TITLE

Single-cell intracellular pH measurements reveal cell-cycle linked pH dynamics

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS

Julia S. Spear^{1,2} and Katharine A. White^{1,2}

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

²Harper Cancer Research Institute, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA

*to whom correspondence should be addressed: kwhite6@nd.edu

SUMMARY

Spear et al., characterizes an approach for measuring single-cell intracellular pH (pHi) and monitors both single-cell pHi responses to key extracellular signaling cues and pHi dynamics during cell cycle progression. By tracking pHi in single cells, Spear et al. confirms prior work at the population level showing an increase in pHi at the G2/M transition. However, these single-cell pHi measurements reveal new features of pHi dynamics during cell cycle progression, including significantly decreased pHi at the G1/S boundary and rapid acidification during mitosis.

ABSTRACT

Transient changes in intracellular pH (pHi) have been shown to regulate normal cell behaviors like migration and cell-cycle progression, while dysregulated pHi dynamics are a hallmark of cancer. However, little is known about how pHi heterogeneity and dynamics influence population-level measurements or single-cell behaviors. Here, we present and characterize single-cell pHi heterogeneity distributions in both normal and cancer cells and measure dynamic pHi increases in single cells in response to growth factor signaling. Next, we measure pHi dynamics in single cells during cell cycle progression. We determined that single-cell pHi is significantly decreased at the G1/S boundary, increases from S phase to the G2/M transition, rapidly acidifies during mitosis, and recovers in daughter cells. This sinusoidal pattern of pHi dynamics was linked to cell cycle timing regardless of synchronization method. This work confirms prior work at the population level and reveals distinct advantages of single-cell pHi measurements in capturing pHi heterogeneity across a population and dynamics within single cells.

INTRODUCTION

Transient changes in intracellular pH (pHi) regulate normal cell behaviors such as differentiation (Ulmschneider et al., 2016), proliferation (Flinck et al., 2018), migration (Choi et al., 2013), and apoptosis (Sergeeva et al., 2017), and these dynamic changes in pHi result from regulation of ion

transporters that load or extrude protons (Boron, 2004). However, most experiments studying pHi-dependent cell behaviors measure average pHi across a population of cells and in non-native environments. Thus, our understanding of the role of spatiotemporal and single-cell pHi dynamics in regulating cell behaviors is limited. Understanding how spatiotemporal pHi dynamics drive single-cell behaviors like division, migration, and apoptosis would enhance mechanistic understanding of pHi dynamics in regulating cell behaviors. In addition, there is potential to identify new therapeutic routes for limiting pHi-dependent behaviors in disease.

Single cell pHi measurements could be particularly important in studying pHi dynamics in cancer. Cancer cells have a constitutively higher pHi compared to their tissue of origin (0.2-0.4 pHi units) and this has been shown to be an early event in disease progression (Reshkin et al., 2000; Cardone, Casavola and Reshkin, 2005). The alkaline intracellular environment in cancer cells promotes pHi-dependent behaviors that are advantageous to cancer cells, such as proliferation, cell invasion, and metastasis (White, Grillo-Hill and Barber, 2017). Cancer is a disease of clonal evolution and expansion driven by genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity (Greaves and Maley, 2012). Additionally, environmental pressures within a complex tumor microenvironment can enhance and drive phenotypic diversification as cells compete for nutrients, oxygen, and gain fitness advantages for growth and metastasis (Lawson et al., 2018). If pHi correlates with known genetic/phenotypic profiles of cancer cells, then single-cell pHi measurements could predict single-cell behaviors in a heterogenous population. For example, pHi may indicate whether a cell is likely to invade, metastasize, or resist drug treatment during cancer progression. Therefore, there is a critical need to understand how single-cell pHi dynamics regulate single-cell behaviors and determine the effect of single-cell pHi heterogeneity on observed population-level cell behaviors.

Previous work characterizing single-cell pHi has suggested pHi is heterogeneous in cancer cells (Korenchan and Flavell, 2019). In an experiment using the pH-sensitive dye 2',7'-bis(carboxyethyl)-5(6)-Carboxyfluorescein (BCECF), mouse and human cancer cells were shown to have a distribution of pHi values when calculated by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) (Lee and Tannock, 1998). This work suggests that single-cell pHi is heterogeneous in cancer, but the dye was not standardized on the single-cell level and therefore absolute pHi calculations were not possible. In addition, pHi can be rapidly altered when the extracellular environment is changed, as many ion transporters are also mechanosensitive (Fuster, Moe and Hilgemann, 2004; Barth and Fronius, 2019). Therefore, FACS-based single-cell pHi assays cannot reflect the pHi of cells grown under native 2D culture conditions, much less cells in a 3D environment.

A recent study of pHi heterogeneity using 3D spheroid models of cancer and the pH-sensitive dye Semaphtharhodafuor (SNARF) showed acidic pHi in the hypoxic core (pH 7.0) and alkaline pHi at the spheroid periphery (pH 7.7) (Saccomano et al., 2017). While this suggests that pHi may be reflective of environment or cell phenotype, it is unclear whether this heterogeneity is an artifact of dye penetration issues in large, dense spheroids (>300 μ m). In another study using a small number (n<150) of normal and cancerous mouse mammary cells, heterogeneous pHi was linked to metabolic changes (Warburg-like metabolism vs. oxidative phosphorylation) (Lobo et al., 2016). However, the authors did not characterize or quantify heterogeneity within the population of normal or cancer cells, nor did they confirm that single-cell pHi correlated with metabolic phenotype. Collectively, these data suggest that pHi heterogeneity may be a way to distinguish phenotypes, but the lack of single-cell correlations and use of non-native environments limit interpretation of these data.

Here, we present single-cell pHi measurements and characterize pHi heterogeneity distributions in both normal and cancer cells. By measuring the individual pHi of cells grown under

physiological conditions in 2D, we show that lung cancer cells have increased pHi in comparison to lung epithelial cells, and that pHi correlates with metastatic potential. We show that pHi is similarly heterogeneous in normal and cancer cell lines, and that we can measure dynamic pHi increases in single cells in response to physiological cues (growth factor signaling). Next, we synchronized cells at two different cell cycle phases and showed dynamic pHi as cells were released, suggesting a link between cell cycle and pHi. Finally, we track single-cell pHi dynamics as cells progress through an entire cell cycle and show trends for pHi dynamics as a marker of cell cycle progression.

RESULTS

Single-cell pHi increases with metastatic potential and heterogeneity is independent of transformation

Our first goal was to perform single-cell pHi measurements to quantify pHi distributions within clonal populations of normal and cancerous lung cell lines. We used a genetically-encoded biosensor, mCherry-pHluorin (mCh-pHi), for our applications to avoid issues of uneven dye loading, washout, and photobleaching during time-lapse imaging (Grillo-Hill, Webb and Barber, 2014). The mCh-pHi biosensor has previously been used to measure pHi in cultured cells (Choi et al., 2013; Koivusalo et al., 2010) and tissues (Grillo-Hill et al., 2015) and has a dynamic range between pH 6.5 and 8.0 (Grillo-Hill, Webb and Barber, 2014). Briefly, direct measurement of pHi in single living cells can be achieved by performing ratiometric imaging of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence intensities, as pHluorin is pH-sensitive and mCherry is not, followed by single-cell standardization using buffers of known pH containing the protonophore nigericin (Fig. 1 A, see methods for details).

We stably expressed mCh-pHi in normal lung epithelial cells (NL20), primary tumor site-derived lung cancer cells (A549), and metastatic site-derived lung cancer cells (H1299). We first

confirmed that the average pHi values of the clonal biosensor lines (NL20-mCh-pHi, A549-mCh-pHi and H1299-mCh-pHi) were unchanged compared to matched parental cell lines using a standard population-level plate reader assay with BCECF (Grillo-Hill, Webb and Barber, 2014) (Fig. S1). We next measured single-cell pHi in individual cells across the three biosensor cell lines (Fig. 1, B and D). To quantify heterogeneity in these clonal cell lines, we prepared distribution histograms for single-cell pHi calculations. We found that the normal epithelial cells (NL20-mCh-pHi) had the lowest median pHi (Fig. 1 E; 7.42 ± 0.07), and pHi of the primary tumor cells (A549-mCh-pHi) (Fig. 1 F; 7.54 ± 0.07) was increased compared to normal lung NL20-mCh-pHi cells. Importantly, the H1299-mCh-pHi metastatic tumor cells had the highest median pHi (Fig. 1 G; 7.65 ± 0.07), significantly higher than both the normal NL20 and primary tumor A549 cell lines. These data suggest that pHi increases in cancer cells, and higher pHi may correlate with increased metastatic potential.

Figure 1: Intracellular pH is heterogeneous and varies depending on cell type. A) Schematic of single-cell pHi measurements using a stably expressed pH biosensor, mCherry-pHluorin (mCh-pHi) and the protonophore nigericin to standardize the biosensor (see methods for details). B-D) Representative images of pHi measurement and standardization in NL20 (B), A549 (C) and (D) H1299 cells stably expressing mCh-pHi. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μ m. E-G) Histograms of single-cell pHi in NL20 (E) (n=173, 3 biological replicates), A549 (F) (n=424, 4 biological replicates), and H1299 (G) (n=315, 3 biological replicates). Histograms are binned at 0.02 pH units. Above histograms, median $\pm$ S.D. Significance was determined by a Mann Whitney test. (***)p<0.001, compared to NL20).

It has been proposed that phenotypic heterogeneity may be a key factor in cancer progression in patients (Dagogo-Jack and Shaw, 2018), and it has been shown that cancer cells have increased transcriptional heterogeneity compared to normal cells (Hinohara and Polyak, 2019). We hypothesized that perhaps pHi heterogeneity might be reflective of these other forms of heterogeneity in the framework of metastatic potential. However, we did not see increased pHi heterogeneity in the cancer cell lines compared to the normal lung epithelial lines. Next, we asked

whether we could detect physiological fluctuations in single-cell pHi under our culture and imaging conditions.

Single-cell pHi increases with growth factor stimulation

Previous work has shown that acute Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) stimulation can activate ion transporters involved in pH homeostasis and increase average pHi in a population of cells (Webb et al., 2016). However, we do not know whether PDGF stimulation is sufficient to increase pHi in single cells. To test this, we performed initial pHi imaging of H1299-mCh-pHi cells, then stimulated cells with either PDGF for 5 min (50 ng/mL) or with mock treatment of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 5 minutes (Fig. 2 A). We prepared distribution histograms for single-cell pHi calculations and found that with PDGF stimulation, median pHi significantly increased compared to initial (Fig. 2 B, red), while mock-treatment did not result in a significant increase in H1299-mCh-pHi pHi compared to initial measurements (Fig. 2 B, blue). These data suggest that our single-cell pHi measurements can detect physiological changes in pHi and for the first time that growth factor stimulation increases pHi at the single-cell level.

Figure 2: Platelet-Derived growth factor stimulation increased pHi in single H1299-mCh-pHi cells. A) Representative images of H1299-mCh-pHi cells before (initial) and 5 min after stimulation with Platelet-Derived growth factor (+PDGF, 50 ng/mL). Cells subjected to mock treatment with 5 min of PBS (+PBS) are shown below. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μ m. B) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected as in A, pHi before (grey) and after (red) PDGF stimulation (n=755, 6 biological replicates) or before (grey) and after (blue) mock PBS stimulation (n= 315, 3 biological replicates). Histograms are binned at 0.02 pH units. Above histograms, median $\pm$ S.D. C) Differences plots showing single-cell pH change (Δ pHi) after PDGF stimulation (red) or mock PBS stimulation (blue). D) Correlation plots comparing initial pH (x-axes) and pH after treatment (y-axes) for PDGF stimulation (red) and mock PBS treatment (blue). Points above identity line represent cells with increased pHi over the experiment while points below line represent cells with decreased pHi over the experiment. Percentage of cells above and below the line are shown. For B, significance was determined by a Wilcoxon test. (***)p<0.001). PBS was not significant. For C, significance determined by one-sample Wilcoxon test comparing values to 0. (***)p<0.001).

Our single-cell data is in agreement with prior work completed using population measurements (Webb et al., 2016). However, one distinct advantage of our single-cell analyses is the ability to monitor heterogeneity of pHi responses and dynamics within our data. We observed a statistically significant median increase in single-cell pHi with PDGF stimulation (Fig. 2 B), but our data also captured heterogeneous single-cell pHi responses to PDGF (Δ pH = -0.14 to +0.24) (Fig. 2 C, red). While we observed similar heterogeneous responses to PBS treatment (Δ pH = -0.21 to +0.14), PBS did not produce a significant increase in pHi at the single-cell level (Fig. 2 C, blue). Correlation plots in each treatment revealed a higher percentage of cells that increased in pHi in PDGF (74%) when compared to PBS (54%) (Fig. 2 D). These results suggest that growth factor

stimulation does increase pHi at the single-cell level, but that this effect is heterogeneous across a population of cells, with some cells responding more strongly than other cells. Importantly, population-level analyses would have missed this heterogeneous and physiological response to PDGF.

To confirm these results, we tested PDGF and mock PBS stimulation in A549-mCh-pHi cells (Fig. S2 A). With this primary tumor line, we saw a small but significant increase with PDGF while PBS stimulation left pHi unchanged (Fig. S2 B). When analyses were performed at the single cell level, pHi responses were significant for PDGF and not significant in PBS (Fig. S2, C and D). Although the magnitude of single-cell pHi changes in A549-mCh-pHi were not as robust as with H1299-mCh-pHi cells in response to PDGF, the data reveal in both cases that PDGF stimulation produces an increase in pHi in single cells but that the response to growth factor is heterogeneous.

If the initial pHi heterogeneity observed in unstimulated cells were due to differences in phenotype (signaling pathways, crosstalk, metabolic heterogeneity), we would expect that PDGF stimulation would compress the heterogeneity by compressing signaling phenotype. Instead, we saw that pHi heterogeneity was maintained with PDGF stimulation and distributions just shifted slightly to a higher pHi. Furthermore, it is unlikely that the heterogeneity resulted from metabolic or gas exchange differences that have previously been suggested in 3D models (Saccomano et al., 2017), as our system is set up in 2D culture and all cells have the same environmental cues (stiffness, oxygen, nutrient availability). One key feature of the experiments is that they were performed on an asynchronous cell population. There is support for pHi being dynamic during the cell cycle, and there is evidence for pHi as a regulator of cell-cycle progression (Flinck et al., 2018). However, most of this work has been done at a population level, use single-cell model organisms, or use approaches to synchronize cells (like nutrient starvation) which could produce

artificial effects on pHi. We next sought to determine whether cell-cycle heterogeneity is contributing to the measured pHi heterogeneity across all our cell lines.

Cells released from G1/S synchronization have dynamic pHi

The first experiments that defined a relationship between cell cycle and pHi used unicellular organisms, such as *tetrahymena* (Gillies and Deamer, 1979), *dictyostelium* (Aerts, Durston and Moolenaar, 1985), and *S. pombe* (Karagiannis and Young, 2001). In *tetrahymena*, two alkaline shifts of 0.4 pH units were observed pre- and post-S phase (Gillies and Deamer, 1979). Starvation and heat shock were used to synchronize the cells, which also alter basic cell metabolic functions and disturb the growth environment, respectively. In *dictyostelium*, pHi increases (~0.2 pH units) were also measured during S phase, but only population-level pHi was determined (Aerts, Durston and Moolenaar, 1985). In conflict with the previous two studies, no evidence was found for a relationship between cell cycle and pHi in *S. pombe* using genetically-encoded pHluorin (Karagiannis and Young, 2001). Although some key cell functions can be evolutionary conserved from lower-ordered organisms to higher order organisms, pHi fluctuations in unicellular organisms may not be reflective of cell-cycle regulation in multi-cellular organisms.

More recent work using animal cell models has shown a relationship between pHi and cell cycle progression. Using quiescent populations of human tumor cells, it was shown that a narrow range of extracellular pH (pHe) values (pH 6.8 to 7.2) is required for normal proliferation patterns (Taylor and Hodson, 1984). This work suggests that this defined pHe range can influence both induction of quiescence and recruitment of quiescent cells into the cell cycle, but made no mention of pHi, which can be altered in response to pHe changes (Deutsch, Taylor and Wilson, 1982). In population-level analyses of pHi in thymidine-synchronized MCF-7 breast cancer cells, pHi was found to fluctuate after thymidine release, but no statistical significance was noted (Flinck et al., 2018). Strengthening the link between pHi and cell cycle, knockdown of the Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger

(NHE1) and $\text{Na}^+\text{-HCO}_3^-$ transporter (NBCn1) caused elongation of S phase and a delay in the timing of G2/M transition (Flinck et al., 2018). In another example, an increase in pHi driven by NHE1 was found to time G2/M transition (Putney and Barber, 2003). However, the experiments in these studies were carried out using population-level assays and performed using environmental (pHe change) or ion transporter manipulation. Thus, our understanding of the role of native pHi dynamics on cell cycle progression is incomplete and importantly, physiological single-cell pHi dynamics during cell cycle progression in mammalian models is still uncharacterized.

With our pHi measurement system using mCh-pHi, we sought to measure single-cell pHi dynamics during cell cycle progression. We synchronized H1299-mCh-pHi using a double-thymidine block (Chen and Deng, 2018) which halts the cells in early S phase. Thymidine acts as a DNA synthesis inhibitor by accumulating dTTP and depleting dCTP within the cell (Bjursell and Reichard, 1973; Bolderson et al., 2004). Once released from the excess thymidine, cells continue through S phase, G2, and eventually enter mitosis (Fig. 3 A). We synchronized H1299-mCh-pHi cells and imaged cells at 0, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours (h) after thymidine release (Fig. 3 B). Qualitatively, we noticed cells were larger at 0 h and starting at 8 h had distinct cell rounding and smaller apparent cell size, indicating mitotic cells (Fig. 3 B). In this representative replicate, we observed from the single-cell pHi data that pHi significantly decreased between 0 and 4 h, significantly increased between 4 and 8 h, decreased between 8 and 12 h, and increased again between 12 and 24 h (Fig. 3 D). This suggests that following thymidine synchronization release, pHi is dynamic and statistically changes with each time point post release.

Figure 3: Intracellular pH is dynamic after release from G1/S in H1299-mCh-pHi cells and correlates with cyclin levels. A) Schematic of the double-thymidine synchronization protocol. B) Representative images of H1299-mCh-pHi cells at indicated time point after release. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/ mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bar: 50 μ m. C) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected as in B, from one biological replicate. Histograms binned at 0.02 pH units. D) Table of pHi values from data in C (median±S.D.). E) Representative immunoblots for cyclin E1, A2, and B1 with respective actin loading controls. F) Violin plots of raw pHi across 4 biological replicates. Additional replicates shown in Fig. S3. For D and F, significance was determined using a Kruskal Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons correction. Each time point was compared to its preceding time point and 0 h compared to 24 h. (**p<0.01; ***p<0.001).

We confirmed that the double-thymidine block appropriately synchronized the cells by immunoblotting for cyclins from matched cell lysates (Fig. 3 E). Cyclin E1 regulates G1/S (Siu, Rosner and Minella, 2012), cyclin A2 regulates S and G2 phases (De Boer et al., 2008), while cyclin B1 regulates G2 and is degraded by the start of anaphase in mitosis (Chang, Xu and Luo, 2003). We observe that cyclin E1, a marker of G1 and early S phase, is highest at 0 h as expected for a cell population properly synchronized at early S phase (Fig. 3 E). These cells undergo mitosis approximately 8 h post-thymidine release since cyclin A2, which is degraded before the G2/M checkpoint, was highest at 4 h and fully degraded at 12 h (Fig. 3 E). Confirming this, we observed that cyclin B1 levels, an inducer of G2/M, increased from 0 to 4 h and peaked at 8 h post-release (G2). Cyclin E1 levels were increasing again by 12 h, suggesting most cells in this assay completed the cell cycle and progress back into G1/S (Fig. 3 E). By 24 h, protein abundance was similar across all cyclins, as we would expect in an asynchronous population. Our cyclin results match previously published data on synchronized H1299 cell populations (Chen and Deng, 2018), suggesting our thymidine protocol is appropriately synchronizing the cells.

When pHi was compared over four biological replicates, we found that median pHi of the cell populations decreased significantly at 4 h (mid S phase), increased from 4 to 8 h (G2/M), and decreased once more from 8 to 12 h. This preserves the sinusoidal pHi pattern seen in the individual replicate. Individual pHi distribution plots and western blots for additional replicates are shown in Fig. S3. In the merged replicate data, at 24 h there was no significant difference in pHi when compared to 12 h (Fig. 3 F) but was significantly lower than pHi at 0 h. When the synchronized time points (Fig. 3 F, 0-24 h) were compared to our asynchronous time points (Fig. 3 F, 24 h; Fig. 1 G) there was no significant difference in pHi (Fig. 3 C). This confirms that thymidine treatment itself did not alter pHi and that merging time points during synchronization reproduces pHi distributions of an asynchronous population. Previous work showed that increased pHi regulates G2/M transition (Putney and Barber, 2003; Sellier et al., 2006). Our data

supports this, and in addition reveals a possible role for pHi dynamics in G1/S (where we see a decrease in pHi) and late S phase where pHi increases leading into G2 phase. These pHi values measured from single cells indicate that pHi oscillates after thymidine release and could correlate with different cell-cycle phases.

A549-mCh-pHi cells were also synchronized with a double-thymidine block and showed similar results post release to H1299-mCh-pHi cells (Fig. 4). Cells were qualitatively similar in appearance to H1299-mCh-pHi populations (Fig. 4 A), and pHi oscillated with cell cycle progression (Fig. 4 B). In the representative replicate shown, the pHi at 4, 8, and 12 h were statistically different from their proceeding time point (Fig. 4 C). These data agree with the pHi oscillations found in H1299-mCh-pHi cells (Fig. 3 D).

Again, the double-thymidine block synchronization was confirmed by A549-mCh-pHi cyclin immunoblots where peak cyclin A2 (S/G2) was at 4 h and peak cyclin B1 (G2/M) was at 8 h (Fig. 4 D). Across four replicates, we observed significant differences in pHi measurements after release, showing an increase from 4h to 8 h (G2/M) and decrease following mitosis at 12 h (G1/S) and 24 h (asynchronous) (Fig. 4 E). Single-cell pHi distribution plots and western blots for additional replicates are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4. This data supports our conclusions made with H1299-mCh-pHi cells (Fig. 3 F) that pHi is dynamic and follows a sinusoidal pattern through cell cycle progression.

Figure 4: Intracellular pH is dynamic after release from G1/S in A549-mCh-pHi cells and correlates with cyclin levels. A) Representative images of A549-mCh-pHi cells at indicated time point after release from a double-thymidine block. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μ m. B) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected as in A, from one biological replicate. Histograms binned at 0.02 pH units. C) Table of pHi values from data in B, (median±S.D.). D) Representative immunoblots for cyclin E1, A2, and B1 with respective actin loading controls. E) Violin plots of raw pHi across 4 biological replicates. Additional replicates shown in Fig. S4. For C and E, significance was determined using a Kruskal Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons correction. Each time point was compared to the preceding time point and 0 h compared to 24 h. (**p<0.001).

From these cell synchronization data, we conclude that pHi is dynamic following release. Most notably there is a decrease in pHi after release from G1/S, an increase in pHi leading to the G2/M transition, and again a drop in pHi at or following mitosis. We next asked whether pHi dynamics within a single cell tracked over time followed a similar pattern.

Single cells alkalize leading to G2/M, followed by rapid acidification and recovery of daughter cells

For the previous experiments, replicates of cell populations were treated and identically released from thymidine, then sampled at distinct time points post release. It is possible that although our time points exemplified pHi distributions that fluctuated in single-cells, the snapshots may not be reflective of continuous single-cell behaviors or of a continuous link between pHi and cell cycle. A time lapse allows us to capture pHi dynamics between time points assayed in our endpoint assay (Fig. 3) and further elucidate single-cell pHi dynamics through an entire cell cycle.

First, we synchronized H1299-mCh-pHi cells with thymidine as in Fig. 3. Upon release, we imaged cells every 20 minutes for 24 h to acquire dynamic single-cell pHi values. We observed bursts of mitotic cells at $15 \text{ h} \pm 4 \text{ h}$ (3 replicates) indicating cell cycle synchronization. Representative images of a dividing cell from the timelapse are shown, highlighting DNA condensation, cell rounding, and ratiometric changes in the pH biosensor (Fig. 5 A, Video 1). For this cell, pHi quantification shows increasing pHi, acidification just prior to mitosis, and pHi recovery following division in daughter cells (Fig. 5 B). To investigate trends in single-cell pHi dynamics over time, we selected prophase as a “normalization point” for each individual dividing cell. Prophase was chosen because DNA condensation was easily identified using DNA staining. When pHi dynamics were plotted for 39 dividing cells, we observed a significant period of alkalization that began $\sim 11 \text{ h}$ prior to division and continued until prophase (Fig. 5 C).

Figure 5: Intracellular pH increases after thymidine release, followed by a rapid acidification leading to division and a recovery of daughter cells. H1299-mCh-pHi cells were synchronized with thymidine and imaged every 20 minutes for 24 h after thymidine release. A) Single Z-plane images of a single cell division at indicated time (h). Top is Hoescht dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom images are ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μ m. This dividing cell is shown in Video 1. B) Traces show calculated pHi values of the single cell in A (black, solid line) and daughter cells (red and blue dotted lines). C) Traces show pHi changes in dividing cells, relative to pHi at prophase (P) for each individual cell (mean $\pm$ SD, n=39, 3 biological replicates). D) Single Z-plane images of a single non-dividing cell. Top is Hoescht dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom images are ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μ m. This non-dividing cell is shown in Video 2. E) Traces show calculated pHi values of single cell in D (black, solid line) over time. F) Traces show pHi change in non-dividing cells, relative to the pHi at average time of prophase determined from dividing cell data (mean Δ pHi $\pm$ SD, n=22, from 3 biological replicates). In C, F, significance determined by a one-sample Wilcoxon test compared to 0 (red points, *p<0.05).

This data correlates well with the increased pHi we observe at 8 h (G2/M) in the endpoint pHi measurements (Fig. 3). This sustained increase leading to prophase agrees with previous data on an NHE-1-dependent increase in pHi and the timing of G2/M (Putney and Barber, 2003). Interestingly, the single cell data also reveal a statistically significant acidification following prophase, which may correlate with the acidification observed in the discontinuous H1299-mCh-pHi cells after mitosis (Fig. 3 F, 12h).

Although we saw a burst of mitotic cells at an average of $15 \text{ h} \pm 4 \text{ h}$, there was variability in the timing of prophase (Fig. S5 A), along with pHi heterogeneity noted in single-cell pHi changes from prophase (Fig. S5 B). When dividing cells are binned according to prophase time, significant alkalizations occur in each group pre- and post-prophase (Fig. S5 C). Interestingly at $\sim 5 \text{ h}$ prior to prophase, there was a significant alkalization across all groups, indicating that at this distinct time point there may be a requirement for increased pHi for transition to mitosis. (Fig. 5 C). These data suggest that this significant alkalization observed in single-dividing cells correlates with G2/M timing and not with experimental timing.

Single-cell time-lapse analysis also allows us to differentiate between cells that undergo mitosis and cells that do not. If pHi dynamics are a sufficient regulator of cell cycle progression, we may expect to see attenuated pHi dynamics in non-dividing cells. To test this hypothesis, we also quantified pHi from non-dividing cells in our dataset. A representative non-dividing cell is shown in Fig. 5 D (Video 2). For this cell, pHi quantification showed attenuated pHi dynamics compared to dividing cells (Fig. 5 E). To compare trends in single-cell pHi dynamics for nondividing cells, delta pHi was calculated for each non-dividing cell from the average timing of prophase from dividing cells (15 h) (Fig. 5 F). From the 22 non-dividing cells, we observe attenuated pHi dynamics with the only significant changes coming from a slight period of alkalization just after release (Fig. 5 F). Importantly, the significant alkalization we observe in dividing cells in the ~ 5 hours just prior to G2/M (Fig. 5 C, Fig. S5 C) is not seen in the non-dividers, indicating pHi dynamics could be correlated with (or regulating) mitotic events.

It is widely accepted that cancer is the culmination of cell cycle dysregulation and the ability of aberrant cells to continue to proliferate (Foster, 2008). On the other hand, it is known that cancer-cell behaviors can be facilitated by dysregulated pHi dynamics (White, Grillo-Hill and Barber, 2017). We have shown that after thymidine synchronization, pHi is dynamic and correlates with

the cell cycle at the population (Fig. 3) and single-cell level (Fig. 5). Previously it was shown that at a population level, a pHi increase was necessary for G2/M transition (Putney and Barber, 2003; Sellier et al., 2006) and our data supports this. On the single-cell level, pHi alkalization occurs pre-mitosis, followed by a rapid acidification after prophase, and pHi recovery in daughter cells. Our single-cell data uniquely reveals this rapid acidification occurring between prophase and division and subsequent pHi recovery of the daughter cells. Further analysis will be needed to understand if these additional pHi changes are required for a single cell to successfully complete a cell cycle.

Cells have similar pHi dynamics independent of cell cycle synchronization timing or release

Next, we wanted to independently confirm that pHi dynamics correlate with cell cycle status of a synchronized population. Although thymidine synchronization is a popular method for S phase synchronization, we wanted to see if the measured pHi dynamics we associated with cell-cycle were preserved using synchronization at another phase. Nocodazole can be used after a double thymidine block to push the S-phase-synchronized cells to M phase. However, this approach is technically challenging for adherent cell microscopy, as cells in M phase lose adhesion complexes (Jones, Zha and Humphries, 2019) during rounding and spindle assembly (Taubenberger, Baum and Matthews, 2020). To address this issue, we used a different synchronization method to synchronize the cells at G1 and monitor pHi dynamics in the released cells.

Palbociclib is a CDK4/6 inhibitor that blocks the phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein, which synchronizes cells prior to the G1 checkpoint (Liu, Liu and Chen, 2018) (Fig. 6 A). It has recently been shown that Palbociclib is an efficient G1 synchronizer in H1299 cells, with nearly 85% synchronized after 24 h treatment and minimal DNA damage (Trotter and Hagan, 2020). For our experiments, we plated H1299-mCh-pHi cells identically to our double-thymidine block and

426 treated the cells for 24 h with 0.1 μ M Palbociclib. After release from Palbociclib treatment, cells
 427 were imaged at 0, 4, 8, 12, 24, and 36 h (Fig. 6 B) and single-cell pHi was measured as previously
 428 described (Fig. 6 C). As with thymidine synchronization, median pHi was dynamic in H1299-mCh-
 429 pHi following Palbociclib release, with pHi significantly changing with each time point post release
 430 (Fig. 6 D). We confirmed that Palbociclib synchronized the cells by immunoblotting for cyclins
 431 from matched cell lysates (Fig. 6 E). Notably we see a significant delay in G2/M with Palbociclib
 432 compared to thymidine, as cyclin A2 (G2 marker) peaks at 12 h with Palbociclib (4 h with
 433 thymidine) and cyclin B1 (G2/M marker) peaks at 24 h with Palbociclib (8 h with thymidine) (Fig.
 434 6 E). Additional western blot replicates are shown in Fig. S6 B. This suggests that S phase may
 435 be elongated or entry into G2 may be delayed with Palbociclib compared to thymidine, but that
 436 our experimental assay is still capturing progression through a full cell cycle.

Figure 6: Intracellular pH is dynamic following G1 synchronization and pHi heterogeneity increases with time post-release. A) Schematic of Palbociclib synchronization protocol. B) Representative images of H1299-mCh-pHi cells at indicated time point after release. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios. Scale bar: 50 μ m. C) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected as in B, from one biological replicate. Histograms binned at 0.02 pH units. Additional replicates shown in Fig. S6. D) Medians and standard deviations at each time point shown in C. E) Representative immunoblots for cyclin E1, A2, and B1 with respective actin loading controls. F) Violin plots of raw pHi across 4 biological replicates. Additional replicates shown in Fig. S6. Significance in D and F was determined according to a Kruskal Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons correction. Each time point was compared to its preceding time point. (* $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$).

When pHi measurements on Palbociclib-treated cells were compared over three biological replicates, we found that pHi decreased at mid-S phase (12 h, 7.69 ± 0.09) and increased at G2/M (24 h, 7.82 ± 0.11) (Fig. 6 F). At 36 h, pHi significantly decreased (36 h, 7.67 ± 0.10), but was still

significantly higher than asynchronous pHi (Fig. 6 C). However, even with the uniform increase in resting pHi, our data suggests a consistent link between pHi dynamics and cell cycle, with decreasing pHi in early-to-mid-S and increasing pHi in late S leading up to G2/M.

To better compare and correlate pHi fluctuations in both thymidine and Palbociclib data, which have differential effects on cell cycle timing in H1299, we used cyclin quantification to match pHi data to cell cycle. As mentioned previously, cyclin E1 regulates G1/S (Siu, Rosner and Minella, 2012), cyclin A2 regulates S and G2 phase (De Boer et al., 2008), while cyclin B1 regulates G2 and is degraded by the start of anaphase (Chang, Xu and Luo, 2003) (Fig. 7 A). After quantification of cyclin levels across our data (Fig. 7, B and C), we determined that cyclin E1 (G1/S phase) would be the most reliable marker to align our data so that we can directly compare pHi changes between the two treatments. When Δ pHi was calculated from the median pHi at 0 h (thymidine) and 4 h (Palbociclib), we found that in both treatments pHi decreased after the G1/S boundary (Fig. 7 D; pink) into S/G2 phase (Fig. 7 D; green). For Palbociclib-treated cells, we found sustained increase of cyclin A2 and steady increase of cyclin B1, suggesting an elongated S/G2 phase in Palbociclib compared to thymidine. The pHi corresponding to the latter end of the elongation was decreased compared to the flanking time points (in G1/S and G2/M). Next, there was a significant increase in pHi when G2/M would be occurring, determined by high cyclin B1 expression (Fig. 7 D; purple). In thymidine-treated cells, we were able to measure a decrease in pHi at G1 phase, represented by an increase in cyclin E1 at 12 h (Fig. 7 B; 7 D, black). Asynchronous populations returned to resting pHi for thymidine-treated cells or near resting pHi for Palbociclib-treated cells (Fig. 7 D, blue; Fig. S3 C; 6 C).

Figure 7: Intracellular pH fluctuations correlate with cyclin concentrations independent of synchronization time. A) Schematic of Cyclin concentration during the cell cycle (adapted from BioRender). Quantification of H1299-mCh-pHi cyclin immunoblots after B) thymidine release (Fig. 3 E and Fig. S3, n=4) and after C) Palbociclib release [(Fig. 6 E, Fig. S6; n=3 (0-24 h), n=2 (36 h))] normalized to actin within each replicate. C) Violin plots of single-cell delta pHi from peak cyclin E1 concentration (thymidine, 0 h; Palbociclib, 4 h) across 4 (thymidine) and 3 (Palbociclib) biological replicates. Data is reproduced from Fig. 3, 6, S3 and S6. For B and C, significance was determined according to a paired t-test, two-tailed. Each time point was compared to its preceding time point. (*p<0.05).

In these two treatment conditions to synchronize cells at different points in the cell cycle, we were able to conclude that pHi oscillates according to cell cycle progression when correlated with peak

cyclin expression, further supporting a pHi and cell cycle link. In addition, our data suggest that pHi may be an predictor or regulator of cell cycle phase, as pHi has previously been shown to regulate G2/M (Putney and Barber, 2003; Sellier et al., 2006) and it is possible that the decreases we see after G1 and during mitosis may also be predicative or regulating cell cycle progression. In summary, our single-cell measurements, both via time points and time lapse, show novel pHi dynamics and support the idea that pHi may be more indicative of basic and necessary cell function.

DISCUSSION

Intracellular pHi dynamics have been implicated in diverse cellular processes like differentiation (Ulmschneider et al., 2016), proliferation (Flinck et al., 2018), migration (Choi et al., 2013), and apoptosis (Sergeeva et al., 2017). However, our mechanistic understanding of the role of spatiotemporal and single-cell pHi dynamics in regulating cell behaviors is limited. This is partially due to reliance on population analyses, non-physiological environments, or genetic alteration to link pHi and phenotype (Czowski et al., 2020).

Here, we present three key results that link single-cell pHi and non-genetic phenotype. First, our single-cell pHi measurements confirm pHi is heterogeneous even in clonal cell lines, suggesting pHi may correlate with non-genetic phenotypic variation. Second, we confirmed using single-cell approaches that transformed cells have a higher median pHi compared to normal cells, agreeing with population-level analyses that suggest cancer cells have an increased pHi setpoint compared to normal cells (White, Grillo-Hill and Barber, 2017). However, our single-cell analysis reveals that pHi heterogeneity is similar when compared across normal and transformed cell lines. This result was surprising, as we hypothesized that pHi may be reflective of the increased heterogeneity in cancer (Hinohara and Polyak, 2019). Third, we showed that we can measure physiological increases in single-cell pHi in response to growth factor stimulation (PDGF). Our single-cell

analysis revealed heterogeneous increases in pHi in response to growth factor stimulation. Future work focused on correlating PDGF pathway activation heterogeneity and pHi response heterogeneity would strengthen the link between pHi and cell-signaling phenotype. Taken together, our single-cell pHi and PDGF stimulation measurements suggest that pHi may be a marker of underlying cell phenotype. This motivates testing whether pHi can be a potential biomarker of more cryptic phenotypic markers such as cell cycle status, metabolic activity, and differentiation.

As proof of concept for a pHi-phenotype link, we tested whether pHi heterogeneity is linked to cell cycle. Prior work has suggested a role for pHi in regulating G2/M transition (Putney and Barber, 2003), but several papers show conflicting results with no temporal correlation of pHi and cell-cycle at the population level (Aerts et al., 1985; Flinck et al., 2018; Gillies & Deamer, 1979; Karagiannis & Young, 2001; Putney & Barber, 2003; Taylor & Hodson, 1984).

Here, we present two key results that suggest a link between cell cycle and pHi at both the population and single-cell levels. First, we show that at a population level, H1299-mCh-pHi cell pHi is significantly decreased after the G1/S boundary, increases throughout S phase and peaks just prior to G2/M. This was true using two techniques to synchronize cells at different stages of the cell cycle. We also confirmed the increase leading to the G2/M transition in multiple cell lines. Second, we show that single-cell pHi dynamics follow a sinusoidal curve over the cell cycle, with pHi increasing through S phase, peaking at G2/M and dropping during mitosis before recovering in daughter cells. This has mechanistic implications for prior work showing pHi gradients generated in morphogenetic tissues where bursts of synchronized cell proliferation may underlie these observations (Weiß and Bohrmann, 2019). More work is needed to determine whether these pHi dynamics are necessary or sufficient drivers of cell division or cell cycle progression at

the single-cell level and identify the pH-sensitive proteins that mediate pHi-dependent cell cycle progression.

The impact of this work shows that single-cell techniques can elucidate detailed maps of single-cell behaviors and heterogeneity that can be correlated with population analysis. However, single-cell approaches also reveal dynamics that are not detectable at the population level. The single-cell pHi dynamics reported here in response to growth factor signaling and cell cycle changes could be critical for understanding complex cell biology integrating single-cell and tissue-level behaviors. This is particularly true for understanding pHi-dependent behaviors in the context of cancer, where cell-intrinsic genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity integrate with complex microenvironment features to drive single-cell resistance or metastasis phenotypes. Future work on heterogeneity within complex environments is needed to fully understand how pHi may correlate with cancer-related behaviors and whether pHi can be predictive of cell phenotype.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and conditions

Complete media for H1299 cells (ATCC CRL-5803): RPMI 1640 (Corning, 10-040-CV) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Peak Serum, PS-FB2); A549 (ATCC CCL-185): DMEM (Corning, MT10013CVV) supplemented with 10% FBS; and NL20 (ATCC CRL-2503): Ham's F12 (Lonza, 12001-578) supplemented with 4% FBS, 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Sigma, S6014), 2.7 g/L glucose (VWR, BDH9230), 2.0 mM Glutamax (Gibco, 35050079), 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Lonza, BW13114E), 0.005 mg/mL insulin (Sigma, I1882), 10 ng/mL EGF (Peprotech, AF-100-15), 0.001 mg/mL transferrin (BioVision, 10835-642), 0.0005 mg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma, H0888). All cells were maintained at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in a humidified incubator.

Transfections and stable cell line selection

H1299 cells were transfected with the pCDNA3-mCherry-SEpHluorin(Mirkka Koivusalo, Christopher Welch, Hisayoshi Hayashi, Cameron C. Scott, Moshe Kim, Todd Alexander, Nicolas Touret, Klaus M. Hahn, 2010) (mCh-pHI) using Lipofectamine2000 (Life Technologies, 11668019) per manufacturer's instructions. After 24 h, cells were trypsinized and plated at low dilution on a 10 cm dish in media containing 0.8 mg/mL Geneticin. Cloning cylinders were used to select colonies expressing mCh-pHI for expansion. A final clone was selected based on microscopy assay for mCh-pHI expression and comparison of cell morphology and pHl to parental H1299.

Lentiviral transfection was used to generate stable mCherry-pHluorin expression in NL20 and A549 cells. Production of virus was carried out in 293FT cells. Cells were grown to near confluency in a 10 cm dish and transfected with plx304-mCherry-SEpHluorin (Gift of Yi Liu and Diane Barber at UCSF) and two packaging plasmids: PSPAX2 (Addgene #12260) and PMD2.6 (Addgene #12259) provided by Siyuan Zhang (University of Notre Dame). Three µg each of the plx304-mCherry-pHluorin, PSPAX2, and PDM2.6 were transfected into a nearly confluent 10 cm dish of 293FT cells using Lipofectamine2000 for 18 h. Media was changed and incubated another 3 days. Viral supernatant was collected from the cells and centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm. Supernatant was passed through a 0.2 µm polyethersulfone filter, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen in 1 mL aliquots, and stored at -80°C.

For viral transduction, NL20 and A549 cells were plated in a 6-well plate. After 24 h, viral supernatant was diluted 1:1.6, 1:3 and 1:10 into antibiotic-free media (depending on cell line) with 10 µg/mL Polybrene (Sigma, TR-1003-G), added to separate wells, and incubated for 48-72 hr. Transduced cells were moved to a 10 cm dish and selected with 5 µg/mL blasticidin (Fisher, BP264725). NL20 cells were plated at low density in 96 well plates (0.5 cells/well). Colonies expressing mCh-pHI were expanded and a final NL20-mCh-pHI clone was chosen based on

microscopy assay for mCh-pHi expression and comparison to morphology and pHi of parentals. A549 cells were sorted using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and a population sort according to mCherry expression was used for all imaging experiments after confirmation with microscopy.

BCECF plate reader assays

Cells were plated at 4.0×10^5 - 8.0×10^5 cells/well in a 24-well plate. 24 h later, cells were treated with 2 μ M 2',7'-Bis-(2-Carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-Carboxyfluorescein, Acetoxymethyl Ester (BCECF-AM) (VWR, 89139-244) for 30 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cells were washed 3x5 minutes with a pre-warmed (37°C) HEPES-based wash buffer (30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM KHPO₄, 2 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4) for NL20 and H1299 cells or a pre-warmed (37°C) Bicarbonate (HCO₃)-based wash buffer (25 mM HCO₃, 115 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM KHPO₄, 2 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4). Two Nigericin buffers (25 mM HEPES, 105 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂) supplemented with 10 μ M Nigericin (Fisher, N1495) and pH adjusted to ~6.7 and ~7.7 were also prepared and pre-warmed to 37°C. Fluorescence was read (ex 440, em 535; ex 490, em 535) on a Cytation 5 plate reader incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Kinetic reads were taken at 15 sec intervals for 5 min, using protocol established within Gen5 software. After initial pHi read, the HEPES/bicarbonate wash was aspirated and replaced with one of the nigericin buffer standards, incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 7 min, then BCECF fluorescence was read by plate reader as above. This process was repeated with the second nigericin standard. Mean intensity ratio (490/440) was derived from each read. Measurements were calculated from a nigericin linear regression using exact nigericin buffer pH to hundredths place.(Grillo-Hill, Webb and Barber, 2014)

Microscopy

Imaging protocol was derived from Grillo-Hill et al.² Cells were plated on a 35 mm imaging dish with a 14 mm glass coverslip (Matsunami, D35-14-1.5-U) a day prior to imaging. Microscope was preheated to 37°C and kept at 5% CO₂/95% air. Confocal images were collected on a Nikon Ti-2 spinning disk confocal with a 40x (CFI PLAN FLUOR NA1.3) oil immersion objective. The microscope is equipped with a stage-top incubator (Tokai Hit), a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal head (CSU-X1), four laser lines (405nm, 488nm, 561 nm, 647 nm), a Ti2-S-SE motorized stage, multi-point perfect focus system, and an Orca Flash 4.0 CMOS camera. Hoescht dye (405 laser ex, 455/50 em), pHluorin (488 laser ex, 525/36 em), mCherry (561 laser ex, 605/52 em). Acquisition times for each fluorescence acquisition ranged from 100-800 milliseconds.

For imaging, Initial fields of view (FOV) were collected on the cells in their respective media. For all imaging, nigericin buffers were prepared identically to BCECF assays and all buffer exchanges were carried out on the stage incubator to preserve XY positioning. Multiple Z-planes were collected with center focal plane maintained using a Perfect Focus System (PFS).

After acquisition, NIS Analysis Software was used to quantify pH_i. Images were background subtracted using an ROI drawn on glass coverslip (determined by DIC). Individual Regions of Interest (ROI) are drawn for each cell in each condition (initial, stimulation, high pH nigericin, and low pH nigericin). For each cell ROI, mean pHluorin and mCherry pixel intensities were quantified, and pHluorin/mCherry ratios calculated in excel. For each cell, nigericin values were used to generate a standard curve and pH_i was back-calculated from the single-cell standard curve.

PDGF stimulation

For Fig. 2, cells were stimulated with 50 ng/mL Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) (Peprotech, 100-00AB) or 2 µL PBS used as a negative control and second images were collected

5 min after PDGF addition on the same FOV of cells collected initially. PBS was used as a control, using 2 μ L and collecting images 5 min after addition.

Double-thymidine block

Cells were plated at 10% confluency in 5 replicate 35 mm glass-bottomed dishes and 5 6-well plates (for protein lysate collection) and incubated overnight. Dishes were identically treated with 2 mM Thymidine (Sigma, T9250) for 18 hr, washed with PBS and incubated with fresh complete media for 9 hr, then treated for another 18 h with 2 mM thymidine. Cells were released with a PBS wash and fresh complete media. Imaging of the 0 h time point was initiated 20 min after release. Subsequent imaging was collected at 4, 8, 12, and 24 h after release. Matched dishes at each time point were washed twice with PBS and frozen at -80°C for protein lysate collection and immunoblot analysis of cyclins.

For time-lapse imaging, the double-thymidine block was used as explained above on a single 35 mm glass-bottomed dish supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep (Corning, 30-001-C1) to avoid bacterial contamination during long-term acquisition. Hoechst 33342 solution (ThermoFisher, 62249) was added to the cells (1:20,000) before release and incubated for 15 min. Dye and thymidine were removed and cells were washed with PBS to release cells. Fresh media was added and images were collected every 20 min for 24 hr. Optimal acquisition parameters were as follows: 700 ms exposure time and 8% laser power for GFP; 700 ms exposure time and 10% laser power for TxRed; and 100 ms exposure time and 5% laser power DAPI. A single Z-plane was collected to avoid excess light. Nigericin standards were carried out as previously described (Grillo-Hill, Webb and Barber, 2014).

Palbociclib synchronization

Cells were plated at 10% confluency in 5 replicate 35 mm glass-bottomed dishes and 5 6-well plates (for protein lysate collection) and incubated overnight. Dishes were identically treated with 0.1 μ M Palbociclib (PD-0332991) (Selleck, S1116) for 24 h. Cells were washed with PBS and released with complete fresh media. Imaging of the 0 h time point was initiated 20 min after release. Subsequent imaging was collected at 4, 8, 12, 24 and 36 h post release (1 replicate 0-24 h, 2 replicates 0-36 h). Matched dishes at each time point were washed twice with PBS and frozen at -80°C for later protein lysate collection and immunoblot analysis of cyclins.

Western blot

Protein lysates were collected from 35-mm dishes or 6-well plates frozen at time points matched to imaging. Ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM TRIS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1% Triton X-100, Roche Protease Inhibitor Cocktail] was added to the samples and incubated for 15 min on ice. Cells were scraped and centrifuged for 10 min at 13,000 g at 4°C. Supernatant was retained and protein concentration determined by Pierce™ BCA (ThermoFisher, 23225) protein assay.

15 μ g protein was loaded onto an SDS- Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was run for 3 h at 120 V in 1X Tris-glycine (3.02 g/L Tris, 14.4 g/L glycine, 1.0 g/L SDS). Either a wet-transfer system or a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) was used to transfer the proteins to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PDVF) membrane (pre-wet with methanol). For the wet-transfer, 1X transfer buffer (141 g/L Glycine, 0.3 g/L Tris base) with 20% Methanol for 1.5 h at 100 V. For the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer, Bio-Rad transfer buffer was used according to manufacturer's protocol (7 min). Membranes were blocked in 5% BSA in TBST (2.42 g/L Tris, 8 g/L NaCl, 0.1% Tween) for 2 h then divided for blotting. Primary antibodies: α -cyclin A2 (1:500; abcam, ab38), α -cyclin B1 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, 12231), α -cyclin E1 (1:1000; Cell Signaling, 4129) actin (1:1,000; Santa

Cruz, 2Q1055). Membranes were incubated with primary antibody solution overnight at 4°C with shaking (4 h at RT with shaking for actin). Membranes were washed 3x10 min TBST at RT with shaking and incubated with secondary antibodies [1:10,000; goat α-mouse IgG HRP (Bio-Rad, 1721011) or goat α-rabbit IgG HRP (Bio-Rad, 1706515)] for 2 h at RT with shaking. Membranes were washed 3x10 min TBST at RT with shaking, developed using SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher, 34578), and visualized using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad). ImageJ was used for protein quantification, normalized to loading control.

Quantification and statistics

GraphPad Prism was used to prepare graphs and perform statistical analyses. Normality tests were performed on all data sets, along with an outlier test using ROUT method (Q=1%). For normal data, an unpaired t-test (Fig. S1) or paired t-test (Fig. 7, B and C) was used. For non-normal, unpaired data (Fig. 1, E and G; S1 B; 3 C; 6 C), a Mann Whitney test was used. For non-normal, paired data (Fig. 2 B; S2 B), a Wilcoxon test was used. For differences plots (Fig. 3 C; S2 C) and time lapse data (Fig. 5 C; 5 F; S5 C), a one-sample Wilcoxon test was used, compared to a theoretical mean of 0. For non-normal, unpaired data with more than two sets, a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used (Fig. 3 D; 3 F; 4 C; 4 E; 6 D; 6 F; S3 A, S4 A, S6 A). Values were binned at 0.02 in all frequency distributions. All significance was determined by the following: *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows that the stable mCherry-pHluorin biosensor expression does not alter pHi compared to parental cell lines. Fig. S2 shows that Platelet-Derived growth factor stimulation increased pHi in single A549-mCh-pHi cells. Fig. S3 shows additional replicates of Fig. 3, where single-cell pHi was dynamic after release from G1/S in H1299-mCh-pHi cells. Fig. S4 shows

additional replicates of Fig. 4, where single-cell pHi was dynamic after release from G1/S in A549-mCh-pHi cells. Fig. S5 illustrates heterogenous timing of prophase but preserved pHi changes from time lapse experiments shown in Fig. 5. Fig. S6 shows additional replicates of Fig. 6, where single-cell pHi was dynamic after release from G1 in H1299-mCh-pHi cells. Video 1 is derived from Fig. 5 A and B and demonstrates that pHi of a dividing cell is dynamic following release from G1/S. Video 2 is derived from Fig. 5 D and E and demonstrates that pHi dynamics of a non-dividing cell are attenuated following release from G1/S.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Siyuan Zhang and Dr. Diane Barber for plasmids. We would also like to thank members of the White lab for their helpful feedback on the manuscript.

This work was supported by a DP2 (1DP2CA260416-01) to K.A.W.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

K. White conceptualized the initial hypothesis, developed in collaboration with J. Spear. J. Spear collected and analyzed all data in consultation with K. White. J. Spear wrote initial draft of the manuscript, which was revised in collaboration with K. White. Both authors contributed to figure preparation and approved final manuscript for submission.

REFERENCES

Aerts, R. J., Durston, A. J., & Moolenaar, W. H. (1985). Cytoplasmic pH and the regulation of the dictyostelium cell cycle. *Cell*, 43(3 PART 2), 653–657. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(85\)90237-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(85)90237-5)

- Barth, D., & Fronius, M. (2019). Shear force modulates the activity of acid-sensing ion channels at low pH or in the presence of non-proton ligands. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43097-7>
- Bjursell, G., & Reichard, P. (1973). Effects of thymidine on deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate pools and deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 248(11), 3904–3909. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(19\)43819-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(19)43819-2)
- Bolderson, E., Scorch, J., Helleday, T., Smythe, C., & Meuth, M. (2004). ATM is required for the cellular response to thymidine induced replication fork stress. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 13(23), 2937–2945. <https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh316>
- Boron, W. F. (2004). Regulation of intracellular pH. *American Journal of Physiology - Advances in Physiology Education*, 28(4), 160–179. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00045.2004>
- Bryne Ulmschneider, Bree K. Grillo-Hill, Marimar Benitez, Dinara R. Azimova, D. L. B. and T. G. N. (2016). Increased intracellular pH is necessary for adult epithelial and embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 215(3), 345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201606042>
- Cardone, R. A., Casavola, V., & Reshkin, S. J. (2005). The role of disturbed pH dynamics and the NA⁺/H⁺ exchanger in metastasis. In *Nature Reviews Cancer* (Vol. 5, Issue 10, pp. 786–795). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1713>
- Chang, D. C., Xu, N., & Luo, K. Q. (2003). Degradation of cyclin B is required for the onset of anaphase in mammalian cells. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(39), 37865–37873. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M306376200>
- Chen, G., & Deng, X. (2018). Cell Synchronization by Double Thymidine Block. *Bio-Protocol*, 8(17). <https://doi.org/10.21769/bioprotoc.2994>

Choi, C. H., Webb, B. A., Chimenti, M. S., Jacobson, M. P., & Barber, D. L. (2013). Ph sensing by FAK-His58 regulates focal adhesion remodeling. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 202(6), 849–859. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201302131>

Czowski, B. J., Romero-Moreno, R., Trull, K. J., & White, K. A. (2020). Cancer and pH dynamics: Transcriptional regulation, proteostasis, and the need for new molecular tools. In *Cancers* (Vol. 12, Issue 10, pp. 1–19). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102760>

Dagogo-Jack, I., & Shaw, A. T. (2018). Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. In *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology* (Vol. 15, Issue 2, pp. 81–94). Nature Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.166>

De Boer, L., Oakes, V., Beamish, H., Giles, N., Stevens, F., Somodevilla-Torres, M., DeSouza, C., & Gabrielli, B. (2008). Cyclin A/cdk2 coordinates centrosomal and nuclear mitotic events. *Oncogene*, 27(31), 4261–4268. <https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2008.74>

Deutsch, C., Taylor, J. S., & Wilson, D. F. (1982). Regulation of intracellular pH by human peripheral blood lymphocytes as measured by ¹⁹F NMR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 79(24 I), 7944–7948. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.24.7944>

Flinck, M., Kramer, S. H., & Pedersen, S. F. (2018). Roles of pH in control of cell proliferation. *Acta Physiologica*, 223(3), e13068. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.13068>

Flinck, Mette, Kramer, S. H., Schnipper, J., Andersen, A. P., & Pedersen, S. F. (2018). The acid-base transport proteins NHE1 and NBCn1 regulate cell cycle progression in human breast cancer cells. *Cell Cycle*, 17(9), 1056–1067. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15384101.2018.1464850>

Foster, I. (2008). Cancer: A cell cycle defect. In *Radiography* (Vol. 14, Issue 2, pp. 144–149). W.B. Saunders. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2006.12.001>

Fuster, D., Moe, O. W., & Hilgemann, D. W. (2004). Lipid- and mechanosensitivities of sodium/hydrogen exchangers analyzed by electrical methods. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101(28), 10482–10487.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0403930101>

Gillies, R. J., & Deamer, D. W. (1979). Intracellular pH changes during the cell cycle in *Tetrahymena*. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 100(1), 23–31.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1041000103>

Greaves, M., & Maley, C. C. (2012). Clonal evolution in cancer. In *Nature* (Vol. 481, Issue 7381, pp. 306–313). <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10762>

Grillo-Hill, B. K., Choi, C., Jimenez-Vidal, M., & Barber, D. L. (2015). Increased H⁺ efflux is sufficient to induce dysplasia and necessary for viability with oncogene expression. *ELife*, 2015(4), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03270>

Grillo-Hill, B. K., Webb, B. A., & Barber, D. L. (2014). Ratiometric Imaging of pH Probes. In *Methods in Cell Biology* (Vol. 123, pp. 429–448). <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420138-5.00023-9>

Hinohara, K., & Polyak, K. (2019). Intratumoral heterogeneity: more than just mutations. *Trends Cell Biol.*, 29(7), 569–579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.03.003>

Jones, M. C., Zha, J., & Humphries, M. J. (2019). Connections between the cell cycle, cell adhesion and the cytoskeleton. In *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (Vol. 374, Issue 1779). Royal Society Publishing.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0227>

Karagiannis, J., & Young, P. G. (2001). *Intracellular pH homeostasis during cell-cycle progression and growth state transition in Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Journal of Cell Science*.
<https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.114.16.2929>

Korechan, D. E., & Flavell, R. R. (2019). Spatiotemporal pH heterogeneity as a promoter of cancer progression and therapeutic resistance. In *Cancers* (Vol. 11, Issue 7, p. 1026). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11071026>

Lawson, D. A., Kessenbrock, K., Davis, R. T., Pervolarakis, N., & Werb, Z. (2018). Tumour heterogeneity and metastasis at single-cell resolution. *Nature Cell Biology*, 20(12), 1349–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0236-7>

Lee, A. H., & Tannock, I. F. (1998). Heterogeneity of intracellular pH and of mechanisms that regulate intracellular pH in populations of cultured cells. *Cancer Research*, 58(9), 1901–1908.

Liu, M., Liu, H., & Chen, J. (2018). Mechanisms of the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD 0332991) and its future application in cancer treatment (Review). In *Oncology Reports* (Vol. 39, Issue 3, pp. 901–911). Spandidos Publications. <https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2018.6221>

Lobo, R. C., Hubbard, N. E., Damonte, P., Mori, H., Pénczváltó, Z., Pham, C., Koehne, A. L., Go, A. C., Anderson, S. E., Cala, P. M., & Borowsky, A. D. (2016). Glucose Uptake and Intracellular pH in a Mouse Model of Ductal Carcinoma In situ (DCIS) Suggests Metabolic Heterogeneity. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, 4(AUG), 93. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2016.00093>

Mirkka Koivusalo, Christopher Welch, Hisayoshi Hayashi, Cameron C. Scott, Moshe Kim, Todd Alexander, Nicolas Touret, Klaus M. Hahn, and S. G. (2010). Amiloride inhibits macropinocytosis by lowering submembranous pH and preventing Rac1 and Cdc42 signaling. *Journal of Cell Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200908086>

Putney, L. K., & Barber, D. L. (2003). Na-H Exchange-dependent Increase in Intracellular pH Times G2/M Entry and Transition. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(45), 44645–44649. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M308099200>

Reshkin, S. J., Bellizzi, A., Caldeira, S., Albarani, V., Malanchi, I., Poignee, M., Alunni-Fabbroni, M., Casavola, V., & Tommasino, M. (2000). Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger-dependent intracellular alkalization is an early event in malignant transformation and plays an essential role in the development of subsequent transformation-associated phenotypes. *The FASEB Journal*, 14(14), 2185–2197. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.00-0029com>

Saccomano, M., Alves, F., Swietach, P., Dovmark, T. H., & Hulikova, A. (2017). Connexin-43 channels are a pathway for discharging lactate from glycolytic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells. *Oncogene*, 36(32), 4538–4550. <https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2017.71>

Sellier, C., Bodart, J. F., Flament, S., Baert, F., Cannon, J., & Vilain, J. P. (2006). Intracellular acidification delays hormonal G2/M transition and inhibits G2/M transition triggered by thiophosphorylated MAPK in xenopus oocytes. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 98(2), 287–300. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.20764>

Sergeeva, T. F., Shirmanova, M. V., Zlobovskaya, O. A., Gavrina, A. I., Dudenkova, V. V., Lukina, M. M., Lukyanov, K. A., & Zagaynova, E. V. (2017). Relationship between intracellular pH, metabolic co-factors and caspase-3 activation in cancer cells during apoptosis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Cell Research*, 1864(3), 604–611. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.12.022>

Siu, K. T., Rosner, M. R., & Minella, A. C. (2012). An integrated view of cyclin E function and regulation. In *Cell Cycle* (Vol. 11, Issue 1, pp. 57–64). Taylor and Francis Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.11.1.18775>

Taubenberger, A. V., Baum, B., & Matthews, H. K. (2020). The Mechanics of Mitotic Cell Rounding. In *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* (Vol. 8, p. 687). Frontiers Media S.A. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00687>

Taylor, I. W., & Hodson, P. J. (1984). Cell cycle regulation by environmental pH. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, 121(3), 517–525. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.1041210310>

Trotter, E. W., & Hagan, I. M. (2020). Release from cell cycle arrest with Cdk4/6 inhibitors generates highly synchronised cell cycle progression in human cell culture. In *bioRxiv* (p. 2020.07.04.187625). bioRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.04.187625>

Webb, B. A., White, K. A., Grillo-Hill, B. K., Schönichen, A., Choi, C., & Barber, D. L. (2016). A Histidine Cluster in the Cytoplasmic Domain of the Na-H Exchanger NHE1 Confers pH-

sensitive Phospholipid Binding and Regulates Transporter Activity *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 291(46), 24096–24104. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.736215>

Weiß, I., & Bohrmann, J. (2019). Electrochemical gradients are involved in regulating cytoskeletal patterns during epithelial morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* ovary. *BMC Developmental Biology*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12861-019-0203-y>

White, K. A., Grillo-Hill, B. K., & Barber, D. L. (2017). Cancer cell behaviors mediated by dysregulated pH dynamics at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*, 130, 663–669. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.195297>