Postsynaptic plasticity of cholinergic synapses underlies the induction and expression of appetitive memories in *Drosophila*

Carlotta Pribbenow¹, Yi-chun Chen^{1,*}, Michael-Marcel Heim^{1,*}, Desiree Laber^{1,*}, Silas Reubold^{1,*}, Eric Reynolds^{1,*}, Isabella Balles¹, Raquel Suárez Grimalt^{1,2}, Carolin Rauch¹, Jörg Rösner⁴, Tania Fernández-d.V. Alquicira¹, David Oswald^{1-3, +}

¹Institute of Neurophysiology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

²Einstein Center for Neurosciences Berlin, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin

³NeuroCure, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

⁴NWFZ, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Charitéplatz 1, 10117 Berlin, Germany

* equal contribution, in alphabetical order

+correspondence: david.owald@charite.de

In vertebrates, memory-relevant synaptic plasticity involves postsynaptic rearrangements of glutamate receptors. In contrast, previous work indicates that *Drosophila* and other invertebrates store memories using presynaptic plasticity of cholinergic synapses. Here, we provide evidence for postsynaptic plasticity at cholinergic output synapses from the *Drosophila* mushroom bodies (MBs). We find that the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subunit $\alpha 5$ is required within specific MB output neurons (MBONs) for appetitive memory induction, but is dispensable for aversive memories. In addition, nAChR $\alpha 2$ subunits mediate memory expression downstream of $\alpha 5$ and the postsynaptic scaffold protein Dlg. We show that postsynaptic plasticity traces can be induced independently of the presynapse, and that *in vivo* dynamics of $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits are changed both in the context of associative and non-associative memory formation, underlying different plasticity rules. Therefore, regardless of neurotransmitter identity, key principles of postsynaptic plasticity support memory storage across phyla.

Keywords: learning and memory; postsynaptic plasticity; cholinergic synapses; receptor dynamics; *Drosophila*; mushroom bodies

Introduction

Changing the strength of defined chemical synaptic connections within associative networks is widely believed to be the basis of memory storage^{1–3}. However, it is unclear and frequently debated to what degree underlying neurophysiological and molecular mechanisms are evolutionarily conserved. One main difference between vertebrates and invertebrates is that memory-storing synapses in vertebrates use glutamate as their primary transmitter, while those in invertebrates (at least for *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Sepia officinalis*) use acetylcholine^{4–6}. Furthermore, it is widely believed that invertebrates utilize presynaptic and not postsynaptic plasticity, while associations in vertebrates can depend on both presynaptic mechanisms, but primarily postsynaptic rearrangements of neurotransmitter receptors.

Detailed knowledge of the anatomical wiring and functional signaling logic of the *Drosophila* mushroom bodies (MBs)^{5,7–21} allows one to address whether, despite the use of different neurotransmitter systems, memory storage modes are functionally

comparable or evolutionarily conserved. The weights of Kenyon cells (KCs) to MB output neuron (MBON) synapses are modulated by dopaminergic neurons (DANs), which anatomically divide the MBs into at least 15 functional compartments, where information is stored on appetitive and aversive associations, in addition to non-associative learning such as the relative familiarity of an odor^{5,7-12,15,22,23}.

Studies so far have identified several traits pointing towards presynaptic storage mechanisms within the KCs during memory formation²⁴⁻²⁷. Indeed, studies that have blocked neurotransmitter release from KCs during learning²⁸⁻³¹ have brought postsynaptic contributions to synaptic plasticity into question.

In vertebrates, typically, long-term changes^{2,32,33} are mediated via NMDA-sensitive glutamate receptors (NMDAR) that induce ('induction') an expression phase ('expression') through changed glutamatergic AMPA receptor (AMPA) dynamics in dependence of postsynaptic scaffolds like PSD-95³⁴. Invertebrate nAChRs in principle could take over similar functions to their glutamatergic counterparts in vertebrates, despite their differing molecular characteristics³⁵. Indeed, nAChRs are pentamers that can be composed of homomeric assemblies of α subunits or heteromeric combinations of different α and β subunits. The composition of subunits determines the physiological properties of the nAChRs³⁵⁻³⁸, and synaptic weights could, in theory, be adjusted through the exchange of receptor subunits or entire complexes.

Here, we capitalize on the genetic accessibility to individual output neurons of the MBs to directly test whether postsynaptic receptors play a role in memory storage. Using combined neurophysiological, behavioral, light microscopic and molecular approaches, we establish a sequential role for nAChR subunits in appetitive memory storage at the level of MBONs. Using artificial training protocols, we demonstrate that postsynaptic calcium transients can change in response to concurrent activation of dopaminergic neurons and application of acetylcholine, circumventing KC output. Blocking KC output during appetitive, but not aversive, learning abolishes memory performance. Moreover, specific knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit, but none of the other six α subunits, in the M4/6 MBONs (also known as MBON- $\gamma 5\beta'2a$, MBON- $\beta'2mp$, MBON- $\beta 2\beta'2a$ and MBON- $\beta'2mp$ bilateral) - an output junction involved in coding appetitive and aversive memories - impairs immediate appetitive memories. Knock-down of $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$, however, interferes with 3-hour appetitive memories, as does knock-down of the scaffold Discs large (Dlg). We report differential distribution of α subunits throughout the MB and demonstrate that subunit dynamics are changed through

plasticity protocols. In addition, postsynaptically expressed non-associative familiarity learning also depends on $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ signaling as well as $\alpha 2$ dynamics. We hypothesize that, in *Drosophila*, nAChR subunits $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ take roles similar to NMDA and AMPA receptors in vertebrates for memory induction and expression, indicating that the general principle for postsynaptic plasticity independent of the neurotransmitter system used, could be conserved throughout evolution.

Results

Neurotransmitter release from Kenyon cells is required for appetitive learning

One key argument in favor of exclusively presynaptic memory storage mechanisms in invertebrates is based on experiments suggesting that blocking KC or KC subset output selectively during learning leads to unaltered or mildly changed memory performance^{28–31}. If the postsynapse need not see the neurotransmitter during training, it would likely be dispensable for memory induction. We revisited such experiments and blocked KC output during T-maze training, exposing the animals either to sugar-odor or shock-odor pairings (Fig. 1 a-b, Supplementary Fig. 1a-b).

We expressed the temperature-sensitive Dynamin mutant UAS-*Shibire*^{TS} (Shi) at the level of KCs, trained animals at the restrictive temperature, and tested for memory performance at permissive temperature 30 minutes later. These manipulations allowed us to interfere with the synaptic vesicle exo-endocycle specifically during conditioning, while reinstating neurotransmission afterwards. Consistent with previous reports^{28,30,31}, a slight drop in aversive memory performance (Fig. 1a) was not statistically different from controls and also observable in the permissive temperature controls (see Supplementary Fig. 1a). In contrast, memories were completely abolished following block of KC output during appetitive training (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1b).

We next asked whether the requirement for neurotransmission during appetitive learning was specific to the KC output synapse. To do so, we took an analogous approach, this time blocking neurotransmission from downstream M4/6 (MBON- $\gamma 5\beta'2a$, MBON- $\beta'2mp$, MBON- $\beta 2\beta'2a$ and MBON- $\beta'2mp$ bilateral) MBONs during appetitive training. We focused on the M4/6 set of MBONs as blocking these during memory retrieval crucially interferes with appetitive memory expression, while, on a

physiological level, memory-related plasticity is observable^{5,7,13}. When blocking M4/6 during appetitive training, but not retrieval, memory scores were similar to those of control groups (Fig. 1c), suggesting that the sites of plasticity are likely to be the KC to MBON synapse in general, with one major site specifically being the connections between KCs and M4/6 MBONs.

Thus, our experiments suggest that neurotransmitter release from KCs during training is required for the formation of appetitive memories but is less crucial for the formation of aversive memories.

The $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit is required for induction, and $\alpha 2$ for expression of appetitive memories

Requirement for presynaptic neurotransmitter release alone does not necessarily mean that postsynaptic plasticity is involved in appetitive memory formation. To address a putative role for postsynaptic sensitivity in memory formation, we next interfered with the postsynaptic receptor composition. Given that KCs are cholinergic, we screened for memory requirement of all nicotinic α -subunits at the level of the M4/6 MBONs (Fig. 2) using genetically-targeted RNAi. We concentrated on the nAChR α subunits as they are crucial components for all possible heteromeric or homomeric receptor pentamers³⁶.

When flies were tested for immediate appetitive memory, only knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ subunit produced performance that was statistically different from the controls (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 2a,i). Testing 3-hour appetitive memory performance revealed a significant memory impairment in flies with $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ knock-down (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. 2b,j-m). While $\alpha 5$ subunits can form homomeric channels³⁸, $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ can partake in heteromeric channels together³⁷. We therefore concentrated on the $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits in subsequent analyses.

To exclude developmental contributions to the observed memory defects, we repeated the immediate and 3-hour appetitive memory experiments for $\alpha 5$ as well as the 3-hour appetitive memory experiments for $\alpha 2$ knock-down animals, while suppressing RNAi expression using the temperature-sensitive Gal4 repressor Gal80^{ts} during development, up until 3-5 days before memory testing. Memory impairments were confirmed in all cases (Fig. 2e-g), but not detected in temperature controls (Supplementary Fig. 2e-g).

We also tested aversive immediate and 3-hour memory using the same genetic settings (Fig. 2c and d, Supplementary Fig. 2c and d). None of the knock-downs differed significantly from controls, with the exception of $\alpha 7$ at the 3-hour time point. As, comparable to vertebrate systems, $\alpha 7$ also plays a significant role at presynaptic neurites³⁹, we did not follow up on this observation in this study.

As M4/6 output is also required for appropriate aversive memory expression^{7,11}, $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5$ knock-down not impacting aversive memory performance suggested that the observed appetitive memory impairments were not simply a consequence of lost postsynaptic sensitivity to acetylcholine. To further corroborate this, we turned to a brain explant preparation and applied acetylcholine focally to the M4/6 dendrites expressing the calcium indicator GCaMP6f of control and knock-down animals in the presence of the blocker of voltage-gated sodium channels TTX^{4,40}. Dendritic calcium transients were comparable between all groups (Supplementary Fig. 3h). We also observed presynaptic sensitivity in all genotypes (*not shown*) after applying acetylcholine to the presynaptic MBON boutons, making presynaptic deficits following $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$ knock-down unlikely.

Therefore, we conclude that, at the level of M4/6 neurons, immediate and 3-hour appetitive memories are affected by knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ subunit, whereas 3-hour memories also require the presence of $\alpha 1$ - and $\alpha 2$ -bearing receptors in addition. The observed temporal profile of requirement for memory of $\alpha 1$ - and $\alpha 2$ -bearing receptors relative to those incorporating the $\alpha 5$ subunit, potentially points to a temporal sequence of receptor function during initial memory formation and subsequent memory expression.

The postsynaptic scaffold Dlg regulates both 3-hour appetitive memory and $\alpha 2$ levels

At mammalian glutamatergic synapses, such sequential models underly postsynaptic plasticity and changes in synaptic weight rely on receptor stabilization or destabilization that can be mediated via scaffolding molecules. One such scaffold, PSD-95, that is mostly involved in AMPA receptor dynamics, is conserved at *Drosophila* synapses. The orthologue Dlg^{41,42} is expressed throughout the brain, with mushroom body compartment-specific enrichment noted previously⁴³ (also compare Fig. 4a,b). We investigated appetitive and aversive memory performance following

M4/6-specific knock-down of Dlg (Fig. 2a-d, Supplementary Fig. 2a-d). Performance scores comparable to controls were found for both immediate appetitive and aversive memories (Fig. 2a, c, d, Supplementary Fig. 2). Dlg knock-down, however, specifically abolished 3-hour appetitive memory performance (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. S2b), while Gal80^{ts} experiments excluded a developmental defect (Fig. 2h, Supplementary Fig. 2h). The temporal profile of Dlg requirement therefore closely matched that of $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits.

Bypassing the presynapse: induction of persistent associative plasticity in the postsynaptic compartment

In order to directly test whether postsynaptic plasticity could take place at the level of MBONs, we next conducted neurophysiological proof-of-principle experiments.

To minimize plasticity induced by acute sensory experiences or general network activity, we used an explant brain preparation bathed in TTX from flies expressing the red light-activatable channelrhodopsin CsChrimson in a subset of dopaminergic neurons (PAM neurons; R58E02-LexA) and the calcium indicator GCaMP6f in M4/6 MBONs. Recent ultrastructural data has revealed direct synaptic connections between dopaminergic neurons and MBONs^{23,44}, giving rise to a motif that could potentially circumvent presynaptic KCs during plasticity induction (see schematic in Fig. 3a).

While dopamine release was controlled by red light flashes, neurotransmitter release from KCs was mimicked by focal pressure ejection of acetylcholine to the dendrites of the M6 (MBON- $\gamma 5\beta'2a$) MBON (M6 was chosen for technical reasons, as these neurons are most accessible for the used imaging technique). We first verified that KC presynapses do not respond to acetylcholine application⁴, using both calcium imaging and imaging of synaptic vesicle exocytosis at the level of KC axons (Fig 3c and Supplementary Fig 3i,j). The observed absence of KC activation, with acetylcholine being applied from an external source (Fig. 3a), minimized noise attributable to possible presynaptic contributions.

Our protocols consisted of training phases where we differentiated between temporal pairing of acetylcholine and optogenetic activation of dopaminergic neurons ('paired', Fig. 3b, h), dopamine only ('red-light only', Fig. 3b,e,g), or 'acetylcholine only' (Fig. 3b,d,f) (also see Supplementary Fig. 3). Acetylcholine application preceded (pre) and followed each training step (post) to establish baseline responses and to assess synaptic weights following training ('testing'). We found that test responses were

significantly elevated following the paired condition (Fig. 3h). This potentiation was not observed when testing after acetylcholine only or dopamine only training (Fig. 3f,g). Importantly, we also did not observe any changes when pairing acetylcholine application with red light in non-CsChrimson-expressing controls (Supplementary Fig. 3f,g). Finally, we varied our training and testing in extended protocols, with all conditions applied within a single brain (Fig. S3c-e). Consistently, while reproducing the observed potentiation after paired training, dopaminergic or cholinergic training did not elicit plasticity. Of note, dopamine-only training reversed the observed potentiation, but only if following paired training within the same animal (*not shown*).

Because we are using global acetylcholine application instead of sparse activation of single synapses, these experiments likely do not reflect *in vivo* physiological settings⁷. However, our proof of principle experiments demonstrate that postsynaptic sensitivity can change independently of the presynapse.

We next addressed, whether the observed plasticity could be tied to the requirement of cholinergic receptor plasticity. To do so, we repeated our paired training protocols, while expressing RNAi to $\alpha 2$ in M4/6 neurons (Fig. 3i). Indeed, $\alpha 2$ knockdown abolished plasticity, confirming that nicotinic receptors are the underlying substrates for molecular changes.

Non-uniform distribution of nAChR α -subunits throughout MB compartments

Our behavioral and physiological data so far suggested that $\alpha 2$ -containing nicotinic receptors are involved in appetitive memory storage. To test whether receptor levels were interdependent, we made use of a newly established CRISPR-based genomic collection of GFP-tagged endogenous nAChR subunits (Woitkuhn, Pribbenow, Matkovic, Sigrist and Oswald, *unpublished*) covering all α subunits (with the exception of $\alpha 3$) under control of their endogenous promoter, allowing for analyses of receptor distribution and dynamics in a dense neuropile *in situ*.

We first characterized receptor subunit signals throughout the 15 MB compartments, several of which have been shown to be involved in the encoding of specific memories. We found a non-uniform distribution (Fig. 4a,b, Supplementary Fig. 4) that was unique for each subunit, indicating considerable heterogeneity of receptor composition.

$\alpha 5$, which is required for immediate and 3-hour appetitive memories, was abundant throughout the γ lobe, including $\gamma 5$ and slightly less at the level of $\beta'2$, the compartments that are innervated by M4/6 dendrites. $\alpha 2$ subunits, required for 3-hour appetitive memories, showed similarly high relative abundance in $\beta'2$ (innervated by M4 and in parts by M6) and $\gamma 5$ (innervated by M6) (Fig. 4a,b). Of note, none of these subunits appeared as abundant in $\gamma 1$, the output compartment of the MVP2 MBON that stores aversive memories¹⁹, while baseline fluorescence levels were intermediate and rather low for $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ at the level of the $\alpha'3$, the output compartment harboring MBONs involved in non-associative odor familiarity learning¹⁵.

We next evaluated, whether the fluorescent signals of the $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5$ subunits (with $\alpha 5$ potentially functioning upstream of $\alpha 2$, Fig. 2) observed in the $\beta'2$ and $\gamma 5$ compartments were derived from receptors within the dendritic processes of M4/6. To do so, we performed cell-specific knock-down experiments using VT1211-Gal4 and quantified the relative fluorescent signal of the knock-down compartment relative to the neighboring unmanipulated compartments (Fig. 4c-f).

Knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit reduced the relative $\alpha 5^{\text{GFP}}$ signal specifically and significantly in $\gamma 5$ and $\beta'2$ (Fig. 4c,d). $\alpha 5$ abundance was, however, unaltered when knocking-down $\alpha 2$ or Dlg, which is in line with $\alpha 5$ functioning as a trigger for plasticity processes.

Likewise, confirming that the observed signal was derived from M4/6 MBON dendrites, $\alpha 2$ knock-down reduced the relative $\alpha 2^{\text{GFP}}$ levels significantly throughout the $\beta'2$ and $\gamma 5$ compartments (Fig. 4e,f). Strikingly, we also observed reduced $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunit levels following $\alpha 5$ subunit in the $\beta'2$ compartment or Dlg knock-down in the $\beta'2$ and $\gamma 5$ compartments (Fig. 4e,f), which is in line with a sequential requirement of receptor subunits during memory formation (also compare behavioral data in Fig. 2).

Our data therefore are consistent with $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunits and Dlg functioning upstream of $\alpha 2$ subunit-positive receptors in a consecutive plasticity sequence at the level of M4/6 MBONs, at least within the $\beta'2$ compartment.

nAChR subunits shape synaptic MB output properties

We next focused on implications of $\alpha 2$ subunit knock-down on postsynaptic function of M4/6 MBONs. Axonal calcium transients have previously been shown to be decreased

following knock-down of α subunits⁴. However, both increased or decreased postsynaptic drive could lead to changed dendritic integration properties underlying reduced signal propagation⁴⁵.

We expressed GCaMP6f in M4/6 MBONs, and exposed the flies repeatedly to alternating puffs of the odors octanol (OCT) and MCH (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b). We focused our experiments on the β '2 compartment (Fig. 5), as this is innervated by both M4 and 6 MBONs. Initial dendritic odor responses were comparable between α 2 subunit knock-down and controls (Fig. 5b,c), while initial odor-evoked dendritic calcium transients were elevated following knock-down of α 5 (Fig. 5b,c). Importantly, while control animals showed a relative facilitation in odor-specific calcium transients after several exposures of OCT, we did not detect this in α 2 knock-down animals (Fig. 5d,e). Odor responses following α 5 knock-down, however, clearly depressed after multiple odor exposures (Fig. 5f), indicating that lack of α 5 can lead to pre-potentiated synaptic transmission, while α 2 nAChR subunit knock-down interfered with baseline synaptic properties to a lesser extent. Importantly, we did not observe any changes in calcium signals at the level of the corresponding KC axons, further supporting that the observed plasticity was of postsynaptic origin (Supplementary Fig. 5h,i). The observed facilitation in M4/6 of controls was not apparent when using repeated application of MCH, indicating that the observed non-associative plasticity can be graded (Supplementary Fig. 5e).

Given the limited effect of α 2 knock-down on baseline transmission, we next tested whether interfering with α 2 would change responses in M4/6 following associative appetitive odor/sugar pairing. We performed *in vivo* training under the microscope (Fig. 5b) experiments using an absolute paradigm, pairing odor exposure with *ad libido* sugar feeding during training. We conditioned using MCH, because we did not detect strong differences in initial odor responses between controls and α 2 knock-down animals (see above, Supplementary Fig. 5). Comparing odor responses before and after pairing revealed a marked depression for control animals in line with previous observations^{7,17} (Fig 5g). Importantly, after knock-down of α 2, however, this observed depression was no longer apparent (Fig 5h). Of note, and in line with a tight interplay of α 2 and α 5, knock-down of α 5 also prevented depression (*not shown*).

Together, our data point towards a mechanism, where nicotinic receptor subunits shape synaptic properties (Fig. 5), and α 2 is directly involved in plasticity processes.

In vivo imaging of postsynaptic receptor plasticity reveals altered $\alpha 2$ dynamics

Structural changes at the level of the receptor composition are hallmarks of postsynaptic plasticity expression in vertebrates. Typically, rearrangements can be measured by altered dynamics of receptors that can reflect incorporation or removal of receptor subunits. We next sought to test whether dynamic receptor behavior could serve as a structural correlate of cholinergic postsynaptic memory trace expression. To do so, we turned to *in vivo* imaging experiments of the endogenously tagged $\alpha 2$ subunit (Fig. 6, Supplementary Fig. 6). Flies were either tethered under the two (Fig. 6) or the single photon confocal (Fig. 7) microscope and subjected to training protocols. Endogenous *in situ* receptor dynamics at the level of the $\beta'2$ compartment of the MB were estimated as fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP), allowing us to also pick up small increases in signal (Fig. 6a-c).

We conducted artificial appetitive training protocols by exposing the tethered animals to odor with or without simultaneous focal injection of dopamine to the $\beta'2$ compartment of the MB (Fig. 6a-c). We performed two different sets of protocols comparing recovery of $\alpha 2^{\text{GFP}}$ (Fig. 6b, Supplementary Fig. 6b). Following photobleaching, individual flies were exposed to one of three conditions: focal injection of dopamine, odor, or odor paired with focal dopamine injection. Flies exposed to OCT showed increased fluorescence recovery when compared to flies that received only dopamine injections or odor paired with dopamine (Fig. 6d,e). Dopamine, therefore, does not induce plasticity on its own, and further, it suppresses odor-induced recovery when applied simultaneously with an odor. To rule out that recovery depended on the type of odor used, we also conducted similar experiments using MCH, this time testing all conditions within the same fly. After photobleaching, flies were successively exposed to a focal injection of dopamine, an odor stimulus, or odor paired with focal dopamine injection. Again, we observed significant recovery in the odor only condition, whereas dopamine suppressed odor-induced plasticity (Supplementary Fig. 6d,e). Of note, we did not observe any $\alpha 5^{\text{GFP}}$ recovery using similar protocols (*not shown*), in line with a role of $\alpha 5$ functioning upstream of $\alpha 2$.

Thus, our data indicate that pairing odor with dopamine stalls $\alpha 2^{\text{GFP}}$ dynamics potentially by either stabilizing the already present amount of receptor or hindering new incorporation of $\alpha 2$ -containing receptors. Interestingly the opposite, increased receptor dynamics, is observed after odor exposure without reinforcer. Thus, stalling $\alpha 2$

dynamics can be correlated to a relative depression of M4/6 MBON synapses^{7,13} following appetitive conditioning.

We next asked whether postsynaptic plasticity expressed through $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ subunit interplay could underlie other forms of learning represented in the MBs. We turned to the $\alpha'3$ compartment at the tip of the vertical MB lobe that has previously been shown to mediate odor familiarity learning. This form of learning allows the animal to adapt its behavioral responses to new odors and, importantly, permits for assaying direct odor-related plasticity. Importantly, this compartment follows different plasticity rules, because the odor serves as both the conditioned (activating KCs) and unconditioned stimulus (activating corresponding dopaminergic neurons)¹⁵. While allowing us to test whether the so far uncovered principles could also be utilized in a different context, it also provides a less complex test bed to investigate whether $\alpha 5$ functions upstream of $\alpha 2$ dynamics.

Familiarity learning alters postsynaptic receptor dynamics

Confirming previous observations¹⁵, a repeated odor application paradigm (Fig. 7a) led to the depression of postsynaptic calcium transients at the level of the $\alpha'3$ MBONs (Fig. 7b). Importantly, we did not detect a corresponding depression on the presynaptic side when imaging arbors of a sparse $\alpha'\beta'$ KC driver line within $\alpha'3$ (Fig. 7b), further indicating that memories were predominantly stored postsynaptically in this compartment. We next performed *in vivo* FRAP experiments following familiarity learning paradigms. After odor training, we observed clear recovery rates of $\alpha 2^{\text{GFP}}$ signals compared to the control group, however not of $\alpha 5^{\text{GFP}}$ or Dlgl^{GFP} (Fig. 7 c-f, Supplementary Fig. 7). Therefore, increased $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics are triggered through training events and, at the level of the $\alpha'3$ compartment, accompany postsynaptic depression of the MBONs.

To invariantly test whether recovery in the $\alpha'3$ compartment were attributable to $\alpha 2$ at the level of the $\alpha'3$ MBONs, we repeated recovery experiments while knocking-down $\alpha 2$. In accordance with the observed signal recovery deriving from MBONs, no recovery was observed (Fig. 7g). Likewise, we did not observe $\alpha 2^{\text{GFP}}$ recovery when performing specific $\alpha 5$ knock-down in $\alpha'3$ MBONs (Fig. 7g), confirming a role of $\alpha 5$ upstream of $\alpha 2$ also in this compartment.

$\alpha 5$ subunits govern induction and $\alpha 2$ subunits expression of non-associative familiarity learning

Finally, we tested whether interfering with $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits would also impact familiarity learning behavior (Fig. 8).

Flies were covered in dust and subjected to repeated odor exposures. As expected, control flies readily groomed to remove the dust, however typically stopped this action when detecting the novel odor (Fig. 8a-c). Over subsequent trials control flies learned that this odor was familiar and stopped reacting to the stimulus, continuing grooming (Fig. 8a-c, Supplementary Fig. 8). Expressing RNAi to the $\alpha 2$ subunit at the level of the $\alpha'3$ MBONs clearly impacted learning: flies learned with decreased efficacy and only after several trials (Fig. 8a-d). Strikingly, $\alpha 5$ RNAi-expressing flies failed to stop grooming even to the first stimulus. Indeed, they acted as if they had already learned that an odor was familiar (Fig. 8a-d).

Together, our data suggest that $\alpha 5$ induces memory formation and lack of $\alpha 5$ leads to fully potentiated synapses. Subsequent expression of memory traces requires $\alpha 2$ -containing receptors. Importantly, recovery accompanies synaptic depression at the level of the $\alpha'3$ MBONs, while being suppressed by paired training in the $\beta'2$ compartment. Moreover, $\alpha 2$ can be involved in both depression and facilitation of synapses. Thus, synapses can bidirectionally utilize plasticity of the same receptor subunit for storing different types of information (see model in Fig. 9).

Discussion

Synaptic weight changes are widely recognized as substrates for memory storage throughout the animal kingdom. How synapses adapt in order to change their efficacy during learning has been a focus of attention over the last decades. While it is undisputed that both pre- and postsynaptic mechanisms of memory storage exist in vertebrates (albeit with an emphasis on postsynaptic mechanisms), invertebrate memory-related synaptic plasticity has been largely localized to the presynaptic compartment, although some debates exist¹. The core of the debate boils down to a key question: do vertebrates and invertebrates use similar mechanisms to store memories or are there fundamental differences? A first clear difference appears to be

the use of different neurotransmitter systems, glutamate and acetylcholine respectively, in the vertebrate and *Drosophila* learning centers⁴.

Postsynaptic plasticity in appetitive memory storage

Here, we use the genetic tractability of the *Drosophila* system to directly address postsynaptic plasticity during memory storage in invertebrates. Large amounts of evidence from *Drosophila* so far suggests a presynaptic role of memory storage^{24–28,46}. Moreover, it was demonstrated that block of KCs during learning does not interfere with memory performance^{28,30,31}, although some studies blocking KC subsets did find impairments^{29,47} in the context of short-term appetitive memory. Lack of necessity to detect neurotransmitter by the postsynapse in the course of memory storage was interpreted as evidence for a presynaptic storage mechanism. If the receptor does not ‘see’ the signal, it is dispensable for ‘interpreting’ it. Here, we revisited such experiments using a pan-KC driver and found, in accordance with previous studies, only mild, if any, requirement for aversive memory storage. We, however, fully abolished appetitive memories (Fig. 1) by blocking KC output during acquisition, providing a framework for postsynaptic plasticity that is induced and expressed through distinct nAChR subunits (Fig. 2-8).

Our study hints towards different pre- and postsynaptic storage of aversive and appetitive memories. It also argues against the assumption that appetitive and aversive memories will necessarily use the same molecular machinery to store information. Findings in the past, predominantly based on investigating aversive memories, have been generalized to learning per se. Indeed, postsynaptic contributions have been ruled out for a synaptic junction required for storage of aversive but not appetitive memories, which is fully consistent with our findings²². Interestingly, arguing for a division of appetitive and aversive storage sites, subpopulations of KCs have been implicated in aversive and appetitive memory respectively⁴⁸. Lastly, we do not wish to exclude a potential involvement of postsynaptic plasticity in aversive memory formation per se. Indeed, it is conceivable that aversive memories also could have an appetitive component (release from punishment). However, our experiments suggest that these influences are not as crucial as for appetitive memories, at least in the context of single trial differential associative learning.

Lasting postsynaptic plasticity

Recent anatomical studies^{23,44} have reported both dopaminergic innervation of presynaptic KC compartments as well as somewhat unexpectedly direct synapses between presynaptic dopaminergic terminals and MBONs. We devised an experiment where we substituted KC input to the postsynaptic MBON compartment through artificial acetylcholine injection, while rendering dopaminergic neurons switchable through optogenetics. A protocol that trained and subsequently tested the synaptic junction between KCs and MBONs, demonstrates that plasticity (represented by a change in calcium responses to acetylcholine injection) was inducible by pairing dopaminergic with postsynaptic MBON activation that lasted beyond the training stage and was observable by mere ‘recall-like’ activation of the system (Fig. 3). Our experiments uncovered the ability to potentiate after pairing M6 MBON activation and stimulating a broad population of dopaminergic neurons that convey information on sugar, water or the relative valence of aversive stimuli⁵, while we find postsynaptic plasticity to be required for appetitive memory performance (Fig. 3). Previous studies looking into ‘natural’ appetitive sugar conditioning uncovered a relative depression in M4 (another MBON of the M4/6 cluster) dendrites, when comparing the responses of the paired (CS+) and unpaired odor (CS-) one hour after appetitive conditioning^{5,7,13}. Moreover, we here show that *in vivo* appetitive absolute training depresses subsequent responses to the trained odor (Fig 5). However, we here (Fig. 3), for our *in vitro* experiments, perform global activation of the postsynaptic compartment and not the natural typical coverage of 5 % of input synapses per odor⁴⁹ (that allow for differential conditioning). Induced changes are therefore likely not comparable to the natural settings, where sparse sets of KCs and dopaminergic neurons are active within a tight temporal window. Moreover, we here abolish network contributions by suppressing active signal propagation to be able to concentrate on synaptic mechanisms during plasticity induction. Thus, our artificial training (Fig. 3) through global dendritic activation likely does not mirror precise physiological conditions allowing for plasticity of a sparse set of synapses to convey odor-specificity to a memory, and should therefore only be viewed as a proof of principle for postsynaptic plasticity induction per se. That said, similar protocols⁵⁰ that involved broad activation of KCs (and thus did not circumvent the presynaptic compartment) have demonstrated comparable plasticity induction at this synapse. However, our data also demonstrate that depression and potentiation (or suppression of depression, see odor only presentation and absolute conditioning in Fig. 5) can occur at the postsynaptic compartment, with $\alpha 2$ apparently involved in both (Fig. 3 and 5).

Local acetylcholine application to the MB can also activate calcium transients in dopaminergic presynaptic terminals⁵¹. Therefore, our protocol could in principle include some dopaminergic contributions already at baseline level. However, we show that knock-down of $\alpha 2$ at the level of M4/6 abolishes the observed potentiation and control experiments using the paired training protocol in the absence of CsChrimson expression in dopaminergic neurons do not show any signs of plasticity (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Moreover, it has been shown that, to actually release dopamine from the presynaptic terminal, a coincident signal via carbon monoxide is required^{52,53}. Therefore, an unwanted activation of dopaminergic neurons in our experiments is unlikely.

It should also be noted that M4, which shows depression, and M6 have common but also distinct physiological roles, for instance during aversive memory extinction¹³. Besides that, different temporal requirements for M4 and M6 memory expression have been reported¹¹. It is therefore possible that physiological changes in the context of appetitive learning led to different plasticity profiles in M4 and M6 neurons respectively, or that initial potentiation over time can be reverted to depression. As noted above, MBON drive is bidirectionally modifiable and has the propensity to both potentiate and depress¹⁷.

Nicotinic receptors follow temporal sequence

Lasting plasticity traces as observed here (Fig. 3) appear to fit the core criteria for long-term potentiation of vertebrate postsynapses^{3,54}. Plasticity can be divided into an induction period mediated via NMDA receptors and a subsequent expression period that requires altered AMPA receptor dynamics³. Here, we identify the nicotinic $\alpha 5$ subunit as required both for the induction and the expression of appetitive memories at *Drosophila* MBONs (Fig. 2). $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunits that can form homomeric channels³⁸³⁹ could take on a similar role to NMDARs. $\alpha 5$ would gate the potentiation or depression of synaptic strength influencing the incorporation or exchange of additional receptor subunits or complexes. In line with this, we show that knock-down of $\alpha 5$ subunits interferes with familiarity learning in the $\alpha'3$ compartment of the MBs: flies no longer form familiarity memories, they react to a novel odor the same way as to a familiar one, 'as if they had learned that this new odor was familiar before' (Fig. 7, 8). Moreover, we do not observe $\alpha 5$ subunit dynamics (Fig. 7), whereas knock-down of $\alpha 5$ leads to decreased levels of $\alpha 2$ subunits (Fig. 4), and $\alpha 2$ dynamics are no longer observable when knocking-down $\alpha 5$ in the MBONs of the $\alpha'3$ compartment (Fig 7).

Thus, we can draw compelling analogies to glutamatergic systems governing plasticity in vertebrates. Whether even more core criteria are met for the comparison of invertebrate and vertebrate plasticity systems, further depends on whether the here observed receptor dynamics will actually translate to exo-/endocytosis of postsynaptic receptors or lateral diffusion of receptor subunits along the MBON dendrites. Our established system should provide the means to investigate this further in the future. Interestingly, high levels of dendritic activation after $\alpha 5$ knock-down are translated to reduced axonal calcium transients⁴, effectively leading to decreased signal transduction within the MBON. Of note, MBONs do not appear to exhibit prominent spines on their dendrites²³. Therefore, increased dendritic activation could lead to a change in membrane resistance and result in synaptic interference.

$\alpha 2$ subunit-positive receptors mediate memory expression

We also find that later forms of appetitive memory expression require both the $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 1$ receptor subunits (Fig. 2). A recent study³⁷ has demonstrated that, when expressed heterologously, these subunits can co-assemble to form heterodimers with β subunits, which, depending on the precise composition of these channels, can harbor different properties, potentially reminiscent to AMPAR⁵⁵. However, MB distribution profiles of $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2$ subunits do not match completely, for instance at the level of the $\gamma 5$ or $\alpha' 2$ compartments (Fig. 4), indicating that they could also partake in different or independent receptor configurations. It should be worthwhile to compare receptor localization with single cell sequencing results⁸.

Importantly, $\alpha 2$ subunit knock-down at M4/6 MBONs does not affect immediate appetitive memories, but later stages of memory (Fig. 2). We show in a complex neuropile, that *in vivo*, on a time scale of 10 to 20 minutes after learning, exposure to odor induces changed $\alpha 2$ receptor subunit dynamics that are suppressed by simultaneous dopamine exposure ('learning', Fig. 6). Therefore, changes in $\alpha 2$ dynamics, potentially reminiscent to AMPAR, can be attributed to memory expression and consolidation that takes place in an early phase following training (the first 20 minutes) but will establish longer-term memories (3 hours). It should be noted, that whether dopamine pairing would also lead to a reduction of $\alpha 2$ levels over time, potentially explaining synaptic depression, cannot be assessed with our experimental settings at this time, but would appear as a valid possibility.

We show that familiarity learning can take place when knocking down $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits in $\alpha' 3$ MBONs in principle (Fig. 8), however, at clearly decreased efficacy and

only after several trials. We speculate that the observation of memories still expressed *per se* in this context, could be explained by redundancies with $\alpha 1$ or other subunits (but see heterogeneous localization and enrichment in different MB compartments, Fig. 4). Redundancies could also explain why we partially observe functional phenotypes after knock-down of individual subunits, but only moderate structural changes. We also want to point out that subunits we did not identify as absolutely required for memory expression (Fig. 2) in this study could nonetheless partake in distinct phases of plasticity processes.

$\alpha 2$ dynamics as general plasticity mode

In the context of both familiarity learning and appetitive conditioning, odor exposure induces increased $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics (Fig. 6, 7) accompanying postsynaptic depression^{7,15} (Fig. 7), while not or mildly affecting $\alpha 5$ subunits. Therefore, the same basic mechanisms, odor-induced $\alpha 2$ receptor dynamics, seem to express two opposed plastic outcomes in the context of associative and non-associative memories and contribute to different learning rules across MB compartments^{22,56}. We speculate that $\alpha 2$ dynamics induced by odor in the M4/6 dendrites could be reminiscent of dark currents in the vertebrate visual system⁵⁷ allowing for rapid adaptation with low levels of synaptic noise. Receptor exchange at the level of M4/6 dendrites would actually take place when no associations are formed and stalled when dopaminergic neurons (triggered by sugar) are simultaneously active with KCs (triggered by odor). Indeed, repeated OCT stimulation led to a facilitation of calcium transients (potentially corresponding to an increase of receptor incorporation, Fig.5-6)), while depression (in this case likely to be mediated by removal of receptors, but see above) is triggered by paired training (Fig. 6). In contrast, at the level of the $\alpha'3$ compartments, odor activates both MBONs and dopaminergic neurons. Here, the plasticity rule would be reversed. Synaptic depression is accompanied by actively changing the receptor composite. We speculate that such plasticity could function reminiscent of mechanisms observed for climbing fiber-induced depression of parallel fiber to Purkinje cell synapses⁵⁸.

However, whether increased dynamics can be translated to more incorporation or removal of $\alpha 2$ -type receptors, or depending on the plasticity rule both, will require high resolution imaging experiments in the future.

Similar to AMPAR, localization of $\alpha 2$, but not $\alpha 5$ subunits, depends on Dlg, the orthologue to vertebrate PSD-95 and PSD-93. Interestingly, the latter has been

implicated in structural integrity of cholinergic nicotinic receptor arrangements⁵⁹ in vertebrates.

Are cholinergic and glutamatergic synapses interchangeable?

Our study fuels the question of how unique properties of individual neurotransmitter systems at synapses are. While dopamine signaling is remarkably conserved between invertebrates and vertebrates, cholinergic and glutamatergic systems appear, now more than before (this study), somewhat interchangeable. While vertebrates (but also evolutionarily distant *C. elegans*) for instance use acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction and store memories predominantly at glutamatergic synapses, it is the other way around in *Drosophila* and other invertebrates, such as *Sepia*^{4–6,60,61}. Now we show that, at cholinergic synapses, $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 2$ subunits behave in a potentially comparable way to NMDARs and AMPARs at glutamatergic synapses during a postsynaptic plasticity sequence underlying memory storage. In this context, we offer several lines of evidence that invertebrates utilize postsynaptic plasticity during memory storage and not as previously assumed rely on presynaptic mechanisms only.

We therefore propose that, across phyla, postsynaptic plasticity, with the propensity to store memories and adapt network function plastically, can take place regardless of neurotransmitter identity.

A molecular plasticity sequence

Together, we propose a model (Fig. 9) in which $\alpha 5$ -subunit containing receptors mediate the early phase of postsynaptic memory storage, potentially by leading to elevated postsynaptic calcium flux. Concurrent events see changed dynamics of the $\alpha 2$ receptor that are regulated by Dlg. Nicotinic receptor subunits hereby likely will interact with adaptor proteins to bind to Dlg, reminiscent to what is known for AMPAR³⁵. Importantly, we identify elevated $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics in the context of associative and non-associative memory expression. Increased $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics in both cases are triggered by odor application. At the level of M4/6, suppressed dynamics would correspond to synaptic depression, while at the level of $\alpha'3$ MBONs increased dynamics result in postsynaptic depression. Therefore, different learning rules likely govern the incorporation or exchange or mobilization of receptors in or out of synapses. The precise molecular mechanisms underlying these plasticity rules will need to be

addressed in the future. However, $\alpha 2$ subunits could potentially be exchanged for a receptor complex with higher calcium permeability.

Our findings are consistent with the current mushroom body skew model⁵, where the summed MBON output will determine an animal's choice. However, we add an additional layer, already at the MBON input site. Changes do not happen, as previously believed, at the presynaptic compartment only, but potentially at both synaptic compartments. Thus, the power to store (potentially conflicting) information separately at either the pre- or postsynaptic site, equips the system with additional flexibility. How precisely pre- to postsynaptic and post- to presynaptic signaling is regulated will need to be addressed in the future, but will likely involve transsynaptic signaling routes⁶¹. Importantly, the identified modes of postsynaptic plasticity will open avenues for investigations looking into pre- versus postsynaptic contributions during reversal learning, reconsolidation and extinction learning^{13,33}.

Methods

Fly genetics

Flies were raised on standard food under standard laboratory conditions unless stated otherwise (25°C, 65 %, 12-hour light-dark cycle)^{7,40}. Driver lines used were MB011B (Split-Gal4)¹⁰, MB112C¹⁰ (Split-Gal4), MB461B¹⁰ (Split-Gal4), MB027B¹⁵ (Split-Gal4), R13F02-Gal4¹⁰, OK107-Gal4⁴, VT1211-GAL4⁷, and R58E02-LexA²⁶. We used the following UAS-nAChR^{RNAi} flies^{4,51}: Bloomington stock numbers 28688, 27493, 27671, 31985, 25943, 27251 and 25835. Additionally, we used^{41,42} Dlg^{S97}-RNAi as well as UAS-Dlg^{GFP}, UAS-Gal80^{ts}⁴⁸, UAS-Shi^{ts1}⁴⁸, 247-dsRed⁷, LexAop-CsChrimson and UAS-GCamp6f^{4,13,26}, UAS-SynaptoPhluorin⁶². Gal80^{ts} flies were raised at 18-20°C and were placed at 32°C 3-5 days before the experiment. Note that complex genotypes did not always permit usage of MB011B for genetical access to M4/6 neurons throughout the manuscript. In that case, in order to reduce genetic complexity, we used VT1211-Gal4.

Behavior

T-maze memory

3- to 9-day old mixed-sex populations were trained and tested together as previously described⁷. Odors used were 3-Octanol (OCT, Aldrich) and 4-Methylcyclohexanol (MCH, Aldrich) diluted in mineral oil (approximately 1:100 for aversive, 1:1000 for appetitive memory, absolute concentrations were minimally adjusted to prevent odor bias). For aversive protocols, flies were exposed to the CS+ for 1 minute with 12 1.5 seconds long 120 V electric shocks (interstimulus interval: 3.5 seconds) followed by 45 seconds of air, 1 minute of CS- exposure and another 30 seconds of air. Flies were given 2 minutes to choose between the CS+ and CS- in a T-Maze during retrieval in the dark. For appetitive conditioning flies were starved for 20 - 24 hours before the experiment. Flies were exposed to the CS- for 2 minutes. After 30 seconds, flies were exposed to the CS+ paired with sugar for 2 minutes followed by another 30 seconds of air. Performance indices were calculated as described previously⁷. Time of retrieval is stated in the figures. For Shi^{ts} experiments, flies were kept at 32°C 30 minutes prior to and during training and brought to room temperature directly afterwards. Room temperature was approximately 23°C. For Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 2 behavioral data sets from separate experiments were pooled. Note that 'screening hit' data displayed in Fig. 2a,b and Supplementary Fig. 2a,b were replotted to allow for comparison of genotypes with the corresponding genetic controls in Supplementary Fig. 2i-m.

Familiarity learning

Familiarity training was essentially performed as described before¹⁵ with slight adjustments. Flies were covered in yellow dust (Reactive Yellow 86, Fisher Scientific)¹⁵ and placed in a cylindrical custom designed chamber. To ensure a constant air stream we placed the chamber between an air and a vacuum pump (800ml/min). Air permeable cotton wool was used to close the open ends of the chamber. The air supply was either connected to pure mineral oil or MCH diluted in mineral oil at a concentration of 1:50. For switching between odor and mineral oil, a clamp was manually opened and closed. Video recording was performed at 26 frames per second. For recordings and analyses we used a custom written Python script (v3.6) in Anaconda Jupyter Notebook environment.

Imaging

Confocal single photon imaging and receptor quantification

Fixed explant brain imaging. Brains were dissected on ice, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 20 minutes and placed in PBST (0.1% Triton) for 30 minutes followed by washing with PBS for 20 minutes twice. Vectashield was used as mounting medium. Flies were 2 - 8 day-old females raised at room temperature.

Recording endogenous fluorescence. Imaging was performed using a confocal single photon inverse microscope (Leica SP5/STED) equipped with a 64x oil objective. Laser power and gain were adjusted between experiments, making normalization of the signals necessary. Values for the heatmap in Fig. 4 were normalized to the mean MB fluorescence value to ensure comparability. Voxel size was (height x width x depth) 123 nm x 123 nm x 500 nm. ROIs were drawn manually in ImageJ using the 247-dsRed channel for orientation (for Fig 5B). Heat maps were created in Microsoft Excel. For quantifications following knock-down, the $\gamma 5$ compartment was normalized to $\gamma 4$ ($(\gamma 5 - \gamma 4) / \gamma 4$), and the $\beta' 2$ to the $\beta' 1$ compartment ($(\beta' 2 - \beta' 1) / \beta' 2$) of the same animal. Each 'n' corresponds to one hemisphere.

In vivo two photon imaging of receptor dynamics

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments were performed *in vivo*. 2 - 8 day-old flies were anesthetized on ice and mounted in a custom-made chamber. The head capsule was opened under room temperature sugar-free HL3-like saline, and legs were immobilized with wax⁷. Sugar-free HL3-like saline containing 30 units of Papain (Roche) was applied to the head capsule for 8 minutes to digest the brain's glial sheath and facilitate removal. Images were acquired using a multi photon microscope (Nikon) with a 25x water-immersion objective, controlled by Nikon NIS Elements software. Diluted odors (MCH or OCT in mineral oil 1:1000) were delivered on a clean air carrier stream using a 6-channel delivery system (CON electronics). The flies were subjected to experimental conditions including either no odor (air, *not shown*, no recovery detected), odor only, odor paired with local dopamine (10 mM) injection via a micropipette, or local dopamine injection only (see Fig. 6B and Supplementary Fig. S6 for experimental protocol schematics). Photobleaching was accomplished using focused, high intensity laser exposure for ~1 minute. Analysis of fluorescence recovery was performed using FIJI. ROIs were manually selected and recovery fluorescence was normalized to pre-bleaching baseline fluorescence and the percent recovery was calculated from the post-bleaching baseline fluorescence. Linear regression lines were fit to percent recovery plots in Graph Pad Prism and the mean slope of the linear regression lines was used to determine differences in overall fluorescence recovery for each condition.

In vivo confocal single photon imaging of receptor dynamics and calcium transients

3-4 days after eclosure, female flies were prepared as described above and imaged. Imaging was performed using a SP5 single-photon confocal microscope (Leica microsystems). Recording frame rate was 3 Hz. For bleaching high laser power was used focusing on the $\alpha'3$ compartments for 15-25 seconds. The baseline was recorded after bleaching, immediately before fixed inter-stimulus interval-training¹⁵. OCT was presented ten times for a second with a six second pause in between. Odor delivery (CON electronics) was controlled by the Leica acquisition software. After training, the same brain plane was recorded for 10 seconds with a pixel size of 200 nm in time intervals of 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 60 minutes after training. For control experiments air only was delivered to the chamber. Images of the same time interval recordings were averaged and processed in ImageJ. Gaussian blur ($\sigma = 0.5$) was applied for smoothing and ROIs were selected manually.

In vivo two photon calcium imaging

To measure odor responses, female 3 – 6-day old flies expressing UAS-GCaMP6f and UAS-RNAi to $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$ at the level of M4/6 were tethered under the multiphoton microscope (Femtonics), essentially as described before^{7,63}. 5 alternating 1 second OCT and MCH puffs were applied with 30 seconds in between each presentation. Fluorescent signals were recorded from dendrites in the $\beta'2$ MB compartment using MESc software (Femtonics) at a frame rate of roughly 31 Hz. ROIs incorporating the dendritic arbors were manually drawn. Data was processed using a Savitzky-Golay filter. Statistical analysis was performed using custom written Matlab scripts. For absolute training, following protocol was applied: after initial testing for odor responses, flies were exposed to odor puffs (MCH) twice with a 30-second gap between the applications. Corresponding odor responses were averaged. Training consisted of odor application while the fly fed on a sucrose droplet provided by a custom-made feeding arm⁶⁴. After a two-minute break two odor puffs with a gap of 30 seconds were applied. Again, corresponding odor responses were averaged. AUCs were calculated using custom Matlab script and the first 4 seconds following odor onset were analyzed in order to cover entire responses. The AUCs pre- and post-training were normalized to the mean pre-training values of a group respectively. The averaged test responses pre-training were compared to the average post-training responses using a paired t-test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test.

Explant brain widefield imaging, neurotransmitter application and optogenetics

Postsynaptic plasticity induction: Brains of 3 - 10 day-old mixed sex flies were dissected on ice. Flies expressed CsChrimson^{tdTomato} under control of R58E02-LexA and UAS-GCaMP6f under control of MB011B. The head capsule and sheath were removed in carbogenated solution (103 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 5 mM N-Tris, 10 mM trehalose, 10 mM glucose, 7 mM sucrose, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, 4 mM MgCl₂, 295 mOsm, pH 7.3) with forceps. The brain was subsequently perfused with carbogenated solution containing TTX (2 µM; 20 ml / 10 min flow speed) and imaged using an Olympus MX51WI wide field microscope with a 40x Olympus LUMPLFLN objective and an Andor iXON Ultra camera controlled by Solis software. An Olympus U25ND25 light filter was placed in the beam path to minimize baseline CsChrimson activation. A custom designed glass microcapillary was loaded with uncarbongenated solution containing 0.1 mM acetylcholine and maneuvered to the M6 dendrites. The injection pressure of a P25-1-900 picospritzer was calibrated between 3-8 psi. Each local acetylcholine application spanned 15 ms with a 4 s inter-injection interval.

Three pulses of acetylcholine followed by a 2-3 minute break were recorded after which the optogenetic response was assessed by applying 2 seconds red light pulses with an inter-red light-interval of 2 seconds (Supplementary Fig. S2). If no responses were visible by eye, the experiment was discarded. The first training protocol consisted of one training cycle per animal. 3 acetylcholine pulses were recorded followed by either 5 acetylcholine injections, 5 red light pulses or both paired. For paired training both stimuli began simultaneously and the acetylcholine injection lasted for 15 ms (and gave rise to a calcium transients typically lasting > 1 seconds, please see example in Fig. 3), while the paired red light pulse lasts for 2 seconds, allowing for maximal temporal overlap. This process was repeated 5x, with a 4 second break between trial. Following the training trial, 3 final acetylcholine test injections were applied. Final 5 flashes of red light were applied to assess tissue health following experiments, but were not further used for the analyses presented here. However, if no response was visible, the trial was discarded. For analysis, the first of the 3 acetylcholine injections was always discarded because of initial dilution of the capillary tip and the remaining 2 peak intensities were averaged.

All peaks within an experiment were quantified relative to the fluorescence baseline that we calculated for pre and post training acetylcholine responses. Baselines were set independently for each pre- and post-training recording using the polynomial

interpolation function in NOSA⁶⁵. For investigating $\alpha 2$ knock-down, only the paired condition was tested.

For controls not expressing CsChrimson, we used VT1211-Gal4 driving UAS-GCaMP6f, instead of MB011B, for technical reasons. This was combined with either expression of R58E02-LexA or UAS-CsChrimson^{tdTomato}. Only paired training was investigated in this context.

For the second protocol shown in Supplementary Fig. S3a-e the training protocol consisted of three cycles per animal, with every cycle made up of different training regimens (Fig. 2c, d, Supplementary Fig. S2). The three different training protocols lead to a total of six permutations. Each training session started with 5 test acetylcholine injections with a subsequent 1 min rest period. The actual training consists of 10 times either acetylcholine injections, red light pulses, or a combination of both. Following the last training trial, 5 final acetylcholine test injections were applied. and 4 flashes of red light were applied to assess tissue health. Parameters for injection and optogenetic activation were as described above. For analysis, we always discarded the first of the five 5 acetylcholine injections because of initial dilution of the capillary tip. The remaining peak intensities were averaged. Data of the corresponding training paradigm were pooled and analyzed.

Excitability of Kenyon cell axons: To test whether KC axons were excited by focal acetylcholine injections at the level of M4/6 dendrite innervation, either UAS-synaptoPhluorin or UAS-GCaMP6f were expressed under the control of OK107-Gal4. Following the acetylcholine injection experiment, the capillary was exchanged with a capillary containing the same solution with additional 300-400 mM KCl, to evaluate tissue health (not shown for GCaMP6f imaging). To pick up potentially small changes, we increased the injection pressure to 8-14 Psi and the injection time to 150-225 ms (GCaMP6f, 8s inter-injection interval and 3 consecutive injections) and 300-525 ms (synaptoPhluorin, with an 8s inter-injection interval and 3 consecutive injections).

Images were analyzed using NOSA^{40,65} and GraphPad Prism.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed as stated in the previous methods sections and figure legends. Data was always tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test. If normally distributed data, were analyzed using ANOVA followed by post-hoc test or a

(paired) t-test. If not normal, we used a Kruskal Wallis followed by post-hoc test, or a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test.

Tagged receptor subunits

All subunits were tagged using CRISPR technology and motifs previously described⁶⁶. Further details will be published separately and can be requested from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anatoli Ender, Johannes Felsenberg, Davide Raccuglia, Lisa Scheunemann, Stephan Sigrist, Uli Thomas and Scott Waddell for comments on the manuscript, Stephan Sigrist and Uli Thomas for reagents, the Janelia and Vienna fly projects, and the Bloomington Stock Center and VDRC for fly lines, Daisuke Hattori and Yoshi Aso for help with the familiarity experiments. Multiphoton and single photon confocal imaging was partially performed using microscopes of the AMBIO and NWFZ core facilities of the Charité. **Funding:** Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC-2049 – 390688087, the Emmy Noether Programme, TP A27 of SFB958 (184695641) and TP A07 of SFB1315 (327654276) to D.O. D.O. was further supported by FOR 2705 (365082554). **Author contribution:** Conceptualization, C.P., M-M.H., E.R., S.R., D.L., Y-C.C., D.O., Investigation, C.P., M-M.H., E.R. D.L., R.S.-G., C.R., S.R., Y-C.C., I.B., T.F; Resources, D.O.; Writing, D.O., C.P.; Instrumentation: JR; Comments, M-M.H., E.R. D.L., R.S.-G., S.R., Y-C.C..

Competing interests: Authors declare no competing interests.

Supplemental Information:

Supplementary Figure 1: Permissive temperature controls accompanying Fig. 1.

Supplementary Figure 2. Genetic controls and alternate data display accompanying Fig. 2

Supplementary Figure 3. Control experiments for Fig. 3.

Supplementary Figure 4. Detailed distribution of α subunits in the MB accompanying Fig. 4

Supplementary Figure 5. Additional data accompanying Fig. 5.

Supplementary Fig. 6. Receptor subunit recovery, accompanying Fig. 6.

Supplementary Fig. 7. Dlg^{GFP} FRAP, accompanying Fig. 7

Supplementary Fig. 8. Additional Ethograms, accompanying Fig. 8.

References

1. Glanzman, D. L. Common Mechanisms of Synaptic Plasticity in Vertebrates and Invertebrates. *Curr Biol* 20, R31–R36 (2010).
2. Korte, M. & Schmitz, D. Cellular and System Biology of Memory: Timing, Molecules, and Beyond. *Physiol Rev* 96, 647–93 (2016).
3. Nicoll, R. A. A Brief History of Long-Term Potentiation. *Neuron* 93, 281–290 (2017).
4. Barnstedt, O. et al. Memory-Relevant Mushroom Body Output Synapses Are Cholinergic. *Neuron* 89, 1237–47 (2016).
5. Oswald, D. & Waddell, S. Olfactory learning skews mushroom body output pathways to steer behavioral choice in *Drosophila*. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 35, 178–84 (2015).
6. Shomrat, T. et al. Alternative sites of synaptic plasticity in two homologous “fan-out fan-in” learning and memory networks. *Curr Biology Cb* 21, 1773–82 (2011).
7. Oswald, D. et al. Activity of Defined Mushroom Body Output Neurons Underlies Learned Olfactory Behavior in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 86, 417–427 (2015).
8. Aso, Y. et al. Nitric oxide acts as a cotransmitter in a subset of dopaminergic neurons to diversify memory dynamics. *Elife* 8, e49257 (2019).
9. Aso, Y. et al. Mushroom body output neurons encode valence and guide memory-based action selection in *Drosophila*. *Elife* 3, e04580 (2014).
10. Aso, Y. et al. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. *Elife* 3, e04577 (2014).
11. Bouzaiane, E., Trannoy, S., Scheunemann, L., Plaçais, P.-Y. & Preat, T. Two independent mushroom body output circuits retrieve the six discrete components of *Drosophila* aversive memory. *Cell Reports* 11, 1280–92 (2015).
12. Cohn, R., Morante, I. & Ruta, V. Coordinated and Compartmentalized Neuromodulation Shapes Sensory Processing in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 163, 1742–55 (2015).
13. Felsenberg, J. et al. Integration of Parallel Opposing Memories Underlies Memory Extinction. *Cell* 175, 709–722.e15 (2018).
14. Felsenberg, J., Barnstedt, O., Cognigni, P., Lin, S. & Waddell, S. Re-evaluation of learned information in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 544, 240–244 (2017).

961
962 15. Hattori, D. et al. Representations of Novelty and Familiarity in a Mushroom Body
963 Compartment. *Cell* 169, 956-969.e17 (2017).
964
965 16. Ichinose, T. et al. Reward signal in a recurrent circuit drives appetitive long-term
966 memory formation. *Elife* 4, e10719 (2015).
967
968 17. Lewis, L. P. C. et al. A Higher Brain Circuit for Immediate Integration of Conflicting
969 Sensory Information in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biology Cb* 25, 2203–14 (2015).
970
971 18. Pai, T.-P. et al. *Drosophila* ORB protein in two mushroom body output neurons is
972 necessary for long-term memory formation. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* 110, 7898–903
973 (2013).
974
975 19. Perisse, E. et al. Aversive Learning and Appetitive Motivation Toggle Feed-
976 Forward Inhibition in the *Drosophila* Mushroom Body. *Neuron* 90, 1086–99 (2016).
977
978 20. Séjourné, J. et al. Mushroom body efferent neurons responsible for aversive
979 olfactory memory retrieval in *Drosophila*. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 903–10 (2011).
980
981 21. Plaçais, P.-Y., Trannoy, S., Friedrich, A. B., Tanimoto, H. & Preat, T. Two pairs of
982 mushroom body efferent neurons are required for appetitive long-term memory
983 retrieval in *Drosophila*. *Cell Reports* 5, 769–80 (2013).
984
985 22. Hige, T., Aso, Y., Modi, M. N., Rubin, G. M. & Turner, G. C. Heterosynaptic
986 Plasticity Underlies Aversive Olfactory Learning in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 88, 985–98
987 (2015).
988
989 23. Takemura, S. et al. A connectome of a learning and memory center in the adult
990 *Drosophila* brain. *Elife* 6, e26975 (2017).
991
992 24. Bilz, F., Geurten, B. R. H., Hancock, C. E., Widmann, A. & Fiala, A. Visualization
993 of a Distributed Synaptic Memory Code in the *Drosophila* Brain. *Neuron* 106, 963-
994 976.e4 (2020).
995
996 25. Boto, T., Louis, T., Jindachomthong, K., Jalink, K. & Tomchik, S. M. Dopaminergic
997 modulation of cAMP drives nonlinear plasticity across the *Drosophila* mushroom body
998 lobes. *Curr Biology Cb* 24, 822–31 (2014).
999
1000 26. Handler, A. et al. Distinct Dopamine Receptor Pathways Underlie the Temporal
1001 Sensitivity of Associative Learning. *Cell* 178, 60-75.e19 (2019).
1002 27. Ehmann, N., Oswald, D. & Kittel, R. J. *Drosophila* active zones: from molecules to
1003 behaviour. *Neurosci Res* 127, 14–24 (2017).
1004
1005 28. Dubnau, J., Grady, L., Kitamoto, T. & Tully, T. Disruption of neurotransmission in
1006 *Drosophila* mushroom body blocks retrieval but not acquisition of memory. *Nature* 411,
1007 476–480 (2001).
1008
1009 29. Krashes, M. J., Keene, A. C., Leung, B., Armstrong, J. D. & Waddell, S. Sequential
1010 Use of Mushroom Body Neuron Subsets during *Drosophila* Odor Memory Processing.
1011 *Neuron* 53, 103–115 (2007).
1012
1013 30. McGuire, S. E., Le, P. T. & Davis, R. L. The Role of *Drosophila* Mushroom Body
1014 Signaling in Olfactory Memory. *Science* 293, 1330–1333 (2001).
1015

31. Schwaerzel, M., Heisenberg, M. & Zars, T. Extinction Antagonizes Olfactory Memory at the Subcellular Level. *Neuron* 35, 951–960 (2002).
32. Kandel, E. R., Dudai, Y. & Mayford, M. R. The molecular and systems biology of memory. *Cell* 157, 163–86 (2014).
33. Lüscher, C. & Malenka, R. C. Drug-evoked synaptic plasticity in addiction: from molecular changes to circuit remodeling. *Neuron* 69, 650–63 (2011).
34. Won, S., Levy, J. M., Nicoll, R. A. & Roche, K. W. MAGUKs: multifaceted synaptic organizers. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 43, 94–101 (2017).
35. Thompson, A. J., Lester, H. A. & Lummis, S. C. R. The structural basis of function in Cys-loop receptors. *Q Rev Biophys* 43, 449–99 (2010).
36. Dent, J. A. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 683, 11–23 (2010).
37. Ihara, M. et al. Cofactor-enabled functional expression of fruit fly, honeybee, and bumblebee nicotinic receptors reveals picomolar neonicotinoid actions. *Proc National Acad Sci* 117, 16283–16291 (2020).
38. Lansdell, S. J., Collins, T., Goodchild, J. & Millar, N. S. The *Drosophila* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits $\alpha 5$ and $\alpha 7$ form functional homomeric and heteromeric ion channels. *Bmc Neurosci* 13, 73 (2012).
39. Eadain, A., Hahm, E.-T., Justice, E. D. & Tsunoda, S. Cholinergic Synaptic Homeostasis Is Tuned by an NFAT-Mediated $\alpha 7$ nAChR-Kv4/Shal Coupled Regulatory System. *Cell Reports* 32, 108119 (2020).
40. Raccuglia, D. et al. Network-Specific Synchronization of Electrical Slow-Wave Oscillations Regulates Sleep Drive in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biology* 29, 3611–3621.e3 (2019).
41. Bachmann, A. et al. Cell type-specific recruitment of *Drosophila* Lin-7 to distinct MAGUK-based protein complexes defines novel roles for Sdt and Dlg-S97. *J Cell Sci* 117, 1899–1909 (2004).
42. Soukup, S.-F., Pocha, S. M., Yuan, M. & Knust, E. D α Lin-7 Is Required in Postsynaptic Lamina Neurons to Prevent Light-Induced Photoreceptor Degeneration in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 23, 1349–1354 (2013).
43. Crittenden, J. R., Skoulakis, E. M., Han, K. A., Kalderon, D. & Davis, R. L. Tripartite mushroom body architecture revealed by antigenic markers. *Learn Mem Cold Spring Harb N Y* 5, 38–51 (1998).
44. Eichler, K. et al. The complete connectome of a learning and memory centre in an insect brain. *Nature* 548, 175–182 (2017).
45. Stuart, G. J. & Spruston, N. Dendritic integration: 60 years of progress. *Nat Neurosci* 18, 1713–1721 (2015).
46. Tully, T., Preat, T., Boynton, S. C. & Vecchio, M. D. Genetic dissection of consolidated memory in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 79, 35–47 (1994).

47. Trannoy, S., Redt-Clouet, C., Dura, J.-M. & Preat, T. Parallel Processing of Appetitive Short- and Long-Term Memories In *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 21, 1647–1653 (2011).
48. Perisse, E. et al. Different kenyon cell populations drive learned approach and avoidance in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 79, 945–56 (2013).
49. Honegger, K. S., Campbell, R. A. A. & Turner, G. C. Cellular-resolution population imaging reveals robust sparse coding in the *Drosophila* mushroom body. *J Neurosci Official J Soc Neurosci* 31, 11772–85 (2011).
50. Zhao, X., Lenek, D., Dag, U., Dickson, B. & Keleman, K. Persistent activity in a recurrent circuit underlies courtship memory in *Drosophila*. *Elife* 7, e31425 (2018).
51. Cervantes-Sandoval, I., Phan, A., Chakraborty, M. & Davis, R. L. Reciprocal synapses between mushroom body and dopamine neurons form a positive feedback loop required for learning. *Elife* 6, e23789 (2017).
52. Ueno, K. et al. Carbon Monoxide, a Retrograde Messenger Generated in Postsynaptic Mushroom Body Neurons, Evokes Noncanonical Dopamine Release. *J Neurosci Official J Soc Neurosci* 40, 3533–3548 (2020).
53. Ueno, K. et al. Coincident postsynaptic activity gates presynaptic dopamine release to induce plasticity in *Drosophila* mushroom bodies. *Elife* 6, e21076 (2017).
54. Bliss, T. V. & Lomo, T. Long-lasting potentiation of synaptic transmission in the dentate area of the anaesthetized rabbit following stimulation of the perforant path. *J Physiology* 232, 331–56 (1973).
55. Greger, I. H., Watson, J. F. & Cull-Candy, S. G. Structural and Functional Architecture of AMPA-Type Glutamate Receptors and Their Auxiliary Proteins. *Neuron* 94, 713–730 (2017).
56. Aso, Y. & Rubin, G. M. Dopaminergic neurons write and update memories with cell-type-specific rules. *Elife* 5, e16135 (2016).
57. Hagins, W. A., Penn, R. D. & Yoshikami, S. Dark Current and Photocurrent in Retinal Rods. *Biophys J* 10, 380–412 (1970).
58. Ito, M. Cerebellar Long-Term Depression: Characterization, Signal Transduction, and Functional Roles. *Physiol Rev* 81, 1143–1195 (2001).
59. Neff, R. A., Gomez-Varela, D., Fernandes, C. C. & Berg, D. K. Postsynaptic scaffolds for nicotinic receptors on neurons. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 30, 694–701 (2009).
60. Ackermann, F., Waites, C. L. & Garner, C. C. Presynaptic active zones in invertebrates and vertebrates. *Embo Rep* 16, 923–38 (2015).
61. Oswald, D. & Sigrist, S. J. Assembling the presynaptic active zone. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 19, 311–8 (2009).
62. Lin, A. C., Bygrave, A. M., Calignon, A. de, Lee, T. & Miesenböck, G. Sparse, decorrelated odor coding in the mushroom body enhances learned odor discrimination. *Nat Neurosci* 17, 559–568 (2014).

63. Böhme, M. A. et al. Rapid active zone remodeling consolidates presynaptic potentiation. *Nat Commun* 10, 1085 (2019).
64. Lin, S. et al. Neural correlates of water reward in thirsty *Drosophila*. *Nat Neurosci* 17, 1536–1542 (2014).
65. Oltmanns, S. et al. NOSA, an Analytical Toolbox for Multicellular Optical Electrophysiology. *Front Neurosci-switz* 14, 712 (2020).
66. Raghu, S. V., Joesch, M., Sigrist, S. J., Borst, A. & Reiff, D. F. Synaptic Organization of Lobula Plate Tangential Cells in *Drosophila*: D α 7 Cholinergic Receptors. *J Neurogenet* 23, 200–209 (2009).

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 1: KC neurotransmitter release is required for the acquisition of appetitive memories.

a-c) Flies expressing temperature-sensitive Shibire (Shi) within KCs or MBONs are trained at restrictive temperatures (32°C), and subsequently placed at permissive temperature (23°C) throughout the consolidation and retrieval phase. Memory performance was tested 30 minutes after training at permissive temperature. Shi blocks neurotransmitter release at 32°C.

a) Block of neurotransmitter release from KCs (driver line R13F02-Gal4) during training does not impact 30 min aversive memory performance. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 7 - 8$; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p > 0.05$).

b) Block of neurotransmitter release from KCs (driver line R13F02-Gal4) during training impairs 30 min appetitive memory performance. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 10 - 16$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

c) Block of neurotransmitter release from M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B) during training does not impact 30 min appetitive memory performance. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 14 - 24$; one-way ANOVA followed by Tuckey's test ($p > 0.05$).

See Supplementary Fig. S1 for further information.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Specific nAChR α subunits are needed for specific memories in M4/6 neurons.

a) Immediate appetitive memories are impaired following RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 8 - 13$, for controls: $n = 20$; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

Note: data depicted correspond to initial screen, please see Fig. S2 for alternate display including all genetic controls.

b) RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunits or Dlg in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B) impair 3-hour appetitive memories. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 12 – 26, for controls: n = 38; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

Note: data depicted correspond to initial screen, please see Fig. S2 for alternate display including all genetic controls.

c) Immediate aversive learning is not impaired by RNAi knock-down of any subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 6 – 8, for controls: n = 12; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p > 0.05$).

d) 3-hour aversive memory is not affected by knock-down of α subunits with the exception of $\alpha 7$ (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 21 – 32, for controls: n = 61. Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

e) RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line VT1211-Gal4) is suppressed during development using Gal80^{ts}. 3-5 days before the experiment RNAi knock-down was induced. Immediate memory is significantly impaired. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 6 – 7; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

f) RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 2$ subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line VT1211-Gal4) is suppressed during development using Gal80^{ts}. 3-5 days before the experiment RNAi knock-down was induced. 3-hour memories are significantly impaired. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 16 – 17; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

g) RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line VT1211-Gal4) is suppressed during development using Gal80^{ts}. 3-5 days before the experiment RNAi knock-down was induced. 3-hour memories are significantly impaired. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 25 – 27; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

h) RNAi knock-down of the Dlg in M4/6 MBONs (driver line VT1211-Gal4) is suppressed during development using Gal80^{ts}. 3-5 days before the experiment RNAi knock-down was induced. 3-hour memories are significantly impaired. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 8 – 11; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

Also see Supplementary Fig. S2 for further information.

Figure 3

Figure 3: Induction of postsynaptic plasticity bypassing the presynapses.

a) Connectivity scheme of MB output synapses. Cholinergic KCs and dopaminergic neurons are presynaptic to M6 MBONs. Only connections relevant for this protocol are shown for simplicity. Red light pulses trigger release of dopamine (DA) from dopaminergic neurons (R58E02-LexA > lexAop-CsChrimson^{tdTomato}), while KC input is circumvented and mimicked by focal acetylcholine (ACh; 0.1 mM) injections to M6 dendrites in an explant brain preparation. Postsynaptic responses at the level of M6 are measured using GCaMP6f (MB011B > UAS-GCaMP6f). TTX in the bath suppresses feedback signaling and overall network activity within the circuit.

b) Training scheme (top). Baseline responses to ACh application are initially established (pre). Subsequent training protocols consist of either pairing ACh application with simultaneous activation of dopaminergic neurons (purple connection lines), activation of dopaminergic neurons ('red light only', red connection lines), or ACh only (blue, connection lines). This is followed by a test trial (post) through ACh application.

c) Averaged traces of axonal KC calcium changes induced by focal ACh injections. No apparent transients are observable, n = 7.

d) Sample traces of dendritic M6 calcium changes induced by focal ACh injections.

e) Sample traces of dendritic M6 calcium changes induced by red-light pulses.

f) changes in acetylcholine-evoked calcium transients; comparison of mean peaks pre- and post 'ACh only' training. Before-after plots and bar graphs (mean), n = 13, ratio paired t-test

1221 **g)** changes in acetylcholine-evoked calcium transients; comparison of mean peaks pre-
 1222 and post 'red light only' training. Before-after plots and bar graphs (mean), n = 10 , Wilcoxon
 1223 matched-pairs signed rank test

1224 **h)** changes in acetylcholine-evoked calcium transients; comparison of mean peaks pre-
 1225 and post 'paired' training. Before-after plots and bar graphs (mean), n = 18, ratio paired t-
 1226 test, * = p < 0.05.

1227 **i)** RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 2$ subunit in M4/6 MBONs impairs potentiation after paired
 1228 acetylcholine application and dopaminergic neuron activation. Before-after plots, n = 5, ratio
 1229 paired t-test

1230 See Supplementary Fig. S3 for further information.

1231

1235

Figure 4: nAChR α subunit localization throughout the MB: MBON-specific RNAi alters subunit distribution.

a) Representative images of the GFP-tagged nAChR subunits $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5$ as well as Dlg. For other subunits see Supplementary Fig. S5. Scale-Bar: 20 μ m. Left: merge of α subunit signal (green) with MB compartments marked with 247-dsRed (magenta). Compartments are indicated by dashed-lines. Top row: γ compartments; middle row: α , β' , β and γ compartments, bottom row: α' , α and β compartments.

b) Quantification of all GFP-tagged α receptors (except for the $\alpha 3$ subunit). GFP signals for the indicated MB compartments are relative to the mean intensity of the GFP signal of the complete MB. $n = 7 - 18$.

c) Knock-down of $\alpha 5$ in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4) decreases the $\alpha 5^{GFP}$ signal in the $\gamma 5$ compartment (relative to unmanipulated $\gamma 4$). Bar graph: normalized mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 10 - 19$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

d) $\alpha 5^{GFP}$ fluorescence is significantly decreased in the $\beta'2$ compartment (relative to unmanipulated $\beta'1$) after knock-down of $\alpha 5$ in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Bar graph: normalized mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 9 - 19$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

e) Knock-down of Dlg or $\alpha 2$ in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4) significantly reduces the $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ fluorescence within the $\gamma 5$ compartment (relative to unmanipulated $\gamma 4$). Bar graph: normalized mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 8 - 18$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

f) Knock-down of either the $\alpha 2$ or the $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit or Dlg in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4) decreases the relative fluorescence signal of $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ in the $\beta'2$ compartment (relative to unmanipulated $\beta'1$). Bar graph: normalized mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 9 - 20$; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

See Supplementary Fig. S4.

Figure 5

Figure 5: $\alpha 2$ is required for learning associated plasticity

- a) Scheme indicating the imaging area at the level of the $\beta'2$ compartment.
- b) Averaged traces of GCaMP6f responses to OCT from control (black), $\alpha 2$ subunit RNAi (blue) and $\alpha 5$ subunit RNAi (red; driven in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4 as driver line) flies. Solid traces are mean, shaded areas SEM; $n = 10 - 12$.
- d) Area under curve quantifications of averaged odor responses show significantly elevated odor responses to OCT following $\alpha 5$ knock-down in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 10 - 12$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$); * = $p < 0.05$.
- e) Control flies show a significant increase between the first and the fifth response to OCT. Before-after plots, $n = 10$; paired t-test; * = $p < 0.05$.
- f) $\alpha 2$ RNAi flies show no difference between the first and fifth odor response to OCT. nAChR subunit RNAi is driven in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Before-after plots, $n = 12$; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test
- g) $\alpha 5$ RNAi flies show a significant decrease in calcium transients over the course of consecutive odor exposures. nAChR subunit RNAi is driven in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Before-after plots, $n = 12$; paired t-test; * = $p < 0.05$.
- h) Control flies show a significant decrease in GCaMP6f responses to MCH following absolute training. Before-after plots and bar graphs (mean), $n = 8$; paired t-test; * = $p < 0.05$.
- i) $\alpha 2$ RNAi flies show no significant decrease in the GCaMP6f response to MCH following absolute training (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Before-after plots and bar graphs (mean), $n = 8$; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, * = $p < 0.05$.

See Supplementary Fig. S5 for further information.

Figure 6

Figure 6: $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunits dynamically rearrange

a) Scheme of the site of dopamine injection during fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments at the level of the KC-MBON synapses of the $\beta'2$ compartment.

b) FRAP experimental protocol. After bleaching, a baseline picture was taken followed by odor presentation or odor presentation simultaneously with dopamine injection or dopamine injection by itself. Fluorescence recovery was monitored at the 10 and 20 minute time points.

c) Example image of $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ expression; white dashed box shows the $\beta'2$ output zone; dopamine injection pipette (with Texas Red) is labelled in magenta.

d) Linear regression of fluorescence recovery after bleaching. OCT exposure (red line), OCT exposure simultaneously with dopamine (DA) injection (blue line), dopamine injection alone (green line)

e) After bleaching, $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ flies were exposed to either dopamine, odor only, or odor paired with dopamine injection.; Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 3 - 5$; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$). * = $p < 0.05$. Note that controls without any stimulus application also show no recovery (not shown). Please compare to alternate protocol in Fig. S6.

See Supplementary Fig. S6 for further information.

Figure 7

Figure 7: Non-associative plasticity alters postsynaptic $\alpha 2$ subunit receptor dynamics

a) Training scheme indicating odor application, bleaching and imaging time points. MCH was given 10 times for 1 second with a pause of 6 seconds in-between. Images were taken

after training in absence of odor immediately afterwards and 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 and 60 minutes later.

b) Top: Calcium peaks in response to odor stimuli of presynaptic KCs (MB369B as driver line) and adjacent postsynaptic MBONs (driver line: MB027B). Individual calcium responses to trials 1 and 10 for MBONs (orange lines) and KCs (blue lines). Bottom: Averaged calcium responses to odor stimuli of presynaptic KCs and postsynaptic MBONs of trials 2 - 4 and 8 - 10 respectively. Responses decrease at the level of MBONs but not at the level of KCs over ten trials. Box plots are median and 75 % quartiles; $n = 6 - 7$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

c) Scheme of $\alpha'3$ compartment analyzed and representative $\alpha 5^{GFP}$ fluorescent image (smoothed). Scale bar: 10 μm .

d) Example images of $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ FRAP experiment at the level of the $\alpha'3$ compartment at specific time points before and after training. Top row, after training; bottom row: control settings. Scale bar: 2 μm .

e) FRAP of $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ nAChR subunit in the $\alpha'3$ compartment after odor presentation. $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ shows significant recovery following odor training compared to the controls. Recovery rate is normalized to the baseline recorded after selective bleaching of the $\alpha'3$ MB compartment. Box plots are median and 75 % quartiles; $n = 4 - 6$; multiple t - tests with Sidak-Bonferroni correction, * = $p < 0.05$.

f) FRAP of $\alpha 5^{GFP}$ subunit in the $\alpha'3$ compartment after odor presentation. $\alpha 5^{GFP}$ did not show significant recovery compared to the controls. Recovery rate is normalized to the baseline recorded after selective bleaching of $\alpha'3$ MB compartment. Box plots are median and 75 % quartiles; $n = 5 - 7$, multiple t - tests with Sidak-Bonferroni correction.

g) FRAP of $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ nAChR subunit in the $\alpha'3$ compartment after odor presentation and knockdown of either the $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$ subunit in the $\alpha'3$ MBON (driver line MB027B). $\alpha 2^{GFP}$ shows significantly impaired recovery 30 min after odor training in $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$ knockdown animals compared to the controls. Recovery rate is normalized to the baseline recorded after selective bleaching of the $\alpha'3$ MB compartment. Box plots are minimum value to maximum value; $n = 4 - 5$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

See Supplementary Fig. S7 for further information.

Figure 8

Figure 8: $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunits are required for non-associative familiarity learning at the level of $\alpha'3$ MBONs.

a) Knock-down of α nAChR subunits at the level of $\alpha'3$ MBONs alters odor familiarity learning and the probability to stop grooming. $\alpha 2$ RNAi knock-down delays familiarity learning effects to novel odors. $\alpha 5$ RNAi knock-down flies do not show a novelty response at all.

b) Grooming behavioral response of dusted flies following the repeated presentations of a novel odor (MCH). Ethogram of grooming behavior (blue) during ten intervals of odor exposures. Horizontal lines in each trial correspond to a single experimental fly within a trial group. Not grooming (grey) flies can further be categorized between pausing and wandering (see Supplementary Figure S8). n = 15.

c) Knock-down of $\alpha 2$ subunit in $\alpha'3$ MBONs (driver line MB027B) impairs odor familiarity learning significantly by showing a higher probability to terminate grooming responses during the learning period. The learning period is defined as the odor exposure rounds following the first exposure). Bottom graph: non-linear representation of grooming flies over ten training trials. Note that $\alpha 5$ behavioral responses are best described by linear representation. Box plots are median and 75 % quartiles; n = 9, one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test ($p < 0.05$) * = $p < 0.05$.

d) Knock-down of $\alpha 5$ subunits in $\alpha'3$ MBONs (driver line MB027B) leads to an increased probability to start grooming earlier. 'Grooming' is defined here as constantly grooming during 2 and 3 seconds after odor delivery. Bottom graph, non-linear representation of grooming flies over ten training trials. Box plots are median and 75 % quartiles; n = 9; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

See Supplementary Fig. S8 for further information and supplementary table for further statistics.

Figure 9

Figure 9: Model of postsynaptic plasticity sequence across compartments

Our data are consistent with a model in which $\alpha 5$ -subunit containing receptors (red) mediate the early phase of postsynaptic memory storage, potentially by leading to elevated postsynaptic calcium flux. Concurrent events see changed dynamics of the $\alpha 2$ receptor (blue) that are regulated by Dlg. Nicotinic receptor subunits hereby likely interact with adaptor proteins to bind to Dlg. Importantly, we identify elevated $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics in the context of associative (M4/6; KC (green) and dopaminergic neuron (yellow) activation needed for memory formation) and non-associative ($\alpha'3$ MBONs; odor activated both KCs (green) and DANs (yellow)) memory expression. Increased $\alpha 2$ subunit dynamics in both cases are triggered by odor application. At the level of M4/6, suppressed dynamics (concurrent activation of KC (green) and DAN (yellow)), would correspond to postsynaptic depression, while at the level of $\alpha'3$ MBONs increased dynamics result in postsynaptic depression. Therefore, different learning rules likely govern the incorporation, exchange or stabilization of receptors in or out of synapses. Please see discussion for further details.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figure 1. Permissive temperature controls accompanying Fig. 1.

- a)** Permissive temperature control for experiments shown in Fig. 1a. 30 min aversive memory performance when training at 23°C (driver line R13F02-Gal4). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 7 - 9$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p = 0.08$).
- b)** Permissive temperature control for experiments shown in Fig. 1b. 30 min appetitive memory performance when training at 23°C (driver line R13F02-Gal4). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 6 - 8$; Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p = 0.7$).

1408
1409

- (i-m) Alternate display (see methods for details) for appetitive memory experiments shown in Fig. 2a,b:

- 1440 **i)** Immediate appetitive memories are impaired following RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 5$
1441 nAChR subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n =
1442 9 – 21; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.
- 1443 **j)** 3hr appetitive learning is impaired by RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 1$ nAChR subunit in
1444 M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 25 – 51; Kruskal-
1445 Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.
- 1446 **k)** RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 2$ nAChR subunit in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B)
1447 impairs 3-hour appetitive memories. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 27 – 51; Kruskal-
1448 Wallis followed by Dunn's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p \leq 0.05$.
- 1449 **l)** 3hr appetitive learning is impaired by RNAi knock-down of the $\alpha 5$ nAChR subunit in
1450 M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B). Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 27 – 51; one-way
1451 ANOVA followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.
- 1452 **m)** RNAi knock-down of Dlg in M4/6 MBONs (driver line MB011B) impairs 3-hour
1453 appetitive memories. Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 13 – 31; one-way ANOVA
1454 followed by Tukey's test ($p < 0.05$), * = $p < 0.05$.

Supplementary Figure 3. Control experiments for Fig. 3.

- a)** Connectivity scheme of MB output synapses. Also shown in Fig. 3.
- b)** Training scheme (top). Also shown in Fig. 3. All three types of training were subsequently performed within one fly.
- c)** Changes in ACh evoked calcium transients after 'ACh only' training using an alternative protocol to Fig. 3. (see Methods). Before-after plots, $n = 26$, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test.
- d)** Changes in ACh evoked calcium transients after 'Dopamine only' (DA) training using an alternative protocol to Fig. 3. (see Methods). Before-after plots, $n = 21$, ratio paired t-test.

- 1471 **e)** Changes in ACh evoked calcium transients after `paired` training using an alternative
1472 protocol to Fig. 3. (see Methods). Paired ACh injection and dopaminergic neuron
1473 activation leads to potentiation. Before-after plots, $n = 24$, ratio paired t-test, $* = p <$
1474 0.05 .
1475
- 1476 **f)** Control experiments for Fig. 3 f-h. Paired training protocol in the absence of LexAOP-
1477 csChrimson; $n = 8$; ratio paired t-test
1478
- 1479 **g)** Control experiments for Fig. 3 f-h. Paired training protocol in the absence of R58E02-
1480 LexA. $n = 10$; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test
- 1481 **h)** GCaMP6f responses following focal ACh injections to the $\gamma 5$ compartment of the MB
1482 are not significantly altered following $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 5$ knock-down in the M4/6 MBONs (driver
1483 line VT1211-Gal4). The bath contains TTX to suppress spontaneous neural activity.
1484 Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; $n = 12 - 23$; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test
1485 ($p > 0.05$).
- 1486 **i)** Averaged synaptophluorin responses following focal ACh injections (0.1 mM) to the
1487 $\gamma 5$ compartment of the MB using the OK107-Gal4 driver, $n = 8$.
- 1488 **j)** Averaged synaptophluorin responses following focal KCl injections (300-400 mM) to
1489 the $\gamma 5$ compartment of the MB using the OK107-Gal4 driver, $n = 4$.
1490

Supplementary Figure 4. Detailed distribution of α subunits in the MB accompanying Fig. 4

Example image planes of GFP expression at the level of the MB compartments for all α nAChR subunits (except for $\alpha 3$) and Dlg. Scale-Bar: 20 μ m. Left: merged image of α subunit signal (green) with MB compartments marked by 247-dsRed (magenta). Top two rows: γ compartments; middle two rows: γ , β' and β compartments, bottom three rows: α'/β' and α/β , with bottom row: α' and α compartments.

Supplementary Figure 5. Additional data accompanying Fig. 5.

a) Scheme indicating the imaging area at the level of the $\beta'2$ compartment.

b) Odor exposure protocol. 5 OCT stimuli were alternatingly administered with 5 MCH stimuli. 1 second odor puffs were separated by 30 seconds breaks. Odor responses are measured using GCaMP6f as in Fig 5.

c) Averaged traces of GCaMP6f (calcium) responses to MCH from control (black), $\alpha2$ (blue) and $\alpha5$ RNAi (red; driven in M4/6 respectively, driver line VT1211-Gal4) flies. Solid traces are mean, shaded areas SEM; $n = 10 - 12$.

d) Area under curve quantification of averaged odor responses show significantly elevated odor responses to MCH following $\alpha5$ knock-down in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). Mean $\pm$ SEM; one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test ($p < 0.08$), * = $p < 0.05$; $n = 10 - 12$.

e) Control flies show no significant increase between the first and the fifth response to MCH. $n = 10$; paired t-test

f) $\alpha2$ RNAi flies show no difference between the first and fifth odor response to MCH. nAChR subunit RNAi is driven in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). $n = 12$; paired t-test.

g) $\alpha5$ RNAi flies show a significant decrease in calcium transients over the course of consecutive odor exposures. nAChR subunit RNAi is driven in M4/6 neurons (driver line VT1211-Gal4). $n = 12$; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test; * = $p < 0.05$.

h) KCs (driver line R13F02-Gal4) show no significant change in calcium transients over the consecutive OCT odor exposures. $n = 10$; paired t-test.

i) KCs (driver line R13F02-Gal4) show no significant change in calcium transients over the consecutive MCH odor exposures. $n = 10$; paired t-test.

Supplementary Fig. 6. Receptor subunit recovery, accompanying Fig. 6.

a) Scheme of the site of dopamine injection during fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments at the level of the KC-MBON synapses of the $\beta'2$ compartment.

b) FRAP experimental protocol. After bleaching the baseline picture was taken followed by odor presentation or odor presentation simultaneously with dopamine injection or dopamine injection by itself in the same fly. Fluorescence recovery was monitored at the 10- and 20-minute time points.

c) Example image of $\alpha2^{GFP}$ expression (the same as in Fig. 6 for illustration); white dashed box shows the $\beta'2$ output zone; dopamine injection pipette (with Texas Red) is labelled in magenta.

d) Linear regression of fluorescence recovery after bleaching. MCH exposure (red line), MCH exposure simultaneously with dopamine (DA) injection (blue line), dopamine injection alone (green line)

e) After bleaching, $\alpha2^{GFP}$ flies were exposed to either dopamine, odor only, or odor paired with dopamine injection.; Bar graphs: mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 9 – 10; one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (p < 0.05). * = p < 0.05. Note that controls without any stimulus application also show no recovery (not shown).

Supplementary Fig. 7. Dlg^{GFP} FRAP, accompanying Fig. 7

- a)** FRAP of Dlg^{GFP} in $\alpha'3$ MBONs. Dlg^{GFP} did not show significant recovery. Recovery rate is normalized to the baseline recorded after selective bleaching of the $\alpha'3$ MB compartment. n = 5 - 7; multiple t-tests

Supplementary Fig. 8. Additional Ethograms, accompanying Fig. 8.

a, b) Ethograms of the behavioral responses of flies shown in Fig. 8 with additional behavioral categories of pausing and wandering (when not grooming). Ethograms show pausing (red, **a**) which is defined as not moving and not grooming or wandering (dark red, **b**) which is defined as moving around in the chamber.

1559

1560

1561