Title:

Structural Insights into the Venus flytrap Mechanosensitive Ion Channel Flycatcher1

Sebastian Jojoa-Cruz^{1*}, Kei Saotome^{1,2,4*}, Che Chun (Alex) Tsui^{1,3}, Wen-Hsin Lee¹, Mark S. P. Sansom³, Swetha E. Murthy^{2,5†}, Ardem Patapoutian^{2†}, Andrew B. Ward^{1†}

Affiliations

¹ Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, Scripps Research, La Jolla, California 92037, USA.

² Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Neuroscience, Dorris Neuroscience Center, Scripps Research, La Jolla, California 92037, USA.

³ Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, United Kingdom

⁴ Present address: Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Tarrytown, New York 10591, USA.

⁵ Present address: Vollum Institute, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon 97239, USA.

*These authors contributed equally

†Co-corresponding authors

Correspondence to: A.B.W.: andrew@scripps.edu, A.P.: ardem@scripps.edu, S.E.M.: murthysw@ohsu.edu

Abstract:

Flycatcher1 (FLYC1), a MscS homolog, has recently been identified as a candidate mechanosensitive (MS) ion channel involved in Venus flytrap prey recognition. FLYC1 is larger and its sequence diverges from previously studied MscS homologs, suggesting it has unique structural features that contribute to its function. Here, we characterized FLYC1 by cryo-electron microscopy, molecular dynamics simulations, and electrophysiology. Akin to bacterial MscS and plant MSL1 channels, we find that FLYC1 central core includes side portals in the cytoplasmic cage that regulate ion conduction, by identifying critical residues that modulate channel conductance. Topologically unique cytoplasmic flanking regions can adopt ‘up’ or ‘down’ conformations, making the channel asymmetric. Disruption of an up conformation-specific interaction severely delays channel deactivation by 40-fold likely due to stabilization of the channel open state. Our results illustrate novel structural features and likely conformational transitions that regulate mechano-gating of FLYC1.

Sensing and transduction of mechanical stimuli is essential across all kingdoms of life, and are in large part carried out by mechanosensitive (MS) ion channels that open in response to force^{1,2}. A mechanosensory function that has been studied for decades is the rapid closure of the bilobed trap of the carnivorous plant *Dionaea muscipula* (Venus flytrap) in response to touch^{3,4}. Deflection of sensory trigger hairs in the trap results in an action potential⁵⁻⁷, and two action potentials within a short period of time is sufficient for trap closure⁸. Recently, two independent studies have proposed that the gene *Flycatcher1* (*FLYC1*) is one of the candidates involved in Venus flytrap touch sensation, based on 85-fold enrichment of the transcript in sensory trigger hairs relative to trap tissue^{9,10}. *FLYC1* selectively localizes to mechanosensory cells in trigger hairs, and overexpression of *FLYC1* in mechanically insensitive HEK cells results in robust stretch activated chloride permeable currents, suggesting that *FLYC1* encodes a MS ion channel⁹. Furthermore, two *FLYC1* homologs have highly enriched transcripts in the sensory tentacle of a different carnivorous plant within the Droseraceae family, *Drosera capensis* (Cape sundew)⁹. Therefore, *FLYC1* channel homologs may have a general role in touch-induced prey recognition in carnivorous plants.

FLYC1 is an ortholog of prokaryotic MS ion channel MscS (mechanosensitive ion channel of small conductance), which functions as an osmotic release valve^{11,12}, and is perhaps the most extensively studied MS channel¹³⁻¹⁹. MscS is part of a large super family that includes the eukaryotic plant homologs, MSL (MscS-like) ion channels^{20,21}. There is growing evidence that MSL proteins function as MS ion channels in plants. First, MS ion channel activity has been demonstrated for heterologously expressed *Arabidopsis thaliana* (At) MSL1²², MSL8²³, and MSL10²⁴. Second, MSL8 has been shown to be a mechanical stress sensor for pollen hydration

and germination²³. And finally, MSL9 and MSL10 are required for MS ion channel activity in root cells²¹. Recently, the structure of the first MscS eukaryotic homolog, AtMSL1, was solved by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM), revealing structural homology to *E. coli* MscS (EcMscS) that extends beyond the previously termed MscS domain^{20,25-29}. MSL1 localizes to the inner mitochondrial membrane and, at a sequence level, it is closer to bacterial homologs than to other plant paralogs that localize to the plasma membrane²⁰. The sequence dissimilarity and different intracellular localization serve as evidence of functional diversity and potential structural differences within the MSL family²⁰. FLYC1 is also a homolog of *Arabidopsis* MSL proteins and has highest similarity to MSL10 (48% sequence identity)⁹, which localizes to the plasma membrane²¹. Furthermore, relative to EcMscS and AtMSLs, FLYC1 has distinct biophysical characteristics including higher chloride selectivity, lower single channel conductance, and lower mechanical threshold, suggesting that these channel properties might be governed by unique structural differences between the three ion channel families^{9,21,22}. For these reasons, and its proposed involvement in Venus flytrap mechanosensation, we sought to characterize the structural properties of FLYC1.

Here, we report high resolution cryo-EM studies of Venus flytrap FLYC1, supported by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and structure-function analysis. Our results provide insights into the structural components responsible for MS channel gating and ion conduction, illuminating the structural and mechanistic diversity within the MscS/MSL protein family across kingdoms of life.

Results:

Overall architecture of FLYC1 and comparison to MscS/MSL1 homologs

We expressed a C-terminal EGFP fusion construct of full-length FLYC1 protein in mammalian cells, purified the protein in detergent, and prepared grids for cryo-EM analysis. A subset of particles identified by 3D classification yielded a 2.8 Å resolution reconstruction without symmetry applied. In this density map, the central core of the heptameric particle retained C7 symmetry while the peripheral portions exhibited asymmetry and weaker density, suggesting conformational flexibility. C7 symmetry expansion followed by focused classification and refinement of the peripheral region yielded two distinct classes with improved density. The two classes are referred to as either ‘up’ or ‘down’ based on the orientation of two cytoplasmic helices that extend from the transmembrane domain (TMD) (Fig. 1a,b). In our dataset, protomers favored the up over the down class, with approximately 72% and 16% of the symmetry expanded particles assigned to each class, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1). A composite map was obtained from merging the C1 reconstruction and focused classification maps in a 6 up to 1 down protomer ratio, based on visible density from the C1 reconstruction (Fig. 1a). The molecular model of FLYC1 was initially built from the best-resolved of the two classes, the up class, which was the primary subject of our structural analysis unless otherwise stated (Supplementary Table 1). The model encompasses the majority of the second half of the full-length sequence. However a significant portion of the protein, including the N-terminus and flexible loops connecting TM helices, were not modeled, or modeled as poly-alanine due to ambiguous density (Supplementary Fig. 2 and 3). Interestingly, we observed density resembling a lipid pocket near TM6b, at a location similar to those highlighted in previous reports of EcMscS and AtMSL1 (Fig.

1a)^{19,27,30,31}. We conservatively modelled the pocket lipid as palmitic acid to represent one acyl chain of a phospholipid.

FLYC1 comprises a homoheptamer with six TMs (TM1-TM6) per protomer and intracellular N and C termini (Fig. 1a-c). TM1-TM6 are arranged in an approximate single file, with TM6 closest to the central heptad axis (Fig. 1c). A glycine-containing hinge (G575) splits TM6 into two halves (TM6a and TM6b), and the β -rich C-termini of the FLYC1 protomers come together to form a hollow cytoplasmic cage (Fig. 1d,e). The hinged C-terminal TM helix and cytoplasmic cage are also present in structures of MscS/MSL homologs (Fig. 1d,e)^{13,17,27}, whereas the remainder of the subunit structure has distinct features. These include three additional outer TM helices (TMs 1-3) and a long, partially structured linker between TM4 and TM5 that protrudes into the cytoplasm, resembling an oar. This linker corresponds to the two helices extending from the TMD observed in the density map. Superposition of the C-terminal regions of FLYC1 to previously published MscS/MSL orthologs in various functional conformations^{13,16,27,30,32} reveals that TM4-TM6a have an arrangement distinct from all other reported structures. Viewed from extracellular side, the outer TMs of FLYC1 are rotated clockwise relative to those in other structures (Supplementary Fig. 4a). Notably, the closed forms of AtMSL1 and EcMscS are discernibly more similar to each other than FLYC1 is to either (Supplementary Fig. 4a).

Qualitatively, the TM4-TM6 conformation of our current FLYC1 structure appears to be most similar to the open form of EcMscS¹⁶. As such, the cytoplasmic ends of TM4-TM5 (EcMscS TM1-TM2) interact with TM6b (TM3b) of the same subunit³⁰, instead of the adjoining subunit as occurs in the closed state of EcMscS and AtMSL1 (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 4a). This conformation appears to be stabilized by the presence of bulkier side chains, instead of glycine

and alanine, at the interface between TM6a of different subunits, resulting in a looser and more upright packing of the transmembrane helices relative to EcMscS and AtMSL1 (Supplementary Fig. 4b). Despite its apparent structural similarity at the protomer level to the open state of EcMscS, we do not believe our current structure represents a fully open form of FLYC1, as outlined below.

A phenylalanine ring forms the pore gate of FLYC1?

The central pore axis of FLYC1 is lined by TM6 in the TMD and by the cytoplasmic cage in the cytosol. The narrowest constriction in the TMD is created by a ring of phenylalanine side chains (F572) at the bottom of TM6a (Fig. 2a). The pore has a minimum van der Waal radius of ~ 3.5 Å in this region, larger than the radius of a hydrated chloride ion (2.5 Å)³³ (Fig. 2b). Though this may suggest the pore can conduct ions in this conformation, the hydrophobicity of the F572 ring could in principle present an energetic barrier to ion flow³⁴. Indeed, the original EcMscS structure¹³, which has a hydrophobic gate of similar width in this region (Fig. 2b), was initially interpreted as open but has since been described as closed/inactivated on the basis of MD simulations³⁵. Furthermore, the width of the neck of the pore is consistent with the closed form of AtMSL1, where the pore gate is formed by a F323 ring located slightly lower²⁷. Nonetheless, heuristic predictions using the Channel Annotation Package (CHAP)³⁶ suggests that the residues lining the pore of FLYC1 and the presumed closed AtMSL1 do not create an energetic barrier that prevents water flow, in contrast with EcMscS in its closed state (Supplementary Fig. 5a-c).

We conducted all-atom molecular dynamics simulations to better analyze the conductance behavior of the pore conformation of FLYC1 captured in our cryo-EM structure, in particular the

role of F572 as a potential gate or selectivity filter. In AtMSL10, the homologous residue, F553, is important in maintaining the open state stability and controls channel conductance²⁵. FLYC1 with all protomers in the ‘up’ state was embedded in a pure palmitoyl oleoyl phosphatidylcholine (POPC) membrane and solvated with water and 500 mM NaCl. We used a high salt concentration, coupled with an external electric field, to maximize the number of ion crossing events observed on a 100-ns timescale. Analysis of the trajectories revealed the minimum radius along the pore averages at 2.8 Å (s.d. 0.3 Å, Fig. 2c), and that it presents no energy barrier to pore wetting. This confirms the heuristic prediction that F572 does not represent a closed hydrophobic gate in this conformation. During the simulations, the side chains of F572 underwent frequent rotameric switches from the starting *trans* rotamer ($\chi_1 \approx -180^\circ$) to the *gauche* ($\chi_1 \approx -60^\circ$) rotamer. This rotameric switch resulted in the constriction of the pore size by about 20% compared to the starting model. Next, we examined how permeable Cl⁻ ions were through the pore region in the presence of an external negative electric field. Over a total simulation time of 300 ns, 36 Cl⁻ complete efflux events were observed (Fig. 2d). The transit times of Cl⁻ ions from the entry through the side portal to the exit via the central pore varied greatly due to electrostatic interactions with residues in the cytoplasmic cage. Moreover, Cl⁻ remained hydrated when travelling through the narrowest constriction formed by the F572 residues (Fig. 2e). Based on the simulations, the conductance of the up state can be estimated as ~50 pS. Electrophysiological measurements indicated a FLYC1 channel conductance of 164 pS in physiological recording conditions, and conductance of 276 pS in 150 mM NaCl containing solution⁹. Therefore, our current structure may correspond to a sub-conductance state of FLYC1, and the central pore would have to dilate further to achieve a fully open state. During MD simulation we also observed Na⁺ ions (one in each ~100 ns simulation) entering the cytoplasmic

cage via the central pore, but a complete exit through the side portals were not observed at this timescale. The ratio of Cl^- to Na^+ crossing events is broadly consistent with our previous reported $P_{\text{Cl}}/P_{\text{Na}}$ value of 9.8 ± 1.8 calculated on the basis of reversal potential in asymmetrical NaCl solution⁹.

Lysine residues in the side portals affect ion conduction

Which parts of the structure contribute to ion selectivity and conduction properties of FLYC1? Charge reversal mutations of the only two pore-facing charged residues in TM6 (K579E and K558E) did not substantially alter pore properties⁹, suggesting that other domains play a role. In bacterial MscS channels³⁷ and AtMSL1²⁸, the side portals of the cytoplasmic cage are determinants of ion selectivity and conduction. FLYC1 contains similar side portals at the intersubunit interface (Fig. 3a). To test the contribution of lysine residues that line the portal to ion permeation, we expressed K606 and K624 charge reversal mutations of FLYC1 constructs in HEK-P1KO cells and recorded stretch activated currents^{38,39}. Single and double mutants of K606E and K624E remained functional when stretch activated currents were recorded under physiological conditions in the cell-attached patch clamp mode (Fig. 3b). Remarkably, all mutants exhibited reduced channel conductance when single channel currents were recorded from inside-out excised patched in symmetrical 150 mM NaCl solution (Fig. 3c). Independent glutamate substitutions at 606 and 624 resulted in fivefold and threefold reduction in channel conductance, respectively (WT: 270 ± 10 pS ($N = 5$); K606E: 54 ± 1 pS ($N = 4$); K624E: 156 ± 7 pS ($N = 5$)) (Fig. 3d). Notably, the double mutant further decreased channel conductance by twelve fold (K606E, K624E: 22.6 ± 0.6 pS ($N = 5$), suggesting an additive effect (Fig. 3c,d).

Together, these results conclusively indicate that the side portals of the cytoplasmic cage are integral to the ion permeation pathway.

The radius of each side portal was estimated to be ~ 2.1 Å (s.d. 0.3 Å), based on the simulations. While this was narrower than the constriction formed by F572 in the pore, this still did not present any energy barrier for wetting. Indeed, inspection of all Cl⁻ portal entry events across our simulations revealed that Cl⁻ remains hydrated during portal entry. In our MD simulations, Cl⁻ entered the side portal into the channel interacting first with K624 and R599 (both outward-facing residues), then subsequently with K606 (inside the cytoplasmic cage) (Fig. 3e). The handover of Cl⁻ from R599 to K606 was unidirectional in all observed entry events (Fig. 3f). Taken together, these results suggest that indeed the side portals form part of the permeation pathway and can influence ion conduction properties of FLYC1, analogous to observations in MSL1 and EcMscS.

Protomers of FLYC1 can be found in two distinct states

While TM6 and the cytoplasmic cage, both close to the central axis, appear to be stabilized by interdomain and intersubunit contacts, the remainder of the FLYC1 molecule has minimal packing within and between subunits, allowing for significant conformational flexibility. To further resolve these areas, we used seven-fold symmetry expansion and focused classification to resolve the two distinct classes of the FLYC1 protomer we initially saw in our C1 reconstruction (Supplementary Fig. 1). A striking difference between the classes is the conformation of the cytosolic TM4-TM5 linker, which can be in an up or down conformation (Fig. 1a,b). In the up conformation, the TM4-TM5 linker is parallel to the membrane plane, while in the down

conformation, the linker swivels towards the cytosol (Fig. 4a). Importantly, the conformational flexibility of the cytosolic domains is associated with movement of the TMD, suggesting that it is likely associated with channel gating. TM1-TM5 are displaced in a clockwise manner in the down state compared to the up state when viewed from the extracellular side (Fig. 4b). In the down state, a short six-residue coil (P96-S101) from the N-terminus interacts near the side portals of the cytoplasmic vestibule (Supplementary Fig. 6a). This peptide is partially conserved between MSL8, MSL9, and MSL10 sequences (Supplementary Fig. 3). Symmetry expansion followed by variability analysis in cryoSPARC⁴⁰ confirmed the presence of density for this short peptide only in the down conformation (Supplementary Fig. 6b, Video 1). Low-pass filtering of the unsharpened C1 map to 6 Å revealed a diffuse density between said peptide and the TM4-TM5 linker, pointing to a potential interaction between this domain and the N-terminus (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Although such peptide is not detected in the up class, in this instance, the low-pass filtered map showed the TM4-TM5 linker and the cytosolic N-terminus of TM1 bridged by a similarly diffuse density. Thus, the dynamic TM4-TM5 linker may interact with the cytosolic-facing side TMD periphery or the central cytoplasmic cage, depending on whether the protomer is in an up or down state.

In the up state, the cytosolic extension of TM4 interacts with the β -domain of the cytoplasmic cage through a salt bridge between R334 and D598 (2.9 Å distance) (Fig. 4c). In the down state, R334 shifts upward and does not contact D598. Interestingly, in EcMscS, a loosely analogous interaction between the cytoplasmic end of TM1-2 (corresponding to TM4-5 in FLYC1) with TM3b has been observed to affect gating kinetics^{41,42}. Given that the cytosolic extension of the flanking TM helices is a topologically unique feature of FLYC1 and the specificity of the R334-

D598 interaction to the up state, we hypothesized that it might play a role in channel gating. To test this, we disrupted the R334-D598 salt bridge by either mutating the arginine to glutamate or mutating the aspartate to lysine and determined its effect on channel kinetics. D598K mutation rendered the channel non-functional, but remarkably, stretch-activated currents from R334E mutant exhibited a drastic increase in open-dwell time in both macroscopic and single-channel currents (Fig. 4d). Effectively, the deactivation time constant of the channel was approximately 40-fold slower than WT (WT: 0.477 ± 0.285 s ($N = 6$); R334E: 18.5 ± 5.2 s ($N = 6$)) (Fig. 4e). A similar phenotype has been observed in AtMSL1, where A320V substitution in the TMD resulted in spontaneous channel opening and longer open dwell times²⁷. However, the molecular basis is most likely different; introduction of V320 in AtMSL1 is expected to disturb packing of the pore helix (TM5)²⁷, whereas R334E in FLYC1 disrupts a conformation-specific interaction between cytoplasmic domains. The R334E mutation does not alter inactivation kinetics and remains a non-inactivating channel like WT FLYC1⁹ (Fig. 4d). It is likely that R334E mutation stabilizes the channel in an open state, suggesting that a dynamic interaction between the TM4-TM5 linker and the cytoplasmic cage is indeed a crucial step in FLYC1 channel gating.

TM6a hinges away from the pore center in the down state, which displaces F572 outward (Fig. 4b). In *E. coli* MscS, a hinging motion in TM3 (TM6 in FLYC1) is thought to underlie gating¹⁵. Therefore, the distinct TM6 conformations in up and down states could represent different functional states. Hypothetical C7 models of FLYC1 with all protomers in up or down state were superposed to evaluate changes in the pore. The radius of the pore constriction in the ‘all-down’ state increased by ~ 1.3 Å and resembled the width of subconducting state of EcMscS³⁰ (Supplementary Fig. 5d). However, such a heptamer presents steric clashes between TM5 and

TM6a of the neighboring subunit, with K558 causing the most significant clashes (Fig. 4f). Hence, if an all-down state exists, additional re-arrangement of the TMs would be required, which would likely alter the pore further.

3D EM variability analysis displayed a lipid-like density at the top of the pore, which differs from the ‘hook’ and pore lipids of EcMscS, as it is not on the outer side of TM6a or in the middle of the pore, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 7)³⁰⁻³², but rather it intercalates in-between adjacent TM6a helices. This density is associated with the down class, but it only appears when the adjacent subunit (clockwise when viewed from extracellular side) is positioned far enough to create a side opening to the membrane (Fig. 4g, and Video 1). In our dataset, this gap is created when the preceding subunit is in the up state. We did not observe two contiguous down protomers in our data, so presence of the density in such case cannot be determined. The presence of this lipid-like density suggests that the down state causes a separation of the protomers that can be filled by lipids. Whether lipids can access the pore, as suggested for EcMscS^{30,31}, is not determined.

Discussion

Our work presents the structure of FLYC1, a MscS homolog with a conserved central core structure and flanking domains with novel architecture. Our structure captured a TM domain conformation that is distinct from those previously observed for MscS/MSL1. Our MD simulations support the idea of passage of chloride in an ‘all-up’ state. However, electrophysiology recordings suggest that although FLYC1 favors chloride flux, it is also permeable to sodium ions⁹, which, when fully hydrated, are larger than hydrated chloride⁴³. We did not observe any complete Na⁺ permeation events in our simulations at this timescale, suggesting that our structure of FLYC1 does not represent a fully open conformation. Furthermore, a small degree of constriction of the FLYC1 pore, either due to movement of the helices and/or intrusion of lipid tails, could result in functional closure of the pore due to hydrophobic gating. Hence, the structure of FLYC1 obtained in this study likely represents a near-closed conformation. In spite of this, at the single protomer level, the observed FLYC1 conformation is most similar to open EcMscS³⁰. The marked differences between the near-closed structure of FLYC1 and other closed-state structures of MscS/MSL channels point to a distinct gating mechanism for FLYC1.

Previous studies of MscS/MSL family channels have focused on the role of the TM domains in mechanosensitive gating^{13,15,27,31,32,42}. Our structure-function data demonstrates that the unique cytoplasmic flanking regions of FLYC1 play a significant role, with the partially structured cytosolic TM4-TM5 linkers pivoting between up and down states. The striking 40-fold delay in deactivation kinetics upon disruption of the up state-specific R334-D598 interaction suggests that formation of this salt bridge in the WT protein is associated with channel closure and that

losing this interaction is important for the stability of the open state. We therefore predict that the fully open form of FLYC1 would involve down or other ‘non-up’ states of the TM4-5 linker, and that channel gating transitions would involve concerted motions of the cytoplasmic flanking domains and pore-proximal TM helices. Our observation that the channel adopts asymmetric assemblies with protomers adopting either up or down states is likely to add further complexity to the FLYC1 gating mechanism.

Sequence homology suggests the TM4-5 linker region and the cytoplasmic N-terminus will have similar structure in MSL8, MSL9, and MSL10 channels. In addition to the TM4-5 linker, we noted that the cytoplasmic N-terminus of FLYC1 interacts dynamically with the cytoplasmic cage. Interestingly, interaction between the N and C-terminus of AtMSL10 has been proposed to induce cell death, for instance in response to swelling in seedlings^{44,45}. Whether this proposed interaction in AtMSL10 corresponds to the one observed in FLYC1 remains unclear.

The unique features of FLYC1 described here may underlie its specialized function as a mechanosensor in Venus flytrap. However, it is worth noting here that two other genes have also been identified as potential candidates modulating trap closure, DmFLYC2 and DmOSCA⁹. Nonetheless, our structural and functional characterizations of FLYC1 highlight potential conformational transitions in the channel’s gating scheme, and will inform future investigations into the mechanisms of mechanosensation and gating in the MscS superfamily.

Methods

Construct, Expression and Purification

Flycatcher1 was cloned into a pEG BacMam vector⁴⁶ as a C-terminal GFP fusion with an intervening short flexible linker followed by PreScission protease cleavage site (Gly-Ser-Gly-Ser-Leu-Glu-Val-Leu-Phe-Gly-Pro). The distal C-terminus of this construct also contained a streptavidin-binding peptide tag (Trp-Ser-His-Pro-Gln-Phe-Glu-Lys). We denote this construct FLYC1-pp-GFP.

FLYC1-pp-GFP was expressed in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) 293F cells by baculovirus transduction⁴⁶. Baculovirus was produced in Sf9 cells. 10% v/v baculovirus was added to HEK 293F cells (grown in suspension at 37 °C and 8% CO₂) when they reached a density of ~2 x 10⁶/mL. After 8 hours, 10 mM sodium butyrate was added to the cells and the temperature was shifted to 30 °C, and incubated for an additional two days. All purification steps were conducted at 4 °C or on ice. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation, washed with PBS, and resuspended in H buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 µg/mL leupeptin, 2 µg/mL aprotinin, 2 µM pepstatin A, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 mM dithiothreitol). Cells were lysed by sonication and cell debris were pelleted by centrifugation at 4,259 x g. Membranes were isolated by centrifuging the supernatant at 125,171 x g for one hour, then mechanically homogenized in Dounce homogenizer in buffer H. Protein was extracted by stirring the homogenized membranes in buffer H supplemented with 0.9% glyco-diosgenin (GDN) and 0.1% C12E9 for one hour, then clarified by centrifugation and incubated with 2 mL of home-made GFP nanobody linked Sepharose resin^{47,48} for 1.5 hours. The resin was collected in a gravity column and washed with

50 mL of wash buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 2 µg/mL leupeptin, 2 µg/mL aprotinin, 2 µM pepstatin A, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 0.04% GDN, 0.01% C12E9) then resuspended in SEC buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.4 µg/mL leupeptin, 0.4 µg/mL aprotinin, 0.4 µM pepstatin A, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 0.4 mM dithiothreitol, 0.04% GDN). Protein was cleaved off resin by addition of ~300 µg PreScission protease to the slurry followed by incubation for 5 hours at 4° C. Flowthrough was concentrated in a 100 kDa MWCO Amicon centrifugal filter and injected into Superose 6 increase column equilibrated to SEC buffer. Peak fractions corresponding to heptameric FLYC1 were pooled and concentrated to 7 mg/mL in a 100 kDa MWCO Amicon centrifugal filter for cryo-EM.

Grid preparation was carried out in a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher) operated at 10 °C and 100 % humidity. 3.5 µL of concentrated FLYC1 protein sample was applied to a freshly plasma cleaned UltrAuFoil grid (1.2/1.3 hole size/spacing, 300 mesh) and blotted for 3 seconds, then plunge frozen in liquid ethane cooled by liquid nitrogen. The grids were stored in liquid nitrogen until used for collection.

Cryo-EM data collection and processing

Two datasets were collected using a Titan Krios (ThermoFisher) operating at 300 kV with a K2 Summit direct electron detector (Gatan) with a pixel size of 1.03Å. Leginon was used for automated data collection⁴⁹. Between the two datasets, 5,159 movies were collected with a total accumulated dose of ~50 electrons per Å² (42 or 43 frames per movie) with a defocus range of -

2.2 to -0.7 μm . Frame alignment and dose weighting was carried out using the RELION implementation of MotionCor2^{50,51}. Each dataset was treated as a separate optics group. Good micrographs were selected using MicAssess⁵² (0.05 threshold) and imported into cryoSPARCv2⁵³. CTF values were estimated using GCTF⁵⁴. Blob picking from a set of 200 micrographs lead to the generation of an ab initio volume followed by 1 round of 3D refinement. Unless specified, all 3D refinements done in cryoSPARC were non-uniform refinements (“Legacy” in version 3) with C1 symmetry. Templates for picking the whole dataset were created based on the initial map. 1,077,949 particles were extracted with a box size of 280 pixels and subjected to 2D classification. Particles in best classes were subjected to heterogeneous refinement with 6 classes. 364,333 particles corresponding to 1 class were further refined imposing C7 symmetry, followed by global and local CTF refinement. After an additional C7 refinement, particles were classified (C1, 3 classes) and best class was refined one last round. This clean particle stack was later used for variability analysis (see below). The 255,359 particles of this stack were imported into RELION-3.1⁵⁵. Particles were re-extracted based on the output of CTFFIND4⁵⁶ and then 3D refined without symmetry. From this point on, all 3D refinements were done using SIDESPLITTER⁵⁷, with local angular searches only and C1 symmetry, unless otherwise stated. A second 3D refinement was followed by three consecutive rounds of CTF refinement⁵⁸, followed by 3D auto-refine, Bayesian polishing⁵¹ and 3D auto-refine. From the first Bayesian polishing step onward, processing continued with 129,933 particles corresponding to only one of the two datasets. The map at the end of the three rounds was post-processed with DeepEMhancer⁵⁹ and the resulting map is referred to as the ‘C1 map’. In all instances DeepEMhancer was used, the ‘tightTarget’ model was employed for post-processing with the two half-maps as input. Separately, the same stack was subjected to a 3D refinement with C7

symmetry followed by symmetry expansion. The micelle around the detergent was removed via particle subtraction. A mask containing one protomer, but wide enough to include TM6a and small portion of cytoplasmic domain of adjacent subunits, was used for focused 3D classification without alignment. From the resulting six classes, one class of 145,362 particles corresponded to the down conformation of the protomer, while two classes, with 651,815 particles between them, were assigned to the up conformation. The up and down conformations were processed separately after this point. Each particles set was reverted to the original particles before subtraction subjected to 2 to 3 rounds of 3D auto-refine, revealing density for the outer TMs. Both maps were post-processed with DeepEMhancer, producing the up and down focused maps. For each of the focused maps, the protomer with the most TM helices visible was extracted and aligned to the corresponding subunit in the C1 map (6 up and 1 down protomers) in Chimera and then merged (vop maximum function) to create the composite map. The unsharpened C1, up focused and down focused maps (before DeepEMhancer) were used to calculate the FSC and local resolution in RELION.

Variability analysis

In cryoSPARCv3, a clean stack of 255,539 particle (same stack imported into RELION for analysis described above) was symmetry expanded (C7). The expanded stack was used as input for 3D variability analysis⁴⁰ with 4 nodes using low and high-pass filters of 6 and 20Å, respectively. A volume series of 20 frames was obtained by using the 3D variability display job in simple mode. All other parameters not specified were used with default values. Video of variability analysis output was made with UCSF Chimera⁶⁰.

Model building and refinement

The molecular model for FLYC1 was built *de novo* using the up class in Coot v0.9^{61,62} and PDB 2OAU as a guide. The model was refined using real space refinement in Coot and Phenix^{63,64}. The model for the down class was then built using the model for the up class as a starting point. Six protomers of the up class and one protomer of the down class were fitted into the appropriate density of the composite map using UCSF Chimera⁶⁰. The model was then subjected to iterative rounds of building in Coot and refinement in Phenix and Rosetta⁶⁵. ISOLDE⁶⁶ was used at different stages of the process to identify regions that were poorly modelled. Restraints for palmitic acid were generated with eLBOW⁶⁷ from its SMILES code. The final model of FLYC1 includes residues 96-101, 258-285, 294-354, 503-751 for the down class and residues 264-285, 290-354, 507-751 for the up class. Residues 186-204 and 222-244 in the down class and residues 186-203 and 222-242 in the up class (TM1 and 2) are included in the model but have been assigned as poly-Ala due to low resolution of these regions of the map. The numbering of these residues was assigned based on TOPCONS⁶⁸ predictions for TM1-2. Model was validated using MolProbity⁶⁹, EMRinger⁷⁰ and phenix mtriage⁷¹ to calculate the map to model FSC. The final model was validated against the composite map, while the up and down protomers were validated against their respective focused map. For validation of the up class, we used the protomer positioned adjacent to the subunit in down conformation in an anticlockwise direction when viewed from the extracellular side. For completeness, a model excluding TM1-TM3 of all protomers was validated against the C1 map. The values of the validation are reported in Supplementary Table 1. Pore profiles were calculated with HOLE⁷² and heuristic predictions of

pore hydration on the transmembrane region of FLYC1 and homologs were calculated with CHAP^{36,73}. Structure figures were generated in PyMOL⁷⁴, UCSF ChimeraX⁷⁵ and UCSF Chimera⁶⁰. Amino acid sequence alignment was done with Clustal omega⁷⁶ and represented with ESPript3⁷⁷.

Deposited models of FLYC1 homologs for our structural analysis were obtained from the PDB⁷⁸. The PDB IDs are the following: for EcMscS closed state is 2OAU¹³, EcMscS open state 5AJI¹⁶, EcMscS desensitized state 6VYM³⁰, EcMscS subconducting state 6VYL³⁰, EcYnaI closed state 6ZYD³², EcYnaI open state 6ZYE³², EcYbiO 7A46³², AtMSL1 closed state 6VXM²⁷, and AtMSL1 open state 6VXN²⁷.

Cell culture and transient transfection

PIEZO1-knockout HEK 293T (HEK-P1KO) were used for all heterologous expression experiments. HEK-P1KO cells were generated using CRISPR–Cas9 nuclease genome editing technique as described previously⁷⁹, and were negative for mycoplasma contamination. Cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 mg.ml⁻¹ glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum, 50 units.ml⁻¹ penicillin and 50 µg.ml⁻¹ streptomycin. Cells were plated onto 12-mm round glass poly-D-lysine coated coverslips placed in 24-well plates and transfected using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instruction. All plasmids were transfected at a concentration of 700 ng.ml⁻¹. Cells were recorded from 24 to 48 hours after transfection. Mutations in FLYC1 were introduced using Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs).

Electrophysiology

Patch-clamp experiments in cells were performed in standard cell-attached, or excised patch (inside-out) mode using Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments). Currents were sampled at 20 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz or 10 kHz. Leak currents before mechanical stimulations were subtracted off-line from the current traces. Voltages were not corrected for a liquid junction potential (LJP). All experiments were done at room temperature and data was analyzed using Clampex 10.6 and GraphPad Prism.

Solutions: For cell-attached patch clamp recordings, external solution used to zero the membrane potential consisted of (in mM) 140 KCl, 1 MgCl₂, 10 glucose and 10 HEPES (pH 7.3 with KOH). Recording pipettes were of 1-3 MΩ resistance when filled with standard solution composed of (in mM) 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 TEA-Cl and 10 HEPES (pH 7.3 with NaOH) or 150 NaCl and 10 HEPES (pH 7.3 with NaOH). Single channel currents were recorded in excised inside-out patch configuration in external and pipette solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl and 10 HEPES (pH 7.3 with NaOH).

Mechanical stimulation: Macroscopic stretch-activated currents were recorded in the cell-attached or excised, inside-out patch clamp configuration. Membrane patches were stimulated with 1 second negative pulses through the recording electrode using Clampex controlled pressure clamp HSPC-1 device (ALA-scientific), with inter-sweep duration of 1 min. For single-channel currents, because amplitude is independent of the pressure intensity the most optimal pressure

stimulation was used to elicit responses that allowed single-channel amplitude measurements. These stimulation values were largely dependent on the number of channels in a given patch of the recording cell. Single-channel amplitude at a given potential was measured from trace histograms of 2 to 4 repeated recordings. Histograms were fitted with Gaussian equations using Clampfit 10.6 software. Single-channel slope conductance for each individual cell was calculated from linear regression curve fit to single-channel I-V plots.

Constant electric field all-atom molecular dynamics simulations

All MD simulations and subsequent analyses were performed using the better-resolved up state of FLYC1. The coordinates of FLYC1 were first converted to a coarse-grained representation (MARTINI 2.2 force field⁸⁰) using MemProtMD⁸¹, then embedded in a band of randomly oriented POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine) molecules, and solvated on both sides with water and 0.5 M NaCl. A coarse-grained simulation of 100-ns was performed, with the protein backbone beads position restrained with a force constant of 1,000 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻² for lipid self-assembly. The output frame was converted to an atomistic representation (OPLS all-atom protein force field with united-atom lipids⁸²) using CG2AT-Align⁸³, then resolvated with TIP4P/2005 water⁸⁴ and 0.5 M NaCl. Triplicates of 100-ns production runs with a 2-fs timestep were then performed. To preserve the experimentally determined conformation but allowing for side chain flexibility, simulations were performed in the presence of position restraints of protein backbone atoms with a force constant of 1,000 kJ mol⁻¹ nm⁻². To drive chloride ions passage from the cytosolic side to the extracellular side, an external uniform electric field of -25 mV nm⁻¹ was applied in the direction normal to the membrane, which

corresponds to a transmembrane potential difference of -425 mV^{85} . Simulations were performed as NPT ensembles held at 1 bar and 310 K, maintained with a semi-isotropic Parrinello–Rahman barostat⁸⁶ (coupling constant $\tau_P = 1 \text{ ps}$) and a velocity-rescaling thermostat⁸⁷ (coupling constant $\tau_T = 0.1 \text{ ps}$). Covalent bonds were constrained through the LINCS algorithm⁸⁸; electrostatics were modelled with a smooth particle mesh Ewald method⁸⁹; and van der Waals interactions were modelled using a Verlet cut-off scheme. All simulations were performed using GROMAC 5.1.2⁹⁰.

Pore pathway calculations and trajectory analyses.

The radius of the pore in the transmembrane region throughout the simulations was calculated using CHAP^{36,73} excluding the first 10 ns in each of the 100-ns simulations, sampled every 200 ps. Initial probe position was set at the center of the F572 ring. The reported value of the pore minimum radius was the average across the triplicates. A similar approach was used to calculate the dimension of the side portals during the simulations. For each of the seven portals in a 100-ns run, a CHAP path-finding run was performed as above, with the initial probe position set at the center of each portal (defined as the geometric center of W622, F715, N719 and F705 of the adjacent subunit) and the channel direction vector set as towards the seven-fold axis along the plane of the membrane. The reported value of the portal minimum radius was the average across the seven portals. Cl^- inside the channel were selected based on a set of distance-based constraints to the seven-fold axis of the channel. Cl^- crossing events were counted as those ions that entered the side portal and exited the channel completely via the pore during the simulations. The conductance of FLYC1 in simulations was estimated by $G = I/V = Q/(t \times V)$.

532

533 Data accessibility

534 The composite map for FLYC1 has been deposited to the Electron Microscopy Data Bank
535 (EMDB) under accession code EMD-24186. Corresponding atomic coordinates of FLYC1 have
536 been deposited to the PDB under IDs 7N5D. C1 symmetry map and focused maps in down and
537 up conformation, along with atomic coordinates, used to generate the composite map have been
538 deposited to the EMDB under codes EMD-24187, EMD-24188 and EMD-24189, and PDB
539 under IDs 7N5D, 7N5E and 7N5F respectively. Frames have been deposited in EMPIAR under
540 code EMPIAR-XXXXX.

541

542

543 Author contributions

544 K.S. and W.H.L. cloned expression constructs and mutants. K.S. prepared protein samples and
545 acquired cryo-EM data. S.J.C. and K.S. processed data and built and refined the atomic
546 structures. S.E.M. carried out electrophysiology experiments and analyzed data. C.C.A.T.
547 performed MD simulations under the supervision of M.S.P.S. A.B.W. and A.P. supervised the
548 project. S.J.C., S.E.M., and K.S. drafted the manuscript, which was edited and finalized with
549 contributions from all authors.

550

551 Acknowledgements

552 We thank W. Anderson for managing the electron microscopy facility at Scripps Research, H.
553 Turner for helping with data collection, and C. Bowman, L. Dong and J.C. Ducom for assistance
554 with computation. We acknowledge members of the Ward and Patapoutian labs for helpful

advice. This work was supported by NIH grant R01 HL143297 and a Ray Thomas Edwards Foundation grant to A.B.W, and NIH grant R01 HL143297 to A.P. Work in M.S.P.S.'s lab is supported by Wellcome (grant 208361/Z/17/Z), BBSRC (grants BB/N000145/1 and BB/R00126X/1), and EPSRC (grant EP/R004722/1). K.S. was a postdoctoral fellow of the Jane Coffin Childs Memorial Fund for Medical Research. C.C.A.T. is supported by the Skaggs-Oxford Scholarship and the Croucher Foundation. A.P. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Molecular graphics and analyses performed with UCSF Chimera and UCSF ChimeraX, developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from National Institutes of Health R01-GM129325 and P41-GM103311, and the Office of Cyber Infrastructure and Computational Biology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

References

- 1 Ranade, S. S., Syeda, R. & Patapoutian, A. Mechanically Activated Ion Channels. *Neuron* **87**, 1162-1179, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2015.08.032 (2015).
- 2 Haswell, E. S., Phillips, R. & Rees, D. C. Mechanosensitive Channels: What Can They Do and How Do They Do It? *Structure* **19**, 1356-1369, doi:10.1016/j.str.2011.09.005 (2011).
- 3 Darwin, C. & Darwin, F. *Insectivorous plants*. 231-259 (J. Murray, 1888).
- 4 Benolken, R. M. & Jacobson, S. L. Response properties of a sensory hair excised from Venus's flytrap. *J Gen Physiol* **56**, 64-82, doi:10.1085/jgp.56.1.64 (1970).
- 5 Burdon-Sanderson, J. S. I. Note on the electrical phenomena which accompany irritation of the leaf of *Dionaea muscipula*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* **21**, 495-496, doi: 10.1098/rspl.1872.0092 (1873).
- 6 Benolken, R. M. Response Properties of a Sensory Hair Excised from Venuss Flytrap. *Journal of General Physiology* **56**, 64-&, doi:10.1085/jgp.56.1.64 (1970).
- 7 Scherzer, S., Federle, W., Al-Rasheid, K. A. S. & Hedrich, R. Venus flytrap trigger hairs are micronewton mechano-sensors that can detect small insect prey. *Nat Plants* **5**, 670-675, doi:10.1038/s41477-019-0465-1 (2019).
- 8 Brown, W. H. & Sharp, L. W. The closing response in *Dionaea*. *Bot Gaz* **49**, 0290-0302, doi: 10.1086/330177 (1910).
- 9 Procko, C. *et al.* Stretch-activated ion channels identified in the touch-sensitive structures of carnivorous Droseraceae plants. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.64250 (2021).
- 10 Iosip, A. L. *et al.* The Venus flytrap trigger hair-specific potassium channel KDM1 can reestablish the K⁺ gradient required for hapto-electric signaling. *PLoS Biol* **18**, e3000964, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000964 (2020).
- 11 Booth, I. R. & Blount, P. The MscS and MscL Families of Mechanosensitive Channels Act as Microbial Emergency Release Valves. *J Bacteriol* **194**, 4802-4809, doi:10.1128/Jb.00576-12 (2012).
- 12 Wilson, M. E., Maksaev, G. & Haswell, E. S. MscS-like Mechanosensitive Channels in Plants and Microbes. *Biochemistry* **52**, 5708-5722, doi:10.1021/bi400804z (2013).
- 13 Bass, R. B., Strop, P., Barclay, M. & Rees, D. C. Crystal structure of *Escherichia coli* MscS, a voltage-modulated and mechanosensitive channel. *Science* **298**, 1582-1587, doi:10.1126/science.1077945 (2002).
- 14 Lai, J. Y., Poon, Y. S., Kaiser, J. T. & Rees, D. C. Open and shut: crystal structures of the dodecylmaltoside solubilized mechanosensitive channel of small conductance from *Escherichia coli* and *Helicobacter pylori* at 4.4 Å and 4.1 Å resolutions. *Protein Sci* **22**, 502-509, doi:10.1002/pro.2222 (2013).
- 15 Wang, W. *et al.* The structure of an open form of an *E. coli* mechanosensitive channel at 3.45 Å resolution. *Science* **321**, 1179-1183, doi:10.1126/science.1159262 (2008).
- 16 Pliotas, C. *et al.* The role of lipids in mechanosensation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **22**, 991-998, doi:10.1038/nsmb.3120 (2015).
- 17 Zhang, X. Z. *et al.* Structure and molecular mechanism of an anion-selective mechanosensitive channel of small conductance. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **109**, 18180-18185, doi:10.1073/pnas.1207977109 (2012).

- 18 Yu, J. *et al.* A binding-block ion selective mechanism revealed by a Na/K selective channel. *Protein Cell* **9**, 629-639, doi:10.1007/s13238-017-0465-8 (2018).
- 19 Rasmussen, T., Flegler, V. J., Rasmussen, A. & Bottcher, B. Structure of the Mechanosensitive Channel MscS Embedded in the Membrane Bilayer. *J Mol Biol* **431**, 3081-3090, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.07.006 (2019).
- 20 Hamilton, E. S., Schlegel, A. M. & Haswell, E. S. United in diversity: mechanosensitive ion channels in plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **66**, 113-137, doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-043014-114700 (2015).
- 21 Haswell, E. S., Peyronnet, R., Barbier-Brygoo, H., Meyerowitz, E. M. & Frachisse, J. M. Two MscS homologs provide mechanosensitive channel activities in the Arabidopsis root. *Curr Biol* **18**, 730-734, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2008.04.039 (2008).
- 22 Lee, C. P. *et al.* MSL1 is a mechanosensitive ion channel that dissipates mitochondrial membrane potential and maintains redox homeostasis in mitochondria during abiotic stress. *Plant J* **88**, 809-825, doi:10.1111/tpj.13301 (2016).
- 23 Hamilton, E. S. *et al.* Mechanosensitive channel MSL8 regulates osmotic forces during pollen hydration and germination. *Science* **350**, 438-441, doi:10.1126/science.aac6014 (2015).
- 24 Maksaev, G. & Haswell, E. S. MscS-Like10 is a stretch-activated ion channel from Arabidopsis thaliana with a preference for anions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 19015-19020, doi:10.1073/pnas.1213931109 (2012).
- 25 Maksaev, G., Shoots, J. M., Ohri, S. & Haswell, E. S. Nonpolar residues in the presumptive pore-lining helix of mechanosensitive channel MSL10 influence channel behavior and establish a nonconducting function. *Plant Direct* **2**, doi:10.1002/pld3.59 (2018).
- 26 Balleza, D. & Gomez-Lagunas, F. Conserved motifs in mechanosensitive channels MscL and MscS. *Eur Biophys J* **38**, 1013-1027, doi:10.1007/s00249-009-0460-y (2009).
- 27 Deng, Z. *et al.* Structural mechanism for gating of a eukaryotic mechanosensitive channel of small conductance. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3690, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17538-1 (2020).
- 28 Li, Y. *et al.* Structural Insights into a Plant Mechanosensitive Ion Channel MSL1. *Cell Rep* **30**, 4518-4527 e4513, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.03.026 (2020).
- 29 Jensen, G. S. & Haswell, E. S. Functional analysis of conserved motifs in the mechanosensitive channel homolog MscS-Like2 from Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS One* **7**, e40336, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040336 (2012).
- 30 Zhang, Y. *et al.* Visualization of the mechanosensitive ion channel MscS under membrane tension. *Nature* **590**, 509-514, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03196-w (2021).
- 31 Reddy, B., Bavi, N., Lu, A., Park, Y. & Perozo, E. Molecular basis of force-from-lipids gating in the mechanosensitive channel MscS. *Elife* **8**, doi:10.7554/eLife.50486 (2019).
- 32 Flegler, V. J. *et al.* The MscS-like channel YnaI has a gating mechanism based on flexible pore helices. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 28754-28762, doi:10.1073/pnas.2005641117 (2020).
- 33 Sugiharto, S., Lewis, T. M., Moorhouse, A. J., Schofield, P. R. & Barry, P. H. Anion-Cation Permeability Correlates with Hydrated Counterion Size in Glycine Receptor Channels. *Biophys J* **95**, 4698-4715, doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.125690 (2008).

656 34 Aryal, P., Sansom, M. S. P. & Tucker, S. J. Hydrophobic Gating in Ion Channels. *Journal of*
657 *Molecular Biology* **427**, 121-130, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2014.07.030 (2015).

658 35 Anishkin, A. & Sukharev, S. Water dynamics and dewetting transitions in the small
659 mechanosensitive channel MscS. *Biophys J* **86**, 2883-2895, doi:10.1016/S0006-
660 3495(04)74340-4 (2004).

661 36 Rao, S., Klesse, G., Stansfeld, P. J., Tucker, S. J. & Sansom, M. S. P. A heuristic derived
662 from analysis of the ion channel structural proteome permits the rapid identification of
663 hydrophobic gates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 13989-13995,
664 doi:10.1073/pnas.1902702116 (2019).

665 37 Cox, C. D. *et al.* Selectivity mechanism of the mechanosensitive channel MscS revealed
666 by probing channel subconducting states. *Nature Communications* **4**,
667 doi:10.1038/ncomms3137 (2013).

668 38 Lukacs, V. *et al.* Impaired PIEZO1 function in patients with a novel autosomal recessive
669 congenital lymphatic dysplasia. *Nat Commun* **6**, doi:10.1038/ncomms9329 (2015).

670 39 Dubin, A. E. *et al.* Editorial Note to: Endogenous Piezo1 Can Confound Mechanically
671 Activated Channel Identification and Characterization. *Neuron* **94**, 265-265,
672 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.03.041 (2017).

673 40 Punjani, A. & Fleet, D. J. 3D variability analysis: Resolving continuous flexibility and
674 discrete heterogeneity from single particle cryo-EM. *J Struct Biol* **213**, 107702,
675 doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2021.107702 (2021).

676 41 Nomura, T., Sokabe, M. & Yoshimura, K. Interaction between the cytoplasmic and
677 transmembrane domains of the mechanosensitive channel MscS. *Biophys J* **94**, 1638-
678 1645, doi:10.1529/biophysj.107.114785 (2008).

679 42 Sotomayor, M. & Schulten, K. Molecular dynamics study of gating in the
680 mechanosensitive channel of small conductance MscS. *Biophys J* **87**, 3050-3065,
681 doi:10.1529/biophysj.104.046045 (2004).

682 43 Nightingale Jr, E. Phenomenological theory of ion solvation. Effective radii of hydrated
683 ions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* **63**, 1381-1387 (1959).

684 44 Basu, D. & Haswell, E. S. The Mechanosensitive Ion Channel MSL10 Potentiates
685 Responses to Cell Swelling in Arabidopsis Seedlings. *Curr Biol* **30**, 2716-2728 e2716,
686 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.05.015 (2020).

687 45 Basu, D., Shoots, J. M. & Haswell, E. S. Interactions between the N- and C-termini of the
688 mechanosensitive ion channel AtMSL10 are consistent with a three-step mechanism for
689 activation. *J Exp Bot* **71**, 4020-4032, doi:10.1093/jxb/eraa192 (2020).

690 46 Goehring, A. *et al.* Screening and large-scale expression of membrane proteins in
691 mammalian cells for structural studies. *Nat Protoc* **9**, 2574-2585,
692 doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.173 (2014).

693 47 Kubala, M. H., Kovtun, O., Alexandrov, K. & Collins, B. M. Structural and thermodynamic
694 analysis of the GFP:GFP-nanobody complex. *Protein Sci* **19**, 2389-2401,
695 doi:10.1002/pro.519 (2010).

696 48 Rothbauer, U. *et al.* A versatile nanotrap for biochemical and functional studies with
697 fluorescent fusion proteins. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **7**, 282-289, doi:10.1074/mcp.M700342-
698 MCP200 (2008).

699 49 Suloway, C. *et al.* Automated molecular microscopy: the new Legimon system. *J Struct*
700 *Biol* **151**, 41-60, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2005.03.010 (2005).

701 50 Zheng, S. Q. *et al.* MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for
702 improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **14**, 331-332, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4193
703 (2017).

704 51 Zivanov, J., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. A Bayesian approach to beam-induced motion
705 correction in cryo-EM single-particle analysis. *IUCrJ* **6**, 5-17,
706 doi:10.1107/S205225251801463X (2019).

707 52 Li, Y., Cash, J. N., Tesmer, J. J. G. & Cianfrocco, M. A. High-Throughput Cryo-EM Enabled
708 by User-Free Preprocessing Routines. *Structure* **28**, 858-869 e853,
709 doi:10.1016/j.str.2020.03.008 (2020).

710 53 Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for
711 rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296,
712 doi:10.1038/nmeth.4169 (2017).

713 54 Zhang, K. Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. *J Struct Biol* **193**, 1-12,
714 doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.11.003 (2016).

715 55 Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure
716 determination. *J Struct Biol* **180**, 519-530, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006 (2012).

717 56 Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from
718 electron micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-221, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008 (2015).

719 57 Ramlaul, K., Palmer, C. M., Nakane, T. & Aylett, C. H. S. Mitigating local over-fitting
720 during single particle reconstruction with SIDESPLITTER. *J Struct Biol* **211**, 107545,
721 doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2020.107545 (2020).

722 58 Zivanov, J., Nakane, T. & Scheres, S. H. W. Estimation of high-order aberrations and
723 anisotropic magnification from cryo-EM data sets in RELION-3.1. *IUCrJ* **7**, 253-267,
724 doi:10.1107/S2052252520000081 (2020).

725 59 Sanchez-Garcia, R. *et al.* DeepEMhancer: a deep learning solution for cryo-EM volume
726 post-processing. *bioRxiv* (2020).

727 60 Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and
728 analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).

729 61 Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
730 *Crystallogr D* **60**, 2126-2132, doi:10.1107/S0907444904019158 (2004).

731 62 Casanal, A., Lohkamp, B. & Emsley, P. Current developments in Coot for macromolecular
732 model building of Electron Cryo-microscopy and Crystallographic Data. *Protein Sci* **29**,
733 1069-1078, doi:10.1002/pro.3791 (2020).

734 63 Liebschner, D. *et al.* Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and
735 electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **75**, 861-877,
736 doi:10.1107/S2059798319011471 (2019).

737 64 Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography.
738 *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 531-544, doi:10.1107/S2059798318006551 (2018).

739 65 Wang, R. Y. R. *et al.* Automated structure refinement of macromolecular assemblies
740 from cryo-EM maps using Rosetta. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.17219 (2016).

741 66 Croll, T. I. ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-
742 resolution electron-density maps. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 519-530,
743 doi:10.1107/S2059798318002425 (2018).

744 67 Moriarty, N. W., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W. & Adams, P. D. electronic Ligand Builder and
745 Optimization Workbench (eLBOW): a tool for ligand coordinate and restraint
746 generation. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **65**, 1074-1080,
747 doi:10.1107/S0907444909029436 (2009).

748 68 Tsirigos, K. D., Peters, C., Shu, N., Kall, L. & Elofsson, A. The TOPCONS web server for
749 consensus prediction of membrane protein topology and signal peptides. *Nucleic Acids*
750 *Res* **43**, W401-407, doi:10.1093/nar/gkv485 (2015).

751 69 Williams, C. J. *et al.* MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom
752 structure validation. *Protein Sci* **27**, 293-315, doi:10.1002/pro.3330 (2018).

753 70 Barad, B. A. *et al.* EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-
754 electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **12**, 943-946, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3541 (2015).

755 71 Afonine, P. V. *et al.* New tools for the analysis and validation of cryo-EM maps and
756 atomic models. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 814-840,
757 doi:10.1107/S2059798318009324 (2018).

758 72 Smart, O. S., Neduvilil, J. G., Wang, X., Wallace, B. A. & Sansom, M. S. HOLE: a program
759 for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural models. *J Mol Graph*
760 **14**, 354-360, 376, doi:10.1016/s0263-7855(97)00009-x (1996).

761 73 Klesse, G., Rao, S., Sansom, M. S. P. & Tucker, S. J. CHAP: A Versatile Tool for the
762 Structural and Functional Annotation of Ion Channel Pores. *J Mol Biol* **431**, 3353-3365,
763 doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.06.003 (2019).

764 74 Schrödinger, L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.1 Schrödinger, LLC.

765 75 Goddard, T. D. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and
766 analysis. *Protein Sci* **27**, 14-25, doi:10.1002/pro.3235 (2018).

767 76 Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence
768 alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539, doi:10.1038/msb.2011.75 (2011).

769 77 Robert, X. & Gouet, P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new
770 ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, W320-324, doi:10.1093/nar/gku316 (2014).

771 78 Berman, H. M. *et al.* The Protein Data Bank. *Nucleic Acids Res* **28**, 235-242,
772 doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.235 (2000).

773 79 Lukacs, V. *et al.* Impaired PIEZO1 function in patients with a novel autosomal recessive
774 congenital lymphatic dysplasia. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8329, doi:10.1038/ncomms9329 (2015).

775 80 de Jong, D. H. *et al.* Improved Parameters for the Martini Coarse-Grained Protein Force
776 Field. *J Chem Theory Comput* **9**, 687-697, doi:10.1021/ct300646g (2013).

777 81 Newport, T. D., Sansom, M. S. P. & Stansfeld, P. J. The MemProtMD database: a
778 resource for membrane-embedded protein structures and their lipid interactions.
779 *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, D390-D397, doi:10.1093/nar/gky1047 (2019).

780 82 Jorgensen, W. L., Maxwell, D. S. & Tirado-Rives, J. Development and testing of the OPLS
781 all-atom force field on conformational energetics and properties of organic liquids.
782 *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **118**, 11225-11236 (1996).

- 83 Stansfeld, P. J. & Sansom, M. S. From Coarse Grained to Atomistic: A Serial Multiscale
Approach to Membrane Protein Simulations. *J Chem Theory Comput* **7**, 1157-1166,
doi:10.1021/ct100569y (2011).
- 84 Abascal, J. L. & Vega, C. A general purpose model for the condensed phases of water:
TIP4P/2005. *J Chem Phys* **123**, 234505, doi:10.1063/1.2121687 (2005).
- 85 Gumbart, J., Khalili-Araghi, F., Sotomayor, M. & Roux, B. Constant electric field
simulations of the membrane potential illustrated with simple systems. *Biochim Biophys
Acta* **1818**, 294-302, doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2011.09.030 (2012).
- 86 Parrinello, M. & Rahman, A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular
dynamics method. *Journal of Applied physics* **52**, 7182-7190 (1981).
- 87 Bussi, G., Donadio, D. & Parrinello, M. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling.
The Journal of chemical physics **126**, 014101 (2007).
- 88 Hess, B. P-LINCS: A Parallel Linear Constraint Solver for Molecular Simulation. *J Chem
Theory Comput* **4**, 116-122, doi:10.1021/ct700200b (2008).
- 89 Essmann, U. *et al.* A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *The Journal of chemical
physics* **103**, 8577-8593 (1995).
- 90 Abraham, M. J. *et al.* GROMACS: High performance molecular simulations through
multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers. *SoftwareX* **1**, 19-25 (2015).

Figures

Figure 1. FLYC1 structure.

a, Cryo-EM density of FLYC1 colored by subunit and enclosed in detergent micelle (unsharpened map). Side (**b**) and top (**c**) view of FLYC1 model. In top view, TM helices are labeled from 1 to 6, according to their position in the amino acid sequence. **d**, Cartoon representation of protomers of EcMscS (PDB: 2OAU, closed state), FLYC1, and AtMSL1 (PDB: 6VXM, closed state). **e**, Side views of FLYC1 heptamer and its homologs, with two protomers colored blue and pink, respectively. Rotation of TM helices of each protomer relative to conserved cytoplasmic cage is limited for FLYC1 relative to AtMSL1 and EcMscS, where TM helices interact with cytoplasmic domain of the contiguous subunit. TM1-2 of FLYC1 are not shown for simplicity.

Figure 2. Pore of FLYC1.

a, Depiction of central pore along the TM domain, with pore lining residues (shown as sticks, except for G575 which is shown as a sphere) labeled. **b**, Pore profile of FLYC1, closed AtMSL1 and EcMscS in open (PDB: 5AJI) and closed states. **c**, Radius profile of FLYC1 pore (TM region) during a 100-ns protein backbone-restrained simulation. The dark gray region covers the maximum and minimum radii of the position, while the light gray region covers a region of mean radius ± 1 s.d. Positions of the pore-lining residues (center of mass) from the pore axis are labelled. **d**, Trajectories of Cl⁻ traversing the channel completely during simulation in the presence of a -425 mV transmembrane potential difference, shown as their coordinates along the pore (z) axis. Each colored trace represents a different Cl⁻, while all Cl⁻ inside the channel are depicted in cyan. Three representative trajectories are highlighted in the foreground. The pore region lined by TM6a (from K558 to N576) is highlighted in yellow. The locations of the F572 ring and the cytoplasmic portal are also marked as dashed lines. One of our three independent simulations is shown for **c** and **d**. **e**, A representative snapshot of Cl⁻ ion crossing event through the F572 ring, during which dewetting behavior is not observed.

Figure 3. Side portal of FLYC1.

a, Cartoon and electrostatic surface representation of FLYC1 and cytoplasmic side portal between two subunits. Inset: expanded view of portal-lining residues as sticks. Residues selected for mutagenesis in bold. **b**, Left, representative trace of stretch-activated current recorded from WT or mutant FLYC1 expressing HEK-P1KO cells in cell-attached patch clamp configuration at -80mV membrane potential in response to $\Delta 10$ mmHg pipette pressure pulse. Stimulus trace illustrated above the current trace. Right, quantification of maximal current response from cells transfected with mock ($N = 7$), FLYC1 plasmid ($N = 6$), or FLYC1 plasmid with K606E ($N = 6$), K624E ($N = 7$), or K606E, K624E ($N = 7$) mutations. **c**, Representative single channel traces in

response to stretch from excised patches in symmetrical 150 mM NaCl at -80mV from the indicated FLYC1 protein and their respective amplitude histograms. **d**, Left, average I-V relationship of stretch-activated single channel currents from WT or mutant *FLYC1* transfected cells. I-V data from individual cells was fit with a linear regression curve and the slope was used to measure the conductance, plotted on the right; *** $p < 0.0001$, Holm-Sidak's multiple comparisons test relative to FLYC1. In panels **b** and **d**, individual cells are illustrated as scatter and mean is represented by grey bars. In left panel of **d** data points are mean $\pm$ S.E.M. **e**, Representative snapshots of a Cl^- entering the channel via the side portal, interacting with basic residues in the vicinity. **f**, An interaction scheme of the representative Cl^- entry event depicted in **e**. The proposed ladder of interaction is consistent with all Cl^- entry events confirmed by inspection of each individual trajectory.

Figure 4. Conformational dynamics of FLYC1 protomer.

Side (a) and top (b) views of superposed up and down classes. Movement of helices denoted by red arrows. F572 shown as sticks. In top view, TM6a of all subunits is shown. c, Expanded view of window in a, showing the presence of a salt bridge between R334 and D598 in the up (left) but not in the down class (right). d, Left, representative stretch-activated currents from WT (black) or R334E mutant (red) FLYC1 in the cell-attached patch clamp mode in response to 1s pulse of -70 mmHg pressure at -80 mV. For comparison currents are normalized and overlaid. Top, single channel current; bottom, macroscopic current. Inset depicts enlarged section of the macroscopic trace to highlight that channel activation and inactivation are largely similar between WT and R334E. Right, quantification of maximal current response from cells transfected with *FLYC1* plasmid ($N = 6$), R334E mutant ($N = 6$), or D598K mutant ($N = 9$). **** $p < 0.0001$; * $p = 0.01$, one-way ANOVA. e, Mean deactivation kinetics of WT ($N = 6$) or mutant ($N = 6$) FLYC1 channel; ** $p = 0.0043$, Mann Whitney test. In panel d and e, individual cells are illustrated as scatter and mean is represented by grey bars. f, Superposition of hypothetical C7 'all-up' and 'all-down' classes. Two top left and two top right subunits show only down and up protomers, respectively. F572 ring is shown for all subunits in both conformations. Position and orientation of K558 is shown in pink for only two subunits. g, First frame of first component of 3D variability analysis. Subunits are labeled up (U) or down (D) depending on their conformation. Lipid-like density is colored purple.