bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.449197; this version posted June 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1	Dominant Effect Of Host Genetics On Skin Microbiota Composition In Homeostasis And
2	Wound Healing
3	Jack Galbraith ¹ , Julien M. D. Legrand ^{1,2} , Nicholas Muller ^{1,3} , Betoul Baz ^{1,4} , Katie Togher ³ ,
4	Nicholas Matigian ⁵ , Seungha Kang ³ , Sylvia Young ⁷ , Sally Mortlock ⁶ , Edwige Roy ¹ , Grant
5	Morahan ⁷ , Graeme Walker ¹ *, Mark Morrison ³ *, Kiarash Khosrotehrani ¹ *
6	
7	
8 9	1. The University of Queensland, UQ Diamantina Institute, Experimental Dermatology Group, Brisbane, Australia
10 11	2. Australian Regenerative Medicine Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia.
12 13	3. The University of Queensland, UQ Diamantina Institute, Microbial biology and metagenomics group, Brisbane, Australia
14 15	4. National Centre for Genomic Technologies, King Abdul Aziz City for Science and Technology, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
16 17	5. QCIF Facility for Advanced Bioinformatics, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, QLD Australia
18 19	6. Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, QLD Australia
20	7. Centre for Diabetes Research, The Harry Perkins Institute for Medical Research, University
21	of Western Australia, Perth, WA, Australia
22	
23	*Contributed equally as senior authors
24	
25	Corresponding author:
26	Kiarash Khosrotehrani, MD PhD FACD
27	The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.449197; this version posted June 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- 28 Translational Research Institute
- 29 37 Kent Street, Woolloongabba 4102 QLD, Australia
- 30 Email: <u>k.khosrotehrani@uq.edu.au</u>

32 Abstract

Animal microbiota are shaped and maintained not only through microbiota-33 environmental interactions but also through host-microbiota interactions. The effects of the 34 35 microbiota on the host has been the source of intense research in recent years, indicating a role for resident microbes in a range of conditions from obesity and mood disorders to atopic 36 37 dermatitis and chronic wounds. Yet the ability of hosts to determine their microbiota composition is less well studied. In this study, we investigated the role host genetics plays in 38 determining skin microbiota. We used 30 different mouse strains from the advanced 39 recombinant inbred mouse panel, the Collaborative Cross, with PERMANOVA, GWAS and 40 PCA-based GWAS analyses to demonstrate that murine skin microbiota composition is 41 strongly dependent on murine strain. In particular, a quantitative trait locus on chromosome 4 42 43 associates both with *Staphylococcus* abundance and principal-component multi-trait analyses. Additionally, we used a full thickness excisional wound healing model to investigate the 44 45 relative contributions from the skin microbiota and/or host genetics on wound healing speed. Wound associated changes in skin microbiota composition were observed and were in many 46 instances host-specific. Despite reaching statistical significance, the wound-associated changes 47 in skin microbiota accounted for only a small amount of the variance in wound healing speeds, 48 49 with the majority attributable to mouse genotype (strain) and age. Host genetics has a significant impact on the skin microbiota composition during both homeostasis and wound 50 healing. These findings have long reaching implications in our understanding of associations 51 between microbiota dysbiosis and disease. 52

53 Introduction

All animals are colonised by microbes soon after birth. In recent years, these 54 "microbiomes" have been implicated in a wide range of host responses relevant to homeostasis, 55 56 and their disruption can manifest in a wide range of immune-mediated and/or metabolic-related diseases [1, 2]. The gut (stool) microbiome has been the most intensively studied and has 57 advanced the concept of "community-based" interactions, that trigger a range of conditions 58 such as atopic dermatitis, obesity or mood disorders [3-5]. Although less studied, variations in 59 60 the skin microbiome have been associated with episodes of atopic dermatitis [6], and the healing rate of leg ulcers, among other conditions [7, 8]. The skin microbiome has been shown 61 62 to vary with anatomical location and patient age [9], and environmental cues such as humidity and/or temperature may also influence inter-individual variation [10]. In that context, diet is 63 64 now also considered to exert a strong effect on the microbiome that can in turn influence host response and health status [2]. Overall, many environmental factors seem to determine the 65 66 composition of the skin microbiota.

However, there are relatively few studies that provide a systematic assessment of how 67 host genotype affects microbiome composition. Broader genome-wide studies utilising inter-68 cross mouse panel (BXD) have identified many host-specific quantitative trait loci (QTL) 69 affecting gut microbiome composition, with candidate genes involving cytokines and toll-like 70 71 receptor signalling [11]. In addition, investigation of the gut microbiota of mice from another advanced inter-cross model found 18 separate QTL associated with the relative abundance of 72 73 one or more gut bacterial taxonomies [12]. Regarding skin, most studies to date have been 74 limited to candidate approaches, such as how mutations affecting the serine protease matriptase 75 can lead to a shift in the skin microbiota composition [13], or have described the skin microbial populations more generally [14-16]. In addition, many studies investigating wounds and skin 76 77 microbiome associations have focused on non-healing chronic wounds such as diabetic foot ulcers and venous leg ulcers [17-19]. Whether host genetics also affects the wound 78 79 microbiome, or alternatively, whether the environmental changes associated with wounding 80 dominate over the effect of host genetic and more strongly affect microbial composition, are 81 unknown.

Here we utilised a resource generated by a specialised breeding program, the Collaborative Cross (CC), which through a recombinant-inbreeding design, allows interrogation of complex traits and genetic pleiotropies [20, 21]. Using 30 different CC mouse

strains, we investigated the host genetic contribution to mouse dorsal skin microbiota 85 composition during homeostasis and wound healing. We found that the cutaneous microbiota 86 composition differed between mouse strains, and that responses to wounding related to their 87 microbiome composition were strain-specific. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) 88 identified key QTL associated with specific bacterial taxa from normal skin. Host genetics not 89 90 only accounts for most of the observed variation in microbiome composition of normal skin, but also affects wound healing speed, while microbiota composition was found to only have a 91 92 limited role in the latter process.

93

94 **Results**

95 Murine strain is a strong determinant of microbiota diversity

The diversity of the skin microbiome has been shown to vary between individuals and 96 can often associate with pathological states. Here we collected swabs of mouse dorsal skin for 97 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing to investigate differences in microbiome composition 98 99 between mouse strains. For each mouse, swabs were collected in a predefined area of the dorsal skin in a reproducible way. Control swabs (sham swabbing), swabs taken of skin immediately 100 101 after full-excisional wounding, for each mouse, did not result in any significant amplification of 16S rRNA gene. We first compared the Shannon's diversity metric as a measure of alpha-102 103 diversity (within sample) across 114 mice from 30 different mouse strains using the R package 'vegan'. The microbiota profiles from most mice fell within a relatively narrow range of 104 105 Shannon's diversity values (IQR=0.59, median=2.11), but communities in some mice clearly displayed less diversity and/or evenness (Fig. 1a). Additionally, the intra-strain variation in 106 Shannon's diversity values was generally small, but the inter-strain variation, as measured by 107 Kruskal-Wallis testing was large and significant (p < 0.0001). Importantly, the clustering of 108 mice within their respective strains was also established, more generally, with their skin 109 microbial composition (family level) by Ward's method of agglomerative hierarchical 110 clustering [22, 23] (Fig. 1b). This analysis revealed that the large heterogeneity in overall 111 mouse skin microbiota composition was strongly strain-dependent, which was further 112 confirmed by PERMANOVA (R-squared > 0.5, p=0.001). We next asked whether specific 113 microbial genera were associated specifically with lower or higher diversity compositions 114 ('ALDEx2' R package). The abundances of both *Staphylococcus* and *Aerococcus* spp. 115 significantly differed across the highest and lowest quartiles of Shannon's diversity values, 116

respectively (Staphylococcus p=0.0019, Aerococcus p=0.0028). A linear regression model 117 using Shannon's diversity and the centred-log ratio (CLR) transformation of the abundance 118 values for Staphylococcus and Aerococcus across all mice showed there was an inverse 119 relationship of both genera with the Shannon's diversity value of mouse skin microbiome 120 (Supp. 2c). 121

122

123

Skin microbiota composition is determined by murine strain specific genomic loci.

124 Next, we determined a core skin microbiome and used different levels of bacterial taxonomies to identify their representation in at least 50% of samples at 0.1% or greater relative 125 126 abundance. Similar to the broader composition, core microbiome of individual mice clustered within their respective strains (Ward's method of agglomerative hierarchical clustering) and 127 significant groupings at the family-level of classification were found and could be further used 128 to categorise the different host genotypes (Fig. 1b). While Staphylococcaceae was the most 129 prevalent bacterial family in the strains of mice examined, and thereby a member of the core 130 microbiome, there was also a group of mouse strains that clustered based on their possession 131 of a relatively high abundance of *Corynebacteriaceae* (Fig. 1b & Fig. 1c). Although mouse 132 age significantly affected skin microbiome profile, it was found to explain only a minimal 133 amount of the variance observed across mice (R-squared < 0.02). Taken together, these 134 findings strongly confirmed the effect of murine strain (host genotype) as a key determinant of 135 the dorsal skin microbiome. 136

137 Given these findings, we next examined whether specific loci in the mouse genome could be associated with the dorsal skin microbiome composition. The centred log-ratio 138 139 transformation of the abundance values for Staphylococcus was used as a "trait" for host 140 genome-wide association analysis using the GeneMiner software, and identified a genomewide significant region on mouse chromosome (Chr) 4 between 129.75-130.95 megabase pairs 141 (Mbps) (LOD Score: 10, Supp. 1a). Several different loci, albeit with weaker (suggestive) 142 LOD scores were identified using both centred-log ratio transformation of the abundance 143 values for Aerococcus (Chr 13, 108.70-113.51 Mbps, LOD Score: 6, Supp. 1b), and Shannon's 144 diversity scores (Chr 15, 3.20-7.40 Mbps, LOD Score: 8, Supp. 1c), along with principal 145 component analysis (PCA)-based GWAS, which is an approach to identify multi-trait loci from 146 multi-dimensional data [24] (Supp. 1d-e). Interestingly, the peak on Chr 4 identified for 147 Staphylococcus was also recovered using the PCA-based GWAS (Supp. 1e). A detailed 148 analysis of the founder haplotypes for the Chr 4 candidate region identified the WSB and PWK 149

founder alleles associated with a relatively low abundance of Staphylococcus in skin 150 microbiome, and the CAST founder allele with highest relative abundances. We next examined 151 this region of interest for any genes harbouring specific polymorphisms in the founder 152 haplotypes above, by using the Sanger Mouse Genome Project SNP query website. Here, we 153 identified *Ptafr* as a candidate gene of interest, on the basis that its product may affect the 154 immune response to pathogens [25, 26], but is also known to act directly on the wound healing 155 process [27], and can affect skin inflammation [28]. An alternative candidate is Smpdl3b, 156 Sphingomyelin Phosphodiesterase Acid-Like 3B, which is associated with inflammation via 157 158 negative regulation of toll-like receptor signalling in vivo [29]. A full list of genes containing haplotype specific SNP is provided in **Suppl. 3**. Overall, this strong effect of murine strain, as 159 well as the association with plausible candidate genes, strongly supports the importance of host 160 genetics in determining the skin microbiome during homeostasis. 161

162

163 The microbiomes of early stage wounded and normal skin retain host-strain specificity

We next investigated whether and how wounding, considered a major environmental 164 stress, would elicit stronger effects on the microbiome than host genotype. If skin injury and 165 166 wound healing had strong effects on the microbiome, one would expect the wound microbiome to be convergent across the different mouse strains. Alternatively, given the exposure to faecal 167 material in the cage bedding, one would expect the wound and faecal microbiome to converge. 168 To that end, we performed PCA and hierarchical clustering on the combined datasets from 169 170 unwounded skin, day (D) 3 wounds, and the faecal microbiome samples of 70 mice representing the different haplotypes. Importantly, the DNA extractions of the swab samples 171 172 collected immediately after wounding did not produce sufficient 16S rRNA gene amplicons, suggesting that the debrided area was made "sterile" by the wounding process at D0. We found 173 that the wound microbiome at D3 retained its similarity to the community present on 174 unwounded skin, and that the faecal microbiomes were clearly separable from the skin and 175 wound microbiomes using PCA and hierarchical clustering (Fig. 2a-b). The bacterial families 176 Propionibacteriaceae and Staphylococcaceae were discriminatory for both the unwounded 177 skin and wound microbiomes at D3, compared to faecal samples, and Bacteroidales family 178 S24-7 were in much greater abundance in faecal samples (Supp. 2a). However, while the D3 179 wound microbiomes still retained features of normal skin, there was a significant decline in 180 diversity between unwounded and D3 skin wound microbiomes (Fig. 2c, Mann-Whitney, p-181 value<0.0001, 0.788 median fold-change in Shannon's diversity across all mice). This decline 182

in diversity recapitulates that seen in other pathologies such as eczema flares, and some nonhealing diabetic foot ulcers [14, 17]. The declines in microbial diversity of the wounds were only transient however, and diversity had recovered significantly by D10 post-wounding via Kruskal-Wallis testing (p<0.01, 1.1 median fold-change in Shannon's diversity across all mice), but still remained significantly lower than the original unwounded skin at this time-point (p<0.01, 0.8 median fold-change unwounded to D10 Shannon's diversity).

We also evaluated whether the 'core' microbiome differed between wounded (D3) and unwounded skin. The hierarchical clustering (**Fig. 3a**) showed that although there was a general trend of increased *Staphylococcaceae* and *Corynebacteriaceae* relative abundances between D3-wounded and unwounded skin (FDR-adjusted, p<0.1, ALDEX2), some mouse strains showed a decrease in these families. This clearly highlighted that the changes of microbiota composition in response to wound healing were not universal and varied across murine strains.

195 To investigate the relative contributions to changes in microbiota composition during wound healing, a PERMANOVA analysis was performed with the variables being mouse 196 strain, wound time-point (unwounded or D3) and mouse age (days). The strain of mouse had 197 the largest effect on overall microbiome compositional changes during wound healing (~23% 198 variance explained), followed by the interaction between mouse strain and wound time-points 199 200 (~17% variance explained). While statistically significant, age and wound time-point alone accounted for only a small amount of variance (<8% combined). This once again strongly 201 202 supports the effect of host genotype on both the unwounded and wounded skin microbiomes.

203

204 Microbiome composition had minimal effect on wound healing rates

Given the variation between mice in their D3 post-wounding microbial composition and the 205 microbiota differences from unwounded skin, we investigated whether these parameters were 206 associated with wound healing. The time to wound closure for individual mice was 207 208 determined and used to group these into quartiles representing fast to slow healers. Compared to unwounded skin, there were large variations, both positively and negatively, in the relative 209 210 abundances of different bacterial families present in D3 wound microbiomes assigned to the 211 different quartiles (Fig. 3b). However, these changes in the skin/wound microbiomes during 212 early wound healing, and the faecal microbiome composition of the host animal each accounted for ~5% of the variance in wound healing speeds when modelled separately by 213 214 principal component regression. In contrast, PEMANOVA analysis showed mouse strain

(genotype) accounted for over 50% of the variance in wound healing rate (p < 0.001) while the

age of mice explained 20% variance (*p*-value<0.001). Age is already recognised to be a

significant factor in the regenerative ability of mice [30, 31]. Our results not only confirm the

association between mouse age and wound healing speed, but the limited impact of age on

skin microbiome composition suggests the impacts of age on wound healing speed are host-

220 rather than microbiome-related.

221

222 Discussion

The skin microbiome has been the subject of many studies because of its presumed 223 224 involvement with the onset and/or progression of many skin disorders [5-7, 14, 17, 19, 32]. Many studies have revealed how (micro) environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, 225 dryness, sun exposure, body site, or host factors such as age and diet can affect the skin 226 microbiome [5, 9, 10, 33]. These collective findings have led many to infer that wounding -227 which is an extreme form of environmental insult - results in a stereotypical change in the 228 composition of the microbiome at the wound site, principally via increases in the relative and 229 total abundances of *Staphylococcus* and Gram-negative bacteria [32, 34]. In contrast, very little 230 is known about whether and how host genotype affects the skin microbiome, and further, to 231 what extent differences in wound healing speed are attributable to host-driven processes either 232 233 directly, or indirectly, via the skin microbiome.

By characterization of multiple strains of mice from the Collaborative Cross, we show 234 235 that while the skin microbiome is strongly impacted by host genotype, there is only a small contribution from this microbiome variation to wound healing speed. Further, we showed a 236 237 strong variation in skin microbiota composition that in large part was explained by the murine genetic background. Importantly, all the animals used in this study were born in the same 238 239 animal facility; then all shipped and subsequently housed in a different facility and provided access to the same food and water sources. So while it is not possible to completely rule out 240 any housing effects across all 30 strains of mice, other studies have revealed that compared to 241 host genotype, the contributions from caging and legacy effects on the variations in the gut 242 microbiome are small [35]. Additional studies of skin bacterial populations in various 243 mammals and amphibians further supports host taxonomy as a greater determining factor of 244 the cutaneous microbiome than environment [36-38]. 245

In that context, the genome-wide associations identified key host loci predictive of 246 specific skin bacterial taxa and/or microbiome composition. Indeed, specific bacterial genera 247 (Staphylococcus and Aerococcus) and Shannon diversity scores of the skin microbiome from 248 individual mice could be used as a "trait" in genome wide association and linkage studies. In 249 particular, these analyses defined a ~1 Mbp region on Chr 4 to be strongly associated with these 250 traits. Further analyses confirmed this locus includes genes affecting host innate and adaptive 251 252 immunity and in particular *Ptafr*, which encodes the Platelet Activating Factor Receptor. The 253 PTAFR protein has strong pro-inflammatory effects and has been previously associated with 254 bacteraemia [25, 26, 28]. Similarly, Smpdl3b, Sphingomyelin Phosphodiesterase Acid-Like 3B, is associated with inflammation via negative regulation of toll-like receptor signalling in 255 vivo [29]. While the precise role of these candidate genes needs experimental validation, it is 256 plausible that SNP variations in one or both of these genes can elicit differential immune or 257 dermal niche alterations that affect the relative abundance of Staphylococcus and/or 258 259 Aerococcus on skin.

Abundance of Staphylococcus and Aerococcus was associated with lower diversity in 260 homeostatic skin microbiota. The Staphylococcus genus contains both commensal and 261 262 pathogenic species, such as staphylococcus epidermidis and staphylococcus aureus. Host *NOD2* receptor variants have been associated with atopic dermatitis and can affect keratinocyte 263 susceptibility to S. aureus [39]. Additionally, previous authors have shown an expansion of the 264 S. aureus population is associated with pathogenic states, such as eczema flares [14]. One study 265 266 in diabetic men showed a higher Staphylococcal abundance in healthy controls compared to diabetics, yet the proportion of S. aureus was lower in controls indicating that some less 267 virulent Staphylococcal species are likely protective [18]. Interestingly, S. epidermidis can help 268 co-ordinate the host's response to S. aureus by inducing host cytokines that influence T-cell 269 270 behaviour [40]. Whilst our data supports other's findings of a host effect on Staphylococcal species abundance and skin microbiota diversity, it is unclear whether the changes we see in 271 Staphylococcal abundance include expansions of pathogenic or commensal species, as we were 272 unable to investigate taxonomies to the species level. An important implication of our study is 273 that microbiome dysbiosis in disease may only be restored temporarily through intervention 274 such as probiotics, as the genetic background of the individual may have a dominant role. 275

In a clinical setting, it has been thought that skin wounds are characterised by major colonisation of Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [34], and often display an over-representation of Staphylococci [32]. Here, we report that wound microbiome remains highly variable in its composition across different murine strains and there was no
homogenous change across all mice. Inclusion of stool samples allowed us to show that D3
post-wound skin retains a skin-like microbiota phenotype. This is unexpected given the
extreme barrier function dysregulation as well as the relative contamination of cage bedding
with faeces. Considering the site specificity and stability of the human microbiome [9], it is
possible that the transcriptomic environment of skin wounds drives the microbiota to retain
its skin-like features.

286 Few studies of murine microbiota composition of skin have utilised multiple strains of mice; a majority used only a single mouse genetic background [37, 41]. Our study highlights 287 the difficulty of drawing conclusions about microbial associations with wound healing 288 outcomes across studies that have used a single background mouse model of microbiota 289 290 changes. Studies of chronic wounds in patients show relatively little difference in the more abundant genera between healing and non-healing wounds [42]. In line with these results, we 291 292 were unable to identify any statistically significant, or suggestive, abundant microbial families associated with healing speed in mice, although we were able to show suggestive differences 293 in microbial compositional changes between mouse strains during the early stages of wound 294 healing. Principal component regression showed that both faecal and skin microbiomes account 295 for minimal differences in wound healing speed. Additionally, PERMANOVA analysis shows 296 that the strain of mouse, and its interaction with the wound time-course, can explain ~40% of 297 variance in microbiota composition changes during the first 3 days of wound healing. Whilst 298 lack of significant associations between microbiota abundances and wound healing speeds 299 could be due to omission of low abundance microbes, significant heterogeneity in microbiota 300 composition between mouse strains, and their specific response to wounding, likely plays a 301 dominating role. 302

In conclusion, we report that skin murine microbiota and its changes upon wounding are strongly determined by host genetics and the abundance of specific microbial families can be determined by precise loci in the murine genome. Moreover, the wound microbiome plays a minimal role in the healing rate and is mostly a reflection of the host genetic background. These findings have far reaching implications for the design of further studies on the role of the microbiome on health and disease as well as the use of probiotics in a clinical setting.

309

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.449197; this version posted June 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Figure 1. An overview of the cutaneous microbiota across 30 different strains of mice 312 from the Collaborative Cross. (a) Scatterplot of alpha diversities for each sample, grouped 313 by mouse strain. Most mice show similar alpha-diversities (Shannon, IQR=0.59, median=2.11) 314 with many strains showing low intra-strain variability. Some strains such as TOFU and LUG 315 show lower diversity scores in all mice within their strain, additionally some strains show much 316 larger intra-strain variabilities, such as TAS and TOP. Diversity of the skin microbiome shows 317 strong strain dependent differences (Kruskal-wallis, *p*-value<0.0001). (b) Hierarchical 318 clustering heat-map of `core` microbiota, centred-log ratio read counts of 16S rRNA gene 319 320 sequencing of healthy dorsal skin swabs. Staphylococcaceae was the most abundant bacterial family in the majority of mice, with Corynebacteriaceae showing a particularly partisan 321 abundance across different mice. Many mice cluster strongly within their respective strain, with 322 PERMANOVA analysis indicating a significant strain effect (R-squared>0.5, *p*-value=0.001). 323 We cut the hierarchical dendrogram into 6 groups (colours randomly assigned to each group) 324 based on visual inspection resulting in distinct characteristics such as high *Corynebacteriaceae* 325 or *Moraxellaceae* abundance. (c) Principal component analysis of centred-log ratio read counts 326 327 further highlights the differences in abundance of microbiota families between mice. Some strains such as LUG and LOT can be seen clustering very closely together indicating a strong 328 329 strain effect. With that said, the strain JUNIOR shows that not all mouse strains have a strong preference toward certain microbiota compositions. 330



333 Figure 2. Comparison of diversity and composition of faecal, unwounded and wounded

skin microbiota (a) Hierarchical clustering heatmap of centred-log ratio read counts from all 334 3 sample microbiota types (faecal = blue, unwounded = green, day-3 post-wounding = orange). 335 Both types of skin microbiota samples show enrichment in certain bacterial genera such as 336 Staphylococcus, Corvnebacterium and Acinetobacter compared to faecal samples. (b) 337 Principal component analysis of combined sample sets at the genus level. Day-3 post-wound 338 microbiota cluster with unwounded microbiota samples and separately from faecal samples 339 (faecal = blue, unwounded = green, day-3 post-wounding = orange). (c) Skin microbial 340 341 diversity at different time-points (Shannon alpha diversity index). Overall alpha diversity significantly decreases from unwounded to day-3, increasing again by day-10 though 342 remaining significantly depressed compared to unwounded skin. 343

344

345



Mice



348 Figure 3. Microbiota composition changes from unwounded skin to day 3 post-wounding.

(a) Taking the difference between day 3 post-wounding and unwounded centred-log ratio 349 350 matrices shows the relative increase/decrease in microbial abundance during the early stages of wound healing. No bacterial families show a consistent pattern of increased/decreased 351 352 abundance across all mice during wound healing, though many mouse strains show similar within strain patterns of microbiota changes. (b) Boxplots of bacterial family centred-log ratio 353 354 abundance changes during wound healing across all mice, grouped by quartiles of mouse healing speed (Top and Middle). Each bacterial family shows a large spread of values including 355 356 both mice that increase their relative abundance and mice that decrease. No associations can be seen between a single family of bacteria and faster/slower healing mice. Days to full wound 357 closure across all mouse strains (Bottom). 358

359



Supplemental 1. GWAS based on haplotype reconstructions of Collaborative Cross 362 mouse genomes. (a) LOD-score based analysis of *Staphylococcus* centred log-ratio abundance 363 inputed to GeneMiner. A significant QTL can be identified on chromosome 4, (-2 LOD score 364 drop region, 130.9-132.2Mbps). Haplotype diagrams indicate the founder strains WSB, CAST 365 and/or PWK may be responsible for the peak. (b) LOD-score based analysis of Aerococcus 366 centred log-ratio abundance inputed to GeneMiner. A suggestive QTL can be identified on 367 chromosome 13 (-2 LOD score drop region, 108.7-113.51Mbps). Haplotype diagrams indicate 368 the founder strains NZO, AJ and/or WSB may be responsible for the peak. (c) LOD-score based 369 370 analysis of Shannon's diversity scores imputed to GeneMiner. A suggestive QTL can be identified on chromosome 15 (-2 LOD drop region, 3.2-7.4 Mbps). Haplotype diagrams 371 indicate CAST, AJ and/or NOD as likely responsible for the peak. (d,e) PCA-based GWAS 372 using principal components 1 and 3 (d, e). Suggestive QTL can be found on Chr 12 for both 373 principle components, though in different regions (-2 LOD drop region PC1, 3.5-9.9Mbps, 374 PC3, 47.7-60.4Mbps). For principal component 3 (e) a significant peak on Chr4 overlapping 375 the same region as the previous significant peak for *Staphylococcus* can be seen primarily due 376 to the CAST and PWK founder strains (-2 LOD drop region, 131.9-135Mbps). 377

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.449197; this version posted June 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

³⁷⁸ Supplemental 2.



b.

Table 1: Mice Used

Count

4

4

 $5 \\
 5 \\
 3 \\
 2$

 $\begin{array}{c}
 4 \\
 5 \\
 5 \\
 4
 \end{array}$

 $3 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 4$

 $\begin{array}{c}
 4 \\
 2 \\
 3 \\
 5 \\
 4 \\
 4 \\
 3 \\
 3
 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c}
 4 \\
 5 \\
 5 \\
 2
 \end{array}$

3

4

Strain

BEM

BOM

GIG GIT HAX2

LEL LEM LOT LUF LUG NUK

POH PUB S129S SAT SEH STUCKY TAS

TOFU

TOP WAB2 XAV

YID ZIF2

DAVIS FIV

HAZ JUNIOR LAM LAT c.

Table 2: Linear Model of Staphylococcus and Aerococcus Diversity Associations

Bacteria	Estimate	P.Value
Staphylococcus	-0.0751578	0.0682618
Aerococcus	-0.1168848	0.0657272

Supplemental 2. (a) Qualitative summary of all family-level (including non-core skin) log-379 proportional abundances in unwounded, day 3 post-wound, and faecal samples (70 mice). 380 Propionibacteriaceae and Bacteroidales family S24-7 show markedly different abundances 381 between skin and faecal samples. (b) List of all Collaborative Cross strains used for initial 382 experiment, including mice per strain used (114 total mice). (c) Based on the results from 383 differential bacterial genera abundance analysis of most diverse and least diverse mice, we 384 modelled Shannon diversity regressed against Staphylococcus and Aerococcus CLR 385 abundances. Negative values for estimate indicate lower diversity values for higher CLR values 386 387 for both Staphylococcus and Aerococcus with an overall trend across all mice. (d) Schematics for the processes of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequence data analysis. 388

389

390

391

Haplotype	Genes	Region_(Chr:Mbps)			
PWK_PhJ	Laptm5,Matn1	4:130.9-132.2			
CAST_EiJ	Epb4.1,Gm10300,Laptm5,Matn1,Mecr,Ptpru,Srsf4,Tmem200b	4:130.9-132.2			
WSB_EiJ	Epb4.1,Mecr	4:130.9-132.2			
PWK_PhJ	Gpatch3,Rps6ka1	4:131.9-135.0			
	Aim11,Arid1a,Atpif1,Catsper4,Cnksr1,Epb4.1,Extl1,Eya3,Fam46b,				
	,Gm10300,Gm7534,Gmeb1,Grrp1,Kdf1,Map3k6,Nr0b2,Nudc,Pdik11,				
	Phactr4, Pigv,Ptafr,Rab42,Rhd,Rpa2,Rsrp1,Sepn1,Smpdl3b,				
CAST_EiJ	Srsf4,Stx12,Taf12,Tmem200b,Ubxn11,Xkr8,Zdhhc18,Zfp593,Zfp683	4:131.9-135.0			
WSB_EiJ	Atpif1,Cd164l2,Epb4.1,Gmeb1,Trnp1	4:131.9-135.0			

392

393 Supplemental 3. Table of candidate genes based on GWAS analysis. List of genes

identified in from the QTL on Chromosome 4 present in 2 different GWAS. Genes are taken

395 from Sanger Mouse SNP Viewer (<u>https://www.sanger.ac.uk/sanger/Mouse_SnpViewer/rel-</u>

³⁹⁶ <u>1505</u>) for the LOD 2 drop region around the QTL peak. A total of 38 genes were identified

that possessed SNPs specific to any of the haplotypes WSB, PWK or CAST.

399 Materials & Methods

400 *Mice*

The Collaborative Cross (CC) program used 8 founding strains of mice to produce 401 several hundred recombinant mouse inbred (RI) strains [20] that were inbred over multiple 402 generations to greater than 90% homozygosity [43]. This high rate of homozygosity ensures 403 that most regions of the genome are defined by the genetic contribution from a single founder 404 405 strain (haplotype), simplifying analysis. However, the substantial heterogeneity in haplotypes 406 between different strains can result in marked variation in any phenotype across the CC. Greatly reduced costs and complexities can be achieved with CC mice, since they are all 407 genotyped and the founder genome sequences are available at the Sanger Institute 408 409 (https://www.sanger.ac.uk) [20]. Lastly, the large genetic scope of the CC RI strains, founding strains were chosen to maximise genetic richness, provides a powerful resource to investigate 410 murine genetics of complex biological problems. 411

All mice from the CC (114) were housed in the UQ Centre for Clinical Research Animal Facility. All animal experimentation was conducted in accordance with institutional ethical requirements and approved by the University of Queensland Animal Ethics Committee. Only female mice were used with the number of mice per strain varying due to availability. Two mice from the strains XAV, GIT, LEM and POH were used whereas, all other strains had 3 or more mice (**Supp.2**).

418 Collection

Mice were anaesthetized with 2% isoflurane and a sterile rayon swab moistened with TE buffer was used to collect a microbiota sample from a 1.5x1.5cm of dorsal skin, followed by fullthickness excisional wounds of the same area and second swab was immediately used to sample this fresh wound (library sizes too small to analyse). This second swab on the excisional wound served as technical control for contamination for every single mouse. At this initial timepoint a fresh stool sample was collected. Additional swabs were taken at days 3 and 10 postwounding. All samples were stored in 2ml of TE buffer at -80°C for later sequencing.

426 Microbiota community profiling and data analyses

427 Microbial DNA was extracted from swab samples of dorsal skin using the Maxwell 16 LEV
428 Buccal Swab DNA kit according to manufacturer's recommendations. The resulting DNA
429 samples were then used to produce bar-coded PCR amplicon libraries of the V6-V8

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.20.449197; this version posted June 21, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

430 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene using the universal microbial primers with Illumina

431 primer overhang adapters as follows:

432 - Forward Primer – 926F:

433 5' - TCG TCG GCA GCG TCA GAT GTG TAT AAG AGA CAG AAA CTY AAA KGA 434 ATT GRC GG – 3'

435 - Reverse Primer – 1392R:

436 5' - GTC TCG TGG GCT CGG AGA TGT GTA TAA GAG ACA GAC GGG CGG TGW
437 GTR C - 3'

Sequencing used the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform and protocols developed by
the UQ-Australian Centre for Ecogenomics (www.ecogenomic.org). A sequence Phred quality
threshold of 20 was used and sequences checked for chimeras using USEARCH version
6.1.544 [44]. Mapping and clustering of reads into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) with
97% identity threshold against Greengenes core set database 13.8 [45], was performed using
Quantitative insight into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) version 1.9.1 [46] and PyNast [47]. OTUs
were then compiled into an OUT table for further analysis.

445 Data Analysis

Shannon measures of alpha diversities were calculated using the 'Vegan' package in R [48, 49] 446 with the function 'diversity'. The percentage abundance for each bacterial family was 447 calculated and those bacterial families failing a threshold of 0.1% abundance in 50% or more 448 mice were removed, leaving a total of 14 'core' bacterial families remaining in the dataset. 449 Centred log-ratios (CLR) were calculated for all mice using the 'core' bacterial families as a 450 451 sub-composition. Comparisons of murine skin microbiotas similarities were then performed using the PERMANOVA and PCA using Aitchison distance (Euclidean distance after CLR 452 453 [50].

454 QTL Analysis

Significantly differentially abundant genera based on the upper and lower quartiles of diversity 455 456 were regressed against mouse genotypes in the GeneMiner software (www.sysgen.org/GeneMiner). GWAS made use of haplotype reconstructions, as detailed 457 458 previously [51].

459 We also performed principal component analysis on the centred log-ratios of abundances and

460 regressed the principal components against mouse genotypes. This style of PCA based GWAS

461 has been suggested as a way of identifying pleiotropic QTL [24], due to each component

- 462 representing a multivariate vector consisting of all phenotypes of interest (in this case all 'core'
- 463 microbiota families).
- 464

465 Acknowledgements

466 We would like to thank Geniad Pty Ltd for the generous provision of CC mice. This work was

467 supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia

468 (APP1082438). KK is supported by a Queensland government, Advance Queensland Research

469 Fellowship.

470 **Competing Interests**

471 The authors declare no competing interests.

472 **References**

Catinean, A., et al., Microbiota and Immune-Mediated Skin Diseases-An Overview. 1. 473 474 Microorganisms, 2019. 7(9). 475 2. Schoeler, M. and R. Caesar, Dietary lipids, gut microbiota and lipid metabolism. Rev Endocr Metab Disord, 2019. 20(4): p. 461-472. 476 477 3. Forsythe, P., et al., Mood and gut feelings. Brain Behav Immun, 2010. 24(1): p. 9-16. Kobyliak, N., O. Virchenko, and T. Falalyeyeva, Pathophysiological role of host 478 4. microbiota in the development of obesity. Nutr J, 2016. 15: p. 43. 479 5. Pessi, T., et al., Interleukin-10 generation in atopic children following oral 480 Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG. Clin Exp Allergy, 2000. 30(12): p. 1804-8. 481 Kong, H.H., et al., Temporal shifts in the skin microbiome associated with disease 6. 482 483 flares and treatment in children with atopic dermatitis. Genome Res, 2012. 22(5): p. 850-9. 484 7. Min, K.R., et al., Association between baseline abundance of Peptoniphilus, a Gram-485 positive anaerobic coccus, and wound healing outcomes of DFUs. PLoS One, 2020. 486 **15**(1): p. e0227006. 487 8. Wolcott, R.D., et al., Evaluation of the bacterial diversity among and within 488 489 individual venous leg ulcers using bacterial tag-encoded FLX and titanium amplicon 490 pyrosequencing and metagenomic approaches. BMC Microbiol, 2009. 9: p. 226. 9. Grice, E.A., et al., Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin 491 microbiome. Science, 2009. 324(5931): p. 1190-2. 492 10. Grice, E.A. and J.A. Segre, *The skin microbiome*. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2011. 9(4): p. 493 494 244-53. McKnite, A.M., et al., Murine gut microbiota is defined by host genetics and 495 11. 496 modulates variation of metabolic traits. PLoS One, 2012. 7(6): p. e39191.

497	12.	Benson, A.K., et al., Individuality in gut microbiota composition is a complex
498		polygenic trait shaped by multiple environmental and host genetic factors. Proc Natl
499		Acad Sci U S A, 2010. 107 (44): p. 18933-8.
500	13.	Scharschmidt, T.C., et al., Matriptase-deficient mice exhibit ichthyotic skin with a
501		selective shift in skin microbiota. J Invest Dermatol, 2009. 129(10): p. 2435-42.
502	14.	Byrd, A.L., et al., Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis strain
503		diversity underlying pediatric atopic dermatitis. Sci Transl Med, 2017. 9(397).
504	15.	Grice, E.A., et al., A diversity profile of the human skin microbiota. Genome Res,
505		2008. 18 (7): p. 1043-50.
506	16.	Oh, J., et al., <i>Temporal Stability of the Human Skin Microbiome</i> . Cell, 2016. 165 (4):
507		p. 854-66.
508	17.	Gardiner, M., et al., A longitudinal study of the diabetic skin and wound microbiome.
509		PeerJ, 2017. 5 : p. e3543.
510	18.	Redel, H., et al., Quantitation and composition of cutaneous microbiota in diabetic
511		and nondiabetic men. J Infect Dis, 2013. 207(7): p. 1105-14.
512	19.	Loesche, M., et al., Temporal Stability in Chronic Wound Microbiota Is Associated
513		With Poor Healing. J Invest Dermatol, 2017. 137(1): p. 237-244.
514	20.	Churchill, G.A., et al., The Collaborative Cross, a community resource for the genetic
515		analysis of complex traits. Nat Genet, 2004. 36(11): p. 1133-7.
516	21.	Ferguson, B., et al., Melanoma susceptibility as a complex trait: genetic variation
517		controls all stages of tumor progression. Oncogene, 2015. 34(22): p. 2879-86.
518	22.	Murtagh, F., Legendre, P., Ward's Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering Method:
519		Which Algorithms Implement Ward's Criterion? Journal of Classification, 2014. 31: p.
520		274-295.
521	23.	Ward, J.H., <i>Hierarchical Grouping to Optimize an Objective Function</i> . Journal of the
522		American Statistical Association, 1963. 58: p. 263-244.
523	24.	Zhang, W., et al., PCA-Based Multiple-Trait GWAS Analysis: A Powerful Model for
524		Exploring Pleiotropy. Animals (Basel), 2018. 8(12).
525	25.	Shukla, S.D., et al., <i>Platelet activating factor receptor: gateway for bacterial chronic</i>
526		airway infection in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and potential therapeutic
527		target. Expert Rev Respir Med, 2015. 9(4): p. 473-85.
528	26.	Iovino, F., et al., Signalling or binding: the role of the platelet-activating factor
529		receptor in invasive pneumococcal disease. Cell Microbiol, 2013. 15(6): p. 870-81.
530	27.	Stafforini, D.M., et al., Platelet-activating factor, a pleiotrophic mediator of
531		physiological and pathological processes. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci, 2003. 40(6): p. 643-
532		72.
533	28.	Sahu, R.P., et al., Topical application of a platelet activating factor receptor agonist
534		suppresses phorbol ester-induced acute and chronic inflammation and has cancer
535		chemopreventive activity in mouse skin. PLoS One, 2014. 9(11): p. e111608.
536	29.	Heinz, L.X., et al., The Lipid-Modifying Enzyme SMPDL3B Negatively Regulates
537		Innate Immunity. Cell Rep, 2015. 11(12): p. 1919-28.
538	30.	Velarde, M.C., et al., Pleiotropic age-dependent effects of mitochondrial dysfunction
539		on epidermal stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015. 112 (33): p. 10407-12.
540	31.	Keyes, B.E., et al., Impaired Epidermal to Dendritic T Cell Signaling Slows Wound
541		Repair in Aged Skin. Cell, 2016. 167(5): p. 1323-1338 e14.
542	32.	Shettigar, K. and T.S. Murali, Virulence factors and clonal diversity of
543		Staphylococcus aureus in colonization and wound infection with emphasis on diabetic
544		foot infection. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis, 2020. 39(12): p. 2235-2246.
545	33.	Kong, H.H., Skin microbiome: genomics-based insights into the diversity and role of
546		skin microbes. Trends Mol Med, 2011. 17(6): p. 320-8.

34. Yung, D.B.Y., K.J. Sircombe, and D. Pletzer, Friends or enemies? The complicated 547 relationship between Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. Mol 548 Microbiol. 2021. 549 Khan, A.A., et al., Polymorphic Immune Mechanisms Regulate Commensal 550 35. 551 *Repertoire*. Cell Rep, 2019. **29**(3): p. 541-550 e4. McKenzie, V.J., et al., Co-habiting amphibian species harbor unique skin bacterial 552 36. communities in wild populations. ISME J, 2012. 6(3): p. 588-96. 553 554 37. Belheouane, M., et al., Assessing similarities and disparities in the skin microbiota between wild and laboratory populations of house mice. ISME J, 2020. 14(10): p. 555 2367-2380. 556 Ross, A.A., et al., *Comprehensive skin microbiome analysis reveals the uniqueness of* 557 38. human skin and evidence for phylosymbiosis within the class Mammalia. Proc Natl 558 559 Acad Sci U S A, 2018. 115(25): p. E5786-E5795. 560 39. Roth, S.A., et al., The pattern recognition receptor NOD2 mediates Staphylococcus aureus-induced IL-17C expression in keratinocytes. J Invest Dermatol, 2014. 134(2): 561 p. 374-380. 562 563 40. Naik, S., et al., Commensal-dendritic-cell interaction specifies a unique protective skin immune signature. Nature, 2015. 520(7545): p. 104-8. 564 Srinivas, G., et al., Genome-wide mapping of gene-microbiota interactions in 41. 565 susceptibility to autoimmune skin blistering. Nat Commun, 2013. 4: p. 2462. 566 Verbanic, S., et al., Microbial predictors of healing and short-term effect of 42. 567 debridement on the microbiome of chronic wounds. NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes, 568 2020. **6**(1): p. 21. 569 Morgan, A.P. and C.E. Welsh, Informatics resources for the Collaborative Cross and 570 43. related mouse populations. Mamm Genome, 2015. 26(9-10): p. 521-39. 571 44. Edgar, R.C., Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. 572 573 Bioinformatics, 2010. 26(19): p. 2460-1. DeSantis, T.Z., et al., Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and 574 45. workbench compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol, 2006. 72(7): p. 5069-72. 575 576 46. Caporaso, J.G., et al., *QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community* sequencing data. Nat Methods, 2010. 7(5): p. 335-6. 577 578 47. Caporaso, J.G., et al., PyNAST: a flexible tool for aligning sequences to a template alignment. Bioinformatics, 2010. 26(2): p. 266-7. 579 Jari Oksanen, F.G.B., Michael Friendly, Roeland Kindt, Pierre Legendre, Dan 580 48. McGlinn, Peter R. Minchin, R. B. O'hara, Gavin L. Simpson, Peter Solymos, M. 581 582 Henry Stevens, Eduard Szoecs, Helene Wagner, vegan: Community Ecology Package. 583 2019. 49. Team, R.C., R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2020. 584 50. Gloor, G.B., et al., Microbiome Datasets Are Compositional: And This Is Not 585 Optional. Front Microbiol, 2017. 8: p. 2224. 586 51. Ram, R. and G. Morahan, Complex Trait Analyses of the Collaborative Cross: Tools 587 and Databases. Methods Mol Biol, 2017. 1488: p. 121-129. 588