Theobroma grandiflorum breeding optimization based on
repeatability, stability and adaptability information

Saulo Fabrício da Silva Chaves^{1*}, Rafael Moysés Alves², Rodrigo Silva Alves³, Alexandre Magno Sebbenn⁴, Marcos Deon Vilela de Resende⁵, Luiz Antônio dos Santos Dias¹

¹Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

²Embrapa Amazônia Oriental, Belém, Pará, Brazil.

³Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia do Café, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

⁴Instituto Florestal de São Paulo, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

⁵Embrapa Café, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil.

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: saulo.chaves@ufv.br

All the authors contributed equally to this work

23 Abstract

24 The cultivation of *Theobroma grandiflorum* in the Brazilian Amazon is mainly conducted by family
 25 farmers who use a range of different management strategies. Thus, breeding programs of the species
 26 must address the challenge of developing cultivars that are adapted to and stable in a variety of
 27 cultivation environments. In this context, this study aimed to estimate the optimum number of
 28 harvests for genetic selection of *T. grandiflorum* progenies and identify the most promising ones in
 29 terms of productivity, stability, and adaptability. The trials were implemented in three environments,
 30 using a randomized complete block design, with 25 full-sib progenies, five replications, and three
 31 plants per plot. The traits mean number of fruits/plant, mean fruit production/plant, and rate of
 32 infection with witches' broom (*Moniliophthora perniciosa*) were evaluated over 11 harvests. The
 33 Restricted Maximum Likelihood/Best Linear Unbiased Prediction (REML/BLUP) mixed model
 34 method was used to estimate genetic parameters and predict genetic values, which were then applied
 35 to assess stability and adaptability. The results show that there is genetic variability among the studied
 36 *T. grandiflorum* progenies and that accurate genetic selection aiming at recombination is effective
 37 after three harvests, for recombination, or eleven harvests for identification of recommended
 38 progenies. Six progenies were selected that met the requirements for productivity, stability, and
 39 adaptability to different cultivation environments. These results can be used to optimize and advance
 40 *T. grandiflorum* breeding programs.

41 **Keywords:** repeated measures, genotype x environment interaction, genotype x measure interaction,
 42 REML/BLUP, fruit tree breeding.

43 Introduction

44 The allogamous tree *Theobroma grandiflorum* (Willd. Ex Spreng.) Schum. (Malvaceae
 45 family), commonly known as cupuassu tree, is native to Southeast Pará and Northwest Maranhão
 46 States in the Brazilian Amazon [1]. Due to the movement of indigenous peoples throughout the
 47 interior of the Amazon region, the species is now dispersed across all Amazonian states [2], and

48 plantations of *T. grandiflorum* have been established in 97 (67%) of the 144 municipalities in Pará
 49 State [3]. These plantations are generally small-scale seed orchards of less than one hectare, planted
 50 by family farmers [4]. The expansion of the crop and its adaptation to different environments in Pará
 51 is an indicator of the genetic plasticity of the species [2].

52 The economic importance of *T. grandiflorum* has grown in recent years as the main products
 53 derived from the tree, including its seeds and the pulp covering them, have attracted increased
 54 attention in national and international markets [5]. The pulp, with high acidity and strong aroma, is
 55 used to produce juices, sweets, and jellies, among other food products [6]. The almonds, which have
 56 antioxidant properties, are used in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries [7], as well as to
 57 produce cupuassu chocolate, a product known as “cupulate” [8]. The municipality of Tomé Açu,
 58 Northeast Pará, was a pioneer in the cultivation of this fruit tree. The region has become a model for
 59 production as farmers have organized an agricultural cooperative that processes all cupuassu
 60 products, which is essential for expanding its production and use in the region [9]. To ensure the
 61 development and sustainability of the crop, communities have continuously sought research support,
 62 particularly in terms of developing varieties that are well adapted to local conditions.

63 At the end of the 1980s, Embrapa Amazonia Oriental initiated a *T. grandiflorum* breeding
 64 program and developed genetic resources to produce genotypes with high levels of fruit production
 65 and tolerance to the fungus *Moniliophthora perniciosa*, etiological agent of the witches’ broom
 66 disease, a pathogen that can affect the cultivation of all species of the *Theobroma* genus, including *T.*
 67 *grandiflorum* and *T. cacao* [10-11]. However, the previously developed genotypes have inconsistent
 68 fruit production when subjected to different environments.

69 Currently, the Restricted Maximum Likelihood/Best Linear Unbiased Prediction
 70 (REML/BLUP) mixed model method is the standard for analyses of genotype x environment (GE)
 71 interaction [12-13] and repeated measures [14-15]. There are numerous reasons for its use, including
 72 the fact that it enables the simultaneous estimates of variance components and prediction of genetic

values. The method also deals well with unbalanced data, describes the heterogeneity of genetic covariances and residual variances across environments, and models spatial trends [16].

The evaluation of different genotypes in a variety of environments enables the quantification of the GE interaction effect [17] and the analysis of genotypic stability and adaptability [18]. Understanding stability and adaptability enables the identification of productive, stable, and adaptable genotypes [19]. However, evaluating GE interaction is one of the most costly aspects of a breeding program [20], especially for perennial fruit trees such as *T. grandiflorum*, where the breeding cycle can last up to 15 years [21]. This may explain why studies on GE interaction in *T. grandiflorum* are extremely rare.

Variation throughout years can create different environments, which, in turn, will influence genotypes differently [22]. The evaluation of genotypes across several harvests is crucial in perennial fruit trees as it enables the quantification of the genotype x measurement (GM) interaction effect and estimates of the repeatability coefficient to determine the optimal number of harvests necessary to conduct effective genetic selection [15, 17].

In this context, this study aimed to estimate the optimum number of harvests for genetic selection of *T. grandiflorum* progenies and identify the most promising progenies in terms of productivity, stability, and adaptability.

Material and methods

Experimental data

Full-sib *T. grandiflorum* progeny tests were established in three farms in Northeastern Pará State, Brazil; two located in the municipality of Tomé Açu and one in the municipality of São Francisco do Pará, approximately 210 km apart. The three environments represent a sample of the different cultivation systems used to produce *T. grandiflorum* in Pará. This experimental system enables the evaluation and selection of genotypes for conditions similar to those in which they are often cultivated. The differences between the three environments are mainly the different cropping systems used for each trial, in terms of temporary and definitive shading or full sun, and spacing.

Each *T. grandiflorum* progeny test was installed in consortium with other tree species, all of which were planted in February 2005. The field arrangement affected conditions of luminosity and competition over and under the soil. In trial 1, *T. grandiflorum* was maintained in shade during the productive phase, while in the other two trials (trials 2 and 3) the trees were kept in full sun (Table 1). In trial 1, *T. grandiflorum* progenies were part of an agroforestry system (AFS), together with *Passiflora edulis* Sims. (passion fruit) and *Swietenia macrophylla* King. (Brazilian mahogany), at initial densities of 400, 800, and 100 plants/ha, respectively. After the third year, the passion fruit was removed from the AFS as it had completed its cycle. Therefore, through all production stages, *T. grandiflorum* was shaded with *S. macrophylla*. Trial 2 was also installed as an AFS and consisted of *T. grandiflorum* progenies, *Piper nigrum* L. (Black pepper), and *Bertholletia excelsa* Bonpl. (Brazil nut), at densities of 303, 1800, and 75 plants/ha, respectively. As *B. excelsa* developed a very prolific crown, producing too much shade for *T. grandiflorum* in the first years, it was removed from the AFS in the fifth year. *Piper nigrum* cultivation occurred over the first seven years of the trial. Thus, after *P. nigrum* tree mortality in the seventh year, *T. grandiflorum* was left in full sun. In trial 3, *T. grandiflorum* progenies were intercropped with *Musa* spp. (banana tree), both with a density of 400 plants/ha. As in trial 2, after the fifth year, the *Musa* spp. was removed from the AFS, with *T. grandiflorum* progenies remaining in full sun until the end of the study (Table 1). It is important to highlight that these different field arrangements, involving full sun and temporary and definitive shading, were designed to reproduce a similar environment to what farmers cultivate cupuassu tree in the state of Pará.

Table 1. Characteristics of the trials with 25 full-sib *Theobroma grandiflorum* progenies in Northeast Pará State, Brazil.

Characteristic	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3
Soil type	Yellow latosol (Oxisol)	Yellow latosol (Oxisol)	Yellow latosol (Oxisol)
Soil texture	Loam	Clayey	Loam

Annual precipitation (mm)	2300	2300	2432
Average temperature (°C)	26.4	26.4	26.6
Latitude	02°26'03.0" S	02°25'57.8" S	01°07'01.1" S
Longitude	48°18'37.6" W	48°23'39.7" W	47°44'26.6" W
Altitude (m)	45	45	46
<i>T. grandiflorum</i> spacing (m)	5 x 5	5.5 x 6	5 x 5
Provisional shading and spacing (m)	<i>Passiflora edulis</i> (5 x 2.5)	<i>Piper nigrum</i> [(2 x 2) x 4]	<i>Musa</i> spp. (5 x 5)
Definitive shading and spacing (m)	<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i> (10 x 10)	<i>Bertholletia excelsia</i> (11 x 12) ¹	None
System type	Agroforestry	Full sun	Full sun
Initial density (including all species) (plants)	1300	2178	800
Final density (reproductive phase) ² (plants)	500	441	400
Planting date	February, 2005	February, 2005	February, 2005

¹: removed from the experimental field after the fifth year; ²: excluding *Theobroma grandiflorum* mortality.

The progeny test, carried out in three trials, was established using a randomized complete block design, with 25 *T. grandiflorum* full-sib progenies, five replications, and three plants per plot. The 25 full-sib progenies were obtained through controlled pollination. Phenotypic data were measured over 11 consecutive annual harvests, based on a total plot. The harvest opening coincides with the beginning of the rainy season and extends over the entire period of about six months. Therefore, each harvest was divided into four evaluations with 45-day intervals between them. Response variables included the mean number of fruits/plant (NF) and mean fruit production (kg/plant), obtained by multiplying the NF by the average weight of the fruit of each genotype. We also assessed tolerance to witches' broom disease (*M. pernicioso*) based on the rate of symptomatic plants per progeny (WB, %). Plants were deemed symptomatic when at least one branch presented misshapen phyllotaxis, compared to a normal branch, and after one month appeared desiccated [23].

For selection, a tolerance threshold of 30% was adopted as the maximum rate of symptomatic plants per progeny.

Statistical analyses

Due to the unbalance caused by the mortality of some trees, which is common in long-term trials involving perennial crops, the mixed model method was adopted for statistical analysis. With such an approach, the variance components and genetic parameters are estimated by the restricted maximum likelihood (REML) [24], and genetic values are predicted by the best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) [25]. The mixed linear model associated with the analysis of progeny, with a complete randomized block design, three locations, at the plot level, and with repeated measures, is defined as:

$$y = Xf + Zg + Qge + Tgm + Wgem + Sp + e;$$

where, y is the vector of phenotypic data; f is the vector of the effects of the combination repetition-environment-measurement (assumed to be fixed), added to the general mean; g is the vector of genotypic effects (assumed to be random), $g \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_g^2)$, where σ_g^2 is the genotypic variance; ge is the vector of genotypes $\times$ environments (GE) interaction effects (assumed to be random), $ge \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_{ge}^2)$, where σ_{ge}^2 is the GE interaction variance; gm is the vector of genotypes $\times$ measurements (GM) interaction effects (random), $gm \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_{gm}^2)$, where σ_{gm}^2 is the GM interaction variance; gem is the vector of the triple genotypes $\times$ environments $\times$ measurements (GEM) interaction effects (random), $gem \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_{gem}^2)$, where σ_{gem}^2 is the GEM interaction variance; p is the vector of the permanent plot effects within locations (assumed to be random), $p \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_{perm}^2)$, where σ_{perm}^2 is the permanent plot effect variance; and e is the vector of residuals (random), $e \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma_e^2)$, where σ_e^2 is the residual variance. The capital letters (X, Z, Q, T, W e S) represent the incidence matrices for the correspondents' effects.

The significance of the random effects of the statistical model was tested by the likelihood ratio test (LRT), given by the following equation [26]:

$$LRT = -2(\text{Log}L - \text{Log}L_R);$$

161 where, $\text{Log}L$ is the logarithm of the maximum point of the residual likelihood function (L) of the
 162 complete model; and $\text{Log}L_R$ is the logarithm of the maximum point of the residual likelihood function
 163 (L_R) of the reduced model (without the effect under test). The chi-square statistic with one degree of
 164 freedom and a probability level equal to 1% was used to test the LRT significance.

165 From the variance components (σ_g^2 , σ_{ge}^2 , σ_{gm}^2 , σ_{gem}^2 , σ_{perm}^2 , and σ_e^2), we estimated [14]:

166 Phenotypic variance: $\hat{\sigma}_p^2 = \sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2 + \sigma_{gm}^2 + \sigma_{gem}^2 + \sigma_{perm}^2 + \sigma_e^2$;

167 Mean phenotypic variance: $\overline{\hat{\sigma}_p^2} = \sigma_g^2 + \frac{\sigma_{ge}^2}{e} + \frac{\sigma_{gm}^2}{m} + \frac{\sigma_{perm}^2}{b} + \sigma_e^2$,

168 where e, m, and b are the number of environments, measurements, and blocks, respectively;

169 Individual broad-sense heritability: $\hat{h}_g^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

170 Mean broad-sense heritability: $\hat{h}_{mg}^2 = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\overline{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}}$;

171 Accuracy of genotype selection: $r_{\hat{g}g} = \sqrt{\hat{h}_{mg}^2}$;

172 Coefficient of determination of GE interaction effects: $c_{ge}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{ge}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

173 Coefficient of determination of GM interaction effects: $c_{gm}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{gm}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

174 Coefficient of determination of GEM interaction effects: $c_{gem}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{gem}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

175 Coefficient of determination of plot effects: $c_{perm}^2 = \frac{\sigma_{perm}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

176 Coefficient of individual repeatability: $\rho = \frac{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{gm}^2 + \sigma_{perm}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_p^2}$;

177 Genotypic correlation among environments: $r_{ge} = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{ge}^2}$;

178 Genotypic correlation among measurements: $r_{gm} = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{gm}^2}$; and

179 Genotypic correlation among environments and measurements: $r_{gem} = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_g^2 + \sigma_{gr}^2 + \sigma_{gm}^2 + \sigma_{gem}^2}$.

180 To determine the optimal number of harvests for genetic selection, accuracy was calculated
 181 considering the use of m harvests (r_m) [14]:

$$r_m = \sqrt{\frac{m\rho}{m\rho + 1 - \rho}}$$

We also considered the efficiency (E) of the use of m harvests in relation to the use of only one harvest [14]:

$$E = \sqrt{\frac{m}{[1 + (m - 1)\rho]}}$$

To select progenies with greater adaptability, stability, and productivity, the Harmonic Mean of Relative Performance of Genotypic Values (HMRPGV) method was used. This method provides a genotypic value that is affected negatively by instability and positively by adaptability [27]:

$$\text{HMRPGV} = \frac{n}{\sum_j^n \frac{1}{\text{RPGV}_i}},$$

where, n is the number of environments; RPGV_{ij} is the Relative Performance of Genotypic Values, estimated as

$$\text{RPGV}_i = \frac{\sum \frac{GV_{ij}}{\mu}}{m},$$

where, GV_{ij} is the genotypic value of the i^{th} genotype in the j^{th} environment, and μ is the phenotypic mean of the j^{th} environment. All statistical analyses were performed using the SELEGEN REML/BLUP software [16].

Results

The genotypic effects were significant for both the mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit production/plant, indicating genetic variability among progenies. Furthermore, the presence of GE and GEM interaction was verified for these traits. As expected for polygenic traits, there was a high level of influence of uncontrolled environmental factors, which is reflected in the residual variance that corresponds to the largest proportion of phenotypic variance (Table 2).

Table 2. Estimates of the components of variance and genetic parameters for mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit production/plant, evaluated in 25 full-sib progenies of *Theobroma grandiflorum*.

Component/ parameter	Mean number of fruits/plant	Mean fruit production/plant (kg)
σ_g^2	4.20**	4.38**
σ_{gm}^2	0.40	0.37
σ_{ge}^2	1.38**	7.91**
σ_{gem}^2	3.42**	8.44**
σ_{perm}^2	3.45**	13.95**
σ_e^2	25.13	68.23
$\hat{\sigma}_p^2$	34.56	94.85
$\hat{h}_g^2 \pm$ standard error	0.12 ± 0.02	0.04 ± 0.01
$\hat{h}_{mg}^2$	0.87	0.59
$r_{\hat{g}g}$	0.93	0.77
c_{ge}^2	0.04	0.08
c_{gm}^2	0.01	0.004
c_{gem}^2	0.09	0.09
c_{perm}^2	0.09	0.15
$\rho \pm$ standard error	0.26 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.02
r_{ge}	0.769	0.356
r_{gm}	0.913	0.920
r_{gem}	0.447	0.207
μ	11.36	17.90

205 σ_g^2 : genotypic variance; σ_{gm}^2 : variance of genotype x measurement interaction (GM); σ_{ge}^2 : variance of
 206 genotype x environment interaction (GE); σ_{gem}^2 : variance of genotype x environment x measurement
 207 interaction (GEM); σ_{perm}^2 : variance of permanent plot effects; σ_e^2 : residual variance; $\hat{\sigma}_p^2$: phenotypic
 208 variance; $\hat{h}_g^2$: individual broad-sense heritability; $\hat{h}_{mg}^2$: mean broad-sense heritability; $r_{\hat{g}g}$: accuracy of
 209 genotype selection; c_{ge}^2 : coefficient of determination of GE interaction effects; c_{gm}^2 : coefficient of
 210 determination of GM interaction effects; c_{gem}^2 : coefficient of determination of GEM interaction
 211 effects; c_{perm}^2 : coefficient of determination of plot effects; ρ : coefficient of individual repeatability; r_{ge}
 212 : genotypic correlation among environments; r_{gm} : genotypic correlation among measurements; r_{gem} :

213 genotypic correlation among environments and measurements; and μ : general mean. **: significant
 214 at $P < 0.01$, by chi-square test.

215 Only mean broad-sense heritability of genotypes ($\hat{h}_{mg}^2$) can be considered high. Both mean and
 216 individual broad-sense heritability ($\hat{h}_g^2$) were higher for mean number of fruits/plant than for mean
 217 fruit production/plant. Selective accuracy (r_{gg}) followed the same pattern. The coefficient of
 218 repeatability (ρ) showed similar magnitudes for mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit
 219 production/plant, a positive aspect in selection when considering both traits simultaneously since the
 220 optimal number of measurements will coincide. With the use of a greater number of measurements,
 221 compared to only one measurement, the selective accuracy increases (Fig 1). With the use of data
 222 from three harvests, the selective accuracy exceeds 0.70; with the use of 11 harvests, the selective
 223 accuracy exceeds 0.90 (Fig 1A). The efficiency associated with the use of m measures indicates
 224 smaller increments as the number of harvests increases (Fig 1B). These increments become almost
 225 constant as of the ninth harvest, with only 2% increase compared to the previous harvest.

226 **Fig 1. Accuracy (A) and efficiency (B) of selection as a function of the number of measures.**

227

228 The coefficient of determination of GE interaction effects (c_{ge}^2), GM interaction effects (c_{gm}^2),
 229 and plot effects (c_{perm}^2) were all low for both traits (ranging from 0.004 to 0.15). The genotypic
 230 correlations across environments (r_{ge}) and environments and measurements (r_{gm}) were higher for
 231 mean number of fruits/plant, while the genotypic correlation across measurements (r_{gm}) was slightly
 232 higher for mean fruit production/plant.

233 For mean number of fruits/plant, the coincidence was high (90%) between HMRPGV,
 234 genotypic values ($\mu + g$), and genotypic values plus the mean effect of the GE interaction ($\mu + g +$
 235 gem), considering the selection of the 10 best progenies. This demonstrates that the most productive
 236 progenies are also the most adapted and stable. The selection of the ten best progenies (36, 37, 11, 5,
 237 49, 23, 6, 43, 19, and 25) provided a predicted selection gain of 2.61 fruits/plant ($\sim 23\%$ compared to
 238 the general mean) (Table 3).

For mean fruit production/plant (kg), which is the trait of greatest economic importance, there was an 80% coincidence between $\mu + g$, $\mu + g + \text{gem}$, and HMRPGV. Progenies 56 and 43, despite having higher values for $\mu + g$ and $\mu + g + \text{gem}$ compared to genotypes 25 and 38 (ranked 9th and 10th, respectively), did not show satisfactory stability. The selection of the ten best progenies (36, 5, 37, 11, 23, 49, 21, 6, 25, and 38) led to a predicted selection gain of 2.03 kg per plant (~ 11.3% compared to the general mean; Table 3). However, for genetic selection, the incidence rate of witches' broom must be taken into account. Considering a rate of 30% symptomatic plants per progeny as a tolerance threshold, progenies 36, 6, and 38 should not be selected. Thus, the choice of the seven remaining progenies (5, 37, 11, 23, 49, 21, and 25, in bold) provided a predicted selection gain of 2.05 kg (~ 11.4% in relation to the general mean).

Table 3. Genotypic values ($\mu + g$), genotypic values plus the average GE interaction effect ($\mu + g + \text{gem}$), Harmonic Mean of the Relative Performance of Genotypic Values multiplied by the General Mean (HMRPGV * μ), and genetic gain with selection (Gain), for mean number of fruits/plant, mean fruit production/plant, and incidence rate of witches' broom (WB, %), evaluated in 25 full-sib progenies (Prog) of *Theobroma grandiflorum*.

Mean number of fruits/plant					Mean fruit production/plant (kg)					
Prog ¹	($\mu + g$)	($\mu + g + \text{gem}$)	(HMRPGV * μ)	Gain	Prog ¹	($\mu + g$)	($\mu + g + \text{gem}$)	(HMRPGV * μ)	Gain	WB (%)
36	15.25	15.67	15.70	3.89	36	21.13	23.08	23.10	3.23	37.3
37	14.61	14.96	15.03	3.57	5	19.98	21.24	21.58	2.66	11
11	13.71	13.96	13.85	3.16	37	19.84	21.01	20.97	2.42	25.8
5	13.49	13.73	13.63	2.91	11	19.41	20.32	20.57	2.09	11
49	13.09	13.28	13.20	2.67	23	19.56	20.55	20.43	2.23	29
23	12.95	13.13	13.11	2.49	49	19.14	19.89	20.10	1.95	20
6	12.25	12.35	12.31	2.26	21	18.54	18.93	18.92	1.76	8.3
43	12.13	12.21	11.97	2.08	6	18.41	18.72	18.81	1.61	32.7
19	11.54	11.56	11.59	1.46	25	18.01	18.08	17.91	1.24	23.5
25	11.57	11.59	11.57	1.57	38	17.84	17.81	17.83	1.14	33.8
38	11.58	11.60	11.56	1.71	52	18.35	18.63	17.75	1.48	37.5
52	11.62	11.65	11.55	1.88	43	18.18	18.35	17.66	1.36	69.8
8	11.19	11.17	11.18	1.33	9	17.71	17.60	17.46	1.03	37.8
4	11.10	11.07	11.06	1.22	8	17.66	17.51	17.38	0.94	8.7
1	10.92	10.87	10.80	1.11	22	17.42	17.13	17.01	0.70	37.7
21	10.75	10.69	10.72	0.91	20	17.55	17.34	16.97	0.86	19.7

9	10.80	10.74	10.67	1.00	4	17.20	16.79	16.69	0.63	22.3
20	10.37	10.26	10.29	0.80	19	17.11	16.64	16.62	0.55	15.8
22	10.15	10.02	10.06	0.70	13	17.49	17.25	16.43	0.78	72.8
17	9.90	9.74	9.78	0.49	1	17.11	16.64	16.13	0.49	28.7
13	9.96	9.80	9.54	0.59	17	16.56	15.76	15.66	0.40	37.5
30	9.51	9.31	9.25	0.39	30	16.45	15.57	15.59	0.32	44.3
40	8.99	8.73	8.76	0.27	40	15.97	14.82	14.44	0.22	26.7
29	8.69	8.40	8.42	0.15	29	15.70	14.37	14.41	0.12	17
28	7.85	7.47	7.42	0.00	28	15.09	13.40	13.23	0.00	13.5
Means	11.36	11.36	11.32	1.54		17.90	17.90	17.75	1.21	28.89

progenies are listed in decreasing order in terms of results for mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit production/plant, respectively. Selected progenies are in bold.

Discussion

LRT shows genetic variability among progenies for both the traits mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit production/plant. Although the GE and GEM interactions were significant, the genotypic correlation among environments (r_{ge}) was high (0.77) only for mean number of fruits/plant, according to the classification proposed by [28]. These results indicate that for this trait the performance of progenies is moderately similar among the trials and some of the same progenies can be selected for them all.

The significance of the GE interaction associated with low genotypic correlation among environments ($r_{ge}= 0.356$) for mean fruit production/plant indicate that the cultivation system of *T. grandiflorum* can have a significant influence on the productive performance of different genotypes in different environments. Given that the varied needs of the stakeholders and intended end users must be considered when developing cultivars, it is important to highlight that the vast majority of producers in Northeast Pará use cultivation systems similar to those studied herein. Therefore, it is essential to select genotypes that have satisfactory adaptability, stability, and productivity in a range of management scenarios [29]. In studying *T. cacao*, [30] found that the level of shade, one of the distinguishing characteristics of each environment studied herein, can have an effect on photosynthesis and, thus, productive capacity.

274 Based on the coefficient of determination of the GE interaction (c_{ge}^2) and genotypic correlation
 275 among environments (r_{ge}), mean fruit production/plant was more heavily influenced by the
 276 environment than mean number of fruits/plant. In a previous study [28], the authors highlight that a
 277 useful indicator is the ratio between the variances attributed to the GE interaction and the genotype ($P = \sigma_{ge}^2 / \sigma_g^2$). Variables with $P < 0.5$, as is the case with mean number of fruits/plant (0.33), will not
 278 be problematic for selection; while traits with $P > 0.5$, such as mean fruit production/plant (1.80),
 279 tend to generate problems due to the complex GE interaction, especially when the intention is to carry
 280 out indirect selection.
 281

282 Such a pattern is expected since mean fruit production/plant is a quantitative trait composed
 283 of two other traits that are also polygenic: the mean number of fruits/plant and the mean fruit weight.
 284 Traits of this nature are influenced by the activity of numerous genes, combined with significant
 285 environmental effects [31]. This highlights the impact the type of management can have on the
 286 phenotypic manifestation of the evaluated traits, especially mean fruit production/plant. This fact,
 287 combined with the variability resulting from the species' self-incompatibility [32], is reflected in
 288 uncertainties about the cultivation of genetic materials that have not been evaluated in a range of
 289 environments and emphasizes the importance of studies of this nature.

290 On the other hand, the GM interaction was not significant. According to [15], this result is an
 291 indication that there is consistency in the performance of genotypes across the years of evaluation.
 292 This was confirmed by the high values of the genotypic correlation through measurements for both
 293 mean number of fruits/plant and mean fruit production/plant (> 0.90). The GM interaction is mainly
 294 related to the reaction of progenies to climate change and its consequences. The development of
 295 improved genetic materials must take into account the variation of the climate between and within
 296 years, aiming to increase the resilience of the cultivars offered to producers [33]. The genotypes
 297 evaluated in this study fulfil this requirement. When evaluating both components mentioned above
 298 jointly through the triple interaction (GEM), differential behavior was observed across trials and

299 years. However, given the non-significance of GM, it appears that most of the GEM interaction is
 300 due to the GE interaction.

301 According to the classification presented by [17], individual broad-sense heritability showed
 302 a low magnitude ($\hat{h}_g^2 < 0.15$) for both traits. In contrast, estimates of mean heritability showed a high
 303 magnitude ($\hat{h}_{mg}^2 > 0.50$). The low individual broad-sense heritability ($\hat{h}_g^2$) refers to the quantitative
 304 nature of both traits, as discussed above, making the process of selection more complex [34]. Through
 305 the interpretation of these heritability values, along with the high mean heritability ($\hat{h}_{mg}^2$) for both
 306 traits, we can infer that priority should be given to the selection of progenies, rather than the selection
 307 of ortets. This is due to the relationship between heritability and genetic gains with selection, in which
 308 the latter is a direct function of the former. Thus, heritability estimates can reveal the selection
 309 efficacy, before it is done [35]. Therefore, smaller-scale heritabilities, as observed at the individual
 310 level, can jeopardize the genetic gains. A previous study [36] considered this fact to recommend the
 311 selection of full-sib families in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) to achieve greater genetic gains. Another
 312 attribute of heritability is related to selection accuracy. According to Resende and Duarte (2007),
 313 values above 0.7 are considered high, as is the case for mean fruit production/plant, and above 0.9
 314 they are considered very high, as is the case with mean number of fruits/plant. The selective accuracy,
 315 or the correlation between the true and predicted genotypic value, enables us to infer the selection
 316 precision [38-39].

317 Heritability is also related to the repeatability coefficient, which is the maximum value that
 318 individual broad-sense heritability can achieve [38]. Estimating the repeatability coefficient using the
 319 mixed model method has greater flexibility when compared to ANOVA, as it can be used even when
 320 the assumptions required for the analysis of variance are not met [15]. Previous research has
 321 highlighted the importance of this parameter for perennial species, which have a long breeding cycle
 322 [40]. In that study, the authors obtained a repeatability coefficient of 0.35 for fruit production in
 323 *Annona muricata* L., classifying it as moderate, based on [38]. Taking into account this same
 324 classification, the estimates of repeatability coefficients for both traits studied herein were of low

325 magnitude (< 0.3), which indicates that the evaluation of several harvests is necessary for genetic
 326 selection. To achieve an accuracy of 0.70, the minimum value for selection aiming at recombination
 327 [37], data from three consecutive initial harvests are enough, which is consistent with what was found
 328 for yield components in *T. cacao* [41, 42]. If the objective is only to recombine and advance the cycle,
 329 subsequent measurements are unnecessary as they would offer limited increases in efficiency (Fig
 330 1B) but require more time and incur higher costs related to the measurement of each harvest. If the
 331 intention is to identify genetic materials for cultivation, the evaluation of 11 harvests is recommended,
 332 since conducting such measurements can offer a selective accuracy of 0.90, a value suggested by
 333 [35]. Within the *Theobroma* genus, analyses similar to the present study have only been conducted
 334 for *T. cacao* [41, 43] and have offered substantial and fundamental results for breeding programs. For
 335 *T. grandiflorum*, the results presented herein will enable optimization of the breeding period,
 336 increasing gains by decreasing the time of field assessment from 15 to six years, considering the three
 337 years needed for initial establishment (juvenility period). Studies of this nature are rare for *T.*
 338 *grandiflorum*, thus demonstrating the pioneering nature of this work.

339 The use of HMRPGV proved to be a viable alternative for *T. grandiflorum*, as it allows us to
 340 infer the adaptability and stability of genotypic values. We found high levels of coincidence between
 341 the best genotypes in HMRPGV and $\mu + g$, what indicates the efficiency of the method [13].
 342 Comparing both studied traits, it is clear that there is no perfect match as to the best genotypes. This
 343 is due to the low levels of correlation between the number of fruits and the average weight of fruits,
 344 that is, plants that produce heavy fruits and in large quantities are exceptions. The identification of
 345 these genotypes is essential for advancing the improvement of the species [21]. In this context,
 346 progenies 36, 37, 11, 5, 49, 23, 6, and 25 are the most suitable, as they stand out for both traits
 347 simultaneously.

348 Combining the analysis of productive traits with the resistance to witches' broom (*M.*
 349 *perniciosa*) disease, progenies 6, 36, and 38 were excluded, as they did not present satisfactory
 350 tolerance, and thus, can increase the pathogen pressure on resistant individuals. Thus, of the 25

351 studied progenies, 5, 37, 11, 23, 49, 21, and 25 were selected. Breeding programs for *T. grandiflorum*
352 must always take into account the plants' reaction to the fungus since it is the main pathogen that
353 affects the cultivation of both *T. grandiflorum* and *T. cacao* [44]. Therefore, through breeding of the
354 species, genetic materials can be developed that combine high levels of productivity and resistance
355 to *M. perniciosa*, thus reducing production costs related to phytosanitary pruning and the application
356 of fungicide. This, in turn, can mitigate the risks and effects of chemical contamination for humans,
357 animals, and the environment [45].

358 **Conclusions**

359 Data from three or 11 harvests should be used in selection aiming at recombination or
360 identification of genotypes for selection, respectively.

361 Seven progenies (5, 37, 11, 23, 49, 21 and 25) were identified and selected with high
362 adaptability, stability, productivity, and resistance to witches' broom disease.

363 **Acknowledgements**

364 The authors would like to thank the rural producers Emerson Tokumaru, Paulino Taguchi, Michinori
365 Konagano, Seia Takaki, Elton Takaki, and Maria do Socorro Lima for generously providing their
366 properties to install and conduct the trials. We also thank the agricultural technician José Raimundo
367 Quadros Fernandes for assistance with fieldwork.

368 **Data Availability Statement**

369 The data that support the findings of this study are included in the supporting information files.

370 **Author Contributions**

371 All authors have made a significant, direct and intellectual contribution to this paper, and approved it
372 for publication.

373 **References**

1. Alves RM, Sebbenn AM, Artero AS, Clement C, Figueira A. Mating system in a natural population of *Theobroma grandiflorum* (Willd. ex Spreng.) Schum., by microsatellite markers. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* 2003;26(3): 373-379. doi: 10.1590/S0100-29452013000300019
2. Alves RM, Sebbenn AM, Artero AS, Clement C, Figueira A. High levels of genetic divergence and inbreeding in populations of cupuassu (*Theobroma grandiflorum*). *Tree Genetics. Genomes.* 2007;3(4): 289-298. doi: 10.1007/s11295-006-0066-9
3. Para [Internet] Secretaria de Estado de Desenvolvimento Agropecuário e da Pesca: Indicadores Agropecuários 2020 [cited 2021 Apr 21]. Available from: <http://www.sedap.pa.gov.br/content/cupua%C3%A7u>
4. Homma AKO. Extrativismo vegetal na Amazônia: história, ecologia, economia e domesticação. 2nd edn, Brasília: Embrapa; 2014.
5. Silva LMR, Sousa PHM, Sabino LBS, Prado GM, Torres LBV, Maia GA, Figueiredo RW, Ricardo NMPS. Brazilian (North and Northeast) fruit by products. In: Campos-Vega R, Oomah BD, Vergara-Castañeda HA, editors. *Food Wastes and By-products: Nutraceutical and Health Potential*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons; 2020. pp. 127–158.
6. Pugliese AG, Tomas-Barberan FA, Truchado P, Genovese MI. Flavonoids, proanthocyanidins, vitamin C, and antioxidant activity of *Theobroma grandiflorum* (Cupuassu) pulp and seeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2013 61: 2720–2728. doi: 10.1021/jf304349u
7. Oliveira TB, Genovese MI. Chemical composition of cupuassu (*Theobroma grandiflorum*) and cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) liquors and their effects on streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Food Res. Int.* 2013;51: 929–935. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2013.02.019
8. Genovese MI, Lannes SCS. Comparison of total phenolic content and antiradical capacity of powders and "chocolates" from cocoa and cupuassu. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2009;29: 810–814. doi: 10.1590/S0101-20612009000400017

- 399 9. Alves RM, Filgueiras GC, Homma AKO. Aspectos socioeconômicos do cupuaçuzeiro na
400 Amazônia: do extrativismo a domesticação. In: Santana AC, editor. Mercado, cadeias
401 produtivas e desenvolvimento rural na Amazônia. 1. ed. Belém: UFRA; 2014. pp. 197–223.
- 402 10. Gramacho KP, Luz EDMN, Silva FS, Lopes UV, Pires JL, Pereira L. Pathogenic variability
403 of *Moniliophthora perniciosa* in three agroecological zones of the cacao region of Bahia,
404 Brazil. Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol. 2016;16: 7–13. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332016v16n1a2
- 405 11. Patrocínio NGRB, Ceresini PC, Gomes LZ, Resende ML, Mizubbuti ESG, Gramacho KP.
406 Population structure and migration of the witches' broom pathogen *Moniliophthora*
407 *perniciosa* from cacao and cultivated and wild solanaceous hosts in southeastern Brazil. Plant
408 Pathol. 2017;66: 900–911.
- 409 12. Coelho IF, Peixoto MA, Evangelista JSPC, Alves RS, Sales S, Resende MDV, et al. Multiple-
410 trait, random regression, and compound symmetry models for analyzing multi-environment
411 trials in maize breeding. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(11): e0242705. doi:
412 10.1371/journal.pone.0242705
- 413 13. Evangelista JSPC, Alves RS, Peixoto MA, Resende MDV, Teodoro PE, Silva FL, Bhering
414 LL. Soybean productivity, stability, and adaptability through mixed model methodology.
415 Cienc. Rural. 2021;51(2): e20200406. doi: 10.1590/0103-8478cr20200406
- 416 14. Resende MDV, Silva FF, Azevedo CF. Estatística Matemática, Biométrica e Computacional.
417 1st ed. Visconde do Rio Branco: Suprema; 2014.
- 418 15. Ferreira FM, Alves RS, Elizeu AM, Benites FRG, Resende MDV, Souza Sobrinho F, Bhering
419 LL. Estimates of repeatability coefficients and optimum number of measures for genetic
420 selection of *Cynodon* spp. Euphytica. 2020;216(5): 1-11.
- 421 16. Resende MDV. Software Selegen-REML/BLUP: a useful tool for plant breeding. Crop Breed.
422 Appl. Biotechnol. 2016;16: 330–339. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332016v16n4a9
- 423 17. Resende MDV. Genética quantitativa e de populações. 1st ed. Visconde do Rio Branco:
424 Suprema; 2015.

18. Chipeta MM, Melis R, Shanahan P, Sibiya J, Benesi RMI. Genotype x environment interaction and stability analysis of cassava genotypes at different harvest times. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.* 2017;27: 901–919.
19. Silva EM, Nunes EWLP, Costa JM, Ricarte AO, Nunes GHS, Aragão FAS. Genotype x environment interaction, adaptability and stability of ‘Piel de Sapo’ melon hybrids through mixed models. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.* 2019;19: 402–411. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332019v19n4a57
20. Dias PC, Xavier A, Resende MDV, Barbosa MHP, Bierkaski FA, Estopa RA. Genetic evaluation of *Pinus taeda* clones from somatic embryogenesis and their genotype x environment interaction. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.* 2018;18: 55–64. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332018v18n1a8
21. Alves RM, Chaves SFS, Alves RS, Santos TG, Araújo DG, Resende MDV. Cupuaçu tree genotype selection for an agroforestry system environment in the Amazon. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras.* 2021;56:e02139. doi: 10.1590/S1678-3921.
22. Jaimez RE, Vera DI, Mora A, Llor RG, Bailey BA. A disease and production index (DPI) for selection of cacao (*Theobroma cacao*) clones highly productive and tolerant to pod rot diseases. *Plant Pathol.* 2020;69:698-712. doi: 10.1111/ppa.13156.
23. Alves RM, Resende MDV, Bandeira BDS, Pinheiro TM, Farias DCR. Evolução da vassoura-de-bruxa e avaliação da resistência em progênies de cupuaçuzeiro. *Rev. Bras. Frutic.* 2009;31(4): 1022-1032. doi: 10.1590/S0100-29452009000400015
24. Patterson HD, Thompson R. Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are unequal. *Biometrika.* 1971;58: 545-554. doi: 10.1093/biomet/58.3.545
25. Henderson CR. Best linear unbiased estimation and prediction under a selection model. *Biometrics.* 1975;31: 423-447. doi: 10.2307/2529430
26. Wilks SS. The Large-Sample Distribution of the Likelihood Ratio for Testing Composite Hypotheses. *Ann Math Stat.* 1938;9: 60–62. doi: 10.1214/aoms/1177732360

27. Resende MDV. Métodos estatísticos ótimos na análise de experimentos de campo. Colombo: Embrapa Florestas; 2004.
28. Resende MDV, Alves RS. Linear, Generalized, Hierarchical, Bayesian and Random Regression mixed models in genetics/genomics in plant breeding. *Funct. Plant. Breed. J.* 2020;2: 1–31. doi: 10.35418/2526-4117/v2n2a1
29. Diouf I, Derivot L, Koussevitzky S, Carretero Y, Bitton F, Moreau L, Causse M. Genetic basis of phenotypic plasticity and genotype × environment interactions in a multi-parental tomato population. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2020;71(18): 5365-5376. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eraa265
30. Salazar JCS, Melgarejo LM, Casanoves F, Rienzo JA, DaMatta FM, Armas C. Photosynthesis limitations in cacao leaves under different agroforestry systems in the Colombian Amazon. *PLoS ONE.* 2018;13(11): e0206149. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206149
31. Kumar J, Gupta DS, Gupta S, Dubey S, Gupta P, Kumar S. Quantitative trait loci from identification to exploitation for crop improvement. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2017;36(8): 1187-1213. doi: 10.1007/s00299-017-2127-y
32. Venturieri GA. Flowering levels, harvest season and yields of cupuassu (*Theobroma grandiflorum*). *Acta Amaz.* 2011;41: 143–152. doi: 10.1590/S0044-59672011000100017
33. Atlin GN, Cairns JE, Das B. Rapid breeding and varietal replacement are critical to adaptation of cropping systems in the developing world to climate change. *Glob. Food Sec.* 2017;12: 31-37. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2017.01.008
34. Sousa TV, Caixeta ET, Alkimim ER, Oliveira ACB, Pereira AA, Sakiyama NS, Zambolim L, Resende MDV. Early selection enabled by the implementation of genomic selection in *Coffea arabica* breeding. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019;9: 1934. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01934
35. Schmidt P, Hartung J, Bennewitz J, Piepho H. Heritability in plant breeding on a genotype-difference basis. *Genetics.* 2019;212: 991-1008. doi: 10.1534/genetics.119.302134
36. Bezerra CM, Ribeiro RM, Viana AP, Cavalcante NR, Silva FAD, Ambrósio M, Amaral Junior ATD. Guava breeding via full-sib family selection: conducting selection cycle and divergence

- between parents and families. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.* 2020;20(1): e256520112. doi: 10.1590/1984-70332020v20n1a12
37. Resende MDV, Duarte JB. Precisão e controle de qualidade em experimentos de avaliação de cultivares. *Pesqui. Agropecu. Trop.* 2007;37(3): 182-194.
38. Resende MDV. *Genética biométrica e estatística no melhoramento de plantas perenes*. 1st ed. Brasília: Embrapa Informação Tecnológica; 2002.
39. Cavalcante NR, Krause W, Viana AP, Silva CA, Porto KKK, Martinez RAS. Anticipated selection for intrapopulation breeding of passion fruit. *Acta Sci. Agron.* 2017;39(2): 143-148. doi: 10.4025/actasciagron.v39i2.31022
40. Sánchez CFB, Alves RS, Garcia A, Teodoro PE, Peixoto LA, Silva LA, Bhering LL, Resende MDV. Estimates of repeatability coefficients and the number of the optimum measure to select superior genotypes in *Annona muricata* L. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2017;16(3): gmr16039753. doi: 10.4238/gmr16039753
41. Carvalho CGP, Cruz CD, Almeida CMVC, Machado PFR. Yield repeatability and evaluation period in hybrid cocoa assessment. *Crop Breed. Appl. Biotechnol.* 2002;2(1): 149-156. doi: 10.12702/1984-7033.v02n01a19
42. Mustiga GM, Gezan SA, Phillips-Mora W, Arciniegas-Leal A, Mata-Quirós A, Motamayor JC. Phenotypic description of *Theobroma cacao* L. for yield and vigor traits from 34 hybrid families in Costa Rica based on the genetic basis of the parental population. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2018;9: 808. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.00808.
43. Dias LAS, Kageyama PY. Repeatability and minimum harvest period of cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) in Southern Bahia. *Euphytica.* 1998;102(1): 29-35. doi: 10.1023/A:1018373211196
44. Mournet P, Albuquerque PSB, Alves RM, Silva-Werneck JO, Rivallan R, Marcellino LH, Clément D. A reference high-density genetic map of *Theobroma grandiflorum* (Willd. ex Spreng) and QTL detection for resistance to witches' broom disease (*Moniliophthora perniciosa*). *Tree Geneti. Genomes.* 2020;16(6): 1-13. doi: 10.1007/s11295-020-01479-3

- 503 45. Willocquet L, Savary S, Yuen J. Multiscale phenotyping and decision strategies in breeding
504 for resistance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2017;22(5): 420–432. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017.01.009

Figure