Article

Independent duplications of the Golgi phosphoprotein 3 oncogene in birds

Juan C. Opazo^{1,2,3,*}, Michael W. Vandewege⁴, Javier Gutierrez⁴, Kattina Zavala², Luis Vargas-Chacoff^{1,5,6}, Francisco J. Morera^{1,7}, Gonzalo A. Mardones^{1,8,9,*}

¹Integrative Biology Group, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

²Instituto de Ciencias Ambientales y Evolutivas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

³Millennium Nucleus of Ion Channel-Associated Diseases (MiNICAD), Valdivia, Chile.

⁴Department of Biology, Eastern New Mexico University, Portales, New Mexico, USA.

⁵Instituto de Ciencias Marinas y Limnológicas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁶Centro Fondap de Investigación de Altas Latitudes (IDEAL), Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁷Applied Biochemistry Laboratory, Instituto de Farmacología y Morfofisiología, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁸Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

⁹Center for Interdisciplinary Studies of the Nervous System (CISNe), Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile.

*Correspondence:

Juan C. Opazo

jopazo@gmail.com

Gonzalo A. Mardones

gonzalo.mardones@uach.cl

Keywords: Birds, Cancer, Gene Family Evolution, Golgi apparatus, GOLPH3, GOLPH3L, intrinsically disordered region, Vps74.

Abstract

Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) was the first reported oncoprotein of the Golgi apparatus. It was identified as an evolutionarily conserved protein upon its discovery about 20 years ago, but its function remains puzzling in normal and cancer cells. The GOLPH3 gene is part of a group of genes that also includes the GOLPH3L gene. Because cancer has deep roots in multicellular evolution, studying the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family in non-model species represents an opportunity to identify new model systems that could help better understand the biology behind this group of genes. The main goal of this study is to explore the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family in birds as a starting point to understand the evolutionary history of this oncoprotein. We identified a repertoire of three GOLPH3 genes in birds. We found duplicated copies of the GOLPH3 gene in all main groups of birds other than paleognaths, and a single copy of the GOLPH3L gene. We suggest there were at least three independent origins for GOLPH3 duplicates. Amino acid divergence estimates show that most of the variation is located in the N-terminal region of the protein. Our transcript abundance estimations show that one paralog is highly and ubiquitously expressed, and the others were variable. Our results are an example of the significance of understanding the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family, especially for unraveling its structural and functional attributes.

Introduction

Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) is a highly conserved protein of the Golgi apparatus^{1,2} considered the first oncoprotein of this subcellular compartment³. The GOLPH3 gene family comprises the conserved GOLPH3 gene and the GOLPH3L gene found only in vertebrates^{1,2}. Despite the vast amount of empirical evidence demonstrating the contribution of GOLPH3 to tumorigenesis and cancer, a full understanding of its molecular role has not yet emerged. This is mainly due to multiple functions attributed to GOLPH3³, including, the sorting of Golgi glycosyltransferases⁴⁻⁶, the modulation of focal adhesion dynamics⁷, induction of membrane curvature⁸, and an intriguing function for a Golgi protein, regulating mitochondrial function⁹. Because cancer has deep evolutionary roots that arise as a consequence of the multicellularity¹⁰, and is widespread across animals¹¹, studying the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family in non-model species can provide significant information for a comparative oncology approach, which is emerging as an integrative field to tackle cancer¹⁰.

The availability of whole-genome sequences opens an opportunity to understand the evolution of gene families. The annotation of gene repertoires in different species has revealed that copy number variation is an important source of variability that should be considered when making functional comparisons^{12,13}. Phylogenetic reconstructions show that the evolution of gene families follows complex pathways, including gene gain and losses and independent origins, making it challenging to perform direct interspecies comparisons. Thus, understanding the variability of gene repertoires and their duplicative history represents an essential piece of information to understand the biological functions associated with a group of genes and make biologically meaningful comparisons. Today, the GOLPH3 gene family is viewed as a group of genes containing two paralogs (GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L) with 1:1 orthologs among most vertebrate species^{1,2}. Among amniotes, it is suggested that, in contrast to mammals, birds are less susceptible to cancer^{10,14-18}; however, this information should be taken with caution given sampling bias¹⁹. Thus, the study of genes associated with cancer in birds could provide clues about the genetic bases associated with this difference and suggest additional model systems that could help to understand the biology of the GOLPH3 gene family.

The main goal of this study was to analyze the evolutionary history of the GOLPH3 gene family in birds. We took advantage of whole genome sequences in representative species of all main lineages of birds to understand the evolutionary pathways that gave rise to GOLPH3 paralogs. According to our assessment, we identified a repertoire of three GOLPH3 genes in birds. We found duplicated copies of the GOLPH3 gene in all main groups of birds other than paleognaths, and a single copy of the GOLPH3L gene that would be derived from the common ancestor of all birds. In the case of the GOLPH3 gene, our gene tree suggests at least three independent origins for the duplicated copies, in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes, in the ancestor of Anseriformes, and the ancestor of Neoaves. Divergence estimates between duplicated genes showed that most of the variation is located in the N-terminal region of the protein. Our transcript abundance estimations showed that one paralog was highly and ubiquitously expressed, while the others were variable. Our evolutionary analyses suggest a more complex than anticipated evolutionary history of the GOLPH3 gene family, a scenario that could have implications for cancer.

Results and Discussion

Independent duplication events characterize the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family member in birds

Comparing the sister group relationship among gene family members, i.e. gene tree, with the species tree represents a fundamental strategy to understand homologous relationships, duplicative history, and modes of evolution of any group of genes^{20,21}. In our case, our gene tree did not significantly deviate from the most updated phylogenetic hypotheses for the main group of birds^{22–25} (Fig. 1), suggesting that GOLPH3 was present in the ancestor of the group as a single copy gene. We recovered a clade containing GOLPH3 sequences from paleognaths (ostriches, tinamous, and allies) sister to GOLPH3 sequences from all other birds (Fig. 1). Further, we recovered the sister group relationship of the GOLPH3 sequences from Galliformes (chickens, pheasants, and allies) and Anseriformes (ducks, swans, and allies), in turn, this clade was recovered sister to GOLPH3 sequences from Neoaves (Fig. 1).

We found a single copy gene, located on chromosome Z, in most paleognaths species, except in the white-throated tinamou (*Tinamus guttatus*), where two copies were identified (Fig. 1), suggesting that this species independently gave rise to a second GOLPH3 copy located on chromosome W. The location of these genes on sexual chromosomes, and given the sex-determination system of birds²⁶, indicates that only females (ZW) can express both paralogs. In the case of Neoaves, our tree topology is not well resolved, being difficult to anticipate details regarding the duplicative history of the GOLPH3 paralog in this group (Fig. 1). However, for a diversity of species (e.g., zebra finch, common canary, kakapo), we found duplicated copies on different chromosomes, suggesting that the duplication event that gave rise to them occurred in the ancestor of Neoaves. Similar to the case of the white-throated tinamou (*Tinamus guttatus*), we found duplicated copies in the killdeer (*Charadrius vociferus*) that were recovered sister to each other (Fig. 1), suggesting that they arose as a product of a species-specific gene duplication event.

The evolutionary history of the GOLPH3 gene in the clade that includes Galliformes and Anseriformes followed a more complicated evolutionary pathway (Fig. 1). According to our assessment, we found a repertoire of two copies in species belonging to both groups (Fig. 1); however, our gene tree suggests that the events that gave rise to them followed a pattern of gene birth-and-death²⁷ (Fig. 2). The reconciliation of the gene tree with the species tree suggests that the last common ancestor of Anseriformes and Galliformes, which lived 80 million years ago approximately²⁸, had a single copy gene that underwent a duplication event (Fig. 2), giving rise to a repertoire of two GOLPH3 copies (Fig. 2). One of the copies was retained in Galliformes (Fig. 2; GOLPH3.1_{GA}; red lineage), but lost in Anseriformes (Fig. 2; red lineage). This GOLPH3 gene copy is located on chromosome W. The other copy, also originated in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes, was also retained in Galliformes (Fig. 3; GOLPH3.2_{GA}; pink lineage) and is located on chromosome Z. In the last common ancestor of Anseriformes, this copy underwent a duplication event giving rise to two copies (Fig. 3; GOLPH3.2.1_A, purple lineage and GOLPH3.2.2_A, light purple lineage). Like other cases, in Anseriformes, one of the copies is located on chromosome Z, while the other on chromosome W; the exception is the mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) in which is found on chromosome 22.

Thus, the main groups of birds possess gene repertoires with different evolutionary origins (Fig. 2). Most paleognaths retained the ancestral condition of a single gene copy, whereas Galliformes, Anseriformes, and Neoaves possess duplicated copies that originated independently (Fig. 2). Anseriformes and Neoaves gave rise to their repertoire in the ancestor of each group (Fig. 2), while Galliformes retained copies that originated in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes (Fig. 2). The independent origin of gene families in different groups is not an unusual

event during the evolutionary process^{29–33}; however, it should be taken into account when making comparisons because non-orthologous genes - i.e., genes with different evolutionary origin - are being compared. Our results also highlight the importance of manual curation in defining the composition of gene families. The description of new genes is also not uncommon^{34–36}, and their discovery could be attributed to their presence in non-model species and/or the absence of appropriate evolutionary analyses. The presence of species with different gene repertoires represents an opportunity to understand the evolutionary fate of duplicated genes³⁷ and the biological functions associated with a group of genes. This phenomenon, variation in gene copy number, has been associated with differences in susceptibility to diseases in different taxonomic groups. For example, in the African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*), it has been claimed that an expansion in the number of TP53 “the guardian of the genome” gene copies could help to explain the lower risk of developing cancer in this large and long-lived animal^{12,13}. Similarly, in whales there are also an expansion of gene families related to cancer, and an accelerated rate of evolution in genomic regions enriched with pathways involved in cancer^{38,39}. Further evidence comes from bats, a group in which the lifespan exceeds the expectation based on their body size⁴⁰. In this group, it has been documented the expansion of several genes, for example, FBXO31, which is related to cell cycle arrest and response to DNA damage diminishing the probability of developing cancer^{41–43}. Thus, the expanded repertoire of GOLPH3 genes in birds could be part of a set of genomic traits that account for their lower susceptibility to cancer than mammals.

Molecular divergence between duplicated copies of GOLPH3 genes

According to our analyses, the divergence values between duplicated GOLPH3 copies are low. In Galliformes, the divergence values ranged from 1.79% to 4.76%. However, by checking the amino acid alignment, we realized that most of the observed differences are in the first ~50 amino acids of the N-terminal region of the protein (Fig. 3). By estimating amino acid sequence divergence for the N- and C- terminal regions separately, we observed that the divergence values for the C-terminal region ranged from 1.79% to 2.47%, while for the N-terminal region, which represents only ~1/6 of the amino acid sequence, ranged from 15.69% to 17.65%. In this group of birds we found eleven amino acid positions in the alignment that unequivocally distinguish between both paralogs (Fig. 3). Six of them are in the N-terminal region, while the others are in the C-terminal portion of the molecule (Fig. 3). In Anseriformes (Fig. 4), the divergence values range from 1.69% to 4.49%, similar to those estimated for Galliformes. Also, most of the observed differences are in the N-terminal region of the molecule with divergence values ranging from 5.66% to 9.62%. In the case of the C-terminal region, the values varied from 0.8% to 2.9%. In this group of birds there are three amino acid positions that unequivocally distinguish both paralogs (Fig. 4), one of them is located on the N-terminal region of the molecule, whereas the other two in the C-terminal region (Fig. 4). In Neoaves we found the same evolutionary pattern as described for Anseriformes and Galliformes, i.e. most of the amino acid replacements are found in the N-terminal portion of the protein. The divergence values for the whole protein ranged from 1.36% to 3.72%, for the N-terminal region varied from 3.92% to 15.38%, whereas for the C-terminal region went from 0.41% to 2.06%. Unlike the previous cases we did not identify amino acid sites in the alignment that distinguish both paralogs (Fig. 5).

These differences in amino acid sequence divergence for the N- and C- terminal regions of the GOLPH3 paralogs could have arisen as a consequence of different structural and functional constraints during its evolution. Secondary structure prediction indicates that the region comprising the first ~40-60 amino acids of GOLPH3 is disordered in a variety of organisms (e.g.,

yeast, fruit fly, spotted gar, human) that share a common ancestor more than a billion of years ago (Fig. 6A and Supplementary Figure 1). Accordingly, only the crystal structures of N-terminal truncation variants of GOLPH3 and Vps74 (GOLPH3 in yeasts) have been solved^{44,45}. Both structures are remarkably similar (backbone atom root mean square deviation of ~1.0 Å), consisting of a single globular domain that is predominantly α -helical, with a central four-helix bundle surrounded by solvent-exposed loops, and eight amphipathic helices^{44,45}. The overall structure of the N-terminal truncated GOLPH3 protein is unique, with no strong structural homology to known protein folds, resulting so far challenging to predict its function based on its structure. Protein structure homology modeling of GOLPH3.1_{GA} and GOLPH3.2_{GA} of chicken (Supplementary Figure 2) showed that of the divergent amino acids in the C-terminal region only L255 in GOLPH3.1_{GA} and Q256 in GOLPH3.2_{GA} are non-conservative (Fig. 3)⁴⁶. The position of Q256 is predicted to be exposed at the surface of GOLPH3.2_{GA}, like it is for Q260 in human GOLPH3. However, the variant L255 in GOLPH3.1_{GA} is intriguing because the preferred position of leucine residues is buried in regions of proteins facing hydrophobic cores and not exposed on protein surfaces/boundaries such as in this case.. None of the divergent amino acids of the C-terminal region in Anseriformes and Neoaves are structurally disfavored. The C-terminal region of GOLPH3 is sufficient for GOLPH3 physical interaction with the membrane of the Golgi apparatus¹. This interaction is mediated by a series of highly conserved residues that are postulated to interact with phosphate groups and the inositol ring of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate located in the cytosolic leaflet of the Golgi membrane⁴⁴, set of residues that are also conserved in both copies of GOLPH3 in birds (Figs. 3-5 and Supplementary Figures 1 and 3). In contrast, the N-terminal disordered region has no known function. Intriguingly, some proteins containing disordered regions have the capacity to undergo liquid-liquid phase separation that could result in their partitioning in functional biomolecular condensates also known as membrane-less compartments^{1,47}. However, it is unknown whether GOLPH3 has this capacity. In any case, the distinct amino acid sequence divergence values for the N-terminal disordered region of GOLPH3 suggest a more flexible functional role. Thus, it will be important to determine whether this domain contributes to the functions of GOLPH3 as oncoprotein.

Evolution of GOLPH3L paralog

In contrast to GOLPH3, GOLPH3L is largely uncharacterized. Although the amino acid sequences of human GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L are 78% similar (65% identical), it has been suggested that GOLPH3L antagonizes the functions of GOLPH3⁴⁸. Despite this, other reports suggest a similar function to GOLPH3 for GOLPH3L in some types of cancer⁴⁹⁻⁵³. The evolutionary history of GOLPH3L followed a different trajectory in comparison to the GOLPH3 gene (Fig. 7). In this case, our gene tree recovered the main groups of birds according to the most updated organismal phylogenies²²⁻²⁵; nevertheless, it was not possible to define the relationships among them (Fig. 7). We will assume that the lack of resolution is mainly caused by the limited amount of phylogenetic information contained in a single gene, instead of more complex evolutionary scenarios invoking gene duplications and reciprocal loss in the ancestor of the main groups of birds. Thus, according to our results the GOLPH3L gene was present in the ancestor of birds as a single copy gene (Fig. 2), and this gene was inherited by all descendant lineages (Fig. 2). Thus, GOLPH3L genes in different bird species are 1:1 orthologs. Amino acid divergence values show a similar trend as we described for GOLPH3, i.e., the N-terminal portion of the protein is more divergent than the C-terminal region (Fig. 8). In the case of Galliformes, the divergence values for the N-terminal part of the molecule ranged from 5.71% to 17.14%, while for the C-terminal region it varied from

3.28% to 6.97%. In Anseriformes, the values for the N-terminal region ranged from 7.89% to 26.32%, whereas for the C-terminal portion varied from 2.05% to 4.92%. In the case of Neoves, the evolutionary trend is the same, although the values for the N-terminal region are higher. Thus, the values for the N-terminal part of the molecule ranged from 20% to 43.34%, while for the C-terminal region varied from 4.10% to 17.55%. The secondary structure prediction indicates that this region in GOLPH3L, although shorter than in GOLPH3, is also disordered (Fig. 6B).

One thing that seems interesting is the number of changes accumulated in the branch leading to Galliformes and to manakins (Fig. 7). This phenomenon could be indicative of an acceleration of the rate of fixation of amino acid changing mutations in the ancestors of both groups. To test this hypothesis, we estimated the omega value (d_N/d_S), i.e., the ratio of non-synonymous (d_N) to synonymous substitutions (d_S), in the branches leading to both groups. In brief, if non-synonymous substitutions are neutral, then the rate of fixation of d_N and d_S will be very similar, and $d_N/d_S \approx 1$. **Under negative selection, most non-synonymous substitutions are deleterious, and $d_N/d_S < 1$.** Finally, under positive selection non-synonymous (d_N) replacements are advantageous and will be fixed at a greater rate than synonymous substitutions (d_S) and in consequence $d_N/d_S > 1$ ⁵⁴. According to our analyses, in the ancestor of Galliformes the model in which the omega value was estimated from the data was not significantly different from the model in which the omega value was fixed to 1 (neutral evolution) (LRT=0.142, $P > 0.05$). On the other hand, in the case of manakins the model in which the omega value was estimated from the data ($d_N/d_S=6.2$) was significantly different from the null hypothesis of neutral evolution (LRT=7.19, $P < 0.01$), indicating that the rate of fixation of non-synonymous substitutions (d_N) is higher in comparison to the neutral expectation (d_S) and suggesting an event of positive selection in the ancestor of manakins. According to the Bayes Empirical Bayes (BEB) approach five sites (152C/G, 191R, 230A, 233R and 263G) were inferred under positive selection with a posterior probability higher than 0.95. All of them are located in the C-terminal region of the protein. Given the limited understanding of the biological functions associated with the GOLPH3 gene family, in particular of the GOLPH3L gene³, it is challenging to explain the consequences of positive selection in GOLPH3L in this group of birds. However, it could be interesting to carry out functional assays in which the performance of manakins GOLPH3L protein is compared to the one in other birds.

Expression pattern of GOLPH3 gene family members

Our next step was to investigate the expression pattern of the GOLPH3 gene family members, especially for the duplicated copies derived independently in different groups of birds. To do this, we mapped RNASeq reads to reference gene sequences in the chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and examined transcript abundance in a panel of nine tissues (Fig. 9). It is important to say that in chicken, the duplicated GOLPH3 copies are located on chromosome Z (GOLPH3.2_{GA}) and W (GOLPH3.1_{GA}), while GOLPH3L is on chromosome 25. Therefore, females (ZW) can express all gene family members, whereas males (ZZ) can only express GOLPH3.2_{GA} and GOLPH3L. This situation is somewhat similar to the allelic trichromacy observed in New World monkeys, where some females (XX) possess trichromatic color vision due to a polymorphism of an opsin gene located on chromosome X, while males (XY) are all dichromatic⁵⁵. The case is different in mallard, as one copy is located on chromosome Z (GOLPH3.2.1_A) but GOLPH3.2.2_A and GOLPH3L are autosomal genes, so both sexes can potentially express all paralogs.

In both species, the paralog located on the Z chromosome (GOLPH3.2_{GA} in chicken and GOLPH3.2.1_A in mallard) was highly and ubiquitously expressed across all tissues (Fig. 9). We collected chicken libraries from both male and female tissues, and unfortunately the sex of the individuals for some tissues was not declared (Supplemental Table S2). As such GOLPH3.1_{GA} exhibited variable expression from mixed sex sampling (Fig 9A). Thus, GOLPH3.1_{GA} was highly expressed in the brain and ovary where all libraries were constructed from female individuals (Fig 9A). Although we do not know the sex of the individuals for the intestine libraries of the chicken, based on the consistent expression of the gene located on chromosome W, we can presume that they were all from female individuals (Fig. 9A). As a validation of what we mentioned above, GOLPH3.1_{GA} was not expressed in all known male tissue libraries, which was most noticeable in the male specific testes (Fig. 9A). All autosomal paralogs in both species were variably expressed among and within tissues (Fig 9). In the case of the chicken GOLPH3L, we recovered expression in all tissues but at variable levels, from low values in the brain and heart to higher values in the intestine and kidney (Fig. 9A). By contrast GOLPH3L was universally expressed in all mallard tissues (Fig. 9B). GOLPH3.2.2_A in mallard was not expressed in the brain, liver and testes, but highly expressed in the ovary, spleen and intestine. In both species, all GOLPH3 paralogs were consistently expressed in the intestine (Fig. 9).

In humans, both paralogs are ubiquitously expressed across all tissues ⁵⁶, suggesting that they are required for the maintenance of basic cellular functions ⁵⁷; however, GOLPH3L seems to be expressed at lower levels. Similarly, the relative expression levels of GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L in several mammalian cell lines with epithelial, fibroblast, myeloid and neuronal characteristics, and in a variety of tissues from mice also indicates that GOLPH3 is also ubiquitously expressed at higher levels than GOLPH3L ⁴⁸. Further, GOLPH3L is expressed more in cells with secretory epithelial characteristics ⁴⁸, suggesting a distinct function for this gene family member. The expression pattern observed in the mallard is similar to what is observed in model species (Fig. 9B). One of the GOLPH3 duplicates (GOLPH3.2.1_A) is expressed in all examined tissues at high levels, while GOLPH3L is also expressed in all tissues, but at lower levels. The expression of the other duplicate (GOLPH3.2.2_A) is variable, including tissues in which it is not detected (Fig. 9). The case of the chicken seems to be more dissimilar. In this species one of the GOLPH3 duplicates (GOLPH3.2_{GA}) possesses an expression pattern similar to the human GOLPH3 (Fig. 9A), however the other two copies seem to follow a specific expression pattern (Fig. 9A).

Conclusions

Our study shows that the evolution of the GOLPH3 gene family followed a more complicated evolutionary pathway than previously thought. Although the history of the GOLPH3L paralog is according to the current knowledge, the one of GOLPH3 is not. The most exciting thing about the evolution of GOLPH3 in birds is that they possess extra GOLPH3 gene copies never described before, and that all main groups independently originated their repertoire. In other words, they do not have the same evolutionary origin, and in consequence, they are not 1:1 orthologs and are not directly comparable. Most paleognaths retained the ancestral condition of a single gene copy, whereas Galliformes, Anseriformes, and Neoaves possess duplicated copies that were originated independently. Thus, birds represent a natural experiment of gene copy number variation ⁵⁸, that in addition to the differences in expression of individuals of different sex, could help us improve our understanding of the biological functions associated with the GOLPH3 gene family. Our results also highlight the power of manually curating genetic data to define gene repertoires, and the reconciliation of gene trees with species trees ⁵⁹ to understand the duplicative

history of gene families to perform biologically meaningful comparisons^{21,60}. Finally, the conservation of the N-terminal portion of GOLPH3 paralogs as a disordered region for more than a billion of years of evolution and the fact that it displays a higher degree of divergence among species, compared to the C-terminal portion, strongly suggests that it performs an essential, specialized and adapted cellular function conserved in distantly related species like yeasts and humans that remains to be elucidated.

Material and Methods

DNA sequences and phylogenetic analyses

We performed searches for GOLPH3 sequences in avian genomes in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)⁶¹ and the Ensembl v.102 databases⁶². We retrieved orthologs and paralogs from the NCBI⁶¹ using the chicken (*Gallus gallus*), zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*), and mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) sequences using the program blast (blastn)⁶³ against the non-redundant database (nr) with default parameters. Additionally, we also retrieved sequences from the Ensembl v.102 database⁶². In cases where sequences are not complete we manually annotated them. To do so, we first identified the genomic fragment containing the GOLPH3 gene in Ensembl v.102⁶² or NCBI databases⁶⁴. Once identified, genomic fragments were extracted, including flanking genes. After extraction, we manually annotated GOLPH3 genes by comparing known exon sequences from a species that share a common ancestor most recently in time to the species of which the genomic piece is being annotated using the program Blast2seq v2.5⁶⁵ with default parameters. Accession numbers and details about the taxonomic sampling are available in Supplementary Table S1.

We performed separate phylogenetic analyses for GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L paralogs. Amino acid sequences were aligned using MAFFT v.7⁶⁶, allowing the program to choose the alignment strategy (L-INS-i in both cases). Nucleotide alignments were generated using the amino acid alignments as templates using the software PAL2NAL⁶⁷. We used the proposed model tool of IQ-Tree v.1.6.12⁶⁸ to select the best-fitting model of codon substitution, which selected MGK+F1X4+R3 for GOLPH3 and MGK+F3X4+G4 for GOLPH3L. This approach uses a more realistic description of the evolutionary process at the protein-coding sequence level by incorporating the genetic code structure in the model. We used the maximum likelihood method to obtain the best trees using the program IQ-Tree v1.6.12⁶⁹. We assessed support for the nodes using three strategies: a Bayesian-like transformation of aLRT (aBayes test)⁷⁰, SH-like approximate likelihood ratio test (SH-aLRT)⁷¹ and the ultrafast bootstrap approximation⁷². In each case (GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L), we carried out 25 independent runs to explore the tree space, and the tree with the highest likelihood score was chosen. In both cases, GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L sequences from crocodiles and turtles were used as outgroups (Supplementary Table S1).

Molecular Evolution analysis

To measure variation in functional constraint among the GOLPH3L genes and to test for evidence of positive selection, we estimated the omega parameter (d_N/d_S), using a maximum-likelihood approach⁷³ implemented in the CODEML module of the program PAML v.4.8a⁷⁴. We implemented branch-site models, which explore changes in the omega parameter for a set of sites in a specific branch of the tree to assess changes in their selective regime⁷⁵. In this case, we conducted two separate analyses. In the first, the ancestral branch of Galliformes was labeled as the foreground branch, while in the second, the branch leading to manakins was labeled as a foreground branch. We compared the modified model A⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷, in which some sites are allowed to

change to an omega value > 1 in the foreground branch, with the corresponding null hypothesis of neutral evolution using a Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT). Three starting omega values (0.5, 1, and 2) were used to check the existence of multiple local optima. The Bayes Empirical Bayes (BEB) method was used to identify sites under positive selection^{78,79}.

Secondary structure and disordered region prediction and protein structure homology modeling

Secondary structure and disordered region prediction was performed using the PredictProtein server (<https://predictprotein.org/>)⁸⁰. Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MAFFT v.7 server (<https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/>) with default parameters⁸¹. Multiple sequence alignment editing was performed using Jalview software v.2.11.1.3⁸². Protein structure homology modeling was performed using the SWISS-MODEL server (<https://swissmodel.expasy.org/>)⁸³. Structural figures were prepared with PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0.6 Schrödinger, LLC.

Transcript abundance analyses

GOLPH3 transcript abundance was measured in chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). We collected three RNASeq libraries from brain, heart, intestine, kidney, liver, lung, spleen, ovary, and testis from each species gathered from the NCBI Short Read Archive (SRA)⁸⁴. Accession numbers can be found in Supplemental Table S2. Reference transcript sequences were collected from Ensembl v.102⁶², and we only included the longest transcript for each gene. For each library, adapters were removed using Trimmomatic 0.38⁸⁵, and reads were filtered for quality using the parameters HEADCROP:5, SLIDINGWINDOW:5:30, and MINLEN:50. We mapped quality filtered paired-end RNAseq reads back to reference sequences using Bowtie 1.2.2⁸⁶ and default parameters of RSEM⁸⁷. Normalization of raw read counts for each species was performed using the estimateSizeFactors and estimateDispersions functions in DESeq2 v1.26⁸⁸.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico from Chile (FONDECYT 1210471) and Millennium Nucleus of Ion Channels Associated Diseases (MiNICAD), Iniciativa Científica Milenio, Ministry of Economy, Development and Tourism from Chile to JCO, the US Dept. of Education HSI-STEM grant P031C110114-15 to MWV, Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico from Chile (FONDECYT 1180957) to FJM and LVC, Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico from Chile (FONDECYT 1211481) to GAM and Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Desarrollo y Creación Artística of Universidad Austral de Chile (JCO, FJM, LVC, GAM).

Author contributions

JCO and GAM designed the study. MWV, JG, KZ, JCO, GAM collected and/or analyzed data. JCO and GAM wrote the manuscript. MWV, LV-C, FJM reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing of interest

Figure legends

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood tree showing sister group relationships among GOLPH3 genes of birds. Numbers above the nodes correspond to support values from the ultrafast bootstrap routine. GOLPH3 sequences from crocodiles and turtles were used as outgroups (not shown). The scale denotes substitutions per site and colors represent gene lineages. In the case of the Northern fulmar and Dalmatian pelican, there is no syntenic information to define to which gene lineage they belong. In consequence, they were not included in any shading or do not have a gene name

Figure 2. An evolutionary hypothesis regarding the evolution of the Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) gene family in birds. According to our results, the last common ancestor of birds had a repertoire of two GOLPH3 genes (GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L). The GOLPH3L gene was inherited by all species of birds and maintained as a single copy gene (brown lineage). The evolution of the GOLPH3 gene is more complicated. The gene present in the bird ancestor was inherited by paleognaths (ostriches, tinamous and allies) and maintained as a single copy gene in most species. In the case of Neoaves (zebra finches, kakapos and allies), the ancestral GOLPH3 gene underwent a duplication event in the ancestor of the group giving rise to a repertoire of two genes (blue and light blue gene lineages). In the last common ancestor of Anseriformes (ducks, swans and allies) and Galliformes (chickens, pheasants and allies) the ancestral GOLPH3 gene underwent a duplication event giving rise to a repertoire of two genes, one of the copies was retained in Galliformes (red lineage), but lost in Anseriformes (red lineage). The other copy originated in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes, was also retained in Galliformes (pink lineage), however, in the last common ancestor of Anseriformes underwent a duplication event giving rise to the repertoire of two copies (purple and light purple lineages). We use the subscripts to indicate the ancestor in which the gene repertoires were originated, N = the ancestor of Neoaves, A = the ancestor of Anseriformes and GA = the galliform/anseriform ancestor. Silhouette images were obtained from PhyloPic (<http://phylopic.org/>).

Figure 3. Alignment of Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) amino acid sequences from chicken (*Gallus gallus*), ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*), turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*) and japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*). Amino acids positions that differentiate between paralogs are in pink and red. The N-terminal region of the protein is shaded.

Figure 4. Alignment of Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) amino acid sequences from mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Tufted duck (*Aythya fuligula*), swan goose (*Anser cygnoides*) and ruddy duck (*Oxyura jamaicensis*). Amino acids positions that differentiate between paralogs are in purple and light purple. The N-terminal region of the protein is shaded.

Figure 5. Alignment of Golgi phosphoprotein 3 (GOLPH3) amino acid sequences from lance tailed manakin (*Chiroxiphia lanceolata*), kakapo (*Strigops habroptilus*), New caledonian crow (*Corvus moneduloides*) and zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). The N-terminal region of the protein is shaded.

Figure 6. Secondary structure and disordered region predictions of GOLPH3 (A) and GOLPH3L (B) in human (*Homo sapiens*), mouse (*Mus musculus*), chicken (*Gallus gallus*), Western clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*), coelacanth (*Latimeria chalumnae*), spotted gar (*Lepisosteus oculatus*),

thorny skate (*Amblyraja radiata*), fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*), roundworm (*Caenorhabditis elegans*) and brewer yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*).

Figure 7. Maximum likelihood tree showing sister group relationships among GOLPH3L genes of birds. Numbers above the nodes correspond to support values from the ultrafast bootstrap routine. GOLPH3L sequences from crocodiles and turtles were used as outgroups (not shown). The scale denotes substitutions per site.

Figure 8. Alignment of Golgi phosphoprotein 3L (GOLPH3L) amino acid sequences from mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Tufted duck (*Aythya fuligula*), black swan (*Cygnus atratus*), ruddy duck (*Oxyura jamaicensis*), chicken (*Gallus gallus*), ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*), turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), japanese quail (*Coturnix japonica*), lance tailed manakin (*Chiroxiphia lanceolata*), kakapo (*Strigops habroptilus*), New caledonian crow (*Corvus moneduloides*) and zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). The N-terminal region of the protein is shaded.

Figure 9. Transcript abundance measurements of GOLPH3 paralogs across a panel of nine tissues in A) chicken (*Gallus gallus*) and B) mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*). The mean and standard deviation are plotted for three replicates per tissue. In many cases the standard deviation was smaller than the size of the circle representing the mean and appears hidden.

References

1. Wu, C. C. *et al.* GMx33: a novel family of trans-Golgi proteins identified by proteomics. *Traffic* **1**, 963–975 (2000).
2. Bell, A. W. *et al.* Proteomics characterization of abundant Golgi membrane proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 5152–5165 (2001).
3. Sechi, S., Frappaolo, A., Belloni, G., Colotti, G. & Giansanti, M. G. The multiple cellular functions of the oncoprotein Golgi phosphoprotein 3. *Oncotarget* **6**, 3493–3506 (2015).
4. Ali, M. F., Chachadi, V. B., Petrosyan, A. & Cheng, P.-W. Golgi phosphoprotein 3 determines cell binding properties under dynamic flow by controlling Golgi localization of core 2 N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 39564–39577 (2012).
5. Pereira, N. A., Pu, H. X., Goh, H. & Song, Z. Golgi phosphoprotein 3 mediates the Golgi localization and function of protein O-linked mannose β -1,2-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase 1. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 14762–14770 (2014).
6. Isaji, T. *et al.* An oncogenic protein Golgi phosphoprotein 3 up-regulates cell migration via sialylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **289**, 20694–20705 (2014).
7. Arriagada, C. *et al.* The knocking down of the oncoprotein Golgi phosphoprotein 3 in T98G cells of glioblastoma multiforme disrupts cell migration by affecting focal adhesion dynamics in a focal adhesion kinase-dependent manner. *PLoS One* **14**, e0212321 (2019).
8. Rahajeng, J. *et al.* Efficient Golgi Forward Trafficking Requires GOLPH3-Driven, PI4P-Dependent Membrane Curvature. *Dev. Cell* **50**, 573–585.e5 (2019).
9. Nakashima-Kamimura, N. *et al.* MIDAS/GPP34, a nuclear gene product, regulates total mitochondrial mass in response to mitochondrial dysfunction. *J. Cell Sci.* **118**, 5357–5367 (2005).
10. Boddy, A. M., Harrison, T. M. & Abegglen, L. M. Comparative Oncology: New Insights

- into an Ancient Disease. *iScience* **23**, 101373 (2020).
11. Albuquerque, T. A. F., Drummond do Val, L., Doherty, A. & de Magalhães, J. P. From humans to hydra: patterns of cancer across the tree of life. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **93**, 1715–1734 (2018).
12. Abegglen, L. M. *et al.* Potential Mechanisms for Cancer Resistance in Elephants and Comparative Cellular Response to DNA Damage in Humans. *JAMA* **314**, 1850–1860 (2015).
13. Sulak, M. *et al.* TP53 copy number expansion is associated with the evolution of increased body size and an enhanced DNA damage response in elephants. (2016)
doi:10.7554/eLife.11994.
14. Pang, V. F. *et al.* Spontaneous neoplasms in zoo mammals, birds, and reptiles in Taiwan – a 10-year survey. *Anim. Biol. Leiden Neth.* **62**, 95–110 (2012).
15. Lombard, L. S. & Witte, E. J. Frequency and types of tumors in mammals and birds of the Philadelphia Zoological Garden. *Cancer Res.* **19**, 127–141 (1959).
16. Ratcliffe, H. L. Incidence and Nature of Tumors in Captive Wild Mammals and Birds. *Am. J. Cancer* **17**, 116–135 (1933).
17. Møller, A. P., Erritzøe, J. & Soler, J. J. Life history, immunity, Peto’s paradox and tumours in birds. *J. Evol. Biol.* **30**, 960–967 (2017).
18. Effron, M., Griner, L. & Benirschke, K. Nature and rate of neoplasia found in captive wild mammals, birds, and reptiles at necropsy. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **59**, 185–198 (1977).
19. Hochberg, M. E. & Noble, R. J. A framework for how environment contributes to cancer risk. *Ecol. Lett.* **20**, 117–134 (2017).
20. Nei, M. & Rooney, A. P. Concerted and birth-and-death evolution of multigene families.

- Annu. Rev. Genet.* **39**, 121–152 (2005).
21. Glover, N. *et al.* Advances and Applications in the Quest for Orthologs. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 2157–2164 (2019).
22. Kimball, R. T. *et al.* A Phylogenomic Supertree of Birds. *Diversity* **11**, 109 (2019).
23. Prum, R. O. *et al.* A comprehensive phylogeny of birds (Aves) using targeted next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nature* **526**, 569–573 (2015).
24. Feng, S. *et al.* Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics. *Nature* **587**, 252–257 (2020).
25. Kuhl, H. *et al.* An Unbiased Molecular Approach Using 3'-UTRs Resolves the Avian Family-Level Tree of Life. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 108–127 (2021).
26. Chue, J. & Smith, C. A. Sex determination and sexual differentiation in the avian model. *FEBS J.* **278**, 1027–1034 (2011).
27. Hughes, A. L. & Nei, M. Nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class II loci: evidence for overdominant selection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **86**, 958–962 (1989).
28. Hedges, S. B., Marin, J., Suleski, M., Paymer, M. & Kumar, S. Tree of life reveals clock-like speciation and diversification. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 835–845 (2015).
29. Hoffmann, F. G., Storz, J. F., Gorr, T. A. & Opazo, J. C. Lineage-specific patterns of functional diversification in the alpha- and beta-globin gene families of tetrapod vertebrates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **27**, 1126–1138 (2010).
30. Gramzow, L., Lobbes, D., Innard, N. & Theißen, G. Independent origin of MIRNA genes controlling homologous target genes by partial inverted duplication of antisense-transcribed sequences. *Plant J.* **101**, 401–419 (2020).

31. Opazo, J. C. & Zavala, K. Phylogenetic evidence for independent origins of GDF1 and GDF3 genes in anurans and mammals. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 13595 (2018).
32. Goodman, M., Czelusniak, J., Koop, B. F., Tagle, D. A. & Slightom, J. L. Globins: a case study in molecular phylogeny. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **52**, 875–890 (1987).
33. Kriener, K., O’huigin, C. & Klein, J. Independent origin of functional MHC class II genes in humans and New World monkeys. *Hum. Immunol.* **62**, 1–14 (2001).
34. Wichmann, I. A. *et al.* Evolutionary history of the reprimo tumor suppressor gene family in vertebrates with a description of a new reprimo gene lineage. *Gene* **591**, 245–254 (2016).
35. Opazo, J. C., Zavala, K., Vandewege, M. W. & Hoffmann, F. G. Phylogenetic diversification of sirtuin genes with a description of a new family member. *bioRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.07.17.209510.
36. Himmel, N. J., Gray, T. R. & Cox, D. N. Phylogenetics Identifies Two Eumetazoan TRPM Clades and an Eighth TRP Family, TRP Soromelastatin (TRPS). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 2034–2044 (2020).
37. Lynch, M. & Conery, J. S. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. *Science* vol. 290 1151–1155 (2000).
38. Tollis, M. *et al.* Return to the Sea, Get Huge, Beat Cancer: An Analysis of Cetacean Genomes Including an Assembly for the Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 1746–1763 (2019).
39. Tejada-Martinez, D., de Magalhães, J. P. & Opazo, J. C. Positive selection and gene duplications in tumour suppressor genes reveal clues about how cetaceans resist cancer. *Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory* 2020.01.15.908244 (2021) doi:10.1101/2020.01.15.908244.

40. de Magalhães, J. P. & Costa, J. A database of vertebrate longevity records and their relation to other life-history traits. *J. Evol. Biol.* **22**, 1770–1774 (2009).
41. Seim, I. *et al.* Genome analysis reveals insights into physiology and longevity of the Brandt’s bat *Myotis brandtii*. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 1–8 (2013).
42. Zhang, G. *et al.* Comparative analysis of bat genomes provides insight into the evolution of flight and immunity. *Science* **339**, 456–460 (2013).
43. Santra, M. K., Wajapeyee, N. & Green, M. R. F-box protein FBXO31 mediates cyclin D1 degradation to induce G1 arrest after DNA damage. *Nature* **459**, 722–725 (2009).
44. Wood, C. S. *et al.* PtdIns4P recognition by Vps74/GOLPH3 links PtdIns 4-kinase signaling to retrograde Golgi trafficking. *J. Cell Biol.* **187**, 967–975 (2009).
45. Schmitz, K. R. *et al.* Golgi localization of glycosyltransferases requires a Vps74p oligomer. *Dev. Cell* **14**, 523–534 (2008).
46. Barnes, M. R. & Gray, I. C. *Bioinformatics for Geneticists*. (Wiley, 2003).
47. Borchers, W., Bremer, A., Borgia, M. B. & Mittag, T. How do intrinsically disordered protein regions encode a driving force for liquid-liquid phase separation? *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **67**, 41–50 (2020).
48. Ng, M. M., Dippold, H. C., Buschman, M. D., Noakes, C. J. & Field, S. J. GOLPH3L antagonizes GOLPH3 to determine Golgi morphology. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **24**, 796–808 (2013).
49. Sotgia, F. *et al.* Mitochondria ‘fuel’ breast cancer metabolism: fifteen markers of mitochondrial biogenesis label epithelial cancer cells, but are excluded from adjacent stromal cells. *Cell Cycle* **11**, 4390–4401 (2012).
50. Kunigou, O. *et al.* Role of GOLPH3 and GOLPH3L in the proliferation of human rhabdomyosarcoma. *Oncol. Rep.* **26**, 1337–1342 (2011).

51. Feng, Y. *et al.* GOLPH3L is a Novel Prognostic Biomarker for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. *J. Cancer* **6**, 893–900 (2015).
52. Feng, Y. *et al.* The Role of GOLPH3L in the Prognosis and NACT response in Cervical Cancer. *J. Cancer* **8**, 443–454 (2017).
53. He, S. *et al.* The oncogenic Golgi phosphoprotein 3 like overexpression is associated with cisplatin resistance in ovarian carcinoma and activating the NF- κ B signaling pathway. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **36**, 137 (2017).
54. Yang, Z. & Bielawski, J. P. Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **15**, 496–503 (2000).
55. Jacobs, G. H. Primate photopigments and primate color vision. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**, 577–581 (1996).
56. Uhlén, M. *et al.* Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* **347**, 1260419 (2015).
57. Eisenberg, E. & Levanon, E. Y. Human housekeeping genes, revisited. *Trends Genet.* **29**, 569–574 (2013).
58. Albertson, R. C., Cresko, W., Detrich, H. W., 3rd & Postlethwait, J. H. Evolutionary mutant models for human disease. *Trends Genet.* **25**, 74–81 (2009).
59. Goodman, M., Czelusniak, J., Moore, G. W., Romero-Herrera, A. E. & Matsuda, G. Fitting the Gene Lineage into its Species Lineage, a Parsimony Strategy Illustrated by Cladograms Constructed from Globin Sequences. *Systematic Biology* vol. 28 132–163 (1979).
60. Gabaldón, T. Large-scale assignment of orthology: back to phylogenetics? *Genome Biology* vol. 9 235 (2008).
61. Sharma, S. *et al.* The NCBI BioCollections Database. *Database* **2018**, (2018).

62. Yates, A. D. *et al.* Ensembl 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D682–D688 (2020).
63. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).
64. Geer, L. Y. *et al.* The NCBI BioSystems database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **38**, D492–6 (2010).
65. Tatusova, T. A. & Madden, T. L. BLAST 2 Sequences, a new tool for comparing protein and nucleotide sequences. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **174**, 247–250 (1999).
66. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).
67. Suyama, M., Torrents, D. & Bork, P. PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, W609–12 (2006).
68. Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermini, L. S. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 587–589 (2017).
69. Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W232–5 (2016).
70. Anisimova, M., Gil, M., Dufayard, J.-F., Dessimoz, C. & Gascuel, O. Survey of branch support methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based approximation schemes. *Syst. Biol.* **60**, 685–699 (2011).
71. Guindon, S. *et al.* New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).
72. Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 518–522 (2018).

73. Goldman, N. & Yang, Z. A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for protein-coding DNA sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* (1994) doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040153.
74. Yang, Z. PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 1586–1591 (2007).
75. Yang, Z. & dos Reis, M. Statistical properties of the branch-site test of positive selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **28**, 1217–1228 (2011).
76. Yang, Z., Wong, W. S. W. & Nielsen, R. Bayes empirical bayes inference of amino acid sites under positive selection. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1107–1118 (2005).
77. Zhang, J., Nielsen, R. & Yang, Z. Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 2472–2479 (2005).
78. Nielsen, R. & Yang, Z. Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. *Genetics* **148**, 929–936 (1998).
79. Yang, Z., Nielsen, R., Goldman, N. & Pedersen, A. M. Codon-substitution models for heterogeneous selection pressure at amino acid sites. *Genetics* **155**, 431–449 (2000).
80. Yachdav, G. *et al.* PredictProtein--an open resource for online prediction of protein structural and functional features. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, W337–43 (2014).
81. Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J. & Yamada, K. D. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* **20**, 1160–1166 (2019).
82. Waterhouse, A. M., Procter, J. B., Martin, D. M. A., Clamp, M. & Barton, G. J. Jalview Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*

- 25, 1189–1191 (2009).
83. Waterhouse, A. *et al.* SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).
84. Leinonen, R., Sugawara, H., Shumway, M. & International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration. The sequence read archive. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, D19–21 (2011).
85. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
86. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol.* **10**, R25 (2009).
87. Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* vol. 12 (2011).
88. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

GOLPH3.2.2_A
GOLPH3.2.1_A
GOLPH3L

GOLPH3.2_{GA}
GOLPH3L
GOLPH3.1_{GA}

GOLPH3.2_N
GOLPH3.1_N
GOLPH3L

GOLPH3
GOLPH3L

The duplicated copies present in Anseriformes arose via gene duplication of one of the copies originated in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes

A duplication event that occurred in the ancestor of Galliformes and Anseriformes gave rise to the duplicated copies present in Galliformes

The duplicated copies present in Neoaves originated from a gene duplication event in the ancestor of the group

	N-terminal									
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70		
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.1 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS--AAADKERGAGGGSDDDEGRRDEPGDDEKGD									
Kakapo GOLPH3.1 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS--AAADKERGAGGGSSEDEGRRDESGDDEKGD									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.1 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRSAAAAADKERGAGGGPEDEGRRDESGDDEKGD									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.1 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS-AAAADKERGAGGGPEDEGRRDESGDDEKGD									
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.2 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS--AAADKDREAGGGSSEDGRRDEPGDDEKGD									
Kakapo GOLPH3.2 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS--TAAGKERGAGGGPEDEGRRDDPGDDEKGD									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.2 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEASRS--AAADKEREAGGGSSEDEGRRDEPGDDEKGD									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.2 _N	MTSLTQRSSGLVQRRTEAFRN-AAAAKEREAGGSSEDEGRRDEPGDDEKGD									
	80	90	100	110	120	130	140			
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.1 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
Kakapo GOLPH3.1 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.1 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.1 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.2 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
Kakapo GOLPH3.2 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLSRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.2 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.2 _N	YTSFWNDC ISSGLRGCM LIELALRGR LQLEACGM RRKSLLTRKV ICKSDAPTGDVLLDEAL KH IKETQPPETVQ									
	150	160	170	180	190	200	210	220		
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.1 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
Kakapo GOLPH3.1 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.1 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.1 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.2 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
Kakapo GOLPH3.2 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVV DK									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.2 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.2 _N	NWIELLSGETWNPLKLHYQLRNVRERLAKNLVEKGVLTTEKQNFLLFDMTTHPLTN NNNIKQRL IKKVQEAVL DK									
	230	240	250	260	270	280	290	296		
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.1 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKTNTNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
Kakapo GOLPH3.1 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKANTNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.1 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKTNTNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.1 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKTNTNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3.2 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKANMNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
Kakapo GOLPH3.2 _N	WVNDPHRMDKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEAECKMANTNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3.2 _N	WVNDPHRMEKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKANMNEVLWAVVA AFTK									
Zebra finch GOLPH3.2 _N	WVNDPHRMEKRL LALVYL AHASDVLENAFAPLLDEQYDLATKRV RQLDLDPEVECMKANMNEVLWAVVA AFTK									

(A)

(B)

GOLPH3L

NEOAVES

PALEOGNATHAE

	N-terminal										
	1	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	
Mallard GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRGRR	SEAGGS	VGKRLD	GEEEE---	ERDP--	EEDDD	SKGTRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLK	DK-GYTS
Tufted duck GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRGRR	TEAGGS	VGKRV	DGEE----	ERDL---	EDDD	SKGTRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLK	DKQGYTS
Black swan GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRGRR	TEAGGS	TGKKLD	DEEEAAA	ERDP---	EDDD	SKGTRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLK	DKQGYTS
Ruddy duck GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRGRR	GDAGGS	VGKKLD	DEEEAAA	ERDP---	EDDD	SKGTRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLK	DKQGYTS
Chicken GOLPH3L	MTTL	TRRGWR	GD-----	KRTEPE	EDAAGD	REQPDD	SDNSK	ATRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLQ	DKEGYPS
Ring-necked pheasant GOLPH3L	MTTL	TRRGWR	GD-----	KRTEPE	EDVAAD	RELPPD	SDNSK	APRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLQ	DKEGYPS
Turkey GOLPH3L	MTTL	TRRGWR	GD-----	KRTEPE	EDAAAD	RELPPD	SDNSK	APRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLQ	DKEGYPS
Japanese quail GOLPH3L	MTTL	TRRGWR	GD-----	KRAEPE	EDVAAD	KELPDD	SDNSK	APRL	TLMEEV	LLLGLQ	DKEGYPS
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRARR	GD	PGGSA	KRPDGE	EDGAAD	REPGE	EEPDD	SKDIR	TLMEEV	LLLGLK
Kakapo GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRSRR	AE-GR	STD	RKPTGE	EDAAAD	RDLN	DEDPD	SKDIR	TLMEEV	LLLGLK
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3L	MTTL	THRGRR	VE-GR	NSDK	KPDGE	EDAAAD	RDLN	EDDPD	SKDIR	TLMEEV	LLLGLK
Zebra finch GOLPH3L	MTTL	THGRRA	E-GR	SADR	TEGE	EEEAAD	GELS	QEHPE	DSKDIR	TLMEEV	LLLGLK
	100	110	120	130	140	150	160	170	180	190	
Mallard GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VHLK	SDAPT	GDILL	DETLR	HIKATE	PAETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Tufted duck GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VHLK	SDAPT	GDILL	DETLR	HIKATE	PAETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Black swan GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VHLK	SDPTG	DILL	DETLR	HIKATE	PAETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Ruddy duck GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VHLK	SDTPT	GDILL	DETLR	HIKATE	PAETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Chicken GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VLLK	SAAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKASE	STETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Ring-necked pheasant GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VLLK	SAAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKASE	SMETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Turkey GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VLLK	SAAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKASE	STETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Japanese quail GOLPH3L	RKKR	LLDRK	VLLK	SAAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKAAE	SIETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3L	RRKR	LLDRK	VLLK	SDTPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKSE	CPETV	PTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Kakapo GOLPH3L	RRKR	LLERK	VLLK	SDAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKATE	AAESV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3L	RRKR	LLERK	VLLK	SDAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKATE	SAETV	QTWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
Zebra finch GOLPH3L	RRKR	LLERK	VLLK	SDAPT	GDVLL	DETLR	HIKATE	PAETV	QSWIE	LLTGET	WNPF
	200	210	220	230	240	250	260	270	280	286	
Mallard GOLPH3L	AAEK	QRL	LKRL	QEGV	LERW	AGD	PHRMD	RRTL	LALLV	LAHSS	DVLENA
Tufted duck GOLPH3L	AAEK	QRL	LKRL	QEGV	LERW	AGD	PHRMD	RRTL	LALLV	LAHSS	DVLENA
Black swan GOLPH3L	AAEK	QRL	LKRL	QEGV	LERW	AGD	PHRMD	RRTL	LALLV	LAHSS	DVLENA
Ruddy duck GOLPH3L	AAEK	QRL	LKRL	QEGV	LERW	VGD	PHRMD	RRTL	LALLV	LAHSS	DVLENA
Chicken GOLPH3L	TTEK	QRL	LKRL	QGSV	LERW	TGDL	RMRD	RRL	LALLV	LAHAS	DVLEKA
Ring-necked pheasant GOLPH3L	TTEK	QRL	LKRL	QD	SL	LERW	TGDP	RRMD	RRL	LALLV	LAHAS
Turkey GOLPH3L	TTEK	QRL	LKRL	QD	SV	LERW	MGD	PRRMD	RRL	LALLV	LAHAS
Japanese quail GOLPH3L	TTEK	QRL	LKRL	QSS	LL	ERW	TGD	VRRM	ER	RL	LALLV
Lance tailed manakin GOLPH3L	GAEK	LRL	QRR	LQES	VLER	WARD	PRR	LEP	RTL	LALLV	LAHSS
Kakapo GOLPH3L	GTEK	QRL	VRR	LQES	VLER	WVND	PHRMD	RRTL	LALLV	LAHSS	DVLENV
New Caledonian crow GOLPH3L	ASEK	QRL	VKK	LQES	VLER	WVND	PQRM	ERR	TALLV	LAHAS	DVLENV
Zebra finch GOLPH3L	ASEK	QRL	LKRL	QESV	LERW	VNEP	QRM	ERR	TALLV	LAHAS	DVLENV

(A) Chicken

(B) Mallard