Adolescent Stress Confers Resilience to Traumatic Stress Later in Life: Role of the Prefrontal Cortex

Cotella, E.M.^{1,3*}; Nawreen, N.^{1,2*}; Moloney, R.D.¹; Martelle, S.E.¹; Oshima, K.M.¹; Lemen, P.¹.
NiBlack, J. N.¹; Julakanti, R. R. ¹; Fitzgerald, M.¹. Baccei, M.L.^{2,4}; Herman, J.P.^{1,2,3}.

*Both authors contributed equally

1. Dept. of Pharmacology & Systems Physiology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45237-0506, United States.

2. Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45237-0506, United States.

3. Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221, United States.

4. Department of Anesthesiology, Pain Research Center, University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States.

Corresponding Author: James P. Herman james.herman@uc.edu Department of Pharmacology & Systems Physiology, University of Cincinnati, 231 Albert Sabin Way, Cincinnati, OH 45267-0576, USA

Running title: Mechanisms of resilience after adolescent stress

Keywords: INFRALIMBIC CORTEX, POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER, CHRONIC VARIABLE STRESS, SINGLE PROLONGED STRESS, FEAR CONDITIONING INTRINSIC EXCITABILITY

ABSTRACT: Stress during adolescence is usually associated with psychopathology later in life. However, under certain circumstances, developmental stress can promote an adaptive phenotype, allowing individuals to cope better with adverse situations in adulthood, thereby contributing to resilience. The aim of the study was to understand how adolescent stress alters behavioral and physiological responses to traumatic stress in adulthood. Sprague Dawley rats were subjected to adolescent chronic variable stress (adol CVS) followed by single prolonged stress (SPS) in adulthood. One week after SPS, animals were tested for acquisition, extinction, extinction recall and reinstatement of auditory-cued fear conditioning, with neuronal recruitment during reinstatement assessed by Fos expression. Patch clamp electrophysiology was performed to examine physiological changes associated with resilience. We observed that adol CVS blocked SPS-induced impairment of extinction learning (males) and enhancement fear reinstatement (both sexes). SPS effects were associated with a reduction of infralimbic (IL) cortex neuronal recruitment after reinstatement in males and increased engagement of the central amygdala in females, both of which were also prevented by adol CVS. We explored the mechanism behind reduced IL recruitment in male rats by studying the intrinsic excitability of IL pyramidal neurons. SPS reduced excitability of IL neurons and prior adol CVS prevented this effect, indicating that adolescent stress can impart resilience to the effects of traumatic stress by modification of IL output in males. Overall, our study suggests that prior stress exposure can limit the impact of a subsequent severe stress exposure in adulthood, effects that are mediated by sex-specific modification of infralimbic and amygdala signaling.

Introduction:

Understanding factors that affect the brain during adolescence has substantial health relevance, given the onset of numerous affective conditions during this developmental period (e.g., depression, anxiety disorders) (Andersen and Teicher, 2008; Kessler et al., 2005; Paus et al., 2008). In general, chronic stress during development is associated with the emergence of pathology, particularly when occurring during early life (de Kloet et al., 2005; Heim et al., 2008; Oitzl et al., 2010; Riboni and Belzung, 2017; Tost et al., 2015). However, mild to moderate stress during some development periods may also promote an adaptive response to adverse situations later in life, contributing to stress resilience (Ordoñez Sanchez et al., 2021; Ricon et al., 2012; Romeo, 2015; Schmidt, 2011; Southwick and Charney, 2012). Previous work from our lab indicates that chronic variable stress (CVS) during adolescence can evoke specific effects later in life that may determine either risk or resilience (Cotella et al., 2020, 2019). While the mechanisms implicated in developmental vulnerability to stress dysregulation are widely studied, resiliency after stress is poorly understood.

Memories acquired under stressful situations are usually strongly consolidated and can be retrieved more easily than those acquired in neutral situations (Meir Drexler and Wolf, 2017). Prior exposure to stress can further enhance the acquisition and/or expression of the fear related behaviors (Blouin et al., 2016), processes linked to the prelimbic (PL) and infralimbic (IL) divisions of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex (Giustino and Maren, 2015). Learned fear has an obvious adaptive value, increasing the chance of survival in life threatening situations (Giustino and Maren, 2015). However, traumatic experiences can lead to exaggerated and

prolonged fear responses that can have pathological consequences, as seen in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Here, individuals experience recurring episodes of involuntary memories associated with an intense stress response, resulting in hypervigilance and avoidance of situations that remind them of the traumatic event (Blouin et al., 2016; Sareen, 2014). Interestingly, although there is a high chance of experiencing trauma in the population, only about 7% of people develop PTSD (Benjet et al., 2016; Kessler et al., 2005), suggesting that resilience or vulnerability to development of PTSD may be determined by experiential and/or genetic factors.

Rodents are widely used to study how stress affects learned fear memories. Stress-enhanced fear models usually combine exposure to one or more stressors, with fear responses tested in a conditioning paradigm (Blouin et al., 2016). One of the most widely-used and reproduced models is the single-prolonged stress protocol (SPS) developed by Liberzon (Liberzon et al., 1999, 1997). Exposure to SPS impairs extinction and extinction recall of a fear conditioned response one week later (Knox et al., 2012b, 2012a; Kohda et al., 2007), comprising a late-emerging enhancement of fear, as is characteristic of PTSD.

Prefrontal activity and neuronal intrinsic excitability is associated with stress resilience and vulnerability (Kumar et al., 2014). For example, in humans the aberrant fear response in PTSD is associated with ventromedial PFC (homolog to the rodent IL)(Öngür and Price, 2000) hypoactivity and loss of top-down control over the amygdala (Milad et al., 2009). In rodents, SPS also reduces neuronal activation in the IL (Piggott et al., 2019), which may play a role in the

abnormal fear extinction deficits associated with SPS. Conversely, optogenetic drive of the mPFC can promote stress resilience, and successful stress coping is linked to elevated mPFC activation after social defeat stress (Covington et al., 2010). However, the circuitry underlying vulnerability and resilience are largely unknown (Russo et al., 2012).

In the present study we assess the impact of adolescent CVS on stress vulnerability or resilience to subsequent SPS in adulthood. Our data indicate that the experience of stress during adolescence blocks fear potentiation following SPS, leading to resilience, a phenomenon that can be linked to decreases in intrinsic excitability of IL mPFC glutamatergic pyramidal neurons.

Results:

Experiment 1: Cued conditioned response: Fig. 1.C-F illustrates the conditioned freezing response throughout the different sessions of the fear conditioning paradigm in animals that were submitted to chronic variable stress during adolescence (adol CVS) and later subjected to single prolonged stress (SPS) in adulthood. Animals were submitted to a tone-conditioned paradigm as shown in Fig 1.B. Fig 1.C-D show the effects for each phase of paradigm evaluated.

Conditioning: None of the treatments had effects on the conditioning phase. There was an interaction between adol CVS x SPS ($F_{(1,79)} = 5.075$, $p = 0.027$) but no individual differences in the Bonferroni test. The significant effect of time ($F_{(5,395)} = 469.308$, $p < 0.0001$) confirmed conditioning

of the response. There was main effect of sex ($F_{(1,79)} = 7.724$, $p=0.007$), with Bonferroni comparisons indicating a general higher expression of freezing in male rats.

3-day extinction: There were significant effects of SPS ($F_{(1,76)} = 6.698$, $p=0.012$) and time ($F_{(2,152)} = 475,661$, $p<0.0001$) and an adol CVS x SPS interaction ($F_{(1,76)} = 7.414$, $p= 0.008$), with no effect of sex. The CVS x SPS post hoc analysis confirmed that, in general, SPS groups had higher freezing levels compared to controls over the whole extinction procedure regardless of sex. When performing planned comparisons by sex, only male rats showed statistical significant effects, with the SPS group having higher freezing than the control group on all three days ($p<0.05$) (the CVS only group had enhanced freezing only on day 2 ($p<0.05$)). Prior adol CVS prevented the SPS effects, as the double-hit group remained at control levels on all testing days and had significantly less freezing than the SPS group on day 2 ($p<0.05$). Sex differences were observed only on day 3, with SPS evoking higher freezing in male rats ($p<0.05$).

Recall: The levels of extinction attained were stable for both sexes as tested in the recall phase. In this case, five days after extinction, animals received a brief extra extinction session (3 tones) to test for possible spontaneous recovery of the conditioned response and to corroborate that the levels of freezing in all the groups were equal before reinstatement. We observed a main effect of sex ($F_{(1,76)}=7.958$, $p=0.006$) with males expressing more freezing in general, and a triple interaction sex x adol CVS x SPS ($F_{(1,76)}=4.792$, $p=0.032$) with no group differences emerging for any individual Bonferroni comparison.

Reinstatement: We observed a significant adol CVS x SPS interaction $F_{(1,76)} = 11.8095$, $p=0.001$. Posthoc comparison indicated that regardless of sex, the SPS group expressed higher freezing than the control group ($p<0.05$ respectively), while the double-hit group prevented the

140 effect of SPS, remaining at control freezing levels and expressing significantly less freezing time
141 than the SPS group ($p < 0.05$). When analyzing the individual responses by sex
142 (planned comparisons), we observed that in female rats, only the SPS group differed from
143 control ($p < 0.05$). In the case of male rats, the SPS group had higher freezing than control
144 ($p < 0.05$) and the adol CVS-SPS group ($p < 0.05$).

145

146 Context conditioned response: To quantify the conditioned response to the conditioning

147 context, we evaluated the freezing response evoked every day of the extinction procedure

148 before the first tone was presented and analyzed the progression over 3 days (Fig 1.E-F). We

149 observed a main effect of adol CVS $F_{(1,76)} = 4.097$, $p = 0.046$ and significant adol CVS x SPS

150 interaction $F_{(1,76)} = 11.729$, $p = 0.001$. The posthoc analysis indicated that animals subjected to SPS

expressed higher freezing when re-exposed to the conditioning context compared to all the other groups ($p < 0.05$ respectively). There was a sex effect $F_{(1,76)} = 6.971$, $p = 0.01$, with male rats having more freezing time. There was also an effect of time $F_{(2,152)} = 172.946$, $p < 0.00001$, indicating reduction of freezing to subsequent exposure. Finally, there was a time x sex x SPS ($F_{(2,152)} = 9.253$, $p = 0.0002$) interaction. Planned comparisons showed that male rats subjected to SPS alone expressed higher freezing than the control group on day 2 while the group subjected to the double-hit model of stress had less context freezing compared to all the other groups on day 1 ($p < 0.05$ respectively). This difference was maintained against the SPS group on the rest of the days tested ($P < 0.05$ respectively). In the case of females, SPS group had higher freezing to the context than all the other groups on day 1 ($p < 0.05$ respectively) and the adol CVS-SPS group also had more freezing compared to controls on that day ($p < 0.05$).

Fos expression after reinstatement: Figure 2 summarizes Fos activation (Fos immunoreactivity, Fos-ir) in the mPFC and amygdala assessed following the reinstatement trial (90 min after the onset of the session). In the case of males, we observed a significant effect of SPS in the infralimbic (IL) cortex of male rats, $F_{(1,16)} = 7.706$, $p = 0.0135$. Planned comparisons confirmed that the SPS groups had significantly less Fos-ir than the control and CVS animals ($p < 0.05$), while the other groups did not differ from controls (Fig 2.A). In females, effects of adol CVS and SPS were only observed in the central nucleus of the amygdala, particularly, in lateral (CeL) but not medial subdivision of the central amygdala (CeM) (SPS $F_{(1,23)} = 5.945$, $p = 0.0229$, adol CVS x SPS $F_{(1,23)} = 7.241$, $p = 0.0130$). Bonferroni's test confirmed that SPS significantly increased neuronal recruitment ($p < 0.05$) and this was prevented in the double-hit group ($p < 0.05$) (Fig 2.B).

174

175

176 Experiment 3: Electrophysiology: We next investigated the potential cellular mechanisms
 177 underlying how adolescent stress can prevent SPS-induced changes in fear behavior and Fos
 178 activation in IL in male rats. Male rats were selected based on the clear effects of both SPS and
 179 adolCVS/SPS on extinction learning, a process linked to the IL. We measured the intrinsic
 180 membrane properties and firing frequency of IL pyramidal neurons in layer V, the major source
 181 of subcortical output from the IL (Baker et al., 2018). We found that prior experience of adol
 182 CVS prevented SPS-mediated changes in intrinsic excitability of IL pyramidal neurons. There was
 183 a significant main effect of SPS ($F_{(1,99)} = 32.3$, $p < 0.0001^a$ (table 1)) and adol CVS ($F_{(1,99)} = 8.5$,

184 $p=0.005^a$) on rheobase (Fig.3D). SPS significantly increased rheobase compared to the control
185 group ($p<0.05$), which was prevented by prior adol CVS ($p<0.05$ compared to SPS). There was a
186 significant main effect of SPS ($F_{(1,98)}= 41.96$, $p<0.0001^b$), adol CVS ($F_{(1,98)}= 21.7$, $p=0.0002^b$) and a
187 significant adol CVS X SPS interaction ($F_{(1,98)}= 6.7$, $p=0.01^b$) on membrane resistance (Fig.3E).
188 Bonferroni's test indicated that SPS significantly decreased membrane resistance ($p<0.05$),
189 which was prevented by prior adol CVS ($p<0.05$ compared to SPS). Statistical analysis for
190 membrane resistance was performed on log transformed data. There were significant main
191 effects of SPS ($F_{(1,92)}=19$, $p<0.0001^c$), adol CVS ($F_{(1,92)}=14.3$, $p=0.0003^c$) and a significant adol CVS
192 x SPS interaction ($F_{(1,92)}=5.0$, $p=0.02^c$) on action potential (AP) threshold (Fig 3F) . Bonferroni's
193 test indicated that SPS significantly increased AP threshold compared to controls ($p<0.05$), with
194 prior adol CVS preventing the effect ($p<0.05$ compared to SPS). There were main effects of SPS
195 ($F_{(1,97)}=20$, $p<0.001^d$), adol CVS ($F_{(1,97)}=25.9$, $p<0.0001^d$) and significant adol CVS x SPS interaction
196 ($F_{(1,97)}= 6.5$, $p=0.01^d$) on action potential amplitude (Fig.3G). Bonferroni's test indicated that SPS
197 significantly lowered AP amplitude compared to controls ($p<0.05$), and prior experience adol
198 CVS prevented it ($p<0.05$ compared to SPS). There was a significant effect of SPS ($F_{(1,97)}=8.3$,
199 $p=0.005^e$) and adol CVS ($F_{(1,97)}= 42.2$, $p<0.0001^e$) on AP50 (Fig. 3H). Planned comparisons
200 indicated a decrease in AP50 following SPS compared to control ($p<0.05$) and prior adol CVS
201 prevented that effect ($p<0.05$ compared to SPS). Increase in AP50 was also observed following
202 adol CVS only ($p<0.05$ compared to control). Resting membrane potential (RMP) was unaltered
203 among the groups (Fig.3I). 2 way ANOVA revealed no significant main effect of SPS ($F_{(1,95)}=1.3$,
204 $p=0.3$), adol CVS ($F_{(1,95)}=0.02$, $p=0.9$) or SPSx adol CVS interaction on RMP ($F_{(1,95)}=0.1$, $p=0.7$).

205 Membrane capacitance was unaltered among groups (Fig.3J) indicating the treatments did not
 206 likely affect cell size. 2 way ANOVA of membrane capacitance revealed no significant main
 207 effect of SPS ($F_{(1,97)}=1.8$, $p=0.2$), Adol CVS ($F_{(1,97)}=3.6$, $p=0.06$) or SPSx adol CVS interaction
 208 ($F_{(1,97)}=2.9$, $p=0.09$). Analysis of peak firing frequency revealed a significant main effect of SPS
 209 ($F_{(1,36)}=13.4$, $p=0.0008^h$). Planned comparisons indicated that SPS significantly reduced peak
 210 firing frequency compared to controls ($p<0.05$), whereas the prior adol CVS+SPS group did not
 211 differ from the control group (Fig.3K). Figure 3L shows representative traces of action potentials
 212 evoked by 20pA current injection for the respective groups. Together these data indicate that
 213 prior experience of adolescent stress is able to prevent the reduction in intrinsic excitability and
 214 firing rate of IL layer V pyramidal neurons following SPS.

pyramidal neurons. **(A)** Experimental timeline; **(B)** Schematic of coronal brain sections through PFC where recordings were performed, blue boxes indicate infralimbic region of the PFC; **(C)** Pyramidal neurons were identified based on somal morphology and presence of prominent apical dendrite . Arrows indicate pyramidal neurons; SPS increased rheobase **(D)** and decreased membrane resistance **(E)**, whereas prior experience of adol CVS was able to prevent these effects. SPS increased the threshold for action potential (AP) firing **(F)** and decreased AP amplitude **(G)**, both of which were prevented by prior adol CVS. SPS also reduced the duration of AP (AP 50), which was also blocked by prior adol CVS. It should be noted that adol CVS alone increased AP duration **(H)**. Finally, adol CVS was also able to attenuate the reduction in peak firing frequency observed following SPS **(K)**. No changes in resting membrane potential **(I)** or membrane capacitance **(J)** were observed. **(L)** demonstrates representative traces of action potentials evoked by 20pA current injection for the respective groups; For D,H,K * and # represents planned comparison effects compared with control and SPS respectively. For E, F and G* and # represents post hoc Bonferroni effects compared with control and SPS respectively. Data represented as Mean±SEM.

215

216

217 Discussion

218 Our results strongly suggest that prior experience with stress during adolescence can evoke a

219 resilient phenotype in the adult, characterized by the prevention of the effects of SPS in a fear

220 conditioning paradigm and on IL pyramidal cell excitability. Our data indicate that the

221 adaptations resulting from exposure to chronic stress during adolescence buffer the behavioral

222 impact of a model of traumatic stress in adulthood, blocking known effects of SPS on

223 subsequent fear potentiation.

While prior CVS is able to block enhancement of reinstatement in both sexes, it appears to do so by distinct neuronal mechanisms. Reversal of SPS-induced reinstatement was accompanied by IL hypoactivity in males and CeL recruitment in females, suggestion differential engagement of cortical regions regulating extinction (males) vs. fear expression (females) across the sexes. Notably extinction deficits were only observed in males, consistent with the known role of the IL in extinction of conditioned fear. A role for the IL in CVS-induced resilience in males is further supported by hypoactivity of layer V pyramidal cells following SPS, which is blocked by prior adolescent CVS (Figure 4).

Stress during development is generally thought to evoke negative behavioral effects later in life (Begni et al., 2020; Bourke and Neigh, 2011; Cotella et al., 2020, 2019; Green et al., 2013; Negrón-Oyarzo et al., 2014; Wilkin et al., 2012; Wulsin et al., 2016). However, prior studies also support the ability of adolescent stress to confer stress resilience in adulthood, using a number of stress models, e.g., intermittent predator stress (Kendig et al., 2011) and predictable chronic mild stress (PCMS) (Suo et al., 2013). Adolescent PCMS enhances extinction and prevents reinstatement and spontaneous recovery in a fear conditioning model evaluated immediately and one week following PCMS (Deng et al., 2017). Consistent with our results, these suggest that adolescent stress enhancement of resilience endures well beyond the time of exposure. The impact of adolescent stress differs that of stress imposition earlier in life, where the data generally report detrimental effects of stress (Johnson and Casey, 2014; Lukkes et al., 2009; McEwen, 2007; Vyas et al., 2002; Yee et al., 2012).

Although some authors proposed that the resilient phenotype is promoted by the predictability of the stressors (Deng et al., 2017), the general unpredictable nature of CVS suggests that the resilience mechanism is independent of response habituation. In our study, the adol CVS paradigm employs exposure to swim and restraint, albeit in an isolated and time-attenuated fashion relative to SPS. Nonetheless, the length and consecutive application of the stressors during SPS represents a distinct and intense unpredictable experience. This contention is supported by a recent report demonstrating behavioral resilience to SPS using exposure to completely different stressors during adolescence (Chaby et al., 2020). Timing combined with stressor modality seem to be an important factor as well. In this sense, prior work indicates adult resilience even after a single intense stressor protocol at PND37 (Moore et al., 2014) or following 3 days of predator related stressors at PND33-35 (Chaby et al., 2020). In contrast, a 3-day pre-pubertal exposure to variate stressors failed to attenuate exaggeration of fear responses in adulthood (Tsoory et al., 2010; Yee et al., 2012), indicating that developmental timing is critical for establishment of resilience.

262 Hypoactivity of the medial PFC is observed in several mental health disorders, including PTSD

263 (Hains and Arnsten, 2008). Results from our group and others indicate that stress during
264 adolescence reduces neuronal recruitment (Fos expression) to adult stressors in the mPFC
265 (Cotella et al., 2020, 2019; Ishikawa et al., 2015). In humans, PTSD has been associated with a
266 reduction in prefrontal drive, leading to abnormal extinction of conditioned fear (Milad et al.,
267 2009, 2006, 2005; Rauch et al., 2006). Similarly, reduced IL mPFC activity following SPS in male
268 rats may underlie abnormal extinction of fear responses (Piggott et al., 2019). Consistent with
269 these data, our results indicate reduced IL recruitment following SPS in male rats accompanied
270 by higher freezing during extinction and reinstatement. The reduced engagement of the IL in

response to conditioned cues during the reinstatement procedure in the SPS male group also suggests a possible reduction of IL activity occurring during the prior extinction procedure, which would explain the impairment of extinction learning previously observed only in males rats.

Neurons in the mPFC are specifically activated during stressful situations and modulate their responses to subsequent exposure to the same stressor experience (Jackson and Moghaddam, 2006), thus playing a critical role in eliciting adaptive responses to aversive stimuli (Milad and Quirk, 2002). Modification of PFC responses to the same stimulus can be mediated through altered glutamatergic or dopaminergic drive onto the mPFC projection neurons (Bagley and Moghaddam, 1997; Jackson and Moghaddam, 2004). Adolescent social defeat decreases adult NMDA receptor expression in the IL PFC, and also reduces freezing to fear conditioning (Novick et al., 2016). Thus, the enhanced excitability we observed in SPS rats with prior history of adol CVS might be a long-term adaptation to the reduced excitatory drive that may occur following adol CVS.

Intrinsic membrane properties play an important role in determining the prefrontal excitatory/inhibitory balance, as they directly shape neuronal output by influencing the probability of a neuron firing an action potential in response to synaptic inputs (Anderson et al., 2019). Our data indicate that the IL intrinsic excitability changes do not manifest at baseline conditions under adol CVS alone, consistent with prior work in mice resilient to social defeat (Friedman et al., 2014; Han and Nestler, 2017). Thus it is possible that prior adol CVS may serve to prime the pyramidal cells to react appropriately when faced with the second hit of SPS, compensating for reduced excitability associated with SPS. The exact mechanism underlying the

altered excitability of IL pyramidal neurons observed in our study is yet to be determined. Possibilities include lasting alteration in ion channel function (e.g., G protein-gated inwardly rectifying K⁺ channels) (Anderson et al., 2019; Hearing et al., 2013) or modulation on excitability by hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (Shah, 2014). Further work is needed to identify the specific ionic mechanisms by which adolescent stress can protect against future stressors during adulthood. (Matovic et al., 2020).

Conclusion:

Our results support the idea that certain combinations of stressful situations during adolescence can be beneficial, evoking resilience to stress in adult life. We propose that, in rats, chronic variable stress during late adolescence determines differential activation or recruitment of the IL in response to intense stress in adulthood. This rearrangement of prefrontal activity results in a phenotype that is resilient to stress-enhanced fear learning, reducing contextual response, facilitating extinction and preventing reinstatement of the fear conditioned response following trauma, findings that may lend insight into understanding susceptibility of resilience to PTSD. Furthermore, our data guide our next steps to understand the sex specific effects in behavioral resilience following adolescent stress that pointed to fundamental sex differences in stress reactive brain regions and their involvement in resilience. It would be important as well to determine which stressor type might result in a positive emotional valence and whether that ultimately evokes a resilience response. For example, the exercise (swim) or social component (crowding) of the adolescent CVS regimen might help individuals cope with subsequent stress in adulthood (Herring et al., 2010; Ozbay et

al., 2007). The next challenge is to find the most efficient developmental triggers for the generation of resilience to the effects of adult stress, possibly including positive developmental interventions, with the goal of reducing the incidence of stress-related affect conditions, including PTSD.

Materials and Methods

Animals: Male and female Sprague Dawley rats were bred in-house, weaned at postnatal day 21 (PND21) and pair-housed in standard clear cages (20 cm height x22 cm width x43 cm length) under a 12 h light/ 12 h dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 am), at constant room temperature (23±2 °C), with *ad libitum* access to food and water. All tests were performed during the light cycle, between 09:00 AM and 2:00 PM. All procedures and care performed in the animals were approved by the University of Cincinnati Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

328 **Adolescent chronic**

1 h shaker stress (100 rpm)
1 h cold room (4 °C)
30 min hypoxia exposure (8 % O ₂ and 92 % N ₂)
30 min restraint in plexiglass adjustable cylinder
10 min group cold swim (17±1 °C)
20 min group warm swim (32±1 °C)

variable stress

329 **(adol CVS):** After weaning the

330 animals, a cohort was randomly

331 assigned to 4 experimental groups Control (No CVS – No SPS), Adol CVS (only chronic stress in

332 adolescence), SPS (only single prolonged stress in adulthood) and CVS-SPS or double-hit group

333 (adol CVS + SPS in adulthood). The remaining animals from the litter were assigned to control

334 and adol CVS groups for the evaluation in the novel object test and Morris water maze to assess

335 general cognitive function. No more than 2 littermates were included in each experimental

336 group. Rats were subjected to our standard 14 days CVS protocol during late adolescence (PND

337 45 ± 2) following prior work from our group (Jankord et al., 2011). The **CVS paradigm** included a

338 set of unpredictable variable stressors applied twice daily (AM and PM, see table). In addition,

339 animals were exposed to overnight stressors every two days: 1) individual housing, 2) social

340 crowding (six rats per cage). Control animals were maintained in the same room and only

341 handled for normal husbandry. Except for overnight stressors, all the procedures related to CVS

342 were performed in a different room. Following CVS, animals were allowed to recover for 4

343 weeks to be then subjected to the single prolonged stress (SPS) protocol evaluated during

344 adulthood. The timeline of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1.

345

346

347

348 **Single prolonged stress (SPS):** A groups of rats subjected to adolescent CVS (and their

349 respective controls) were subjected to SPS 4 weeks after the end of CVS. For this, starting at

9:00 AM, animals were restrained for 2 hours in plexiglass adjustable cylinders dimensions 20cm X 7 cm. Immediately after 2h elapsed, they were subjected to 20 minutes of group swim (25 + 2°C) in a bucket with dimensions 50cm X 33cm. Immediately after they were retrieved from the water and the excess of water was eliminated from their coat, they were allowed to recover for 15 minutes in their home cage with their cage mate. Next, rats were placed into a glass chamber where they were exposed to ether vapor until loss of consciousness (loss of righting position and palpebral reflex). Immediately after unconsciousness was confirmed they were placed in a cage with clean bedding material and returned to their housing room. SPS was administered in a novel experimental room. After SPS, animals remained undisturbed for a week (the usual time required to observe SPS effects (Knox et al., 2012b; Kohda et al., 2007; Wen et al., 2015)). Control rats remained in the housing room during the application of SPS and were only subjected to cage change during that time.

Cued Fear Conditioning Paradigm: A week after SPS all groups were subjected to an auditory tone cued fear conditioning protocol to evaluate the performance of the animals during the conditioning, extinction, and reinstatement sessions. Behavioral evaluation occurred between 8:30 AM – 2:00 PM. **Conditioning:** animals were allowed to explore the conditioning chamber for 3 min, after which they were exposed to a 20s auditory tone (conditioned stimulus, CS), co-terminating with a 0.5s, 0.45mA shock (unconditioned stimulus, US), with an inter-trial interval (ITI) of 120s. The tone-shock pairings were repeated six times. Data were presented as % Freezing over CS time (20s). **Extinction:** 24 h after conditioning animals were subjected to 3 consecutive days of extinction in which they were exposed to 7 repetitions of the CS with 120s

ITI in the same conditioning chambers. Data were presented as Total % Freezing over the 3 days of the extinction procedure, corresponding to the cumulative % of freezing expressed over the cumulative CS time during the whole extinction session (7x20s: 140s). **Recall test:** 5 days after the last extinction session animals were placed in the conditioning chambers and exposed to 3 repetitions of the CS, with 120 s ITI with the purpose of evaluating spontaneous recovery of the conditioned response. Data were presented as Total % Freezing calculated as cumulative % of freezing expressed over the cumulative CS time during the session (3x20s: 60s).

Reinstatement: 48h after the recall session animals were exposed to a reinstatement session in the same conditioning chambers, consisting of 3 min of chamber exploration followed by 1 unpaired shock (US) (0.45 mA, 0.5s). After a delay of 120s animals were exposed to 5 repetitions of the CS (120s ITI). Data were expressed as total % Freezing during tones after unpaired shock, Total % Freezing over the cumulative duration of CS time (5x20s= 100s). Rats were euthanized 90 min after the onset of the session to obtain brains for immunohistochemistry.

Context fear conditioning test: As a way of quantifying the conditioned response to the context, we evaluated the initial freezing response exerted every day of the extinction procedure before the first tone was presented and analyzed the progression of this response over the 3 days. Data were expressed as % Freezing over the initial pre-tone exploration time (120s).

The conditioned response evaluated was freezing behavior, considered as general absence of movement, which was scored using a video tracking system (EthovisionXT-Noldus). We did not consider other behaviors as, in our set up, animals do not show conditioned darting or any

other escape related behaviors, with both sexes consistently exhibiting freezing in response to the tone. 90 minutes after reinstatement rats were euthanized to obtain their brains for immunodetection of Fos, a marker of neuronal activation. Results were analyzed considering sex as a variable.

Group composition fear conditioning experiment: The experiment was originally designed with 10 male rats per group and 12 female rats per group. A male rat assigned to CVS died of unknown reasons during the first week of CVS resulting in a n of 9 for that group. A spare rat was added to that home cage to avoid having to exclude the house mate of the lost individual due to isolation. Later, on extinction day 2, there was a malfunction of the conditioning chambers which resulted in shocking the animals as soon as the session started. This resulted in the exclusion of the 3 animals run during that session (2 controls, 1 CVS). Therefore beginning day 2 of the paradigm, the n for control and CVS males was 8.

In the case of females, we originally planned to include 12 females per group, nevertheless, due to a mistake during the day of SPS, 1 cage of CVS animals was wrongfully submitted to SPS in lieu of a cage without CVS planned to get SPS. That resulted in an imbalance in the final number of animals per group: Control and CVS-SPS groups had n=14 and CVS and SPS groups had n=10.

The experimental timeline is shown in Figure 1.

Immunohistochemistry: Rats were euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and immediately transcardially perfused with 0.9 % saline followed by 4 % paraformaldehyde in 0.1M phosphate buffer (PBS), pH 7.4. Brains were post-fixed in 4 % paraformaldehyde at 4 °C for 24 h, then transferred to 30 % sucrose in 0.1 M PBS at 4 °C where they were kept until tissue processing. Brains were sliced into serial 35 µm coronal sections using a freezing microtome

416 (–20 °C). Sections were collected into multi-well plates containing cryoprotectant solution (30 %
 417 Sucrose, 1 % polyvinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP-40), and 30 % ethylene glycol in 0.1M PBS). For
 418 immunolabeling, sections were washed 6×5 min in 0.01M PBS at room temperature (RT). After
 419 being rinsed, sections were incubated with 1 % sodium borohydride in 0.1 M PBS for 30 min at
 420 RT. After rinsing 6×5 min 0.1 M PBS, they were incubated in 3 % hydrogen peroxide diluted in
 421 0.1M PBS for 20 min. Subsequently, brain slices were rinsed 6×5 min and 4×15 min in 0.1M PBS
 422 and then incubated in blocking solution (4 % normal goat serum (NGS), 0.4 % TritonX-100, 0.2 %
 423 bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 0.1M PBS, 2 h at RT. Sections were then incubated with c-Fos
 424 rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:1000, Santa Cruz, sc-52) in blocking solution, overnight at RT. The
 425 next day, sections were rinsed (3×5 min) in 0.1 M PBS at RT, followed by incubation with
 426 secondary antibody (biotinylated goat anti-rabbit, 1:400; Vector Laboratories, BA1000) in
 427 blocking solution at RT for 1 h. Sections were again rinsed (3×5 min) in 0.1 M PBS and then
 428 reacted with avidin-biotin horseradish peroxidase complex (1:800 in 0.1 M PBS; Vector
 429 Laboratories) for 1 h at RT. Sections were then rinsed (3×5 min) in 0.1 M PBS and then
 430 developed with a 8 min incubation in DAB-Nickel solution: 10 mg 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB)
 431 tablet (Sigma, DF905), 0.5 ml of a 2 % aqueous nickel sulfate solution, 20ul of 30 % hydrogen
 432 peroxide in 50 ml of 0.1 M PBS. Sections were finally washed in PBS, mounted on superfrost
 433 slides (Fisherbrand, Fisher), allowed to dry, dehydrated in xylene, and then coverslipped in DPX
 434 mounting medium (Sigma). Sections from 5 to 7 brains per experimental group were processed.
 435 For analysis, we counted 3 bilateral sections from equivalent coordinates covering the anterior,
 436 medial and posterior portions of the prefrontal cortex (PFC), nuclei in the amygdala: central
 437 amygdaloid nucleus (CeAm), medial amygdaloid nucleus (MeAm), lateral amygdaloid nucleus

(LAm) and basolateral amygdala (BLA). Each brain region limit and coordinates were defined following a brain atlas (Paxinos and Watson, 2007). The number of Fos positive nuclei was counted with a semiautomatized method using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Counts of Fos immunoreactive cells were obtained from each area of interest using the Analyze Particle tool, using a defined common level of background intensity, nuclei circularity and size (previously validated manually). Once the number of Fos positive nuclei was determined in each section, the relative density of the population of immunopositive cells was calculated by dividing this number by the area measured in each case. Considering that the number of animals used simultaneously in the study makes it logistically complicated to process all the tissue at the same time or separating in batches and obtain homogenous immune staining, we decided to prioritize the within sex results for the Fos quantification and tissue from male and female rats was processed and analyzed independently.

Electrophysiology: Following the same timeline as the behavioral studies, whole-cell patch clamp recordings were obtained from layer V pyramidal neurons in the IL PFC. Details of slice preparation and electrophysiology recordings from adult PFC are given below.

Slice Preparation: Rats were sacrificed 7 days post SPS. Animals were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (390 mg/kg, Fatal-Plus) and decapitated. A warm slicing protocol was used to prepare healthy adult rat brain slices as previously described (Ting et al., 2014). Brains were quickly isolated and dura matter carefully removed before removing the cerebellum. The

brain was then immediately glued to a cutting stage immersed in NMDG solution (92 mM NMDG, 2.5 mM KCl, 1.2 mM NaH₂PO₄, 30 mM NaHCO₃, 20 mM HEPES, 25 mM glucose, 5 mM sodium ascorbate, 2 mM thiourea, 3 mM sodium pyruvate, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 0.5 mM CaCl₂) at a temperature of 34-36°C and continuously bubbled with 95% oxygen and 5% carbon-dioxide. Coronal slices containing the mPFC were sectioned at 300 µm thickness using a vibrating microtome (7000smz-2; Campden Instruments, Lafayette, IN) with ceramic blades (Campden Instruments) at an advance speed of 0.03 mm/s. Vertical vibration of the blade was manually tuned in accordance with the user manual, and was set to 0.1 – 0.3 µm. Bath temperature was kept within the desired range of 34-36°C, by adding warm or cold water into the external chamber of the slicer, and was monitored throughout the cutting procedure with a conventional mercury/glass thermometer. The slices were allowed to recover for 1 hour in oxygenated NMDG solution at 34-36°C. At the end of recovery, slices were transferred to a chamber containing oxygenated artificial CSF solution (125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM NaH₂PO₄, 25 mM glucose, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂) for at least 30 minutes at room temperature after which the slices were ready for in vitro patch clamp recordings for the next 1-6 hours.

Electrophysiological recording: Brain slices were transferred to a submersion-type recording chamber (RC-22; Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT) and mounted onto the stage of an upright microscope (BX51WI, Olympus, Center Valley, PA). Slices were then perfused at a flow rate of 2–4 ml/min with oxygenated aCSF at 34-36°C. Patch electrodes were constructed from thin-walled single-filamented borosilicate glass (1.5 mm outer diameter; World Precision Instruments) using a microelectrode puller (P-97; Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA) and filled

with an intracellular solution (130 mM K-gluconate, 10 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM sodium phosphocreatine, 4 mM MgATP, and 0.3 mM Na₂-GTP, pH 7.2, 295-300 mOsm). Pipette resistances ranged from 4 to 6 MΩ, and seal resistances were > 1 GΩ.

Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were obtained from layer V pyramidal in the mPFC using a MultiClamp 700B Amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Pyramidal neurons were easily identifiable in the slice based on soma morphology and the presence of a prominent apical dendrite. In the current clamp mode, once a stable membrane potential was observed, intrinsic excitability measurements were performed at the resting membrane potential (RMP). Cell capacitance was measured using the membrane test function in pClamp 10.4 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). All measurements of intrinsic membrane excitability were taken from RMP. Rheobase was measured by applying depolarizing current steps (10 pA steps, 100 msec duration) until the generation of a single action potential (AP). Input resistance was measured by applying a hyperpolarizing current step (-20 pA) via the patch electrode. AP threshold was defined as the V_m measured 0.5ms before the peak in the second derivative of the waveform. The action potential threshold and amplitude were analyzed for the first spike at the rheobase current injection. Duration of APs (AP₅₀) was determined by measuring the elapsed time from the peak of the AP to 50% maximum amplitude during the repolarization phase. Cells with RMP lower than -55mV were included for the final analysis. Outliers were detected using the Grubbs' test (GraphPad Software) and removed from analysis. For AP₅₀: 1 neuron from control and adol CVS+ SPS group; RMP: 1 neuron from control and adol CVS; AP amplitude: 1 neuron from adol CVS group; Membrane resistance: 1 neuron from adol CVS + SPS group; Capacitance: 1 neuron from control and 3 neurons from adol CVS group and for AP

threshold 3 neurons from adol CVS and adol CVS+SPS were removed as outliers. Firing rate was measured in response to 20 pA and 1 sec duration depolarizing current steps in the current clamp configuration. Only cells that produced greater or equal to 5 action potentials for up to 240 pA current injection were included in the final analysis. Peak firing frequency was reported as the maximum number of action potentials generated in a given neuron following a current injection step. Number of cells used for electrophysiology are outlined in table 1. Membrane voltages were adjusted for liquid junction potentials (approximately -14 mV) calculated using JPCalc software (P. Barry, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; modified for Molecular Devices). Signals were filtered at 4–6 kHz through a -3 dB, four-pole low-pass Bessel filter and digitally sampled at 20 kHz using a commercially available data acquisition system (Digidata 1550A with pClamp 10.4 software). Data were recorded using pClamp, version 10.4 (Molecular Devices) and stored on a computer for offline analysis. Recordings were detected and analysed using Clampfit (Molecular Devices).

Statistical analysis

Fear conditioning data were analyzed by repeated measurements ANOVA (adol CVS x SPS x Sex x time), with a level of significance of $p < 0.05$. Novel object recognition and Morris water maze data were analyzed by 2x2 ANOVA (Adol CVS x Sex). Fos data were analyzed by a 2-way ANOVA (2x2 design: adol CVS x SPS) within each sex with a level of significance $p < 0.05$. Electrophysiology data were analyzed by 2x2 ANOVA (adol CVS x SPS). Details of number of cells used for electrophysiology data analysis are outlined in table 1. In the cases where significant differences and interactions were found, the Bonferroni test was used for post hoc analysis. In the case there were only main effects of the factors but no significant interaction between

them, we performed planned comparisons to evaluate individual differences. Data were analyzed using STATISTICA 7.0 (Statsoft, Inc.,Tulsa, USA) and Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). Data not following a normal distribution were log transformed for statistical analysis.

Table 1.

Table depicts number of cells used for the electrophysiology data analysis

	Number of cells
a	Rheobase was measured in 19 neurons in the control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 35 neurons in adolescent stress group, 31 neurons in adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
b	Membrane resistance was measured in 19 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 35 neurons in adolescent stress group and 30 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
c	AP threshold was measured in 19 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 32 neurons in adolescent stress group and 28 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
d	AP amplitude was measured in 19 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 34 neurons in adolescent stress group and 31 neurons adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
e	AP ₅₀ was measured in 18 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 35 neurons in adolescent stress group and 30 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
f	Resting membrane potential was measured in 18 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 34 neurons in adolescent stress group and 31 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
g	Capacitance was measured in 19 neurons in control group, 17 neurons in SPS group, 32 neurons in adolescent stress group and 31 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group
h	Peak firing frequency was measured in 11 neurons in control group, 8 neurons in SPS group, 5 neurons in adolescent stress group and 16 neurons in the adolescent stress and SPS group n=2-3 animals/group

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank other members of Dr Herman's laboratory for their assistance in data collection and general discussion of the results. Images were created with BioRender.com.

Funding: This project was funded by the National Institutes of Health (R01MH101729, R01 MH049698 and R01 MH119814 to JPH, T32 DK059803 to EMC and SEM, F31MH123041 to NN), U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (Grant I01BX003858 to JPH), NARSAD Young Investigator Award from the Brain and Behavior Research Foundation to RDM, Cohen Veterans Bioscience: Preclinical Grants Program (AMP-IT-UP) to SEM.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that this study was conducted in the absence of any financial or commercial relationships that could be considered as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Andersen SL, Teicher MH. 2008. Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in adolescent depression. *Trends Neurosci* **31**:183–91. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2008.01.004
- Anderson EM, Gomez D, Caccamise A, McPhail D, Hearing M. 2019. Chronic unpredictable stress promotes cell-specific plasticity in prefrontal cortex D1 and D2 pyramidal neurons. *Neurobiol Stress* **10**:100152. doi:10.1016/j.ynstr.2019.100152
- Bagley J, Moghaddam B. 1997. Temporal dynamics of glutamate efflux in the prefrontal cortex and in the hippocampus following repeated stress: Effects of pretreatment with saline or diazepam: *Neuroscience* **77**:65–73. doi:10.1016/S0306-4522(96)00435-6
- Baker A, Kalmbach B, Morishima M, Kim J, Juavinett A, Li N, Dembrow N. 2018. Specialized subpopulations of deep-layer pyramidal neurons in the neocortex: Bridging cellular properties to functional consequences. *J Neurosci* **38**:5441–5455. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0150-18.2018

559 Begni V, Zampar S, Longo L, Riva MA. 2020. Sex Differences in the Enduring Effects of Social
560 Deprivation during Adolescence in Rats: Implications for Psychiatric Disorders.
561 *Neuroscience* **437**:11–22. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2020.04.018

562 Benjet C, Bromet E, Karam EG, Kessler RC, McLaughlin KA, Ruscio AM, Shahly V, Stein DJ,
563 Petukhova M, Hill E, Alonso J, Atwoli L, Bunting B, Bruffaerts R, Caldas-de-Almeida JM, de
564 Girolamo G, Florescu S, Gureje O, Huang Y, Lepine JP, Kawakami N, Kovess-Masfety V,
565 Medina-Mora ME, Navarro-Mateu F, Piazza M, Posada-Villa J, Scott KM, Shalev A, Slade T,
566 ten Have M, Torres Y, Viana MC, Zarkov Z, Koenen KC. 2016. The epidemiology of
567 traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey
568 Consortium. *Psychol Med* **46**:327–43. doi:10.1017/S0033291715001981

569 Blouin AM, Sullivan SE, Joseph NF, Miller CA. 2016. The potential of epigenetics in stress-
570 enhanced fear learning models of PTSD. *Learn Mem* **23**:576–86.
571 doi:10.1101/lm.040485.115

572 Bourke CH, Neigh GN. 2011. Behavioral effects of chronic adolescent stress are sustained and
573 sexually dimorphic. *Horm Behav* **60**:112–120. doi:10.1016/J.YHBEH.2011.03.011

574 Chaby LE, Sadik N, Burson NA, Lloyd S, O'Donnel K, Winters J, Conti AC, Liberzon I, Perrine SA.
575 2020. Repeated stress exposure in mid-adolescence attenuates behavioral, noradrenergic,
576 and epigenetic effects of trauma-like stress in early adult male rats. *Sci Rep* **10**:17935.
577 doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74481-3

578 Cotella EM, Morano RL, Wulsin AC, Martelle SM, Lemen P, Fitzgerald M, Packard BA, Moloney
579 RD, Herman JP. 2020. Lasting Impact of Chronic Adolescent Stress and Glucocorticoid
580 Receptor Selective Modulation in Male and Female Rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*

581 **112**:104490. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2019.104490

582 Cotella EM, Scarponi Gómez A, Lemen P, Chen C, Fernández G, Hansen C, Herman JP, Paglini

583 MG. 2019. Long-term impact of chronic variable stress in adolescence versus adulthood.

584 *Prog Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol Psychiatry* **88**:303–310.

585 doi:10.1016/J.PNPBP.2018.08.003

586 Covington HE, Lobo MK, Maze I, Vialou V, Hyman JM, Zaman S, LaPlant Q, Mouzon E, Ghose S,

587 Tamminga CA, Neve RL, Deisseroth K, Nestler EJ. 2010. Antidepressant Effect of

588 Optogenetic Stimulation of the Medial Prefrontal Cortex. *J Neurosci* **30**:16082–16090.

589 doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1731-10.2010

590 de Kloet ER, Joëls M, Holsboer F. 2005. Stress and the brain: from adaptation to disease. *Nat*

591 *Rev Neurosci* **6**:463–475. doi:10.1038/nrn1683

592 Deng JH, Yan W, Han Y, Chen C, Meng SQ, Sun CY, Xu LZ, Xue YX, Gao XJ, Chen N, Zhang FL,

593 Wang YM, Shi J, Lu L. 2017. Predictable Chronic Mild Stress during Adolescence Promotes

594 Fear Memory Extinction in Adulthood. *Sci Rep* **7**:1–15. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-08017-7

595 Friedman AK, Walsh JJ, Juarez B, Ku SM, Chaudhury D, Wang J, Li X, Dietz DM, Pan N, Vialou VF,

596 Neve RL, Yue Z, Han MH. 2014. Enhancing depression mechanisms in midbrain dopamine

597 neurons achieves homeostatic resilience. *Science (80-)* **344**:313–319.

598 doi:10.1126/science.1249240

599 Giustino TF, Maren S. 2015. The Role of the Medial Prefrontal Cortex in the Conditioning and

600 Extinction of Fear. *Front Behav Neurosci* **9**. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2015.00298

601 Green MR, Barnes B, McCormick CM. 2013. Social instability stress in adolescence increases

602 anxiety and reduces social interactions in adulthood in male long-evans rats. *Dev*

603 *Psychobiol* **55**:849–859. doi:10.1002/dev.21077

604 Hains AB, Arnsten AFT. 2008. Molecular mechanisms of stress-induced prefrontal cortical
605 impairment: implications for mental illness. *Learn Mem* **15**:551–64.
606 doi:10.1101/lm.921708

607 Han MH, Nestler EJ. 2017. Neural Substrates of Depression and Resilience. *Neurotherapeutics*.
608 doi:10.1007/s13311-017-0527-x

609 Hearing M, Kotecki L, MarronFernandezdeVelasco E, Fajardo-Serrano A, Chung HJ, Luján R,
610 Wickman K. 2013. Repeated Cocaine Weakens GABAB-Girk Signaling in Layer 5/6
611 Pyramidal Neurons in the Prelimbic Cortex. *Neuron* **80**:159–170.
612 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.07.019

613 Heim C, Newport DJ, Mletzko T, Miller AH, Nemeroff CB. 2008. The link between childhood
614 trauma and depression: Insights from HPA axis studies in humans.
615 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **33**:693–710. doi:10.1016/J.PSYNEUEN.2008.03.008

616 Herring MP, O'Connor PJ, Dishman RK. 2010. The effect of exercise training on anxiety
617 symptoms among patients: A systematic review. *Arch Intern Med*.
618 doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.530

619 Ishikawa J, Nishimura R, Ishikawa A. 2015. Early-life stress induces anxiety-like behaviors and
620 activity imbalances in the medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala in adult rats. *Eur J*
621 *Neurosci* **41**:442–453. doi:10.1111/ejn.12825

622 Jackson ME, Moghaddam B. 2006. Distinct patterns of plasticity in prefrontal cortex neurons
623 that encode slow and fast responses to stress. *Eur J Neurosci* **24**:1702–1710.
624 doi:10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.05054.x

625 Jackson ME, Moghaddam B. 2004. Stimulus-specific plasticity of prefrontal cortex dopamine
626 neurotransmission. *J Neurochem* **88**:1327–1334. doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.2003.02205.x

627 Jankord R, Solomon MB, Albertz J, Flak JN, Zhang R, Herman JP. 2011. Stress vulnerability during
628 adolescent development in rats. *Endocrinology* **152**:629–38. doi:10.1210/en.2010-0658

629 Johnson DC, Casey BJ. 2014. Easy to remember, difficult to forget: The development of fear
630 regulation. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2014.07.006

631 Kendig MD, Bowen MT, Kemp AH, McGregor IS. 2011. Predatory threat induces huddling in
632 adolescent rats and residual changes in early adulthood suggestive of increased resilience.
633 *Behav Brain Res* **225**:405–414. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2011.07.058

634 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. 2005. Lifetime Prevalence
635 and Age-of-Onset Distributions of DSM-IV Disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey
636 Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **62**:593. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593

637 Knox D, George SA, Fitzpatrick CJ, Rabinak CA, Maren S, Liberzon I. 2012a. Single prolonged
638 stress disrupts retention of extinguished fear in rats. *Learn Mem* **19**:43–9.
639 doi:10.1101/lm.024356.111

640 Knox D, Nault T, Henderson C, Liberzon I. 2012b. Glucocorticoid receptors and extinction
641 retention deficits in the single prolonged stress model. *Neuroscience* **223**:163–173.
642 doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2012.07.047

643 Kohda K, Harada K, Kato K, Hoshino A, Motohashi J, Yamaji T, Morinobu S, Matsuoka N, Kato N.
644 2007. Glucocorticoid receptor activation is involved in producing abnormal phenotypes of
645 single-prolonged stress rats: A putative post-traumatic stress disorder model.
646 *Neuroscience* **148**:22–33. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2007.05.041

647 Kumar S, Hultman R, Hughes D, Michel N, Katz BM, Dzirasa K. 2014. Prefrontal cortex reactivity
648 underlies trait vulnerability to chronic social defeat stress. *Nat Commun* **5**:4537.
649 doi:10.1038/ncomms5537

650 Liberzon I, Krstov M, Young EA. 1997. Stress-restress: Effects on ACTH and fast feedback.
651 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **22**:443–453. doi:10.1016/S0306-4530(97)00044-9

652 Liberzon I, López JF, Flagel SB, Vázquez DM, Young EA. 1999. Differential Regulation of
653 Hippocampal Glucocorticoid Receptors mRNA and Fast Feedback: Relevance to Post-
654 Traumatic Stress Disorder, *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*.

655 Lukkes JL, Mokin M V, Scholl JL, Forster GL. 2009. Adult rats exposed to early-life social isolation
656 exhibit increased anxiety and conditioned fear behavior, and altered hormonal stress
657 responses. *Horm Behav* **55**:248–56. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.10.014

658 Matovic S, Ichiyama A, Igarashi H, Salter EW, Sunstrum JK, Wang XF, Henry M, Kuebler ES,
659 Vernoux N, Martinez-Trujillo J, Tremblay M, Inoue W. 2020. Neuronal hypertrophy
660 dampens neuronal intrinsic excitability and stress responsiveness during chronic stress. *J*
661 *Physiol* **598**:2757–2773. doi:10.1113/JP279666

662 McEwen BS. 2007. Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the
663 brain. *Physiol Rev* **87**:873–904. doi:10.1152/physrev.00041.2006

664 Meir Drexler S, Wolf OT. 2017. The role of glucocorticoids in emotional memory
665 reconsolidation. *Neurobiol Learn Mem*. doi:10.1016/j.nlm.2016.11.008

666 Milad MR, Orr SP, Pitman RK, Rauch SL. 2005. Context modulation of memory for fear
667 extinction in humans. *Psychophysiology* **42**:456–464. doi:10.1111/j.1469-
668 8986.2005.00302.x

- 669 Milad MR, Pitman RK, Ellis CB, Gold AL, Shin LM, Lasko NB, Zeidan MA, Handwerker K, Orr SP,
670 Rauch SL. 2009. Neurobiological Basis of Failure to Recall Extinction Memory in
671 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* **66**:1075–1082.
672 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.06.026
- 673 Milad MR, Quirk GJ. 2002. Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear
674 extinction. *Nature* **420**:70–74. doi:10.1038/nature01138
- 675 Milad MR, Rauch SL, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ. 2006. Fear extinction in rats: Implications for human
676 brain imaging and anxiety disorders. *Biol Psychol* **73**:61–71.
677 doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.01.008
- 678 Moore NLT, Gauchan S, Genovese RF. 2014. Adolescent traumatic stress experience results in
679 less robust conditioned fear and post-extinction fear cue responses in adult rats.
680 *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* **120**:17–24. doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2014.01.011
- 681 Negrón-Oyarzo I, Pérez MÁ, Terreros G, Muñoz P, Dagnino-Subiabre A. 2014. Effects of chronic
682 stress in adolescence on learned fear, anxiety, and synaptic transmission in the rat
683 prelimbic cortex. *Behav Brain Res* **259**:342–353. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2013.11.001
- 684 Novick AM, Mears M, Forster GL, Lei Y, Tejani-Butt SM, Watt MJ. 2016. Adolescent social defeat
685 alters N-methyl-d-aspartic acid receptor expression and impairs fear learning in adulthood.
686 *Behav Brain Res* **304**:51–59. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2016.02.013
- 687 Oitzl MS, Champagne DL, van der Veen R, de Kloet ER. 2010. Brain development under stress:
688 Hypotheses of glucocorticoid actions revisited. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **34**:853–866.
689 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.07.006
- 690 Öngür D, Price JL. 2000. The organization of networks within the orbital and medial prefrontal

691 cortex of rats, monkeys and humans. *Cereb Cortex*. doi:10.1093/cercor/10.3.206

692 Ordoñez Sanchez E, Bavley CC, Deutschmann AU, Carpenter R, Peterson DR, Karbalaee R,
693 Flowers J, Rogers CM, Langrehr MG, Ardekani CS, Famularo ST, Bongiovanni AR, Knouse
694 MC, Floresco SB, Briand LA, Wimmer ME, Bangasser DA. 2021. Early life adversity
695 promotes resilience to opioid addiction-related phenotypes in male rats and sex-specific
696 transcriptional changes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **118**:e2020173118.
697 doi:10.1073/pnas.2020173118

698 Ozbay F, Johnson DC, Dimoulas E, Morgan CA, Charney D, Southwick S. 2007. Social support and
699 resilience to stress: from neurobiology to clinical practice. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)* **4**:35–40.

700 Paus T, Keshavan M, Giedd JN. 2008. Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during
701 adolescence? *Nat Rev Neurosci* **9**:947–957. doi:10.1038/nrn2513

702 Paxinos G, Watson C. 2007. The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates. Elsevier.

703 Piggott VM, Bosse KE, Lisieski MJ, Strader JA, Stanley JA, Conti AC, Ghoddoussi F, Perrine SA.
704 2019. Single-prolonged stress impairs prefrontal cortex control of amygdala and striatum
705 in rats. *Front Behav Neurosci* **13**. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00018

706 Rauch SL, Shin LM, Phelps EA. 2006. Neurocircuitry Models of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and
707 Extinction: Human Neuroimaging Research-Past, Present, and Future. *Biol Psychiatry*.
708 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.06.004

709 Riboni FV, Belzung C. 2017. Stress and psychiatric disorders: from categorical to dimensional
710 approaches. *Curr Opin Behav Sci*. doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.12.011

711 Ricon T, Toth E, Leshem M, Braun K, Richter-Levin G. 2012. Unpredictable chronic stress in
712 juvenile or adult rats has opposite effects, respectively, promoting and impairing

713 resilience. *Stress* **15**:11–20. doi:10.3109/10253890.2011.572207

714 Romeo RD. 2015. Perspectives on stress resilience and adolescent neurobehavioral function.

715 *Neurobiol Stress*. doi:10.1016/j.ynstr.2014.11.001

716 Russo SJ, Murrough JW, Han MH, Charney DS, Nestler EJ. 2012. Neurobiology of resilience. *Nat*

717 *Neurosci*. doi:10.1038/nn.3234

718 Sareen J. 2014. Posttraumatic stress disorder in adults: Impact, comorbidity, risk factors, and

719 treatment. *Can J Psychiatry* **59**:460–467. doi:10.1177/070674371405900902

720 Schmidt M. 2011. Animal models for depression and the mismatch hypothesis of disease.

721 *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **36**:330–338. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2010.07.001

722 Shah MM. 2014. Cortical HCN channels: Function, trafficking and plasticity. *J Physiol* **592**:2711–

723 2719. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.270058

724 Southwick SM, Charney DS. 2012. The Science of Resilience: Implications for the Prevention and

725 Treatment of Depression. *Science (80-)* **338**:79–82. doi:10.1126/science.1222942

726 Suo L, Zhao L, Si J, Liu J, Zhu W, Chai B, Zhang Y, Feng J, Ding Z, Luo Y, Shi H, Shi J, Lu L. 2013.

727 Predictable chronic mild stress in adolescence increases resilience in adulthood.

728 *Neuropsychopharmacology* **38**:1387–1400. doi:10.1038/npp.2013.67

729 Ting JT, Daigle TL, Chen Q, Feng G. 2014. Acute brain slice methods for adult and aging animals:

730 Application of targeted patch clamp analysis and optogenetics. *Methods Mol Biol*

731 **1183**:221–242. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1096-0_14

732 Tost H, Champagne FA, Meyer-Lindenberg A. 2015. Environmental influence in the brain,

733 human welfare and mental health. *Nat Neurosci*. doi:10.1038/nn.4108

734 Tsoory MM, Guterman A, Richter-Levin G. 2010. “Juvenile stress” alters maturation-related

changes in expression of the neural cell adhesion molecule L1 in the limbic system:

Relevance for stress-related psychopathologies. *J Neurosci Res* **88**:369–380.

doi:10.1002/jnr.22203

Vyas A, Mitra R, Shankaranarayana Rao BS, Chattarji S. 2002. Chronic stress induces contrasting patterns of dendritic remodeling in hippocampal and amygdaloid neurons. *J Neurosci* **22**:6810–8. doi:20026655

Wen L, Han F, Shi Y. 2015. Changes in the Glucocorticoid Receptor and Ca²⁺/Calreticulin-Dependent Signalling Pathway in the Medial Prefrontal Cortex of Rats with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder. *J Mol Neurosci* **56**:24–34. doi:10.1007/s12031-014-0464-7

Wilkin MM, Waters P, McCormick CM, Menard JL. 2012. Intermittent physical stress during early- and mid-adolescence differentially alters rats' anxiety- and depression-like behaviors in adulthood. *Behav Neurosci* **126**:344–360. doi:10.1037/a0027258

Wulsin AC, Wick-Carlson D, Packard BA, Morano R, Herman JP. 2016. Adolescent chronic stress causes hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical hypo-responsiveness and depression-like behavior in adult female rats. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **65**:109–17. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2015.12.004

Yee N, Schwarting RKW, Fuchs E, Wöhr M. 2012. Juvenile stress potentiates aversive 22-kHz ultrasonic vocalizations and freezing during auditory fear conditioning in adult male rats. *Stress* **15**:533–544. doi:10.3109/10253890.2011.646348