1 **Insula to mPFC Reciprocal Connectivity Differentially Underlies Novel Taste** 2 **Neophobic Response and Learning**

3 Haneen Kayyal¹, Sailendrakumar Kolatt Chandran¹, Adonis Yiannakas¹, Nathaniel Gould¹,
4 Mohammad Khamaisy¹ and Kobi Rosenblum^{1, 2}

5 ¹Sagol Department of Neuroscience, University of Haifa, 199 Aba Khoushy Ave.,
6 Mount Carmel, Haifa, 3498838, Israel.

7 ²Center for Gene Manipulation in the Brain, University of Haifa, 199 Aba Khoushy Ave.,
8 Mount Carmel, Haifa, 3498838, Israel.

9 **Author contribution:** H.K. led the project. H.K. and K.R. designed the research and K.R. supervised
10 the research. H.K., S.K.C., A.Y. and M.K. performed the research. H.K. and S.K.C. analyzed the data,
11 H.K., S.K.C., N.G. and K.R. wrote the paper. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

12 **Correspondence:**

13 Prof. Kobi Rosenblum, Ph.D.
14 Sagol Department of Neurobiology
15 University of Haifa
16 Haifa, 3498838, Israel
17 kobir@psy.haifa.ac.il

18 ∴ Number of figures (13), tables (1), multimedia (0)

19 ∴ Number of words for abstract (152), introduction (1007), Results (2668) and discussion (1693)

20 ✧ Acknowledgments

21 The authors would like to thank all current members of the Rosenblum lab for their help and
 22 support, to the veterinary team headed by Barak Carmi and Corina Dollingher and technical team
 23 headed by Yair Bellehsen. This research was supported by a grant from the Israel Science Foundation
 24 (ISF); ISF 946/17 and ISF 258/20 to K.R. H.K. is a recipient of the Edmond de Rothschild's scholarship.
 25 Graphical illustrations were created with BioRender.com.

26

27

28 ✧ Conflict of interest statement

29 Authors report no conflict of interest.

Abstract

To survive in an ever-changing environment, animals must detect and learn salient information. The anterior insular cortex (aIC) and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) are heavily implicated in salience and novelty processing, and specifically, the processing of taste sensory information. Here, we examined the role of aIC-mPFC reciprocal connectivity in novel taste neophobia and memory formation, in mice. Using pERK and neuronal intrinsic properties as markers for neuronal activation, and retrograde AAV (rAAV) constructs for connectivity, we demonstrate a correlation between aIC-mPFC activity and novel taste experience. Furthermore, by expressing inhibitory chemogenetic receptors in these projections, we show that aIC-to-mPFC activity is necessary for both taste neophobia and its attenuation. However, activity within mPFC-to-aIC projections is essential only for the neophobic reaction but not for the learning process. These results provide an insight into the cortical circuitry needed to detect, react to- and learn salient stimuli, a process critically involved in psychiatric disorders.

Introduction

Determining salient stimuli from the continuously perceived sensory input is a key component for effective learning that has been shaped throughout evolution. The term ‘salient’ is used in psychology and neuroscience to denote a particularly distinct or important stimulus, or an aspect of it (Yantis & Hillstrom, 1994). Attributing salience to a given cue or stimulus, can be affected by genetics, previous experiences, current psychological and motivational state, stress response, goals and motivation (Puglisi-Allegra & Ventura, 2012; Goldberg et al., 2006). Numerous studies have identified cortical and subcortical brain structures that are involved in the detection of salient stimuli, with the insular cortex (IC) being a key node of the salience network (Gogolla, 2017; Livneh et al., 2017; Uddin, 2015). In particular, the IC is believed to have a critical role in the bottom-up detection of salient events and attention allocation (Menon & Uddin, 2010). Thus, when a salient stimulus is detected, the insula assists in targeting other brain structures that enable access to attention and working memory resources.

Salient experiences are comprised of several dimensions, which include novelty amongst others (Modinos et al., 2015; Schultz, 2013; Winton-Brown et al., 2014). Experiencing a new taste therefore represents a salient experience of novel sensory information, and the memory for this event is dependent on the functionality of the IC (Gal-Ben-Ari. & Rosenblum, 2012; Yiannakas & Rosenblum, 2017). Taste recognition, upon which novelty and salience detection inversely depend, relies on a combination of instinctive responses (genetic elements) and brain processes involved in recalling past experiences to help animals cautiously avoid poisonous food, while taking advantage of food that is deemed safe. Therefore, when animals encounter a taste that is completely new to them, they approach it with cautiousness, a phenomenon called taste neophobia (Lin et al., 2015). This neophobic response is the first line of defense, and therefore an important and evolutionarily conserved behavior, manifesting in reluctance to consume the novel taste, for fear of potentially adverse outcomes. Following this tentative consumption of the novel taste, and in the absence of any

proceeding aversive visceral consequences, a memory for a safe taste is formed (Osorio-Gómez et al., 2018). Thus, the consumption of this taste gradually increases in the following encounters, a phenomenon called attenuation of neophobia (Barot & Bernstein, 2005; Green & Parker, 1975; Morón & Gallo, 2007). This is an example in which a salient, novel stimulus 1) increases attention which affects the preliminary response, and then 2) initiates incidental encoding for a memory of the new experience as to subsequently prime future adaptive behavior (Borsook et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 2006; McGaugh, 2006). Thus, acting when the sensory information is new, and then learning the new stimulus (familiarization), are both crucial but different components in the process of behavior to a salient, novel stimulus.

The process by which a novel taste becomes a familiar one is partially subserved by the IC (Bermudez-Rattoni, 2014), and the gustatory cortex, located within the medial portion of the anterior IC (aIC) (Gehrlach et al., 2020; Shura et al., 2014; Rolls, 2016; Yiannakas & Rosenblum, 2017). The aIC plays a critical role in the formation and retrieval of novel taste memory (Kayyal et al., 2019; Lavi et al., 2018; Yiannakas et al., 2021). Inhibition of protein synthesis or lesions to the IC cause impairment in novel taste learning (Rosenblum et al., 1993). Several molecular changes occur in the IC following novel taste learning (Gal-Ben-Ari. & Rosenblum, 2012; Gould et al., 2020), including increased phosphorylation-activation of the extracellular regulated kinase (ERK). Phosphorylated ERK (pERK) levels are increased in the IC following novel taste consumption (Berman et al., 1998) and inhibition of MEK, an upstream kinase of ERK in the IC, impairs novel taste learning (Berman et al., 1998).

Although an accumulating body of work has been directed towards understanding the aIC and the molecular mechanisms by which novel taste memory is formed and retrieved (Adaikkan & Rosenblum, 2015; Merhav et al., 2006; Yefet et al., 2006), the cellular and circuit mechanisms are yet to be deciphered.

Additionally to the aIC, the mPFC is another region that plays a prominent component of the novelty network that is engaged following an encounter with a novel taste stimulus (Morici et al., 2015; Takehara-Nishiuchi et al., 2020). Lesions of the mPFC result in impaired learning of novel tasks

(Barker et al., 2007; DeVito & Eichenbaum, 2011), including taste learning (Gonzalez et al., 2015a). The mPFC is reciprocally connected with the IC, however, whether and how their connectivity contributes to novel taste learning has not been comprehensively examined (Gabbott et al., 2003). Hence, we set out to assess the involvement of aIC-mPFC functional connectivity in the neophobic response evoked during novel taste exposure, as well as novel taste learning. To do so, we measured the correlation between the number of activated, pERK⁺ neurons within the general aIC and mPFC populations, and specifically within aIC-to-mPFC or mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons. We found that experiencing a novel taste causes a significant increase in reciprocal aIC-mPFC neuronal activity as measured by pERK⁺ neurons (M. W. Jones et al., 1999). From an electrophysiological perspective, we identify a correlation between taste novelty and increased excitability in aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons. In order to ascertain behavioral causality of the molecular and electrophysiological correlations we identified, we inhibited the activity of each pathway during the reaction to- or the learning of- a novel taste. We found that chemogenic inhibition of the aIC-to-mPFC pathway resulted both in impaired neophobic response, as well as impaired taste learning and memory. In contrast, inhibition of the opposite, reciprocal, mPFC-to-aIC pathway, caused impairment to the neophobic response only, but not to the learning process.

Our results show that activation of the aIC-mPFC circuit is correlative and necessary for both the neophobic response to novel tastants, as well as memory formation, with functionally discrete reciprocity. This provides part of a functional map of the broader salience system that is yet to be described, as well as providing a guideline for setting the existing molecular knowledge to reveal the unexplored circuit framework.

Materials and Methods:

Animals: Animals used were 8-12 week-old wild type (WT) adult (C57BL/6) male mice.

Mice were kept in the local animal resource unit at the University of Haifa in an environment that is temperature-controlled and under a 12-hour dark/light cycle. Water and chow pellet were available *ad libitum*. All experiments and procedures conducted were approved by the University of Haifa Animal Care and Use committee under Ethical license 554/18 and were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health guidelines for ethical treatment of animals.

Surgery and viral injections: For all surgeries, naïve adult male mice were used as detailed. Mice received i.p. injections of norocarp (0.5 mg/kg), 30 minutes before surgery and 24hours later, to minimize pain. Animals were anesthetized by i.p. injection of ketamine and Dormitor (0.5mg/kg) and then placed in a stereotaxic device (a Model 963 Kopf Instruments stereotaxic injection system). After exposing the scalp, and using bregma and lambda as relevant alignment points, holes were drilled (0.4 mm) in both hemispheres. Mice were injected with AAV construct at the IC (A-P: +0.86; D-V-4; M-L: ± 3.4) and/or the mPFC (A-P: 2.34; D-V: -2; M-L: ± 0.3) or the basolateral amygdala (BLA) (A-P: -1.6; D-V-4.8; M-L: ± 3.375), as stated in each experiment. All AAV constructs used in this study were obtained from the viral vector facility of the University of Zurich (<http://www.vvf.uzh.ch>). All mice used in our studies were injected with 0.25 μ l/site/hemisphere of said AAV constructs (physical titer: 4.5×10^{12} vg/ml) as defined in each experiment. Viral constructs were injected at a rate on 0.1 μ l/min, while the syringe was kept in the injection site for 5 minutes prior and 10 minutes following delivery, to ensure efficient distribution of the virus. The skin was closed using Vetbond glue, and mice were given 4 weeks of recovery to guarantee viral expression. After recovery, mice were split into individual cages for behavioral experimental purposes.

142

143 **Immunohistochemistry and quantification:**

144 For perfusion, mice that underwent behavioral experimentation were deeply anesthetized
145 using isoflurane. When the mice were completely anesthetized, they were perfused
146 transcardially using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1M PBS solution (PBS,
147 MFCD00131855, Sigma-Aldrich).

148 After perfusion, brains were placed in falcon tubes containing 4% formaldehyde solution
149 overnight at 4°C. On the following day, the solution was replaced with 30% sucrose in 0.1 M
150 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and brains were incubated for another 48 hours. Afterwards,
151 brains were stored at -80°C. Coronal sections (40mm thickness) were then collected from the
152 IC (Bregma: 1.10 mm to 0.26 mm) or the mPFC (Bregma 1.94 mm to 1.54 mm) utilizing a
153 Leica cryostat CM 1950®. Following sectioning, slices were washed using PBS for three
154 times, and then blocked for one hour using a 0.3% bovine serum albumin (10775835001,
155 Sigma-Aldrich), 0.3% triton X-100 (MFCD00128254, Sigma-Aldrich) and 10% fetal bovine
156 (MFCD00132239, Sigma-Aldrich) solution in PBS. After washing 3 times with PBS, slices
157 were incubated at 4°C with primary antibodies against rabbit phospho-ERK (1:200 D13.14.4E
158 Cell Signaling®) and mouse NeuN (1:500 MAB377 MERCK®) in blocking solution. On the
159 next day, the primary antibody solution was removed, and slices were washed 3 times with
160 PBS. Then, slices were kept in the dark in blocking solution containing donkey anti-rabbit
161 Alexa Fluor ® 647 (1:500 ab150075 Invitrogen®) and Goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor ® 488
162 (1:500 A11001 ThermoFisher®) secondary antibodies, for 1.5 hours. Slices were then
163 washed 3 times using PBS, and mounted on glass slides and Vectashield® mounting solution
164 containing DAPI (H-1200) was added prior to adding coverslips.

165 Slices were visualized and images were acquired using a vertical light microscope (Olympus
166 CellSens Dimension ®) using 10X or 20X magnification.

pERK data was subsequently quantified and analyzed in terms of the bilateral number of positive cells/slice using 2-way ANOVA (Graphpad Prism ®), to examine the respective responses in the general population. The number of rAAV⁺ cells was similarly quantified and analyzed in the above slices. The images of IC and/or mPFC slices were processed with Image-Pro ® Plus V-7, Media Cybernetics ®, pERK⁺ and rAAV⁺ neurons were quantified manually in the relevant subregions and layers. To assess the recruitment of the IC-to-mPFC or mPFC-to-IC projecting neurons in the pERK population, the number of cells co-localized with rAAV⁺ cells was normalized to the total rAAV⁺ population [(rAAV⁺pERK⁺/rAAV⁺)*100%] in the respective subregions and layers in slices of the IC or the mPFC. Quantification was done using randomly assigned IDs for individual animals, regardless of treatment. Following quantification, we confirmed treatments, and data were analyzed (Graphpad Prism ®).

Behavioral Experiments:

Mice were randomly allocated to experimental groups. Group size range estimation was based on previously published results using similar methods, as well power calculations (<https://www.stat.ubc.ca/~rollin/stats/ssize/n2.html>).

Immunohistochemical studies:

Mice aged 8-12 weeks were injected with rAAV-hSyn1-chI-mCherry-WPRE-SV40p(A), rAAV construct, at the mPFC (to label IC-to-mPFC projecting neurons) or at the IC (to label mPFC-to-IC projecting neurons). One month later, animals were individually separated and given 5 acclimation days. Next, mice were water deprived for 24 hours, and were given tap water (for novel saccharin group) or saccharin (0.5% dissolved in tap water, for familiar saccharin group) pipettes for 20 minutes sessions each day for 6 consecutive days. On the 7th

day, all animals were presented with 1 ml of saccharin, and perfused 20 minutes later, for immunohistochemical analysis.

IC-to-mPFC/ mPFC-to-IC circuit inhibition during novel saccharin exposure, or familiar taste retrieval:

In order to target the IC-to-mPFC circuit for inhibition, mice aged 8-12 weeks were bilaterally injected with AAV8_hEF1a-dlox-hM4D(Gi)_mCherry(rev)-dlox-WPRE-hGHp(A), a Cre-dependent AAV construct expressing the inhibitory DREADD receptor in neurons of the IC. Bilateral injection in the mPFC with rAAV-hSyn1-chI-EGFP_2A_iCre-WPRE-SV40p(A), a retrograde-Cre AAV construct, was also conducted, as to target IC-to-mPFC projecting neurons for inhibition. As a control study, another set of mice were injected with AAV8_hEF1a-dlox-mCherry (rev)-dlox-WPRE-hGHp (A) at the aIC, an mCherry construct without DREADD receptor, while the aforementioned retrograde-Cre AAV construct was injected at the mPFC.

To target mPFC-to-IC projecting neurons for inhibition, the same constructs were used to injections in a different set of mice, with the retrograde-Cre AAV construct being injected in the IC, and the Cre-dependent DREADD injected at the mPFC.

Animals were then given four weeks of recovery. Subsequently, animals were individually separated and given 5 days for acclimation. Mice were then water deprived for 24 hours, and given 20 minutes of pipettes containing tap water for 20 minutes session for three days. Next, animals were i.p. injected with CNO (diluted in saline; 0.5mg/kg; Enzo®) or Saline for control (1% body weight) one hour prior to a choice test. Choice test of two pipettes was provided to the mice, with one pipette containing 0.5% saccharin (their first exposure to saccharin) and the other containing water. Drinking volumes for each solution were measured

and aversion index scores were calculated

$$(Aversion\ index = \frac{Volume\ of\ water\ consumed}{volume\ of\ (water+saccharin)consumed} \%).$$

During the subsequent 4 days, mice were presented with unreinforced choice tests of saccharin and water, for 20 minutes session each day, without any intervention. On the 6th day, animals were only given water in pipettes. Towards examining the importance of the circuitry during familiar taste retrieval, mice were injected on the 7th day with CNO or saline, one hour prior to an additional choice test.

Circuit inhibition during aversive taste memory retrieval:

In order to test the importance of IC-to-mPFC projecting neurons in aversive taste memory retrieval, animals were injected with AAV8_hEF1a-dlox-hM4D(Gi)_mCherry(rev)-dlox-WPRE-hGHp(A), a Cre-dependent AAV construct expressing the inhibitory DREADD receptor at the IC, and rAAV-hSyn1-chI-EGFP_2A_iCre-WPRE-SV40p(A), a retrograde AAV Cre construct at the mPFC. 4 weeks after the surgery, mice were individually housed and allowed 5 days for acclimation. Mice were then water deprived for 24 hours prior to being allowed access to water pipettes in 20 minutes sessions for 3 days. On the following day, mice were trained in CTA by exposing them to saccharin for the first time. 40 minutes after saccharin consumption, mice were i.p. injected with a 2% body weight dose of LiCl (0.14 M), a malaise inducing agent. Mice were water-restricted for the subsequent 2 days. On the 4th day, mice were injected with CNO or saline, one hour before saccharin/water choice test. After 20 minutes of choice test, drinking volumes were measured and aversion index scores were calculated as above.

Circuit inhibition during innately aversive novel taste exposure:

In order to target IC-to-mPFC projecting neurons for inhibition, mice aged 8-12 weeks were bilaterally injected with AAV8_hEF1a-dlox-hM4D(Gi)_mCherry(rev)-dlox-WPRE-

hGHp(A), a Cre-dependent AAV construct expressing the inhibitory DREADD receptor in neurons of the IC, and bilateral injection of rAAV-hSyn1-chI-EGFP_2A_iCre-WPRE-SV40p(A), a retrograde-Cre AAV construct, at the mPFC. Animals were individually separated a month after the injection and given 5 days of acclimation. Mice were then water deprived for 24 hours and were given water pipettes for 20 minutes each day for 3 days. On the test day, mice were injected with CNO or saline one hour prior to a choice test between water and Quinine (0.04%). The mice were presented with the choice test for 20 minutes and drinking volumes of each solution was measured. Aversion was calculated accordingly.

IC-to-BLA circuit inhibition during expression of neophobia:

In order to target the IC-to-BLA circuit for inhibition, mice aged 8-12 weeks were bilaterally injected with AAV8_hEF1a-dlox-hM4D(Gi)_mCherry(rev)-dlox-WPRE-hGHp(A), a Cre-dependent AAV construct expressing the inhibitory DREADD receptor in neurons of the IC. Bilateral injection in the BLA with rAAV-hSyn1-chI-EGFP_2A_iCre-WPRE-SV40p(A), a retrograde-Cre AAV construct, was also conducted, as to target IC-to-BLA projecting neurons for inhibition. Animals were then given four weeks of recovery, prior to being individually housed and given 5 days for acclimation. Then, animals were water deprived for 24 hours, and given 20 minutes of pipettes containing tap water for 20 minutes session for three consecutive days. Next, animals were i.p. injected with CNO (0.5mg/kg; Enzo®) or Saline for control (1% body weight) one hour prior to a choice test. Choice test of two pipettes was provided to the mice, with one pipette containing 0.5% saccharin (their first exposure to saccharin) and the other containing water. Drinking volumes were measured and aversion was calculated accordingly.

During the subsequent 4 days, mice were presented with unreinforced choice tests of saccharin and water, in 20 minutes sessions each day, without any additional intervention.

IC-to-mPFC/ mPFC-to-IC circuit inhibition during an open field test

Mice aged 8-12 weeks were bilaterally injected with AAV construct expressing the inhibitory DREADD receptor in IC-to-mPFC or mPFC-to-IC projecting neurons as previously described. As a control study, another set of mice were injected with a control Cre-dependent mCherry-expressing AAV vector at the aIC and a retrograde-Cre AAV construct at the mPFC. All three groups were i.p. injected with CNO, and one hour later, they were placed in a 50×50 cm open field arena (Noldus Information Technology), for 10 minutes.

Electrophysiology

To label neurons in the IC that project to the mPFC, mice were injected with rAAV-hSyn1-chI-mCherry-WPRE-SV40p(A) construct, at the mPFC. One month after the surgery, mice were split to individual cages, and given 5 days of acclimation period. Mice were water deprived for 24 hours, and then presented with water (for novel saccharin group) or saccharin (for familiar saccharin group) for 6 consecutive days. On the 7th day, mice were presented with 1 ml of saccharin and sacrificed one hour later. A cage control group, injected with the rAAV-hSyn1-chI-mCherry-WPRE-SV40p(A) construct at the mPFC, were also sacrificed without any separation nor water restriction procedure. To obtain brain slices containing the aIC, mice were deeply anesthetized with 5%isoflurane and transcardially perfused with 40 ml of ice-cold oxygenated cutting solution containing the following (in mM): 25 NaHCO₃, 105 Choline-Chloride, 2.5 KCl, 7 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 D-glucose, 1 Na-Ascorbate and 3 Na-Pyruvate. All reagents were commercially obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Israel, except where stated. The 300-μm-thick coronal brain slices were cut with a Campden-1000 Vibrotome using the same cutting solution. The slices were allowed to recover for 30 min at 37°C in artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl,

1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 25 NaHCO₃, 25 D-glucose, 2 CaCl₂, and 1 MgCl₂, followed by additional recovery for at least 30 min in ACSF at room temperature until electrophysiological recording. The solutions were constantly gassed with carbogen (95% O₂ 5% CO₂).

Intracellular whole-cell recording.

After the recovery period, slices were placed in the recording chamber and maintained at 32-34°C with continuous perfusion of carbogenated ACSF (2 ml/min). Brain slices containing the aIC were illuminated with infrared light and pyramidal cells were visualized under a differential interference contrast microscope with 10X or 40X water-immersion objectives mounted on a fixed-stage microscope (BX51-WI; Olympus). The image was displayed on a video monitor using a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Dage MTI). aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons were identified by mCherry, and whole cell recordings from aIC to mPFC-projecting neurons were performed using an Axopatch 200B amplifier and digitized by Digidata 1440 (Molecular Devices). The recording electrode was pulled from a borosilicate glass pipette (3–5 M Ω) using an electrode puller (P-1000; Sutter Instruments) and filled with a K-gluconate-based internal solution (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 2.5 MgCl₂, 0.6 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.4 Na₃GTP and 10 phosphocreatine (Na salt). The osmolarity was 290 mOsm, and pH was 7.3. The recording glass pipettes were patched onto the soma region of rAAV⁺ pyramidal neurons.

The recordings were made from the soma of aIC pyramidal cells, particularly from layer 2/3 and Layer 5/6. Liquid junction potential (10 mV) was not corrected online. All current clamp recordings were low pass filtered at 10 kHz and sampled at 50 kHz. Series resistance was compensated and only series resistance <20 M Ω was included in the dataset. Pipette capacitance was ~ 80% compensated. The method for measuring active intrinsic properties

was based on a modified version of previous protocols (Kaphzan et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2017).

Recording parameters.

Resting membrane potential (RMP) was measured 10 sec immediately after the beginning of whole cell recording (rupture of the membrane under the recording pipette). The dependence of firing rate on the injected current was obtained by injection of current steps (of 500 ms duration from +50 to 400 pA in 50 pA increments). Input resistance (R_{in}) was calculated from the voltage response to a hyperpolarizing current pulse (-150 pA). Sag ratio was calculated from voltage response -150 pA. The sag ratio during the hyperpolarizing steps calculated as $[(1 - \Delta V_{ss} / \Delta V_{max}) \times 100\%]$ as previously reported (Song, Ehlers, & Moyer James R, 2015). Membrane time constant was determined using a single exponential fit first 100ms of raising phase of cell response to 1 sec -150 pA hyperpolarization step.

For measurements of a single action potential (AP), after initial assessment of the current required to induce an AP at 15 ms from the start of the current injection with large steps (50 pA), a series of brief depolarizing currents were injected for 10 ms in steps of 10 pA increments. The first AP that appeared on the 5 ms time point was analyzed. A curve of dV/dt was created for that trace and the 30 V/s point in the rising slope of the AP was considered as threshold (Sharma et al., 2017). AP amplitude was measured from the equipotential point of the threshold to the spike peak, whereas AP duration was measured at the point of half-amplitude of the spike. The medium after-hyperpolarization (mAHP) was measured using prolonged (3 s), high-amplitude (3 nA) somatic current injections to initiate time-locked AP trains of 50 Hz frequency and duration (10 –50 Hz, 1 or 3 s) in pyramidal cells. These AP trains generated prolonged (20 s) AHP, the amplitudes and integrals of which increased with

the number of APs in the spike train. AHP was measured from the equipotential point of the threshold to the anti-peak of the same spike (Gulledge et al., 2013). Series resistance, R_{in} , and membrane capacitance were monitored during the entire experiment. Changes 30% in these parameters were criteria for exclusion of data.

Results

Novel taste experience increases the number of pERK⁺ cells in mPFC-projecting neurons from inner layers of the aIC

Activity in the IC is correlated and necessary for the formation of taste memory traces (Gal-Ben-Ari. & Rosenblum, 2012). The IC displays high connectivity with other brain regions, and these reciprocal interactions facilitate integration of sensory information, valence and reward (Yiannakas & Rosenblum, 2017). Previously, we identified a correlation between an aversive taste valence and activation of aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons (Lavi et al., 2018). We also proved that activity of these projections is necessary for the acquisition and retrieval of an aversive taste memory, but not for attenuating a neophobic response to a novel, non-aversive taste (Kayyal et al., 2019). Given that mPFC activity is crucial for novel taste memory formation (Gonzalez et al., 2015; Uematsu et al., 2015; Mickley et al., 2007), and is correlated with learning novel experiences (Euston et al., 2012), we examined the role of aIC-mPFC reciprocal projections in novel taste learning. Towards that end, WT mice were injected with a retrograde virus containing an mCherry construct in the mPFC, labelling neurons in the IC that project to the mPFC (Figure 1i). As ERK activation is necessary for taste learning (Elkobi et al., 2008), we used pERK, as an indicator of activated neurons (David Sweatt, 2001; Adaikkan & Rosenblum, 2012). We quantified the number of pERK⁺ neurons in 24 aIC slices (bregma 1.10 mm- 0.26 mm) of these mice following novel (one exposure,

n=3) or familiar (eight exposures, n=5) taste experiences involving the same taste, saccharin (see materials and methods, Figure 1a, h). Quantification of pERK⁺ cells across the aIC demonstrated novel saccharin consumption to be associated with an increased number of activated, pERK⁺ neurons compared to familiar saccharin, in agreement with the literature (Berman et al., 1998) (unpaired t-test: p=0.0002, t=3.883, DF=114; Figure 1b). The aIC itself is comprised of three major subregions: the agranular (AIC), dysgranular (DIC), and granular IC (GIC) with the 4th cortical layer persisting only in the dorsal portion of the GIC (Figure 7). Within these subregions, experiencing novel saccharin increased the number of pERK⁺ neurons in the AIC (p=0.04, t=2.094, DF=67; Figure 1c) and the DIC (unpaired t-test: t=3.749, p=0.0003, DF=94; Figure 1d), but not the GIC (unpaired t-test: t=1.340, p=0.184, DF=92; Figure 1e) compared to familiar saccharin. Different cortical layers play different roles in sensory information processing (Dikeciligil et al., 2020; Harris & Mrsic-Flogel, 2013). Hence, we examined the expression of pERK in the outer (1,2,3 combined) and inner (5,6 combined) layers. We found that both in outer (unpaired t-test: p=0.0002, t=3.897, DF=70; Figure 1f) and inner layers (unpaired t-test; p=0.002, t=3.121, DF=89; Figure 1g) of the entire aIC, there is a significant increase in pERK⁺ neurons following novel saccharin experience, compared to the familiar saccharin group. Extensive reciprocal connectivity exists between the IC (mainly the AIC and DIC) and the mPFC (Gabbott et al., 2003), and this may be analogous to the salience network in humans (Uddin, 2015). We therefore hypothesized that aIC-to-mPFC projections have a vital role in novel taste behavior. We quantified pERK⁺ neurons in the AIC and DIC that co-localized with retrograde-virus labelling, originating from the mPFC. We found a significant increases in the percentage of mPFC-projecting aIC neurons that are pERK⁺ following consumption of a novel-compared to familiar taste (unpaired t-test: t=3.301, p=0.0015, DF=69; Figure 1j). This effect was evident at the AIC (unpaired t-test: t=3.513, p=0.0008, DF=69; Figure 1k) but not the DIC (unpaired

t-test: $t=1.353$, $p=0.181$, $DF=70$; Figure 1l). Looking at the cortical layer distribution, we found that pERK induction in the aIC is observed in both the inner layers (unpaired t-test: $t=3.050$, $p=0.003$, $DF=92$; Figure 1n) and outer layers of the aIC (Mann Whitney test: $p=0.0069$, $U=352$; Figure 1m). To test for variability of labelling between novel/familiar saccharin groups, we performed an analysis of number of the aIC rAAV⁺ neurons in its different subregions/layers and found no significant difference in number of rAAV⁺ neurons between the groups (Figure 1-figure supplement 1a,b).

Novel taste experience increases excitability in mPFC-projecting neurons of the inner layers of the aIC

Following the correlation between experiencing a novel taste and increased activation of aIC-mPFC projecting neurons as measured by pERK (Figure 1), considering previous evidence regarding the role of pERK on neuronal intrinsic properties (Cohen-Matsliah et al., 2007), we tested the hypothesis that following the experience of a novel taste, aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons will become more excitable. Towards that end, we once more injected a rAAV mCherry construct in the mPFC (Figure 2b), allowing the labeling of mPFC-projecting neurons of the IC. A month later, different groups of mice were exposed to novel ($n=4$ mice) or familiar saccharin ($n=4$ mice) and were sacrificed one hour later (see materials and methods, Figure 2a) while cage control mice were sacrificed without water restriction regime ($n=4$). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were then performed in slices from aIC-to-mPFC-projecting neurons (Figure 2b). In accordance with our hypothesis, novel taste consumption increased the excitability of mPFC-projections in the inner layers of the aIC (Figure 2c-d), but not the outer layers (Figure 2-figure supplement 1a-j). Intrinsic property changes included RMP (Figure 2-figure supplement 2c) and AP half-width (Figure 2-figure supplement 2e), with depolarized R_{in} being observed following novel and familiar saccharin consumption, while AP half-width was significantly reduced following novel saccharin

consumption compared to the cage controls. In addition, Rin was increased following novel experience compared to the familiar saccharin consumption (Figure 2-figure supplement 2h). Other intrinsic properties, including rheobase, mAHP, firing threshold, time constant and AP amplitude did not show any differences between the groups (Figure 2-figure supplement 2a-j). Interestingly, mPFC-projecting neurons of the outer layers of the aIC did not exhibit any significant differences in their intrinsic properties when similarly comparing novel (n=3 mice) and familiar (n=4 mice) tastants (Figure 2-figure supplement 1a-j).

Taken together, these results demonstrate that following the salient experience of novel taste consumption, deep layer IC-to-mPFC neurons are activated and display increased excitability, thus identifying part of a circuit involved in this form of learning.

Novel taste experience increases activation of aIC-projecting neurons of the mPFC

The mPFC is involved in novelty behavior and the connectivity between the IC and the mPFC is reciprocal (Gabbott et al., 2003; Gehrlach et al., 2020). Considering the present results, we sought to investigate the role of mPFC inputs to the aIC in novel taste learning. Hence, a separate group of mice were injected with the rAAV mCherry-expressing construct in the aIC, labelling neurons in the mPFC that project to the IC (Figure 3h,i). Mice were exposed to novel (first exposure, n=3, Figure 3a) and familiar (eight exposures, n=3) saccharin. As previously done in the IC, the general population of pERK⁺ neurons were evaluated in the different mPFC subregions - prelimbic (PrL), infralimbic (InfrL), and cingulate cortex (Cg) from 8 slices (Bregma 1.94 mm- 1.54 mm). The whole mPFC (all subregions pooled together) showed an increase in activated, pERK⁺ neurons following novel taste consumption compared to familiar taste (unpaired t-test: t=5.359, DF=14, p=0.0001; Figure 3b). Analysis of the different layers yielded significant effects both in the outer (t=5.835, DF=14, p<0.0001; Figure 3c) and inner (t=4.512, DF=14, p=0.0005; Figure 3d)

layers of the mPFC. The changes in the total mPFC are derived from both the Cg1 ($t=3.111$, $DF=14$, $p=0.0077$; Figure 3e), and the PrL ($t=4.306$, $DF=14$, $p=0.007$; Figure 3f), but not the InfrL ($t=0.6712$, $DF=14$, $p=0.513$; Figure 3g). A significant increase in activated mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons following novel compared to familiar taste was also identified ($t=9.549$, $DF=14$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 3j). The increase in activated aIC-projecting neurons of the mPFC is derived from both outer ($t=5.504$, $DF=14$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 3k), and inner layers ($t=9.923$, $DF=14$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 3i) of the mPFC. Additionally, all mPFC subregions exhibited increased activation of IC-projecting neurons (Cg, $t=4.126$, $DF=14$, $p=0.001$; PrL, $t=9.705$, $DF=14$, $p<0.0001$; InfrL, $t=5.141$, $DF=14$, $p=0.0001$; Figure 3m-o). Analysis of number of rAAV⁺ neurons for the different subregions/layers of the mPFC showed no significant difference between novel/familiar saccharin groups (Figure 1-figure supplement 1c, d). These results show that the reciprocal aIC-to-mPFC circuit is activated following novel taste consumption.

Activation of aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons is necessary for both novel taste neophobic responses and memory formation

In many correlative experimental set-ups, it is difficult to dissociate between the cellular activity needed for expressing the behavior from that which is needed to acquire the information for a learning process. Based on the correlation yielded above (Figures 1-3), between novel taste consumption and the reciprocal activation of aIC to/from mPFC, we sought to identify whether activation of the aIC-to-mPFC part of the circuit is necessary for novel taste neophobic responses and/or familiarization learning. We therefore injected mice with retrograde-Cre virus in the mPFC, and Cre-dependent inhibitory DREADDs (hM4Di) in the aIC (materials and methods, Figure 4a,b). Though we used a low concentration of CNO,

in order to account for any nonspecific effects of CNO administration (Gomez et al., 2017), we injected another group of animals with AAV constructs at the aIC and mPFC, resulting in the expression of mCherry, without DREADD receptors in aIC-to-mPFC projections (see materials and methods). Animals expressing hM4Di in the aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons were administered either CNO (n=11) or saline (n=10), one hour prior to a choice test of novel saccharin (first exposure) and water (Figure 4c). Choice tests between water and saccharin were then carried out over the next four days. We found that inhibition of aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons not only impairs the neophobic response in the first day (unpaired t-test; $p=0.0095$, $t=2.883$, $DF=19$; Figure 4d), but also causes a measurable increase in the neophobic response on the next day (two way ANOVA: $F(1,175)=7.558$, $p=0.0075$; Figure 4e and Figure 4-figure supplement 1a). In order to control for possible effects of CNO, additional group of mice that were injected with control virus that does not express hM4Di in aIC-to-mPFC projections, were treated with CNO (n=7) one hour prior to the same experimental design. Aversion towards the novel taste and its attenuation were not different from the saline treated group (Figure 4-figure supplement 1a), which excludes a possible effect of CNO itself. Our results indicate a necessity for the circuit in denoting the taste as novel, though it does not rule out its effect on other facets such as taste recognition, retrieval or aversion. In order to test recognition and retrieval of a familiar taste, we inhibited the circuit on the seventh day, 1 hour prior to a choice test between saccharin and water, once saccharin neophobia has been attenuated and the taste is no longer novel. We observed no significant difference between the treatment (n=5) and control (n=6) groups (unpaired t-test: $t=0.2364$, $DF=9$, $p=0.8184$; Figure 4-figure supplement 1b, c). In order to test whether inhibiting aIC-to-mPFC projections affects taste aversion retrieval, we trained animals in CTA and inhibited the circuit one hour before the retrieval test (see materials and methods). We observed no

significant difference between animals treated with CNO (n=11) or saline (n=11; unpaired t-test: $t=0.4114$, $DF=20$, $p=0.6852$; Figure 4-figure supplement 1d,e).

Furthermore, we inhibited the aIC-to-mPFC part of the circuit prior to a choice test between innately aversive quinine and water (CNO=7, Saline=7), and found no significant difference in aversion between the two groups (unpaired t-test: $t=1.102$, $DF=12$, $p=0.2919$; Figure 4-figure supplement 1f, g). These results therefore indicate that the aIC-to-mPFC part of the circuit is not necessary for the retrieval of a familiar taste, taste aversion or taste recognition, but is vital for both the initial neophobic response, as well as incidental memory formation.

We have previously shown that activity within aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons is not necessary for attenuation of neophobia (Kayyal et al., 2019). However, since activity within the BLA is necessary for the expression of a neophobic response (Shinohara & Yasoshima, 2019; Lin et al., 2018) and in order to better understand the broader circuit involved in the expression of a neophobic reaction, we sought to inhibit aIC neurons projecting to the BLA during the first encounter with novel saccharin. Mice were injected with retrograde-Cre AAV at the BLA, and Cre-dependent inhibitory DREADDs at the IC (see materials and methods, Figure 5a-b). A month later, mice received an i.p. injection of CNO (n=8) or saline (n=6) one hour prior to a choice test of novel saccharin and water (Figure 5c). Inhibition of aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons caused a reduction in neophobia (unpaired t-test; $p=0.007$, $t=3.243$, $DF=12$) compared to the control group (Figure 5d). However, the attenuation curve did not differ between the two treatments in accordance with our previous report (two way ANOVA: $F(1,43)=0.07789$, $p=0.7815$; Figure 5e), indicating no change in novelty learning. Together, the results show that aIC-to-mPFC projections are necessary both for learning and expressing taste neophobia, while aIC-to-BLA projections are necessary only for the expression of the neophobic response, but not for its attenuation.

Activity of mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons is necessary for novel taste neophobic response but not memory formation

To better understand the interplay of information between the mPFC and IC and the role the mPFC plays in novelty behavior, we sought to inhibit the directionally opposite element of the pathway, the mPFC-to-aIC projection. Mice were therefore injected with rAAV-Cre at the aIC, and Cre-dependent inhibitory DREADDs at the mPFC (see materials and methods, Figure 6a-b). We then injected mice with CNO (n=8) or Saline (n=5) one hour prior to a choice test between novel saccharin (first exposure) and water (Figure 6c). Inhibition of the mPFC-to-aIC circuit caused a reduction in aversion index during the first choice test (unpaired t-test: $t=2.995$, $DF=11$, $p=0.0131$; Figure 6d). However, it did not affect attenuation of neophobia in the following days (two way ANOVA: $F(1,44)=1.608$, $p=0.2114$; Figure 6e, Figure supplement Figure 1a). In order to test whether this was due to an effect on taste identification, we performed another experiment in which we injected animals with CNO (n=4) or saline (n=5) prior to a choice test, conducted after mice were familiarized with saccharin (6 exposures; Figure 6-figure supplement 1b). Inhibition of mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons did not affect aversion after taste familiarization (Figure 6-figure supplement Figure 1c; unpaired t-test: $t=0.3018$, $DF=7$, $p=0.7716$). This indicates that the activation of mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons is necessary for the neophobic response to a novel taste, but not for the formation of a memory trace, as is additionally seen in the reciprocal aIC-to-mPFC part of the pathway.

To further investigate whether aIC-mPFC inhibition affects anxiety levels, that may be associated with and/or affecting novel taste experiences, we performed an open field test (Seibenhener & Wooten, 2015). Groups expressing hM4Di in aIC-to-mPFC (n=8) or mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons (n=9), as well as their respective control virus injected groups

(n=8), were all treated with i.p. injections of CNO. One hour later, mice were placed in an open arena (Figure 6-figure supplement 2a). Time spent in the center of the arena and frequency of crossing the center were analyzed. No significant differences were found in both parameters, indicating no effect on anxiety as a consequence of inhibition of aIC-mPFC reciprocal connectivity (Figure 6-figure supplement 2b,c). Aiming to clarify further whether the circuit manipulations affect the detection of the novel taste or anxiety associated with presentation of this taste, we performed another set of experiments, in which we tested the latency toward a novel saccharin and water presentation. Groups expressing hM4Di in aIC-to-mPFC (n=8) or mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons (n=9) as well as their respective control virus injected groups (n=9) were all injected i.p with CNO. Mice were then presented with a pipette of novel saccharin and time to approach the pipette was recorded. Following 5 seconds of consumption, the saccharin pipette was removed and 10 seconds later a water pipette was presented. This routine of saccharin/water presentation was performed twice, consecutively (Figure 6-figure supplement 2d). No significant difference was found between the groups in the time it took the mice to start drinking, either during the first or second presentation of the novel saccharin or water (Figure 6-figure Supplement 2e), further indicating no effect on anxiety levels caused by our intervention.

Discussion

Detecting salient, new information from the environment is a crucial aspect of human and animal behavior. The detection and proper reaction to a novel stimulus, and the ability to form a stable memory of it, are two distinct facets of novelty behavior. The molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying these centrally important processes, which are critical for animal survival, have been the subject of much research (Mishkin & Murray, 1994; Schomaker & Meeter, 2015). As a result, numerous studies have explored the role of distinct

brain areas and molecular or cellular processes within them that are crucial for salience and novelty detection and learning (Peters et al., 2016; Van Kesteren et al., 2012). Although studies conducted in humans show a correlation between several brain structures and novelty detection, little is yet known of the detailed novelty network and directionality in the brain, resulting from causative research (Li et al., 2017). It is therefore important to understand how functionally relevant, distal brain regions act as a circuit to define salience and encode unfamiliar sensory information within a wider salience system.

In the present study, we causally demonstrate that reciprocal activity between the aIC and mPFC, or the BLA, conveys novelty related gustatory information, in one such novelty circuit. Specifically, we show that general neuronal activation in the aIC, as denoted by pERK (Thiels & Klann, 2001), is increased following novel taste experience. This is in line with previous studies, showing that ERK phosphorylation in the aIC allows the formation of a memory trace of the safe taste, and is correlated with taste novelty (David Sweatt, 2001; Gutkind, 1998; Kelleher et al., 2004; Thomas & Huganir, 2004; Yiannakas & Rosenblum, 2017). Importantly, our results indicate pERK is increased specifically in the AIC and the DIC subregions of the aIC, which have previously been implicated in processing chemosensory, somatosensory visceral and limbic functions (L. M. Jones et al., 2006; Katz et al., 2001; Yokota et al., 2011), emphasizing their relevance in novel taste learning.

Extensive reciprocal connections exist between the IC and the mPFC, that are thought to be an essential component in salience processing both in humans and rodents (Uddin, 2015). Here too, our results show a correlation between the number of activated, pERK⁺ neurons within reciprocal aIC-mPFC projections following novel taste experience, suggesting plasticity related changes in aIC-mPFC neurons are initiated following taste learning (Impey et al., 1999; Philips et al., 2013; Purcell et al., 2003; Rosenblum et al., 2002). Interestingly, in the aIC this was particularly apparent in the AIC, while in the mPFC the spread of pERK⁺

neurons was more generalized, being detected across the PrL, InfrL and Cg. The projections of the aIC to the mPFC mainly originate from the AIC (compared to the DIC or GIC), which could be a possible explanation for the specific subregion-effect.

Recent reports indicate the capability of PrL neurons to form ensemble codes for novel stimulus associations within minutes (Takehara-Nishiuchi et al., 2020). This ability seems to underlie the necessity of the mPFC to rapidly detect and selectively encode novel experiences, though our data suggest a role for all mPFC subregions and layers in novel taste processing and learning. Whether and how mPFC subregions, as well as the emerging local circuitry of the aIC are involved in novel taste learning via cell- and subregion specific mechanisms, on a molecular and cellular level across different phases of learning, will be the subject of future research.

We found that ERK activation within aIC-to-PFC projections correlated with taste novelty, and given ERK involvement in maintaining long-term memory-relevant excitability changes (Cohen-Matsliah et al., 2007), we assessed the intrinsic properties of these projections subsequent to novel taste consumption. In line with the pERK results, we found that aIC-to-mPFC neurons display distinct electrophysiological properties following novel taste consumption. This is in agreement with previous studies in other modalities, showing that novel stimuli and learning of associative experience differentially affect intrinsic properties of neurons in cortical and subcortical regions (Kaczorowski et al., 2012; Sehgal et al., 2013, 2014; Song, Ehlers, & Moyer, 2015; Song, Ehlers, & Moyer James R, 2015). The increased excitability of aIC-to-mPFC neurons following novel taste experience was observed only in the deep layer of the aIC. Limbic and gustatory information converge in the deep layers of the entire IC, and the main output source of the IC to other cortical and subcortical regions lies within these layers (Kobayashi, 2011; Krushel & van Der Kooy, 1988). In addition, the percentage of taste-coding neurons is higher in the deep layers of the aIC in comparison with

the superficial layers (Dikecligil et al., 2020). Our results further strengthen the involvement of the deep layers of the aIC in processing and transmitting taste-related information to other cortical regions, suggesting that these specific molecular and intrinsic changes of aIC-to-mPFC neurons of the deep layers of the aIC allow the formation of a familiar and safe taste memory trace.

Importantly, even though the correlated increase in pERK and in excitability of aIC-mPFC projections following a novel taste demonstrate the involvement of this circuit in novel taste processing, it does not differentiate between the two components of novel taste behavior: novel taste response, or novel taste learning. We thus evaluated if indeed there is a functional aIC-mPFC circuit, by using chemogenetic inhibition to causally examine the reciprocal role of both components, the aIC and the mPFC, in novel taste expression or learning. We found that inhibition of aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons during novel taste exposure resulted in impaired neophobic responses and novel taste learning. In contrast, inhibiting mPFC-to-aIC projections impaired the expression of neophobia, but not taste memory formation.

This indicates that the mPFC plays a major role in novelty gating, while the detection of novelty and storage of familiar taste memories are both mediated by the aIC. We show that aIC-to-mPFC projections need to be activated during novel taste exposure in order to form a safe taste memory trace, while aIC activation from the mPFC is necessary in order to evoke appropriate behavioral responses to novelty. We thus causally demonstrate that specific connectivity within the aIC and the mPFC allows the identification, the learning and reaction to novel taste stimuli with the memory being formed in the aIC. However, this does not exclude the involvement of other additional stimuli or other circuits that were not the focus of the current study.

The entire IC is an integrative hub for saliency processing. We recently demonstrated that the reciprocal connectivity with the BLA underlies aversive valence processing (Kayyal et al., 2019; Lavi et al., 2018). The BLA is an essential structure for encoding salient stimuli (Fontanini & Katz, 2009) and reward learning (Fontanini et al., 2009), and its signals to the aIC transmit palatability related

information (Piette et al., 2012), while facilitating the acquisition and recall of sensory information related to body states, as well as the processing of valence (Gogolla, 2017; Haley & Maffei, 2018; Tye, 2018). Although we have previously shown that activity within aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons is not necessary for novel taste learning, we did not test if it is necessary for the expression of taste neophobia. Here, we show that activity within aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons is essential for the expression of neophobic responses. This goes along with previous data showing that inactivation of the BLA impairs the neophobic response but does not affect the process of attenuation of neophobia, and therefore learning (Lin et al., 2018; Shinohara & Yasoshima, 2019).

Together, our findings suggest that aIC connectivity to different brain areas differentially conveys the novelty state (aIC-to-mPFC/BLA), while following learning-induced plasticity, a now modified circuit state underlies the encoding of the familiarized taste (aIC-to-mPFC but not to BLA) (Figure 7). A functional reciprocal connectivity exists between the BLA and the mPFC (Yizhar & Klavir, 2018), that is of great importance in relation to drinking behavior and water intake (Zhao et al., 2020). In addition, activity within BLA-mPFC projections is necessary for valence-specific behaviors (Yizhar & Klavir, 2018) including innate fear responses (Jhang et al., 2018). Hence, our results do not exclude the possibility of mPFC-BLA activity during novel taste experience and/or learning, but rather suggest a triage of IC, BLA and mPFC participation in novel taste behavior.

Detecting, responding and remembering salient information is a vital property of animal behavior. We show here, for the first time, that the interplay between the aIC and the mPFC in the mammalian brain grasps within it novelty related data, as part of a salience network. Both aIC-mPFC and aIC-to-BLA reciprocal connections are necessary for exhibiting a neophobic response, however, the aIC-mPFC is necessary also to form a memory for a familiar safe taste. In addition, the acquisition and retrieval of learned associations between novel taste and aversive visceral information requires the activity of aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons (Kayyal et al., 2019; Lavi et al., 2018). Together, it places the insula as an integrator of two facets of taste saliency; the novel/familiar axis and aversive/appetitive axis. In addition to the necessity of aIC-mPFC interaction in taste novelty behavior and learning, a recent study reported a prominent role of mPFC-to-AIC in learning of novel olfactory tasks (Zhu et al.,

651 2020). However, it is yet to be defined if and how the IC-mPFC circuitry encodes saliency for other
652 modalities.

653 There is a growing body of evidence showing that impairment in entire IC-mPFC circuitry is found in
654 schizophrenia, addiction, and depression (Cisler et al., 2013; Penner et al., 2016; Samara et al., 2018),
655 raising the importance for further investigation of this circuit and its dysfunction in patients. Defining
656 the molecular and cellular mechanisms by which salience of sensory or innate information is
657 processed and defined remains a key question in basic and clinical neuroscience. Our results suggest
658 that while the mPFC plays a critical role in reacting to a novel cue, the aIC is essential both in reacting
659 and in learning of the novel stimulus. This suggests that proposed non-invasive treatments for
660 schizophrenia or addiction (such as transcranial magnetic stimulation therapy) could benefit from
661 regimens that target the IC and/or other salience network components, while the integrity of these
662 networks could be of particular pathognomonic value in the developing and adult brain.

References:

- 663 Adaikkan, C., & Rosenblum, K. (2012). The Role of Protein Phosphorylation in the
664 Gustatory Cortex and Amygdala During Taste Learning. *Experimental Neurobiology*,
665 21(2), 37–51. <https://doi.org/10.5607/en.2012.21.2.37>
- 666 Adaikkan, C., & Rosenblum, K. (2015). A molecular mechanism underlying gustatory
667 memory trace for an association in the insular cortex. *ELife*, 4(OCTOBER2015).
668 <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.07582>
- 669 Anderson, M. I., Killing, S., Morris, C., O'Donoghue, A., Onyiah, D., Stevenson, R.,
670 Verriotis, M., & Jeffery, K. J. (2006). Behavioral correlates of the distributed coding of
671 spatial context. *Hippocampus*, 16(9), 730–742. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hipo.20206>
- 672 Barker, G. R. I., Bird, F., Alexander, V., & Warburton, E. C. (2007). Recognition memory for
673 objects, place, and temporal order: A disconnection analysis of the role of the medial
674 prefrontal cortex and perirhinal cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27(11), 2948–2957.
675 <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5289-06.2007>
- 676 Barot, S. K., & Bernstein, I. L. (2005). Polycose taste pre-exposure fails to influence
677 behavioral and neural indices of taste novelty. *Behavioral Neuroscience*, 119(6), 1640–
678 1647. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0735-7044.119.6.1640>
- 679 Berman, D. E., Hazvi, S., Rosenblum, K., Seger, R., & Dudai, Y. (1998). Specific and
680 differential activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades by unfamiliar taste in
681 the insular cortex of the behaving rat. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(23), 10037–10044.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.18-23-10037.1998>
- 683 Bermudez-Rattoni, F. (2014). The forgotten insular cortex: Its role on recognition memory
684 formation. In *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* (Vol. 109, pp. 207–216).

Neurobiol Learn Mem. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2014.01.001>

Borsook, D., Becerra, L., Carlezon, W. A., Shaw, M., Renshaw, P., Elman, I., & Levine, J. (2007). Reward-aversion circuitry in analgesia and pain: Implications for psychiatric disorders. *European Journal of Pain*, 11(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.12.005>

Cisler, J. M., Elton, A., Kennedy, A. P., Young, J., Smitherman, S., Andrew James, G., & Kilts, C. D. (2013). Altered functional connectivity of the insular cortex across prefrontal networks in cocaine addiction. *Psychiatry Research - Neuroimaging*, 213(1), 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2013.02.007>

Cohen-Matsliah, S. I., Brosh, I., Rosenblum, K., & Barkai, E. (2007). A novel role for extracellular signal-regulated kinase in maintaining long-term memory-relevant excitability changes. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 27(46), 12584–12589. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3728-07.2007>

David Sweatt, J. (2001). The neuronal MAP kinase cascade: A biochemical signal integration system subserving synaptic plasticity and memory. In *Journal of Neurochemistry* (Vol. 76, Issue 1, pp. 1–10). J Neurochem. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-4159.2001.00054.x>

DeVito, L. M., & Eichenbaum, H. (2011). Memory for the order of events in specific sequences: Contributions of the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(9), 3169–3175. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4202-10.2011>

Dikecligil, G. N., Graham, D. M., Park, I. M., & Fontanini, A. (2020). Layer and cell type specific response properties of guDikecligil, G. N., Graham, D. M., Park, I. M., & Fontanini, A. (2020). Layer and cell type specific response properties of gustatory cortex neurons in awake mice. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 40(50), J. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 40(50), JN-RM-1579-19. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.1579-19.2020>

709 Elkobi, A., Ehrlich, I., Belelovsky, K., Barki-Harrington, L., & Rosenblum, K. (2008). ERK-
710 dependent PSD-95 induction in the gustatory cortex is necessary for taste learning, but
711 not retrieval. *Nature Neuroscience*, 11(10), 1149–1151. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2190>

712 Euston, D. R., Gruber, A. J., & McNaughton, B. L. (2012). The Role of Medial Prefrontal
713 Cortex in Memory and Decision Making. In *Neuron* (Vol. 76, Issue 6, pp. 1057–1070).
714 Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.002>

715 Fontanini, A., Grossman, S. E., Figueroa, J. A., & Katz, D. B. (2009). Distinct subtypes of
716 basolateral amygdala taste neurons reflect palatability and reward. *Journal of*
717 *Neuroscience*, 29(8), 2486–2495. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3898-08.2009>

718 Fontanini, A., & Katz, D. B. (2009). Behavioral modulation of gustatory cortical activity.
719 *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1170, 403–406.
720 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03922.x>

721 Gabbott, P. L. A., Warner, T. A., Jays, P. R. L., & Bacon, S. J. (2003). Areal and synaptic
722 interconnectivity of prelimbic (area 32), infralimbic (area 25) and insular cortices in the
723 rat. *Brain Research*, 993(1–2), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2003.08.056>

724 Gal-Ben-Ari., S., & Rosenblum, K. (2012). Molecular mechanisms underlying memory
725 consolidation of taste information in the cortex. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*,
726 5(JANUARY 2012). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2011.00087>

727 Gehrlach, D. A., Weiland, C., Gaitanos, T. N., Cho, E., Klein, A. S., Hennrich, A. A.,
728 Conzelmann, K. K., & Gogolla, N. (2020). A whole-brain connectivity map of mouse
729 insular cortex. *ELife*, 9, 1–78. <https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.55585>

730 Gogolla, N. (2017). The insular cortex. In *Current Biology* (Vol. 27, Issue 12, pp. R580–
731 R586). Cell Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.010>

- Goldberg, M. E., Bisley, J. W., Powell, K. D., & Gottlieb, J. (2006). Chapter 10 Saccades, salience and attention: the role of the lateral intraparietal area in visual behavior. In *Progress in Brain Research: Vol. 155 B* (pp. 157–175). Elsevier.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123\(06\)55010-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(06)55010-1)
- Gonzalez, M. C., Villar, M. E., Igaz, L. M., Viola, H., & Medina, J. H. (2015a). Dorsal medial prefrontal cortex contributes to conditioned taste aversion memory consolidation and retrieval. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 126, 1–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2015.10.007>
- Gonzalez, M. C., Villar, M. E., Igaz, L. M., Viola, H., & Medina, J. H. (2015b). Dorsal medial prefrontal cortex contributes to conditioned taste aversion memory consolidation and retrieval. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 126, 1–6.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2015.10.007>
- Gould, N. L., Elkobi, A., Edry, E., Daume, J., & Rosenblum, K. (2020). Muscarinic-dependent miR-182 and QR2 expression regulation in the anterior insula enables novel taste learning. *ENeuro*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0067-20.2020>
- Green, K. F., & Parker, L. A. (1975). Gustatory memory: Incubation and interference. *Behavioral Biology*, 13(3), 359–367. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-6773\(75\)91416-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0091-6773(75)91416-9)
- Gulledge, A. T., Dasari, S., Onoue, K., Stephens, E. K., Hasse, J. M., & Avesar, D. (2013). A Sodium-Pump-Mediated Afterhyperpolarization in Pyramidal Neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33(32), 13025–13041. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0220-13.2013>
- Gutkind, J. S. (1998). The pathways connecting G protein-coupled receptors to the nucleus through divergent mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades. In *Journal of Biological Chemistry* (Vol. 273, Issue 4, pp. 1839–1842). American Society for Biochemistry and

756 Molecular Biology. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.4.1839>

757 Haley, M. S., & Maffei, A. (2018). Versatility and Flexibility of Cortical Circuits. In
758 *Neuroscientist* (Vol. 24, Issue 5, pp. 456–470). SAGE Publications Inc.
759 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858417733720>

760 Harris, K. D., & Mrsic-Flogel, T. D. (2013). Cortical connectivity and sensory coding. In
761 *Nature* (Vol. 503, Issue 7474, pp. 51–58). Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12654>

762 Impey, S., Obrietan, K., & Storm, D. R. (1999). Making new connections: Role of ERK/MAP
763 kinase signaling in neuronal plasticity. In *Neuron* (Vol. 23, Issue 1, pp. 11–14). Cell
764 Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(00\)80747-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80747-3)

765 Jhang, J., Lee, H., Kang, M. S., Lee, H. S., Park, H., & Han, J. H. (2018). Anterior cingulate
766 cortex and its input to the basolateral amygdala control innate fear response. *Nature*
767 *Communications*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05090-y>

768 Jones, L. M., Fontanini, A., & Katz, D. B. (2006). Gustatory processing: a dynamic systems
769 approach. In *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* (Vol. 16, Issue 4, pp. 420–428). Elsevier
770 Current Trends. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2006.06.011>

771 Jones, M. W., French, P. J., Bliss, T. V., & Rosenblum, K. (1999). Molecular mechanisms of
772 long-term potentiation in the insular cortex in vivo. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The*
773 *Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 19(21).
774 <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.19-21-j0002.1999>

775 Kaczorowski, C. C., Davis, S. J., & Moyer, J. R. (2012). Aging redistributes medial
776 prefrontal neuronal excitability and impedes extinction of trace fear conditioning.
777 *Neurobiology of Aging*, 33(8), 1744–1757.
778 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.03.020>

- Kaphzan, H., Buffington, S. A., Ramaraj, A. B., Lingrel, J. B., Rasband, M. N., Santini, E., & Klann, E. (2013). Genetic Reduction of the $\alpha 1$ Subunit of Na/K-ATPase Corrects Multiple Hippocampal Phenotypes in Angelman Syndrome. *Cell Reports*, 4(3), 405–412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.07.005>
- Katz, D. B., Simon, S. A., & Nicolelis, M. A. L. (2001). Dynamic and multimodal responses of gustatory cortical neurons in awake rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 21(12), 4478–4489. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.21-12-04478.2001>
- Kayyal, H., Yiannakas, A., Kolatt Chandran, S., Khamaisy, M., Sharma, V., & Rosenblum, K. (2019). Activity of Insula to Basolateral Amygdala Projecting Neurons is Necessary and Sufficient for Taste Valence Representation. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 39(47), 9369–9382. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0752-19.2019>
- Kelleher, R. J., Govindarajan, A., Jung, H. Y., Kang, H., & Tonegawa, S. (2004). Translational control by MAPK signaling in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory. *Cell*, 116(3), 467–479. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674\(04\)00115-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(04)00115-1)
- Kobayashi, M. (2011). Macroscopic Connection Of Rat Insular Cortex: Anatomical Bases Underlying Its Physiological Functions. In *International Review of Neurobiology* (Vol. 97, pp. 285–303). Academic Press Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385198-7.00011-4>
- Krushel, L. A., & van Der Kooy, D. (1988). Visceral cortex: Integration of the mucosal senses with limbic information in the rat agranular insular cortex. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 270(1), 39–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902700105>
- Lavi, K., Jacobson, G. A., Rosenblum, K., & Lüthi, A. (2018). Encoding of Conditioned Taste Aversion in Cortico-Amygdala Circuits. *Cell Reports*, 24(2), 278–283.

803 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.053>

804 Li, S., Demenescu, L. R., Sweeney-Reed, C. M., Krause, A. L., Metzger, C. D., & Walter, M.
805 (2017). Novelty seeking and reward dependence-related large-scale brain networks
806 functional connectivity variation during salience expectancy. *Human Brain Mapping*,
807 38(8), 4064–4077. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23648>

808 Lin, J. Y., Arthurs, J., & Reilly, S. (2015). Gustatory insular cortex, aversive taste memory
809 and taste neophobia. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 119, 77–84.
810 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2015.01.005>

811 Lin, J. Y., Arthurs, J., & Reilly, S. (2018). The effects of amygdala and cortical inactivation
812 on taste neophobia. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 155, 322–329.
813 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2018.08.021>

814 Livneh, Y., Ramesh, R. N., Burgess, C. R., Levandowski, K. M., Madara, J. C., Fenselau, H.,
815 Goldey, G. J., Diaz, V. E., Jikomes, N., Resch, J. M., Lowell, B. B., & Andermann, M.
816 L. (2017). Homeostatic circuits selectively gate food cue responses in insular cortex.
817 *Nature*, 546(7660), 611–616. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22375>

818 McGaugh, J. L. (2006). Make mild moments memorable: add a little arousal. In *Trends in*
819 *Cognitive Sciences* (Vol. 10, Issue 8, pp. 345–347). Trends Cogn Sci.
820 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.06.001>

821 Menon, V., & Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network
822 model of insula function. In *Brain structure & function* (Vol. 214, Issues 5–6, pp. 655–
823 667). Brain Struct Funct. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-010-0262-0>

824 Merhav, M., Kuulmann-Vander, S., Elkobi, A., Jacobson-Pick, S., Karni, A., & Rosenblum,
825 K. (2006). Behavioral interference and C/EBP β expression in the insular-cortex reveal a

826 prolonged time period for taste memory consolidation. *Learning and Memory*, 13(5),
827 571–574. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.282406>

828 Mickley, G. A., Hoxha, Z., Bacik, S., Kenmuir, C. L., Wellman, J. A., Biada, J. M., &
829 DiSorbo, A. (2007). Spontaneous recovery of a conditioned taste aversion differentially
830 alters extinction-induced changes in c-Fos protein expression in rat amygdala and
831 neocortex. *Brain Research*, 1152(1), 139–157.
832 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2007.03.050>

833 Mishkin, M., & Murray, E. A. (1994). Stimulus recognition. *Current Opinion in*
834 *Neurobiology*, 4(2), 200–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4388\(94\)90073-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4388(94)90073-6)

835 Modinos, G., Allen, P., Grace, A. A., & McGuire, P. (2015). Translating the MAM model of
836 psychosis to humans. In *Trends in Neurosciences* (Vol. 38, Issue 3, pp. 129–138).
837 Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2014.12.005>

838 Morici, J. F., Bekinschtein, P., & Weisstaub, N. V. (2015). Medial prefrontal cortex role in
839 recognition memory in rodents. In *Behavioural Brain Research* (Vol. 292, pp. 241–251).
840 Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2015.06.030>

841 Morón, I., & Gallo, M. (2007). Effect of previous taste experiences on taste neophobia in
842 young-adult and aged rats. *Physiology and Behavior*, 90(2–3), 308–317.
843 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.09.036>

844 Osorio-Gómez, D., Guzmán-Ramos, K., & Bermúdez-Rattoni, F. (2018). Neurobiology of
845 neophobia and its attenuation. In *Food Neophobia: Behavioral and Biological*
846 *Influences* (pp. 111–128). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101931-3.00006-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101931-3.00006-9)
847 9

848 Penner, J., Ford, K. A., Taylor, R., Schaefer, B., Théberge, J., Neufeld, R. W. J., Osuch, E.

A., Menon, R. S., Rajakumar, N., Allman, J. M., & Williamson, P. C. (2016). Medial prefrontal and anterior insular connectivity in early schizophrenia and major depressive disorder: A resting functional MRI evaluation of large-scale brain network models. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 10(MAR2016), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00132>

Peters, S. K., Dunlop, K., & Downar, J. (2016). Cortico-striatal-thalamic loop circuits of the salience network: A central pathway in psychiatric disease and treatment. In *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* (Vol. 10, Issue DEC). Frontiers Media S.A. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2016.00104>

Philips, G. T., Ye, X., Kopec, A. M., & Carew, T. J. (2013). Mapk establishes a molecular context that defines effective training patterns for long-term memory formation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 33(17), 7565–7573. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5561-12.2013>

Piette, C. E., Baez-Santiago, M. A., Reid, E. E., Katz, D. B., & Moran, A. (2012). Inactivation of basolateral amygdala specifically eliminates palatability-related information in cortical sensory responses. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(29), 9981–9991. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0669-12.2012>

Puglisi-Allegra, S., & Ventura, R. (2012). Prefrontal/accumbal catecholamine system processes emotionally driven attribution of motivational salience. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, 23(5–6), 509–526. <https://doi.org/10.1515/revneuro-2012-0076>

Purcell, A. L., Sharma, S. K., Bagnall, M. W., Sutton, M. A., & Carew, T. J. (2003). Activation of a tyrosine kinase-MAPK cascade enhances the induction of long-term synaptic facilitation and long-term memory in Aplysia. *Neuron*, 37(3), 473–484. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273\(03\)00030-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(03)00030-8)

- Rolls, E. T. (2016). Functions of the anterior insula in taste, autonomic, and related functions. *Brain and Cognition*, 110, 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2015.07.002>
- Rosenblum, K., Futter, M., Voss, K., Erent, M., Skehel, P. A., French, P., Obosi, L., Jones, M. W., & Bliss, T. V. P. (2002). The role of extracellular regulated kinases I/II in late-phase long-term potentiation. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 22(13), 5432–5441. <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.22-13-05432.2002>
- Rosenblum, K., Meiri, N., & Dudai, Y. (1993). Taste memory: The role of protein synthesis in gustatory cortex. *Behavioral and Neural Biology*, 59(1), 49–56. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-1047\(93\)91145-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-1047(93)91145-D)
- Samara, Z., Evers, E. A. T., Peeters, F., Uylings, H. B. M., Rajkowska, G., Ramaekers, J. G., & Stiers, P. (2018). Orbital and Medial Prefrontal Cortex Functional Connectivity of Major Depression Vulnerability and Disease. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 3(4), 348–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2018.01.004>
- Schomaker, J., & Meeter, M. (2015). Short- and long-lasting consequences of novelty, deviance and surprise on brain and cognition. In *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews* (Vol. 55, pp. 268–279). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.05.002>
- Schultz, W. (2013). Updating dopamine reward signals. In *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* (Vol. 23, Issue 2, pp. 229–238). Curr Opin Neurobiol. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2012.11.012>
- Sehgal, M., Ehlers, V. L., & Moyer, J. R. (2014). Learning enhances intrinsic excitability in a subset of lateral amygdala neurons. *Learning and Memory*, 21(3), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1101/lm.032730.113>

897 Sehgal, M., Song, C., Ehlers, V. L., & Moyer, J. R. (2013). Learning to learn - Intrinsic
898 plasticity as a metaplasticity mechanism for memory formation. *Neurobiology of*
899 *Learning and Memory*, 105, 186–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nlm.2013.07.008>

900 Seibenhener, M. L., & Wooten, M. C. (2015). Use of the open field maze to measure
901 locomotor and anxiety-like behavior in mice. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 96.
902 <https://doi.org/10.3791/52434>

903 Sharma, V., Ounallah-Saad, H., Chakraborty, D., Hleihil, M., Sood, R., Barrera, I., Edry, E.,
904 Chandran, S. K., de Leon, S. B. T., Kaphzan, H., Rosenblum, K., Kolatt Chandran, S.,
905 Ben Tabou de Leon, S., Kaphzan, H., & Rosenblum, K. (2017). Local Inhibition of
906 PERK Enhances Memory and Reverses Age-Related Deterioration of Cognitive and
907 Neuronal Properties. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 38(3), 648–658.
908 <https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.0628-17.2017>

909 Shinohara, K., & Yasoshima, Y. (2019). Inactivation of the basolateral amygdala suppresses
910 the expression of taste neophobia but not the retrieval process in attenuation of
911 neophobia. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 372, 112010.
912 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2019.112010>

913 Shura, R. D., Hurley, R. A., & Taber, K. H. (2014). Insular cortex: Structural and functional
914 neuroanatomy. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 26(4), 277–282.
915 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.260401>

916 Song, C., Ehlers, V. L., & Moyer James R, J. (2015). Trace Fear Conditioning Differentially
917 Modulates Intrinsic Excitability of Medial Prefrontal Cortex-Basolateral Complex of
918 Amygdala Projection Neurons in Infralimbic and Prelimbic Cortices. *The Journal of*
919 *Neuroscience : The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, 35(39), 13511–
920 13524. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2329-15.2015>

- 921 Song, C., Ehlers, V. L., & Moyer, J. R. (2015). Trace fear conditioning differentially
922 modulates intrinsic excitability of medial prefrontal cortex–basolateral complex of
923 amygdala projection neurons in infralimbic and prelimbic cortices. *Journal of*
924 *Neuroscience*, 35(39), 13511–13524. [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2329-](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2329-15.2015)
925 15.2015
- 926 Takehara-Nishiuchi, K., Morrissey, M. D., & Pilkiw, M. (2020). Prefrontal neural ensembles
927 develop selective code for stimulus associations within minutes of novel experiences.
928 *Journal of Neuroscience*, 40(43), 8355–8366.
929 <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1503-20.2020>
- 930 Thiels, E., & Klann, E. (2001). Extracellular signal-regulated kinase, synaptic plasticity, and
931 memory. In *Reviews in the Neurosciences* (Vol. 12, Issue 4, pp. 327–345). Freund
932 Publishing House Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1515/REVNEURO.2001.12.4.327>
- 933 Thomas, G. M., & Huganir, R. L. (2004). MAPK cascade signalling and synaptic plasticity.
934 In *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* (Vol. 5, Issue 3, pp. 173–183). Nature Publishing
935 Group. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1346>
- 936 Tye, K. M. (2018). Neural Circuit Motifs in Valence Processing. In *Neuron* (Vol. 100, Issue
937 2, pp. 436–452). Cell Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.001>
- 938 Uddin, L. Q. (2015). Salience processing and insular cortical function and dysfunction. In
939 *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* (Vol. 16, Issue 1, pp. 55–61). Nature Publishing Group.
940 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3857>
- 941 Uematsu, A., Kitamura, A., Iwatsuki, K., Uneyama, H., & Tsurugizawa, T. (2015).
942 Correlation Between Activation of the Prelimbic Cortex, Basolateral Amygdala, and
943 Agranular Insular Cortex During Taste Memory Formation. *Cerebral Cortex*, 25(9),
944 2719–2728. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu069>

- 945 Van Kesteren, M. T. R., Ruiter, D. J., Fernández, G., & Henson, R. N. (2012). How schema
946 and novelty augment memory formation. In *Trends in Neurosciences* (Vol. 35, Issue 4,
947 pp. 211–219). Elsevier Current Trends. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2012.02.001>
- 948 Winton-Brown, T. T., Fusar-Poli, P., Ungless, M. A., & Howes, O. D. (2014). Dopaminergic
949 basis of salience dysregulation in psychosis. In *Trends in Neurosciences* (Vol. 37, Issue
950 2, pp. 85–94). Trends Neurosci. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.11.003>
- 951 Yantis, S., & Hillstrom, A. P. (1994). Stimulus-driven attentional capture: Evidence from
952 equiluminant visual objects. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception*
953 *and Performance*, 20(1), 95–107. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0096-1523.20.1.95>
- 954 Yefet, K., Merhav, M., Kuulmann-Vander, S., Elkobi, A., Belevsky, K., Jacobson-Pick, S.,
955 Meiri, N., & Rosenblum, K. (2006). Different signal transduction cascades are activated
956 simultaneously in the rat insular cortex and hippocampus following novel taste learning.
957 *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 24(5), 1434–1442. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.05009.x)
958 [9568.2006.05009.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2006.05009.x)
- 959 Yiannakas, A., & Rosenblum, K. (2017). The insula and taste learning. In *Frontiers in*
960 *Molecular Neuroscience* (Vol. 10). Frontiers Media S.A.
961 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00335>
- 962 Yizhar, O., & Klavir, O. (2018). Reciprocal amygdala–prefrontal interactions in learning. In
963 *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* (Vol. 52, pp. 149–155). Elsevier Ltd.
964 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2018.06.006>
- 965 Yokota, T., Eguchi, K., & Hiraba, K. (2011). Functional properties of putative pyramidal
966 neurons and inhibitory interneurons in the rat gustatory cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, 21(3),
967 597–606. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq126>

968 Zhao, Z., Soria-Gómez, E., Varilh, M., Covelo, A., Julio-Kalajzić, F., Cannich, A.,
 969 Castiglione, A., Vanhoutte, L., Duveau, A., Zizzari, P., Beyeler, A., Cota, D.,
 970 Bellocchio, L., Busquets-Garcia, A., & Marsicano, G. (2020). A Novel Cortical
 971 Mechanism for Top-Down Control of Water Intake. *Current Biology*, 30(23), 4789-
 972 4798.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.011>

973 Zhu, J., Cheng, Q., Chen, Y., Fan, H., Han, Z., Hou, R., Chen, Z., & Li, C. T. (2020).
 974 Transient Delay-Period Activity of Agranular Insular Cortex Controls Working Memory
 975 Maintenance in Learning Novel Tasks. *Neuron*, 105(5), 934-946.e5.
 976 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.12.008>

Figure 1: Novel taste experience increases number of pERK⁺ cells in mPFC-projecting aIC neurons.

- a. Schematic representation of novel or familiar taste learning; animals were water restricted in the first day and administered with saccharin (familiar saccharin group) or water (novel saccharin group) in the following six days. In the last day, mice were presented with 1 ml of Saccharin 20 minutes prior to perfusion. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was quantified in the different subregions and layers of the IC:
- b. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was significantly increased in the total IC following novel (137.4±5.876) compared to familiar (112.9±3.308) saccharin consumption.
- c. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was significantly increased in the AIC following novel (76.6±8.201) compared to familiar (60.62±3.476) saccharin consumption.
- d. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was significantly increased in the DIC following novel (44±1.996) compared to a familiar (35.13±1.270) saccharin consumption.
- e. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was unchanged in the GIC following novel (18.5±1.096) and familiar (16.79±0.6797) saccharin consumption.
- f. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was significantly increased in the outer layers of the IC following novel (76.58±4.103) compared to familiar (60.75±2.016) saccharin consumption.
- g. Number of pERK⁺ neurons was significantly increased in the inner layers of the IC following novel (63.87±3.009) compared to familiar (52.76±1.868) saccharin consumption.
- h. Representative coronal IC sections immunostained for pERK (green) and DAPI (blue) from mice injected with retroAAV at the mPFC (red) following novel (upper) and familiar (lower) saccharin. Scale bar, 50µm, 20x.
- i. Stereotaxic injection of rAAV-mCherry construct (red) at the mPFC and its subsequent labeling in the IC.
Number of double labeled (pERK⁺, rAAV⁺) neurons was calculated as a percentage of all rAAV⁺ neurons:
- j. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the IC was significantly higher following novel (9.517±1.337) compared to familiar (6.065±0.313%) saccharin consumption.
- k. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the AIC was significantly higher following novel (11.36±2.050%) compared to familiar (6.036±0.303) saccharin consumption.

- 1010 l. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the DIC was similar following novel
 1011 (8.126±2%) and familiar (5.956±0.546%) saccharin consumption.
- 1012 m. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the outer layers of the IC was similar
 1013 following novel (10.08±2.079%) and familiar (5.02±0.381%) saccharin consumption.
- 1014 n. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the inner layers of the IC was similar
 1015 following novel (10.05±1.089%) and familiar (6.562±0.411%) saccharin
 1016 consumption.
- 1017 Data are shown as mean ± SEM. *p<0.05, **p< 0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001.

Figure 2: Novel taste exposure increases excitability of mPFC-projecting neurons of the inner insular layers.

a. Schematic representation of novel or familiar taste learning. Animals were water restricted in the first day and administered with saccharin (familiar saccharin group) or water (novel saccharin group) in the following six days. Mice were given novel or familiar saccharin and sacrificed 1 hour later.

Intrinsic properties were measured in the different layers of the aIC.

b. Whole-cell current-clamp recordings of aIC neurons projecting to mPFC (red).

c, d. The dependence of firing rate on current step magnitude is significantly higher in layers V/VI insular neurons projecting to mPFC following novel compared to familiar taste or cage controls (two-way repeated measurements ANOVA, $n=13-14$ cells per group; $p=0.0009$; $F(2, 37) = 8.465$).

Error bars represent SEM; * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$.

Figure 3: Novel taste experience increases number of pERK⁺ cells in aIC-projecting neurons of the mPFC.

- a. Schematic representation of novel or familiar taste learning; animals were water restricted in the first day and administered with saccharin (familiar saccharin group) or water (novel saccharin group) in the following six days. In the last day, mice were presented with 1 ml of Saccharin 20 minutes prior to perfusion. number of pERK⁺ neurons was quantified in the different subregions and layers of the mPFC.
- b. Number of pERK⁺ neurons of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel (548.1±36.24) compared to familiar (329±18.89) saccharin consumption.
- c. Number of pERK⁺ neurons of the outer layers of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel (198±16.15) compared to familiar (95.75±6.920) saccharin consumption.
- d. Number of pERK⁺ neurons of the inner layers of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel (349±21.67) in comparison to familiar (233.4±14.040) saccharin consumption.
- e. Number of pERK⁺ neurons in the Cg1 was significantly higher following novel (143±17.71) compared to familiar (86.63±4.988) saccharin consumption.
- f. Number of pERK⁺ neurons in the PrL was significantly higher following novel (314±19.68) in comparison to familiar (203.6±16.44) saccharin consumption.
- g. Number of pERK⁺ neurons in the InfrL was similar following novel (90.23±6.423) and familiar (102.6±17.32) saccharin consumption.
- h. Representative coronal mPFC sections immunostained for pERK (Green) and DAPI (blue) from mice injected with rAAV at the IC (Red) following novel (upper) and familiar (lower) saccharin. Scale bar, 50µm, 20x.
- i. Stereotaxic injection of rAAV-mCherry construct (red) at the IC and its subsequent labeling in the mPFC.
Percentage of double labeled (pERK⁺,rAAV⁺) neurons was calculated as a percentage of all rAAV⁺ neurons:
- j. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel (10.44±0.434%) compared to familiar (4.819±0.397%) saccharin consumption.

- k. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the outer layers of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel ($8.49 \pm 0.230\%$) compared to familiar ($4.23 \pm 0.739\%$) saccharin consumption.
 - l. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the inner layers of the mPFC was significantly increased following novel ($11.81 \pm 0.561\%$) compared to familiar ($5.296 \pm 0.340\%$) saccharin consumption.
 - m. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the Cg1 was significantly increased following novel ($8.543 \pm 0.633\%$) compared to familiar (4.897 ± 0.617) saccharin consumption.
 - n. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the PrL was significantly increased following novel ($12.23 \pm 0.665\%$) in comparison to familiar ($4.893 \pm 0.358\%$) saccharin consumption.
 - o. Percentage of double-labeled neurons of the InfrL was significantly increased following novel ($7.744 \pm 0.554\%$) in comparison to familiar ($3.261 \pm 0.673\%$) saccharin consumption.
- Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4: aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons are necessary for both novel taste neophobic response and learning

WT male mice were injected with retrograde-Cre AAV at the mPFC (green) and the Cre-dependent DREADDs at the IC (red), resulting in expression of inhibitory hMD4Gi in aIC-to-mPFC neurons.

- a. Representative images of retrograde-Cre AAV injection in the mPFC and the consequent labeling in the IC (red).
 - b. Representative images of neurons targeted for inhibition in the IC: Cre-dependent expression of the chemogenetic receptors (red), retrograde-Cre AAV (green) and DAPI (blue).
 - c. Schematic representation of behavioral test conducted. Mice injected with IC-to-mPC inhibitory DREADDs received intraperitoneal injection of CNO or Saline 1 hour prior to novel saccharin (first exposure) and water choice test, while aversion was assessed. In the following days, mice were given a choice test without intervention.
 - d. CNO-injected mice exhibited significantly lower aversion ($51.21 \pm 8.255\%$) levels than do Saline-injected controls ($78.36 \pm 4.33\%$) in the first choice day (expression of neophobia).
 - e. CNO-injected mice were significantly more averse to saccharin ($87.45 \pm 4.336\%$, compared to saline ($54.57 \pm 5.708\%$) injected controls in the second day. CNO-injected and saline-injected mice showed similar aversion in the third (CNO: $60.70 \pm 8.599\%$, Saline: $54.93 \pm 12.68\%$) fourth (CNO: $51.13 \pm 7.804\%$, Saline: $27.29 \pm 14.34\%$) and fifth (CNO: $45.77 \pm 7.852\%$, Saline: $29.63 \pm 6.974\%$) day of choice tests.
- Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 5: Activity within aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons is necessary for novel taste response but not for its attenuation

WT male mice were injected with retrograde-Cre AAV at the BLA (green) and Cre-dependent DREADDs at the IC (red), resulting in expression of inhibitory hMD4Gi in aIC-to-BLA projecting neurons.

- a. Representative images of retrograde-Cre AAV injection in the BLA (green) and the consequent labeling in the IC.
 - b. Representative images of IC-to-BLA projecting neurons that are targeted for inhibition using Cre-dependent expression of the chemogenetic receptors (red), retrograde-Cre AAV (green) and DAPI (blue).
 - c. Schematic representation of behavioral test conducted. Mice injected with IC-to-BLA inhibitory DREADDs received intraperitoneal injection of CNO or Saline 1 hour prior to novel saccharin (first exposure) and water choice test, while aversion was assessed. In the following days, mice were given a choice test without intervention.
 - d. CNO-injected mice exhibited lower aversion ($41.11 \pm 9.309\%$) levels than do Saline-injected controls ($79.60 \pm 5.604\%$) in the first choice day (unpaired t-test: $p=0.007$, $t=3.243$, $DF=12$).
 - e. CNO-injected and saline-injected mice showed similar aversion on the second (CNO: $36.26 \pm 11.04\%$, Saline: $40.0 \pm 12.98\%$) third (CNO: $16.06 \pm 5.01\%$, Saline: $18.25 \pm 8.920\%$) fourth (CNO: $26.13 \pm 10.52\%$, Saline: $18.67 \pm 12.48\%$) and fifth (CNO: $6.50 \pm 4.153\%$, Saline: $0.00 \pm 0.00\%$) day of expression of neophobia.
- Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, **** $p<0.0001$.

Figure 6: Activity of mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons is necessary for novel taste neophobic reaction but not for the learning.

WT male mice were injected with Cre-dependent DREADDs at the mPFC and rAAV-Cre at the IC, resulting in expression of inhibitory hMD4Gi in mPFC-to-aIC neurons.

- a. Representative images of retrograde-Cre AAV injection in the IC (red) and the consequent labeling in the mPFC.
- b. Representative images of mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons that are targeted for inhibition: Cre-dependent expression of the chemogenetic receptors (red), retrograde-Cre AAV (green) and DAPI (blue).
- c. Schematic representation of behavioral test conducted. Mice injected with mPFC-to-IC inhibitory DREADDs received intraperitoneal injection of CNO or Saline 1 hour prior to novel saccharin (first exposure) and water choice test, while aversion was assessed. In the following days, mice were given a choice test without intervention.
- d. CNO-injected mice ($47.81 \pm 11.58\%$) exhibited significantly lower aversion levels than do saline-injected controls ($92.08 \pm 2.11\%$) in the first choice day (expression of neophobia).
- e. CNO-injected and saline-injected mice showed similar aversion on the second (CNO: $54.06 \pm 13.71\%$, Saline: $66.84 \pm 11.60\%$) third (CNO: $34.98 \pm 11.44\%$, Saline: $54.76 \pm 5.693\%$) fourth (CNO: $16.85 \pm 5.986\%$, Saline: $34.54 \pm 7.856\%$) and fifth (CNO: $23.80 \pm 8.681\%$, Saline: $10.74 \pm 4.712\%$) day of attenuation of neophobia.

Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 7: A model for the circuits involved in novel taste expression and learning

A graphical abstract of this study.

- Experiencing a novel tastant increases pERK⁺ cells within mPFC projections of the AIC subregion of the aIC and increases excitability of mPFC-projecting neurons of the inner AIC layers.
- Novel taste experience increases number of pERK⁺ cells within mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons.
- The expression of a neophobic reaction towards a novel tastant is dependent upon activation of aIC-to-mPFC, mPFC-to-aIC and aIC-to-BLA triage circuits (blue line).
- The learning of an appetitive familiar tastant (attenuation of neophobia) is dependent upon activation of aIC-to-mPFC (dashed green line) but not the reciprocal connectivity nor the aIC-to-BLA pathway.
- While the mPFC and the BLA are essential for novelty gating, the detection of novelty and storage of familiar taste memories are mediated by the aIC.

Figure 1-figure Supplement 1: aIC-mPFC reciprocal connectivity is prominent in the AIC and DIC of the aIC and the prelimbic subregion of the mPFC

- a. Number of rAAV⁺ neurons of the total aIC (Novel: 153.7±13.47, familiar: 171.8±3.09; t=0.4708, df=5), AIC (Novel: 96.03±17.24, familiar: 115.7±18.11; t=0.7629, df=5), DIC (Novel: 44.57±5.445, familiar: 44.52±11.7; t=0.003, df=5) and the GIC (Novel: 13.15±2.924, familiar: 11.49±3.191; t=0.3689, df=5), was similar between novel and familiar saccharin groups.
- b. Number of rAAV⁺ neurons of the outer (novel: 63.77±6.471, familiar: 79.92±23.53; t=0.5693, df=5) and inner layers (novel: 89.97±7.159, familiar: 92.15±10.28; t=0.1608, df=5) of the aIC was unchanged between novel and familiar saccharin groups.
- c. Number of rAAV⁺ neurons of the total mPFC (novel: 422.7±65.71, familiar: 411.4±87.25; t=0.1035, df=4), prelimbic (novel: 241.9±41.83, familiar: 206.3±45.84; t=0.5744, df=4), infralimbic (55.51±7.743, 63.42±8.417; t=0.6703, df=4) and the Cg1 (novel: 119.1±22.37, familiar: 166.2±27.08; t=1.343, df=4) was similar between novel and familiar saccharin groups.
- d. Number of rAAV⁺ neurons of the outer (novel: 173.4±20.33, familiar: 153.1±41.35; t=0.04402, df=4) and inner layers (novel: 243.1±57.88, familiar: 258.2±46.44; t=0.2041, df=4) of the mPFC was unchanged between novel and familiar saccharin groups.

Figure 1-figure Supplement 1- source data 1: aIC-mPFC reciprocal connectivity is prominent in the AIC and DIC of the aIC and the prelimbic subregion of the mPFC.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1. Layer II/III of mPFC projections of the aIC displays similar intrinsic properties after novel and familiar taste exposure.

- a. The dependence of firing rate on current step magnitude was not significantly different between **layer II/III** insular neurons projecting to **mPFC** following novel or familiar saccharin consumption (two-way repeated measurements ANOVA, $n=10$ cells per group, $p=0.0823$).
- b. The resting membrane potential (RMP) of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was similar between novel (-71.65 ± 1.504 mV, $n=14$) and familiar saccharin (-72.26 ± 2.02 mV, $n=10$; Mann Whitney test, U_{51} , $p=0.8094$).
- c. The amplitude of mAHP of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not significantly different between novel (-3.509 ± 0.8405 mV, $n=10$) or familiar saccharin (-4.223 ± 0.8426 mV, $n=10$; two-tailed t-test; $p=0.556$).
- d. Threshold potential for action potential firing (measured at a change of 30 V/s in the membrane potential) of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was similar following novel (-33.92 ± 1.109 mV, $n=10$) and familiar (-30.2 ± 1.845 mV, $n=10$; two-tailed t-test; $p=0.1008$) saccharin.
- e. The input resistance of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC (calculated from the voltage response to -150 pA hyper-polarizing current step) was not significantly different between novel (128.6 ± 9.645 M Ω , $n=10$) and familiar saccharin (128.9 ± 15.02 M Ω , $n=10$; two-tailed t-test; $p=0.9891$).
- f. Sag ratio of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not significantly different between novel (5.631 ± 1.298 , $n=10$) and familiar saccharin (6.96 ± 1.633 , $n=10$; two-tailed t-test; $p=0.5323$).
- g. The membrane time constant of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not significantly different between novel (19.63 ± 2.623 ms, $n=10$) and familiar saccharin (21.89 ± 2.855 ms, $n=10$; two-tailed t-test, $p=0.5673$).
- h. Action potential amplitude of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not significantly different between novel (55.06 ± 2.263 mV, $n=10$) and familiar taste (52.61 ± 3.211 mV, $n=10$; two-tailed t-test, $p=0.5403$).

1210 i. Action half-width of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not significantly
 1211 different following novel (0.703 ± 0.03798 ms, $n=10$) and familiar saccharin (0.696 ± 0.04483
 1212 ms, $n=10$; Unpaired t test; $p=0.9065$).

1213 j. The amount of current required to elicit a single action potential (Rheobase, calculated from
 1214 10 ms current injection) of mPFC-projections in the outer layers of the aIC was not
 1215 significantly different following novel (119.9 ± 16.23 pA, $n=10$) and familiar taste
 1216 (118.2 ± 31.24 pA; $n=10$; two-tailed t-test; $p=0.9620$).

1217 Error bars represent SEM.

1218 Figure 2-figure supplement 1- source data 1: Layer II/III of mPFC projections of the aIC
 1219 displays similar intrinsic properties after novel and familiar taste exposure.

1220

Figure 2-figure supplement 2: Novel taste exposure increases excitability of mPFC-projecting neurons of the inner insular layers.

a. The amplitude of mAHP of layer V/VI insular neurons projecting to mPFC was not significantly different between novel (-5.163 ± 0.916 mV, $n=14$), familiar saccharin (-4.14 ± 0.6927 mV, $n=13$) and cage controls (-3.359 ± 0.9374 mV; $n=13$; one way ANOVA $p=0.5384$).

b. Threshold potential for action potential firing (measured at a change of 30 V/s in the membrane potential) was similar between novel (-35 ± 1.499 mV, $n=14$), familiar saccharin (33.08 ± 2.421 mV, $n=13$) and cage controls (-33.17 ± 1.884 , $n=13$; one way ANOVA; $p=0.7316$).

c. The resting membrane potential (RMP) of layer V/VI insular neurons projection to mPFC is significantly higher following novel saccharin (-66.67 ± 1.469 mV, $n=14$) or cage controls (-68.37 ± 1.177 , $n=13$) compared to familiar saccharin (-62.18 ± 1.368 mV, $n=13$; one way ANOVA; $F(2, 37) = 6.732$, $p=0.0032$).

d. The membrane time constant of layers V/VI insular neurons projecting to mPFC was not significantly different between novel (18.4 ± 2.049 ms, $n=14$), familiar saccharin (14.27 ± 1.842 ms, $n=13$) and cage controls (18.74 ± 2.406 ms, $n=13$; one way ANOVA, $p=0.2673$).

e. Action potential amplitude was not significantly different following novel (50.53 ± 2.242 mV, $n=14$), familiar saccharin (56.4 ± 2.135 mV, $n=13$) and cage controls (53.82 ± 2.298 mV, $n=13$; one way ANOVA, $p=0.1837$).

f. Action potential half-width was significantly lower following novel saccharin (0.6136 ± 0.03875 ms, $n=14$) compared to cage controls (0.8308 ± 0.05237 ms; one way ANOVA, $F(2, 37) = 5.041$, $p=0.0116$), but not compared to familiar saccharin (0.6931 ± 0.05582 ms, $n=13$).

g. The amount of current required to elicit a single action potential (Rheobase, calculated from 10 ms current injection) is similar between novel (68.86 ± 8.601 pA, $n=14$), familiar saccharin (102.7 ± 13.68 pA, $n=13$) and cage controls (81.62 ± 10.71 pA, $n=13$; one way ANOVA, $p=0.1054$).

1249 h,i. The input resistance of layer V/VI insular neurons projecting to mPFC, calculated from
 1250 the voltage response to -150 pA hyper-polarizing current step, is significantly increased
 1251 following novel ($150.1 \pm 12.94 \text{ M}\Omega$, $n=14$) compared to familiar saccharin ($106.9 \pm 11.32 \text{ M}\Omega$,
 1252 $n=13$) but not compared to cage controls (**$128.5 \pm 10.54 \text{ M}\Omega$** ; one way ANOVA: $p=0.0428$,
 1253 $F(2, 37) = 3.436$).

1254 j. Sag ratio of layer V/VI insular neurons projecting to mPFC is similar between novel
 1255 (8.349 ± 2.134 , $n=14$), familiar saccharin (12.29 ± 1.993 , $n=13$) and cage controls (**$14.11 \pm$**
 1256 **2.752** ; one way ANOVA: **$p=0.2046$**).

1257 Error bars represents SEM.

1258 Figure 2-figure supplement 2: source data 1: Novel taste exposure increases excitability of
 1259 mPFC-projecting neurons of the inner insular layers.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1: aIC-to-mPFC inhibition does not affect taste recognition.

Daily analysis of aIC-to-mPFC inhibition during novel taste learning tests:

Day 1: one way ANOVA: $F(2, 25) = 6.953$, $p=0.004$; Day 2: one way ANOVA: $p<0.0001$, $F(2, 23) = 15.35$; Day 3: one way ANOVA: $F(2, 25) = 0.7685$, $p=0.4743$; Day 4: $p=0.0653$, $F(2, 25) = 3.050$; Day 5: one way ANOVA: $p=0.1123$, $F(2, 24) = 2.398$.

b. Experimental design for inhibiting aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons during familiar taste retrieval test: animals were i.p. injected with CNO($n=5$)/Saline($n=6$) 1 hour prior to familiar saccharin and water choice test (day 7 of the experiment).

c. Similar aversion was obtained for both CNO and saline-injected mice (CNO: $19.56 \pm 11.17\%$, Saline: $22.80 \pm 8.392\%$; unpaired t-test; $p=0.818$, $t=0.236$, $DF=9$) during familiar taste retrieval.

d. Experimental design for inhibiting aIC-to-mPFC projections during CTA retrieval. Animals were given saccharin and injected with LiCl after 40 minutes. Two days later, animals were injected with CNO/saline prior to saccharin and water choice test.

e. Similar aversion was recorded for both CNO ($87.3 \pm 8.264\%$) and saline ($91.14 \pm 4.346\%$) injected mice during CTA retrieval (unpaired t-test; $p=0.685$, $t=0.411$, $DF=20$).

f. Schematic representation of behavioral test conducted. Animals injected with aIC-to-mPFC inhibitory DREADDs underwent Quinine/water choice test 1 hour following CNO or saline injection.

g. Both CNO and saline-injected mice were similarly aversive towards quinine: t-test; $p=0.292$, $t=1.102$, $DF=12$; CNO= $92.53 \pm 3.437\%$; saline= $96.71 \pm 1.614\%$.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1-source data 1: aIC-to-mPFC inhibition does not affect taste recognition.

Figure 6-figure supplement 1: mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons are necessary for novel taste response but not for familiar taste retrieval

- a. Daily analysis of mPFC-to-aIC inhibition during novel taste learning tests:
Day2: unpaired t-test; $p=0.531$, $t=0.647$, $DF=11$; Day 3: unpaired t-test; $p=0.224$, $t=1.288$, $DF=11$; Day 4: unpaired t-test; $p=0.098$, $t=1.808$, $DF=11$; Day 5: unpaired t-test; $p=0.289$, $t=1.112$, $DF=11$;
- b. Schematic representation of behavioral test conducted. Animals injected with mPFC-to-aIC inhibitory DREADDs were familiarized to saccharin and on the seventh day underwent saccharin/water choice test 1 hour following CNO or saline injection.
- c. CNO ($11.53 \pm 3.974\%$) and saline ($13.75 \pm 5.728\%$) injected mice showed similar aversion (unpaired t-test: $p=0.772$, $t=3.018$, $DF=7$) during familiar taste retrieval.

Figure 6-figure supplement 1-source data 1: mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons are necessary for novel taste response but not for familiar taste retrieval.

Figure 6-figure supplement 2: Inhibition of aIC-to-mPFC and mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons does not affect anxiety-related behavior

a. Mice injected with aIC-to-mPC/mPFC-to-aIC inhibitory DREADDs or aIC-to-mPFC control vectors were i.p injected with CNO. 1 hour later mice were exposed to an open field box and left to explore for 10 min.

b. Cumulative duration of time spent in the center of the arena was not different between controls (151.9 ± 12.61 sec), mice undergoing aIC-to-mPFC inhibition (125.5 ± 26.58) and mice undergoing mPFC-to-aIC inhibition (104.3 ± 16.14) (one way ANOVA: $F(2, 23) = 1.689$; $p = 0.2068$)

c. Frequency for crossing the center was not different between controls (45.00 ± 2.805), aIC-to-mPFC (39.50 ± 4.175) and mPFC-to-aIC inhibition groups (39.44 ± 2.968) (one way ANOVA: $F(2, 24) = 0.9965$; $p = 0.3839$).

d. Mice injected with aIC-to-mPC/mPFC-to-aIC inhibitory DREADDs or aIC-to-mPFC control vectors were i.p injected with CNO. 1 hour later mice were exposed to a novel saccharin/water.

e. Latency towards the first saccharin exposure (controls: 8.778 ± 1.605 sec, aIC-to-mPFC: 5.088 ± 1.038 sec, mPFCto-aIC: 5.556 ± 1.425 sec; one way ANOVA: $F(2, 23) = 2.073$, $p = 0.1487$), first water exposure (controls: 5.156 ± 1.877 sec, aIC-to-mPFC: 4.875 ± 2.279 sec, mPFCto-aIC: 2.444 ± 0.8992 sec; one way ANOVA: $F(2, 23) = 0.7531$, $p = 0.0744$), second saccharin exposure (controls: 1.778 ± 1.038 sec, aIC-to-mPFC: 1.375 ± 0.7545 sec, mPFC-to-aIC: 1.889 ± 0.6334 sec; one way ANOVA: $F(2, 23) = 0.1014$, $p = 0.9040$) and second water exposure (controls: 1.000 ± 0.5000 sec, aIC-to-mPFC: 2.000 ± 1.254 sec, mPFC-to-aIC: 2.833 ± 1.007 sec; one way ANOVA: $F(2, 23) = 0.9742$, $p = 0.4076$) was similar among the three groups.

Figure 6-figure supplement 2- source data 1: Inhibition of aIC-to-mPFC and mPFC-to-aIC projecting neurons does not affect anxiety-related behavior.

Table 1: Summary of intrinsic properties of aIC-to-mPFC projecting neurons following novel or familiar taste experience.

Groups	RMP (mV)	mAHP (mV)	Input resistance (MΩ)	Sag ratio (%)	Time constants (ms)	AP thresh (mV)	AP Amp (mV)	AP half- width (ms)	Rheobase (pA)
Layer 2/3 IC-mPFC (F.Sacc)	-72.26 ± 2.02 (10)	-4.223 ± 0.8426 (10)	128.9 ± 15.02 (10)	6.96 ±1.633 (10)	21.89 ± 2.855 (10)	-30.2 ± 1.845 (10)	52.61 ± 3.211 (10)	0.696 ± 0.04483 (10)	118.2 ± 31.24 (10)
Layer 2/3 IC-mPFC (Novel)	-71.65 ± 1.504 (10)	-3.509 ± 0.8405 (10)	128.6 ± 9.645 (10)	5.631 ±1.298 (10)	19.63 ± 2.623 (10)	-33.92 ± 1.109 (10)	55.06 ± 2.263 (10)	0.703 ± 0.03798 (10)	119.9 ± 16.23 (10)
Layer 5/6 IC-mPFC (F.Sacc)	-62.18 ± 1.368 (13) *	-4.14 ± 0.6927 (13)	106.9 ± 11.32 (13) *	12.29 ± 1.993 (13)	14.27 ± 1.842 (13)	-33.08 ± 2.421 (13)	56.4 ± 2.135 (13)	0.6931 ± 0.05582 (13)	102.7 ± 13.68 (13) *
Layer 5/6 IC-mPFC (Novel)	-67.21 ± 1.215 (14)	-5.163 ± 0.916 (14)	150.1 ± 12.94 (14)	8.349 ± 2.134 (14)	18.4 ± 2.049 (14)	-35 ± 1.499 (14)	50.53 ± 2.242 (14)	0.6136 ± 0.03875 (14)	68.86 ± 8.601 (14)
Layer 5/6 IC-mPFC (Cage controls)	-68.37 ± 1.177 (13) ++	-3.359 ± 0.9374 (13)	128.5 ± 10.54 (13)	14.11 ± 2.752 (13)	18.74 ± 2.406 (13)	-33.17 ± 1.884 (13)	53.82 ± 2.298 (13)	0.8308 ± 0.05237 (13) \$	81.62 ± 10.71 (13)

*=P<0.05, aIC-to-mPFC L5/6 novel vs familiar saccharin. ++ = P<0.01 aIC-to-mPFC L5/6 cage control vs familiar saccharin. \$=P<0.05 aIC-to-mPFC L5/6 novel saccharin vs cage controls.

a. Day 1 Day 2-7 Day 8
 Water deprivation Saccharin / Water Saccharin 20 min. **Perfusion**

Legend: Novel Saccharin (blue), Familiar Saccharin (green)

b. retroAAV2-hSyn1-chl-mCherry-WPRE-SV40p(A)

d.

Scale bars: 20 mV and 50 ms, 150 pA step

* Novel vs Familiar
Novel vs Cage controls

a. Day 1 Day 2-7 Day 8

Water deprivation Saccharin / Water Saccharin 20 min. Perfusion

b. Total mPFC

■ Novel saccharin
■ Familiar saccharin

c. Layers 1-3

d. Layers 4-6

e. Cg1

f. PrL

g. InfrL

h.

i.

j.

■ Novel saccharin
■ Familiar saccharin

k. Layers 1-3

l. Layers 4-6

m.

n.

o.

a.

b.

c.

d.

e.

a.

b.

c.

d. Expression of neophobia

e.

Attenuation of neophobia

b.

#aIC-to-mPFC projections

mPFC-to-aIC projections

b.

c.

d.

e.

f.

g.