1 **Suv420 enrichment at the centromere limits Aurora B localization and function**

2

3

4 Conor P Herlihy^{1, 2}, Sabine Hahn¹, Nicole M Hermance¹, Elizabeth A Crowley¹, and
5 Amity L Manning^{1*}

6

7 ¹ Department of Biology and Biotechnology, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester,
8 MA, 01609 USA

9 ² Current location is Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA,
10 02115 USA

11

12 *Corresponding author:

13 Amity L Manning

14 Email: almanning@wpi.edu

15 tel: 508-831-4961

16

17

18 Running title: H4K20me3-dependent regulation of Aurora B

19

20 Key words:

21 **Centromere**

22 **Mitosis**

23 **CIN**

Abstract

Centromere structure and function are defined by the epigenetic modification of histones at centromeric and pericentromeric chromatin. The constitutive heterochromatin found at pericentromeric regions is highly enriched for H3K9me3 and H4K20me3. While mis-expression of the methyltransferase enzymes, Suv39 and Suv420, that regulate these marks are common in disease, the consequences of such changes are not well understood. Our data show that increased centromere localization of Suv39 and Suv420 suppress centromere transcription and compromise localization of the mitotic kinase Aurora B: decreasing microtubule dynamics and compromising chromosome alignment and segregation. We find that inhibition of Suv420 methyltransferase activity partially restores Aurora B localization to centromeres and that restoration of the Aurora B-containing CPC to the centromere is sufficient to suppress mitotic errors that result when Suv420/H4K20me3 is enriched at centromeres. Consistent with a role for Suv39 and Suv420 in negatively regulating Aurora B, high expression of these enzymes corresponds with increased sensitivity to Aurora kinase inhibition in cancer cells suggesting that increased H3K9 and H4K20 methylation may be an underappreciated source of chromosome missegregation in cancer.

Introduction

Mitotic chromosome segregation is regulated, in part, through the composition and function of kinetochores, which are protein complexes that link spindle microtubules to chromatin (Thomas et al., 2017, Hinshaw and Harrison, 2018). Kinetochores are, in turn, assembled upon specialized domains of chromatin known as centromeres. Centromeric chromatin is comprised of highly repetitive DNA sequences and is defined epigenetically by the presence of the centromere-specific histone 3 variant CENP-A (Hinshaw and Harrison, 2018, De Rop et al., 2012, Sharma et al., 2019, Ohzeki et al., 2019, Guse et

al., 2011, Carroll and Straight, 2006). Flanking the CENP-A containing centromeric chromatin are less ordered repeat sequences that make up the pericentromere. A defining characteristic of pericentromeric chromatin is its enrichment for repressive epigenetic marks, including di- and tri- methylation of lysine 9 on histone H3 and lysine 20 on histone H4 (H3K9me_{2/3} and H4K20me_{2/3}, respectively), that are strikingly absent from the adjacent centromere (Fioriniello et al., 2020, Sullivan and Karpen, 2004). While CENP-A chromatin is demonstrated to be a critical epigenetic marker upon which the kinetochore is built (De Rop et al., 2012, Barnhart et al., 2011), the function of the epigenetic marks at pericentromeric heterochromatin (PCH) domains remain less clear.

While many studies have implicated changes in heterochromatin in the regulation of genome stability and cancer progression, most focus on the consequences of decreased H3K9me₃ and H4K20me₃ heterochromatin marks (reviewed in Janssen et al., 2018). Nevertheless, both increased expression of the methyltransferases responsible for placing these repressive marks and decreased expression of demethylases that remove these marks, have been described in various cancer contexts (Janssen et al., 2018, Black et al., 2012, Zhou et al., 2019, Yokoyama et al., 2013). Functional studies in cancer cells indicate that increased levels of H3K9me₃ and H4K20me₃ contribute to increased motility and metastatic potential (Zhou et al., 2019, Yokoyama et al., 2013) and can limit therapeutic response (Cuellar et al., 2017, Guler et al., 2017).

Studies exploiting a human artificial chromosome (HAC) system have shown that expansion of heterochromatin via direct tethering of Suv39 to the centromere is sufficient to compromise segregation fidelity of the HAC (Ohzeki et al., 2012, Martins et al., 2016). Consistent with these observations, recent work has revealed that expression of Suv39 and Suv420, the methyltransferases responsible for H3K9me_{2/3} and H4K20me_{2/3}

respectively, correlate with levels of aneuploidy across 10,000+ cancer samples from the Cancer Genome Atlas Project (Taylor et al., 2018). Mitotic segregation errors underlie the generation of aneuploidy and together these data suggest that misregulation of Suv39 and Suv420, and the epigenetic marks they regulate, may be functionally linked to the generation of aneuploidy in cancer.

Key to accurate chromosome segregation is the localization and function of the mitotic kinase Aurora B (Lampson et al., 2004, Liang et al., 2020, Gregan et al., 2011, Krenn and Musacchio, 2015). Aurora B, together with INCENP, Survivin, and Borealin, form the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC). Distributed along chromosome arms at mitotic entry, the CPC re-localizes first to the centromere and kinetochore and later during anaphase to the central spindle (Hindriksen et al., 2017). Concentration of the CPC at the centromere and kinetochore is believed to be crucial for its function in mitotic error correction as it places Aurora B in close proximity to its substrates on kinetochores (Welburn et al., 2010). Aurora B de-stabilizes microtubules by phosphorylating several key regulators of kinetochore-microtubule attachments to promote the removal of merotelic attachments (Cheeseman et al., 2006, Welburn et al., 2010, DeLuca et al., 2011, Cimini et al., 2006, DeLuca et al., 2006). The formation of merotelic attachments during early stages of mitosis are stochastic and loss or functional inactivation of Aurora B kinase activity precludes their correction and corrupts mitotic fidelity (Abe et al., 2016, Broad et al., 2020, Hauf et al., 2003, Huang et al., 2018).

An intricate signaling network involving Haspin-dependent phosphorylation of H3T3 and Bub1-dependent phosphorylation of H2AT120 controls localization of the CPC to the inner centromere. These pathways represent two parallel modes of CPC regulation such that abrogation of either one results in dispersion of the CPC over chromatin and a

reduction, but not loss, of CPC at the centromere (Hindriksen et al., 2017, Krenn and Musacchio, 2015, Carretero et al., 2013, Dai et al., 2006, Meppelink et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2010). It remains unclear whether other epigenetic marks at the centromere or pericentromere similarly impact the regulation of CPC localization and function.

Results

High expression of Suv39 and Suv420 methyltransferases is prevalent in cancer

Expression data from the TCGA indicate that Suv39 and Suv420 are highly expressed in cancer contexts, with Suv39 isoforms h1 and h2 and Suv420 isoform h2 exhibiting increased average expression compared to corresponding normal tissue in eleven of fourteen cancer subtypes for which paired tumor and normal data is available. (Figure 1A). These enzymes function to regulate constitutive heterochromatin at pericentromeres and in normal cells H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 are enriched near centromeres. In a panel of high Suv420-expressing breast cancer cell lines H4K20me3 is not dispersed uniformly throughout the genome and instead remains enriched near centromeres (Supplemental Figure 1), raising the possibility that Suv420 misexpression may preferentially compromise pericentromere or centromere function and perturb mitotic chromosome segregation. Indeed, recent work describes that high expression of Suv39 or Suv420 positively correlates with pan cancer analyses of increased aneuploidy (Taylor et al., 2018). This relationship is not an artifact of high Suv39 or Suv420 expression in a single cancer type that also happens to be highly aneuploid but instead persists when samples are sorted by cancer subtype. We find that high expression of at least one Suv39 or Suv420 isoform demonstrate a significant positive correlation with aneuploidy in twelve out of twenty cancer contexts represented in the TCGA database (Figure 1B, Supplemental Table 1). Consistent with a correlation with aneuploidy, which is known to correspond with tumor aggressiveness and poor patient outcome (Pfau and

Amon, 2012, Weaver et al., 2007, Davoli et al., 2013, Liu et al., 2016), high expression of a Suv39h1/h2 or Suv420h1/h2 isoform corresponds with a significant decrease in disease free survival in four out of twenty cancer subtypes represented in the GEO, EGA and TCGA databases (Supplemental Table 2) (Nagy et al., 2018, Györfy et al., 2010).

Depletion of the H3K9me3 demethylase KDM4A compromises mitotic fidelity

To define the consequences of increased H3K9 and H4K20 methylation on mitotic chromosome segregation we used multiple independent approaches to increase the methylated state of H3K9 or H4K20 globally or specifically at the centromere in hTERT-immortalized human retinal pigment epithelial (RPE-1) cells. RPE-1 cells are diploid and genomically stable, exhibiting fewer than one segregation error every 100 cell divisions (Thompson and Compton, 2008). To enhance H3K9 methylation and monitor the impact on mitosis we first utilized siRNA to deplete KDM4A, a demethylase responsible for removing di- and tri-methyl marks from H3K9 (Supplemental Figure 2A) (Berry and Janknecht, 2013). As expected, depletion of KDM4A led to a small but measurable increase in the global level of H3K9 methylation (Supplemental Figure 2D & E). Using time-lapse and fixed cell imaging approaches, we demonstrate that depletion of KDM4A compromises mitotic progression. RPE-1 cells expressing RFP-tagged histone 2B (RFP-H2B) to label chromatin were transfected with non-targeting control (siControl) or KDM4A-targeting siRNA (siKDM4A) for 36 hours and then monitored by live cell fluorescence imaging (Figure 2A). Images were captured every 5 minutes and mitotic cells were tracked to determine the dynamics of chromosome alignment and the timing of anaphase onset. Cells lacking KDM4A exhibit a minor delay in metaphase chromosome alignment and anaphase onset (27.37 +/- 0.40 minutes vs. 34.67 +/- 1.33 minutes, $p = 0.007$; Figure 2A). Consistent with live cell imaging, immunofluorescence analysis of KDM4A-depleted cells reveal an enrichment in cells where single

chromosomes remain near spindle poles when metaphase alignment of the majority of chromosomes has already been achieved (Figure 2B). Although live cell imaging reveals that full metaphase alignment is eventually achieved prior to anaphase onset, nearly 25% of KDM4A-depleted anaphase cells exhibit lagging chromosomes (Figure 2C). Similar results were seen when KDM4A mRNA was targeted for depletion with any one of four independent siRNA sequences (Supplemental Figure 2B, Supplemental Table 3).

Centromere tethering of the histone methyltransferase Suv39 or Suv420 corrupt mitotic fidelity

As H3K9 methylation is not exclusive to the pericentromere, increased H3K9 methylation that follows KDM4A depletion is not restricted to centromere or pericentromere heterochromatin (Supplemental Figure 2D & E) (Berry and Janknecht, 2013). Therefore, to test if changes in centromere-specific H3K9 methylation levels are sufficient to cause mitotic errors, we engineered RPE-1 cells to inducibly express a GFP-tagged Suv39h1 protein fused to the DNA binding domain of the centromere localized protein CENP-B (cen-Suv39-GFP, Supplemental Figure 2C-E). The DNA binding domain of CENP-B binds to a discrete sequence at the centromere (Pluta et al., 1992), targeting the cen-Suv39-GFP fusion protein to centromeres where it promotes increased H3K9 methylation (Supplemental Figure 2D & E). Comparable to depletion of KDM4A, immunofluorescence imaging of cells following induced expression of cen-Suv39-GFP revealed an increase in metaphase cells with alignment defects and anaphase cells with lagging chromosomes that are not present in the absence of doxycycline-induced expression of the transgene (i.e. Mock vs. Induced: Supplemental Figure 3B, Figure 3A).

H3K9 methylation promotes recruitment and binding of heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1). HP1 in turn serves as a platform to recruit a number of factors to heterochromatin,

including the H4K20 methyltransferase Suv420 (Janssen et al., 2018, Hahn et al., 2013, Schotta et al., 2004). Thus, establishment of H3K9me3 by Suv39 is indirectly implicated in deposition of H4K20me3. Consistent with this relationship, we find that centromere targeting of Suv39 (the H3K9me2/3 methyltransferase) enhances H4K20me3 levels at the centromere (Figure 3B). To delineate the roles of H3K9 and H4K20 centromere methylation in the regulation of mitotic progression we next expressed a GFP-tagged Suv420h2 protein fused to the DNA binding domain of centromere protein CENP-B (cen-Suv420-GFP). Cen-Suv420-GFP localizes to centromeres and is sufficient to promote a marked enrichment of H4K20me3 at centromeres without altering H4K20me3 levels along chromosome arms (Figure. 3B, Supplemental Figure 3C). As seen with KDM4A depletion and cen-Suv39-GFP expression, induced expression of cen-Suv420-GFP leads to metaphase alignment defects (Supplemental Figure 3B) and anaphase segregation errors (Figure 3A), suggesting that increased H4K20me3 at centromeres is sufficient to corrupt kinetochore regulation. Chromosomes that lag during anaphase can be excluded from the main nucleus when the nuclear envelope reforms, resulting in the formation of a separate micronucleus. Consistent with the presence of chromosome segregation defects, cells expressing cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP also exhibit an increase in the number of interphase cells within the population that have centromere-positive micronuclei (Figure 3C).

Centromere enrichment of Suv420 compromises mitotic error correction mechanisms

Formation of syntelic and merotelic microtubule attachments, where both kinetochores of a chromosome pair are bound by microtubules from the same spindle pole, occur stochastically during mitosis. Error correction mechanisms to destabilize such mal-attachments persist throughout prometaphase and metaphase (Cimini et al., 2003).

Delays in chromosome alignment to the metaphase plate, and the presence of lagging chromosomes during anaphase, can result from persistent merotelic attachments and may indicate that the number of merotelic attachments formed in mitosis overwhelm the error correction machinery (Cimini et al., 2003). Imbalances in error corrective mechanisms that result in merotelic attachments and segregation errors arise due to either an increased burden in the number of merotelic attachments formed, or from an underlying defect in the error correction machinery. To determine if cells with altered centromere methylation are predisposed to forming merotelic attachments or instead are deficient in correcting these mal-attachments, KDM4A-depleted cells or those induced to express either cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP were exposed to the Eg5 inhibitor monastrol for 4 hours (Figure 4A). Inhibition of the mitotic kinesin Eg5 causes spindle poles to collapse, resulting in a monopolar spindle structure. These spindles cannot form amphitelic chromosome attachments and instead form syntelic or monotelic attachments. Upon washout of monastrol, a large portion of kinetochore attachments are converted to merotelic attachments (Kapoor et al., 2000, Lampson et al., 2004). Destabilization of mal-attached microtubules is a prerequisite for acquisition of proper kinetochore biorientation and chromosome alignment such that the time needed to achieve complete metaphase alignment following monastrol washout is an indication of error correction efficiency. Immunofluorescence imaging demonstrates that, following monastrol washout, bipolar spindles form and the majority of control cells restore chromosome biorientation and achieve metaphase alignment within 40 minutes. In contrast, though spindle bipolarity is achieved similarly to control cells, KDM4A-depleted, cen-Suv39-GFP expressing and cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells all exhibit a delayed progression to metaphase, with fewer than half of all cells able to achieve metaphase alignment within the same time frame as control cells (Figure 4A). Together, these data

indicate that the mitotic error correction machinery is compromised under conditions where H3K9me3 or H4K20me3 is increased.

Centromere localization of the CPC is sensitive to centromere enrichment of Suv39 or Suv420

Aurora B is a mitotic kinase that functions as a master regulator of error correction. Loss or functional inactivation of Aurora B kinase activity leads to persistent merotelic attachments and segregation errors (Lampson et al., 2004, Liang et al., 2020, Gregan et al., 2011, Krenn and Musacchio, 2015, Kallio et al., 2002, Hauf et al., 2003, Ditchfield et al., 2003). To determine if changes in Aurora B, localization and/or function underlie observed defects in mitosis following manipulations that increase H3K9me3 and/or H4K20me3, we first performed quantitative immunofluorescence to measure Aurora B and INCENP (a component of the Aurora B-containing CPC complex) recruitment to centromeres following expression of either cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP, or depletion of KDM4A. By measuring pixel intensity of Aurora B or INCENP antibody staining across kinetochore pairs stained with anti-centromere antigen (ACA) in metaphase and/or nocodazole-arrested prometaphase cells we identified and assessed Aurora B localization at centromeres and kinetochores. We find that the intensity of both Aurora B and INCENP at mitotic centromeres is significantly reduced following depletion of KDM4A or induced expression of either cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP (Figure 4, Supplemental Figure 4). Aurora B, Borealin, INCENP, and Survivin are all expressed at similar levels in cells with and without induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP, suggesting that decreased staining intensity is not a consequence of changes in CPC expression (Supplemental Figure 4C). Importantly, expression of centromere targeted GFP alone (cen-GFP) or non-targeted Suv420 (Suv420-GFP), conditions that do not enhance centromere enrichment of H4K20me3, do not perturb centromere levels

of Aurora B (Figure 4, Supplemental Figures 3 and 4). Instead, reduction in centromere localization of CPC components is sensitive to Suv420 and/or H4K20me3 at centromeres as treatment with A196, a specific small molecule inhibitor of Suv420 methyltransferase activity (Bromberg et al., 2017), is sufficient to partially restore Aurora B localization to centromeres and to promote efficient chromosome alignment along the metaphase plate (Figure 5).

Phosphorylation of Hec1 (at serine 55) by Aurora B de-stabilizes kinetochore microtubule attachments to permit error correction. Consistent with compromised Aurora B localization, we find centromeres in mitotic cells have decreased Hec1 phosphorylation, but not overall levels of Hec1, when cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP is expressed (Figure 6A & B). These cells also exhibit a corresponding increase in stable microtubules that are resistant to cold-induced depolymerization (Figure 6C). A similar decrease is seen in phosphorylation levels of Aurora B target CENP-A (at serine 7) at centromeres (Supplemental Figure 5A & B). However, consistent with other conditions that specifically perturb Aurora B activity at centromere but not along chromosome arms (Wang et al., 2010), no change is seen in the phosphorylation of H3 (at serine 10), a substrate of Aurora B on chromosome arms (Supplemental Figure 5C).

Aurora B localization to centromeres is influenced by several histone modifications, including phosphorylation of threonine 120 on histone 2A (H2A-T120p) by BUB1 and phosphorylation of threonine 3 on histone 3 (H3-T3p) by Haspin. Each of these histone phosphorylation events is independently sufficient to recruit Aurora B to centromeres (Liang et al., 2020, Broad et al., 2020, Hadders et al., 2020). However, we do not see reduction in either of these histone marks in contexts where H4K20me3 has been directly or indirectly increased (Supplemental Figure 6A & B), suggesting indirect

changes in these known regulatory marks cannot explain decreased centromere CPC complex localization.

Localization of the CPC is also sensitive to centromere transcription. Centromere transcripts have been shown to bind the CPC and regulate both CPC centromere localization and Aurora B activation (Jambhekar et al., 2014, Blower, 2016, Ideue et al., 2014). To test whether centromere transcription is altered by centromere tethering of Suv39-GFP or Suv420-GFP we measured levels of centromere transcripts in nocodazole-synchronized cells following 24 hours of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP expression. While qPCR analysis shows expression of centromeric α satellite RNA is readily detected during mitosis, levels of each transcript were reduced by roughly half in mitotic cells expressing cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP (Supplemental Figure 6C). Consistent with the centromere tethering of these constructs, suppression of transcription appears restricted to centromeres as transcripts from non-centromere regions, such as housekeeping genes (GAPDH and actin) and CPC components remain unchanged in these samples (Supplemental Figure 4C). These data suggest that suppression of transcription underlies defects in Aurora B localization when centromere levels of Suv39/H3K9me3 and/or Suv420/H4K20me3 are increased.

Expression level of Suv39 and Suv420 correspond with sensitivity to Aurora kinase inhibition in cancer cell lines

Decreased CPC localization and increased rates of chromosome segregation errors may render cells exquisitely sensitive to further inhibition of Aurora kinase activity. To test this possibility, cells with and without induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP expression were treated with inhibitors targeting Aurora B Kinase (Barasertib), the related Aurora A kinase (Alisertib), or the mitotic kinase MPS1 and monitored for mitotic

306 fidelity. While control, cen-Suv39-GFP and cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells were all
 307 similarly sensitive to MPS1 inhibition, we find that cells expressing cen-Suv39-GFP or
 308 cen-Suv420-GFP are susceptible to increased anaphase lagging chromosomes
 309 following short term treatment with low nanomolar concentrations of both Aurora kinase
 310 inhibitors, while control cells are not (Figure 7A). We next tested whether mitotic defects
 311 in cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells could be suppressed by concurrently tethering the
 312 Aurora B containing CPC to the centromere. Cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells were
 313 monitored for anaphase defects following expression of cen-INCENP-mCherry (Wang et
 314 al., 2011). Cells expressing cen-Suv420 exhibit a high rate of lagging chromosomes
 315 during anaphase (Figures 3A & 7E). The frequency of these defects was reduced when
 316 the cen-INCENP-mCherry construct was expressed simultaneously. Importantly, the
 317 level of cen-Suv420-GFP at centromeres was not significantly altered following cen-
 318 INCENP-mCherry expression (Figure 7B-D), suggesting this rescue is not the result of a
 319 competition between INCENP and Suv420 for centromere binding.

320
 321 To explore this relationship more broadly, we utilized the drug sensitivity data described
 322 by the Wellcome Sanger Institute (Yang et al., 2013) and RNAseq expression data from
 323 the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia that includes 1,457 cancer cell lines (Ghandi et al.,
 324 2019). Cell lines were sorted based on Suv39 or Suv420 expression level and then drug
 325 sensitivity of the top and bottom quartiles compared. We found that cell lines likely to
 326 have high H3K9 and/or H4K20 methylation states (due to high expression of Suv39 or
 327 Suv420) exhibit increased sensitivity to 5 out of 6 inhibitors that preferentially target
 328 Aurora kinase activity (Alisertib, CD532, GSK1070916, Genentech-CPD-10, and
 329 ZM447439) (Fig. 7F). This relationship does not reflect a general sensitivity to mitotic
 330 poisons as inhibition of the mitotic kinase MPS1 (MPS1IN1, TK3146) do not indicate a
 331 similar correlation with expression of these epigenetic regulators.

332

333 **Discussion**

334 Here we demonstrate that Aurora B recruitment to centromeres is sensitive to changes
 335 in the epigenetic regulation of pericentromeric heterochromatin. We find that increased
 336 methylation of H3K9 and H4K20 corresponds with reduced Aurora B localization to
 337 centromeres and reduced phosphorylation of Aurora B substrates. Consistent with
 338 studies that directly perturb Aurora B function (Kallio et al., 2002, Hauf et al., 2003,
 339 Ditchfield et al., 2003), we show that such changes in centromere levels of the
 340 methyltransferases Suv39 or Suv420 are sufficient to stabilize kinetochore microtubule
 341 dynamics, limit merotelic error correction, increase lagging chromosomes during
 342 anaphase, and promote whole chromosome segregation errors.

343

344 **Suv39 and Suv420 overexpression contribute to increased aneuploidy**

345 Analyses presented here and previously reported by The Meyerson group (Taylor et al.,
 346 2018) indicate a moderate but highly significant correlation between degree of
 347 aneuploidy and the independent expression levels of Suv39h1, Suv39h2, Suv420h1,
 348 and Suv420h2. Consistent with previous reports, our experimental data indicate that
 349 these isoforms of Suv39 and Suv420 also share at least partially overlapping roles in
 350 heterochromatin regulation and mitotic fidelity (Tsang et al., 2010, O'Carroll et al., 2000).
 351 As such, we expect that misexpression of any one of these enzymes (Suv39h1,
 352 Suv39h2, Suv420h1, or Suv420h2) could be sufficient to promote segregation errors and
 353 that the correlation of an individual enzyme with degree of aneuploidy would therefore be
 354 lower than if the function were served by a single enzyme. Though the correlation with
 355 aneuploidy for each enzyme is moderate, given the high significance of this relationship,
 356 we propose these correlations are consistent with a model whereby high expression
 357 levels of a Suv39 or Suv420 isoform are sufficient to promote mitotic defects and

contribute to the generation of aneuploidy. Our data does not argue against the possibility that Suv39 or Suv420 function outside regulation of pericentromere heterochromatin may compromise genome stability in cancer. However, our experimental data showing that centromere enrichment of Suv420/H4K20me3 is sufficient to compromise mitotic fidelity, together with sustained pericentromere enrichment of H4K20me3 in cancer cells with high expression of Suv420, suggest that corruption of centromere regulation may be a contributing factor.

Short term changes in centromere H3K9me3 and/or H4K20me3 corrupt mitotic fidelity without apparent defects in gross centromere structure

Work from other groups has described that a persistent increase in H3K9me3 over many cell cycles limits CENP-A deposition at the centromere on a human artificial chromosome (HAC), thus compromising centromere maintenance (Ohzeki et al., 2012, Martins et al., 2016, Martins et al., 2020). However, while induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP for 24h (less than two cell cycles) is sufficient to cause dramatic changes in centromere levels of H3K9me3 and/or H4K20me3, it appears insufficient to compromise centromere formation or maintenance as we detect no change in overall levels of CENPA at the centromere, or in the outer kinetochore protein Hec1 (Supplemental Figure 5, Figure 6). Consistent with the sustained localization of critical centromere and outer kinetochore proteins, we find that cells expressing cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP remain competent to form stable end-on microtubule attachments that are sufficient to drive chromosome movement and segregation. However, we find that short-term increases in Suv39 and/or Suv420 at centromeres compromised CPC localization and reduced phosphorylation of Aurora B substrates, suggesting that spreading of the respective heterochromatin marks can impact centromere function in two distinct ways: first by subtly moderating regulation of

microtubule attachments, and then more crudely by preventing centromere formation and maintenance. As methylation levels likely increase with duration of the experimental perturbation, these distinctions may arise due to experimental differences in the total amount of H3K9/H4K20 methylation achieved at the centromere, or instead reflect a cumulative impact of persistent epigenetic deregulation over several cell cycles remains unclear.

Both direct and indirect increases in H4K20me3 levels corrupt mitotic fidelity

In otherwise normal cells, depletion of KDM4A, or overexpression of Suv420 alone promotes aberrant methylation throughout the genome; however changes in H4K20me3 at the centromere are moderate (Figure 3 B, (Manning et al., 2014)), suggesting that additional regulatory pathways may function to limit Suv420 enrichment or otherwise restrict H4K20me3 at centromeres. Nevertheless, this regulation of centromere/pericentromere Suv420 localization may not be as tightly controlled in cancer contexts as we find that H4K20me3 remains enriched at centromeres in a panel of breast cancer cell lines that highly express Suv420 (Supplemental Figure 1). Furthermore, our study demonstrates that centromere targeting of Suv420 is sufficient to enhance H4K20me3 levels at centromeres without increasing H4K20me3 along chromosome arms. In this way, while we can not rule out that H3K9me3 independently impairs Aurora B localization at centromeres, our data suggest that increased Suv420 and/or H4K20me3, independent of Suv39/H3K9me3, is sufficient to restrict Aurora B localization and compromise mitotic fidelity. Importantly, expression of centromere-targeted GFP, even at levels higher than that of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP, is insufficient to alter centromere H4K20me3 or Aurora B localization (Figures 3B & 4B). Together, these data suggest that Aurora B localization and mitotic fidelity are not generally perturbed by

protein tethering to the centromere but are instead specifically sensitive to Suv420 and/or H4K20me3 levels.

Our data indicate that KDM4a depletion may disrupt Aurora B localization without a significant change in centromere H4K20me3 levels (Figure 3). Unlike expression of the cen-targeted constructs whose GFP tag allows us to confirm that each mitotic cell/centromere analyzed has overexpressed the enzyme, we do not have a similar report of KDM4A depletion at the single cell level and can not rule out the possibility that changes in methylation/Aurora B staining at centromeres may be impacted by variability of KDM4A depletion with the cell population. Nevertheless, our rescue experiments showing that inhibition of Suv420 methyltransferase activity (with A196) incompletely restores Aurora B localization (Figure 5) is consistent with Aurora B localization being at least partially independent of H4K20me3 levels.

HP1 directly binds the Aurora B-containing CPC through INCENP, and in doing so enhances the enzymatic activity of Aurora B (Abe et al., 2016, Kang et al., 2011). In turn, Aurora B-dependent phosphorylation of H3S10 limits HP1 association with H3K9 methylation (Fischle et al., 2005, Hirota et al., 2005), such that the interaction between HP1 and Aurora B both positively (through functional regulation) and negatively (through reduction of HP1 recruitment) regulates Aurora B activity at the centromere. Suv420 is similarly recruited to the pericentromere through interactions with HP1 and our data raise the possibility that CPC interaction with HP1 is limited when Suv420 is bound. Consistent with this possibility, we find that centromere-localization of the CPC is disrupted by centromere tethering of Suv420, but not overexpression of non-tethered Suv420. Interestingly, if true, this model would suggest that Suv420's role in regulating CPC localization were in part independent of its methyltransferase activity.

Disruption of heterochromatin boundaries impair centromere cohesion, transcription, and function.

The constitutive heterochromatin marks H3K9me3 and H4K20me3 are enriched at pericentromeres but normally restricted from the core of the centromere (Sullivan and Karpen, 2004). This dynamic boundary between centromeric and pericentromeric heterochromatin is defined by H3K9 methylation and its disruption impacts transcription of the underlying DNA (Lam et al., 2006). In our experiments, the Suv39 and Suv420 fusion constructs target methyltransferase activity to centromeres by exploiting the CENP-B DNA binding domain. This binding domain recognizes a 17bp motif at centromeres to which the constitutive centromere protein CENP-B localizes (Muro et al., 1992). In recruiting Suv39 and Suv420 to this domain H3K9 and H4K20 methylation increases at ACA-stained centromeres indicating that heterochromatin, and potentially heterochromatin-associated proteins, has spread from the pericentromere into the centromere. Consistent with spreading of heterochromatin, we find levels of centromere and pericentromere transcripts are reduced following tethering of Suv39 or Suv420 to mitotic centromeres (Supplemental Figure 6C). In future studies, it will be important to determine if CPC recruitment and centromere function is generally sensitive to increased Suv420 at centromere/pericentromere regions, or specifically sensitive to the spreading of the repressive H4K20me3 mark into the centromere, where it is normally absent.

Early in mitosis Aurora B is localized along chromosome arms and must be re-localized to centromeres to ensure accurate chromosome segregation. Various regulatory mechanisms for recruitment and retainment of Aurora B to centromeres have been identified including positive regulation by phosphorylation of H3T3 and H2AT120 (Yamagishi et al., 2010, Kelly et al., 2010, Wang et al., 2010, Tsukahara et al., 2010).

However, our data indicate that neither H3T3 phosphorylation nor H2AT120 phosphorylation are reduced when H4K20me3 is increased, making it unlikely that H3K9 or H4K20 methylation impinge on these regulatory mechanisms.

Instead, our data suggest that disruption of Aurora B localization following targeted recruitment of Suv39 or Suv420 to the centromere may arise due to misregulation of centromere cohesion and/or transcription. As with marks of heterochromatin, cohesin is enriched at pericentromeres (Eckert et al., 2007, Glynn et al., 2004, Weber et al., 2004). This distribution of cohesin is required for transcription of mitotic centromeres such that disruption of either pericentromere cohesin enrichment, or transcription itself, impairs Aurora B localization (Jambhekar et al., 2014, Kleyman et al., 2014, Perea-Resa et al., 2020). Work from our group and others have demonstrated that Suv420-dependent H4K20me3 enhances pericentromeric cohesin (Hahn et al., 2013, Manning et al., 2014, Bernard et al., 2001). This relationship would predict that Suv420-dependent methylation should promote centromere transcription and Aurora B localization. However, we find that following Suv39/Suv420 tethering to centromeres, increased centromere H4K20me3 corresponds with reduced, not enhanced, transcript abundance and Aurora B localization at centromeres (Supplemental Figure 6C). Together these data support a model whereby suppression of centromere transcription itself and/or the reduction in centromere transcripts resulting from spreading of H4K20me3 from the pericentromere into the centromere may be sufficient, irrespective of cohesin enrichment, to disrupt CPC localization.

Increased H4K20me3 contributes to chromosome instability

The aneuploid chromosome content that results from mitotic segregation errors contributes to infertility, and is a primary cause of non-viable embryos and birth defects

(Baker et al., 2009, Choi et al., 2009, Gao et al., 2007, Heilig et al., 2010, Kuukasjarvi et al., 1997, McClelland et al., 2009, Nowell, 1976, Rajagopalan and Lengauer, 2004, Swanton et al., 2009, Sotillo et al., 2010, Cucco and Musio, 2016). Persistent underlying defects in chromosome segregation, termed chromosome instability (CIN), (Baker et al., 2009, Sotillo et al., 2007, Weaver et al., 2007, Hagstrom and Meyer, 2003) are prevalent in cancer contexts where CIN promotes intratumor heterogeneity that in turn contributes to tumor evolution and drug resistance (Baker et al., 2009, Choi et al., 2009, Gao et al., 2007, Heilig et al., 2010, Kuukasjarvi et al., 1997, McClelland et al., 2009, Nowell, 1976, Rajagopalan and Lengauer, 2004, Swanton et al., 2009, Sotillo et al., 2010, Weaver et al., 2007). Merotelic kinetochore attachments are demonstrated to be the primary cause of segregation errors in human cells (Cimini et al., 2001, Cimini et al., 2003, Ganem et al., 2009, Thompson and Compton, 2008), suggesting that corruption of the Aurora B error correction pathway may be prevalent in CIN. Nevertheless, while mutations in genes directly involved in spindle structure, chromosome segregation, or mitotic checkpoints have been associated with a subset of cancers and hereditary disorders (Kim et al., 2012, Chung et al., 2012, Cahill et al., 1998, Cahill et al., 1999, Cucco and Musio, 2016), these mutations do not explain CIN in the vast majority of contexts (Wang et al., 2004, Negrini et al., 2010, Rajagopalan and Lengauer, 2004).

Our data suggest that changes in centromere methylation may underlie CIN in some cancer contexts. Consistent with this model, our work and others demonstrate that depletion of H3K9 demethylase enzymes, or high expression of H3K9 or H4K20 methyltransferase enzymes compromise mitotic fidelity in experimental systems and/or correspond both with aneuploidy and poor outcome in human cancer patients (Figure 1B, Supplemental Table 1, (Janssen et al., 2018, Black et al., 2012, Kupershmit et al., 2014, Frescas et al., 2008)). We find centromere tethering of either Suv39 or Suv420 is

sufficient to compromise Aurora B kinase localization at centromeres and result in reduced phosphorylation of Aurora B substrates that are critical for proper chromosome segregation. Hec1, a key Aurora B substrate that governs kinetochore microtubule stability and mitotic error correction, is also a substrate for the related Aurora A kinase (DeLuca, 2017). Consistent with this redundant regulation, our data indicate that when centromere methylation is experimentally enhanced, or in cancer contexts where Suv39 or Suv420 expression is high and H4K20me3 is therefore likely high, sensitivity to inhibition of both Aurora A and Aurora B kinase is increased (Figure 7). The Aurora kinase family represent promising therapeutic targets that are actively being pursued in clinical and preclinical studies (Tang et al., 2017). Our results propose an intriguing possibility that levels of H4K20me3 (or expression of the enzymes that directly or indirectly promote this methylation state) may be predictive of CIN and may furthermore indicate sensitivity to molecular therapeutics that target Aurora B directly.

Methods and Materials:

Cell Culture, siRNA and transgene expression

hTERT-RPE-1 (RPE-1) cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Essential Medium (DMEM). Breast Cancer cell lines HCC1187, HCC202, and ZR-75-1 were grown in RPMI medium, SK-BR-3 were grown in McCoy's 5a medium, and MCF7 grown in Eagles MEM medium. All cell culture medium were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Penicillin + Streptomycin and cells grown at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Depletion of KDM4A was carried out through transient transfection of one of four individual, or a SMARTpool of all four, ON-TARGETplus siRNA constructs (horizon inspired cell solutions) using the RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Transfection with individual, or a SMARTpool of four, non-

targeting siRNA sequences (horizon inspired cell solutions) were used as a negative control for KDM4A depletion. Knockdown efficiency was monitored by qPCR using KDM4A-specific primers. Expression of centromere-targeted, GFP-tagged cen-GFP, cen-Suv39-GFP and cen-Suv420-GFP fusion proteins, and non-targeted Suv420-GFP was achieved by cloning the respective cDNA into Addgene vector CENP-B DBD INCENP GFP (45237, Addgene) at NheI / BamHI. Inducible expression was achieved by cloning the GFP tagged constructs into plvx-Tre3G-IRES (631362, Clontech) at NotI / NdeI restriction cut sites. Expression vectors were transiently or stably expressed using Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent, according to manufacturer's instructions. Inducible expression of transgenes was achieved by the addition of 2 µg/mL Doxycycline for 16-24h. "Mock" controls reflect the individual cell lines in the absence of Doxycycline-induction. Western blot analysis was used to confirm population level expression of each construct. Immunofluorescence for GFP was used to confirm expression and centromere localization for all single cell analyses performed. Sequences for all siRNA constructs and qPCR primers are represented in Supplemental Table 3.

Metaphase spreads, fixation, and staining for immunofluorescence imaging

Metaphase spreads were prepared as in (Martins et al., 2016). Mitotic cells were collected by shake off following 3h incubation in 100ng/mL nocodazole, washed briefly in PBS (137mM NaCl, 2.7mM KCl, 10mM Na₂HPO₄, KH₂PO₄) and incubated in 75mM KCl for 12 minutes at 37°C. Cells were spun onto poly L lysine-coated chamber slides at 340xg for 5 minutes, then incubated in 37°C KCM buffer (120mM KCl, 20mM NaCl, and 10mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, in 0.1% Triton X-100) for 10 minutes.

For analysis of kinetochore protein localization, untreated (metaphase analysis) or nocodazole treated (prometaphase analysis) cells were prepared as in (Kleyman et al.,

2014). Cells were incubated in 3.5% paraformaldehyde for 15min, quenched with 500mM ammonium chloride in PBS for 10min, incubated in ice cold methanol for 5min, and washed briefly with PBS. For cen-INCENP-mCherry expression, cells were transiently transfected with Addgene plasmid 45233 36h prior to doxycycline induction of cen-Suv420-GFP expression. For inhibition of Suv420 methyltransferase activity, cells were treated with 200nM A196 concurrent with doxycycline induction of cen-Suv420-GFP expression.

For metaphase and anaphase analyses of chromosome alignment and segregation, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20min, washed briefly in PBS, post-extracted in PBS + 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10min, washed briefly in PBS, or alternatively fixed in ice cold methanol for 10min. For analysis of merotelic error correction capacity cells were incubated in the presence of 100 μ M Monastrol for 4hrs, washed twice for 5min in drug-free growth medium at 37C, and incubated in growth medium supplemented with 25 μ M MG132 (to prevent anaphase progression) prior to fixation at indicated times. For inhibitor sensitivity assays, cells were treated with indicated concentration of each drug for 12 hours prior to fixation.

Chromosome spreads were stained with antibodies diluted in KCM + 1%BSA. All other fixation methods were followed by 30min block in TBS-BSA (10mM Tris at pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% bovine serum albumin) + 0.1% Triton X-100 and incubation in primary then secondary antibodies in a humid chamber. Primary and secondary dilutions were made in TBS-BSA + 0.1% Triton X-100. DNA was detected with 0.2 μ g/mL DAPI added to secondary antibody incubations. Coverslips were mounted onto slides using Prolong Antifade Gold (Molecular Probes).

590

591 *Antibodies*

592 The following antibodies were used for immunofluorescence and/or western blot
593 analyses: human anti centromere (ACA) (Antibodies Inc. 15-234); mouse anti H3K9me2
594 (ab1220, Abcam); rabbit anti H3K9me3 (ab176916, Abcam); rabbit anti H3 (ab1791,
595 Abcam); rabbit anti H4K20me3 (ab9053, Abcam); rabbit anti H4 (ab7311, Abcam); rabbit
596 anti H3S10p (04-817, Millipore); mouse anti α -tubulin (dm1 α , Sigma); mouse anti Aurora
597 B (AIM-1, BD Biosciences); rabbit anti H3T3p (97145, Cell Signaling); rabbit anti
598 H2AT120p (61196, Active Motif); rabbit anti CENP-As7p (07-232, Upstate); rabbit anti
599 CENP-A (2186, Cell Signaling); rabbit anti pHEC1S55p (pA5-85846, Invitrogen); mouse
600 anti HEC1 (9G3.23, Novus Biologicals); goat anti GFP (ab6662, Abcam); rabbit anti
601 GFP (2956, Cell Signaling); rabbit anti mCherry (PA5-34974, Invitrogen).

602

603 *Fluorescence Microscopy, Image Analysis, and Statistics*

604 Cells were captured with a Zyla sCMOS camera mounted on a Nikon Ti-E microscope
605 with a 60x Plan Apo oil immersion objective for fixed immunofluorescence, or a 20x CFI
606 Plan Fluor objective for live cell imaging. All images within a single replicate were
607 prepared and imaged in parallel, and images captured using the same exposure time. All
608 single cells or single kinetochore measurements were normalized to the average
609 intensity of the respective control condition and represented as fold-change in
610 fluorescence. For metaphase and anaphase cell analyses, a minimum of 30 mitotic cells
611 were analyzed per condition for each of 3 biological replicates. In these analyses,
612 lagging chromosomes are defined as single chromosomes (marked by ACA-stained
613 kinetochore) that are located between the two masses of segregating chromosomes
614 (anaphase plates) and at least as far from the next nearest kinetochore as the anaphase
615 plate is wide (based on ACA staining). Significance between biological replicates (n=3)

was determined using a students' two-tailed t-test. To assess centromere specific protein levels NIS Elements software was used to draw a line through ACA-stained centromere/kinetochore pairs. The intensity of antibody staining along the line was assessed for 3 kinetochore pairs per cell. To assess centromere enrichment, average pixel intensity of H4K20me3 staining was measured in 10 uniform ROIs defined at ACA-stained centromeres/kinetochores per cell and divided by the average H4K20me3 staining at ROIs defined on DAPI stained chromatin. Analyses were performed for a minimum of 30 cells in each of 3 biological replicates. Significance between biological replicates (n=3) was determined using a students' two-tailed t-test. Live cell images were captured at 10 coordinates per condition at 5-minute intervals for 24hrs for each of 3 biological replicates. Mitotic timing was recorded from NEB until anaphase onset (Mercadante et al., 2019) for a minimum of 50 cells per replicate and significance between biological replicates (n=3) was determined using a students' two-tailed t-test. All analyses were performed on unprocessed images. For publication images NIS elements deconvolution software was used. All images within a single panel were cropped comparably using ImageJ software to allow for direct comparison.

qPCR analysis of centromere transcript abundance

RPE-1 cells carrying the inducible cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP construct were plated in cell culture flasks and induced, or not, with 2 µg/mL Doxycycline for 24h. 100 ng/mL Nocodazole was added to the growth medium for the last 4 hours of induction. Mitotic cells were collected via manual shake off. RNA was isolated with Trizol and purified using Qiagen's RNeasy columns. Purified RNA was quantitated by qPCR per the double delta Ct method that considers transcript changes relative to an internal control that is insensitive to the experimental manipulations (in this case GAPDH transcript), using transcript-specific primers (see Supplemental Table 3), a ABI 7500,

and power up SYBR Green Master mix (Roche). Primers for centromere α satellite transcripts were previously described (Liu et al., 2015, Xue et al., 2013).

Gene Expression, Aneuploidy Correlation, Patient Survival, and Drug Sensitivity Analyses

For analyses of Suv39 and Suv420 isoform expression in cancer rna-seqv2 illumina hiseq RSEM normalized data was acquired through FireBrowse (firebrowse.org) and aneuploidy scores obtained from (Taylor et al., 2018). Both datasets were imported into an R statistical programming environment version 3.3.1 and run through a custom analysis pipeline to reformat and combine the datasets based on tumor sample. The Pearson correlation coefficient between aneuploidy score and gene expression was determined as described in (Taylor et al., 2018) and linear regression analysis performed to determine statistical significance where a p value of < 0.0025 reflects a significant correlation. RPKM-normalized expression values of Suv39 and Suv420 isoforms in normal and cancer contexts were obtained from FireBrowse and filtered to select and graph only cancer subtypes where paired normal tissue samples were available. The Kaplan-Meier Plotter platform (kmplot.com/analysis; (Nagy et al., 2018, Györfy et al., 2010)) was used to query survival data relative to Suv39 and Suv420 isoform expression to compare samples for top and bottom quartile expression for their respective genes. Hochberg's step-up method corrected p values where determined and significance defined as $p \leq 0.0053$. Gene expression data was downloaded from the Broad Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE) January 02, 2019 run containing expression data of 84,434 genes from 1,457 different cancer cell lines. Drug Screening IC50 AUC (area under the fitted dose response curve) data was downloaded from the Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer database GDSC1 run containing 518 different drugs across 988 different cell lines. Both sets of data were then imported into an R

statistical programming environment version 3.3.1 and run through an analysis pipeline to reformat and combine the datasets based on cancer cell lines present in both. The data sets were sorted to independently identify the top and bottom quartile in terms of Suv39 or Suv420 expression. For both the high and low expression groups for each gene, the drug IC50 of each individual cell line and the mean +/- SEM of all cell lines in each group were graphed for each drug of interest. Significance was determined using a students' two tailed t-test corrected for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction method where $p < 0.00625$ is significant.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Manning lab for critical reading and feedback on this manuscript. This work was supported by a Smith Family Award for Excellence in Biomedical Research and R00CA182731 to ALM.

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions

AL Manning and CP Herlihy conceived and designed the experiments. CP Herlihy, S Hahn, E Crowley, and NM Hermance performed the experiments. AL Manning and CP Herlihy wrote the manuscript with input and approval from all authors.

References

- ABE, Y., SAKO, K., TAKAGAKI, K., HIRAYAMA, Y., UCHIDA, K. S., HERMAN, J. A., DELUCA, J. G. & HIROTA, T. 2016. HP1-Assisted Aurora B Kinase Activity Prevents Chromosome Segregation Errors. *Dev Cell*, 36, 487-97.
- BAKER, D. J., JIN, F., JEGANATHAN, K. B. & VAN DEURSEN, J. M. 2009. Whole chromosome instability caused by Bub1 insufficiency drives tumorigenesis

695 through tumor suppressor gene loss of heterozygosity. *Cancer Cell*, 16, 475-
696 86.

697 BARNHART, M. C., KUICH, P. H., STELLFOX, M. E., WARD, J. A., BASSETT, E. A., BLACK,
698 B. E. & FOLTZ, D. R. 2011. HJURP is a CENP-A chromatin assembly factor
699 sufficient to form a functional de novo kinetochore. *J Cell Biol*, 194, 229-43.

700 BERNARD, P., MAURE, J. F., PARTRIDGE, J. F., GENIER, S., JAVERZAT, J. P. &
701 ALLSHIRE, R. C. 2001. Requirement of heterochromatin for cohesion at
702 centromeres. *Science*, 294, 2539-42.

703 BERRY, W. L. & JANKNECHT, R. 2013. KDM4/JMJD2 histone demethylases:
704 epigenetic regulators in cancer cells. *Cancer Res*, 73, 2936-42.

705 BLACK, J. C., VAN RECHEM, C. & WHETSTINE, J. R. 2012. Histone lysine methylation
706 dynamics: establishment, regulation, and biological impact. *Mol Cell*, 48, 491-
707 507.

708 BLOWER, M. D. 2016. Centromeric Transcription Regulates Aurora-B Localization
709 and Activation. *Cell Rep*, 15, 1624-33.

710 BROAD, A. J., DELUCA, K. F. & DELUCA, J. G. 2020. Aurora B kinase is recruited to
711 multiple discrete kinetochore and centromere regions in human cells. *J Cell*
712 *Biol*, 219.

713 BROMBERG, K. D., MITCHELL, T. R., UPADHYAY, A. K., JAKOB, C. G., JHALA, M. A.,
714 COMESS, K. M., LASKO, L. M., LI, C., TUZON, C. T., DAI, Y., LI, F., ERAM, M. S.,
715 NUBER, A., SONI, N. B., MANAVES, V., ALGIRE, M. A., SWEIS, R. F., TORRENT,
716 M., SCHOTTA, G., SUN, C., MICHAELIDES, M. R., SHOEMAKER, A. R.,
717 ARROWSMITH, C. H., BROWN, P. J., SANTHAKUMAR, V., MARTIN, A., RICE, J.
718 C., CHIANG, G. G., VEDADI, M., BARSYTE-LOVEJOY, D. & PAPPANO, W. N.
719 2017. The SUV4-20 inhibitor A-196 verifies a role for epigenetics in genomic
720 integrity. *Nat Chem Biol*, 13, 317-324.

721 CAHILL, D. P., DA COSTA, L. T., CARSON-WALTER, E. B., KINZLER, K. W.,
722 VOGELSTEIN, B. & LENGAUER, C. 1999. Characterization of MAD2B and other
723 mitotic spindle checkpoint genes. *Genomics*, 58, 181-7.

724 CAHILL, D. P., LENGAUER, C., YU, J., RIGGINS, G. J., WILLSON, J. K., MARKOWITZ, S. D.,
725 KINZLER, K. W. & VOGELSTEIN, B. 1998. Mutations of mitotic checkpoint
726 genes in human cancers. *Nature*, 392, 300-3.

727 CARRETERO, M., RUIZ-TORRES, M., RODRIGUEZ-CORSINO, M., BARTHELEMY, I. &
728 LOSADA, A. 2013. Pds5B is required for cohesion establishment and Aurora B
729 accumulation at centromeres. *EMBO J*, 32, 2938-49.

730 CARROLL, C. W. & STRAIGHT, A. F. 2006. Centromere formation: from epigenetics to
731 self-assembly. *Trends Cell Biol*, 16, 70-8.

732 CHEESEMAN, I. M., CHAPPIE, J. S., WILSON-KUBALEK, E. M. & DESAI, A. 2006. The
733 conserved KMN network constitutes the core microtubule-binding site of the
734 kinetochore. *Cell*, 127, 983-97.

735 CHOI, C. M., SEO, K. W., JANG, S. J., OH, Y. M., SHIM, T. S., KIM, W. S., LEE, D. S. & LEE, S.
736 D. 2009. Chromosomal instability is a risk factor for poor prognosis of
737 adenocarcinoma of the lung: Fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis of
738 paraffin-embedded tissue from Korean patients. *Lung Cancer*, 64, 66-70.

739 CHUNG, N. G., KIM, M. S., YOO, N. J. & LEE, S. H. 2012. Somatic mutation of STAG2, an
740 aneuploidy-related gene, is rare in acute leukemias. *Leukemia & lymphoma*,
741 53, 1234-5.

742 CIMINI, D., HOWELL, B., MADDOX, P., KHODJAKOV, A., DEGRASSI, F. & SALMON, E. D.
743 2001. Merotelic kinetochore orientation is a major mechanism of aneuploidy
744 in mitotic mammalian tissue cells. *J Cell Biol*, 153, 517-27.

745 CIMINI, D., MOREE, B., CANMAN, J. C. & SALMON, E. D. 2003. Merotelic kinetochore
746 orientation occurs frequently during early mitosis in mammalian tissue cells
747 and error correction is achieved by two different mechanisms. *J Cell Sci*, 116,
748 4213-25.

749 CIMINI, D., WAN, X., HIREL, C. B. & SALMON, E. D. 2006. Aurora kinase promotes
750 turnover of kinetochore microtubules to reduce chromosome segregation
751 errors. *Curr Biol*, 16, 1711-8.

752 CUCCO, F. & MUSIO, A. 2016. Genome stability: What we have learned from
753 cohesinopathies. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*, 172, 171-8.

754 CUELLAR, T. L., HERZNER, A. M., ZHANG, X., GOYAL, Y., WATANABE, C., FRIEDMAN,
755 B. A., JANAKIRAMAN, V., DURINCK, S., STINSON, J., ARNOTT, D., CHEUNG, T.
756 K., CHAUDHURI, S., MODRUSAN, Z., DOERR, J. M., CLASSON, M. & HALEY, B.
757 2017. Silencing of retrotransposons by SETDB1 inhibits the interferon
758 response in acute myeloid leukemia. *J Cell Biol*, 216, 3535-3549.

759 DAI, J., SULLIVAN, B. A. & HIGGINS, J. M. 2006. Regulation of mitotic chromosome
760 cohesion by Haspin and Aurora B. *Dev Cell*, 11, 741-50.

761 DAVOLI, T., XU, A. W., MENGWASSER, K. E., SACK, L. M., YOON, J. C., PARK, P. J. &
762 ELLEDGE, S. J. 2013. Cumulative haploinsufficiency and triplosensitivity drive
763 aneuploidy patterns and shape the cancer genome. *Cell*, 155, 948-62.

764 DE ROP, V., PADEGANEH, A. & MADDOX, P. S. 2012. CENP-A: the key player behind
765 centromere identity, propagation, and kinetochore assembly. *Chromosoma*,
766 121, 527-38.

767 DELUCA, J. G. 2017. Aurora A Kinase Function at Kinetochore. *Cold Spring Harb*
768 *Symp Quant Biol*, 82, 91-99.

769 DELUCA, J. G., GALL, W. E., CIFERRI, C., CIMINI, D., MUSACCHIO, A. & SALMON, E. D.
770 2006. Kinetochore microtubule dynamics and attachment stability are
771 regulated by Hec1. *Cell*, 127, 969-82.

772 DELUCA, K. F., LENS, S. M. & DELUCA, J. G. 2011. Temporal changes in Hec1
773 phosphorylation control kinetochore-microtubule attachment stability
774 during mitosis. *J Cell Sci*, 124, 622-34.

775 DITCHFIELD, C., JOHNSON, V. L., TIGHE, A., ELLSTON, R., HAWORTH, C., JOHNSON,
776 T., MORTLOCK, A., KEEN, N. & TAYLOR, S. S. 2003. Aurora B couples
777 chromosome alignment with anaphase by targeting BubR1, Mad2, and Cenp-
778 E to kinetochores. *J Cell Biol*, 161, 267-80.

779 ECKERT, C. A., GRAVDAHL, D. J. & MEGEE, P. C. 2007. The enhancement of
780 pericentromeric cohesin association by conserved kinetochore components
781 promotes high-fidelity chromosome segregation and is sensitive to
782 microtubule-based tension. *Genes Dev*, 21, 278-91.

783 FIORINIELLO, S., MARANO, D., FIORILLO, F., D'ESPOSITO, M. & DELLA RAGIONE, F.
784 2020. Epigenetic Factors That Control Pericentric Heterochromatin
785 Organization in Mammals. *Genes (Basel)*, 11.
786 FISCHLE, W., TSENG, B. S., DORMANN, H. L., UEBERHEIDE, B. M., GARCIA, B. A.,
787 SHABANOWITZ, J., HUNT, D. F., FUNABIKI, H. & ALLIS, C. D. 2005. Regulation
788 of HP1-chromatin binding by histone H3 methylation and phosphorylation.
789 *Nature*, 438, 1116-22.
790 FRESCAS, D., GUARDAVACCARO, D., KUCHAY, S. M., KATO, H., POLESHKO, A.,
791 BASRUR, V., ELENITOBA-JOHNSON, K. S., KATZ, R. A. & PAGANO, M. 2008.
792 KDM2A represses transcription of centromeric satellite repeats and
793 maintains the heterochromatic state. *Cell Cycle*, 7, 3539-47.
794 GANEM, N. J., GODINHO, S. A. & PELLMAN, D. 2009. A mechanism linking extra
795 centrosomes to chromosomal instability. *Nature*, 460, 278-82.
796 GAO, C., FURGE, K., KOEMAN, J., DYKEMA, K., SU, Y., CUTLER, M. L., WERTS, A., HAAK,
797 P. & VANDE WOUDE, G. F. 2007. Chromosome instability, chromosome
798 transcriptome, and clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Proc Natl Acad*
799 *Sci U S A*, 104, 8995-9000.
800 GHANDI, M., HUANG, F. W., JANÉ-VALBUENA, J., KRYUKOV, G. V., LO, C. C.,
801 MCDONALD, E. R., BARRETINA, J., GELFAND, E. T., BIELSKI, C. M., LI, H., HU,
802 K., ANDREEV-DRAKHLIN, A. Y., KIM, J., HESS, J. M., HAAS, B. J., AGUET, F.,
803 WEIR, B. A., ROTHBERG, M. V., PAOLELLA, B. R., LAWRENCE, M. S., AKBANI,
804 R., LU, Y., TIV, H. L., GOKHALE, P. C., DE WECK, A., MANSOUR, A. A., OH, C.,
805 SHIH, J., HADI, K., ROSEN, Y., BISTLINE, J., VENKATESAN, K., REDDY, A.,
806 SONKIN, D., LIU, M., LEHAR, J., KORN, J. M., PORTER, D. A., JONES, M. D., GOLJI,
807 J., CAPONIGRO, G., TAYLOR, J. E., DUNNING, C. M., CREECH, A. L., WARREN, A.
808 C., MCFARLAND, J. M., ZAMANIGHOMI, M., KAUFFMANN, A., STRANSKY, N.,
809 IMIELINSKI, M., MARUVKA, Y. E., CHERNIACK, A. D., TSHERNIAK, A.,
810 VAZQUEZ, F., JAFFE, J. D., LANE, A. A., WEINSTOCK, D. M., JOHANNESSEN, C.
811 M., MORRISSEY, M. P., STEGMEIER, F., SCHLEGEL, R., HAHN, W. C., GETZ, G.,
812 MILLS, G. B., BOEHM, J. S., GOLUB, T. R., GARRAWAY, L. A. & SELLERS, W. R.
813 2019. Next-generation characterization of the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia.
814 *Nature*, 569, 503-508.
815 GLYNN, E. F., MEGEE, P. C., YU, H. G., MISTROT, C., UNAL, E., KOSHLAND, D. E.,
816 DERISI, J. L. & GERTON, J. L. 2004. Genome-wide mapping of the cohesin
817 complex in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS Biol*, 2, E259.
818 GREGAN, J., POLAKOVA, S., ZHANG, L., TOLIĆ-NØRRELYKKE, I. M. & CIMINI, D. 2011.
819 Merotelic kinetochore attachment: causes and effects. *Trends Cell Biol*, 21,
820 374-81.
821 GULER, G. D., TINDELL, C. A., PITTI, R., WILSON, C., NICHOLS, K., KAIWAI CHEUNG,
822 T., KIM, H. J., WONGCHENKO, M., YAN, Y., HALEY, B., CUELLAR, T., WEBSTER,
823 J., ALAG, N., HEGDE, G., JACKSON, E., NANCE, T. L., GIRESI, P. G., CHEN, K. B.,
824 LIU, J., JHUNJHUNWALA, S., SETTLEMAN, J., STEPHAN, J. P., ARNOTT, D. &
825 CLASSON, M. 2017. Repression of Stress-Induced LINE-1 Expression Protects
826 Cancer Cell Subpopulations from Lethal Drug Exposure. *Cancer Cell*, 32, 221-
827 237.e13.

828 GUSE, A., CARROLL, C. W., MOREE, B., FULLER, C. J. & STRAIGHT, A. F. 2011. In vitro
829 centromere and kinetochore assembly on defined chromatin templates.
830 *Nature*, 477, 354-8.

831 GYÖRFFY, B., LANCZKY, A., EKLUND, A. C., DENKERT, C., BUDCZIES, J., LI, Q. &
832 SZALLASI, Z. 2010. An online survival analysis tool to rapidly assess the effect
833 of 22,277 genes on breast cancer prognosis using microarray data of 1,809
834 patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*, 123, 725-31.

835 HADDERS, M. A., HINDRIKSEN, S., TRUONG, M. A., MHASKAR, A. N., WOPKEN, J. P.,
836 VROMANS, M. J. M. & LENS, S. M. A. 2020. Untangling the contribution of
837 Haspin and Bub1 to Aurora B function during mitosis. *J Cell Biol*, 219.

838 HAGSTROM, K. A. & MEYER, B. J. 2003. Condensin and cohesin: more than
839 chromosome compactor and glue. *Nat Rev Genet*, 4, 520-34.

840 HAHN, M., DAMBACHER, S., DULEV, S., KUZNETSOVA, A. Y., ECK, S., WORZ, S., SADIC,
841 D., SCHULTE, M., MALLM, J. P., MAISER, A., DEBS, P., VON MELCHNER, H.,
842 LEONHARDT, H., SCHERMELLEH, L., ROHR, K., RIPPE, K., STORCHOVA, Z. &
843 SCHOTTA, G. 2013. Suv4-20h2 mediates chromatin compaction and is
844 important for cohesin recruitment to heterochromatin. *Genes & development*,
845 27, 859-72.

846 HAUF, S., COLE, R. W., LATERRA, S., ZIMMER, C., SCHNAPP, G., WALTER, R., HECKEL,
847 A., VAN MEEL, J., RIEDER, C. L. & PETERS, J. M. 2003. The small molecule
848 Hesperadin reveals a role for Aurora B in correcting kinetochore-
849 microtubule attachment and in maintaining the spindle assembly checkpoint.
850 *J Cell Biol*, 161, 281-94.

851 HEILIG, C. E., LOFFLER, H., MAHLKNECHT, U., JANSSEN, J. W., HO, A. D., JAUCH, A. &
852 KRAMER, A. 2010. Chromosomal instability correlates with poor outcome in
853 patients with myelodysplastic syndromes irrespectively of the cytogenetic
854 risk group. *J Cell Mol Med*, 14, 895-902.

855 HINDRIKSEN, S., LENS, S. M. A. & HADDERS, M. A. 2017. The Ins and Outs of Aurora
856 B Inner Centromere Localization. *Front Cell Dev Biol*, 5, 112.

857 HINSHAW, S. M. & HARRISON, S. C. 2018. Kinetochore Function from the Bottom Up.
858 *Trends Cell Biol*, 28, 22-33.

859 HIROTA, T., LIPP, J. J., TOH, B. H. & PETERS, J. M. 2005. Histone H3 serine 10
860 phosphorylation by Aurora B causes HP1 dissociation from heterochromatin.
861 *Nature*, 438, 1176-80.

862 HUANG, H., LAMPSON, M., EFIMOV, A. & YEN, T. J. 2018. Chromosome instability in
863 tumor cells due to defects in Aurora B mediated error correction at
864 kinetochores. *Cell Cycle*, 17, 2622-2636.

865 IDEUE, T., CHO, Y., NISHIMURA, K. & TANI, T. 2014. Involvement of satellite I
866 noncoding RNA in regulation of chromosome segregation. *Genes Cells*, 19,
867 528-38.

868 JAMBHEKAR, A., EMERMAN, A. B., SCHWEIDENBACK, C. T. & BLOWER, M. D. 2014.
869 RNA stimulates Aurora B kinase activity during mitosis. *PLoS One*, 9,
870 e100748.

871 JANSSEN, A., COLMENARES, S. U. & KARPEN, G. H. 2018. Heterochromatin: Guardian
872 of the Genome. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*, 34, 265-288.

873 KALLIO, M. J., MCCLELAND, M. L., STUKENBERG, P. T. & GORBSKY, G. J. 2002.
874 Inhibition of aurora B kinase blocks chromosome segregation, overrides the
875 spindle checkpoint, and perturbs microtubule dynamics in mitosis. *Curr Biol*,
876 12, 900-5.

877 KANG, J., CHAUDHARY, J., DONG, H., KIM, S., BRAUTIGAM, C. A. & YU, H. 2011. Mitotic
878 centromeric targeting of HP1 and its binding to Sgo1 are dispensable for
879 sister-chromatid cohesion in human cells. *Mol Biol Cell*, 22, 1181-90.

880 KAPOOR, T. M., MAYER, T. U., COUGHLIN, M. L. & MITCHISON, T. J. 2000. Probing
881 spindle assembly mechanisms with monastrol, a small molecule inhibitor of
882 the mitotic kinesin, Eg5. *J Cell Biol*, 150, 975-88.

883 KELLY, A. E., GHENOIU, C., XUE, J. Z., ZIERHUT, C., KIMURA, H. & FUNABIKI, H. 2010.
884 Survivin reads phosphorylated histone H3 threonine 3 to activate the mitotic
885 kinase Aurora B. *Science*, 330, 235-9.

886 KIM, M. S., KIM, S. S., JE, E. M., YOO, N. J. & LEE, S. H. 2012. Mutational and
887 expressional analyses of STAG2 gene in solid cancers. *Neoplasia*, 59, 524-9.

888 KLEYMAN, M., KABECHE, L. & COMPTON, D. A. 2014. STAG2 promotes error
889 correction in mitosis by regulating kinetochore-microtubule attachments. *J*
890 *Cell Sci*, 127, 4225-33.

891 KRENN, V. & MUSACCHIO, A. 2015. The Aurora B Kinase in Chromosome Bi-
892 Orientation and Spindle Checkpoint Signaling. *Front Oncol*, 5, 225.

893 KUPERSHMIT, I., KHOURY-HADDAD, H., AWWAD, S. W., GUTTMANN-RAVIV, N. &
894 AYOUB, N. 2014. KDM4C (GASC1) lysine demethylase is associated with
895 mitotic chromatin and regulates chromosome segregation during mitosis.
896 *Nucleic Acids Res*, 42, 6168-82.

897 KUUKASJARVI, T., KARHU, R., TANNER, M., KAHKONEN, M., SCHAFFER, A.,
898 NUPPONEN, N., PENNANEN, S., KALLIONIEMI, A., KALLIONIEMI, O. P. &
899 ISOLA, J. 1997. Genetic heterogeneity and clonal evolution underlying
900 development of asynchronous metastasis in human breast cancer. *Cancer*
901 *Res*, 57, 1597-604.

902 LAM, A. L., BOIVIN, C. D., BONNEY, C. F., RUDD, M. K. & SULLIVAN, B. A. 2006. Human
903 centromeric chromatin is a dynamic chromosomal domain that can spread
904 over noncentromeric DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 103, 4186-91.

905 LAMPSON, M. A., RENDUCHITALA, K., KHODJAKOV, A. & KAPOOR, T. M. 2004.
906 Correcting improper chromosome-spindle attachments during cell division.
907 *Nat Cell Biol*, 6, 232-7.

908 LIANG, C., ZHANG, Z., CHEN, Q., YAN, H., ZHANG, M., ZHOU, L., XU, J., LU, W. & WANG,
909 F. 2020. Centromere-localized Aurora B kinase is required for the fidelity of
910 chromosome segregation. *J Cell Biol*, 219.

911 LIU, H., QU, Q., WARRINGTON, R., RICE, A., CHENG, N. & YU, H. 2015. Mitotic
912 Transcription Installs Sgo1 at Centromeres to Coordinate Chromosome
913 Segregation. *Mol Cell*, 59, 426-36.

914 LIU, Y., CHEN, C., XU, Z., SCUOPPO, C., RILLAHAAN, C. D., GAO, J., SPITZER, B.,
915 BOSBACH, B., KASTENHUBER, E. R., BASLAN, T., ACKERMANN, S., CHENG, L.,
916 WANG, Q., NIU, T., SCHULTZ, N., LEVINE, R. L., MILLS, A. A. & LOWE, S. W.
917 2016. Deletions linked to TP53 loss drive cancer through p53-independent
918 mechanisms. *Nature*, 531, 471-475.

919 MANNING, A. L., YAZINSKI, S. A., NICOLAY, B., BRYLL, A., ZOU, L. & DYSON, N. J. 2014.
920 Suppression of genome instability in pRB-deficient cells by enhancement of
921 chromosome cohesion. *Mol Cell*, 53, 993-1004.

922 MARTINS, N. M., BERGMANN, J. H., SHONO, N., KIMURA, H., LARIONOV, V.,
923 MASUMOTO, H. & EARNSHAW, W. C. 2016. Epigenetic engineering shows
924 that a human centromere resists silencing mediated by H3K27me3/K9me3.
925 *Mol Biol Cell*, 27, 177-96.

926 MARTINS, N. M. C., CISNEROS-SOBERANIS, F., PESENTI, E., KOCHANOVA, N. Y.,
927 SHANG, W. H., HORI, T., NAGASE, T., KIMURA, H., LARIONOV, V., MASUMOTO,
928 H., FUKAGAWA, T. & EARNSHAW, W. C. 2020. H3K9me3 maintenance on a
929 human artificial chromosome is required for segregation but not centromere
930 epigenetic memory. *J Cell Sci*, 133.

931 MCCLELLAND, S. E., BURRELL, R. A. & SWANTON, C. 2009. Chromosomal instability:
932 a composite phenotype that influences sensitivity to chemotherapy. *Cell*
933 *Cycle*, 8, 3262-6.

934 MEPELINK, A., KABECHE, L., VROMANS, M. J., COMPTON, D. A. & LENS, S. M. 2015.
935 Shugoshin-1 balances Aurora B kinase activity via PP2A to promote
936 chromosome bi-orientation. *Cell Rep*, 11, 508-15.

937 MERCADANTE, D. L., CROWLEY, E. A. & MANNING, A. L. 2019. Live Cell Imaging to
938 Assess the Dynamics of Metaphase Timing and Cell Fate Following Mitotic
939 Spindle Perturbations. *J Vis Exp*.

940 MURO, Y., MASUMOTO, H., YODA, K., NOZAKI, N., OHASHI, M. & OKAZAKI, T. 1992.
941 Centromere protein B assembles human centromeric alpha-satellite DNA at
942 the 17-bp sequence, CENP-B box. *J Cell Biol*, 116, 585-96.

943 NAGY, Á., LÁNCZKY, A., MENYHÁRT, O. & GYÓRFFY, B. 2018. Validation of miRNA
944 prognostic power in hepatocellular carcinoma using expression data of
945 independent datasets. *Sci Rep*, 8, 9227.

946 NEGRINI, S., GORGOULIS, V. G. & HALAZONETIS, T. D. 2010. Genomic instability--an
947 evolving hallmark of cancer. *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology*, 11, 220-8.

948 NOWELL, P. C. 1976. The clonal evolution of tumor cell populations. *Science*, 194,
949 23-8.

950 O'CARROLL, D., SCHERTHAN, H., PETERS, A. H., OPRAVIL, S., HAYNES, A. R., LAIBLE,
951 G., REA, S., SCHMID, M., LEBERSORGER, A., JERRATSCH, M., SATTLER, L.,
952 MATTEI, M. G., DENNY, P., BROWN, S. D., SCHWEIZER, D. & JENUWEIN, T.
953 2000. Isolation and characterization of Suv39h2, a second histone H3
954 methyltransferase gene that displays testis-specific expression. *Mol Cell Biol*,
955 20, 9423-33.

956 OHZEKI, J., BERGMANN, J. H., KOUPRINA, N., NOSKOV, V. N., NAKANO, M., KIMURA,
957 H., EARNSHAW, W. C., LARIONOV, V. & MASUMOTO, H. 2012. Breaking the
958 HAC Barrier: histone H3K9 acetyl/methyl balance regulates CENP-A
959 assembly. *EMBO J*, 31, 2391-402.

960 OHZEKI, J., LARIONOV, V., EARNSHAW, W. C. & MASUMOTO, H. 2019. De novo
961 formation and epigenetic maintenance of centromere chromatin. *Curr Opin*
962 *Cell Biol*, 58, 15-25.

PEREA-RESA, C., BURY, L., CHEESEMAN, I. M. & BLOWER, M. D. 2020. Cohesin Removal Reprograms Gene Expression upon Mitotic Entry. *Mol Cell*, 78, 127-140 e7.

PFAU, S. J. & AMON, A. 2012. Chromosomal instability and aneuploidy in cancer: from yeast to man. *EMBO Rep*, 13, 515-27.

PLUTA, A. F., SAITOH, N., GOLDBERG, I. & EARNSHAW, W. C. 1992. Identification of a subdomain of CENP-B that is necessary and sufficient for localization to the human centromere. *J Cell Biol*, 116, 1081-93.

RAJAGOPALAN, H. & LENGAUER, C. 2004. Aneuploidy and cancer. *Nature*, 432, 338-41.

SCHOTTA, G., LACHNER, M., SARMA, K., EBERT, A., SENGUPTA, R., REUTER, G., REINBERG, D. & JENUWEIN, T. 2004. A silencing pathway to induce H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation at constitutive heterochromatin. *Genes Dev*, 18, 1251-62.

SHARMA, A. B., DIMITROV, S., HAMICHE, A. & VAN DYCK, E. 2019. Centromeric and ectopic assembly of CENP-A chromatin in health and cancer: old marks and new tracks. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 47, 1051-1069.

SOTILLO, R., HERNANDO, E., DIAZ-RODRIGUEZ, E., TERUYA-FELDSTEIN, J., CORDON-CARDO, C., LOWE, S. W. & BENEZRA, R. 2007. Mad2 overexpression promotes aneuploidy and tumorigenesis in mice. *Cancer Cell*, 11, 9-23.

SOTILLO, R., SCHVARTZMAN, J. M., SOCCI, N. D. & BENEZRA, R. 2010. Mad2-induced chromosome instability leads to lung tumour relapse after oncogene withdrawal. *Nature*, 464, 436-40.

SULLIVAN, B. A. & KARPEN, G. H. 2004. Centromeric chromatin exhibits a histone modification pattern that is distinct from both euchromatin and heterochromatin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 11, 1076-83.

SWANTON, C., NICKE, B., SCHUETT, M., EKLUND, A. C., NG, C., LI, Q., HARDCASTLE, T., LEE, A., ROY, R., EAST, P., KSCHISCHO, M., ENDESFELDER, D., WYLIE, P., KIM, S. N., CHEN, J. G., HOWELL, M., RIED, T., HABERMANN, J. K., AUER, G., BRENTON, J. D., SZALLASI, Z. & DOWNWARD, J. 2009. Chromosomal instability determines taxane response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 106, 8671-6.

TANG, A., GAO, K., CHU, L., ZHANG, R., YANG, J. & ZHENG, J. 2017. Aurora kinases: novel therapy targets in cancers. *Oncotarget*, 8, 23937-23954.

TAYLOR, A. M., SHIH, J., HA, G., GAO, G. F., ZHANG, X., BERGER, A. C., SCHUMACHER, S. E., WANG, C., HU, H., LIU, J., LAZAR, A. J., CHERNIACK, A. D., BEROUKHIM, R., MEYERSON, M. & NETWORK, C. G. A. R. 2018. Genomic and Functional Approaches to Understanding Cancer Aneuploidy. *Cancer Cell*, 33, 676-689.e3.

THOMAS, G. E., RENJITH, M. R. & MANNA, T. K. 2017. Kinetochore-microtubule interactions in chromosome segregation: lessons from yeast and mammalian cells. *Biochem J*, 474, 3559-3577.

THOMPSON, S. L. & COMPTON, D. A. 2008. Examining the link between chromosomal instability and aneuploidy in human cells. *J Cell Biol*, 180, 665-72.

TSANG, L. W., HU, N. & UNDERHILL, D. A. 2010. Comparative analyses of SUV420H1 isoforms and SUV420H2 reveal differences in their cellular localization and effects on myogenic differentiation. *PLoS One*, 5, e14447.

TSUKAHARA, T., TANNO, Y. & WATANABE, Y. 2010. Phosphorylation of the CPC by Cdk1 promotes chromosome bi-orientation. *Nature*, 467, 719-23.

WANG, E., BALLISTER, E. R. & LAMPSON, M. A. 2011. Aurora B dynamics at centromeres create a diffusion-based phosphorylation gradient. *J Cell Biol*, 194, 539-49.

WANG, F., DAI, J., DAUM, J. R., NIEDZIALKOWSKA, E., BANERJEE, B., STUKENBERG, P. T., GORBSKY, G. J. & HIGGINS, J. M. 2010. Histone H3 Thr-3 phosphorylation by Haspin positions Aurora B at centromeres in mitosis. *Science*, 330, 231-5.

WANG, Z., CUMMINS, J. M., SHEN, D., CAHILL, D. P., JALLEPALLI, P. V., WANG, T. L., PARSONS, D. W., TRAVERSO, G., AWAD, M., SILLIMAN, N., PTAK, J., SZABO, S., WILLSON, J. K., MARKOWITZ, S. D., GOLDBERG, M. L., KARESS, R., KINZLER, K. W., VOGELSTEIN, B., VELCULESCU, V. E. & LENGAUER, C. 2004. Three classes of genes mutated in colorectal cancers with chromosomal instability. *Cancer research*, 64, 2998-3001.

WEAVER, B. A., SILK, A. D., MONTAGNA, C., VERDIER-PINARD, P. & CLEVELAND, D. W. 2007. Aneuploidy acts both oncogenically and as a tumor suppressor. *Cancer Cell*, 11, 25-36.

WEBER, S. A., GERTON, J. L., POLANCIC, J. E., DERISI, J. L., KOSHLAND, D. & MEGEE, P. C. 2004. The kinetochore is an enhancer of pericentric cohesin binding. *PLoS Biol*, 2, E260.

WELBURN, J. P., VLEUGEL, M., LIU, D., YATES, J. R., LAMPSON, M. A., FUKAGAWA, T. & CHEESEMAN, I. M. 2010. Aurora B phosphorylates spatially distinct targets to differentially regulate the kinetochore-microtubule interface. *Mol Cell*, 38, 383-92.

XUE, J., WIJERATNE, S. S. & ZEMPLIEN, J. 2013. Holocarboxylase synthetase synergizes with methyl CpG binding protein 2 and DNA methyltransferase 1 in the transcriptional repression of long-terminal repeats. *Epigenetics*, 8, 504-11.

YAMAGISHI, Y., HONDA, T., TANNO, Y. & WATANABE, Y. 2010. Two histone marks establish the inner centromere and chromosome bi-orientation. *Science*, 330, 239-43.

YANG, W., SOARES, J., GRENINGER, P., EDELMAN, E. J., LIGHTFOOT, H., FORBES, S., BINDAL, N., BEARE, D., SMITH, J. A., THOMPSON, I. R., RAMASWAMY, S., FUTREAL, P. A., HABER, D. A., STRATTON, M. R., BENES, C., MCDERMOTT, U. & GARNETT, M. J. 2013. Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC): a resource for therapeutic biomarker discovery in cancer cells. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 41, D955-61.

YOKOYAMA, Y., HIEDA, M., NISHIOKA, Y., MATSUMOTO, A., HIGASHI, S., KIMURA, H., YAMAMOTO, H., MORI, M., MATSUURA, S. & MATSUURA, N. 2013. Cancer-associated upregulation of histone H3 lysine 9 trimethylation promotes cell motility in vitro and drives tumor formation in vivo. *Cancer Sci*, 104, 889-95.

ZHOU, M., LI, Y., LIN, S., CHEN, Y., QIAN, Y., ZHAO, Z. & FAN, H. 2019. H3K9me3, H3K36me3, and H4K20me3 Expression Correlates with Patient Outcome in

1053 Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma as Epigenetic Markers. *Dig Dis Sci*, 64,
1054 2147-2157.
1055

1056

Figure Legends

Figure 1. High expression of Suv39 and Suv420 isoforms in cancer correlate with aneuploidy and reduced patient survival. A) Gene expression values for Suv39h1, Suv39h2, Suv420h1, and Suv420h2 from TCGA, sorted by cancer subtype indicate increased gene expression compared to normal tissue expression levels in multiple cancer subtypes. **B)** Suv39 and Suv420 isoform expression exhibit a moderate but highly significant correlation with calculated aneuploidy score in several cancer subtypes. Red text indicates significance at $p < 0.0025$. (See also Supplemental Table 1)

Figure 2. Depletion of KDM4A compromises mitotic fidelity. A) Still images and quantification of average mitotic duration from time-lapse microscopy of RFP-H2B expressing RPE-1 cells transfected with either non-targeting control (siControl) or KDM4A-targeting (siKDM4A) siRNAs show cells lacking KDM4A exhibit delayed anaphase onset. Images were captured every 5 minutes. Number insets indicate time progression in minutes. Nuclear envelope breakdown (NEB) and anaphase onset (AO) are indicated. 50 mitotic cells were analyzed per condition for each of 3 biological replicates. **B)** Images and quantification showing KDM4A-depleted mitotic cells have an increase in late prometaphase/metaphase cells that retain 1-3 unaligned chromosomes. **C)** Images and quantification showing KDM4A-depleted anaphase cells exhibit an increase in lagging chromosomes during anaphase. For panels B and C, 30 metaphase or anaphase cells were analyzed per condition for each of 3 biological replicates. For all panels, white arrowheads indicate unaligned or lagging chromosomes; *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. Error bars are $\pm$ SD and statistical analyses were performed between three biological replicates. Scale bars are 5 μ m.

Figure 3. Increased H4K20me3 corresponds with decreased mitotic fidelity. A)

Images and quantification showing an increase in lagging chromosomes during anaphase following induced expression of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP. White arrowhead indicates a lagging chromosome. Scale bar is 5 μ m. **B)** Images and quantification showing induction of cen-Suv420-GFP promotes a substantial increase in centromere-localized H4K20me3 levels, while siKDM4A, cen-Suv39-GFP, and (non-tethered) Suv420-GFP induce only a moderate changes in H4K20me3 at centromeres. Cen-GFP does not alter centromere H4K20me3 levels. Insets are 1.5x enlargements of ACA-stained kinetochore pairs. Scale bar is 1 μ m. **C)** Images and quantification showing an increase in micronuclei formation following induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP. Insets are 3X enlargements of individual micronuclei. Presence of an ACA-labeled kinetochore within each micronucleus indicates they likely form following mitotic segregation errors. Scale bar is 5 μ m. Alignment and segregation errors were assessed in 30 cells per condition, per replicate. Intensity analyses were done on 10 kinetochores for each of 30 cells per condition, per replicate. For micronuclei analyses, a minimum of 240 cells were scored per condition, per replicate. All statistical analyses were performed between three biological replicates, *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. Error bars are +/- SD.

Figure 4. Mitotic error correction and Aurora B localization are compromised when

centromere Suv420/H4K20 methylation levels are increased. A) Images and

quantification showing progression to full metaphase alignment following inhibition of Eg5 with monastrol and subsequent drug washout. Cells lacking KDM4A or expressing cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP are delayed in achieving metaphase alignment, indicating a deficiency in mitotic error correction capacity. **B)** Images and quantification

of Aurora B kinase localization at centromeres of nocodazole-treated prometaphase cells. Induced expression of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP, but not cen-GFP, reduced Aurora B intensity at centromeres. Insets are 2X enlargements of single ACA-stained kinetochore pairs. Scale bars are 5 μ m. Kinetochore analyses were done on 10 kinetochores for each of 30 cells per condition, per replicate. Alignment was assessed in 50 cells per condition, per replicate. For all panels statistical analyses were performed between three biological replicates, *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. Error bars are +/- SD.

Figure 5. Inhibition of Suv420 methyltransferase activity restores Aurora B localization and corrects metaphase alignment defects. A & B) Treatment of cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells with 200 nM Suv420 inhibitor A196 reduces H4K20me3 levels and partially restored Aurora B localization to the centromere. **C)** Chromosome alignment defects seen in cells expressing cen-Suv420-GFP are suppressed following concurrent treatment with A196. Insets are 2X enlargements of single ACA-stained kinetochore pairs. Kinetochore analyses were done on 3 kinetochores for each of 30 cells per condition, per replicate. Alignment was assessed in 30 cells per condition, per replicate. For all panels statistical analyses were performed between three biological replicates, *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$.

Figure 6. Increased H4K20me3 reduces phosphorylation of Aurora B target Hec1 and increases microtubule stability. A & B) Images and quantification showing that pHec1, but not total Hec1 levels at the centromere are decreased following cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP expression. Note that this pHec1 antibody stains both centrosomes and kinetochores. Insets are 3X enlargements of single ACA-stained kinetochore pairs. Staining intensity between ACA peaks was measured for 3 kinetochore pairs in each of 30 metaphase cells per condition, in each of 3 biological

replicates. **C)** Images and quantification showing that induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP expression increases the resistance of kinetochore microtubules to cold-induced depolymerization, indicating an increase in stability of kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Microtubule intensity was measured in each of 30 metaphase cells per condition, in each of 3 biological replicates. *: $p < 0.05$, **: $p < 0.01$. Scale bars are 5 μm .

Figure 7. Expression of Suv39 and Suv420 sensitizes cells to Aurora kinase

inhibition. A) Quantification of anaphase defects showing that cells induced to express cen-Suv39 or cen-Suv420 exhibit an increase in anaphase lagging chromosomes following low nanomolar concentrations of Aurora kinase inhibition while their uninduced counterparts do not. Cells both with and without induction of cen-Suv39-GFP or cen-Suv420-GFP are similarly sensitive to inhibition of the mitotic kinase MPS1. (+/- cen-Suv39-GFP induction: $p = 0.00003$, 0.0003 , and 0.844 for Alisertib, Barasertib, and MPS1IN1, respectively; +/-cen-Suv420-GFP: $p = 0.003$, 0.004 , and 0.724 for Alisertib, Barasertib, and MPS1IN1, respectively) Error bars are +/- SD. **B & C)** Cen-INCENP-mCherry localizes to centromeres in cen-Suv420-GFP expressing cells. **D)** Expression of cen-INCENP-mCherry does not limit cen-Suv420-GFP localization to centromeres. GFP-staining intensity between ACA peaks was measured for 3 kinetochore pairs in each of 30 metaphase cells per condition, in each of 3 biological replicates. **E)** expression of cen-INCENP-mCherry reduces the frequency of lagging chromosomes seen in cen-Suv420-GFP expressing anaphase cells. A minimum of 30 anaphase cells were scored per condition, for each of 3 biological replicates. **: $p < 0.01$, ***: $p < 0.001$. **F)** Quantification of area under the dose response curve (AUC) for each drug in cancer cell lines from the TCGA sorted by top and bottom quartile of either Suv39 or Suv420 expression shows that cells with high expression of either Suv39 or Suv420 exhibit

1159 increased sensitivity to Aurora kinase inhibition. Each dot represents an individual
 1160 cancer cell line tested. Open circles indicate the mean of IC50 AUCs for each condition.
 1161 *: $p < 0.00625$, **: $p < 0.00125$, ***: $p < 0.000125$. Error bars are +/- SEM.

A.

B.