showed loss of Rh2 expression (green) (previously found in [37]). However, Rh1 expression (red) were not ectopically induced in these knockdowns in the ocelli. Knockdown of scrib (E) and ets65a (F) showed a gain of Rh1 expression (red) in the ocelli whereas Rh2 expression (green) seemed to be unchanged in ocellar PRs.

Figure 7: Model for the binary Rhodopsin switch in the ocelli. Schematic representation showing origin of ocelli and compound eye from an ancestral eye in insects around 500 million years ago. We showed that Rh2 is normally expressed in the ocelli and gets independently regulated by Hazy and Hth. Hth regulates the binary Rhodopsin switch to promote Rh2 expression in ocelli while repressing Rh1. Overexpression of hth is sufficient to promote Rh2 expression but only at the outer-PRs of the retina.

Figure S1: Phylogenetic analysis of opsin (rh1, rh2 and rh6) duplications in dipterans. Phylogenetic tree by maximum likelihood method showed predicted rh1, rh2 and rh6 duplications in different dipteran species, such as Drosophila melanogaster, Ceratitis capitata, Musca domestica, Glossina palpalis, Lucilia cuprina, Aedes aegypti and Anopheles gambiae. Opsin paralog groups were named according to Drosophila nomenclature. Amino acid sequences of Rhodopsins were used for making the phylogenetic tree and these sequences were available either in Feuda lab on bitbucket ([https://bitbucket.org/Feuda-lab/opsin_diptera/src/master/](https://bitbucket.org/Feuda-lab/opsin_diptera/src/master/)) [30] or in
NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information). The Phylogenetic tree was made (by using MUSCLE online tool phylogeny.fr) and Rh5 sequence from Drosophila were taken as an outgroup.

**Figure S2: Independent roles of Hazy and Hth to regulate Rh2 expression in ocelli.** (A) Overexpression of rh1 in all PRs of ocelli by using pan-photoreceptor IGMR-Gal4. Antibody staining against Rh2 (green) and Rh1 (red) were performed to show that overexpression of rh1 does not inhibit Rh2 expression in ocelli. (B) Antibody staining to show expression of Rh2 (green) and Rh1 (red) in hazy\(^{-}\) null mutant ocelli. Rh2 expression is lost is absent in hazy\(^{-}\) mutants but they don’t show ectopic Rh1 expression in ocelli. (C) Antibody staining to show Hth expression (blue) in hazy\(^{-}\) mutant ocelli, also marked by Chp (green). Hth expression is not affected in ocellar PRs in hazy\(^{-}\) mutants. (D) Antibody staining to show Hazy expression (blue) in hth knockdown ocelli. Ocelli in hth knockdown is marked by staining against Rh1 (red). Hazy is expressed during the Rhodopsin switch in hth knockdown ocelli.

**Figure S5: Knockdown of Hth does not alter ocellar PR cell fate.** (A, B) Antibody staining against Svp (green) in the wildtype and in hth knockdown ocelli. Svp is normally expressed in R3/R4 and R1/R6 pairs of the developing retinal PRs [36] and not in wildtype ocelli (A). During the Rhodopsin switch by hth knockdown in ocelli, Svp is still not expressed in ocellar PRs (B). (C, D) Antibody staining against BarH1 (green) in the wildtype and in hth knockdown ocelli. BarH1 is normally expressed in R1/R6 pair of the developing retina [35] and not in wildtype ocelli (C). Upon Rhodopsin switch by hth knockdown, BarH1 is still not expressed in the ocellar PRs (D). Ocellar PRs are
marked by antibody staining against Elav (blue, in A and B) and Chp (red, in C and D).

**Figure S4: Alignment of the Rhodopsin 1 promoters of 12 Drosophila species.**
Conserved residues are depicted on a grey background. For the reporter constructs we cloned a 320 bp fragment ranging from nucleotides 2 to 320 of the *D. melanogaster* sequence in front of GFP. The two potential Hth binding sites are outlined in red. The RCSI is outlined in blue. The first Rh1 exon of *D. melanogaster* is outlined in black. In all twelve species the translation start (Met, arrow) is directly followed by an intron. Species used: *D. melanogaster* (Dmel), *D. simulans* (Dsim), *D. sechellia* (Dsec), *D. yakuba* (Dyak), *D. erecta* (Dere), *D. ananassae* (Dana), *D. persimilis* (Dper), *D. pseudoobscura* (Dpse), *D. willistoni* (Dwil), *D. grimshawi* (Dgri), *D. mojavensis* (Dmoj), *D. virilis* (Dvir).

**Figure S5: Alignment of the Rhodopsin 2 promoters of 12 Drosophila species.**
Conserved residues are depicted on a grey background. For the reporter constructs we cloned the 348 bp fragment of *D. melanogaster* from the endogenous Sal I restriction site (underlined in green) to the last nucleotide before the translation Start (Met, arrow) in front of GFP. This promoter sequence partially overlaps the last exon of the neighbouring gene *CG14297*. The three potential Hth binding sites are outlined in red. The first site is located within the coding sequence of *CG14297*. The second site which is only conserved within the melanogaster group (top five species) is located at the stop codon (asterisk) of *CG14297*, and the last site is located at the end of the 3'UTR. The RSCI (outlined in blue) is located within the 80 bp sequence between the end of the *CG14297* 3'UTR and the transcription start of *Rhodopsin 2*. The exons of
D. melanogaster are outlined in black. Species used: D. melanogaster (Dmel), D. simulans (Dsim), D. sechellia (Dsec), D. yakuba (Dyak), D. erecta (Dere), D. ananassae (Dana), D. pseudoobscura (Dpse), D. persimilis (Dper), D. willistoni (Dwil), D. mojavensis (Dmoj), D. grimshawi (Dgri), D. virilis (Dvir).

Figure S6: A table for knockdown mini-screening candidates in the ocelli. The table consists of candidates either known to have interactions with Hth (information from Flybase) or they have been previously found to regulate Rh2 expression (from Mishra et al., 2016). For knockdown screening, flies were ordered from Bloomington's Drosophila stock center and the stock numbers are listed in the table.
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Figure 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rh2 Phalloidin Rh1</th>
<th>Rh2</th>
<th>Rh1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wildtype</strong></td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="A" /></td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="A'" /></td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="A''" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IGMR&gt;UAS-hth</strong></td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="B" /></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="B'" /></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="B''" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The images illustrate the distribution of Rh2, Phalloidin, and Rh1 under the conditions of wildtype and IGMR>UAS-hth.
Figure 6
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