Behavioural adaptations in egg laying ancestors facilitate evolutionary transitions to live birth

Amanda K. Pettersen^{1*}, Nathalie Feiner¹, Daniel W.A. Noble², Geoffrey M. While³, Charlie K. Cornwallis^{1#} & Tobias Uller^{1#}

1. Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, 22 362, Sweden
2. Division of Ecology and Evolution, Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, 2600, Australia
3. School of Natural Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart, 7005, Australia

*Corresponding author

#Joint senior author

Keywords: Viviparity, Oviparity, Thermal plasticity, Behavioural plasticity, Reproductive mode, Squamates, Lizards, Snakes

1 **Abstract**

2 Live birth is a key innovation that has evolved from egg laying over 100 times in reptiles.

3 One significant feature of this transition is the thermal conditions experienced by developing

4 embryos. Egg-laying lizards and snakes often have preferred body temperatures that are

5 lethal to developing embryos that should prevent egg retention: how has viviparity repeatedly

6 evolved in the face of this pervasive mismatch? We resolve this paradox by conducting

7 phylogenetic analyses of adult and embryo thermal preferences across 224 species. Thermal

8 mismatches between mothers and offspring are widespread but resolved by gravid females

9 behaviourally down-regulating their body temperature towards the thermal optimum of

10 embryos. Importantly, this thermoregulatory behaviour evolved in ancestral egg-laying

11 species before the evolution of live birth. Maternal thermoregulatory behaviour therefore

12 bypasses the constraints imposed by a slowly evolving thermal physiology and is likely to

13 have been a key requirement for repeated transitions to live birth.

14 **Introduction**

15 The evolution of live bearing is an important life-history transition in vertebrates¹⁻³. The
 16 ecological conditions that favour the transition from egg laying (oviparity) to live birth
 17 (viviparity) are relatively well understood, especially in reptiles, with particularly strong
 18 support for the adaptive value of viviparity in cool climates^{3,4}. By retaining embryos
 19 throughout development, mothers can buffer offspring from suboptimal nest temperatures,
 20 thereby ensuring higher hatching success and increased offspring viability⁴. Live bearing also
 21 allows females to maintain offspring at higher temperatures than those of potential nest sites,
 22 effectively increasing developmental rates to facilitate early-season hatching^{5,6}. This
 23 transition has allowed reptile species to diversify and persist in cool climates globally⁷.

24 Despite its adaptive advantage, how viviparity initially evolved is unclear. A major
 25 challenge to the evolution of viviparity is that egg-laying adult lizards and snakes typically
 26 have preferred body temperatures that exceed the upper lethal limit of embryos⁸. For
 27 example, the average nest temperature for the Iberian emerald lizard, *Lacerta schreiberi*, is
 28 24 °C, rarely exceeding 30 °C, while the preferred body temperature of females is 33 °C⁹.
 29 Since embryos are well adapted to the temperatures they typically experience in the nest, they
 30 generally have limited capacity to sustain development at temperatures outside a narrow
 31 thermal window^{10,11}. Prolonged exposure to temperatures beyond this range can result in
 32 offspring abnormalities and even death¹². A mismatch between thermal optima of embryos
 33 and females should therefore prevent mothers from retaining embryos throughout
 34 development, inhibiting evolutionary transitions to live birth⁸.

35 Live birth has nevertheless evolved over 100 times in squamate reptiles^{13,14}. How can
 36 the repeated evolution of viviparity be reconciled with the widespread thermal mismatch
 37 between embryos and adults in oviparous species? There are two potential scenarios by
 38 which viviparity can evolve in the presence of maternal-embryo thermal mismatches. First,

39 viviparity may only evolve from oviparity in species where there is no mismatch, that is,
 40 where adult body temperature (P_{br}) and embryo thermal optima (T_{opt}) are well aligned.
 41 Second, females may behaviourally adjust their body temperature when pregnant to close the
 42 gap between adult and embryo thermal optima, even when substantial mismatches in thermal
 43 preferences exist. Such plasticity may come at a cost to female performance, but temporarily
 44 shifting body temperature while gravid to match the thermal optima of embryos could
 45 eliminate thermal barriers to the evolution of viviparity.

46 Explaining how the mismatch between female and offspring thermal optima have
 47 been resolved in viviparous lineages is challenging. Adult and embryo thermal preferences of
 48 the oviparous ancestors of viviparous lineages need to be reconstructed using available data
 49 on extant species. In addition, data on thermal preferences across life stages are difficult to
 50 obtain. Often only some measures (preferred body temperature of oviparous adults, optimal
 51 incubation temperature of embryos, plasticity in female thermoregulation) are available for a
 52 subset of species, leading to patchily distributed data (Figure 1). Recent advances in
 53 phylogenetic methods help to resolve such issues, now making it possible to infer ancestral
 54 states across multiple traits where information is non-overlapping across species (e.g., values
 55 of some traits are only available for some species and vice versa). The accuracy of such
 56 analyses are, however, reliant on the amount of variation in traits explained by phylogeny
 57 (phylogenetic signal) as this determines the accuracy with which missing values can be
 58 predicted¹⁵.

59 We collated data on the thermal preferences of adult females (163 species) and
 60 embryos (51 species) of squamate reptiles and combined this with data on their reproductive
 61 mode (4162 species). Prior to running analyses, we estimated the phylogenetic signal in all
 62 traits to ensure it was possible to infer ancestral values of traits given data limitations. We
 63 found that there were high levels of phylogenetic signal in all traits (Tables S2, S6 & S8). We

64 further tested if models could accurately predict ancestral states using limited data by
 65 restricting data on reproductive mode to just those species that also had thermal data (224
 66 species). These analyses showed that it was possible to predict the reproductive modes of
 67 4162 species and their ancestral nodes with 94% accuracy from data on just these 224 species
 68 (Figure 3, Table S13).

69 Given phylogenetic models could accurately predict missing values and ancestral
 70 states we proceeded with the following analyses: First, the ancestral states of preferred body
 71 temperature of females and embryos were estimated to quantify the thermal conflict between
 72 mothers and embryos at the origins of viviparity. Second, we tested the prediction that
 73 transitions to viviparity have been restricted to oviparous lineages with a low degree of
 74 maternal-embryo mismatch. This was assessed by calculating if viviparity has originated
 75 more frequently from oviparous ancestors where female *Pbt* overlaps with the thermal optima
 76 of embryos. Finally, we examined if females adjust their body temperature when gravid to
 77 reduce the mismatch between adult and embryo thermal optima (52 species), and if so,
 78 whether this behaviour evolved prior to the emergence of viviparity, or afterwards in
 79 response to such mismatch.

80 **Results and Discussion**

81 *Wide-spread mismatch in thermal optima of oviparous mothers and their embryos*

82 Across oviparous lizards and snakes, there were many species with a significant disparity
83 between adult female preferred body temperature (P_{bt}) and the temperature that maximizes
84 hatching success (T_{opt}) (Multi-response BPMM (MR-BPMM): posterior mode (PM) of
85 difference = 4.42, 95% Credible Interval (CI): -2.98, 11.53, $pMCMC = 0.12$. Figure 1 & 2;
86 Table S3). The female P_{bt} of oviparous species is on average 5 °C higher than T_{opt} (raw data
87 mean $\pm$ SD P_{bt} 103 species: 32.41 ± 4.15 °C; T_{opt} 47 species: 27.15 ± 2.12 °C). Incubation
88 experiments have shown that such a difference can significantly reduce hatching success,
89 illustrating that exposure to those thermal conditions throughout development via the
90 retention of offspring may jeopardise embryo survival (reviewed in¹⁶). P_{bt} and T_{opt} of
91 oviparous species were both estimated to evolve slowly (Figure 1; MR-BPMM: P_{bt}
92 phylogenetic signal (phylo H^2): 0.94, CI: 0.84, 0.99. T_{opt} phylo H^2 : 0.94, CI: 0.78, 0.99. Table
93 S3) and female P_{bt} and offspring T_{opt} only show weak evidence of coevolving (Figure 2;
94 phylogenetic correlation (MR-BPMM): PM = 0.69, CI: -0.22, 0.90, $pMCMC = 0.21$. Table
95 S4), suggesting that this mismatch may be difficult to resolve.

96

97 *Transitions to live birth occur despite mismatches between females and embryos*

98 We found evidence that preferred body temperature was lower in viviparous, compared with
99 oviparous, lineages (MR-BPMM: PM = -0.27, CI: -0.49, -0.01, $pMCMC = 0.03$; Figure 3;
100 Table S6). This is consistent with the hypothesis that viviparity is an adaptation to cool
101 climates^{2,3,17}. However, reconstructions of ancestral preferred body temperatures of adults
102 indicated that in the majority of lineages, lower preferred body temperatures evolved after,
103 not before, transitions to live bearing. This was evident from the lack of difference in P_{bt}
104 between ancestors of egg-laying and live-bearing lineages (MR-BPMM, PM = 0.07, CI: -

1.80, 1.20, $pMCMC = 0.41$; Table S7). Additionally, live-bearing lineages with oviparous ancestors showed higher P_{bt} than those with live-bearing ancestors (MR-BPMM, $PM = -1.46$, $CI: -2.79, 0.77$, $pMCMC = 0.18$; Table S7).

Ancestral reconstructions of P_{bt} and T_{opt} of oviparous species showed that transitions from egg laying to live bearing were no more likely to occur when adult and embryo thermal optima were similar (proportion of lineages with thermal mismatches: ancestors of live bearing species 69%, ancestors of egg laying species 78%. Table S5). Only eight of the estimated 26 evolutionary origins of live birth had adult and embryo thermal optima that overlapped, which was similar to the proportion of lineages where live bearing did not occur (Table S5). In the remaining 18 egg-laying ancestors of live-bearing species, the thermal optimum of embryos was significantly lower than the preferred body temperature of adults (there were no cases of T_{opt} being significantly higher than P_{bt} ; Table S5). In summary, the degree of similarity between adult P_{bt} and embryo T_{opt} does not predict transitions towards viviparity, and the lower P_{bt} observed for viviparous taxa appears to have evolved after transitions to live birth, not before.

Female behavioural plasticity resolves the constraints imposed by thermal physiology

Next, we tested the hypothesis that the thermal mismatch between females and embryos during the evolution of viviparity is resolved by females adjusting their body temperature when gravid to meet the thermal requirements of their embryos¹⁸. We collected data on the preferred body temperature of gravid (P_{bt-g}) and non-gravid (P_{bt-ng}) adult females from 52 species of lizards and snakes from published field and experimental studies (viviparous $n = 32$, oviparous $n = 20$. Table S1). Using these data, an effect size of female behavioural plasticity, Hedges' g was calculated (positive values = higher P_{bt} when gravid, negative values = lower P_{bt} when gravid).

Across those 52 species, there was a negative phylogenetic correlation between P_{bt} and the degree to which females reduced their body temperature when gravid (MR-BPMM: $PM = -0.38$, $CI: -0.71, 0.13$, $pMCMC = 0.08$. Figure 4; Table S8). Females with high P_{bt} have therefore evolved to behaviourally reduce their body temperature when gravid to a greater extent than females with low P_{bt} . This relationship was not restricted to viviparous lineages, as would have been expected if female behavioural plasticity is an adaptation that evolves in response to selection for embryo retention. Instead, comparable phylogenetic correlations between Hedges' g and P_{bt} were evident in both oviparous and viviparous taxa, showing that behavioural adjustment of temperature when gravid occurs to a similar extent in both egg-laying and live-bearing species (Egg laying: $PM = -0.83$, $CI: -0.98, -0.02$, $pMCMC = 0.05$. Live bearing: $PM = -0.90$, $CI: -0.99, -0.25$, $pMCMC = 0.02$. Table S9). Furthermore, when we reconstructed the values of Hedges' g (i.e., the effect of gravidity on body temperature) for ancestors of egg-laying and live-bearing species, we found that thermal mismatches between adults and embryos were resolved by females adjusting their body temperature when gravid (Figure 4A; MR-BPMM: Egg-laying Hedges' g $PM = -0.71$, $CI = -1.21, -0.30$, $pMCMC < 0.01$. Live bearing Hedges' g $PM = -0.74$, $CI = -1.34, -0.31$, $pMCMC < 0.01$. Table S10). As a result, Hedges' g did not differ between the ancestors of oviparous and viviparous species (Figure 4B; Table S9-S11), suggesting that behavioural plasticity was likely present prior to the emergence of live birth.

Our results illustrate that an ancient and evolutionarily conserved ability of egg laying females to adjust their body temperature during pregnancy provides a general resolution to the conflict over adult and embryo thermal optima that paves the way for the evolution of live bearing. The down-regulation of female body temperature in egg-laying species while gravid may appear surprising considering that most of these species lay their eggs within the early stages of development (commonly around the time of limb bud formation¹⁹). However, early

developmental stages, involving gastrulation, neurulation and organogenesis, are potentially even more sensitive to temperature stress than later stages, which are predominantly associated with growth^{8,10}. The temperature sensitivity of early-stage embryos therefore suggests that resolutions to mother-offspring thermal conflicts are required in both egg-laying and live-bearing species. If true, the key innovation of live birth may owe its evolutionary origin to mechanisms of temperature regulation put in place long before live birth emerged.

We suggest that this behavioural flexibility of female lizards and snakes enabled the gradual evolution of live birth by helping traverse potential fitness valleys associated with embryo retention. In many live-bearing lineages, temperature mismatches have subsequently been eliminated through the evolution of a lower preferred body temperature in females. However, behavioural flexibility is frequently maintained in viviparous lineages that have colonised cool climates. Such behavioural flexibility provides females with the means to upregulate their thermoregulation in order to maintain embryos at temperatures significantly warmer than the external environment^{2,5,6,20,21}.

In conclusion, female thermoregulatory behaviour is a key adaptation that overcomes the constraints imposed by thermal mismatches between mothers and embryos, and has facilitated both the evolution of live birth, and expansion of reptiles into a variety of environments.

Methods

Literature search and data collection

To investigate the relationship between maternal behavioural plasticity and embryo thermal sensitivity, we collected existing data on reproductive mode (oviparous versus viviparous), the female preferred body temperature (P_{bt}) (“ P_{bt} dataset”), the temperature at which hatching success was maximised (T_{opt}) (“ T_{opt} dataset”), and female body temperature when gravid (P_{bt-g}) and not gravid (P_{bt-ng}) (“Hedges’ g dataset”). Complete data are presented in Table S1. Data were collected for each of the variables from published literature. We conducted a literature search using ISI *Web of Science* (v.5.30) with search terms specific to each dataset.

The P_{bt} dataset provided preferred body temperatures (P_{bt}) of adult females measured at one point in time. We used the search terms ‘body temperature*’, along with one of the following: ‘squamata*’, ‘lizard*’, ‘snake*’ which yielded a total of 1075 papers. We only used data from studies where P_{bt} for females was stated explicitly, unless pooled male/female data stated no significant effect of sex. Data on females that were described to be gravid or data collected during the reproductive season were excluded. This provided a final dataset of female preferred body temperature for 163 species that was independent of the gravid and non-gravid measures used to calculate Hedges’ g (live bearing: $n = 61$ and egg laying: $n = 103$, note P_{bt} data for *Zootoca vivipara* was available for both reproductive modes and both were included in the analyses – see below).

The T_{opt} dataset quantified the temperature that maximises embryonic survival (measured as hatching success; T_{opt}) in 51 egg laying species (Figure S1). Hatching success data were taken from the Reptile Developmental Database²² and supplemented with additional studies using the same method described for the P_{bt} dataset (search terms: ‘temperature* AND incubat* AND hatch* OR surv*’, along with one of the following: ‘squamata*’, ‘lizard*’, ‘snake*’), yielding a total of 671 papers. We only included studies where three or more

constant temperature treatments were used under controlled laboratory conditions, resulting in 661 papers being rejected due to irrelevance or overlap with the Reptile Development Database. This resulted in a final T_{opt} dataset, consisting of 51 species, obtained from 81 studies. Given that T_{opt} had a strong phylogenetic signal (Phylogenetic Heritability (H^2) = 91.93%, 95% CI: 71.65 – 98.16%; Table S3) we fitted a Bayesian Phylogenetic Mixed Effects Model (BPMM) to hatching success data for all species jointly. Including phylogenetic information allowed for the T_{opt} of each species to be estimated with greater accuracy and precision given that the range and number of temperatures across species varied (range: 10-40 °C, mean number of temperatures per species $\pm$ SD: 7.62 ± 4.29). Compared to non-phylogenetic models, T_{opt} estimates produced from phylogenetic models (BPMM) showed smaller sampling error and avoided convergence problems in estimating model parameters. Importantly, this approach was not used to estimate T_{opt} data for species without data, only to better predict T_{opt} values for species for which there were data. The T_{opt} BPMM model was run for 1,100,000 iterations with a burn-in of 100,000 iterations and thinning rate of 500, leaving us with 2,000 samples from the posterior distribution. Autocorrelation was low (lag values < 0.1) and trace plots showed chains mixed well for all parameters. Our model included temperature as a fixed effect (estimating both a linear and quadratic slopes) and random slopes of temperature (linear and quadratic slopes) fitted at the phylogenetic level. From our BPMM we estimated T_{opt} , and its corresponding sampling variance, using the posterior distribution of fixed effects and best linear unbiased predictors (BLUPs) for the random slopes (linear and quadratic) for each species as follows:

$$T_{opt} = - \frac{(T_f + T_{sp})}{(2(T_f^2 + T_{sp}^2))}$$

Where T_f and T_f^2 are the posterior linear and quadratic fixed effect estimates for temperature and T_{sp} and T_{sp}^2 are the posterior BLUPs for a given species extracted from the phylogenetic

random slopes. Calculating T_{opt} using the posterior distribution of fixed and random effects meant that sampling error for a given species could be propagated to subsequent analyses (see below).

For the final “Hedges’ g ” dataset, we collected mean, standard deviation and sample sizes for female preferred body temperatures when gravid (P_{bt-g}) and non-gravid (P_{bt-ng}) (independent from the P_{bt} dataset) from the same study/population. Within the ‘title’, ‘abstract’ or ‘keywords’ we used search terms ‘body temperature* AND gravid* OR reproduct*’ along with one of the following: ‘squamata*’, ‘lizard*’, ‘snake*’ which yielded a total of 721 papers. An effect size of female adjustment of body temperature when gravid for each species was measured as the standardised mean differences (Hedges’ g) in preferred body temperatures between non-gravid and gravid females ($P_{bt-g} - P_{bt-ng}$), adjusting for small sample sizes²³.

We included both field and laboratory measures of female body temperature, comprising studies that directly compared P_{bt-g} and P_{bt-ng} . Only studies that provided both sample size and error around mean of preferred body temperature were included. Of the 721 papers 648 papers were excluded due to irrelevance or insufficient data. Combined, this yielded a total of 73 studies published up to July 2019 from which effect sizes were calculated for 52 species (live bearing: $n = 32$ and egg laying: $n = 20$). The majority of studies used artificial temperature gradients in the laboratory ($n = 37$) or measured preferred basking temperature in the field ($n = 36$). Laboratory studies generally measured body temperature in the same female during gestation (P_{bt-g}) and either before or after gestation (P_{bt-ng}) as repeated measures. In contrast, field studies often measured body temperature on a population during the reproductive season, comparing body temperatures in gravid and non-gravid females at a single time point. We examined the mean Hedges’ g between laboratory or field studies and

found there were no significant differences (PM (Oviparous) = -0.472, CI = -1.685, 0.557; PM (Viviparous) = 0.564, CI = -0.250, 1.313. Table S14. See Verification analyses below).

The final full dataset (Table S1) contained a total of 224 squamate species. Due to the inherent paucity of data, particularly for hatching success and body temperature in gravid females, there was little overlap of species between datasets – we found 39 species had data available for 2 datasets, while we were only able to obtain data for 4 species across all 3 datasets. Two species in our data are both oviparous and viviparous (*Saiphos equalis* and *Z. vivipara*). While for *S. equalis* all data were from an oviparous population, for *Z. vivipara* there were data for both oviparous and viviparous populations and we treated these different reproductive modes as being distinct in our dataset²⁴.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

Prior to running our main analyses, we estimated the mode of evolution that best explained how traits evolved using Phylogenetic Generalised Least Squares (PGLS). Brownian motion was the best fitting model for all traits (Table S2). We then used Bayesian Phylogenetic Mixed Effects Models with single (BPMM) and multiple response variables (MR-BPMM), which enabled us to overcome the challenge of missing data across species, to estimate phylogenetic correlations between traits and reconstruct ancestral states. The robustness of ancestral reconstructions was examined using stochastic character mapping (SCM; Table S12). All analyses were conducted in R version 4.0.1²⁵.

Assessing modes of evolution using Phylogenetic Generalised Least Squares (PGLS)

An assumption of many phylogenetic comparative methods is that traits evolve via a Brownian motion process²⁶. This assumption was assessed by estimating the mode of evolution that best describes the phylogenetic distribution of reproductive mode, *PBT* and

Topt using PGLS implemented with R packages ‘ape’ and ‘nlme’^{27,28}. This was done by comparing PGLS models with different correlation structures constructed from the phylogeny that corresponded to BM, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU: corMartins in ‘ape’) and Accelerated Decelerated (ACDC: corMartins in ‘ape’) modes of evolution. In OU models’ traits undergo a BM process but evolve towards a central tendency with a strength proportional to parameter α (α), and in accelerated/decelerated models of evolution (ACDC) traits diverge under a BM model, but rates of evolution accelerate or decelerate over time according to parameter g (Acceleration: $g < 1$. BM: $g = 1$. Deceleration $g > 1$). Estimating parameters, such as α and g , directly from the data can be unstable^{29,30}. Therefore, we estimated values of α (OU) and Bloomberg’s g (ACDC) by fixing α and g at 0.1 increments within the range of 0 to 10 and used AIC values to identify best-fit models. For BM models AIC values were taken from models with an optimised value of lambda rather than a strict BM model (lambda = 1). For all traits there was significant phylogenetic signature and BM best explained the way traits have evolved (Table S2: R code: Section 0).

Bayesian Phylogenetic Mixed Effects models (BPMM)

We implemented BPMMs in R with the *MCMCglmm* package³¹. All traits (T_{opt} , P_{bb} , $Hedges'$ g) were modelled with Gaussian error distributions apart from the probability of viviparity which was modelled using a binary error distribution with a logit link function. The sample sizes for each species varied across traits, which was accounted for in models by weighting each data point by their inverse sampling variances using the ‘mev’ term in *MCMCglmm*. Samples sizes were not available for reproductive mode as this is measured without error due to it being invariant within populations. Therefore, the mev term for reproductive mode was specified as 0.

For some species there were multiple estimates of *Hedges' g*, which was accounted for in two ways. In the main analyses (see specific analyses below for details) where multi-response models were used to examine relationships between traits, a single data point was included for each species. Therefore, a weighted mean for *Hedges' g* for each species was calculated. For the verification analyses of *Hedges' g* where other traits were not included, species was included as a random effect to account for multiple data points per species.

Phylogenetic relationships were modelled using a published phylogeny comprising over 4000 species of squamates³² that was pruned to the 224 species in our dataset using the *ape* package in *R*²⁷. All analyses were conducted on the trimmed tree (Figure 1), and ancestral states were then verified using all available reproductive mode data (4162 species) and the full tree – all results remained the same (See verification analyses. Table S13, Figure S3). The random effect *animal*, with a covariance matrix derived from the phylogenetic tree was included in all models³¹. We calculated the phylogenetic signature (equivalent to heritability, H^2 , in the terminology of *MCMCglmm*) for each trait as the variance explained by *animal* relative to total variance (animal and residual). In the case of binary variables (reproductive mode) the residual variance is not identifiable and we therefore used the intraclass coefficient of variation (ICC: phylogenetic variance / (phylogenetic variance + residual variance + $\pi^{2/3}$)*100) to examine phylogenetic signature³³. More traditional methods were also used to validate estimates of phylogenetic signal. Pagel's lambda (λ) from *pglm* models^{34,35} and Blomberg's K (*K*) in *phytools* using the function *phylosig*^{36,37}, which gave comparable estimates to BPMMs (see R code section 0).

Multi-response BPMMs (MR-BPMMs) can also be fitted with *MCMCglmm* that allow the phylogenetic and within-species (residual) correlations between traits to be estimated.

Correlations between traits (e.g., A & B) were calculated as:

$$\frac{cov(A, B)}{\sqrt{(var(A) \cdot var(B))}}$$

319

320 *Missing data across species*

321 BPMMs allow missing data in response variables to be predicted, which was
 322 particularly useful as values of traits were not available for all species ($T_{opt} = 51$ species; $P_{bt} =$
 323 163 species; Hedges' $g = 52$ species). The accuracy with which missing data is predicted is
 324 related to the phylogenetic signal in traits and the strength of phylogenetic correlations
 325 between traits¹⁵. All traits had high phylogenetic signal (phylogenetic heritability >80%)
 326 producing high correspondence between raw and predicted values (Figure S2; See also
 327 Verification analyses “2. *Checking the ability of BPMMs to predict missing data*”). As a
 328 result, our BPMMs enabled us to deal with the fact that not all traits have been measured in
 329 all species.

330

331 *Imputation for missing sampling variances*

332 The accuracy of measures of T_{opt} , P_{bt} , and Hedges' g varied across species due to study
 333 design and sample sizes which can be accounted for by weighting data points by their inverse
 334 sampling variance. However, missing values in sampling variances are not permitted in
 335 *MCMCglmm*. As data on the error and sample size was missing for T_{opt} , P_{bt} and Hedges' g it
 336 would not have been possible to account for sampling error in our analyses without
 337 drastically reducing the size of our dataset. Consequently, we used multiple imputation with
 338 predictive mean matching in the *mice* package in R to impute missing error and sample
 339 sizes³⁸. To incorporate uncertainty in imputations, 20 complete datasets were generated, and
 340 all analyses were conducted by sampling across these datasets. Each model sampled through
 341 the 20 datasets 75 times (1500 sampling events) for 2000 iterations with only the last iteration
 342 being saved. Estimates from the last iteration of each sampling event i were used as the

starting parameter values for the next $i + 1$. This led to a total posterior sample of 1500 iterations, the first 500 iterations were discarded as a burn-in and the remaining 1000 (50 per dataset) were used to estimate parameters. Pooling of posterior distributions from model parameters from across each of the $n = 20$ datasets enabled imputation uncertainty in sampling variances to be accounted for.

Model convergence, prior settings and characterisation of posterior distributions

Non-informative uniform priors were used for fixed effects and inverse-Wishart priors for random effects ($V = 1$, $\nu = 0.002$; ³¹) apart from models with binary response variables (e.g. reproductive mode). For binary variables the residual variance was fixed to 1 and we specified a fixed effect prior that is relatively flat on the logit scale ($\mu = 0$, $V = \text{residual variance} \times \pi^{2/3}$) and parameter-expanded random effect priors ($V = 1$, $\nu = 0.002$, $\alpha.\mu=0$, $\alpha.V = 1000$). To examine model convergence we ran three independent MCMC chains and examined autocorrelation, which was low (lag values < 0.1), trace plots, which showed chains mixed well, and Gelman and Rubin's convergence diagnostic that models converged (potential scale reduction factors were all below 1.1: R function `gelman.diag` ³⁹). The convergence of models of the probability of viviparity (binary response variable) occurred more slowly and therefore were run for longer (burn-in = 1000000, iterations = 6000000, thinning = 5000). All other models used the run settings specified in the data imputation section. Posterior distributions of all parameters were characterised using modes and 95% credible intervals (CIs) throughout. Effects were regarded as significant where CIs did not span 0. *pMCMC* (number of iterations above or below 0 / total number of iterations) are also presented to facilitate general interpretation.

Specific analyses

368 We conducted six main analyses: **1)** Phylogenetic signature in P_{bt} and T_{opt} was estimated
369 using a MR-BPMM of P_{bt} and T_{opt} with heterogenous phylogenetic and residual covariance
370 matrices fitted as random effects (R code model M1.1. Table S3). **2)** The phylogenetic
371 correlation between P_{bt} and T_{opt} was estimated using a MR-BPMM with unstructured
372 phylogenetic and residual covariance matrices fitted as random effects (R code model M1.4.
373 Table S4). **3)** To quantify the number of ancestral egg-laying with and without mismatched
374 adult female and embryo thermal optima a two-step approach was used. The ancestral states
375 of reproductive mode were first estimated for each node in the phylogenetic tree using a
376 BPMM with the probability of live bearing as the response variable (R code model M3.0).
377 Next a MR-BPPM with P_{bt} , T_{opt} and Hedges' g as response variables was used (R code model
378 M3.1) to reconstruct ancestral states for each trait. From this model mismatches in thermal
379 optima (CI of $P_{bt} - T_{opt}$ not overlapping 0) and levels of female behavioural plasticity were
380 estimated (Table S5). Separate models were used for reproductive mode and P_{bt} , T_{opt} and
381 Hedges' g to ensure that ancestral estimates were not influenced by the strong correlation
382 between live bearing and P_{bt} in extant species. **4)** The phylogenetic correlation between the
383 probability of live bearing and P_{bt} was estimated using a MR-BPMM with unstructured
384 phylogenetic and residual covariance matrices fitted as random effects (R code model M2.1.
385 Table S6). **5)** To examine if female plasticity differed between the ancestors of oviparous and
386 viviparous lineages with and without mismatched mother-offspring thermal optima, we
387 examined estimates of Hedges' g in relation to predicted states of reproductive mode (R code
388 model M3.1. Table S7 & S10-S11). **6)** The phylogenetic correlation between P_{bt} and Hedges'
389 g was estimated across all species (R code model M3.1. Table S8), as well as for egg-laying
390 and live-bearing species separately using an MR-BPMM with separate unstructured
391 phylogenetic and residual covariance matrices for each reproductive mode (R code model
392 M4.1. Table S9).

393

394 *Verification analyses*

395

396 *1. Checking ancestral reconstructions with Stochastic character mapping (SCM)*

397 The robustness of ancestral state reconstructions of reproductive mode was examined by
 398 assessing the correspondence between the estimates from MCMCglmm with those obtained
 399 from SCM. The SCM approach calculates the conditional likelihood that each ancestral node
 400 is oviparous or viviparous based on an estimated transition rate matrix (Q) between
 401 reproductive modes and the length of the branch associated with that node. Based on these
 402 conditional likelihoods, ancestral states at each node are stochastically simulated and used in
 403 combination with observations at the tips to reconstruct a character history along each
 404 branch. Each character history is simulated using a continuous-time Markov model where
 405 changes between states and the time spent in each state is modelled as a Poisson process (see
 406 ⁴⁰ for details). We found extremely good correspondence between estimates of ancestral
 407 states obtained from MCMCglmm models and SCM showing inferences of ancestral states
 408 were robust to methodological details (Table S12).

409

410 *2. Checking the ability of BPMMs to predict missing data*

411 We examined how well models predicted missing values by running a BPMM of
 412 reproductive mode on the full tree (4162 species) using only phenotypic data from the 224
 413 species included in the main analyses (R code model M5.3: Table S13). The model settings
 414 were identical to those described above for modelling reproductive mode. The accuracy of
 415 the model predictions for tips in the tree were checked against raw data⁴¹, and model
 416 predictions for tree nodes were checked against reconstructions of ancestral states obtained
 417 from a BPMM using the full tree and full dataset (R code model M5.2. Table S13).

418

419 *3. Checking for differences in Hedges' g between laboratory and field studies*

420 Differences in the ability of laboratory versus field studies to estimate plasticity in female

421 body temperature when gravid was examined using a BPMM of Hedges' g with study type as

422 a fixed effect (R code model M5.4. Table S14).

423 **Data and code availability**

424 All data and code are publicly available at: <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/JT28V>

425

426 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, N.F., C.K.C. and T.U.; Methodology, D.W.A.N.

427 and C.K.C.; Formal analysis, A.K.P., D.W.A.N. and C.K.C; Investigation, A.K.P.,

428 D.W.A.N., G.M.W. and T.U.; Data curation, A.K.P., D.W.A.N., C.K.C. and T.U.; Writing –

429 original draft, A.K.P, N.F., C.K.C and T.U.; Writing – Reviewing & Editing, A.K.P., N.F.,

430 D.W.A.N., G.M.W., C.K.C. and T.U.; Visualization, A.K.P., N.F., D.W.A.N. and C.K.C.;

431 Supervision, C.K.C. and T.U.; Funding acquisition, A.K.P. and T.U.

432

433 **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Doody, J. S. & Moore, J. A. Conceptual model for thermal limits on the distribution of reptiles. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* **5**, 283–289 (2010).
2. Shine, R. The evolution of viviparity in reptiles: An ecological analysis. in *Biology of the Reptilia* vol. 15 605–694 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 1985).
3. Lambert, S. M. & Wiens, J. J. Evolution of viviparity: a phylogenetic test of the cold-climate hypothesis in Phrynosomatid lizards. *Evolution* **67**, 2614–2630 (2013).
4. Shine, R. A new hypothesis for the evolution of viviparity in reptiles. *The American Naturalist* **145**, 809–823 (1995).
5. Warner, D. A. & Shine, R. Fitness of juvenile lizards depends on seasonal timing of hatching, not offspring body size. *Oecologia* **154**, 65–73 (2007).
6. Le Henanff, M., Meylan, S. & Lourdaïs, O. The sooner the better: reproductive phenology drives ontogenetic trajectories in a temperate squamate (*Podarcis muralis*). *Biol J Linn Soc* **108**, 384–395 (2013).
7. Ma, L., Buckley, L. B., Huey, R. B. & Du, W.-G. A global test of the cold-climate hypothesis for the evolution of viviparity of squamate reptiles. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **27**, 679–689 (2018).
8. Beuchat, C. A. Temperature effects during gestation in a viviparous lizard. *Journal of Thermal Biology* **13**, 135–142 (1988).
9. Monasterio, C., Shoo, L. P., Salvador, A., Iraeta, P. & Díaz, J. A. High temperature constrains reproductive success in a temperate lizard: implications for distribution range limits and the impacts of climate change. *Journal of Zoology* **291**, 136–145 (2013).
10. Sanger, T. J., Kyrkos, J., Lachance, D. J., Czesny, B. & Stroud, J. T. The effects of thermal stress on the early development of the lizard *Anolis sagrei*. *Journal of*

- 458 *Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology* **329**, 244–251
459 (2018).
- 460 11. Du, W.-G., Shine, R., Ma, L. & Sun, B.-J. Adaptive responses of the embryos of birds
461 and reptiles to spatial and temporal variations in nest temperatures. *Proceedings of the*
462 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **286**, 20192078 (2019).
- 463 12. Andrews, R. M., Mathies, T. & Warner, D. A. Effect of Incubation Temperature on
464 Morphology, Growth, and Survival of Juvenile *Sceloporus undulatus*. *Herpetological*
465 *Monographs* **14**, 420–431 (2000).
- 466 13. Blackburn, D. G. Squamate Reptiles as Model Organisms for the Evolution of Viviparity.
467 *Herpetological Monographs* **20**, 131–146 (2006).
- 468 14. Blackburn, D. G. Evolution of viviparity in squamate reptiles: Reversibility reconsidered.
469 *J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol* **324**, 473–486 (2015).
- 470 15. Molina-Venegas, R. *et al.* Assessing among-lineage variability in phylogenetic
471 imputation of functional trait datasets. *Ecography* **41**, 1740–1749 (2018).
- 472 16. Noble, D. W. A., Stenhouse, V. & Schwanz, L. E. Developmental temperatures and
473 phenotypic plasticity in reptiles: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biological*
474 *Reviews* **93**, 72–97 (2018).
- 475 17. Watson, C. M., Makowsky, R. & Bagley, J. C. Reproductive mode evolution in lizards
476 revisited: updated analyses examining geographic, climatic and phylogenetic effects
477 support the cold-climate hypothesis. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **27**, 2767–2780
478 (2014).
- 479 18. Beuchat, C. A. Reproductive Influences on the Thermoregulatory Behavior of a Live-
480 Bearing Lizard. *Copeia* **1986**, 971–979 (1986).
- 481 19. Andrews, R. M. & Mathies, T. Natural History of Reptilian Development: Constraints on
482 the Evolution of Viviparity. *BioScience* **50**, 227–238 (2000).

- 483 20. Andrews, R. M. Evolution of viviparity in squamate reptiles (*Sceloporus* spp.): a variant
484 of the cold-climate model. *Journal of Zoology* **250**, 243–253 (2000).
- 485 21. Uller, T. & Olsson, M. Offspring size and timing of hatching determine survival and
486 reproductive output in a lizard. *Oecologia* **162**, 663–671 (2010).
- 487 22. Noble, D. W. A. *et al.* A comprehensive database of thermal developmental plasticity in
488 reptiles. *Sci Data* **5**, 1–7 (2018).
- 489 23. Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. & Rothstein, H. R. Effect Sizes Based on
490 Means. in *Introduction to Meta-Analysis* 21–32 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2009).
491 doi:10.1002/9780470743386.ch4.
- 492 24. Recknagel, H., Kamenos, N. A. & Elmer, K. R. Common lizards break Dollo’s law of
493 irreversibility: Genome-wide phylogenomics support a single origin of viviparity and re-
494 evolution of oviparity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **127**, 579–588 (2018).
- 495 25. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. *R Foundation*
496 *for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.* (2020).
- 497 26. *Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary*
498 *Biology: Concepts and Practice.* (Springer-Verlag, 2014). doi:10.1007/978-3-662-43550-
499 2.
- 500 27. Paradis, E. & Schliep, K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
501 evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 526–528 (2019).
- 502 28. Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. & Team, R. C. nlme: Linear and nonlinear
503 mixed effects models. *R package version 3*, 111 (2013).
- 504 29. Paradis, E. *Analysis of Phylogenetics and Evolution with R.* (Springer-Verlag, 2012).
505 doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-1743-9.
- 506 30. Hansen, T. F. Stabilizing selection and the comparative analysis of adaptation. *Evolution*
507 **51**, 1341–1351 (1997).

31. Hadfield, J. D. MCMC Methods for Multi-Response Generalized Linear Mixed Models:
The MCMCglmm R Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* **33**, 1–22 (2010).
32. Zheng, Y. & Wiens, J. J. Combining phylogenomic and supermatrix approaches, and a
time-calibrated phylogeny for squamate reptiles (lizards and snakes) based on 52 genes
and 4162 species. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **94**, 537–547 (2016).
33. Nakagawa, S., Johnson, P. C. D. & Schielzeth, H. The coefficient of determination R²
and intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models
revisited and expanded. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* **14**, 20170213 (2017).
34. Orme, D. *et al.* CAPER: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R.
Methods in Ecology and Evolution **3**, 145–151 (2013).
35. Freckleton, R. P., Harvey, P. H. & Pagel, M. Phylogenetic analysis and comparative data:
a test and review of evidence. *Am. Nat.* **160**, 712–726 (2002).
36. Revell, L. J. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 217–223 (2012).
37. Blomberg, S. P., Garland, T. & Ives, A. R. Testing for Phylogenetic Signal in
Comparative Data: Behavioral Traits Are More Labile. *Evolution* **57**, 717–745 (2003).
38. Buuren, S. van & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained
Equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* **45**, 1–67 (2011).
39. Brooks, S. P. & Gelman, A. General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative
simulations. *Journal of computational and graphical statistics* **7**, 434–455 (1998).
40. Bollback, J. P. SIMMAP: Stochastic character mapping of discrete traits on phylogenies.
BMC Bioinformatics **7**, 88 (2006).
41. Halliwell, B., Uller, T., Holland, B. R. & While, G. M. Live bearing promotes the
evolution of sociality in reptiles. *Nature Communications* **8**, 2030 (2017).

534 **Figures**

535
536 **Figure 1. Variation in reproductive mode, preferred body temperature and plasticity in**
537 **female thermo-regulatory behaviour across 224 species of squamate reptile (lizards and**
538 **snakes). Tips and branches are coloured according to reproductive modes (red = live**
539 **bearing/viviparous, grey = egg laying/oviparous; branch colours represent predicted ancestral**
540 **values; Table S10). The size of white circles corresponds to the preferred body temperature**
541 **of female squamates (P_{bt} dataset, 163 species), the size of grey circles to the optimal**
542 **temperature for embryo survival in oviparous species (T_{opt} dataset, 51 species), and the size**
543 **of the black circles to the discrepancy between preferred body temperature in gravid versus**
544 **non-gravid females (Hedges' g dataset; absolute values, 52 species).**

Figure 2. Mismatches in the thermal optima of mothers and embryos across 147

oviparous species of lizards and snakes. The relationship between female preferred body

temperature (P_{bt}) and the temperature that maximizes hatching success of embryos (T_{opt})

predicted by a multi-response Bayesian Phylogenetic Mixed Model across egg laying species

(grey data points, regression lines $\pm$ 95% confidence intervals are plotted). Raw data for 12

species with both P_{bt} and T_{opt} data shown by black data points. Despite high phylogenetic

signature in P_{bt} and T_{opt} , there was only weak evidence for co-evolution between the two

traits (Table S4). Deviations from the dotted line (1:1 relationship) indicate the magnitude of

the mismatch between adult and embryo thermal optima. Corresponding measurements of

T_{opt} and P_{bt} were not available for all oviparous species (total $n_{oviparous} = 147$, $n_{P_{bt}} = 103$; $n_{T_{opt}}$

$= 47$; $n_{P_{bt}} \& n_{T_{opt}} = 10$), hence model predictions rather than raw data are shown (for plot of

raw data as well as correspondence between predicted and raw data values see Figure S2).

Figure 3. Probability of being a live-bearing species in relation to female non-gravid preferred body temperature (P_{bt}). The line and shading represent a logistic regression curve $\pm$ 95% confidence interval. P_{bt} was obtained for 103 oviparous and 61 viviparous species.

564

565

566 **Figure 4. Female behavioural plasticity resolves the constraints imposed by thermal**
 567 **physiology, such that transitions to live-bearing (viviparity) occur despite mismatches**
 568 **between females and embryos.** (A) Adjustment of body temperature in gravid females in
 569 relation to their non-gravid preferred body temperature (P_{bt}) across 52 extant oviparous and
 570 viviparous lizards and snakes (Hedges' g ; $P_{bt-g} - P_{bt-ng}$). Regression lines $\pm$ 95% credible
 571 intervals are plotted. High values of Hedges' g signify an increase in body temperature when
 572 gravid while low values imply a decrease. (B) The adjustment of body temperature by
 573 females in lineages that remained egg laying (top panel) and lineages that evolved live
 574 bearing (bottom panel) according to the presence or absence of a thermal mismatch between
 575 females and their offspring (inferred from Hedges' g data shown in panel A).