

1 **Estimating effects of parents' cognitive and non-cognitive** 2 **skills on offspring education using polygenic scores**

3 Perline A. Demange^{1,2,3*}, Jouke Jan Hottenga¹, Abdel Abdellaoui⁴, Espen Moen Eilertsen¹⁰,
4 Margherita Malanchini^{5,6}, Benjamin W. Domingue^{7,8}, Eveline L. de Zeeuw^{1,3}, Kaili Rimfeld⁶,
5 Thalia C. Eley^{6,9}, Dorret I. Boomsma¹, Elsje van Bergen^{1,3}, Gerome Breen^{6,9}, Michel G. Nivard¹,
6 Rosa Cheesman^{10,6*}

7 ¹Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

8 ²Amsterdam Public Health Research Institute, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The
9 Netherlands

10 ³Research Institute LEARN!, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

11 ⁴Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

12 ⁵Department of Biological and Experimental Psychology, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences,
13 Queen Mary University of London, UK

14 ⁶Social, Genetic & Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology &
15 Neuroscience, King's College London, UK

16 ⁷Graduate School of Education, Stanford University, USA

17 ⁸Center for Population Health Sciences, Stanford University, USA

18 ⁹NIHR Maudsley Biomedical Research Centre; South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, UK

19 ¹⁰PROMENTA Research Center, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Norway

20 * corresponding authors

21 Contact: r.c.cheesman@psykologi.uio.no; p.a.d.demange@vu.nl

22 **Abstract**

23 Understanding how parents' cognitive and non-cognitive skills influence offspring education is
24 vital for educational, family and economic policy. We use genetics (GWAS-by-subtraction) to
25 assess a latent, broad non-cognitive skills dimension. To index parental effects controlling for
26 genetic transmission, we estimate indirect parental genetic effects of polygenic scores on
27 childhood and adulthood educational outcomes, using siblings (N=47,459), adoptees (N=6,407),
28 and parent-offspring trios (N=2,534) in three UK and Dutch cohorts. We find that parental
29 cognitive and non-cognitive skills affect offspring education through their environment: on
30 average across cohorts and designs, indirect genetic effects explain 36-40% of population
31 polygenic score associations. However, indirect genetic effects are lower for achievement in the
32 Dutch cohort, and for the adoption design. We identify causes of higher sibling- and trio-based
33 estimates: prenatal indirect genetic effects, population stratification, and assortative mating. Our
34 phenotype-agnostic, genetically sensitive approach has established overall environmental effects
35 of parents' skills, facilitating future mechanistic work.

36 **Introduction**

37 Parents and children tend to have similar educational outcomes. Given the ties between
38 education, social mobility and health^{1,2}, understanding the mechanisms underlying the
39 intergenerational transmission of education could inform efforts to alleviate inequalities. Many
40 studies have investigated how much certain parental characteristics influence offspring
41 education, but relatively few have considered non-cognitive skills. Whereas cognitive skills
42 relate to learning and problem solving, non-cognitive skills are ‘socio-emotional’. Given the
43 growing recognition of the importance of individuals’ non-cognitive skills for their educational
44 outcomes³, it follows that parents’ non-cognitive skills might also matter.

45 Parents’ non-cognitive skills appear to be less salient for children’s education than parents’
46 cognitive skills. In one study, sons’ standardised test scores at age 16 were more strongly
47 associated with fathers’ cognitive than non-cognitive skills (0.47 and 0.09, respectively)⁴.
48 Measures of parents’ non-cognitive skills also account for less of the intergenerational
49 transmission of socioeconomic status than cognitive skills (10 vs 20%, respectively)⁵, and less of
50 the socioeconomic gap in children’s achievement (8 vs 16%)⁶. Indicators of non-cognitive skills
51 in these studies included self-esteem, locus of control⁵, attitudes and social skills⁶, and
52 perseverance and extraversion⁴.

53 Two key limitations weaken this evidence based on the relative effects of parents’ cognitive and
54 non-cognitive skills on offspring education: poor phenotypic assessments of parents’ non-
55 cognitive skills, and genetic confounding.

56 First, regarding assessment, whereas cognitive skills can be directly measured by tests of
57 domain-specific or general cognitive performance, non-cognitive skills are more challenging
58 with measures often inconsistent, incomplete or unreliable^{7,8}. There is little agreement on what
59 non-cognitive skills to measure. Some researchers focus on personality, whereas others include
60 self-control, self-esteem, motivation and interests. An alternative, broader definition of non-
61 cognitive skills is all traits positively affecting educational success beyond cognitive skills⁹.
62 Important non-cognitive characteristics may have been neglected – for instance, in the study by
63 Grönqvist et al. (2017) direct skill measures for mothers, and paternal measures of motivation, a
64 key education-linked trait, were unavailable. Importantly, studies identifying partial effects of
65 specific parental cognitive and non-cognitive skills are less informative about the overall
66 influences of these domains. More severe measurement error could also mean that effects of
67 parents’ non-cognitive relative to cognitive skills have been underestimated.

68 Genetic methods offer a new approach to defining and estimating the importance of domains of
69 parental skills for offspring education. Both cognitive and non-cognitive skills (as far as we
70 know what they are) are substantially genetically influenced, with twin study heritability
71 estimates of 40-70%^{10,11}. Non-cognitive skills assessed in these studies included grit, intellectual
72 curiosity, the Big Five personality traits, and subject-specific enjoyment and ability. A new
73 method – ‘GWAS-by-subtraction’ – makes it possible to ‘subtract’ cognitive ability-related
74 genetic variation from educational attainment genetic variation and assess the remaining latent
75 genetic non-cognitive construct¹². These non-cognitive aspects of educational attainment are
76 independent of cognitive skills, and associated with higher socioeconomic attainment, more open
77 and conscientious personality, and some psychiatric disorders (e.g., higher risk for schizophrenia,
78 lower risk for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder). A GWAS-by-subtraction-derived measure

79 of non-cognitive skills captures a broader construct that is not reliant upon measurement of
80 specific traits. This method opens up the possibility of assessing the overall effect of all parent
81 phenotypes that are influenced by common genetic variants linked to educational attainment,
82 independent of cognitive skills. This could include parental phenotypes not traditionally classed
83 as ‘non-cognitive’ or ‘skills’, such as mental health. This broad, phenotype-agnostic approach is
84 a necessary first step towards characterizing pathways from parents’ skills to offspring
85 educational outcomes. After establishing overall effects, subsequent studies can use phenotypic
86 measures of parental non-cognitive skills to find specific mediating mechanisms.

87 Second, regarding genetic confounding, existing research relies on designs that cannot
88 distinguish social (i.e., environmental) from genetic transmission. None of the associations
89 between parental skills and offspring education cited above were estimated using genetically
90 sensitive designs. This is problematic, because from just parent-offspring correlations one cannot
91 conclude that parents’ skills shape offspring education, for instance by providing resources,
92 experiences and support. Ignoring any shared genetic influences on parents’ skills and child
93 educational outcomes confounds estimation of the effects of parental phenotypes on offspring
94 outcome¹³. To establish the extent that parents’ (non-)cognitive skills influence child educational
95 outcomes socially, it is vital to control for inherited genetic effects.

96 Genetic study designs can isolate environmental effects of parental skills on offspring education,
97 controlling for genetic transmission. Several designs estimate a genetic effect of the child’s
98 genotype on the child phenotype (direct genetic effect), and an environmentally mediated effect
99 of the parental genotype on the child's phenotype (parental indirect genetic effect). For example,
100 polygenic scores (individual-level indices of trait-specific genetic endowment; PGS) for

101 educational attainment based on parents' genotypes that were not transmitted to offspring, are
102 associated with offspring attainment¹⁴⁻¹⁶. Non-transmitted variants affect offspring attainment
103 indirectly via the environment shaped by parents that influences the development of their
104 children. Complementary evidence of indirect effects of parents' education-linked genetics on
105 offspring education has also accumulated from sibling and adoption designs^{14,15,17,18}. It is not
106 known whether parental indirect genetic effects on offspring education occur through cognitive
107 or non-cognitive pathways (or both), because studies have not parsed out the contributions of
108 sub-components of the educational attainment PGS.

109 Importantly, we directly compare estimates of parental indirect genetic effects obtained from
110 different designs. Estimation of genetic associations may involve numerous biases¹⁹. Sibling,
111 adoption and non-transmitted allele designs have different assumptions and subtle differences in
112 biases and components affecting the estimated indirect genetic effect. As shown by our data
113 simulations (see Supplementary Note and GitHub), indirect genetic effect estimates from the
114 sibling and non-transmitted allele designs are more strongly biased by assortative mating and
115 population stratification than the adoption design. Estimates obtained from the adoption design
116 unfortunately do not capture prenatal parental environmental effects on child education. The
117 sibling design may estimate parental indirect genetic effects with more bias from sibling genetic
118 effects. Triangulation across designs and sensitivity analyses can help detect possible biases and
119 quantify parental indirect genetic effects and other environmental effects.

120 In the current study (pre-registration: <https://osf.io/mk938/>), we use a novel approach to estimate
121 the social effects of parents' cognitive and non-cognitive skills on offspring education. We
122 deploy GWAS-by-subtraction to estimate individuals' genetic endowments (PGS) for cognitive

123 and non-cognitive skills, and test how much these operate environmentally via parental
124 influences on offspring educational outcomes. We provide a multi-cohort comparison of parental
125 indirect genetic effects in three cohorts of genotyped families in two countries with different
126 educational systems (UK Biobank, UK Twins Early Development Study, Netherlands Twin
127 Register). Each cohort includes multiple achievement outcome measures (i.e., standardised test
128 results and teacher-reported grades in childhood and adolescence) and attainment (i.e., years of
129 completed education reported in adulthood). We triangulate across three complementary study
130 designs for estimating parental indirect genetic effects and assess the presence of components
131 and biases.

132 **Results**

133 **GWAS-by-subtraction results**

134 We identified the genetic components of cognitive and non-cognitive skills using Genomic SEM,
135 following Demange et al. 2020, in samples that excluded participants used for polygenic score
136 analyses. Educational attainment and cognitive performance meta-analytic summary statistics
137 (see Methods) were regressed on two independent latent variables, Cog and NonCog (see
138 Supplementary Figure 1). These two latent factors were then regressed on 1,071,804 HapMap3
139 SNPs in a genome wide association (GWA) design. The LD score regression-based SNP
140 heritabilities of Cog and NonCog were 0.18 (SE=0.01) and 0.05 (SE=0.00), respectively. More
141 information on the GWAS is presented in Supplementary Table 1.


142 **Descriptive statistics**

143 SNP associations with the Cog and NonCog latent variables provided the weights to create
144 individual-level polygenic scores in 3 cohorts with family data and educational achievement
145 and/or attainment outcomes. Sample sizes for individuals with polygenic score and educational
146 outcome data were: 39,500 UK Biobank siblings, 6,409 UK Biobank adoptees, up to 4,796 DZ
147 twins in the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS), up to 3,163 twins and siblings in the
148 Netherlands Twin Register (NTR), and up to 2,534 NTR individuals with both parents
149 genotyped. Full phenotypic descriptive statistics are available in Supplementary Table 2.

150 **Overview of the three designs for estimating direct and indirect polygenic** 151 **score effects**

152 To estimate direct offspring-led and indirect parent-led effects of polygenic scores for cognitive
153 and non-cognitive skills on educational outcomes, we considered three family-based genomic
154 designs. The designs are illustrated in Figure 1. All models jointly included Cog and NonCog
155 PGS. Note that population effects are equivalent to PGS effects estimated in standard population
156 analyses that do not use within-family data. In contrast, within-family designs exploit the
157 principles of Mendelian segregation or the natural experiment of adoption to separate direct and
158 indirect/social components of the overall population PGS effect. Importantly, a direct genetic
159 effect is only direct in the sense that it does not originate from another individual's genotype.
160 Direct effects are also not necessarily 'purely' genetic, but lead to educational outcomes via
161 intermediate pathways, and are expressed in the context of environments.

162



163

164 **Figure 1.** Analytical designs to estimate direct and parental indirect genetic effects. Note:
 165 square = observed variable, circle = unobserved / latent variable; β = estimated effect of
 166 polygenic score (PGS) on outcome; the population effect of a PGS captures both direct and
 167 indirect genetic effects; direct genetic effects (controlling for indirect genetic effects) are
 168 represented with solid arrows.

169

170 First, the sibling design estimates indirect genetic effects by comparing population-level and
 171 within-family (i.e., within-sibling or within-DZ twin) polygenic score associations (equation
 172 (1))¹⁷. The direct effect of a polygenic score is estimated based on genetic differences between
 173 siblings, which are due to random segregations of parental genetic material, independent of
 174 shared family effects (including parental indirect genetic effects). Specifically, the direct effect is
 175 estimated using a variable representing individuals' (i) polygenic scores minus the average

176 polygenic score for their family (j): the within-family beta (β_{Within} in equation (1)). The
177 population effect of a polygenic score is estimated in a separate model, simply regressing the
178 outcome variable on polygenic score differences between individuals from different families
179 (equation (2)). The indirect genetic effect is obtained by subtracting the within-family PGS effect
180 estimate from the population effect estimate.

$$\begin{aligned} EA_{ij} = & \alpha_0 + \beta_{\text{WithinCog}} \left(\text{PGS}(\text{Cog})_{ij} - \overline{\text{PGS}(\text{Cog})_j} \right) \\ & + \beta_{\text{BetweenCog}} \left(\overline{\text{PGS}(\text{Cog})_j} \right) \\ & + \beta_{\text{WithinNonCog}} \left(\text{PGS}(\text{NonCog})_{ij} - \overline{\text{PGS}(\text{NonCog})_j} \right) \\ & + \beta_{\text{BetweenNonCog}} \left(\overline{\text{PGS}(\text{NonCog})_j} \right) + \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{sex} * \text{age} \\ & + 10\text{PCs} + \text{genotyping platform} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

181

$$\begin{aligned} EA_{ij} = & \alpha_0 + \beta_{\text{Cog}} \left(\text{PGS}(\text{Cog})_{ij} \right) + \beta_{\text{NonCog}} \left(\text{PGS}(\text{NonCog})_{ij} \right) + \text{sex} + \text{age} \\ & + \text{sex} * \text{age} + 10\text{PCs} + \text{genotyping platform} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

182 Note: EA is the educational outcome, PGS is the polygenic score (for Cog PGS(Cog) and
183 NonCog PGS(NonCog)). $\overline{\text{PGS}}$ refers to the average polygenic score in the family j. i refers to the
184 individual sibling. α_0 refers to the intercept, PCs are principal components to capture genetic
185 ancestry. See Supplementary Note for a comparison of different versions of this sibling design,
186 using data simulation.

187 Second, indirect genetic effects can be estimated by comparing polygenic score associations
188 estimated in a sample of adoptees against those estimated for individuals who were reared by

189 their biological parents¹⁸. Therefore, we estimate the regression model shown in equation (2)
190 separately for adoptees and for non-adopted individuals.

191 The population effect is estimated as the polygenic score effect on phenotypic variation among
192 non-adopted individuals (i.e., a combination of direct and indirect genetic mechanisms). The
193 direct genetic effect is the effect of the polygenic score among adoptees. Adoptees do not share
194 genes by descent with their adoptive parents, so we expect their polygenic scores to be
195 uncorrelated with the genotypes of their adoptive parents. Therefore, the polygenic score effect
196 in adoptees cannot be inflated by environmentally mediated parental indirect genetic effects. In
197 this design, the indirect genetic effect is estimated by subtracting this direct PGS effect from the
198 population effect estimated in the non-adopted group. When taking the difference, it is important
199 that the groups are similar in characteristics other than genetic relatedness to their parents. We
200 did not find strong evidence for differences in several demographic and early-life characteristics
201 of adoptees and non-adopted individuals in the UK Biobank (see Supplementary Table 11,
202 Supplementary Note, and Supplementary Figure 2).

203 Third, indirect genetic effects can be estimated, and disentangled from direct genetic effects,
204 using information on parental genetic variation that was not transmitted to offspring^{14,15}
205 (equation (3)).

$$\begin{aligned} EA = & \alpha_0 + \beta_{T_{Cog}}(PGS(Cog)_T) + \beta_{T_{NonCog}}(PGS(NonCog)_T) \\ & + \beta_{NT_{Cog}}(PGS(Cog)_{NT}) + \beta_{NT_{NonCog}}(PGS(NonCog)_{NT}) \quad (3) \\ & + \text{sex} + \text{age} + \text{sex} * \text{age} + 10PCs + \text{genotyping platform} \end{aligned}$$

206 The population effect is estimated from a polygenic score based on transmitted variants (β_T).
207 Transmitted genetic variants are present in an offspring and in at least one of their parents, and so
208 may influence offspring education via both direct and indirect mechanisms. The parental indirect
209 genetic effect is estimated as the effect of a polygenic score based on parental variants that were
210 not transmitted to offspring (β_{NT}). Non-transmitted variants can only take effect on offspring
211 education through the environment. The direct genetic effect is estimated by partialling out the
212 effect of the non-transmitted polygenic score from that of the transmitted polygenic score ($\beta_T -$
213 β_{NT}). Maternal and paternal scores are averaged in order to create overall parental non-
214 transmitted polygenic scores.

215 **Parents' heritable cognitive and non-cognitive skills both influence offspring**
216 **education indirectly via the environment.**

217 In the overall meta-analysis across cohorts, designs and outcomes, the Cog PGS showed a
218 slightly stronger association with educational outcomes than the NonCog PGS (indicated by the
219 total height of the bars in Figure 2a; population $\beta_{NonCog}=0.22$, $SE=0.01$; population $\beta_{Cog}=0.25$,
220 $SE=0.01$). We investigated environmental effects of parents' non-cognitive and cognitive skills
221 on offspring education by estimating the contribution of parental indirect genetic effects to the
222 population effects of NonCog and Cog PGS. Figure 2a shows that, for both NonCog and Cog
223 PGS, indirect genetic effects of parents on offspring education were present (meta-analytic
224 indirect $\beta_{NonCog}=0.08$, $SE=0.03$; indirect $\beta_{Cog}=0.10$, $SE=0.01$), in addition to direct genetic effects
225 (direct $\beta_{NonCog}=0.14$, $SE=0.03$; direct $\beta_{Cog}=0.15$, $SE=0.02$). Averaged across all designs,
226 outcomes and cohorts, indirect environmentally-mediated effects explained 36% of the
227 population effect of the NonCog PGS, and 40% of the population effect of the Cog PGS.

228 However, results varied depending on the methods used and outcomes investigated. Results per
229 cohort, outcome and design, as well as population genetic effects and the ratio of indirect to
230 population effects are reported in Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 3, 4 and 5.
231 Meta-analytic results are reported in Supplementary Table 4. Z-tests results comparing direct and
232 indirect effects are reported Supplementary Table 5.

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

a. Meta-analytic results





Figure 2.

a. Population effects of NonCog and Cog PGS on educational outcomes include both direct and indirect genetic mechanisms. Indirect genetic effects work through the environment that parents provide for their children. Notes: beta coefficients were obtained from meta-analysis of effects across cohorts, designs and outcome phenotypes; bars = 95% CIs.


b. Estimates of direct and indirect effects of NonCog and Cog PGS by cohort (for age 12 and adult outcomes), using the sibling design only. NTR is a Dutch cohort (N=1631 and N=3163 respectively), TEDS (N=2862) and UKB (N=16,624) are UK cohorts; bars = 95% CIs.

c. Estimates of direct and indirect effect of NonCog and Cog PGS by analytic design (for adult educational attainment outcomes only). Samples sizes: N=42,663 (results meta-analysed across UKB and NTR); N=6407 adoptees and 6500 non-adopted individuals (UKB); N=2534 trios in NTR; bars = 95% CIs.

b. Sibling design by cohort



c. Educational attainment by design



243 **Estimates of parental indirect genetic effects vary slightly by age, outcome**
244 **and cohort.**

245 Figure 2b shows estimates of direct and indirect genetic effects of NonCog and Cog PGS for
246 different cohorts and educational outcomes, holding the design constant (i.e., the sibling design,
247 which was available for all cohorts and outcomes). Estimates were highly consistent across
248 cohorts except for age 12 achievement in Dutch versus UK cohorts: indirect genetic effects were
249 negligible and represented a small fraction of the population effect in NTR (3% and 23% for
250 NonCog and Cog, respectively), whereas they accounted for 56% and 48% of the population
251 effects of NonCog and Cog PGS in TEDS. For adult educational attainment, estimates of direct
252 and indirect effects were more similar for the Dutch (NTR: indirect $\beta_{\text{NonCog}}=0.11$, $\text{SE}=0.03$;
253 indirect $\beta_{\text{Cog}}=0.06$, $\text{SE}=0.03$) and UK (UKB: indirect $\beta_{\text{NonCog}}=0.12$, $\text{SE}=0.01$; indirect $\beta_{\text{Cog}}=0.12$,
254 $\text{SE}=0.01$) cohorts. See Supplementary Table 3 for full results.


255 **Estimates of indirect genetic effect depend on the analytical design: adoption-**
256 **based estimates are lower.**

257 Figure 2c shows estimates of direct and indirect genetic effects of NonCog and Cog PGS for
258 different designs, holding the phenotype constant (i.e., educational attainment, which was
259 available for all three methods). While estimates obtained with sibling and non-transmitted PGS
260 methods indicate equal indirect effect sizes (indirect β s for educational attainment ranged
261 between 0.11-0.12; see Supplementary Tables 3 and 4), the adoption design yielded low to null
262 indirect genetic effects for both NonCog and Cog PGS (indirect $\beta_{\text{NonCog}}=0.02$, $\text{SE}=0.02$; indirect
263 $\beta_{\text{Cog}}=0.08$, $\text{SE}=0.02$).

264 Figure 3 summarises how the three designs estimate parental indirect genetic effects in the
265 presence of different contributors, thus highlighting explanations for lower adoption-based
266 estimates. This information is based on simulations (see Supplementary Note, Supplementary
267 Figure 9, and GitHub). First, unlike the sibling and non-transmitted allele designs, the adoption
268 design does not capture indirect genetic effects occurring in the prenatal period. Second, the
269 adoption design estimates indirect genetic effects with less bias from population stratification
270 and assortative mating. Notably, the adoption design uniquely estimates parental indirect genetic
271 effects without bias from assortative mating if there is no parental indirect genetic effect, and is
272 slightly less biased by assortment than the other designs in the presence of a parental indirect
273 genetic effect. Any excess indirect genetic effect estimated in the sibling/non-transmitted allele
274 designs compared to the adoption design therefore indicates the overall impact of population
275 stratification, assortative mating, and prenatal indirect genetic effects.

276 With the adoption design, the indirect genetic effect of the NonCog PGS on educational
277 attainment in UK Biobank is 83% lower than with the sibling design, while it is only 33% lower
278 for Cog. This suggests that estimates for NonCog are affected more strongly than Cog by
279 population stratification, assortative mating and/or prenatal indirect genetic effects.

280 Indirect genetic effects from siblings are the only potential source of difference between sibling-
281 and trio-based estimates – positive sibling effects inflate estimates from the sibling design but
282 not the other (see Supplementary Note, Supplementary Figure 9, and GitHub). Since we did not
283 find evidence of differences between results from these two designs, sibling indirect genetic
284 effects are likely to be small or non-existent.



Components and biases included in simulated data

285
286 **Figure 3.** Estimates of parental indirect genetic effects from the three designs, based on data
287 simulated to include different components (parental prenatal and postnatal indirect genetic
288 effects) and biases (sibling indirect genetic effects, assortative mating, and population
289 stratification). Boxplots of 100 replicates based on a simulated sample of 20,000 families. Red
290 line is the true simulated (postnatal) parental indirect effect.

291
292 **Population stratification and assortative mating, but not sibling indirect**
293 **effects, might inflate estimates of indirect genetic effects from sibling and non-**
294 **transmitted alleles designs.**

295 Although triangulating designs suggested that population stratification, assortative mating, and
296 prenatal indirect genetic effects contribute to the higher estimated parental indirect genetic

297 effects from non-transmitted alleles/sibling designs relative to the adoption design, this approach
298 cannot disentangle the relative importance of these individual biases. To this end, we conducted
299 additional sensitivity analyses to assess the magnitudes of these biases (not pre-registered).

300 First, we analysed the GWAS summary data on which the polygenic scores were based, using
301 LD score regression to detect population stratification. The LD score regression ratio statistics of
302 uncorrected educational attainment and cognitive performance GWAS were 0.11 (SE=0.01) and
303 0.06 (SE=0.01), respectively (Supplementary Table 1). These non-null estimates indicated that a
304 small but significant portion of the GWAS signal was potentially attributable to residual
305 population stratification. As CP seems less prone to population stratification than EA, it is
306 possible our estimates of direct and indirect genetic effects of NonCog were more biased by
307 population stratification than Cog.

308 Second, we detected slight evidence of assortative mating, which appeared stronger in the UK
309 than Dutch cohorts. In NTR, parental PGS correlations are non-significant (NonCog $r=0.03$,
310 Cog $r=0.02$). Sibling PGS intraclass correlations ranged between 0.49-0.52 in NTR, and between
311 0.53-0.56 in TEDS and UK Biobank. This supports the presence of assortative mating on
312 NonCog and Cog PGS potentially biasing our estimates of indirect genetic effects in UK cohorts,
313 but less in our Dutch cohort. See Supplementary Table 6 for full correlations.

314 Third, we performed three sensitivity analyses, none of which supported the presence of indirect
315 effects of siblings' NonCog and Cog PGS on individuals' educational outcomes. Our first
316 approach leveraged sibling polygenic scores, the rationale being that in the presence of a sibling
317 effect, a sibling's PGS will influence a child's outcome beyond child and parent PGS. In NTR,
318 siblings' NonCog or Cog PGS had non-significant effects on achievement and attainment

319 (Supplementary Table 7). In a second approach, the difference in PGS effects on EA between
320 monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) individuals was tested. Since MZ twins are more
321 genetically similar than DZ twins, their PGS should capture more of the indirect genetic effect of
322 their twin. In NTR and TEDS, PGS effects were not significantly different between MZs and
323 DZs (Supplementary Table 8 & Supplementary Figure 6). Finally, in UKB, we tested PGS
324 effects on EA given the number of siblings individuals reported having. If more siblings leads to
325 a stronger sibling effect, this will be captured as an increased effect of an individual's own PGS
326 on the outcome in the presence of more genetically related siblings. As a negative control, we
327 conducted the same analysis in adoptees. Since adoptees are unrelated to their siblings, their PGS
328 do not capture sibling effects at any family size. NonCog PGS effects weakly increased with
329 number of siblings, but this pattern was also present in adoptees, suggesting confounding by
330 unobserved characteristics of families with numerous children (Supplementary Table 9 &
331 Supplementary Figure 7).

332 **Discussion**

333 We used genetic methods to study environmental effects of parents' skills on child education.
334 We found evidence that characteristics tagged by NonCog and Cog polygenic scores (PGS) are
335 both involved in how parents provide environments conducive to offspring education. Indeed,
336 indirect genetic mechanisms explained 36% of the population effect of the NonCog PGS, and
337 40% of the population effect of the Cog PGS (population $\beta_{\text{NonCog}}=0.22$, $\text{SE}=0.01$; population
338 $\beta_{\text{Cog}}=0.25$, $\text{SE}=0.01$). This result was consistent across countries, generations, outcomes and
339 analytic designs, with two notable exceptions. First, estimated parental indirect genetic effects

340 were null for childhood achievement in our Dutch cohort (NTR), but not for comparable
341 outcomes in our UK cohort (TEDS). Second, parental indirect genetic effects estimated with the
342 adoption design were lower than for the sibling and non-transmitted allele designs, particularly
343 for the NonCog PGS. Given our evidence from data simulations that the adoption-based
344 estimates of indirect genetic effects are more robust to population stratification and assortative
345 mating, these biases may contribute substantially in the other two designs, especially for the
346 NonCog PGS. This was supported by results from sensitivity analyses.

347 This study demonstrates utility of genetic methods for assessing elusive phenomena: non-
348 cognitive skills, and genuine environmental influences from parents, unconfounded by offspring-
349 led effects of inherited genes. Compared to analysing a set of measured parental non-cognitive
350 skills, our GWAS-by-subtraction approach captures a wider array of traits linked genetically to
351 attainment, and therefore broadly quantifies the overall salience of parents' non-cognitive skills.
352 Our evidence that parents' non-cognitive and cognitive skills are both important for children's
353 education complements the growing literature that has considered effects of specific measured
354 skills within both of these domains^{4,5}. These studies found that effects of parents' non-cognitive
355 skills on offspring education were less than half the size of the effects of parents' cognitive
356 skills. In contrast, we found that indirect genetic effects of NonCog PGS were almost as large as
357 for Cog skills. This discrepancy is likely to stem from our comprehensive definition of non-
358 cognitive skills, as we do not rely on possibly unreliable and incomplete phenotypic measures.
359 Importantly, the parental indirect genetic effects we have identified may capture proximal forms
360 of 'nurture' (e.g., a parent directly training their child's cognitive skills, or cultivating their
361 child's learning habits through participation and support) and/or more distal environmental
362 effects (e.g., a parent's openness to experience leading them to move to an area with good

363 schools). The environmental effects of parents' non-cognitive and cognitive skills are likely to be
364 larger than we estimate, because our approach only captures effects of parent skills tagged by
365 current GWAS. Polygenic scores index a subset of the common genetic component of parent
366 skills, which is in turn a fraction of the total genetic component (missing heritability^{20,21}), and
367 cannot account for the non-heritable component of parent skills.

368 The lower importance of parental indirect genetic effects for child achievement in the
369 Netherlands compared to similar UK outcomes indicates that our UK achievement outcomes
370 more strongly capture variation in family background. This difference could result from the
371 design of these achievement measures: Dutch test results are standardized based on a
372 representative population, but UK teacher reports might still be affected by student social
373 background. Societal differences offer another explanation. Some argue that estimates of family
374 shared-environmental variance in twin studies are indicators of social inequality, and this logic
375 holds for indirect genetic effects²². For adult attainment, results were more consistent across UK
376 and Dutch cohorts, corresponding with recent evidence for consistent shared-environment
377 influence on educational attainment across social models²³. This consistency also suggests that
378 the difference in childhood is not due to a cohort or population difference. The higher indirect
379 genetic effects in adult attainment might reflect an increase in environmental variance due to
380 tracking in secondary schools in the Netherlands¹⁶. Socioeconomic disparities in achievement
381 seem to increase more between ages 10 and 15 in the Netherlands than in the UK²⁴. Despite no
382 statistically significant parental indirect genetic effects on the achievement test at 12, children
383 whose parents have a higher education are more likely to enroll in a higher educational track²⁵,
384 suggestive of greater parental effects on secondary and later education, which should be tested in
385 further studies.

386 We found that the choice of design used to estimate indirect genetic effects matters, with the
387 adoption design giving systematically lower estimates. Direct comparison of results across
388 designs suggested that 33% (for Cog) and 83% (for NonCog) of the indirect genetic effects on
389 adult educational attainment, estimated using the sibling design, are due to population
390 stratification, assortative mating, and prenatal indirect genetic effects. The importance of
391 population stratification for genetic associations with educational attainment was suggested by
392 recent UK Biobank studies^{26,27}, and was reflected in our sensitivity analyses. Our LD score
393 regression results indicated residual population stratification, which was more severe for the
394 NonCog GWAS. There was some evidence of assortative mating, with sibling PGS correlations
395 above expectation (>0.5) particularly in the UK cohorts. This country difference in assortment is
396 supported by the lower estimated spouse PGS correlations in NTR (0.02 for Cog, 0.03 for
397 NonCog) than for the EA PGS in the UK Biobank (0.06)²⁸. There was no statistically significant
398 difference in assortative mating between Cog and NonCog, suggesting that population
399 stratification explains the particularly large design-based discrepancy between estimates of
400 indirect genetic effects for NonCog. Population stratification should be carefully considered in
401 studies using NonCog PGS. Methods should be developed to parse the contributions of
402 assortative mating, population stratification, indirect and direct genetic effects to complex traits.
403 This could be achieved using genomic data on extended pedigrees, inspired by extended twin-
404 family designs²⁹. Additionally, indirect genetic effects on education might not only arise from
405 parents but might span across more than a single generation, for example the influence of
406 grandparents. Since cumulative indirect genetic effects are all removed when a child is adopted,
407 their presence would contribute to the observed difference in indirect effect between the adoption
408 and other designs.

409 Regarding siblings, we did not find evidence that indirect effects of siblings' NonCog and Cog
410 PGS affect individual differences in educational outcomes, using three different approaches. This
411 corresponds with null findings regarding indirect effects of siblings' educational attainment
412 genetics in the UK Biobank^{26,27}. This does not rule out the existence of indirect sibling genetic
413 effects in other populations (or effects such as parental compensation of sibling PGS
414 differences³⁰). Indirect genetic effects of sibling EA PGS were found in an Icelandic cohort¹⁵.
415 One extended twin study found that the sibling environment contributed 12% of the total
416 phenotypic variation in educational attainment in Norway, whereas the environment provided by
417 parents explained only 2.5% of the variance³¹. It is possible that our PGS analyses were not
418 sufficiently powered to detect indirect genetic effects of siblings, since they were based on lower
419 sample size than our main analyses. However, our results suggest that indirect genetic effects of
420 siblings on education are small. Therefore, our methods provide good proxies for parental
421 indirect genetic effects, with minimal inflation from sibling effects.

422 Our data suggest that the adoption design provides a useful lower-bound estimate of indirect
423 genetic effects of parents. Given that there was no evidence for sibling effects of the Cog or
424 NonCog PGS, our adoption-based estimates, less biased by population stratification and
425 assortative mating, are likely a closer measure of parental indirect genetic effects. However,
426 three factors may make the adoption-based estimates of indirect genetic effects too conservative.
427 First, adoption based indirect effect estimates exclude prenatal indirect genetic effects (and
428 indirect genetic effects taking place between the birth and moment of adoption), which might
429 influence educational outcomes^{32,33}. While we are unable to test for prenatal indirect effects,
430 these could be investigated in cohorts with pregnancy information, adjusting for postnatal
431 indirect genetic effects. Second, adoptees may have been exposed to a narrower range of

432 environments (e.g., family socioeconomic status) compared to non-adopted individuals³⁴. This
433 form of selection bias is likely to increase the genetic variance at the expense of the indirect
434 genetic effect. Third, selective placement of children in adoptive families matching
435 characteristics of their biological families could result in correlation between child and
436 (adoptive) parent genotypes, leading to an underestimation of the indirect genetic effect. There is
437 modest evidence for selective placement of adoptees based on education in the US³⁵. We cannot
438 directly test for selection factors in the UK Biobank, since there is no information on the
439 adoptive parents.

440 We acknowledge several limitations. First, while we suggest that an attribute of our study is the
441 broad and phenotype-agnostic characterisation of non-cognitive skills, our GWAS-by-
442 subtraction approach is unable to identify specific parental characteristics, and is also still limited
443 by measures of cognitive performance and educational attainment in the original GWAS. Some
444 cognitive skills might not be reflected in the available Cognitive Performance GWAS, so the
445 NonCog factor could capture genetic influences affecting cognition. However, previous analyses
446 have shown that NonCog PGS predicts substantially less variation in cognition than the Cog
447 PGS³⁶. Additionally, our NonCog latent variable reflects the residual variance of adult
448 educational attainment, and therefore is a measure of non-cognitive aspects of adult EA. Non-
449 cognitive aspects of childhood achievement might differ somewhat, which might lead to an
450 underestimation of indirect genetic effects of the NonCog PGS on these outcomes. Second, the
451 generalisability of our results is limited. Highly educated individuals are over-represented in all
452 cohorts. Participation bias also affects GWAS results³⁷. Selection effects may be especially
453 strong in the adoption design as adoptions may depend on (partially heritable) phenotypes of the
454 biological parents, and many adoptive parents are also selected on the basis of their (partially

455 heritable) behavioural phenotypes. Additionally, only participants of European descent were
456 included in the analysis. Third, replication efforts are needed. Special effort should be targeted to
457 include diverse ancestry participants. While our overall estimates are well powered due to the
458 aggregation of cohorts, some analyses rely on a single sample. As such, results from these
459 analyses might reflect specifics of these samples and not design-specific biases, and should be
460 replicated. Finally, although our within-family methods allowed us to evaluate biases in
461 polygenic score effects within the target samples, the same biases are likely to influence the
462 effect size estimates from the original GWAS upon which our polygenic scores are based.
463 Increasingly large within-sibship GWAS will allow this to be resolved.

464 Several future research directions emerge. First, given that we have quantified overall
465 environmental effects of parents on offspring education tagged by NonCog and Cog PGS, the
466 next step is to identify specific mediating parent characteristics, whether proximal or distal.
467 Researchers could also examine mediating child characteristics on the pathway between their
468 parents' characteristics and their own educational outcomes. We speculate that parents' non-
469 cognitive skills do not affect offspring education by affecting those same non-cognitive skills in
470 offspring. This is because existing twin research shows no influence of shared environmental
471 factors on individual differences in children's measured non-cognitive skills such as grit and
472 self-control³⁸⁻⁴⁰.

473 A second future direction is to incorporate gender and socioeconomic status into research on
474 indirect genetic effects on education. Twin data show that shared environmental contributions to
475 educational attainment are larger for women than for men²³. It is unknown whether this finding
476 holds for indirect genetic effects and for childhood achievement. Another gender aspect to
477 consider is differential maternal and paternal indirect genetic effects⁴¹. There is some evidence

478 (although not genetically informed) that mother and father skills show unique associations with
479 offspring education⁴. Indirect effects of parents' genetic endowment for non-cognitive skills on
480 child education might be mediated or moderated by parents' income and cultural capital
481 (including school-related skills and habits). While the home learning environment has been
482 found to be more stimulating in higher socioeconomic status families^{42,43}, there is recent
483 evidence that low-income mothers report more frequent activities that facilitate cognitive
484 stimulation⁴⁴.

485

486 In sum, this study provides evidence for environmental effects of parents' non-cognitive and
487 cognitive skills on offspring educational outcomes, indexed by indirect genetic effects of
488 polygenic scores. Combining three cohorts and three designs for estimating indirect genetic
489 effects allowed us to obtain robust findings. These results have significance for human health, as
490 the role parents play in successful cognitive development and (mental) health development go
491 hand in hand.

492 **Methods**

493 Our research complies with all relevant ethical regulations. Project approval for the Twins Early
494 Development Study (TEDS) was granted by King's College London's ethics committee for the
495 Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience PNM/09/10–104. Ethical approval for the
496 Netherlands Twin Register (NTR) was provided by the Central Ethics Committee on Research
497 Involving Human Subjects of the VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, and Institutional
498 Review Board certified by the U.S. Office of Human Research Protections (IRB number IRB-

499 2991 under Federal-wide Assurance-3703; IRB/institute codes 94/105, 96/205, 99/068,
500 2003/182, 2010/359) and participants provided informed consent. The UK Biobank has received
501 ethical approval from the National Health Service North West Centre for Research Ethics
502 Committee (reference: 11/NW/0382). Informed consent was obtained from all human
503 participants.

504 The study methods were pre-registered on the Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/mk938/>)
505 on the 24/02/2020. Additional non-preregistered analyses are indicated as such below and should
506 be considered exploratory. Additional deviations from the pre-registration are detailed in
507 Supplementary Note.

508 **Samples**

509 **UK Biobank**

510 The UK Biobank is an epidemiological resource including British individuals aged 40 to 70 at
511 recruitment⁴⁵. Genome-wide genetic data came from the full release of the UK Biobank data, and
512 were collected and processed according to the quality control pipeline⁴⁶.

513 We defined three subsamples of the UK Biobank to be used for polygenic score analyses:
514 adopted participants, a control group of non-adopted participants, and siblings. Starting with UK
515 Biobank participants with QC genotype data and educational attainment data (N=451,229), we
516 first identified 6,407 unrelated adopted individuals who said yes to the question “Were you
517 adopted as a child?” (Data-Field 1767). We restricted the sample to unrelated participants
518 (kinship coefficient $< 1/(2^9/2)$)⁴⁷. Second, our comparison sample (N=6,500) was drawn at

519 random from non-adopted participants who were unrelated to each other and to the adopted
520 participants. Third, we identified 39,500 full-siblings, excluding adopted individuals. We defined
521 full-siblings as participants with a kinship coefficient between $1/(2^{(3/2)})$ and $1/(2^{(5/2)})$ and a
522 probability of zero IBS sharing >0.0012 , as suggested by ⁴⁶ and ⁴⁷.

523 After excluding the three sub-samples for polygenic score analyses and individuals related to
524 these participants, we were left with 388,196 UK Biobank individuals with educational
525 attainment (EA) data, and 202,815 individuals with cognitive performance (CP) data. We used
526 these remaining individuals for the GWAS of EA and CP, and later meta-analysis with external
527 GWASs⁴⁸ (see ‘Statistical Analyses’ and Supplementary Note).

528 **Twins Early Development Study (TEDS)**

529 The Twins Early Development Study (TEDS) is a multivariate, longitudinal study of $>10,000$
530 twin pairs representative of England and Wales, recruited 1994–1996⁴⁹. The demographic
531 characteristics of TEDS participants and their families closely match those of families in the UK.
532 Analyses were conducted on a sub-sample of dizygotic (DZ) twin pairs with genome-wide
533 genotyping and phenotypic data on school achievement at age 12 (1,431 DZ pairs) and age 16
534 (2,398 pairs).

535 **Netherlands Twins Register (NTR)**

536 The Netherlands Twin Register (NTR)⁵⁰ is established by the Department of Biological
537 Psychology at the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam and recruits children and adults twins for

538 longitudinal research. Data on health, personality, lifestyle and others, as well as genotyping data
539 have been collected on participants and their families.

540 We included in our analyses genotyped European-ancestry participants. We created a subsample
541 of full-siblings. NTR contains information on numerous monozygotic multiples (twins or
542 triplets). Because MZ multiples share the same genes, we randomly excluded all individuals but
543 one per MZ multiple. Only siblings with complete genetic and outcome data were subsequently
544 included in the analyses: 1,631 siblings with CITO (achievement test taken during the last year
545 of primary school) data (from 757 families) and 3,163 siblings with EA data available (from
546 1,309 families).

547 We created a subsample with complete offspring, maternal and paternal genotypic data (i.e.,
548 trios). Among individuals with available parental genotypes, respectively 1,526 (from 765
549 families) and 2,534 (from 1,337 families) had reported CITO and EA information.

550 The sibling and trio subsets are not independent: for CITO, 823 participants are present in both
551 subsets, 1,374 for EA.

552 **Phenotypic Measures**

553 **UK Biobank**

554 Educational attainment and cognitive performance phenotypes were defined following Lee et al.
555 2018⁴⁸. From data-field 6,238, educational attainment was defined according to ISCED
556 categories and coded as the number of Years of Education. The response categories are: none of
557 the above (no qualifications) = 7 years of education; Certificate of Secondary Education (CSEs)

558 or equivalent = 10 years; O levels/GCSEs or equivalent = 10 years; A levels/AS levels or
559 equivalent = 13 years; other professional qualification = 15 years; National Vocational
560 Qualification (NVQ) or Higher National Diploma (HNC) or equivalent = 19 years; college or
561 university degree = 20 years of education. For cognitive performance, we used the (standardized)
562 mean of the standardized scores of the fluid intelligence measure (data-field 20016 for in-person
563 and 20191 for an online assessment).

564 **TEDS**

565 Educational achievement at age 12 was assessed by teacher reports, aggregated across the three
566 core subjects (Mathematics, English, and Science).

567 Educational achievement at age 16 was assessed by self-reported results for standardized tests
568 taken at the end of compulsory education in England, Wales and Northern Ireland: General
569 Certificate of Secondary Education; GCSE). GCSE grades were coded from 4 (G; the minimum
570 pass grade) to 11 (A*; the highest possible grade). As with the age 12 measure, we analysed a
571 variable representing mean score for the compulsory core subjects.

572 **NTR**

573 Educational attainment was measured by self-report of the highest obtained degree⁵¹. This
574 measure was re-coded as the number of years in education, following Okbay et al. 2016⁵².

575 Academic achievement is assessed in the Netherlands by a nation-wide standardized educational
576 performance test (CITO) around the age of 12 during the last year of primary education. CITO is
577 used to determine tracking placement in secondary school in the Netherlands, in combination

578 with teacher advice. The total score ranges from 500 to 550, reflecting the child's position
579 relative to the other children taking the test this particular year.

580 **Genotype quality control**

581 **UK Biobank**

582 SNPs from HapMap3 CEU (1,345,801 SNPs) were filtered out of the imputed UK Biobank
583 dataset. We then did a pre-PCA QC on unrelated individuals, and filtered out SNPs with MAF <
584 .01 and missingness > .05, leaving 1,252,123 SNPs. After removing individuals with non-
585 European ancestry, we repeated the SNP QC on unrelated Europeans (N = 312,927), excluding
586 SNPs with MAF < .01, missingness >.05 and HWE $p < 10^{-10}$, leaving 1,246,531 SNPs. The
587 HWE p-value threshold of 10^{-10} was based on:
588 [http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2019/9/17/genotyped-snps-in-uk-biobank-failing-hardy-weinberg-](http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2019/9/17/genotyped-snps-in-uk-biobank-failing-hardy-weinberg-equilibrium-test)
589 [equilibrium-test](http://www.nealelab.is/blog/2019/9/17/genotyped-snps-in-uk-biobank-failing-hardy-weinberg-equilibrium-test). We then created a dataset of 1,246,531 QC-ed SNPs for 456,064 UKB subjects
590 of European ancestry. Principal components were derived from a subset of 131,426 genotyped
591 SNPs, pruned for LD ($r^2 > 0.2$) and long-range LD regions removed⁵³. PCA was conducted on
592 unrelated individuals using flashPCA v2⁵⁴.

593 **TEDS**

594 Two different genotyping platforms were used because genotyping was undertaken in two
595 separate waves. AffymetrixGeneChip 6.0 SNP arrays were used to genotype 3,665 individuals.
596 Additionally, 8,122 individuals (including 3,607 DZ co-twin samples) were genotyped on
597 Illumina HumanOmniExpressExome-8v1.2 arrays. After quality control, 635,269 SNPs

598 remained for AffymetrixGeneChip 6.0 genotypes, and 559,772 SNPs for
599 HumanOmniExpressExome genotypes.

600 Genotypes from the two platforms were separately phased and imputed into the Haplotype
601 Reference Consortium (release 1.1) through the Sanger Imputation Service before merging.
602 Genotypes from a total of 10,346 samples (including 3,320 DZ twin pairs and 7,026 unrelated
603 individuals) passed quality control, including 3,057 individuals genotyped on Affymetrix and
604 7,289 individuals genotyped on Illumina. The identity-by-descent (IBD) between individuals was
605 < 0.05 for 99.5% in the merged sample excluding the DZ co-twins (range = 0.00 – 0.12) and
606 ranged between 0.36 and 0.62 for the DZ twin pairs (mean = 0.49). There were 7,363,646
607 genotyped or well-imputed SNPs (for full genotype processing and quality control details, see⁵⁵).

608 To ease high computational demands for the current study, we excluded SNPs with MAF $< 1\%$
609 and $\text{info} < 1$. Following this, 619216 SNPs were included in polygenic score construction.

610 Principal components were derived from a subset of 39,353 common (MAF $> 5\%$), perfectly
611 imputed ($\text{info} = 1$) autosomal SNPs, after stringent pruning to remove markers in linkage
612 disequilibrium ($r^2 > 0.1$) and excluding high linkage disequilibrium genomic regions to ensure
613 that only genome-wide effects were detected.

614 **NTR**

615 Genotyping was done on multiple platforms, following manufacturers protocols: Perlegen-
616 Affymetrix, Affymetrix 6.0, Affymetrix Axiom, Illumina Human Quad Bead 660, Illumina Omni
617 1M and Illumina GSA. For each genotype platform, samples were removed if DNA sex did not
618 match the expected phenotype, if the PLINK heterozygosity F statistic was < -0.10 or > 0.10 , or

619 if the genotyping call rate was < 0.90 . SNPs were excluded if the MAF $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, if the Hardy-
620 Weinberg equilibrium p-value was $< 1 \times 10^{-6}$, and/or if the call rate was < 0.95 . The genotype
621 data was then aligned with the 1000 Genomes reference panel using the HRC and 1000 Genomes
622 checking tool, testing and filtering for SNPs with allele frequency differences larger than 0.20 as
623 compared to the CEU population, palindromic SNPs and DNA strand issues. The data of the
624 different platforms was then merged into a single dataset, and one platform was chosen for each
625 individual. Based on the $\sim 10.8k$ SNPs that all platforms have in common, DNA identity-by-
626 descent state was estimated for all individual pairs using the Plink and King programs. Samples
627 were excluded if these estimates did not correspond to expected familial relationships. CEU
628 population outliers, based on per platform 1000 Genomes PC projection with the Smartpca
629 software, were removed from the data. Then, per platform, the data was phased using Eagle and
630 then imputed to 1000 Genomes and Topmed using Minimac following the Michigan imputation
631 server protocols. Post-imputation, the resulting separate platform VCF files were merged with
632 Bcftools into a single file per chromosome for each reference, for SNPs present on all platforms.
633 For the polygenic scoring and parental re-phasing, the imputed data were converted to best guess
634 data and were filtered to include only ACGT SNPs, SNPs with MAF > 0.01 , HWE $p > 10^{-5}$ and
635 a genotype call rate > 0.98 , and to exclude SNPs with more than 2 alleles. All mendelian errors
636 were set to missing. The remaining SNPs represent the transmitted alleles dataset. 20 PCs were
637 calculated with Smartpca using LD-pruned 1000 Genomes-imputed SNPs genotyped on at least
638 one platform, having MAF > 0.05 and not present in the long-range LD regions. Using the --tucc
639 option of the Plink 1.07 software pseudo-controls for each offspring were created, given the
640 genotype data of their parents. This resulted in the non-transmitted alleles dataset, as these
641 pseudo-controls correspond to the child's non-transmitted alleles. To determine the parental

642 origin of each allele, the transmitted and non-transmitted datasets were phased using the
643 duoHMM option of the ShapeIT software. The phased datasets were then split based on parental
644 origin, resulting in a paternal and maternal haploid dataset for the transmitted and non-
645 transmitted alleles.

646 **Statistical analyses**

647 All statistical tests are two-sided, unless otherwise stated.

648 **NonCog GWAS-by-subtraction**

649 To generate NonCog summary statistics, we implemented a GWAS-by-subtraction using
650 Genomic SEM following Demange et al. 2020 using summary statistics of EA and cognitive
651 performance obtained in samples independent from our polygenic score samples.

652 We ran a GWAS of Educational Attainment and Cognitive Performance in UK Biobank
653 (polygenic score sample left-out). We meta-analysed them with the EA GWAS by Lee et al.
654 excluding 23andMe, UK Biobank and NTR cohorts, and with the CP GWAS by Trampush et al.
655 respectively (EA total N=707,112 and CP N=238,113). More information on these methods and
656 intermediate GWAS are found in Supplementary Note and Supplementary Table 1.

657 Following Demange et al. 2020, we used EA and CP meta-analysed summary statistics to create
658 two independent latent variables: Cog, representing the genetic variance shared between EA and
659 CP, and NonCog representing the residual genetic variance of EA when regressing out CP
660 (Supplementary Figure 1). These two latent factors were regressed on each SNP: we obtained

661 association for 1,071,804 SNPs (HapMap3 SNPs, as recommended when comparing PGS
662 analyses across cohorts). We calculate the effective sample size of these GWAS to be 458,211
663 for NonCog and 223,819 for Cog.

664 **Polygenic Score construction in UK Biobank, TEDS and NTR**

665 Polygenic scores of NonCog and Cog were computed with Plink software (version 1.9 for NTR,
666 2 for UKB and TEDS) ^{56,57} based on weighted betas obtained using the LD-pred v1.0.0 software
667 using infinitesimal prior, a LD pruning window of 250kb and 1000Genomes phase 3 CEU
668 population as LD reference. Weighted betas were computed in a shared pipeline. In NTR, scores
669 for non-transmitted and transmitted genotypes were obtained for fathers and mothers separately
670 so we average them to obtain the mid-parent score.

671 **Polygenic score model fitting**

672 Each model included cognitive and non-cognitive polygenic scores simultaneously and
673 controlled for: 10 ancestry principal components (PCs), sex and age, interaction between sex and
674 age, and cohort-specific platform covariate (NTR: genotyping platform, UKB: array, TEDS:
675 batch). Polygenic scores and outcome variables were scaled. Age was estimated by year of birth,
676 age at recruitment or age at testing depending on the cohorts, see Supplementary Table 2.
677 Correlations between NonCog and Cog PGS, as well as between and within-family PGS are
678 reported Supplementary Table 10.

679 All regressions were linear models with `lm()` in R rather than mixed models as in previous
680 analyses^{16,17} and our pre-registered methods. See Supplementary Note: Deviation from pre-
681 registered methods for the justification based on simulated data. We obtained bootstrapped

682 standard errors and bias-corrected confidence intervals (normal approximation) for the
683 population, indirect and direct effects, as well as the ratios of indirect/direct and
684 indirect/population effect. We ran ordinary non-parametric bootstraps using 10,000 replications
685 with boot() in R. For the sibling design, where two independent regressions are used, we use the
686 same bootstrap samples for both (both regressions were run within the same boot object). For the
687 adoption design, the bootstrapped samples are drawn from the adopted and non-adopted samples
688 separately. The bootstrap estimates were used to test for the difference between the direct and
689 indirect effect in both Cog and NonCog and the difference between the ratio indirect/population
690 for Cog and NonCog, using Z-tests.

691 **Additional analyses (not pre-registered)**

692 **Meta-analyses**

693 To estimate the overall indirect and direct effects of NonCog and Cog polygenic scores, we
694 meta-analysed estimates across cohorts, designs and phenotypic outcomes.

695 To compare results obtained across the three different designs, we meta-analysed effect sizes
696 obtained from each design across cohorts, but holding the outcome constant (educational
697 attainment). The adoption design was only applied to EA in UKB, hence no meta-analysis was
698 necessary.

699 Meta-analyses were conducted using the command rma.mv() in the R package metafor. Design
700 was specified as a random intercept factor, except when results were meta-analysed within-
701 design.

702 **Investigation of the presence of biases**

703 **Population stratification**

704 Population stratification refers to the presence of systematic difference in allele frequencies
705 across subpopulations, arising from ancestry difference due to non-random mating and genetic
706 drift. This leads to confounding in genetic association studies. In a PGS analysis, bias due to
707 population stratification can arise from both the GWAS used to create the scores and the target
708 sample. We corrected for population stratification in the target sample by adjusting analyses for
709 PCs (although this may not remove fine-scale stratification). For the GWAS summary statistics,
710 the ratio statistics LDSC output is a standard measure of population stratification⁵⁸. As a rule of
711 thumb a LDSC intercept higher than 1 (inflated) indicates presence of population stratification.
712 Because we corrected the standard errors of the EA GWAS for inflation and GenomicSEM
713 corrects for inflation as well, the ratio statistics of the Cog and NonCog GWAS are not a valid
714 indication of population stratification (ratio <0 following GC correction). We therefore use the
715 ratio statistics of uncorrected EA and CP GWAS as proxies. Ratio and LDscore intercept was
716 assessed with the ldsc software⁵⁸.

717 **Assortative mating**

718 Assortative mating refers to the non-random mate choice, with a preference for spouses with
719 similar phenotypes. If these preferred phenotypes have a genetic component, assortative mating
720 leads to an increased genetic correlation between spouses, as well as between relatives²⁸.
721 Assortative mating can therefore be inferred from elevated correlations between polygenic scores
722 in siblings (correlations would be 0.5 without assortative mating) and between parents

723 (correlations would be 0 without assortative mating). We estimated sibling intraclass correlations
724 of Cog and NonCog PGS in UKB, TEDS and NTR, and Pearson’s correlations of paternal and
725 maternal Cog and NonCog PGS in NTR. Notably, these observed correlations cannot distinguish
726 assortative mating from population stratification.

727 **Sibling effects**

728 We performed three additional analyses to investigate indirect genetic effects of siblings on
729 educational outcomes.

730 First, we ran a linear mixed model extending our main non-transmitted alleles design to include
731 polygenic scores of siblings (equation (4)). To this end, we used data from NTR on DZ pairs and
732 both of their parents. Sample sizes of genotyped ‘quads’ with offspring CITO or EA phenotypes
733 were 657 and 788, respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} EA = & \alpha_0 + \beta_{T_{Cog}}(PGS(Cog)_T) + \beta_{T_{NonCog}}(PGS(NonCog)_T) \\ & + \beta_{NT_{Cog}}(PGS(Cog)_{NT}) + \beta_{NT_{NonCog}}(PGS(NonCog)_{NT}) \\ & + \beta_{Sibling_{Cog}}(PGS(Cog)_{Sibling}) \\ & + \beta_{Sibling_{NonCog}}(PGS(NonCog)_{Sibling}) + sex + age + sex \\ & * age + 10PCs + genotyping platform \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

734

735 Second, we can also assess the presence of sibling genetic effects using monozygotic and
736 dizygotic twins. Because monozygotic twins have the same genotypes, the genetically-mediated
737 environment provided by the cotwin is more correlated to the twin genotype in MZ twins than in

738 DZ twins. The sibling genetic effect is more strongly reflected in the polygenic score prediction
739 of the educational outcome for MZ twins than for DZ twins. If the sibling genetic effect is
740 negative, the polygenic score effect (betas) on the outcome in people that have an MZ twin will
741 be lower than in people that have a DZ twin, it will be higher in those with an MZ twin than
742 those with an DZ twin if the sibling genetic effect is positive. We therefore compare Betas from
743 equation (2) in a subset of MZ twins and in a subset of DZ twins (one individual per pair) in both
744 NTR ($N_{MZ}=818$ & $N_{DZ}=865$ for CITO and $N_{MZ}=1,600$ & $N_{DZ}=1,369$ for EA) and TEDS
745 ($N_{MZ}=546$ & $N_{DZ}=2,709$)

746 Third, the presence of sibling genetic effects can be assessed using data on the number of
747 siblings participants have. If an individual has more siblings we expect their polygenic scores to
748 be more correlated to sibling effects. As the number of siblings increases (if we assume linear
749 increase) so does the degree to which a PGS captures sibling effects. If the sibling genetic effect
750 is positive, the effect of the Cog and NonCog PGS on the educational outcome should increase
751 with the number of siblings. However, family characteristics and environment might differ
752 across families depending on the number of children. Therefore, changes in the effect of the PGS
753 on our outcome with the number of siblings could be due to factors other than sibling genetic
754 effects (for example, there is a known negative genetic association between number of children
755 and EA⁵⁹ which could result in confounding). By also looking at changes in the effect of the Cog
756 and NonCog PGS on the educational outcome in adopted (unrelated) sibships, we break the
757 correlation between PGS and any sibling effects. If there is a change in PGS effect on the
758 educational outcome in adopted children dependent on the number of (non-biological) siblings,
759 we can assume this effect to be caused by mechanisms other than a sibling effect. We finally
760 contrast the change in PGS depending on family size in biological and adopted siblings to get an

761 idea of the sibling effect minus any other confounding effects of family size. We use the total
762 number of reported siblings (full siblings for non-adopted and adopted siblings for adopted
763 individuals, data-fields: 1873, 1883, 3972 & 3982).

764 **Data availability**

765 Summary Statistics of Cog and NonCog used in this paper are available upon request. Summary
766 Statistics of cognitive performance from the COGENT cohort, of EA excluding NTR and UK
767 Biobank cohorts are available upon request to the communicating author of these papers.

768 For UK Biobank dataset access, see: <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/using-the-resource/>.

769 Netherlands Twin Register data may be accessed, upon approval of the data access committee,
770 email: ntr.datamanagement.fgb@vu.nl

771 Researchers can apply for access to TEDS data: [https://www.teds.ac.uk/researchers/teds-data-](https://www.teds.ac.uk/researchers/teds-data-access-policy)
772 [access-policyhttps://www.teds.ac.uk/researchers/teds-data-access-policy](https://www.teds.ac.uk/researchers/teds-data-access-policy)

773 **Code availability**

774 All scripts used to run the analyses (empirical and simulated) are available at:
775 <https://github.com/PerlineDemange/GeneticNurtureNonCog>

776 All additional software used to perform the analyses are available online.

777 The pre-registration of the study is available on OSF: <https://osf.io/mk938/>

778 References

- 779 1. Deary, I. J. *et al.* Intergenerational social mobility and mid-life status attainment: Influences
780 of childhood intelligence, childhood social factors, and education. *Intelligence* **33**, 455–472
781 (2005).
- 782 2. Oreopoulos, P. & Salvanes, K. G. Priceless: the nonpecuniary benefits of schooling. *Journal*
783 *of Economic Perspectives* **25**, 159–184 (2011).
- 784 3. Heckman, J., Stixrud, J. & Urzua, S. *The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on*
785 *labor market outcomes and social behavior.* (National Bureau of Economic Research,
786 2006). doi:10.3386/w12006
- 787 4. Grönqvist, E., Öckert, B. & Vlachos, J. The intergenerational transmission of cognitive and
788 noncognitive abilities. *J. Human Resources* **52**, 887–918 (2017).
- 789 5. Doren, C. & Grodsky, E. What Skills Can Buy: Transmission of advantage through
790 cognitive and noncognitive skills. *Sociol Educ* **89**, 321–342 (2016).
- 791 6. Crawford, Goodman, Joyce. Explaining the socio-economic gradient in child outcomes: the
792 inter-generational transmission of cognitive skills. *Longit. Life Course Stud.* **2**, (2011).
- 793 7. Morris, T. T., Davey Smith, G., Van den Berg, G. & Davies, N. M. Investigating the
794 phenotypic consistency and genetic architecture of noncognitive skills. *BioRxiv* (2018).
795 doi:10.1101/470682
- 796 8. Gutman and Schoon. *The impact of non-cognitive skills on outcomes for young people.* (The
797 Education Endowment Foundation (EEF) and the UK Cabinet Office, 2013).
- 798 9. Heckman, J. J. & Rubinstein, Y. The Importance of Noncognitive Skills: Lessons from the
799 GED Testing Program. *American Economic Review* **91**, 145–149 (2001).
- 800 10. Tucker-Drob, E. M., Briley, D. A., Engelhardt, L. E., Mann, F. D. & Harden, K. P.
801 Genetically-mediated associations between measures of childhood character and academic
802 achievement. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **111**, 790–815 (2016).

- 803 11. Kovas, Y. *et al.* Why children differ in motivation to learn: Insights from over 13,000 twins
804 from 6 countries. *Pers. Individ. Dif.* **80**, 51–63 (2015).
- 805 12. Demange, P. A. *et al.* Investigating the genetic architecture of noncognitive skills using
806 GWAS-by-subtraction. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 35–44 (2021).
- 807 13. Hart, S. A., Little, C. & van Bergen, E. Nurture might be nature: cautionary tales and
808 proposed solutions. *NPJ Sci. Learn.* **6**, 2 (2021).
- 809 14. Bates, T. C. *et al.* The Nature of Nurture: Using a Virtual-Parent Design to Test Parenting
810 Effects on Children’s Educational Attainment in Genotyped Families. *Twin Res Hum Genet*
811 **21**, 73–83 (2018).
- 812 15. Kong, A. *et al.* The nature of nurture: Effects of parental genotypes. *Science* **359**, 424–428
813 (2018).
- 814 16. de Zeeuw, E. L. *et al.* Intergenerational transmission of education and ADHD: effects of
815 parental genotypes. *Behav Genet* **50**, 221–232 (2020).
- 816 17. Selzam, S. *et al.* Comparing Within- and Between-Family Polygenic Score Prediction. *Am.*
817 *J. Hum. Genet.* **105**, 351–363 (2019).
- 818 18. Cheesman, R. *et al.* Comparison of Adopted and Nonadopted Individuals Reveals Gene-
819 Environment Interplay for Education in the UK Biobank. *Psychol. Sci.* **31**, 582–591 (2020).
- 820 19. Morris, T. T., Davies, N. M., Hemani, G. & Smith, G. D. Population phenomena inflate
821 genetic associations of complex social traits. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaay0328 (2020).
- 822 20. Manolio, T. A. *et al.* Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. *Nature* **461**, 747–
823 753 (2009).
- 824 21. Young, A. I. Solving the missing heritability problem. *PLoS Genet.* **15**, e1008222 (2019).
- 825 22. Kovas, Y. *et al.* Literacy and numeracy are more heritable than intelligence in primary
826 school. *Psychol. Sci.* **24**, 2048–2056 (2013).

- 827 23. Silventoinen, K. *et al.* Genetic and environmental variation in educational attainment: an
828 individual-based analysis of 28 twin cohorts. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 12681 (2020).
- 829 24. OECD. *Equity in education: breaking down barriers to social mobility.* (OECD, 2018).
830 doi:10.1787/9789264073234-en
- 831 25. van Spijker, F., van der Houwen, K. & van Gaalen, R. Invloed ouderlijk opleidingsniveau
832 reikt tot ver in het voortgezet onderwijs. *Economisch-Statistische Berichten* (2017).
- 833 26. Young, A. I. *et al.* Mendelian imputation of parental genotypes for genome-wide estimation
834 of direct and indirect genetic effects. *BioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.07.02.185199
- 835 27. Kong, A., Benonisdottir, S. & Young, A. I. Family Analysis with Mendelian Imputations.
836 *BioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.07.02.185181
- 837 28. Yengo, L. *et al.* Imprint of assortative mating on the human genome. *Nat. Hum. Behav.* **2**,
838 948–954 (2018).
- 839 29. Keller, M. C. *et al.* Modeling extended twin family data I: description of the Cascade model.
840 *Twin Res Hum Genet* **12**, 8–18 (2009).
- 841 30. Fletcher, J. M., Wu, Y., Zhao, Z. & Lu, Q. The production of within-family inequality:
842 Insights and implications of integrating genetic data. *BioRxiv* (2020).
843 doi:10.1101/2020.06.06.137778
- 844 31. Lyngstad, T. H., Ystrøm, E. & Zambrana, I. M. An Anatomy of Intergenerational
845 Transmission: Learning from the educational attainments of Norwegian twins and their
846 parents. (2017). doi:10.31235/osf.io/fby2t
- 847 32. Armstrong-Carter, E. *et al.* The earliest origins of genetic nurture: prenatal environment
848 mediates the association between maternal genetics and child development. (2019).
849 doi:10.31234/osf.io/2usk8
- 850 33. Trejo, S. Exploring genetic influences on birth weight. (2020). doi:10.31235/osf.io/7j59q

- 851 34. McGue, M. *et al.* The environments of adopted and non-adopted youth: evidence on range
852 restriction from the Sibling Interaction and Behavior Study (SIBS). *Behav Genet* **37**, 449–
853 462 (2007).
- 854 35. Ho, H., Plomin, R. & DeFries, J. C. Selective placement in adoption. *Soc Biol* **26**, 1–6
855 (1979).
- 856 36. Demange, P. A. *et al.* Investigating the Genetic Architecture of Non-Cognitive Skills Using
857 GWAS-by-Subtraction. *BioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.01.14.905794
- 858 37. Pirastu, N. *et al.* Genetic analyses identify widespread sex-differential participation bias.
859 *BioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.03.22.001453
- 860 38. Rimfeld, K., Kovas, Y., Dale, P. S. & Plomin, R. True grit and genetics: Predicting
861 academic achievement from personality. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **111**, 780–789 (2016).
- 862 39. Willems, Y. E., Boesen, N., Li, J., Finkenauer, C. & Bartels, M. The heritability of self-
863 control: A meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **100**, 324–334 (2019).
- 864 40. Malanchini, M., Engelhardt, L. E., Grotzinger, A. D., Harden, K. P. & Tucker-Drob, E. M.
865 “Same but different”: Associations between multiple aspects of self-regulation, cognition,
866 and academic abilities. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* (2018). doi:10.1037/pspp0000224
- 867 41. Eilertsen, E. M. *et al.* Direct and indirect effects of maternal, paternal, and offspring
868 genotypes: Trio-GCTA. *BioRxiv* (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.05.15.097840
- 869 42. Lareau, A. *Unequal Childhoods*. (University of California Press, 2011).
- 870 43. van Bergen, E., van Zuijen, T., Bishop, D. & de Jong, P. F. Why are home literacy
871 environment and children’s reading skills associated? what parental skills reveal. *Read Res*
872 *Q* **52**, 147–160 (2017).
- 873 44. Cooper, K. Are Poor Parents *Poor* Parents? The Relationship between Poverty and
874 Parenting among Mothers in the UK. *Sociology* 003803852093939 (2020).
875 doi:10.1177/0038038520939397

- 876 45. Allen, N. E., Sudlow, C., Peakman, T., Collins, R. & UK Biobank. UK biobank data: come
877 and get it. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **6**, 224ed4 (2014).
- 878 46. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.
879 *Nature* **562**, 203–209 (2018).
- 880 47. Manichaikul, A. *et al.* Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies.
881 *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2867–2873 (2010).
- 882 48. Lee, J. J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association
883 study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1112–1121
884 (2018).
- 885 49. Rimfeld, K. *et al.* Twins Early Development Study: A Genetically Sensitive Investigation
886 into Behavioral and Cognitive Development from Infancy to Emerging Adulthood. *Twin Res*
887 *Hum Genet* **22**, 508–513 (2019).
- 888 50. Ligthart, L. *et al.* The Netherlands Twin Register: Longitudinal Research Based on Twin
889 and Twin-Family Designs. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **22**, 623–636 (2019).
- 890 51. Abdellaoui, A. *et al.* Educational attainment influences levels of homozygosity through
891 migration and assortative mating. *PLoS One* **10**, e0118935 (2015).
- 892 52. Okbay, A. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with
893 educational attainment. *Nature* **533**, 539–542 (2016).
- 894 53. Abdellaoui, A. *et al.* Population structure, migration, and diversifying selection in the
895 Netherlands. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **21**, 1277–1285 (2013).
- 896 54. Abraham, G., Qiu, Y. & Inouye, M. FlashPCA2: principal component analysis of Biobank-
897 scale genotype datasets. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2776–2778 (2017).
- 898 55. Selzam, S. *et al.* Evidence for gene-environment correlation in child feeding: Links between
899 common genetic variation for BMI in children and parental feeding practices. *PLoS Genet.*
900 **14**, e1007757 (2018).

- 901 56. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
902 linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
- 903 57. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer
904 datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
- 905 58. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity
906 in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–295 (2015).
- 907 59. Barban, N. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis identifies 12 loci influencing human reproductive
908 behavior. *Nat. Genet.* **48**, 1462–1472 (2016).

909

910 **Acknowledgments**

911 We thank Dr. Aysu Okbay, the SSGAC and COGENT consortiums for sharing their summary
912 statistics for GWAS of educational attainment and cognitive performance excluding specific
913 cohorts. PAD is supported by the grant 531003014 from The Netherlands Organisation for
914 Health Research and Development (ZonMW). RC is supported by an ESRC studentship. AA is
915 supported by the Foundation Volksbond Rotterdam and by ZonMw grant 849200011 from The
916 Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development. KR is supported by a Sir Henry
917 Wellcome Postdoctoral Fellowship. DIB is supported by the Royal Netherlands Academy of
918 Science (KNAW) Professor Award (PAH/6635). EvB is supported by ZonMW grant 531003014
919 and VENI grant 451-15-017. MGN is supported by R01MH120219, ZonMW grants 849200011
920 and 531003014 from The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, a
921 VENI grant awarded by NWO (VI.Veni.191G.030) and is a Jacobs Foundation Research Fellow.
922 This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number

923 40310. The Netherlands Twin Register is supported by NWO Groot (480-15-001/674):
924 Netherlands Twin Register Repository: researching the interplay between genome and
925 environment and the Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota (USA) for
926 genotyping. We gratefully acknowledge the research program ‘Consortium on Individual
927 Development (CID)’ which is funded through the Gravitation program of the Dutch Ministry of
928 Education, Culture and Science and the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO:
929 0240-001-003). We gratefully acknowledge ‘Open Data Infrastructure for Social Science and
930 Economic Innovations (ODISSEI) (NWO: NRGWI.obrug.2018.008)’. The authors gratefully
931 acknowledge the ongoing contribution of the participants in the Twins Early Development Study
932 (TEDS) and their families. TEDS is supported by a programme grant to Thalia Eley from the UK
933 Medical Research Council (MR/V012878/1 and previously to Robert Plomin MR/M021475/1
934 and G0901245), with additional support from the US National Institutes of Health (AG046938).
935 The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or
936 preparation of the manuscript.

937 **Author Contributions**

938 RC & PAD conceived and designed the study, with helpful contributions from MGN. PAD &
939 RC analysed the data, with help from JJH to obtain polygenic score weights and AA to perform
940 GWAS in UK Biobank. PAD, MGN, RC, and EME performed the simulation study. RC & PAD
941 wrote the manuscript. JJH, AA, EME, MM, BWD, ELdZ, KR, TCE, DIB, EvB, and GB
942 contributed to the interpretation of data, provided feedback on manuscript drafts and approved
943 the final draft.

944 **Competing Interests**

945 The authors declare no competing interests.