α -Synuclein polymorphism determines oligodendroglial dysfunction

Benedikt Frieg^{1#}, James A. Geraets^{1#}, Timo Strohäker², Christian Dienemann³, Panagiota Mavroei⁴, Byung Chul Jung^{5,+}, Woojin S. Kim^{6,7}, Seung-Jae Lee⁵, Maria Xilouri⁴, Markus Zweckstetter^{2,8,*}, and Gunnar F. Schröder^{1,9,*}

¹ Institute of Biological Information Processing (IBI-7: Structural Biochemistry), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany.

² German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Von-Siebold-Str. 3a, 37075 Göttingen, Germany.

³ Department of Molecular Biology, Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Am Faßberg 11, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

⁴ Center of Clinical, Experimental Surgery, & Translational Research, Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens (BRFAA) 4, Soranou Efessiou Street, Athens 11527, Greece.

⁵ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Neuroscience Research Institute, College of Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul 03080, Korea.

⁶ Brain and Mind Centre and School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

⁷ School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales & Neuroscience Research Australia, Randwick NSW 2031, Australia.

⁸ Department for NMR-based Structural Biology, Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Am Faßberg 11, 37077 Göttingen, Germany.

⁹ Physics Department, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

⁺present address: Nutritional Sciences and Toxicology Department, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

[#] both authors contributed equally to this work

^{*} corresponding authors: Gunnar F. Schröder (gu.schroeder@fz-juelich.de) and Markus Zweckstetter (mazw@nmr.mpibpc.mpg.de).

Abstract

Synucleinopathies, such as Parkinson's disease (PD) and Multiple System Atrophy (MSA) are progressive and unremitting neurological diseases. For both PD and MSA, α -synuclein fibril inclusions inside brain cells are neuropathological hallmarks. In addition, amplification of α -synuclein fibrils from body fluids is a potential biomarker distinguishing PD from MSA. However, little is known about the structure of α -synuclein fibrils amplified from human samples and its connection to α -synuclein fibril structure in the human brain. Here we amplified α -synuclein fibrils from PD and MSA brain tissue, characterized its seeding potential in oligodendroglia, and determined the 3D structures by cryo-electron microscopy. We show that the α -synuclein fibrils from a MSA patient are more potent in recruiting the endogenous α -synuclein and evoking a redistribution of TPPP/p25 α protein in mouse primary oligodendroglial cultures compared to those amplified from a PD patient. Cryo-electron microscopy shows that the PD- and MSA-amplified α -synuclein fibrils share a similar protofilament fold but differ in their inter-protofilament interface. The structures of the brain-tissue amplified α -synuclein fibrils are also similar to other *in vitro* and *ex vivo* α -synuclein fibrils. Together with published data, our results suggest that α Syn fibrils differ between PD and MSA in their quaternary arrangement and could further vary between different forms of PD and MSA.

Introduction

α -synucleinopathies are neurodegenerative diseases that feature the misfolding and abnormal aggregation of the presynaptic protein α -synuclein (α Syn) into megadalton-size inclusion bodies within the brain. Though sharing a similar molecular mechanism of seeding and assembly, the etiology and phenotype of the α -synucleinopathies are different, as are the roles of α Syn as both an effector of neurotoxicity and as a mediator of the pathogenicity and disease progression. These differences are manifest in macroscopic structural differences in the deposited aggregates (Peng et al., 2018; Strohäker et al., 2019), ascribed to different conformational polymorphs of self-propagating α Syn (Strohäker et al., 2019). *In vitro*-amplified polymorphs have been shown to differ in their seeding and self-propagation behavior *in vivo*, inducing polymorph-specific pathology and neurotoxic phenotypes (De Giorgi et al., 2020; Holec & Woerman, 2020; Lau et al., 2020; Lempriere, 2020; Strohäker et al., 2019; Suzuki et al., 2020; Van der Perren et al., 2020)

The hallmarks of Parkinson's disease (PD) and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) are the presence of Lewy bodies within neurons, or Lewy neurites, filled with long α Syn filaments. For multiple system atrophy (MSA), α Syn is predominantly deposited in inclusions within oligodendrocytes, with limited pathology in neurons. α Syn also is the etiological agent of MSA and has been shown to directly transmit and self-propagate misfolding when transferred to transgenic mice or cell cultures. However, for the Lewy body diseases PD and DLB, α Syn has not been shown to transmit disease *in vitro* and *in vivo* directly (Recasens, Ulusoy, Kahle, Di Monte, & Dehay, 2018; Woerman et al., 2015). Besides the spreading of amyloid fibrils, smaller α Syn oligomers play an important role in the pathogenesis and progression of PD, DLB, and MSA (Chiti & Dobson, 2017; Roberts & Brown, 2015).

Monomeric α Syn is an intrinsically disordered, highly flexible protein in solution that exchanges between many different conformations. Based on its amino acid composition, three distinct regions can be distinguished: a structurally heterogeneous amphipathic N-terminal domain (aa 1–60), a more hydrophobic central core region (aa 61–95), and an acidic C-terminus (aa 96–140) (Der-Sarkissian, Jao, Chen, & Langen, 2003). Much of the N-terminal and central regions consist of seven imperfect repeats, with the consensus sequence KTK(E/Q)GV. These repeats form a lipid-binding N-terminal helix (Davidson, Jonas, Clayton, & George, 1998). The hydrophobic non- β -amyloid component neighbors this in the central region (aa 61–95), of which the inclusion of 12aa is both necessary and sufficient for fibrils to form (aa 71–82).

Several α Syn fibril polymorph structures have been determined. Notably, there are structural differences between the *in vitro* aggregated fibrils and the fibrils extracted from patient tissue, even if *ex vivo* seeds are used for fibril propagation, which affects the extended folds and asymmetric packing of the protofilaments (Lövestam et al., 2021). Besides calling into question the continued use of non-brain-amplified α Syn to study PD and MSA, there is the broader consideration that additional factors are underpinning the process of fibrilization *in vivo*. In addition, these structural studies have suggested that a consensus protofilament fold, which consists of a common protofilament core but with different inter-protofilament interfaces and terminal arms, might be conserved across α Syn fibril polymorphs derived from different sources (Lövestam et al., 2021; Schweighauser et al., 2020). This raises the question of what factors mediate the assembly of the common α Syn protofilament core *in vivo* and control their overall arrangement within the fibril. Posttranslational modifications such as phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and nitration are seen in filamentous α Syn *in vivo*, and have been seen to play roles in the propagation of misfolding and aggregation (Sorrentino and Giasson, 2020), as does the proteolytic truncation of α Syn, particularly of the C-terminus (Games et al., 2014). Similarly, alternative splicing of the *SNCA* gene encoding for α Syn gives rise to four isoforms, which all have different propensities for aggregation (Beyer & Ariza, 2013). Synucleopathies manifest with pathognomonic assemblies in distinct cell types, where cellular factors differ; the physiological expression of α Syn varies in different brain regions and other cell types (Courte et al., 2020; Taguchi, Watanabe, Tsujimura, & Tanaka, 2016). In particular, differences between the cellular milieus of oligodendrocytes compared with other brain cells may be crucial for MSA pathogenesis and progression. Hence, specific factors within oligodendrocytes might play a role in imparting an MSA-amplified conformation on α Syn fibrils and inducing their characteristic direct self-propagation (Peng et al., 2018).

In addition to the putative structural differences attributed to different α -synucleinopathies it also appears that α Syn can adopt a range of structural polymorphs within a single disease (Schweighauser et al., 2020), though this might vary between clinical cases. Interestingly there could be more heterogeneity among PD than MSA fibrils, which may be linked to the greater variety of disease phenotypes in PD (Klingstedt et al., 2019; Strohäker et al., 2019). Differences in the structure of α Syn fibrils could account for the differing pathogenesis and disease progression by being a result of different post-transformational modifications, in particular phosphorylation and ubiquitination (Suzuki et al., 2020), where for example, it has been seen that resulting differences in the C-terminus led to differential inhibition of proteasome activity.

Amplification of protein aggregates by protein misfolding cyclic amplification (PMCA) and RT-QuIC can be used to detect α Syn aggregates in cerebrospinal fluid (Fairfoul et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2019; Shahnawaz et al., 2017) and tissue lysates (Becker et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2017; Sano et al., 2018) with high sensitivity and specificity. Remarkably, work by Shahnawaz *et al.* suggests that PD and MSA might be distinguished using α Syn from the cerebrospinal fluid as seed and recombinant α Syn as the substrate for protein aggregate amplification (Shahnawaz et al., 2020).

To gain insight into the molecular structure of α Syn aggregates associated with PD and MSA, we amplified α Syn fibrils from brain extracts of patients pathologically confirmed with PD and MSA, evaluated their seeding potential in oligodendroglia and solved their cryo-EM structures. The structures of PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils reveal several similarities and dissimilarities, allowing discrimination between PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils. Notably, seeded recombinant α Syn fibrils partially replicate the structure of fibrils found in MSA patients. Still, the influence of additional molecules or posttranslational modifications of α Syn may be required for the entire replication.

Results

MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils are more active in oligodendroglia than PD-amplified fibrils

We seeded fibril formation of recombinant α Syn through the addition of PMCA-products, which were previously generated from the homogenized brain tissue of a PD and a MSA patient (PD and MSA patient #1 in (Strohäker et al., 2019)). Hydrogen-deuterium exchange coupled to NMR spectroscopy as well as fluorescence dye binding had shown that the brain-tissue amplified α Syn fibrils (further termed PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils) differ in their structural properties (Strohäker et al., 2019).

To gain insight into potential differences in the cellular activity of these PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils, we added each fibril sample to differentiated murine primary oligodendroglial cultures. The distinct profiles of PD- and MSA-amplified fibril strains were further validated by the differential pathology-related responses observed in these cultures upon their inoculation with the patient amplified fibrils. In particular, our results demonstrate that MSA-amplified fibrils display higher potency in seeding the endogenous oligodendroglial α Syn and promoting the redistribution of the oligodendroglial-specific phosphoprotein TPPP/p25 α from the myelin sheath to the cell soma, as compared to PD-amplified fibrils (**Figure 1**). Both events are considered to play an important role in the cascade of events leading to oligodendroglial dysfunction and neuronal demise underlying MSA pathology.

Figure 1: Human αSyn fibrils amplified from a MSA patient are more potent in recruiting the endogenous oligodendroglial αSyn and evoking a redistribution of TPPP/p25α protein in mouse primary oligodendroglial cultures, compared to those amplified from a PD patient.

Both MSA- and PD-brain derived fibrils lead to the formation of pathological αSyn species (oxidized/nitrated αSyn, SYN303 ab). (A-B) Representative immunofluorescence images of mouse primary oligodendrocytes treated with 0.5 μg human MSA or PD fibril strains for 48 h (or PBS as control) using antibodies against TPPP/p25α (red), endogenous rodent αSyn (D37A6 antibody, green), human αSyn (LB509 antibody, gray in A), oxidized/nitrated αSyn (SYN303 antibody, gray in B) and DAPI (shown in blue) staining as nuclear marker. Scale bar: 25 μm. (C) Quantification of the endogenous rodent αSyn (upper left), human αSyn (upper right), TPPP/p25α (lower left) and oxidized/nitrated αSyn (lower right) protein levels in mouse primary oligodendrocytes, measured as μm² area surface/cell following their treatment with 0.5 μg MSA- or PD-brain derived fibrils for 48 h. Data are expressed as the mean ± SE of three independent experiments with duplicate samples/condition within each experiment; *p<0.05; **p<0.01, by Student's unpaired *t* test.

α Syn fibrils reveal a common protofilament fold but a distinct inter-protofilament interface

To elucidate why primary oligodendroglia respond differently to PD- and MSA-amplified fibrils, we used cryo-EM and solved the 3D structure of the same samples of brain-tissue amplified α Syn fibrils, which showed the differential response in the oligodendroglia. Visual inspection of the micrographs revealed the presence of a single main fibril in both cases, with measured crossover distances of ~ 1000 Å and ~ 1200 Å for PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils, respectively (**Figure 2A, B, Figure S1**), suggesting for two distinct fibril polymorphs specific for either disease. As to MSA-amplified fibrils, we also found non-twisted fibrils (**Figure S1**), which were considered preparation artifacts from interactions with the air-water interface, as suggested previously (Lövestam et al., 2021).

The 3D structures of PD and MSA-amplified fibrils were determined to a resolution of 3.3 Å and 3.0 Å, respectively, based on the gold-standard Fourier shell correlation 0.143 criterion (**Table 1, Figure 2, and Figure S2**). In the case of the MSA-amplified fibril, however, the local resolution estimation revealed a high heterogeneity with a resolution of ~ 2.9 Å in the inter-protofilament interface and >4.0 Å at the periphery of the fibril (**Figure S3**). Indeed, the side-chain densities at the periphery of the fibril are not well resolved. For the PD-amplified fibril, by contrast, the local resolution estimates are more homogenous and, hence, the reconstructed map revealed clear side-chain densities. We, thus, assume that the overall fold of MSA-amplified fibril tends to be more flexible compared to the PD-amplified fibril. Still, both reconstructed maps show a clear β -strand separation along the helical axis and reveal that two intertwined protofilaments form both types of fibrils (**Figure 2A, B**). For PD-amplified fibrils, the protofilaments are related by C2 symmetry with a helical rise of 4.68 Å and, assuming left-twisting handedness, a helical twist of -0.78° . Interestingly, both protofilaments form a cavity of ~ 14 Å in diameter (**Figure 2A**). By contrast, in MSA-amplified fibrils, the protofilaments are related by an approximate 2_1 screw symmetry with a helical rise of 2.37 Å and twist of 179.66° , again assuming left-twisting handedness. Thus, the refined crossover distances are in excellent agreement with the measurements from the micrographs. In both cases, the fibril width is ~ 100 Å. Due to the lack of twist, we were not successful at solving the 3D structure of the non-twisted MSA-amplified fibrils (Lövestam et al., 2021; Schweighauser et al., 2020). Local resolution estimation revealed a resolution of ~ 2.9 Å in the

inter-protofilament interface and ~ 4.0 Å at the periphery of the fibril (**Figure S3**). Hence, the reconstructed maps also show apparent side-chain densities. Interestingly, both amplified α Syn fibrils reveal several similarities and dissimilarities, allowing clear distinction between both types of fibrils.

PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils have a highly similar protofilament fold, with differences at their N-terminus and inter-protofilament interface. The PD-amplified protofilament extends from G14 to K96 and is composed of eight β -sheets, from which $\beta 2$ to $\beta 8$ are connected by a continuous backbone chain and $\beta 2/\beta 3$, $\beta 4/\beta 5$, and $\beta 6/\beta 7$ form a triple-stacked *L*-shaped core (**Figure 3C, D**). No apparent densities were found for the N-terminus from M1 to E13, the region from V26 to E35, and the C-terminus beyond K96, suggesting that these regions are more flexible. Two salt-bridges between K45 and E57' and between E57 and K45', harbored on two opposite subunits *i* and *i'* form the inter-protofilament interface (**Figure 3C**). The MSA-amplified protofilament extends from G36 to G93 and is composed of seven β -sheets, creating a continuous backbone chain and, similar to PD-amplified fibrils, the β -sheets form the same *L*-shaped core (**Figure 3A, B**). In contrast to PD-amplified fibrils, no backbone densities were found for the first 38 amino acids, similar to other structures of α Syn lacking the same region (Boyer et al., 2020). The inter-protofilament interface is formed by a sophisticated salt-bridge network, in which K45 from subunit *i* interacts with E46 on subunit *i+1* and E46' on subunit *i'+1* (**Figure 3C**). Additionally, E46 on subunit *i* interacts with K45' from subunit *i'*. The intact integrity of the network explains why the subunits are arranged in a staggered manner, adopting an approximate 2_1 screw symmetry. Hence, PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils share the same protofilament fold, but the inter-protofilament interface and helical arrangement are different, which, in turn, determine additional structural properties.

For both types of fibrils, we found pronounced discrepancies in the electrostatic surface potential. The PD-amplified fibril is markedly positively charged on the exterior surface, but the inter-protofilament cavity, interestingly, is oppositely charged (**Figure 3D, Figure S4**). The latter is impressive as the amino acids forming the cavity are, except from E46 and E57, not negatively charged. The same region is positively charged in the MSA-amplified fibril. Hence, the global distribution of the surface charges and the negatively charged cavity, in particular, likely results from the discriminative inter-protofilament interface. Although the exterior surface of the MSA-amplified fibril is also positively charged, the magnitude is reduced, particularly at the now almost neutral C-terminus, which the absence of the N-terminus may explain. Taken all together, PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils share the same protofila-

ment fold, but the inter-protofilament interface and helical arrangement are different. The structural differences lead to pronounced differences in the fibril electrostatic interactions, which, in turn, may evoke the observed aberrant cellular responses associated with oligodendroglia dysfunction.

Table 1. Cryo-EM structure determination statistics.

	PD-amplified α Syn	MSA-amplified α Syn
Data collection		
Microscope	Titan Krios G2	Titan Krios G2
Voltage [keV]	300	300
Detector	K3	K3
Magnification	81,000	81,000
Pixel size [$\text{\AA}$]	1.05	1.05
Defocus range [μm]	-0.7 to -2.9	-0.7 to -2.9
Exposure time [s/frame]	2.2	2.2
Number of frames	40	40
Total dose [$\text{e}^-/\text{\AA}^2$]	42.74 (1.07 $\text{e}^-/\text{\AA}^2/\text{frame}$)	43.05 (1.08 $\text{e}^-/\text{\AA}^2/\text{frame}$)
Reconstruction		
Micrographs	6,780	4,474
Box width [pixels]	200 (1.05 $\text{\AA}/\text{pixel}$)	500 (2.10 $\text{\AA}/\text{pixel}$) 250 (1.05 $\text{\AA}/\text{pixel}$)
Inter-box distance [pixels]	18	50
crYOLO ^a picked segments (no.)	2,223,091	1,848,677
Final segments [no.]	23,937	25,692
Final resolution [$\text{\AA}$] (FSC=0.143)	3.30	3.02
Applied map sharpening B-factor [$\text{\AA}^2$]	-112.5	-101.8
Symmetry imposed	C2	C1
Helical rise [$\text{\AA}$]	4.68	2.37
Helical twist [$^\circ$]	-0.78	179.66

^a Ref. (Wagner et al., 2019)

Figure 2: Cryo-EM structures of amplified α Syn fibrils.

A: The cryo-EM structures of amplified α Syn fibrils from PD (left) and MSA (right) brain. From the outside to the inside, the panels show extracts from a representative micrographs with amplified fibrils, a full cross over (180° turn) of the reconstructed fibril, with two protofilaments colored in different shades of blue (in the case of PD) or green (in the case of MSA), and semitransparent surfaces overlaid with their atomic models viewed from different angles. As to the MSA fibril, both protofilaments arrange in an approximate 2_1 screw symmetry. **B:** Amino acid sequence of α Syn (from G14 to K96; based on UniProt: P37840) with a schematic depiction of the secondary structure of the protofilament fold. β -strands are shown as arrows and numbered from $\beta 1$ to $\beta 8$ (for PD) or $\beta 7$ (for MSA), respectively. The region from V26 to E35 was not resolved (indicated by a dashed line). **C:** Top view onto two opposite subunits of the reconstructed PD (left; colored in shades of blue) and MSA (right; colored in shades of green). One protofilament is shown as mesh-stick representation, the other schematically depicted by its secondary structure matching the assignment in **B**. **D:** Close up view of the protofilament interface, with interface amino acids shown as stick models. **E:** The calculated electrostatic potential was mapped onto the surface of one protofilament and colored according to the color scale on the left. The central subunits of the other protofilament are shown as cartoon-model. Cross sections are shown as surface-cartoon model with amino acids forming the central negatively charged cavity in PD-amplified α Syn (from K45 to E57) labeled explicitly.

Amplified α Syn fibrils reveal similarities to *in vitro* aggregated α Syn

The structure of our PD and MSA-amplified fibrils are highly similar to those reported for *in vitro* aggregated α Syn. We compared our α Syn structures to previously solved structures and used the C α root mean square deviation (C α RMSD) to measure structural similarity. Our PD-amplified α Syn structure is almost identical to the structure identified for *in vitro* aggregated recombinant wild-type α Syn, previously referred to as polymorph 2A (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019) (**Figure 3A**). C α RMSDs are 1.03 Å and 1.33 Å, considering one or both protofilaments, respectively (**Table S1**), suggesting only minor structural deviations at the C-terminus from T92 to K96 (**Figure 3A**). Although the protofilament fold and interprotofilament interface are almost identical, the helical rise and twist are different (**Table S1**), resulting in a lateral displacement of the protofilaments relative to each other (**Figure 3A**). We assume that variations in the preparation protocols, e.g., seeded versus *de novo* assembly, denote a credible source for this discrepancy. However, the electrostatic surface potential of polymorph 2A is indistinguishable from our PD-amplified α Syn (**Figure S4**), corroborating our assumption that the positively charged exterior surface and the negatively charged interprotofilament interface is the result of the helical arrangement.

Our MSA-amplified α Syn structure is similar to the structure identified for *in vitro* aggregated recombinant wild-type α Syn, previously referred to as polymorph 2B (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019) (**Figure 3A**). C α RMSDs are 1.47 Å and 1.74 Å, considering the resolved amino acids G36 to G93 of one or both protofilaments, respectively (**Table S2**), suggesting minor structural deviations. In contrast to polymorph 2B, the N-terminal region from

G14 to G25 is not visible in the MSA-amplified structure, suggesting that the N-terminus tends to be more flexible. Although the protofilament fold and inter-protofilament interface are identical, helical rise and twist are different (**Table S2**), similar to what we found in the PD-amplified fibrils. The stabilized N-terminus in polymorph 2B, however, enhances the electrostatic surface potential compared to our MSA-amplified fibril (**Figure S4**). Interestingly, the charge distribution on the exterior surfaces is similar for polymorphs 2A and 2B (**Figure S4**), suggesting that the fold of the protofilament, which is identical in polymorphs 2A and 2B, determines the charge distribution on exterior surfaces.

Both of our amplified α Syn structures are also very similar to α Syn structures amplified from brain extracts of MSA patients (Lövestam et al., 2021) (**Table S1, S2**). Interestingly, superimposing one protofilament strand yields C α RMSDs < 2 Å, but superimposing two opposite protofilament strands yields C α RMSDs > 10 Å, suggesting that the global symmetry, rise, twist, and, thus, helical organization are different (**Table S1, S2**). Hence, our PD- and MSA-amplified structures show a similar protofilament fold to other *in vitro* aggregated and amplified α Syn, but the global helical organization of the fibrils are distinct.

Finally, the MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils share a similar core as α Syn fibrils directly extracted from brain tissue of MSA patients (Schweighauser et al., 2020). In detail, superimposing the MSA-amplified structure onto the *ex vivo* structure revealed that the triple-stacked L-shape is present in both structures (**Figure 3B**). Thus, *in vitro* amplification using brain extracts as seeds and recombinant α Syn as substrate enables replicating α Syn structures found brain lysates of MSA patients partially.

Figure 3: Amplified α Syn fibrils reveal a common protofilament fold.

A: Overlay of amplified α Syn structures onto two opposite subunits extracted from recombinant *in vitro* aggregated α Syn fibrils with PDB IDs 6SSX and 6SST (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019). The arrows indicated the relative shift between *in vitro* α Syn fibrils and the amplified α Syn fibrils (colored in light colores) after superimposing the Ca atoms of the opposite subunits (colored in dark colors). **B:** Overlay of amplified α Syn structures onto α Syn structures extracted from the brains of individuals with MSA with PDB IDs 6XYO, 6XYP, and 6XYQ (Schweighauser et al., 2020). The protofilaments are colored in different shades of green, blue, and yellow, with amino acids from subunit B labeled with an additional prime.

Discussion

Aggregation of α Syn is the pathological hallmark of both PD and MSA (Goedert, 2015; Goedert, Masuda-Suzukake, & Falcon, 2017). Over recent years, α Syn fibrils prepared in various ways have been suggested as potential toxic species with clinical relevance for PD and MSA (Bousset et al., 2013; Peelaerts et al., 2015). A firm understanding of how α Syn fibrils associated with PD or MSA hamper cellular function, however, has remained elusive. Here we amplified α Syn fibrils from brain extracts of patients pathologically confirmed with PD or MSA, evaluated their potential to seed α Syn-related pathology in oligodendrocytes, and determined their 3D structures by cryo-EM. Our results suggest that MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils are more potent in engendering pathological α Syn assemblies within oligodendroglia than PD-amplified fibrils (**Figure 1**), which is likely the consequence of a different α Syn polymorph.

The 3D-structures of PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils revealed major differences between both types in their inter-protofilament interfaces, their adopted helical arrangement, and the lacking N-terminal region in MSA-amplified α Syn (**Figure 2**). Interestingly, almost identical α Syn structures were previously solved, namely polymorphs 2A and 2B, originating from *in vitro* aggregated, recombinant α Syn (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019) (**Figure 3**). However, both polymorphs 2A and 2B were observed next to each other and originate from an identical preparation (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019), suggesting that under particular conditions, both polymorphs may denote thermodynamically stable α Syn aggregates. In the present study, we also used recombinant α Syn as the substrate for PMCA. Still, we obtained a single main polymorph in independent and separated experiments, either with seeds from PD or MSA diagnosed brains (**Figure 2**). PMCA is based on the propensity that prions and prion-like proteins act like seeds and replicate in an autocatalytic process, thereby converting recombinant protein as a substrate into amyloid fibrils. Indeed, the PMCA technique was successful in amplifying and detecting misfolded prion proteins implicated in prion diseases (Saa, Castilla, & Soto, 2006; Saborio, Permanne, & Soto, 2001), and several lines of evidence suggest prion-like features also for α Syn (Goedert et al., 2017; Ma, Gao, Wang, & Xie, 2019; Steiner, Quansah, & Brundin, 2018). Considering that the identical and extensively validated PMCA procedure was used to amplify α Syn seeds from either PD or MSA (Strohäker et al., 2019) yields different α Syn structures, suggests that the brain homogenates must contain structurally different α Syn seeds that served as the starting point for amplification leading to the herein described fibrils.

Remarkably, we found that the PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils have different activity when added to mouse primary oligodendroglial cultures: the MSA-amplified fibrils are more potent in recruiting the endogenous oligodendroglial α Syn and evoking a redistribution of TPPP/p25 α protein when compared to the PD-amplified fibrils (**Figure 1**). Both events are characteristic of MSA (Lee, Ricarte, Ortiz, & Lee, 2019). Thus, under physiological conditions, TPPP/p25 α is predominant in myelin sheaths (Lehotzky et al., 2010), but under MSA-related pathological conditions, TPPP/p25 α relocates to the oligodendrocyte soma (Song et al., 2007). Interestingly, TPPP/p25 α not only co-localizes with filamentous α Syn (Kovacs et al., 2004) but, adding to this, also fosters further aggregation of α Syn into filamentous aggregates (Lindersson et al., 2005). The C-terminus of α Syn fibrils has been identified as the binding epitope for TPPP/p25 α (Ferreira et al., 2021; Lindersson et al., 2005; Szunyogh, Olah, Szenasi, Szabo, & Ovadi, 2015), but an atomic picture of how TPPP/p25 α binds to filamentous α Syn has not been realized yet. Considering the wide range of α Syn fibril polymorphism (**Figure S5**) and that neither in our (**Figure 2**) nor any structurally related fibril (**Figure 3A**; **Table S1, S2**) the C-terminus was resolved, clarification of the full-length α Syn structure under pathological conditions might be necessary to elucidate the underlying structure-activity relationship fully.

Alternatively, the distinct electrostatic surface potentials that discriminate strongly between PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn (**Figure 2E**) also denote a credible source triggering different cellular responses. For example, the reduced electrostatic interactions of the MSA-amplified compared to the PD-amplified α Syn may foster the recruitment of the endogenous oligodendroglial α Syn (**Figure 1**). Such recruitment processes are prerequisites for further fibril elongation, for which hydrophobic interactions of the non- β -amyloid component *NAC* have been identified as essential (Ueda et al., 1993). One might assume that the hydrophobic interactions are weakened under the influence of pronounced electrostatic surface potentials, explaining why our MSA-amplified structure is more potent in endogenous oligodendroglial α Syn recruitment. However, although oligodendrocytes have been shown to internalize α Syn fibrils, oligodendrocytes internalize α Syn monomers and oligomers to a much greater extent (Reyes et al., 2014), suggesting that a direct, intracellular interaction between TPPP/p25 α and α Syn fibrils is not necessarily the leading source for promoting TPPP/p25 α redistribution. Alternatively, and beyond the scope of this study, one might also consider an indirect effect of α Syn fibrils on TPPP/p25 α redistribution and co-localization.

For both amplified fibrils, major differences are found at the N-terminus and in the interprotofilament interface (**Figure 2**). Assuming that PMCA rigorously amplifies the preformed

seeds suggests that the brain homogenates may contain α Syn seeds likely specific for PD or MSA. A fundamental difference between both synucleinopathies is that in the case of PD high concentration of α Syn aggregates is found in dopaminergic neurons, whereas MSA, by contrast, is associated with α Syn inclusions within oligodendrocytes (Alafuzoff & Hartikainen, 2017; Spillantini & Goedert, 2000). Peng *et al.* showed that the intracellular environments of either neurons and oligodendrocytes determine how the same misfolded α Syn seeds develop into different aggregates (Peng *et al.*, 2018). While dopaminergic neurons are directly associated with reward-motivated behavior and motor control, oligodendrocytes are essential for the long-distance saltatory conduction of neuronal impulses, as they enwrap central nervous system axons with the myelin sheath, a lipid enriched multilayer membrane (Montani, 2020; Poitelon, Kopec, & Belin, 2020). Although the lipid content and composition of oligodendrocytes is still unknown, these numbers are well known for the myelin sheath (Norton & Poduslo, 1973; O'Brien, Sampson, & Stern, 1967), which is extremely rich in lipids (~ 80% of its dry weight) (Montani, 2020; Poitelon *et al.*, 2020). Previous studies revealed the α Syn N-terminus is essential for lipid-binding (Davidson *et al.*, 1998) and fatty acid-induced oligomerization (Karube *et al.*, 2008). Further biophysical experiments suggest that α Syn-lipid interactions are predominantly driven by electrostatic interactions between charged N-terminal residues and the charged head groups of phospholipids (Jo, McLaurin, Yip, St George-Hyslop, & Fraser, 2000), which comprise ~26% of all lipids found in central nervous system myelin (Norton & Poduslo, 1973). Thus, one might assume that the α Syn N-terminus interacts with these phospholipids during fibril aggregation and seed formation in oligodendrocytes, which, in turn, may hamper the stabilization of the folded N-terminus in these cells. Indeed, previous site-directed spin labeling and electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy also revealed a structurally heterogeneous N-terminus (aa 1 - 30) in α Syn fibrils (Der Sarkissian *et al.*, 2003). Although no lipids were present during *in vitro* amplification (Strohäker *et al.*, 2019), PMCA rigorously replicates the visible seeds, in which the N-terminus was apparently not properly stabilized. This assumption is further corroborated by our local resolution estimates. The reconstructed densities of the MSA-amplified α Syn suggest a high local resolution at the inter-protofilament interface. Still, the estimated resolution gets lower towards the periphery of the fibril (**Figure S3**), meaning a higher degree of structural flexibility in these particular regions.

Although it is reasonable to assume that PMCA rigorously amplifies the α Syn seeds, a recent study suggests that this is not necessarily the case (Lövestam *et al.*, 2021). Initially, the authors determined the atomic structures of α Syn fibrils extracted from the putamen of MSA-

diagnosed patients (Schweighauser et al., 2020). These preparations were subsequently used for *in vitro* seeded assembly of recombinant α Syn using PMCA. While the obtained structures are similar to our MSA-amplified structure, they are at first glance quite different from those of the *ex vivo* seeds (Lövestam et al., 2021). However, it is remarkable that the amplified and *ex vivo* protofilament structures can in fact be partially aligned in an anti-parallel arrangement at least within a triple-stacked L-shape core region comprising about 40 residues (**Figure 3B**). Even though the *ex vivo* structures are asymmetrical (Schweighauser et al., 2020) (**Figure S6**), which might be due to additional co-solutes bound in their protofilament interface, it is conceivable that this matching 40 residue core region acts as the dominant seed and is therefore replicated in the amplified structures. (Lövestam et al., 2021; Schweighauser et al., 2020)

It should be noted that any discrepancies between our amplified fibril structures and those determined by Lövestam et al. (Lövestam et al., 2021) could be due to the fact that we extracted α Syn from the human amygdala (Strohäker et al., 2019), while Schweighauser *et al.* extracted α Syn from putamen (Schweighauser et al., 2020). Furthermore, considering that several different folds for α Syn fibrils are known (**Figure S5, Table S3**), one might speculate that different brain regions might accumulate different dominant α Syn polymorphs. Still, additional analyses are required to corroborate this assumption more firmly. In sum, *in vitro* amplification using brain extracts as seeds and recombinant α Syn as substrate enables partial replication of α Syn assemblies found brain lysates of MSA patients.

Taken all together, we report two α Syn structures extracted and amplified from brain tissue of PD- and MSA-confirmed individuals. Both types of α Syn fibrils share the same protofilament fold and reveal differences in their inter-protofilament interfaces, their adopted helical arrangement, and at the N-terminal region, allowing discrimination between PD- and MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils. Furthermore, the MSA-amplified α Syn fibrils bear an increased potency to seed α Syn-related pathology and to evoke p25 α re-distribution, events underlying oligodendroglial dysfunction. Thus, our observations are consistent with the one disease-one strain hypothesis, assuming a unique connection between the clinical disease presentation and a single, defined structure of α Syn fibril structure. However, it is out of the question that more *in vitro* amplified and *ex vivo* α Syn structures must be determined at high resolution. Still, it is equally essential to investigate the functional implications of those fibrils in more detail. The combination of functional data with structural insights as pursued in the current study bears enormous potential and opens up a new avenue to understand the sophisticated pathologic mechanisms of α -synucleinopathies.

Materials & Methods

Amplification of α Syn fibrils from PMCA-products

Ethics approval for the study of brain tissue was from the University of New South Wales Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number: HC16568). α Syn aggregates used in the current study had been previously amplified from brain extracts of patients pathologically confirmed with PD and MSA using PMCA (Strohäker et al., 2019). To obtain sufficient quantities for structural analysis, PMCA-amplified amyloid fibrils were used in a second step to seed recombinant α Syn (Strohäker et al., 2019).

N-terminally acetylated α Syn was obtained by co-transfection of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells with pT7-7 plasmid encoding for human α Syn (kindly provided by the Lansbury Laboratory, Harvard Medical School, Cambridge, MA) and *S. pombe* NatB acetylase complex (Johnson, Coulton, Geeves, & Mulvihill, 2010) using pNatB plasmid (pACYCduet-naa20-naa25, Addgene, #53613, kindly provided by Dan Mulvihill). Protein expression and purification was performed as described (Hoyer et al., 2002).

α Syn fibrils were prepared at 37 °C from monomeric, N-terminally acetylated α Syn taken from the supernatant of freshly thawed α Syn on ice after ultracentrifugation (Beckman Coulter Optima MAX-XP using a TLA 100.3 rotor with a rotor speed of 55,000 rpm). 0.5% (w/w) PMCA product was added to 250 μ M α Syn stock solution (50 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.4, 0.02% NaN₃) and initially water bath sonicated for 10 minutes. This mixture was aggregated under quiescent conditions in 1.5 mL Eppendorf cups in a ThermoScientific Hera-therm incubator. The α Syn fibrils imaged by cryo-EM were taken from the sample that was applied to primary oligodendroglial cultures.

Primary oligodendroglial cultures

Mixed glial cultures generated from P0 to P3 neonatal wild-type (WT) mice were maintained in full DMEM for 10 to 14 days until a monolayer of astrocytes on the bottom and primary oligodendroglial progenitor cells (OPCs) with loosely attached microglia on the top, were apparent. The separation of OPCs was achieved initially with the removal of microglia, by shaking in 200 rpm for 1h in 37°C and then with continuous shaking under the same conditions for 18 hrs, as previously described (Mavroeidi et al., 2019). Afterwards, isolated cells were plated on poly-D-lysine-coated coverslips (P7405, Sigma-Aldrich, USA) with a density of 80,000 cells/mm² and maintained in SATO medium (284369) supplemented with Insulin-

Transferrin-Selenium solution (41400045, ITS- Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 1% horse serum (H1138; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 4 days. α Syn fibrils (final concentration 0.5 μ g/mL culture medium/well) amplified from human MSA and PD brains were added to TPPP/p25 α -positive mature differentiated oligodendrocytes for 48 hrs and then cells were fixed and preceded for immunofluorescence analysis.

Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy

Forty-eight (48) hours post patient-amplified fibril addition, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 40 min, blocked in 10% normal goat serum containing 0.4% Triton X-100 for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated with antibodies against the human (LB509), the rodent (D37A6), or the oxidized/nitrated (Syn303) α Syn and the oligodendroglial phosphoprotein TPPP/p25 α (kind gift from Dr. Poul Henning Jensen, Aarhus University, Denmark) overnight at 4 °C. Images were obtained using a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope combined with a dual (tandem) scanner. All confocal images were obtained under equivalent conditions of laser power, pinhole size, gain, and offset settings between the groups. ImageJ (v2.0.0) software was used to quantify relative protein levels expressed as % area coverage, normalized to the p25 α + cells/field.

Cryo-EM grid preparation and imaging

Sample volumes of 3.5 μ l were applied to freshly glow-discharged R3.5/1 holey carbon grids (Quantifoil) and vitrified using a Mark IV Vitrobot (Thermo Fischer Scientific) operated at 100% rH and 20 °C. Micrographs were collected with a Titan Krios transmission-electron microscope operated at 300 keV accelerating voltage at a nominal magnification of 81,000 x using a K3 direct electron detector (Gatan) in non-superresolution counting mode, corresponding to a calibrated pixel size of 1.05 Å on the specimen level. In total, 6,780 images (as to PD associated fibrils) and 4,474 (as to MSA relevant fibrils) with defocus values in the range of -0.7 μ m to -2.9 μ m were recorded in movie mode with 2.2 s acquisition time. Each movie contained 40 frames with an accumulated dose of approximately 43 electrons per Å². The resulting dose-fractionated image stacks, containing all frames 1-40, were subjected to beam-induced motion correction using MotionCor2 (Zheng et al., 2017), prior to helical reconstruction. Estimation of contrast transfer function parameters for each micrograph was performed using CTFFIND4 (Rohou & Grigorieff, 2015). Subsequently, PD and MSA related α Syn fi-

fibrils were reconstructed using RELION-3.1 (Zivanov, Nakane, & Scheres, 2020), following the helical reconstruction scheme (He & Scheres, 2017).

Helical reconstruction of PD-amplified fibrils

crYOLO (Wagner et al., 2019) was used for the selection of 76,170 fibrils in the dataset, from which 2,223,091 segments were extracted using a 19 Å inter-box distance. Using RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2020), maximum-likelihood two-dimensional (2D) reference-free classification, and 3D classification were performed on an unbinned data set (1.05 Å/px, 200px box size); a cylinder with white noise added using EMAN2 (Tang et al., 2007) was used for the initial reference.

We used the CHEP algorithm (Pothula, Geraets, Ferber, & Schröder, 2021; Pothula, Smyrnova, & Schröder, 2019) with *k*-means clustering on the results of 2D classification to identify and group fibrils by overall conformation ($k = 3$), and investigate these 3 clusters individually. The algorithm associates segments extracted from a single helical fibril and clusters each fibril together with similar fibrils, based on the similarity of their segments within the classification. On a single-subunit level the signal-to-noise ratio is often not enough to distinguish between two similar classes, especially for some projections where the conformations appear more similar.

After iterative classification steps, 23,937 particles were selected for 3D auto-refinement, beam tilt refinement, CTF refinement, and reconstruction in RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2020). During the postprocessing step in RELION, the map was masked with a soft mask and a B-factor of -112 Å^2 was applied, and the resolution was estimated as 3.3 Å based on the gold-standard Fourier shell correlation 0.143 criterion (**Figure S2A**). The helical geometry was then applied to the map, which was then re-sharpened using VISDEM (Spiegel, Duraisamy, & Schröder, 2015). Local resolution was determined using RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2020).

Helical reconstruction of MSA-amplified fibrils

crYOLO (Wagner et al., 2019) was used for the selection of 101,588 fibrils in the dataset, from which 1,848,677 segments were extracted using a 50 Å inter-box distance and RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2020) was used for reconstruction. To exclude non-twisted and irregularly twisted segments, we initially performed several rounds of 2D classification on a downsampled data set (2.1 Å/px, 500 pix box size). For 3D classification we (re-)extracted 91,552 segments without downscaling (1.05 Å/px, 250 pix box size).

Starting from a featureless cylinder filtered to 60 Å, we performed a 3D classification with one class and $T = 3$, followed by a 3D classification with one class and $T = 4$, after which the two protofilaments were separated and first backbone features became visible. Subsequently, another round of 3D classification with five classes and $T = 4$ yielded one class showing clear backbone features. This class was selected (25,692 particle segments) for further rounds of 3D classification ($k = 1$) with step-wise adjusting the T -value from 4 to 8 after which separation of β -strands along the Z-axis and the approximate 2_1 screw symmetry between the two protofilaments became visible. From here on, we performed multiple rounds of 3D auto-refinement until no further improvement of the map was observed. Assuming a left-handed twist, the helical twist and rise converged to 179.66° and 2.37 Å, respectively, in agreement with the predominant crossover distances measured on the motion-corrected cryo-EM micrographs. Finally, post-processing with a soft-edged mask and an estimated sharpening B -factor of -101 Å^2 yielded post-processed maps. The resolution was estimated from the value of the FSC curve for two independently refined half-maps at 0.143 (**Figure S2B**). The helical geometry was then applied to the map, which was then re-sharpened using VISDEM (Spiegel et al., 2015). Local resolution was determined using RELION-3.1 (Zivanov et al., 2020).

Atomic model building and refinement

PDB entries 6SSX and 6SST (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019) were used for an initial model towards α Syn extracted from PD and MSA brain, respectively. Subsequent refinement in real space was conducted using PHENIX (Afonine et al., 2018; Liebschner et al., 2019). With PD, the final refined protofilament subunit had an RMSD of 0.64 Å to PDB 6SSX. As for MSA, the final refined protofilament subunit had an RMSD of 0.95 Å to PDB 6SST.

Table 2. Model building statistics.

	PD-amplified α Syn	MSA-amplified α Syn
Starting model [PDB code]	6SSX ^a	6SST ^a
Model composition		
Non-hydrogen atoms	497	389
Protein residues	73	58
RMS deviations		
Bond lengths [Å]	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bond angles [°]	2.01	1.68
Validation		
MolProbity score	2.25	2.02
Clashscore	17.17	14.41
Poor rotamers [%]	0.00	0.00
Ramachandran plot		
Favored [%]	91.30	94.64
Allowed [%]	8.70	5.36
Disallowed [%]	0.00	0.00
Model deposition		
PDB code	7OZG	7OZH
EMD code	13123	13124

^a Ref. (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019)

Determination of electrostatics for α Syn fibrils

We calculated the electrostatics for α Syn fibrils using the APBS/PDB2PQR server (<https://server.poissonboltzmann.org/>) (Baker, Sept, Joseph, Holst, & McCammon, 2001; Dolinsky, Nielsen, McCammon, & Baker, 2004). Therefore, we used our atomic models and assembled 60 peptides into a fibril, imposing the helical symmetry reported in **Table 1**. For interpretation of the results, we focused on the central slices of the fibrils. APBS calculations for polymorphs 2A and 2B (Guerrero-Ferreira et al., 2019) were conducted analogously.

Acknowledgements

B.F., J.A.G., and G.F.S. are grateful for computational support and infrastructure provided by the “Zentrum für Informations- und Medientechnologie” (ZIM) at the Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf and the computing time provided by Forschungszentrum Jülich on the supercomputer JURECA/JURECA-DC at Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC). Brain tissues (approval number: PID399) were received from the Sydney Brain Bank at Neuroscience Research Australia which is supported by The University of New South Wales, Neuroscience Research Australia and Schizophrenia Research Institute. M.Z. was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the EU Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 787679). Access to the FEI Titan Krios cryo-electron microscope with K3 detector was gratefully provided by Prof. Dr. Patrick Cramer at the MPI for Biophysical Chemistry, Goettingen, Germany.

Conflict of interest

S.-J.L. is a founder and co-CEO of Neuramedy Co., Ltd. The other authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Afonine, P. V., Poon, B. K., Read, R. J., Sobolev, O. V., Terwilliger, T. C., Urzhumtsev, A., & Adams, P. D. (2018). Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. *Acta Crystallographica Section D-Structural Biology*, 74, 531-544. doi:10.1107/S2059798318006551
- Alafuzoff, I., & Hartikainen, P. (2017). Alpha-synucleinopathies. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology*, 145, 339-353. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-802395-2.00024-9
- Baker, N. A., Sept, D., Joseph, S., Holst, M. J., & McCammon, J. A. (2001). Electrostatics of nanosystems: Application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(18), 10037-10041. doi:10.1073/pnas.181342398
- Becker, K., Wang, X. H., Vander Stel, K., Chu, Y. P., Kordower, J., & Ma, J. Y. (2018). Detecting alpha-synuclein seeding activity in formaldehyde-fixed MSA patient tissue by PMCA. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 55(11), 8728-8737. doi:10.1007/s12035-018-1007-y
- Beyer, K., & Ariza, A. (2013). Alpha-synuclein posttranslational modification and alternative splicing as a trigger for neurodegeneration. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 47(2), 509-524. doi:10.1007/s12035-012-8330-5
- Bousset, L., Pieri, L., Ruiz-Arlandis, G., Gath, J., Jensen, P. H., Habenstein, B., . . . Melki, R. (2013). Structural and functional characterization of two alpha-synuclein strains. *Nature Communications*, 4. doi:10.1038/ncomms3575
- Boyer, D. R., Li, B. S., Sun, C. Q., Fan, W. J., Zhou, K., Hughes, M. P., . . . Eisenberg, D. S. (2020). The alpha-synuclein hereditary mutation E46K unlocks a more stable, pathogenic fibril structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 117(7), 3592-3602. doi:10.1073/pnas.1917914117
- Chiti, F., & Dobson, C. M. (2017). Protein misfolding, amyloid formation, and human disease: A summary of progress over the last decade. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 86, 27-68. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-045115
- Courte, J., Bousset, L., Von Boxberg, Y., Villard, C., Melki, R., & Peyrin, J. M. (2020). The expression level of alpha-synuclein in different neuronal populations is the primary determinant of its prion-like seeding. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1). doi:10.1038/s41598-020-61757-x
- Davidson, W. S., Jonas, A., Clayton, D. F., & George, J. M. (1998). Stabilization of alpha-synuclein secondary structure upon binding to synthetic membranes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 273(16), 9443-9449. doi:10.1074/jbc.273.16.9443
- De Giorgi, F., Laferriere, F., Zinghirino, F., Faggiani, E., Lends, A., Bertoni, M., . . . Ichas, F. (2020). Novel self-replicating alpha-synuclein polymorphs that escape ThT monitoring can spontaneously emerge and acutely spread in neurons. *Science Advances*, 6(40). doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc4364
- Der-Sarkissian, A., Jao, C. C., Chen, J., & Langen, R. (2003). Structural organization of alpha-synuclein fibrils studied by site-directed spin labeling. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 278(39), 37530-37535. doi:10.1074/jbc.M305266200
- Dolinsky, T. J., Nielsen, J. E., McCammon, J. A., & Baker, N. A. (2004). PDB2PQR: an automated pipeline for the setup of Poisson-Boltzmann electrostatics calculations. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(Web Server issue), W665-667. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh381
- Fairfoul, G., McGuire, L. I., Pal, S., Ironside, J. W., Neumann, J., Christie, S., . . . Green, A. J. E. (2016). Alpha-synuclein RT-QuIC in the CSF of patients with alpha-synucleinopathies. *Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology*, 3(10), 812-818. doi:10.1002/acn3.338

- Ferreira, N., Gram, H., Sorrentino, Z. A., Gregersen, E., Schmidt, S. I., Reimer, L., . . . Jensen, P. H. (2021). Multiple system atrophy-associated oligodendroglial protein p25 alpha stimulates formation of novel alpha-synuclein strain with enhanced neurodegenerative potential. *Acta Neuropathologica*. doi:10.1007/s00401-021-02316-0
- Games, D., Valera, E., Spencer, B., Rockenstein, E., Mante, M., Adame, A., . . . Masliah, E. (2014). Reducing C-terminal-truncated alpha-synuclein by immunotherapy attenuates neurodegeneration and propagation in Parkinson's disease-like models. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 34(28), 9441-9454. doi:10.1523/Jneurosci.5314-13.2014
- Goedert, M. (2015). Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases: The prion concept in relation to assembled A beta, tau, and alpha-synuclein. *Science*, 349(6248). doi:10.1126/science.1255555
- Goedert, M., Masuda-Suzukake, M., & Falcon, B. (2017). Like prions: the propagation of aggregated tau and alpha-synuclein in neurodegeneration. *Brain*, 140, 266-278. doi:10.1093/brain/aww230
- Guerrero-Ferreira, R., Taylor, N. M., Arteni, A. A., Kumari, P., Mona, D., Ringler, P., . . . Stahlberg, H. (2019). Two new polymorphic structures of human full-length alpha-synuclein fibrils solved by cryo-electron microscopy. *Elife*, 8. doi:10.7554/eLife.48907
- He, S., & Scheres, S. H. W. (2017). Helical reconstruction in RELION. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 198(3), 163-176. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2017.02.003
- Holec, S. A. M., & Woerman, A. L. (2020). Evidence of distinct alpha-synuclein strains underlying disease heterogeneity. *Acta Neuropathologica*. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02163-5
- Hoyer, W., Antony, T., Cherny, D., Heim, G., Jovin, T. M., & Subramaniam, V. (2002). Dependence of alpha-synuclein aggregate morphology on solution conditions. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 322(2), 383-393. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(02)00775-1
- Jo, E. J., McLaurin, J., Yip, C. M., St George-Hyslop, P., & Fraser, P. E. (2000). alpha-synuclein membrane interactions and lipid specificity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275(44), 34328-34334. doi:10.1074/jbc.M004345200
- Johnson, M., Coulton, A. T., Geeves, M. A., & Mulvihill, D. P. (2010). Targeted amino-terminal acetylation of recombinant proteins in E. coli. *PLoS One*, 5(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015801
- Jung, B. C., Lim, Y. J., Bae, E. J., Lee, J. S., Choi, M. S., Lee, M. K., . . . Lee, S. J. (2017). Amplification of distinct alpha-synuclein fibril conformers through protein misfolding cyclic amplification. *Experimental and Molecular Medicine*, 49. doi:10.1038/emmm.2017.1
- Kang, U. J., Boehme, A. K., Fairfoul, G., Shah Nawaz, M., Ma, T. C., Hutten, S. J., . . . Soto, C. (2019). Comparative study of cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein seeding aggregation assays for diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*, 34(4), 536-544. doi:10.1002/mds.27646
- Karube, H., Sakamoto, M., Arawaka, S., Hara, S., Sato, H., Ren, C. H., . . . Kato, T. (2008). N-terminal region of alpha-synuclein is essential for the fatty acid-induced oligomerization of the molecules. *FEBS Letters*, 582(25-26), 3693-3700. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2008.10.001
- Klingstedt, T., Ghetti, B., Holton, J. L., Ling, H., Nilsson, K. P. R., & Goedert, M. (2019). Luminescent conjugated oligothiophenes distinguish between alpha-synuclein assemblies of Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *Acta Neuropathologica Communications*, 7(1). doi:10.1186/s40478-019-0840-1
- Kovacs, G. G., Laszlo, L., Kovacs, J., Jensen, P. H., Lindersson, E., Botond, G., . . . Ovadi, J. (2004). Natively unfolded tubulin polymerization promoting protein TPPP/p25 is a common marker of alpha-synucleinopathies. *Neurobiology of Disease*, 17(2), 155-162. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2004.06.006
- Lau, A., So, R. W. L., Lau, H. H. C., Sang, J. C., Ruiz-Riquelme, A., Fleck, S. C., . . . Watts, J. C. (2020). alpha-Synuclein strains target distinct brain regions and cell types. *Nature Neuroscience*, 23(1), 21-+. doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0541-x

- Lee, H. J., Ricarte, D., Ortiz, D., & Lee, S. J. (2019). Models of multiple system atrophy. *Experimental and Molecular Medicine*, 51. doi:10.1038/s12276-019-0346-8
- Lehotzky, A., Lau, P., Tokeso, N., Muja, N., Hudson, L. D., & Ovadv, J. (2010). Tubulin polymerization-promoting protein (TPPP/p25) is critical for oligodendrocyte differentiation. *Glia*, 58(2), 157-168. doi:10.1002/glia.20909
- Lempriere, S. (2020). alpha-Synuclein strains induce distinct diseases in mice. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 16(2), 66-66. doi:10.1038/s41582-019-0306-x
- Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Baker, M. L., Bunkoczi, G., Chen, V. B., Croll, T. I., . . . Adams, P. D. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta Crystallographica Section D-Structural Biology*, 75, 861-877. doi:10.1107/S2059798319011471
- Lindersson, E., Lundvig, D., Petersen, C., Madsen, P., Nyengaard, J. R., Hojrup, P., . . . Jensen, P. H. (2005). Pp25/alpha stimulates alpha-synuclein aggregation and is co-localized with aggregated alpha-synuclein in alpha-synucleinopathies. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 280(7), 5703-5715. doi:10.1074/jbc.M410409200
- Lövestam, S., Schweighauser, M., Matsubara, T., Murayama, S., Tomita, T., Ando, T., . . . Scheres, S. H. W. (2021). Seeded assembly in vitro does not replicate the structures of alpha-synuclein filaments from multiple system atrophy. *Febs Open Bio*. doi:10.1002/2211-5463.13110
- Ma, J. N., Gao, J., Wang, J., & Xie, A. M. (2019). Prion-like mechanisms in Parkinson's disease. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 13. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.00552
- Mavroiedi, P., Arvanitaki, F., Karakitsou, A. K., Vetsi, M., Kloukina, I., Zweckstetter, M., . . . Xilouri, M. (2019). Endogenous oligodendroglial alpha-synuclein and TPPP/p25 alpha orchestrate alpha-synuclein pathology in experimental multiple system atrophy models. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 138(3), 415-441. doi:10.1007/s00401-019-02014-y
- Montani, L. (2020). Lipids in regulating oligodendrocyte structure and function. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.07.016
- Norton, W. T., & Poduslo, S. E. (1973). Myelination in rat-brain: changes in myelin composition during brain maturation. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 21(4), 759-773. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.1973.tb07520.x
- O'Brien, J. S., Sampson, E. L., & Stern, M. B. (1967). Lipid composition of myelin from the peripheral nervous system. Intradural spinal roots. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 14(3), 357-365. doi:10.1111/j.1471-4159.1967.tb09532.x
- Peelaerts, W., Bousset, L., Van der Perren, A., Moskalyuk, A., Pulizzi, R., Giugliano, M., . . . Baekelandt, V. (2015). alpha-Synuclein strains cause distinct synucleinopathies after local and systemic administration. *Nature*, 522(7556), 340-+. doi:10.1038/nature14547
- Peng, C., Gathagan, R. J., Covell, D. J., Medellin, C., Stieber, A., Robinson, J. L., . . . Lee, V. M. Y. (2018). Cellular milieu imparts distinct pathological alpha-synuclein strains in alpha-synucleinopathies. *Nature*, 557(7706), 558-+. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0104-4
- Poitelon, Y., Kopec, A. M., & Belin, S. (2020). Myelin fat facts: an overview of lipids and fatty acid metabolism. *Cells*, 9(4). doi:10.3390/cells9040812
- Pothula, K. R., Geraets, J. A., Ferber, II, & Schröder, G. F. (2021). Clustering polymorphs of tau and IAPP fibrils with the CHEP algorithm. *Progress in Biophysics & Molecular Biology*. doi:10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2020.11.007
- Pothula, K. R., Smynova, D., & Schröder, G. F. (2019). Clustering cryo-EM images of helical protein polymers for helical reconstructions. *Ultramicroscopy*, 203, 132-138. doi:10.1016/j.ultramicro.2018.12.009
- Recasens, A., Ulusoy, A., Kahle, P. J., Di Monte, D. A., & Dehay, B. (2018). In vivo models of alpha-synuclein transmission and propagation. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 373(1), 183-193. doi:10.1007/s00441-017-2730-9

- Reyes, J. F., Rey, N. L., Bousset, L., Melki, R., Brundin, P., & Angot, E. (2014). Alpha-synuclein transfers from neurons to oligodendrocytes. *Glia*, 62(3), 387-398. doi:10.1002/glia.22611
- Roberts, H. L., & Brown, D. R. (2015). Seeking a mechanism for the toxicity of oligomeric alpha-synuclein. *Biomolecules*, 5(2), 282-305. doi:10.3390/biom5020282
- Rohou, A., & Grigorieff, N. (2015). CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from electron micrographs. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 192(2), 216-221. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.08.008
- Saa, P., Castilla, J., & Soto, C. (2006). Ultra-efficient replication of infectious prions by automated protein misfolding cyclic amplification. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281(46), 35245-35252. doi:10.1074/jbc.M603964200
- Saborio, G. P., Permanne, B., & Soto, C. (2001). Sensitive detection of pathological prion protein by cyclic amplification of protein misfolding. *Nature*, 411(6839), 810-813. doi:10.1038/35081095
- Sano, K., Atarashi, R., Satoh, K., Ishibashi, D., Nakagaki, T., Iwasaki, Y., . . . Nishida, N. (2018). Prion-like seeding of misfolded alpha-synuclein in the brains of dementia with Lewy body patients in RT-QUIC. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 55(5), 3916-3930. doi:10.1007/s12035-017-0624-1
- Schweighauser, M., Shi, Y., Tarutani, A., Kametani, F., Murzin, A. G., Ghetti, B., . . . Goedert, M. (2020). Structures of alpha-synuclein filaments from multiple system atrophy. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2317-6
- Shahnawaz, M., Mukherjee, A., Pritzkow, S., Mendez, N., Rabadia, P., Liu, X. G., . . . Soto, C. (2020). Discriminating alpha-synuclein strains in Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *Nature*, 578(7794), 273-+. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-1984-7
- Shahnawaz, M., Tokuda, T., Waragai, M., Mendez, N., Ishii, R., Trenkwalder, C., . . . Soto, C. (2017). Development of a biochemical diagnosis of Parkinson Disease by detection of alpha-Synuclein misfolded aggregates in cerebrospinal fluid. *Jama Neurology*, 74(2), 163-172. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.4547
- Song, Y. J. C., Huang, Y., Lundvig, D., Gai, W. P., Blumbergs, P., Hojrup, P., . . . Jensen, P. (2007). p25alpha relocates in oligodendroglia from myelin to cytoplasmic inclusions in multiple system atrophy. *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders*, 13, S63-S63. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2007.070201
- Spiegel, M., Duraisamy, A. K., & Schröder, G. F. (2015). Improving the visualization of cryo-EM density reconstructions. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 191(2), 207-213. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.06.007
- Spillantini, M. G., & Goedert, M. (2000). The alpha-synucleinopathies: Parkinson's disease, dementia with Lewy bodies, and multiple system atrophy. *Molecular Basis of Dementia*, 920, 16-27. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb06900.x
- Steiner, J. A., Quansah, E., & Brundin, P. (2018). The concept of alpha-synuclein as a prion-like protein: ten years after. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 373(1), 161-173. doi:10.1007/s00441-018-2814-1
- Strohäker, T., Jung, B. C., Liou, S. H., Fernandez, C. O., Riedel, D., Becker, S., . . . Zweckstetter, M. (2019). Structural heterogeneity of alpha-synuclein fibrils amplified from patient brain extracts. *Nature Communications*, 10(1), 5535. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13564-w
- Suzuki, G., Imura, S., Hosokawa, M., Katsumata, R., Nonaka, T., Hisanaga, S. I., . . . Hasegawa, M. (2020). alpha-synuclein strains that cause distinct pathologies differentially inhibit proteasome. *Elife*, 9. doi:10.7554/eLife.56825
- Szunyogh, S., Olah, J., Szenasi, T., Szabo, A., & Ovadi, J. (2015). Targeting the interface of the pathological complex of alpha-synuclein and TPPP/p25. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Molecular Basis of Disease*, 1852(12), 2653-2661. doi:10.1016/j.bbdis.2015.09.012
- Taguchi, K., Watanabe, Y., Tsujimura, A., & Tanaka, M. (2016). Brain region-dependent differential expression of alpha-synuclein. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 524(6), 1236-1258. doi:10.1002/cne.23901

Tang, G., Peng, L., Baldwin, P. R., Mann, D. S., Jiang, W., Rees, I., & Ludtke, S. J. (2007). EMAN2: An extensible image processing suite for electron microscopy. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 157(1), 38-46. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2006.05.009

Ueda, K., Fukushima, H., Masliah, E., Xia, Y., Iwai, A., Yoshimoto, M., . . . Saitoh, T. (1993). Molecular cloning of cDNA encoding an unrecognized component of amyloid in Alzheimer-disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 90(23), 11282-11286. doi:10.1073/pnas.90.23.11282

Van der Perren, A., Gelders, G., Fenyl, A., Bousset, L., Brit, F., Peelaerts, W., . . . Baekelandt, V. (2020). The structural differences between patient-derived alpha-synuclein strains dictate characteristics of Parkinson's disease, multiple system atrophy and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 139(6), 977-1000. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02157-3

Wagner, T., Merino, F., Stabrin, M., Moriya, T., Antoni, C., Apelbaum, A., . . . Raunser, S. (2019). SPHIRE-crYOLO is a fast and accurate fully automated particle picker for cryo-EM. *Communications Biology*, 2. doi:10.1038/s42003-019-0437-z

Woerman, A. L., Stöhr, J., Aoyagi, A., Rampersaud, R., Krejciova, Z., Watts, J. C., . . . Prusiner, S. B. (2015). Propagation of prions causing synucleinopathies in cultured cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(35), E4949-E4958. doi:10.1073/pnas.1513426112

Zheng, S. Q., Palovcak, E., Armache, J. P., Verba, K. A., Cheng, Y. F., & Agard, D. A. (2017). MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods*, 14(4), 331-332. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4193

Zivanov, J., Nakane, T., & Scheres, S. H. W. (2020). Estimation of high-order aberrations and anisotropic magnification from cryo-EM data sets in RELION-3.1. *IUCrJ*, 7, 253-267. doi:10.1107/S2052252520000081

1 **Authors contributions**

2 M.Z. and G.F.S conceived the study; W.S.K provided the brain samples; B.C.J and S.-J.L.
 3 amplified aSyn seeds from brain tissue using PMCA; T.S. conducted protein preparation, and
 4 fibril amplification; P.M. and M.X. performed the cell experiments, and analyzed the associ-
 5 ated data; C.D. conducted cryo-EM grid preparation and data collection; B.F., J.A.G., and
 6 G.F.S. performed image processing, reconstruction and model building; B.F., J.A.G, M.Z.,
 7 and G.F.S. wrote the paper. All authors discussed results and commented on the paper.