SARS-CoV-2 Exploits Sexually Dimorphic and Adaptive IFN and TNFa Signaling to Gain Entry into Alveolar Epithelium

Authors: Yan Wang^{1†}, Sreeharsha Gurrupu^{1†}, Hong Chen^{1†}, Sara Laudato^{1†}, Emily Caggiano^{1,2}, Yan Jiang¹, Hsiang-Hsi Ling^{1,2}, and Filippo G. Giancotti^{1,3*}

Affiliations:

¹ Department of Cancer Biology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Unit 1906, PO Box 301429, Houston, TX 77054/77030-1429, USA.

² The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Houston, TX 77030, USA.

³ Department of Genitourinary Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77054, USA.

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author. Email: FGiancotti@MDAnderson.org

31 **Abstract**

32 Infection of the alveolar epithelium constitutes a bottleneck in the progression of COVID-19 to
 33 SARS presumably due to the paucity of viral entry receptors in alveolar epithelial type 1 and 2
 34 cells. We have found that the male alveolar epithelial cells express twice as many ACE2 and
 35 TMPRSS2 entry receptors as the female ones. Intriguingly, IFN and TNF- α signaling are
 36 preferentially active in male alveolar cells and induce binding of the cognate transcription factors
 37 to the promoters and lung-active enhancers of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2*. Cotreatment with IFN-I
 38 and III dramatically increases expression of the receptors and viral entry in alveolar epithelial
 39 cells. TNF α and IFN-II, typically overproduced during the cytokine storm, similarly collaborate to
 40 induce these events. Whereas JAK inhibitors suppress viral entry induced by IFN-I/III,
 41 simultaneous inhibition of IKK/NF- κ B is necessary to block viral entry induced by TNF α and IFN-
 42 II. In addition to explaining the increased incidence of SARS in males, these findings indicate
 43 that SARS-Cov-2 hijacks epithelial immune signaling to promote infection of the alveolar
 44 epithelium and suggest that JAK inhibitors, singly and in combination with NF-KB inhibitors, may
 45 exhibit efficacy in preventing or treating COVID-19 SARS.

46

47 Introduction

48 The Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic has illustrated the power of novel airborne
 49 viruses to spread rapidly amongst immunologically naïve human populations and cause severe
 50 lung disease and death in individuals with predisposing conditions (1). Although public health
 51 measures, such as lockdowns, have been effective, they have imposed a heavy economic and
 52 social price, which has limited their full implementation (2). Extraordinary efforts have led to the
 53 recent development of safe and effective vaccines, and large-scale vaccination efforts are
 54 underway in several major countries (3). However, it remains uncertain whether these efforts will
 55 be so successful to eradicate the disease (4, 5). In order to develop better therapeutics for
 56 COVID-19 and novel coronaviruses that may emerge in the future, it is important to understand
 57 the biology of viral infection, viral pathogenicity, and immune response to the disease.

58 The etiological agent of COVID-19, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2
 59 (SARS-CoV-2), is an enveloped RNA virus decorated by spike (S) protein trimers, which mediate
 60 entry into host cells (6). Although SARS-Cov-2 usually produces only a mild upper respiratory
 61 tract infection, it spreads to the distal lung in a minor but significant fraction of individuals, causing
 62 bilateral pneumonia characterized by severe hypoxia (7). Simultaneous or consequent systemic
 63 dissemination underlies extrapulmonary manifestations of the disease, including multiorgan
 64 injury, endothelial cell damage and ensuing micro thromboembolism, and severe dysregulation
 65 of immune responses (8). These observations suggest that viral entry into and damage to the
 66 alveolar epithelium occurs infrequently but is a major determinant of progression to severe
 67 disease.

68 The entry of SARS-Cov-2 into target cells requires proteolytic activation of the S protein
 69 mediated by the cell surface serine protease TMPRSS2 and subsequent binding of cleaved,
 70 fusogenic S to the entry receptor Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2) (9). In contrast to
 71 what previously assumed, viral exit is not mediated by the secretory pathway, but by lysosomal
 72 trafficking (10). This non-lytic release mechanism is associated with lysosome deacidification
 73 and inactivation of lysosomal enzymes, making it unlikely to generate a virus with activated S
 74 protein. These considerations suggest that SARS-Cov-2 entry into cells is critically dependent
 75 on the co-expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in target cells.

76 Reverse genetics experiments have revealed a proximal to distal gradient in sensitivity to
 77 infection of the airway epithelium, which correlates with decreasing levels of expression of ACE2,

but not TMPRSS2 (11). Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis has indicated that goblet and ciliated cells from the nasal epithelium express elevated levels of ACE2 and TMPRSS2, suggesting that they constitute the initial entry points for infection (12). Although ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are expressed in bronchial secretory cells, where ACE2 is upregulated by smoking via inflammatory signaling (13, 14), ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are co-expressed only in a small subset of alveolar epithelial type II cells, suggesting that viral entry may require upregulation of ACE2 or both ACE2 and TMPRSS2 (11, 15).

The mechanisms that regulate the expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in lung alveolar epithelium are incompletely understood. Expression of ACE2 correlates with elevated interferon (IFN) signaling in a subset of type II alveolar epithelial cells (15). In addition, it has been reported that treatment with IFN α induces upregulation of ACE2 in stem cell-derived alveolo-spheres consisting of type II cells (16). However, recent findings have indicated that IFN signaling only induces expression of a truncated form of ACE2, which lacks the N-terminal S protein-binding site and is generated through cooption of an IFN-responsive endogenous retroelement (17, 18). In parallel, association studies have lent support to the notion that TMPRSS2 is upregulated by androgen receptor (AR) signaling in lung epithelium as it is in the normal prostate and androgen-dependent prostate cancer (19). In fact, prostate tumorigenesis is often initiated by oncogenic fusions bringing an oncogenic ETS factor, such as ERG or ETV1, under the control of the AR-regulated promoter of *TMPRSS2* (20). Consistently, a recent study has shown that dutasteride, an androgen biosynthesis inhibitor, inhibits the expression of *TMPRSS2* in alveolar epithelial cells (21). In addition, preliminary evidence suggests that the incidence of severe COVID-19 disease is reduced in prostate cancer patients treated with second-generation AR inhibitors, such as enzalutamide and abiraterone (22). However, it has not been yet shown if blockade of the AR with enzalutamide or other direct inhibitors reduces TMPRSS2 expression in alveolar epithelial cells.

Early studies have indicated that the age-adjusted incidence and mortality of COVID-19 are strikingly higher in males as compared to females (23, 24). Patients progressing to severe disease exhibit defective B and T cell responses (25, 26) and a delayed but exaggerated activation of innate immune system, culminating in overproduction of multiple cytokines and systemic toxicity (27-29). Intriguingly, higher plasma levels of innate immune cytokines and non-classical monocytes, but poorer T cell responses, are observed in men as compared to women

during moderate disease, suggesting that sex differences in immune responses may contribute to the higher incidence of severe disease and death in men (30). Although it is known that women mount stronger immune responses against viruses and vaccines and exhibit superior immune-mediated tissue repair (31, 32), the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying sex-differences in immunity and their relationship to SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis are not well understood (33).

In this study, we have examined the role of innate and adaptive IFN and NF- κ B signaling in regulating the expression of viral entry receptors and infection of alveolar epithelium in COVID-19. Our findings indicate that sexually dimorphic IFN signaling upregulates the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in the alveolar epithelium of males, potentially explaining the higher incidence of SARS in this gender. In addition, we provide evidence that SARS-Cov-2 hijacks the innate IFN-I and III response and the adaptive IFN-II and NF- κ B response to promote its entry into target cells. We propose that rational combinations of a JAK inhibitor and antivirals may prevent progression to SARS, whereas combinations of a JAK inhibitor and a NF- κ B inhibitor may exhibit therapeutic efficacy in advanced stage SARS.

Results

***ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* are Co-Expressed in a Subset of Type I and II Alveolar Epithelial Cells in Normal Individuals**

To examine if the expression of the canonical SARS-CoV-2 entry factors *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* is sexually dimorphic in normal adult lung, we merged three single-cell RNA-Seq datasets for which gender information was available (14, 34, 35) (Fig. 1A). In total, we analyzed 93,770 single-cell transcriptomes from 9 males and 15 females. Data were integrated by using Harmony, an algorithm that projects cells into a shared embedding in which cells are grouped by cell type rather than dataset-specific conditions (36). Samples were finally subjected to unsupervised graph-based clustering (Fig. 1A).

Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction (UMAP) revealed that the lung transcriptomes from 24 individuals aggregate in 14 clusters (0-13) (fig. S1A). Attesting to successful batch correction, there was limited variability in the cluster distribution of single-cell transcriptomes from the 24 individuals (Fig. 1B). Moreover, the entropy of mixing the sample batches approximated that of negative controls (fig. S1B). UMAP visualization and phylogenetic analysis of identity classes using BuildClusterTree indicated that clusters 0 and 3 and clusters 1 and 7 are closely related (fig. S1C and S1D). Analysis of the expression of canonical markers of each cell type in the normal lung confirmed this observation (fig. S1E). Merging the data in these 2 pairs of clusters led to the definition of 12 clusters. Based on the expression of marker genes, these clusters were annotated as Alveolar Type I (AT1) and II (AT2) cells, ciliated cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells from blood vessels or lymphatic vessels, macrophages, neutrophils, mast cells, plasma cells, and proliferating cells (Fig. 1C and 1D).

TMPRSS2-mediated cleavage is required for priming the spike S protein of SARS-Cov-2 so that it can bind to *ACE2* and mediate membrane fusion (9). Analysis of the scRNA-Seq dataset indicated that *ACE2* is expressed only on a small proportion of AT1 and AT2 cells in the normal lung (~0.5% and ~0.9%, respectively) (Fig. 1E), as anticipated from reverse genetics studies (11). In contrast, *TMPRSS2* is expressed in a similarly sizeable fraction of AT1 and AT2 cells (~36% and ~37%, respectively) (Fig. 1F). Intriguingly, cells co-expressing *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* constituted only a minority of the AT1, AT2, ciliated, and endothelial subsets (Fig. 1G). In fact, only 0.22% of AT1 and 0.44% of AT2 cells co-expressed the SARS-CoV-2 viral entry receptors. These results suggest that progression to SARS is constrained by the limiting

number of alveolar epithelial cells co-expressing viral entry receptors and point to the existence of signaling mechanisms that elevate their expression.

The Expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* is Significantly Higher in Male AT1 and AT2 Cells as Compared to their Female Counterparts

Considering the larger incidence of SARS in men as compared to women, we examined the distribution and level of expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in the epithelial and non-epithelial compartments of the lung in the two genders. A preliminary analysis indicated that the normal male and female lungs contain similarly sized subpopulations of epithelial and non-epithelial subsets of cells (fig. S2A). Direct comparison of transcriptional data revealed that the percentage and level of expression of *ACE2* in AT2 and AT1 cells and *TMPRSS2* in AT1 cells are larger in males as compared to females (Fig. 2A and 2B). In contrast, male ciliated bronchial cells expressed lower levels of both *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* as compared to their female counterpart, suggesting that the enrichment of viral entry receptors in the lung epithelium of males is specific to the alveolar epithelial cells (Fig. 2A and 2B). In consonance with this observation, further analysis indicated that the average level of expression of *ACE2* in individual AT1 and AT2 cells and *TMPRSS2* in AT1 cells is significantly higher in males as compared to females (Fig. 2C and 2D). Although we observed a trend towards elevated expression of *TMPRSS2* also in male AT2 cells, the difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 2D). Finally, drawing from these differences in the percentage and level of expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2*, we found that the alveolar epithelium of males contains approximately twice as many *ACE2*⁺ *TMPRSS2*⁺ double-positive AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to its female counterpart (Fig. 2E). In agreement with prior observations, smokers possessed a larger number of *ACE2*⁺ *TMPRSS2*⁺ double-positive AT2 cells as compared to non-smokers (fig. S2B) (37). In addition, individuals aged 65 or more also exhibited more double-positive AT1 and AT2 cells, but the results did not reach statistical significance. These observations suggest that the alveolar epithelium of normal adult males contains a significantly larger number of cells potentially sensitive to SARS-Cov-2 infection as compared to its female counterpart.

To examine the cell-type specificity of the sexually dimorphic expression of viral entry receptors, we analyzed available single-cell datasets from organs that can be infected by SARS-CoV2 (27, 38-41). The results indicated that the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in epithelial

and non-epithelial subsets of the colon, esophagus, and stomach does not vary to a significant degree between sexes (data not shown). We also did not detect gender-based differences in the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in circulating leukocyte subsets (data not shown) (42). Finally, analysis of a single cell dataset from the lungs of patients with COVID-19 (27) indicated that the expression of viral entry receptors is restricted to epithelial cells, macrophages, and T cells (fig. S2C). As previously reported (29, 43), the epithelial compartment of the lungs from COVID-19 patients exhibited elevated expression of *ACE2* but not *TMPRSS2* as compared to its normal counterpart (fig. S2D and S2E). These observations indicate that the male-predominant expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* is restricted to the alveolar epithelium.

Recently, it has been proposed that SARS-CoV-2 can enter into certain cell types by combining with a soluble form of *ACE2* (sACE2) in the extracellular space. The virus-sACE2 complex would then undergo receptor-mediated endocytosis by binding to vasopressin and thereby to its receptor AVPR1B or by binding directly to the angiotensin II receptor type 1 (AT1, *AGTR1*) (44). Interestingly, scRNA-seq indicated that neither AT1 nor AT2 cells express detectable levels of *AVPRB1* or *AGTR1* (fig. S2F and S2G). Consistently, an analysis of datasets from multiple organs confirmed that these receptors are expressed in the kidney and heart, but not in the lung (NCBI). Finally, qPCR analysis showed that primary human lung alveolar epithelial cells (AEpiC) express low levels of *AVPRB1* or *AGTR1*, in fact, several-fold lower as compared to those of *TMPRSS2* (fig. S2H). These results confirm that the major viral entry receptors on alveolar epithelial cells are *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* and indicate that their elevated expression in males could engender a higher sensitivity to SARS-CoV2 infection.

Sexually Dimorphic Interferon Signaling Potentially Controls the Expression of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* in Alveolar Epithelial Type I and II Cells

It has been proposed that the AR promotes the expression of *TMPRSS2* in the male lung epithelium, whereas the ER attenuates the expression of *ACE2* in its female counterpart (19, 45, 46). To examine the regulatory regions governing the expression of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* in tissues that may be infected by SARS-Cov-2, we examined the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) compendium. Analysis of the binding profiles for H3K27ac and H3K4me3 identified one upstream enhancer (1) and two distal enhancers (2 and 3) associated with *TMPRSS2* (fig. S3A). Intriguingly, we found that enhancer 1, which corresponds to the classical AR-regulated

enhancer active in the prostate gland, is also active in the transverse colon but not in the lung. In contrast, the newly identified enhancers 2 and 3 are active in the lung and, individually, in the thoracic aorta and coronary artery or the liver, respectively (fig. S3A). A similar analysis of *ACE2* revealed a single intronic enhancer active in the lung and transverse colon (fig. S3B). Analysis of the promoter and enhancers of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* identified consensus sequences for binding to several transcription factors (TFs), which were ranked based on the best fit for binding. This analysis revealed optimal consensus binding sites for STAT1 (GAS motifs), STAT1/2 (ISRE motifs), various Interferon Response Factors (IRFs), and NF- κ B in the regulatory regions of both *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* (Fig. 3A and 3B), suggesting that IFN and NF- κ B signaling regulates the expression of viral entry receptors.

To functionalize this information, we conducted Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of the TF signatures enriched in male as compared to female AT1 and AT2 cells and identified several sexually dimorphic signatures expressed in AT1 and AT2 cells (Fig. 3C and 3D). Remarkably, STAT1, STAT1/2, various Interferon Response Factors (IRFs), and NF- κ B were the only TFs that coordinated a gene expression program enriched in male alveolar epithelium and had binding sites in the promoter and enhancers of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* (Fig. 3E-G). In contrast, although we did not identify statistically significant differences in the expression of hormone receptors (*AR*, *ESR1*, *ESR2*) between male and female AT1 and AT2 cells, we noted a trend toward gender divergent expression (fig. S3C and S3D). In addition, although canonical AR signatures were enriched in male AT1 and AT2 cells, the results did not reach statistical significance (fig. S3E). Finally, the ER_nongenomic_pathway signature was the only ER-related signature enriched in female AT1 or AT2 cells (fig. S3F). These results suggest that IFN and NF- κ B signaling are preferentially activated in normal male AT1 and AT2 cells *in vivo* as compared to their female counterpart; in contrast, AR signaling is inactive or weakly activated in these cells.

To corroborate these findings, we examined the enrichment of signatures from the Hallmark_gene_set, the Canonical_pathway_gene_set, and the GO_BP_gene_set in male versus female AT1 and AT2 cells. We found that several immune and inflammatory signatures are selectively enriched in male AT1 and AT2 cells. Importantly, signatures reflective of heightened IFN signaling featured amongst the top upregulated in male AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to their female counterparts (fig. S4A-F). Direct analysis of several signatures

reflective of IFN signaling, including the HALLMARK_INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPONSE signature, indicated that they are enriched in male AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to their female counterparts (Fig. 4A-D). Examination of the expression of several IFN signaling target genes confirmed their differential expression in the distal lung epithelium in the two genders (Fig. 4E, 4F, S4G, and S4H). Taken together, these findings suggest that sexually dimorphic IFN signaling controls the expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors in the alveolar epithelium.

Type I Interferon Signaling Upregulates the Expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in Pulmonary Alveolar Epithelial Cells

To directly test the hypothesis that *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* are IFN target genes in lung epithelium, we initially surveyed the expression of IFN receptors and JAK family kinases in primary human lung alveolar epithelial cells (AEpiC). qPCR indicated that these cells express IFNAR1, IFNAR2, IL-10R β , IFNGR1, IFNGR2, JAK1, TYK2, and lower levels of IFNLR1 and JAK2, suggesting that they can be stimulated by IFN-I (α , β), II (γ) and III (λ) (fig. S5A, S5B and S5C). Immunoblotting indicated that 20 nM IFN α promotes phosphorylation and accumulation of STAT1 and 2 in AEpiC cells, consistent with the finding that STAT1 and 2 are IFN target genes (47, 48). Tofacitinib, which preferentially inactivates JAK1 as compared to JAK2 and 3 and inhibits TYK2 much less efficiently (49), reversed both the phosphorylation and the accumulation of STAT1 and 2 (Fig. 5A). These results are consistent with the conclusion that IFN α -stimulated JAK-STAT signaling proceeds via the IFNAR1/2 heterodimer and associated JAK1/TYK2 kinases in AEpiC cells.

To examine the capacity of IFN and androgen to regulate *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* expression, we performed qPCR assays with LNCaP prostate adenocarcinoma cells, Calu-3 lung adenocarcinoma cells, and AEpiC cells, which were treated with IFN α (20 nM), androgen (10 nM DHT), or a combination of the two. Of note, the AEpiC cells consist of AT2 and, to a smaller extent, AT1 cells (50). The results indicated that DHT induces expression of *TMPRSS2* within 16 hours in LNCaP cells, as anticipated (Fig. 5B and 5C, left panel). However, DHT did not promote rapid expression of either *ACE2* or *TMPRSS2* in Calu-3 or AEpiC cells and treatment with the potent AR inhibitor enzalutamide (5 μ M) did not reduce their level of expression in unstimulated cells (Fig. 5B and 5C, middle and right panels, and S5D). Notably, treatment with DHT did not enhance, but instead suppressed IFN α 's induction of *TMPRSS2* in

279 Calu-3 and AEpiC. In contrast, IFN α induced expression of both *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in these
280 two cell lines (Fig. 5B and 5C, middle and right panels). These results provide direct evidence
281 that IFN signaling elevates the expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors in lung alveolar
282 epithelium. In addition, the lack of response to enzalutamide in AEpiC cells indicates that
283 *TMPRSS2* is not an AR target gene in alveolar epithelial cells.

284 To examine the mechanism through which IFN signaling induces expression of
285 *TMPRSS2*, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR assays using AEpiC
286 cells treated with either IFN- α , DHT, or the combination. The results revealed that activated
287 STAT1 (P-STAT1) binds to the promoter and enhancer 2 of *TMPRSS2* in IFN α - but not DHT-
288 stimulated cells (Fig. 5D). This event was accompanied by a decrease of the suppressive mark
289 H3K27me3, an increase of the activation mark H3K4me3, and a selective enrichment of
290 activated Pol II (S2P-Pol II) at the promoter of *TMPRSS2* (Fig. 5E). Notably, treatment with DHT
291 decreased the binding of P-STAT1 to the promoter and enhancer 2 of *TMPRSS2* and
292 transcriptional activation of the gene in response to IFN (Fig. 5D,5E and S5E) and ChIP-qPCR
293 assays indicated that this suppression did not involve direct binding of the AR to the lung-active
294 enhancers or the promoter of *TMPRSS2* (fig. S5F). Therefore, IFN stimulation induces binding
295 of activated STAT1 to the enhancer 2 and promoter of *TMPRSS2* and transcriptional activation
296 of the gene. In contrast, DHT stimulation interferes with the IFN-stimulated expression of
297 *TMPRSS2*.

298 By performing similar ChIP-qPCR assays, we found that P-STAT1 binds also to the
299 promoter and intronic enhancer *ACE2* in IFN α -stimulated AEpiC cells and induces de-repression
300 and transcriptional activation of the promoter (Fig. 5F and 5G). Interestingly, treatment with DHT
301 decreased the binding of P-STAT1 to the promoter and enhancer of *ACE2* and transcriptional
302 activation of the gene in response to IFN (Fig. 5F and G) as we had observed for *TMPRSS2*.
303 However, ChIP-qPCR assays indicated that this suppression involved direct binding of the AR
304 to the lung-active intronic enhancer and the promoter of *ACE2* (fig. S5G). These findings indicate
305 that IFN signaling promotes the binding of P-STAT1 to the promoter and lung-specific enhancers
306 of both *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2*, corroborating the hypothesis that sexually dimorphic IFN signaling
307 leads to elevated levels of SARS-Cov-2 entry receptors in the male alveolar epithelium. In
308 contrast, androgen does not exert these effects in lung epithelium.

309

310 **IFN-I and III Conspire to Upregulate the Expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* and Induce** 311 **Robust Viral Entry**

312 To identify the types of interferon that upregulates *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* expression, we
313 examined the effects of IFN-I (IFN α and IFN β), IFN-II (IFN γ) and IFN-III (IFN λ) on the expression
314 of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in AEpiC cells. qPCR indicated that IFN β and γ induce higher expression
315 of *ACE2* as compared to IFN α and λ (Fig. 6A). In contrast, IFN λ induced higher expression of
316 *TMPRSS2* as compared to IFN β , and IFN α and γ proved ineffective (Fig. 6B).
317 Immunofluorescent staining of non-permeabilized Calu-3 cells and qPCR analysis corroborated
318 the preferential upregulation of *ACE2* by IFN α and *TMPRSS2* by IFN λ (Fig. 6C, S6A, and S6B).
319 Immunoblotting analysis revealed that IFN α and IFN λ induce activation of JAK1 and TYK2 and,
320 downstream, phosphorylation of STAT1 and 2 and formation of the ISGF3 complex (fig. S6C).
321 As anticipated by JAK2's exclusion from type I and type III IFN-Rs (51), IFN α and IFN λ did not
322 induce its activation (fig. S6C, right). Consistent with their ability to engage molecularly distinct
323 receptors, IFN α and IFN λ induced JAK-STAT signaling with divergent kinetics: rapid for IFN α
324 and delayed for IFN λ (fig. S6C). Nevertheless, the two cytokines induced the accumulation of
325 the proteins encoded by their target genes *STAT1* and *STAT2* with a similar kinetics (fig. S6C
326 and S6D). Consistent with the low constitutive level of expression and the kinetics of
327 accumulation of the *ACE2* mRNA in response to IFN α or λ (Fig. 6A), the canonical 75 kD
328 membrane-anchored form of *ACE2* was not detectable in untreated cells but was robustly
329 induced at 12 hours and reached plateau expression at 24 hours. In contrast, *TMPRSS2* was
330 expressed under basal conditions but increased and reached plateau expression following the
331 same kinetics as *ACE2* (fig. S6C). Combinations of IFN α and IFN β and triple combinations
332 including IFN λ or γ did not stimulate expression of *ACE2* more than either IFN α or IFN β alone
333 (about 25-fold over control for both IFN α or IFN β). Conversely, combinations of IFN λ with IFN α
334 or IFN β and triple combinations including IFN γ did not induce higher expression of *TMPRSS2*
335 as compared to IFN λ alone (about 4-fold over control for IFN λ) (fig. S6E). In addition, treatment
336 with JAK inhibitors such as fedratinib, ruxolitinib and tofacitinib, but not prednisone
337 (corticosteroid), camostat or nafamostat (protease inhibitors) inhibited the IFN α dependent
338 induction of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* (Fig. 6D). Together with the results of ChIP studies, these
339 results provide strong evidence that *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* are IFN target genes and that *ACE2*
340 is predominantly controlled by IFN-I and *TMPRSS2* by IFN-III.

IFN-I and III cooperate to restrict viral infection of epithelial cells, including those lining the airways of the lung. Newly infected cells produce IFNs in response to activation of pattern recognition receptors, including cGAS-STING (51, 52). To examine if activation of such pathways and, hence endogenous production of IFNs, can upregulate expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in alveolar epithelial cells, we initially transduced dsDNA or LPS into AEpiC cells. qPCR revealed that dsDNA induces expression of both entry receptors, but LPS only upregulates *TMPRSS2* (Fig. 6E). Since LPS is recognized by the Toll-like Receptor 4 (TLR4), which predominantly impinges on NF- κ B signaling, the latter observation implies that *TMPRSS2* may be induced also by NF- κ B (53). To better model the effect of the viral RNA of SARS-CoV-2, we used Poly IC. As shown in Figure 6F, poly IC rapidly induced expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2*, suggesting that initial viral entry stimulates expression of viral entry receptors, potentially facilitating the entry of additional viruses. The expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* induced by Poly IC was suppressed by treatment of the cells with an inhibitor of TBK kinase (GSK8612), which controls expression of IFNs via NF- κ B (54), the JAK1/2 and TYK2 inhibitor ruxolitinib (49) and the NF- κ B inhibitor BAY-11-70-82 (55)(Fig. 6G). This pattern of inhibition is consistent with the signaling mechanisms that enable cGAS-STING to induce the expression of IFNs. Together, these observations suggest that SARS-CoV2 exploits the ability of its RNA to induce the production of IFN to radically increase the expression of its entry receptors on alveolar epithelial cells.

To determine if IFN can enhance the entry of SARS-CoV2 into alveolar epithelium, we used replication-defective lentiviral particles bearing coronavirus S proteins. Pseudotyped viral particles have been shown to enter into cells by binding to *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* (9). Firstly, we tested if knocking down *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* could hinder the entry of SARS-2S pseudotyped lentivirus in CALU3 cells. As show in Figure S6F and S6G, reduced expression of either one of the receptors significantly reduced the viral entry. We then stimulated AEpiC cells with IFN α for 16 hours and infected them with LVM-SARS-CoV-2_S, a SARS-2S pseudotyped lentivirus encoding luciferase. Stimulation with IFN α increased viral entry by 3-fold, and pre-treatment with the *TMPRSS2* protease inhibitors camostat and nafamostat inhibited it, confirming the dependency of this process on S protein binding and activation by *TMPRSS2* (Fig. 6H). Pre-treatment with the JAK inhibitors tofacitinib and ruxolitinib also suppressed the entry of the virus induced by IFN (Fig. 6H). To model the microenvironment of the early infection of alveolar

epithelium, we repeated the experiment by exposing the AEpiC cells to a mixture of IFN α and IFN λ . In agreement with its capacity to induce maximal expression of both ACE2 and TMPRSS2, the combination promoted a 5-fold increase in viral entry, which was largely reversed by ruxolitinib (Fig. 6I). These findings suggest that IFN-I and IFN-III can substantially upregulate the expression of viral entry receptors during the early phase of infection of the alveolar epithelium and that JAK inhibitors can interfere with this process.

TNF α Models Severe SARS-COV2 Disease and Cytokine Storm in Pulmonary Alveolar Epithelial Cells

To model the effect of cytokines present in the lung of COVID-19 patients affected by advanced lung disease, we tested IFN γ , TNF α , and additional cytokines, which have been found to correlate with or participate in disease progression (56-59). Notably, TNF α induced expression of *TMPRSS2* but not *ACE2*, whereas IFN γ induced expression of *ACE2* but not *TMPRSS2*. The two cytokines in combination did not exert a higher effect as compared to either one singly (Fig. 7A and S7A). None of the 14 additional cytokines tested induced a significant increase in the expression of *ACE2* or *TMPRSS2* (fig. S7B). Whereas the IFN γ receptor signals via JAK1/2 and STAT3, the TNF α receptor signals predominantly through activation of NF- κ B (51, 60). Accordingly, ChIP experiments revealed that IFN γ promotes the binding of p-STAT3 to the enhancer and promoter of *ACE2* but not of *TMPRSS2* (Fig. 7B and S7C). In contrast, TNF α promotes binding of the NF- κ B-p65 complex to the enhancer and promoter of *TMPRSS2* but not of *ACE2* (Fig. 7C and S7C). These findings indicate that at concentrations inferior to those inducing inflammatory cell death (56), TNF α and IFN γ induce a robust upregulation of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors in the alveolar epithelium.

We next asked if inhibition of JAK-STAT and NF- κ B signaling would suppress the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* induced by a combination of IFN γ and TNF α . Notably, BAY-11-7082 suppressed the expression of *ACE2* induced by IFN γ or IFN γ and TNF α , but the JAK1 inhibitor tofacitinib did not (Fig. 7D). While the inhibition of the effect of IFN γ by BAY-11-7082 may arise from the crosstalk between IRF, NF- κ B, and JAK-STAT pathways (61), the inability of tofacitinib to block the effect of IFN γ was unexpected. We therefore tested optimal concentrations of tofacitinib (JAK1i), fedratinib (JAK2i), and ruxolitinib (JAK1/2i) and found that only the latter

two compounds suppress the upregulation of ACE2 induced by IFN γ (Fig. 7E). These results suggest that the upregulation of ACE2 induced by IFN γ depends more on JAK2 than JAK1 under our experimental conditions. As anticipated, BAY-11-7082 suppressed the expression of *TMPRSS2* induced by TNF α and tofacitinib inhibited that induced by IFN γ (Fig. 7D). These results suggest that combined inhibition of JAK-STAT and NF- κ B signaling may inhibit the expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors induced by TNF α and IFN γ during the cytokine storm that typifies advanced disease.

We finally reasoned that IFN α and λ would continue to be produced during advanced disease as long as new alveolar epithelial cells are infected. We therefore asked if a combination of IFN α and λ and TNF α could promote a simultaneous and substantial upregulation of both ACE2 and *TMPRSS2*. Notably, we found that the triple combination induces higher expression of both *TMPRSS2* and ACE2 as compared to all double combinations or each cytokine alone (Fig. 7F). Moreover, the triple combination was superior to the combination of IFN γ and TNF α (Fig. 7A and 7F). Intriguingly, the NF- κ B inhibitor BAY-11-7082 or the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib substantially inhibited the entry of SARS-2S pseudotyped lentivirus into AEpiC cells stimulated with IFN α and λ and TNF α . When used in combination, the two inhibitors completely suppressed viral entry (Fig. 7G). These results demonstrate that IFNs and TNF α substantially upregulate ACE2 and *TMPRSS2* during severe disease and the combined inhibition of their signaling pathways may ameliorate disease progression.

Discussion

In this study, we provide evidence that sexually dimorphic IFN and, possibly, NF- κ B signaling upregulates expression of the viral entry receptors ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in male alveolar type I and II cells, potentially explaining why progression to SARS occurs much more frequently in this gender. Examination of primary alveolar epithelial cells indicates that IFN-I and III, which are involved in the protective immunity of epithelial surfaces (62, 63), dramatically upregulate the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* and facilitate viral entry of an S protein-pseudotyped virus. TNF- α and IFN γ , which are produced during the cytokine storm associated with the lethal phase of the disease (64), upregulate the entry receptors by a similar amplitude. Finally, pharmacological inhibition of JAK1/2 or both JAK1/2 and NF- κ B suppressed viral entry of the pseudotyped virus in cells stimulated with optimal combinations of IFNs or with TNF α and IFN γ , respectively. In addition to providing a potential explanation for the predominance of severe disease in men, these results indicate that SARS-Cov-2 hijacks viral immunity mechanisms to facilitate viral spreading and suggests novel and distinct therapeutic strategies for the prevention and treatment of SARS.

Recent studies using long-term human distal lung organoids have consolidated the view that SARS-CoV-2 can productively infect AT2 cells, resulting in an innate immune response, cell death and downregulation of surfactant expression (16, 65, 66). Upon examining a combined single-cell RNA-seq dataset consisting of 93,770 transcriptomes from 24 normal individuals, we found that *ACE2* is expressed in only a very small proportion of AT1 and, as previously reported (11, 15), AT2 cells (<1%). In contrast, *TMPRSS2* is expressed in a large fraction of both cell types (ca. 36%). Since approximately 50% of *ACE2*⁺ cells also express *TMPRSS2*, double-positive AT1 and AT2 cells are extremely rare in the normal lung (0.22% and 0.44%, respectively). We thus posited that additional signaling mechanisms upregulate the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in these cells and thereby facilitate initial viral entry as well as the progression of the infection, especially in the face of protective innate immunity mechanisms.

The mechanisms underlying the male prevalence of SARS are poorly understood. Intriguingly, we found that the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* is significantly higher in normal alveolar epithelial cells from males as compared to females. Previous studies have shown that women mount more robust immune responses against viruses and vaccines and exhibit superior immune-mediated tissue repair as compared to males (33). In addition, clinical

studies have shown that male patients with moderate COVID-19 have defective T cell responses that correlate with disease severity (30). While it is likely that differences in immune responses between the genders contribute to the higher disease severity in males, our finding that males possess about twice as many ACE2+ and TMPRSS2+ AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to females suggests that the male alveolar epithelium is more prone to SARS-CoV-2 infection because it contains a larger number of cells co-expressing the viral entry receptor and co-receptor.

Analysis of the ENCODE database identified distal enhancers of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* active in lung tissue. In contrast, the canonical AR-regulated enhancer of *TMPRSS2*, which is active in prostate epithelial cells, is located proximally and was not active in the lung. Transcription factor binding motif and GSEA suggested that several JAK-activated transcription factors, including STAT1 and 2, and IFN-induced transcription factors, including IRF1, are induced and bind to these novel enhancers and the promoter of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* to a larger extent in male AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to their female counterparts. In contrast, we did not detect a statistically significant difference in the level of expression or activity of AR between male and female AT1 and AT2 cells. Provocatively, GSEA revealed that several IFN-regulated signatures are amongst the top upregulated in male AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to their female counterparts. Consistently, several canonical IFN target genes were expressed at higher levels in male AT1 and AT2 cells as compared to their female counterpart. These results suggest that both *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* are IFN target genes in alveolar epithelial cells and that sexually dimorphic IFN signaling leads to higher levels of expression of both viral entry receptors in males, potentially explaining the increased susceptibility of males to SARS.

To directly confirm these findings, we examined the effect of IFN signaling on the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in primary human lung alveolar epithelial cells. We found that these cells respond to stimulation with IFN α by activating STAT1 and STAT2 in a JAK-dependent manner. Consistent with the notion that STAT1 and 2 are not only transcriptional activators but also target genes in the IFN signaling pathway (47, 48), IFN α robustly activated their expression, providing a feed-forward mechanism for amplification of signaling in the alveolar epithelium. Notably, treatment of human lung alveolar epithelial cells with IFN α promoted binding of p-STAT1 to the promoter and the enhancer of *ACE2*, transcriptional activation of the gene, and expression of *ACE2*. Similarly, IFN α induced binding of p-STAT1 to

the promoter and the lung-active distal enhancer of *TMPRSS2*, transcriptional activation of the gene, and expression of *TMPRSS2*. In contrast, treatment with androgen did not stimulate expression of the two entry receptors; in fact, it interfered with IFN-induced p-STAT1 binding to the regulatory elements of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* and therefore reduced their expression. Moreover, we found that the second-generation AR inhibitor enzalutamide does not reduce the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in primary alveolar epithelial cells. In fact, enzalutamide increased the expression of *TMPRSS2* in these cells, presumably by interfering with the ability of autocrine IFN signaling to induce STAT1/2 binding to the promoter and distal enhancer of *TMPRSS2*. Together, our results demonstrate that IFN signaling upregulates the expression of viral entry receptors in primary alveolar epithelial cells. Notably, these conclusions are not consistent with the previously held view that androgen contributes to the regulation of *TMPRSS2* in the distal lung, which was based on indirect evidence (21), retrospective clinical associations (22), or mechanistic studies in prostate cancer LNCaP cells (67).

Although alveolar epithelial cells express type I, II, and III IFN-Rs, IFN α and β induce expression of *ACE2* to a much larger extent (>20 fold over control) as compared to other IFNs. In contrast, IFN λ is the most potent inducer of *TMPRSS2* (>7 fold over control). Since the basal expression of *ACE2* is much lower than that of *TMPRSS2*, co-stimulation with type I and II IFN induces a relative overexpression of *TMPRSS2* as compared to *ACE2*, suggesting that multiple *TMPRSS2* co-receptors may assist a single *ACE2* receptor in mediating viral entry in alveolar epithelial cells. It is hypothesized that initial viral entry into naïve epithelial cells induces expression of both type I and III IFNs through pattern recognition receptors (62, 63). Intriguingly, we found that transduction of Poly IC, mimicking viral RNA, robustly upregulates the expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in alveolar epithelial cells, suggesting that viral entry and the ensuing liberation of viral RNA into the cytoplasm can induce production of IFNs and upregulation of viral entry receptors in a feed-forward mechanism. Importantly, our results also suggest that secreted type I and III IFN will upregulate the expression of viral entry receptors in adjacent epithelial cells, facilitating their infection. Consistent with the role of JAK-STAT signaling in this process, type I and III IFN stimulated entry of an S protein-pseudotyped virus in alveolar epithelial cells and JAK inhibitors reversed this process. These results suggest that JAK inhibitors may be effective in preventing the transition of COVID-19 disease to SARS in addition to ameliorating disease progression in SARS patients, as suggested by recent clinical trials (68, 69).

516 The cytokine shock syndrome often underlies the progression of SARS-CoV-2 to the
517 lethal stage (64). We found that TNF α upregulates the expression of TMPRSS2, whereas INF γ
518 controls the expression of ACE2. In contrast, 14 other cytokines potentially involved in the
519 cytokine storm do not affect the expression of either one of the two viral entry receptors.
520 Consistent with the prominent role of NF- κ B in TNF α receptor signaling and JAK-STAT3 in INF γ
521 signaling (70, 71), a combination of the IKK/NF- κ B inhibitor BAY-11-7082 and the JAK inhibitor
522 ruxolitinib completely suppressed viral entry induced by the combination of TNF α and INF γ in
523 alveolar epithelial cells. Intriguingly, prior studies have indicated that the combination of TNF α
524 and INF γ can induce PANoptosis (inflammatory cell death) of epithelial cells and promotes death
525 in mice infected with SARS-CoV2 (56). Our results suggest that the ability of TNF α and INF γ to
526 upregulate entry receptors and promote further spreading of the virus in infected cells may
527 potentiate the toxic effect of the cytokine storm on lung epithelial cells. Irrespective of the relative
528 contribution of viral spreading and cytokine toxicity to disease progression, our results suggest
529 that combinations of JAK inhibitors and clinically approved NF- κ B inhibitors, such as salicylates
530 (72), should be tested in the advanced stage of COVID-19.

531 Recent studies have shown that even single virions can productively infect AT2 cells in
532 alveolar organoids. Notably, whereas high levels of IFN limit further infection, resulting in modest
533 viral burden, low levels of IFN exerts the opposite effect, suggesting that IFN signaling can exert
534 a bimodal effect depending on its dose (16, 66). We propose that viral mechanisms enable newly
535 infected cells to titrate the production of type I and III IFNs to a level that is insufficient to mediate
536 viral restriction but is sufficient to upregulate viral entry receptors. Consistently, it has been
537 observed that SARS-CoV-2 infection drives lower antiviral transcription marked by low IFN-I and
538 IFN-III levels as compared to common respiratory viruses (73). By necessity, most of the studies
539 on viral proteins suppressing IFN signaling have been conducted by using overexpression of
540 individual viral genes and, thus, cannot provide definitive information on the level of IFN signaling
541 induced in naturally infected cells (74-78). Future studies using a recently developed *trans*-
542 complementation system will contribute to a better understanding of how SARS-CoV-2 fine-
543 tunes IFN signaling to facilitate its spreading in the host (79). We further propose that type I IFN
544 immunity plays a similar bimodal role also in late-stage disease. In fact, it has been reported that
545 inborn errors of IFN-I immunity or autoantibodies to IFN-I are present, at a low rate, in patients
546 with a life-threatening disease, but they are completely absent in those with mild disease (80,

81). In contrast, immunophenotyping of patients suggest that that the IFN-I response exacerbate inflammation in severe COVID-19 (28) and IFN-I and III disrupt lung epithelial repair during recovery from viral infection (82).

In conclusion, our study indicates that sexually dimorphic IFN-I and III signaling upregulates the expression of the SARS-CoV-2 entry receptors *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in lung alveolar epithelium, providing a potential mechanism for the male-biased incidence of SARS in COVID-19 patients. We also demonstrate that SARS-CoV-2 hijacks IFN-I and III signaling to upregulate the viral entry receptors and hence facilitate viral spreading during initial infection of the alveolar epithelium. Furthermore, induced as components of the cytokine storm, TNF- α and IFN-II produce a similar upregulation of viral entry receptors and a direct cytopathic effect during the late phase of the disease. Based on these results, we suggest that JAK1/TYK2 inhibitors, such as ruxolitinib, may be used in conjunction with antivirals to halt viral spread from the upper respiratory tract to the distal lung, reducing the incidence of SARS. Furthermore, combinations of JAK1/2, such as baricitinib, and NF- κ B inhibitors, such as salicylate, may be more efficacious as compared to current treatments during advanced SARS in COVID-19. In addition to informing our ongoing understanding of COVID-19 pathophysiology, these findings suggest novel therapeutic strategies and regimens for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 SARS.

We were unable to include several scRNAseq datasets in this study due to unavailability of sex information. Our results on sexually dimorphic IFN signaling and expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2* in alveolar epithelial cells are therefore based on a comparison of a relatively small number of individual samples. In addition, we did not validate these results by using an independent approach. Finally, although our results suggest that SARS-Cov-2 does not suppress IFN signaling in infected cells as profoundly as other viruses, this model will need to be validated by using the wild-type virus or a trans-complementation system.

577 **Methods**

578 **Study Design**

579 We have used public scRNAseq datasets to compare the level of expression of SARS-CoV-2
580 entry receptors in male and female lung alveolar epithelial cells. By using transcription factor
581 binding motif analysis and gene set enrichment analysis, we have then identified the signaling
582 pathways potentially able to regulate the expression of TMPRSS2 and ACE2 in alveolar type I
583 and II cells. To confirm these observations, we have examined the ability of IFN and NFκB
584 signaling to elevate the expression of both entry receptors in primary alveolar epithelial cells by
585 using ChIP-Q-PCR, Q-PCR, and immunoblotting with antibodies to activated JAK and STAT
586 isoforms. Finally, we have used a pseudo-typed lentiviral reporter vector to study the effect of
587 IFN and NFκB signaling and specific inhibitors on viral entry in primary alveolar epithelial cells.

589 **Reagents**

590 A list of reagents, including antibodies, probes, cell lines, chemical reagents and software, can
591 be found in the Resources table (Supplementary Table 1).

593 **Single cell RNA sequencing datasets**

594 Three publicly available scRNA-seq datasets were obtained as follows: 1) processed data
595 including count and metadata tables of healthy lung tissue was downloaded from Figshare
596 (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.11981034.v1>); 2) h5 files of normal lungs were extracted
597 from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) under
598 accession number GSE122960; and 3) processed data including count and metadata tables of
599 human lung tissue was acquired from GSE130148. All three datasets were generated on
600 Illumina HiSeq 4000. Characteristics of all the samples containing sample name, sex, age and
601 smoking status are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

603 **Single cell RNA sequencing data analysis**

604 Count matrix was used to create a Seurat object for each dataset, and the three Seurat objects
605 were further merged into a new Seurat object with the resulting combined count matrix. The
606 merged matrix was first normalized using a global-scaling normalization method “LogNormalize”
607 in Seurat v.3.2.0 with default parameters. To detect the most variable genes used for principal
608 component analysis (PCA), variable gene selection was performed and the top 2,000 variable
609 genes were then selected using the ‘vst’ selection method in Seurat FindVariableFeatures
610 function. All genes were scaled in the scaling step and PCA was performed using the selected
611 top 2,000 informative genes. To do batch effect correction, Harmony algorithm was run on the
612 top 50 PCA embeddings using RunHarmony function. Then UMAP calculation was performed
613 on the top 30 Harmony embeddings for visualizing the cells. Meanwhile, graph-based clustering
614 was done on the harmony-reduced data. The final resolution was set to 0.2 to obtain a better
615 clustering result.

617 **Generation of histone modification enrichment profiles and transcription factor binding motif analysis**

618 H3K27ac and H3K4me3 binding profiles were constructed using publicly available ENCODE
619 datasets. Accession numbers for all ENCODE datasets used can be found in Encode Data Sets
620 Table (Supplementary Table 3). The data were visualized with UCSC genome browser (83, 84).
621 Predicted enhancer regions of TMPRSS2 were identified using the GeneHancer tool within

Track Data Hubs of UCSC genome browser (85, 86). Promoter and enhancer associated transcription factors were predicted by JASPAR (87).

Gene set enrichment analysis

Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) were performed according to the instructions. Gene sets of Hallmark Collection, Canonical Pathway (including KEGG Pathway, Biocarta Pathway, Reactome Pathway and PID Pathway), and GO Biological Process were used. All transcription factor targets are from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) version 7.1. The interferon signatures were searched from MSigDB with the Keyword: interferon and the Search Filters: "H: hallmark gene sets; --CP: canonical pathways; --GO: Gene Ontology".

Cell lines and cell culture

All cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. AEpiC cells were obtained from Cell Biologics (#H-6053), and Calu-3 cells were obtained from ATCC (#). AEpiC cells were incubated with Alveolar Epithelial Cell Medium (ScienCell, #3201) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum, penicillin, streptomycin, and epithelial growth supplement. Calu-3 cells were incubated with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin, and streptomycin.

Western blotting

For immunoblotting, cells were washed once with PBS and lysed in either RIPA buffer with protease inhibitor or Laemmli sample buffer with BME. Samples were quantified with Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, #23225) where applicable, and boiled for 5 minutes before gel loading. Lysates were run on 4-15% precast electrophoresis gels, and transferred to PVDF membranes. Membranes were blocked for 1 hour with 5% BSA, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies (5% BSA) in 4 degrees, with Rho-GDI as the endogenous control. Membranes were washed 3Xs with TBST, incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibody (5% BSA or milk), and visualized using ECL.

Quantitative PCR (qPCR)

For qPCR analysis, cells were harvested and RNA was extracted using the Maxwell RSC simplyRNA Cells kit (Promega #AS1390). cDNA synthesis was conducted with the qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quantabio #95048). qPCR was achieved using TaqMan probes to the appropriate protein of interest, with 18S as the endogenous control.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

1x10⁶ cells were crosslinked with 2mM DSG for 45 minutes, then with 1% formaldehyde for 25 minutes, both performed at room temperature (RT). To stop the crosslinking, glycine was added to a final concentration of 0.125M, then incubated at RT for 5 min. Cells were collected by scraping from the dishes, then washed with PBS three times. Pellets were resuspended in 0.5ml of SDS lysis buffer (1% SDS, 10mM EDTA, 50mM Tris-HCl, pH8.0)/PIC/PMSF/Sodium butyrate mix, then incubated on ice for 10 minutes. The crosslinked cellular lysates were then sonicated with a Diagnode sonicator. After sonication, samples were aliquoted into a 1.7ml tube. Tubes were centrifuged at max speed for 10 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was then transferred to a new 1.7ml tube. To prepare chromatin immunoprecipitation sample, per 0.1ml of sonicated sample, 0.9ml of dilution buffer (50mM Tris-HCl, pH8.0, 0.167M NaCl, 1.1% Triton X-100, 0.11% sodium deoxycholate)/PIC/PMSF/Sodium butyrate mix was added, followed by antibody bound Dynabeads. The tubes were gently mixed and placed on a rocker at 4°C. The tubes were then

placed in magnetic stand, inverted several times, and beads were allowed to clump. The supernatant was then discarded. Beads were flicked to resuspend and were then washed with 1X RIPA-150, 1X RIPA-500, 1X RIPA-LiCl, and 2X TE buffer (pH 8.0), for 5 minutes each on a rocker at 4°C. After each wash, the tubes were again placed on a magnetic stand and supernatant was discarded after the beads clumped. Beads were then resuspended in 200µl of Direct Elution Buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH8.0, 0.3M NaCl, 5mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS). 1µl of RNaseA was added and incubated at 65°C to reverse crosslink. The tubes were quickly centrifuged, placed on a magnetic stand, and supernatant was transferred to a new low-bind tube after beads clumped. 3µl of Proteinase K was added and incubated for 2hrs at 55°C. The sample was purified using phase lock tubes and ethanol precipitation. Samples were resuspended in 25µl of Qiagen elution buffer. DNA was amplified by real-time PCR (ABI Power SYBR Green PCR mix).

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP)

Calu3 cells were incubated with either IFN-α and IFN-β, or IFN-λ for 3 hours. After IFN stimulation, cells were lysed in 1 ml of ice-cold non-denaturing lysis buffer (20 mM, Tris-HCl pH8, 137 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Nonidet P-40, and 2 mM EDTA) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, 78429). Whole cell extracts were incubated with either STAT1, STAT2, or control rabbit IgG antibody and protein G beads (Invitrogen, 10003D) overnight at 4 °C while rotating. Beads were washed 3 times with ice cold nondenaturing lysis buffer and boiled in 4x Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad, #1610747) for 10 mins. For immunoblotting, samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and then transferred to PVDF membranes. Afterward, membranes were probed with antibodies against STAT1, STAT2, IRF9, and rabbit IgG.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were plated onto round coverslips. Cells were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes and blocked by 5% BSA for 1 hour. The cells were then incubated with primary antibodies overnight, followed by incubation with the fluorochrome-conjugated secondary anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) for 1 hour at 37 °C. After staining, the slides were counterstained with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich, cat# D9542, 5 µg/ml) for 10 minutes and cover slipped with Mowiol.

Pseudovirus SARS-Cov-2 infection

Lentivirus pseudotyped with SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein was supplied by VectorBuilder (Catalog #: LVM-SARS-CoV-2_S(VB160426-1050cgb)-C) with Luciferase as a reporter. Following pre-exposures without and with either drugs or cytokines, AEpC and Calu-3 cells were infected with pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection of 0.1 in triplicate. Transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified 48 hours post transduction by measuring the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates. Luciferase signal was measured according to Dual-Glo® Luciferase Assay System - Promega Corporation.

Gene transfer and RNA interference

shRNA expression in mammalian cells was achieved by means of lentiviral vectors. Lipofectamine 3000 was used to co-transfect transfer plasmids and packaging vectors in 293T cells. Calu-3 cells were transduced by incubation with lentiviral vector suspensions, in the presence of 8 µg/ml polybrene, for 8–12 hours. In other experiments, cDNA and small interfering RNA-expressing constructs were transiently transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Statistics

Statistical analysis used R and GraphPad Prism 8 software. At least three biologically independent samples were used to determine significance. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Non-parametric two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to identify differentially expressed genes in all the comparisons discussed in scRNA-seq analysis. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. The Fisher's exact test was used to compare the ratio of double positive cells between groups. Only p values of 0.05 or lower were considered statistically significant ($p > 0.05$ [ns, not significant], $p \leq 0.05$ [*], $p \leq 0.01$ [**], $p \leq 0.001$ [***], $p \leq 0.0001$ [****]).

755 **Author Contributions:** F.G.G. conceived and led the study. F.G.G., Y.W. conceived the
756 hypotheses and designed and analyzed the experiments. Y.W., S.G., H.C., S.L., E.C., Y.J.,
757 H.H.L., and F.G.G. wrote the manuscript. Y.W., S.G., H.C., S.L., E.C., Y.J., H.H.L. performed
758 and analyzed experiments.

759 **Acknowledgements:** This work was supported by NIH grants R35 CA197566 (Outstanding
760 Investigator Award to F.G.G.), and by CPRIT Recruitment of Established Investigators Award
761 RR160031 (to F.G.G.). We thank members of the Giancotti laboratory for discussions.

762 **Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

763 **Data and materials availability:** All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are
764 present in the paper or the Supplementary Materials.

765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787

References

1. Wu JT, Leung K, Bushman M, Kishore N, Niehus R, de Salazar PM, et al. Estimating clinical severity of COVID-19 from the transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(4):506-10.
2. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. *Int J Surg*. 2020;78:185-93.
3. Le TT, Cramer JP, Chen R, and Mayhew S. Evolution of the COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2020;19(10):667-8.
4. Khamsi R. If a coronavirus vaccine arrives, can the world make enough? . *Nature*. 2020;580(7805):578-80.
5. Haynes BF, Corey L, Fernandes P, Gilbert PB, Hotez PJ, Rao S, et al. Prospects for a safe COVID-19 vaccine. *Sci Transl Med*. 2020;12(568).
6. Lan J, Ge J, Yu J, Shan S, Zhou H, Fan S, et al. Structure of the SARS-CoV-2 spike receptor-binding domain bound to the ACE2 receptor. *Nature*. 2020;581(7807):215-20.
7. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):1708-20.
8. Gupta A, Madhavan MV, Sehgal K, Nair N, Mahajan S, Sehrawat TS, et al. Extrapulmonary manifestations of COVID-19. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(7):1017-32.
9. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell*. 2020;181(2):271-80 e8.
10. Ghosh S, Dellibovi-Ragheb TA, Kerviel A, Pak, E., Qiu, Q., and Fisher M, Takvorian, P. M., Bleck, C., Hsu, V. W., Fehr, A. R., Perlman, S., Achar, S. R., Straus, M. R., Whittaker, G. R., de Haan, C., Kehrl, J., Altan-Bonnet, G., & Altan-Bonnet, N. β -Coronaviruses Use Lysosomes for Egress Instead of the Biosynthetic Secretory Pathway. *Cell*. 2020;183(6):1520-35.
11. Hou YJ, Okuda K, Edwards CE, Martinez DR, Asakura T, Dinno KH, 3rd, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Reverse Genetics Reveals a Variable Infection Gradient in the Respiratory Tract. *Cell*. 2020;182(2):429-46 e14.
12. Sungnak W, Huang N, Becavin C, Berg M, Queen R, Litvinukova M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly expressed in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. *Nat Med*. 2020;26(5):681-7.
13. Smith JC, Sausville EL, Girish V, Yuan ML, Vasudevan A, John KM, et al. Cigarette Smoke Exposure and Inflammatory Signaling Increase the Expression of the SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 in the Respiratory Tract. *Dev Cell*. 2020;53(5):514-29 e3.
14. Lukassen S, Chua RL, Trefzer T, Kahn NC, Schneider MA, Muley T, et al. SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are primarily expressed in bronchial transient secretory cells. *EMBO J*. 2020;39(10):e105114.
15. Ziegler CGK, Allon SJ, Nyquist SK, Mbano IM, Miao VN, Tzouanas CN, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 Is an Interferon-Stimulated Gene in Human Airway Epithelial Cells and Is Detected in Specific Cell Subsets across Tissues. *Cell*. 2020;181(5):1016-35 e19.
16. Katsura H, Sontake V, Tata A, Kobayashi Y, Edwards CE, Heaton BE, et al. Human Lung Stem Cell-Based Alveolospheres Provide Insights into SARS-CoV-2-Mediated Interferon Responses and Pneumocyte Dysfunction. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2020;27(6):890-904 e8.

- 831 17. Ng KW, Attig J, Bolland W, Young GR, Major J, Wrobel AG, et al. Tissue-specific and interferon-
832 inducible expression of nonfunctional ACE2 through endogenous retroelement co-option. *Nat*
833 *Genet.* 2020;52(12):1294-302.
- 834 18. Onabajo OO, Banday AR, Stanifer ML, Yan W, Obajemu A, Santer DM, et al. Interferons and
835 viruses induce a novel truncated ACE2 isoform and not the full-length SARS-CoV-2 receptor. *Nat*
836 *Genet.* 2020;52(12):1283-93.
- 837 19. Stopsack KH, Mucci LA, Antonarakis ES, Nelson PS, and Kantoff PW. TMPRSS2 and COVID-19:
838 Serendipity or Opportunity for Intervention? *Cancer Discov.* 2020;10(6):779-82.
- 839 20. Tomlins SA, Rhodes DR, Perner S, Dhanasekaran SM, Mehra R, Sun XW, et al. Recurrent fusion
840 of TMPRSS2 and ETS transcription factor genes in prostate cancer. *Science.*
841 2005;310(5748):644-8.
- 842 21. Samuel RM, Majd H, Richter MN, Ghazizadeh Z, Zekavat SM, Navickas A, et al. Androgen
843 Signaling Regulates SARS-CoV-2 Receptor Levels and Is Associated with Severe COVID-19
844 Symptoms in Men. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2020;27(6):876-89 e12.
- 845 22. Montopoli M, Zumerle S, Vettor R, Rugge M, Zorzi M, Catapano CV, et al. Androgen-deprivation
846 therapies for prostate cancer and risk of infection by SARS-CoV-2: a population-based study (N
847 = 4532). *Ann Oncol.* 2020;31(8):1040-5.
- 848 23. Gebhard C, Regitz-Zagrosek V, Neuhauser HK, Morgan R, and Klein SL. Impact of sex and gender
849 on COVID-19 outcomes in Europe. *Biol Sex Differ.* 2020;11(1):29.
- 850 24. Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, Bacon S, Bates C, Morton CE, et al. Factors associated
851 with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature.* 2020;584(7821):430-6.
- 852 25. Zhou R, To KK, Wong YC, Liu L, Zhou B, Li X, et al. Acute SARS-CoV-2 Infection Impairs Dendritic
853 Cell and T Cell Responses. *Immunity.* 2020;53(4):864-77 e5.
- 854 26. Kaneko N, Kuo HH, Boucau J, Farmer JR, Allard-Chamard H, Mahajan VS, et al. Loss of Bcl-6-
855 Expressing T Follicular Helper Cells and Germinal Centers in COVID-19. *Cell.* 2020;183(1):143-57
856 e13.
- 857 27. Liao M, Liu Y, Yuan J, Wen Y, Xu G, Zhao J, et al. Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar
858 immune cells in patients with COVID-19. *Nat Med.* 2020;26(6):842-4.
- 859 28. Lee JS, Park S, Jeong HW, Ahn JY, Choi SJ, Lee H, et al. Immunophenotyping of COVID-19 and
860 influenza highlights the role of type I interferons in development of severe COVID-19. *Sci*
861 *Immunol.* 2020;5(49).
- 862 29. Chua RL, Lukassen S, Trump S, Hennig BP, Wendisch D, Pott F, et al. COVID-19 severity
863 correlates with airway epithelium-immune cell interactions identified by single-cell analysis. *Nat*
864 *Biotechnol.* 2020;38(8):970-9.
- 865 30. Takahashi T, Ellingson MK, Wong P, Israelow B, Lucas C, Klein J, et al. Sex differences in immune
866 responses that underlie COVID-19 disease outcomes. *Nature.* 2020;588(7837):315-20.
- 867 31. Flanagan KL, Fink AL, Plebanski M, and Klein SL. Sex and Gender Differences in the Outcomes of
868 Vaccination over the Life Course. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol.* 2017;33:577-99.
- 869 32. Klein SL, and Flanagan KL. Sex differences in immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol.*
870 2016;16(10):626-38.
- 871 33. Bunders MJ, and Altfeld M. Implications of Sex Differences in Immunity for SARS-CoV-2
872 Pathogenesis and Design of Therapeutic Interventions. *Immunity.* 2020;53(3):487-95.
- 873 34. Vieira Braga FA, Kar G, Berg M, Carpaij OA, Polanski K, Simon LM, et al. A cellular census of
874 human lungs identifies novel cell states in health and in asthma. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(7):1153-63.

- 875 35. Reyfman PA, Walter JM, Joshi N, Anekalla KR, McQuattie-Pimentel AC, Chiu S, et al. Single-Cell
876 Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Lung Provides Insights into the Pathobiology of Pulmonary
877 Fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019;199(12):1517-36.
- 878 36. Korsunsky I, Millard N, Fan J, Slowikowski K, Zhang F, Wei K, et al. Fast, sensitive and accurate
879 integration of single-cell data with Harmony. *Nat Methods*. 2019;16(12):1289-96.
- 880 37. Muus C, Luecken MD, Eraslan G, Sikkema L, Waghray A, Heimberg G, et al. Single-cell meta-
881 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes across tissues and demographics. *Nat Med*. 2021;27(3):546-
882 59.
- 883 38. Smillie CS, Biton M, Ordovas-Montanes J, Sullivan KM, Burgin G, Graham DB, et al. Intra- and
884 Inter-cellular Rewiring of the Human Colon during Ulcerative Colitis. *Cell*. 2019;178(3):714-30
885 e22.
- 886 39. Muus C, Luecken MD, Eraslan G, Waghray A, Heimberg G, Sikkema L, et al. Integrated analyses
887 of single-cell atlases reveal age, gender, and smoking status associations with cell type-specific
888 expression of mediators of SARS-CoV-2 viral entry and highlights inflammatory programs in
889 putative target cells. *bioRxiv*. 2020:2020.04.19.049254.
- 890 40. Madisson E, Wilbrey-Clark A, Miragaia RJ, Saeb-Parsy K, Mahbubani KT, Georgakopoulos N, et
891 al. scRNA-seq assessment of the human lung, spleen, and esophagus tissue stability after cold
892 preservation. *Genome Biol*. 2019;21(1):1.
- 893 41. Zhang P, Yang M, Zhang Y, Xiao S, Lai X, Tan A, et al. Dissecting the Single-Cell Transcriptome
894 Network Underlying Gastric Premalignant Lesions and Early Gastric Cancer. *Cell Rep*.
895 2019;27(6):1934-47 e5.
- 896 42. Ren X, Wen W, Fan X, Hou W, Su B, Cai P, et al. COVID-19 immune features revealed by a large-
897 scale single-cell transcriptome atlas. *Cell*. 2021;184(7):1895-913 e19.
- 898 43. Ravindra NG, Alfajaro MM, Gasque V, Wei J, Filler RB, Huston NC, et al. Single-cell longitudinal
899 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in human bronchial epithelial cells. *bioRxiv*. 2020.
- 900 44. Yeung ML, Teng JLL, Jia L, Zhang C, Huang C, Cai JP, et al. Soluble ACE2-mediated cell entry of
901 SARS-CoV-2 via interaction with proteins related to the renin-angiotensin system. *Cell*.
902 2021;184(8):2212-28 e12.
- 903 45. Liu J, Ji H, Zheng W, Wu X, Zhu JJ, Arnold AP, et al. Sex differences in renal angiotensin
904 converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) activity are 17beta-oestradiol-dependent and sex chromosome-
905 independent. *Biol Sex Differ*. 2010;1(1):6.
- 906 46. Tukiainen T, Villani AC, Yen A, Rivas MA, Marshall JL, Satija R, et al. Landscape of X chromosome
907 inactivation across human tissues. *Nature*. 2017;550(7675):244-8.
- 908 47. Darnell JE, Jr., Kerr IM, and Stark GR. Jak-STAT pathways and transcriptional activation in
909 response to IFNs and other extracellular signaling proteins. *Science*. 1994;264(5164):1415-21.
- 910 48. Au-Yeung N, Mandhana R, and Horvath CM. Transcriptional regulation by STAT1 and STAT2 in
911 the interferon JAK-STAT pathway. *JAKSTAT*. 2013;2(3):e23931.
- 912 49. Clark JD, Flanagan ME, and Telliez JB. Discovery and development of Janus kinase (JAK)
913 inhibitors for inflammatory diseases. *J Med Chem*. 2014;57(12):5023-38.
- 914 50. Crapo JD, Young SL, Fram EK, Pinkerton KE, Barry BE, and Crapo RO. Morphometric
915 characteristics of cells in the alveolar region of mammalian lungs. *Am Rev Respir Dis*.
916 1983;128(2 Pt 2):S42-6.
- 917 51. Mesev EV, LeDesma RA, and Ploss A. Decoding type I and III interferon signalling during viral
918 infection. *Nat Microbiol*. 2019;4(6):914-24.

919 52. Goubau D, Deddouche S, and Reis e Sousa C. Cytosolic sensing of viruses. *Immunity*.
920 2013;38(5):855-69.

921 53. Uematsu S, and Akira S. Toll-like receptors and Type I interferons. *J Biol Chem*.
922 2007;282(21):15319-23.

923 54. Motwani M, Pesiridis S, and Fitzgerald KA. DNA sensing by the cGAS-STING pathway in health
924 and disease. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2019;20(11):657-74.

925 55. Pierce JW, Schoenleber R, Jesmok G, Best J, Moore SA, Collins T, et al. Novel inhibitors of
926 cytokine-induced I κ B phosphorylation and endothelial cell adhesion molecule
927 expression show anti-inflammatory effects in vivo. *J Biol Chem*. 1997;272(34):21096-103.

928 56. Karki R, Sharma BR, Tuladhar S, Williams EP, Zalduondo L, Samir P, et al. Synergism of TNF- α
929 and IFN- γ Triggers Inflammatory Cell Death, Tissue Damage, and Mortality in SARS-CoV-2
930 Infection and Cytokine Shock Syndromes. *Cell*. 2021;184(1):149-68 e17.

931 57. Su Y, Chen D, Yuan D, Lausted C, Choi J, Dai CL, et al. Multi-Omics Resolves a Sharp Disease-
932 State Shift between Mild and Moderate COVID-19. *Cell*. 2020;183(6):1479-95 e20.

933 58. Szabo PA, Dogra P, Gray JJ, Wells SB, Connors TJ, Weisberg SP, et al. Analysis of respiratory and
934 systemic immune responses in COVID-19 reveals mechanisms of disease pathogenesis.
935 *medRxiv*. 2020.

936 59. Liu J, Li S, Liu J, Liang B, Wang X, Wang H, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte
937 responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients.
938 *EBioMedicine*. 2020;55:102763.

939 60. Chen G, and Goeddel DV. TNF-R1 signaling: a beautiful pathway. *Science*. 2002;296(5573):1634-
940 5.

941 61. Czerkies M, Korwek Z, Prus W, Kochanczyk M, Jaruszewicz-Blonska J, Tudelska K, et al. Cell fate
942 in antiviral response arises in the crosstalk of IRF, NF- κ B and JAK/STAT pathways. *Nat*
943 *Commun*. 2018;9(1):493.

944 62. Hammad H, and Lambrecht BN. Barrier Epithelial Cells and the Control of Type 2 Immunity.
945 *Immunity*. 2015;43(1):29-40.

946 63. Stetson DB, and Medzhitov R. Type I interferons in host defense. *Immunity*. 2006;25(3):373-81.

947 64. Mangalmurti N, and Hunter CA. Cytokine Storms: Understanding COVID-19. *Immunity*.
948 2020;53(1):19-25.

949 65. Salahudeen AA, Choi SS, Rustagi A, Zhu J, van Unen V, de la OS, et al. Progenitor identification
950 and SARS-CoV-2 infection in human distal lung organoids. *Nature*. 2020;588(7839):670-5.

951 66. Youk J, Kim T, Evans KV, Jeong YI, Hur Y, Hong SP, et al. Three-Dimensional Human Alveolar
952 Stem Cell Culture Models Reveal Infection Response to SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Stem Cell*.
953 2020;27(6):905-19 e10.

954 67. Qiao Y, Wang XM, Mannan R, Pitchiaya S, Zhang Y, Wotring JW, et al. Targeting transcriptional
955 regulation of SARS-CoV-2 entry factors ACE2 and TMPRSS2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020.

956 68. Kalil AC, Patterson TF, Mehta AK, Tomashek KM, Wolfe CR, Ghazaryan V, et al. Baricitinib plus
957 Remdesivir for Hospitalized Adults with Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;384(9):795-807.

958 69. Stebbing J, Sanchez Nieves G, Falcone M, Youhanna S, Richardson P, Ottaviani S, et al. JAK
959 inhibition reduces SARS-CoV-2 liver infectivity and modulates inflammatory responses to
960 reduce morbidity and mortality. *Sci Adv*. 2021;7(1).

961 70. Brenner D, Blaser H, and Mak TW. Regulation of tumour necrosis factor signalling: live or let die.
962 *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2015;15(6):362-74.

71. Villarino AV, Kanno Y, and O'Shea JJ. Mechanisms and consequences of Jak-STAT signaling in the immune system. *Nat Immunol.* 2017;18(4):374-84.
72. Kopp E, and Ghosh S. Inhibition of NF-kappa B by sodium salicylate and aspirin. *Science.* 1994;265(5174):956-9.
73. Blanco-Melo D, Nilsson-Payant BE, Liu WC, Uhl S, Hoagland D, Moller R, et al. Imbalanced Host Response to SARS-CoV-2 Drives Development of COVID-19. *Cell.* 2020;181(5):1036-45 e9.
74. Lokugamage KG, Hage A, de Vries M, Valero-Jimenez AM, Schindewolf C, Dittmann M, et al. Type I Interferon Susceptibility Distinguishes SARS-CoV-2 from SARS-CoV. *J Virol.* 2020;94(23).
75. Miorin L, Kehrer T, Sanchez-Aparicio MT, Zhang K, Cohen P, Patel RS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Orf6 hijacks Nup98 to block STAT nuclear import and antagonize interferon signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2020;117(45):28344-54.
76. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, et al. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):1620.
77. Shin D, Mukherjee R, Grewe D, Bojkova D, Baek K, Bhattacharya A, et al. Papain-like protease regulates SARS-CoV-2 viral spread and innate immunity. *Nature.* 2020;587(7835):657-62.
78. Xia H, Cao Z, Xie X, Zhang X, Chen JY, Wang H, et al. Evasion of Type I Interferon by SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Rep.* 2020;33(1):108234.
79. Zhang X, Liu Y, Liu J, Bailey AL, Plante KS, Plante JA, et al. A trans-complementation system for SARS-CoV-2 recapitulates authentic viral replication without virulence. *Cell.* 2021;184(8):2229-38 e13.
80. Bastard P, Rosen LB, Zhang Q, Michailidis E, Hoffmann HH, Zhang Y, et al. Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science.* 2020;370(6515).
81. Zhang Q, Bastard P, Liu Z, Le Pen J, Moncada-Velez M, Chen J, et al. Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science.* 2020;370(6515).
82. Major J, Crotta S, Llorian M, McCabe TM, Gad HH, Priestnall SL, et al. Type I and III interferons disrupt lung epithelial repair during recovery from viral infection. *Science.* 2020;369(6504):712-7.
83. Davis CA, Hitz BC, Sloan CA, Chan ET, Davidson JM, Gabdank I, et al. The Encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE): data portal update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(D1):D794-D801.
84. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, et al. The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res.* 2002;12(6):996-1006.
85. Fishilevich S, Nudel R, Rappaport N, Hadar R, Plaschkes I, Iny Stein T, et al. GeneHancer: genome-wide integration of enhancers and target genes in GeneCards. *Database (Oxford).* 2017;2017.
86. Raney BJ, Dreszer TR, Barber GP, Clawson H, Fujita PA, Wang T, et al. Track data hubs enable visualization of user-defined genome-wide annotations on the UCSC Genome Browser. *Bioinformatics.* 2014;30(7):1003-5.
87. Fornes O, Castro-Mondragon JA, Khan A, van der Lee R, Zhang X, Richmond PA, et al. JASPAR 2020: update of the open-access database of transcription factor binding profiles. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020;48(D1):D87-D92.

.007 **Figure legends**

.008 **Figure 1. Gene expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 occurs largely in AT1 and AT2 epithelial** .009 **cells.**

.010 (A) Schematic demonstrating the integration of multiple single cell RNA sequencing datasets
.011 from healthy human lung samples (n = 24).

.012 (B) Stacked bar plot showing the fraction of each sample represented in each cluster. X-axis is
.013 the cluster (#0-13), and y-axis is the fraction of each sample that makes up each cluster.

.014 (C) UMAP visualization of 93,770 human lung cells colored by cell type and annotated on the
.015 basis of marker genes.

.016 (D) Expression of selected canonical cell markers by cell type. The size of the dot correlates to
.017 the percentage of cells within a cell type in which that marker was detected. The color shows the
.018 average expression level. AT1 = alveolar type I; AT2 = alveolar type II.

.019 (E-G) UMAP projection with cells colored by detection of *ACE2* (E), *TMPRSS2* (F) or
.020 *ACE2+/TMPRSS2+* (G). The percentages of AT1 and of AT2 cells expressing *ACE2*, *TMPRSS2*
.021 or *ACE2/TMPRSS2*, respectively, are also given.

.022

.023 **Figure 2. The expression level of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 is sex-related.**

.024 (A and B) Expression of *ACE2* (A) and *TMPRSS2* (B) in males and females, respectively, by cell
.025 type. The size of the dot correlates to the percentage of cells within a cell type in which
.026 *ACE2/TMPRSS2* was detected. The color encodes the average expression level.

.027 (C and D) Normalized expression of *ACE2* (C) and *TMPRSS2* (D) in males (red) and females
.028 (blue) in AT1 and AT2 cells. Asterisks indicate significant adjusted p-value of comparison
.029 between males and females. *** p.adj < 0.001.

.030 (E) The ratio of *ACE2+/TMPRSS2+* double positive cells in AT1 (left) and AT2 (right) cells in
.031 males (red) and females (blue). * p < 0.05; *** p < 0.001.

.032

.033 **Figure 3. Lung-specific enhancers of TMPRSS2 are enriched in binding sites targeted by** .034 **interferon transcription factors.**

.035

.036 (A) UCSC genome browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>) view of the *TMPRSS2* gene, with aligned
.037 transcription factors according to the binding motif from JASPAR (<http://jaspar.genereg.net>), and
.038 the regulatory elements and gene interactions from GeneHancer ([https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-
.039 bin/hgTrackUi?db=hg19&q=geneHancer](https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?db=hg19&q=geneHancer)).

.040 (B) UCSC genome browser (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>) view of the *ACE2* gene, with aligned
.041 transcription factors according to the binding motif from JASPAR, and the regulatory elements
.042 and gene interactions from GeneHancer.

.043 (C) Male-specific enriched gene sets in “all transcription factor targets” from MSigDB database
 .044 (<http://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/index.jsp>) for AT1 cells. The y-axis represents the
 .045 value of log10-converted adjusted p-value. The x-axis displays the transcription factors
 .046 corresponding to the gene sets.

.047 (D) Male-specific enriched gene sets in “all transcription factor targets” from MSigDB database
 .048 for AT2 cells. The y-axis represents the value of log10-converted adjusted p-value. The x-axis
 .049 displays the transcription factors corresponding to the gene sets.

.050 (E) Motifs of transcription factors involved in interferon signaling enriched in male AT1/AT2 cells.
 .051 The motif logos are downloaded from JASPAR 2020 website.

.052 (F) Genomic location and sequence of the representative transcription factor binding sites in the
 .053 promoter and enhancer regions of *ACE2*.

.054
 .055 (G) Genomic location and sequence of the representative transcription factor binding sites in the
 .056 promoter and enhancer regions of *TMPRSS2*.

.057

.058

.059 **Figure 4. The activity of interferon signaling pathways is higher in male lung AT1 and AT2**
 .060 **cells than in female lung AT1 and AT2 cells.**

.061

.062 (A) Interferon-relevant signatures enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female
 .063 group versus AT1 male group using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA). X-axis title “ES”
 .064 represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size
 .065 represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR}_q\text{-value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance (left); For
 .066 each significant signature, the top differentially expressed genes, both up- and downregulated,
 .067 are listed (right).

.068 (B) GSEA enrichment plot (score curves) of the top enriched gene set from Figure 2A. The y-
 .069 axis represents enrichment score (ES) and the x-axis are genes (vertical black lines)
 .070 represented in the gene set. The green line connects points of ES and genes. ES is the
 .071 maximum deviation from zero as calculated for each gene going down the ranked gene list. The
 .072 colored band at the bottom represents the degree of correlation of genes with the AT1 male
 .073 group (red for positive and blue for negative correlation). Significance threshold set at $\text{FDR} <$
 .074 0.05.

.075 (C) Interferon-relevant signatures enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT2 female
 .076 group versus AT2 male group using GSEA. X-axis title “ES” represents the GSEA enrichment
 .077 score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}$
 .078 $(\text{FDR}_q\text{-value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance (left); For each significant
 .079 signature, the top differentially expressed genes, both up- and downregulated, are listed (right).

.080 (D) GSEA enrichment plot (score curves) of the top enriched gene set from Figure 2C. The y-
 .081 axis represents enrichment score (ES) and the x-axis are genes (vertical black lines)
 .082 represented in the gene set. The green line connects points of ES and genes. ES is the
 .083 maximum deviation from zero as calculated for each gene going down the ranked gene list. The
 .084 colored band at the bottom represents the degree of correlation of genes with the AT2 male

group (red for positive and blue for negative correlation). Significance threshold set at FDR < 0.05.

(E) Expression of common interferon signaling genes in male and female AT1 cells. Genes showing significant difference between male and female group are highlighted in red.

(F) Expression of common interferon signaling genes in male and female AT2 cells. Genes showing significant difference between male and female group are highlighted in red.

Figure 5. Interferon-stimulated JAK/STAT signaling, instead of AR-dependent signaling, transactivates the expression of SARS-CoV2 receptors, TMPRSS2 and ACE2, in human pulmonary alveolar epithelium.

(A) To verify the activation of the downstream effectors in response to INF stimulation, AEpiC cells first pre-treated with JAK inhibitor, Tofacitinib, with indicated concentration for 1.5 hours, then cells were stimulated with 20 nM IFN α for another 16 hours. Total lysates were lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer and subjected to western blot to analyze the activation of STAT1 and STAT2, with GDI as an internal loading control.

(B and C) Analysis of IFN α and AR-dependent signaling in the expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptors in pulmonary alveolar epitheliums. LNCAP, Calu-3, and AEpiC cells were either treated with DHT alone (10 nM, 24 hours), IFN α alone (20 nM, 16 hours), or in combination. After treatment, quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis of *TMPRSS2* and *ACE2* was performed to assess the expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptors, with 18S ribosomal RNA as an endogenous control.

(D and F) In order to directly examine whether p-STAT1 is capable of transactivates SARS-CoV-2 receptors in response to interferon or AR-dependent stimulation, 1x10⁶ AEpiC cells were either treated with DHT alone (10 nM, 24 hours), IFN α alone (20 nM, 16 hours), or in combination. Cells were lysed in a non-denaturing lysis buffer and subjected to chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of p-STAT1, so as to investigate the enrichment of p-STAT1 on the enhancer and the promoter region of both *TMPRSS2*(*Top*) and *ACE2*(*Bottom*).

(E and G) The occupancy of both active transcription markers (H3K4me3, Pol II, and Pol II S2p) and a suppressive transcription marker (H3K27me3) on the regulatory region of SARS-CoV-2 receptors was also applied to investigate the transcriptional alteration of *TMPRSS2*(*Top*) and *ACE2*(*Bottom*) in copying with interferon response and AR-dependent signaling in AEpiC cells. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Figure 6. Interferons α/β and λ conspire to upregulate the expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and induce robust viral entry.

- .128 (A) *ACE2* mRNA expression level in response to different types of interferon stimulation. AEpiC
.129 cells treated with 10 nM IFN α (upper left), 10 nM IFN β (upper right), 10 ng/ml IFN γ (lower left)
.130 or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ (lower right) for the indicated times (6, 12, 24, 48 hours). Cells were subjected
.131 to RT-qPCR analysis to quantify the *ACE2* mRNA change, with *18S* as an endogenous control.
- .132 (B) *TMPRSS2* mRNA expression level in response to different types of interferon stimulation.
.133 AEpiC cells treated with 10 nM IFN α (upper left), 10 nM IFN β (upper right), 10 ng/ml IFN γ (lower
.134 left) or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ (lower right) for the indicated times (6, 12, 24, 48 hours). Cells were
.135 subjected to RT-qPCR analysis to quantify the *TMPRSS2* mRNA change, with *18S* as an
.136 endogenous control.
- .137
.138 (C) Visualization of the alteration of SARS-CoV-2 receptors expression during type I and type III
.139 interferon stimulation. Calu-3 cells were either treat with PBS, 10 nM IFN α (left) or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ
.140 (right) for 16 hours. Then, cells were subjected to immunofluorescent stain to investigate the
.141 abundance of ACE2 and TMPRSS2. DAPI was used for nuclei staining (Scale bar = 100 μ m).
.142
- .143 (D) The Efficacy of different JAK and protease inhibitors in the blockade of SARS-CoV-2
.144 pseudotype entry. AEpiC cells were incubated for 12 hours at different concentrations of
.145 fedratinib, ruxolitinib, tofacitinib, camostat, and nafamostat, with PBS or 10 nM IFN α for 16 hours
.146 before viral infection. The transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified 48 hours post-
.147 transduction by measuring the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates.
.148
- .149 (E) AEpiC cells were transfected with 2 μ g/ml dsDNA or 100 ng/ml LPS for 12 hours. *ACE2* (left)
.150 and *TMPRSS2* (right) mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with *18S* as an endogenous
.151 control.
.152
- .153 (F) AEpiC cells were transfected with 2 μ g/ml poly (I: C) for different time points as
.154 indicated. *ACE2* (left) and *TMPRSS2* (right) mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR,
.155 with *18S* as an endogenous control.
.156
- .157 (G) AEpiC were transfected with 2 μ g/ml poly (I: C) without or with 5 μ M TBKi (GSK8612), 500
.158 nM ruxolitinib, or 1 μ M BAY-11-7082. RT-qPCR was performed for *ACE2* (top) and *TMPRSS2*
.159 (bottom), with *18S* as an endogenous control.
.160
.161
- .162 (H) JAK inhibitors block the Type I and Type III interferon-induced SARS-CoV-2 pseudotype
.163 entry. AEpiC were cells incubated for 12 hours with different concentrations of camostat,
.164 nafamostat (Left), fedratinib and ruxolitinib (Right) and were stimulated with either PBS or 10 nM
.165 IFN α for 16 hours before viral infection. The transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified
.166 48 hours post-transduction by measuring the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates.
.167
- .168 (I) AEpiC were cells incubated for 12 hours with different concentrations of ruxolitinib and were
.169 stimulated with either PBS or 10 nM IFN α plus 1 μ g/ml IFN λ for 16 hours before viral infection.
.170 The transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified 48 hours post-transduction by measuring
.171 the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons
.172 between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was

considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Figure 7. TNF α models severe SARS-COV2 disease and cytokine storm in pulmonary alveolar epithelial cells.

(A) SARS-CoV-2 receptors mRNA expression level in response to TNF α , IFN γ , cytokines presented in the advanced lung disease of COVID-19 patients. AEpiC cells were treated with PBS, 10 ng/ml TNF α , 10 ng/ml IFN γ , or in combination for 24 hours. *ACE2* (left) and *TMPRSS2* (right) mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with *18S* as an endogenous control.

(B) Enrichment of p-STAT3 on the enhancer and the promoter of *ACE2* from ChIP-qPCR in control and IFN γ of AEpiC cells.

(C) Enrichment of NF-kB p65 on the enhancer and the promoter of *TMPRSS2* gene from ChIP-qPCR in control and TNF α of AEpiC cells.

(D) The efficacy of NF-kB inhibitor and JAK1 inhibitor in the suppression of SARS-CoV-2 receptors expression. AEpiC cells were pre-treated with either 1 μ M BAY-11-7082, 500 nM tofacitinib, or in combination for 12 hours and then stimulated with either 10 ng/ml TNF α , 10 nM IFN α , 10 ng/ml IFN γ , or in combination for another 24 hours. RT-qPCR analysis was performed to assess the mRNA expression level of *ACE2* (top) and *TMPRSS2* (bottom), with *18S* as an endogenous control.

(E) The dependency of different JAKs on the *ACE2* expression during IFN γ stimulation. AEpiC cells were pre-treated with either 500 nM tofacitinib, 500 nM fedratinib, or 500 nM ruxolitinib for 12 hours and then stimulated with 10 ng/ml IFN γ for another 24 hours. RT-qPCR analysis was performed to assess the mRNA expression level of *ACE2* (top) and *TMPRSS2* (bottom), with *18S* as an endogenous control.

(F) AEpiC cells were treated with either PBS, 10 ng/ml TNF α , 10 nM IFN α , 10 ng/ml IFN λ , or in combinations for 24 hours. *TMPRSS2* mRNA expression measured by RT-qPCR, with *18S* as an endogenous control.

(G) The efficacy of NF-kB inhibitor and JAK1/2 inhibitor in the blockade of SARS-CoV-2 pseudotype entry in the COVID-19 advanced lung disease model. AEpiC cells were incubated for 12 hours with different concentrations of BAY-11-7082, ruxolitinib, or in combination, and stimulated without or with 10 nM IFN α , 1 μ g/ml IFN λ , and 10 ng/ml TNF α for 16 hours before viral infection. The transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified 48 hours post-transduction by measuring the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Fig. S1. Gene expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 occurs largely in AT1 and AT2 epithelial cells.

(A) UMAP visualization of 93,770 human lung cells colored according to sample.

(B) Entropy of batch mixing for sample batches (n = 24; right); positive controls, in which clusters were assigned as batches (center); and negative controls, in which cells were assigned random batch labels in accordance with batch size distribution (left). Bars show the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles.

(C) UMAP visualization of 93,770 human lung cells colored by initial cluster identity.

(D) Cluster tree showing the relation between different clusters of cells. Clusters identified to be similar to each other are highlighted in green (clusters 1 and 7) or pink (clusters 0 and 3).

(E) Expression of selected marker genes by cluster. Clusters identified to be similar to each other by similar expression pattern of markers are highlighted in green (clusters 1 and 7) or pink (clusters 0 and 3).

Fig. S2. The expression level of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 is sex-related.

(A) The percentages of cells of each cell type analyzed at individual sample level in males and females.

(B) The ratio of ACE2+/TMPRSS2+ double positive cells in smoking and non-smoking individual groups in AT1 and AT2 cells. ** p < 0.01.

(C) Normalized expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in each cluster of lung cells from Liao et al. Nat Med. 2020, which includes a total of 66,452 cells from 9 patients and 4 controls.

(D and E) Normalized expression of ACE2 (D) and TMPRSS2 (E) in epithelial cells in patients with COVID-19 and healthy controls.

(F) Normalized expression of AGTR1 (AT1) and AVPR1B in each cluster of normal lung cells.

(G) Expression of AGTR1 (AT1) and AVPR1B across all identified cell types in normal lungs. The size of the dot correlates to the percentage of cells within a cell type in which AGTR1/AVPR1B was detected. The color encodes the average expression level.

(H) Analysis of the AVPR1B, AGTR1, ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in the AEpiC cells. 5x10⁵ AEpiC cells were harvested and subjected to RT-qPCR analysis for the indicated genes, with 18S as an endogenous control. Results are reported as mean ± SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test (p < 0.05 was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Fig. S3. Lung-specific enhancers of TMPRSS2 are enriched in binding sites targeted by interferon transcription factors.

(A) Genome browser tracks of H3K27ac and H3K4me3 ChIP-Seq data in different tissues of the expanded genomic region containing the TMPRSS2 gene. H3K27ac and H3K4me3 binding profiles are from publicly available ENCODE datasets.

(B) Genome browser tracks of H3K27ac and H3K4me3 ChIP-Seq data in different tissues of the expanded genomic region containing the ACE2 gene. H3K27ac and H3K4me3 binding profiles are from publicly available ENCODE datasets.

(C) Expression of AR, ESR1, and ESR2 in male and female AT1 cells.

(D) Expression of AR, ESR1, and ESR2 in male and female AT2 cells.

(E) GSEA gene sets involved in AR signaling enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female group versus AT1 male group (left) or in AT2 female group versus AT2 male group (right). X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{nominal_p_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(F) GSEA gene sets involved in ER signaling enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female group versus AT1 male group (left) or the AT2 female group versus AT2 male group (right). X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{nominal_p_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

Fig. S4. The activity of interferon signaling pathways is higher in male lung AT1 and AT2 cells than in female lung AT1 and AT2 cells.

(A) GSEA signatures from hallmark gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female group versus AT1 male group. X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(B) GSEA signatures from canonical pathway gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female group versus AT1 male group. X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(C) GSEA signatures from GO biological process gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT1 female group versus AT1 male group. X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(D) GSEA signatures from hallmark gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT2 female group versus AT2 male group. X-axis title "ES" represents the GSEA enrichment score.

Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(E) GSEA signatures from canonical pathway gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT2 female group versus AT2 male group. X-axis title “ES” represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(F) GSEA signatures from GO biological process gene sets enriched for genes differentially expressed in AT2 female group versus AT2 male group. X-axis title “ES” represents the GSEA enrichment score. Y-axis represents the name of the signatures. Dot size represents the $-\log_{10}(\text{FDR_q_value} + 0.001)$. Dot color represents the significance.

(G). Expression of interferon-stimulated genes in male (blue) and female (red) AT1 cells. Genes showing significant difference between male and female group are highlighted in red.

(H) Expression of interferon-stimulated genes in male (blue) and female (red) AT2 cells. Genes showing significant difference between male and female group are highlighted in red.

Fig. S5. Interferon-stimulated JAK/STAT signaling, instead of AR-dependent signaling, transactivates the expression of SARS-CoV2 receptors, TMPRSS2 and ACE2, in human pulmonary alveolar epithelium.

(A, B, C) Analysis of the signaling components of the IFN-JAK-STAT pathway and COVID-19 advanced lung disease-related cytokines and its receptors in the AEpiC cells. 5×10^5 AEpiC cells were harvested and subjected to RT-qPCR analysis for the indicated genes, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(D) The change of SARS-CoV-2 receptors mRNA expression level during Enzalutamide treatment. Enzalutamide treatment ($5 \mu\text{M}$) of AEpiC cells for the indicated times. RT-qPCR was performed for ACE2 (left) and TMPRSS2 (right), with 18S as an endogenous control.

(E) Enrichment of p-STAT1 on enhancer 1 and 3 of TMPRSS2 from ChIP-qPCR in control. 1×10^6 AEpiC cells were either treated with DHT alone (10 nM , 24 hours), IFN α alone (20 nM , 16 hours), or in combination. Cells were lysed in a non-denaturing lysis buffer and subjected to ChIP of p-STAT1.

(F and G) Enrichment of AR on the enhancer and the promoter regions of TMPRSS2 and ACE2 from ChIP-qPCR in control. 1×10^6 AEpiC cells were either treated with DHT alone (10 nM , 24 hours), IFN α alone (20 nM , 16 hours), or in combination. Cells were lysed in a non-denaturing lysis buffer and subjected to ChIP of AR. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student’s t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Fig. S6. Interferons α/β and I conspire to upregulate the expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and induce robust viral entry.

(A) Calu-3 were treated with either PBS, 10 nM IFN α , 10 nM IFN β , 10ng/ml IFN γ , or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ . ACE2 mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(B) Calu-3 were treated with either PBS, 10 nM IFN α , 10 nM IFN β , 10ng/ml IFN γ , or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ . TMPRSS2 mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(C) Activation of JAK-STAT signaling pathway and the expression of SARS-CoV-2 receptors in pulmonary alveolar epitheliums during Type I and Type III interferon stimulation. AEpiC cells were treated with 20 nM IFN α (left) or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ (right) for the indicated times. Total lysates were subjected to western blot with the indicated antibodies, with GDI as an internal loading control. Source data-Supplementary Figure 6C-1. Source data-Supplementary Figure 6C-2.

(D) Calu-3 control cells or cells treated with 10 nM IFN α and 10nM IFN β , or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ for 3 hours were subjected to Co-IP. Either input (left), IgG, STAT1, or STAT2 (right) was immunoprecipitated, and total lysates were subjected to western blot with the indicated antibodies. Source data-Supplementary Figure 6D.

(E) SARS-CoV-2 receptors mRNA expression level in response to different combinations of interferon stimulation. AEpiC cells were treated with 10 nM IFN α , 10 nM IFN β , 10 ng/ml IFN γ or 1 μ g/ml IFN λ , alone or in different combinations as indicated in the graph. RT-qPCR was performed for ACE2 (Left) and TMPRSS2 (Right), with 18S as an endogenous control.

(F) Calu-3 cells subjected to shRNA of ACE2 (left) or TMPRSS2 (right). 5 shRNAs used per gene. ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(G) Calu-3 cells silenced for control, ACE2 or TMPRSS2, and treated either with PBS or 10 nM IFN α for 16 hours before viral infections. The transduction efficiency of the virus was quantified 48 hours post-transduction by measuring the activity of firefly luciferase in cell lysates. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Fig. S7. TNF α models severe SARS-COV2 disease and cytokine storm in pulmonary alveolar epithelial cells.

(A) AEpiC cells treated with PBS, 10 ng/ml TNF α plus 10 ng/ml IFN γ for 24 hours. ACE2, TMPRSS2, STAT1, and STAT2 mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(B) AEpiC cells were treated with different cytokines (all 10 ng/ml except 5ng/ml for IL-6) for 24 hours. ACE2 and TMPRSS2 mRNA expression measured with RT-qPCR, with 18S as an endogenous control.

(C) Enrichment of p-STAT3 on the enhancer and the promoter of ACE2 from ChIP-qPCR in control and IFN γ of AEpiC cells. Enrichment of NF-kB p65 on the enhancer and the promoter of

TMPRSS2 gene from ChIP-qPCR in control and TNF α of AEpiC cells. Results are reported as mean $\pm$ SD. Comparisons between two groups were performed using an unpaired two-sided Student's t test ($p < 0.05$ was considered significant). Comparison of multiple conditions was done with One-way or two-way ANOVA test. All experiments were reproduced at least three times, unless otherwise indicated.

Supplemental material

Supplementary Table 1

Resources table

<u>Reagent/Resource</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Identifier</u>
--------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------

Antibodies		
ACE2	R&D Systems	AF933
TMPRSS2	Abcam	ab92323
Jak2	Cell Signaling	3230
p-Jak2	Cell Signaling	3776
STAT1	Cell Signaling	14994
p-STAT1	Cell Signaling	9167
STAT2	Cell Signaling	72604
p-STAT2	Cell Signaling	88410
STAT3	Cell Signaling	9139
p-STAT3	Cell Signaling	9145
Jak1	Cell Signaling	3332
p-Jak1	Cell Signaling	3331
TYK2	Cell Signaling	9312
p-TYK2	Cell Signaling	9321
IRF9	Santa Cruz	Sc-514648
Jak3	Cell Signaling	3775
p-Jak3	Cell Signaling	5031
Rho-GDI	Santa Cruz	Sc-373724
Rabbit IgG	Cell Signaling	3900 (IP), 7074 (WB)
Chemicals, Cell Lines, and Recombinant Proteins		
DMEM	ThermoFisher Scientific	11965-092

Alveolar Epithelial Cell Medium	FisherScientific	NC9028239
Penicillin G-Streptomycin	Corning	30004CI
Trypsin-EDTA (0.25%)	ThermoFisher Scientific	2530054
FBS	ThermoFisher Scientific	A4766801
Calu-3	ATCC	HTB-55
LNCap	ATCC	CRL-1740
AEpiC	FisherScientific	501049330
rhIL-8	R&D Systems	208-IL
rhIL-6	R&D Systems	206-IL
rhCCL20/MIP-3a	R&D Systems	360-MP
rhCXCL1/GROa	R&D Systems	275-GR
rhCCL3/MIP-1a	R&D Systems	270-LD
rhIL-1a	Fisher	200LA
rhIL-13	Fisher	213ILB
rhTNF-a	R&D Systems	210-TA
rhIFN-lambda	R&D Systems	1598-IL
rhIFN-alpha	Sigma-Aldrich	IF007
rhIFN-beta	Sigma-Aldrich	IF014
rhIFN-gamma	R&D Systems	285-IF
TaqMan Probes		
ACE2	Fisher	Hs01085333_m1
TMPRSS2	Fisher	Hs01122322_m1
STAT1	Fisher	Hs01013996_m1
STAT2	Fisher	Hs01013115_g1
18S	Fisher	Hs99999901_s1
Reagents		
dsDNA naked	Invitrogen	tlrl-patn
Poly(I:C) LMW	Invitrogen	tlrl-picw
LPS	Invitrogen	tlrl-pb5lps

Software and Algorithms		
R	R Development Core Team, 2016	https://www.r-project.org/
Seurat v3.2.0	Stuart et al., 2019	https://satijalab.org/seurat/
Harmony	Korsunsky et al., 2019	https://github.com/immunogenomics/harmony
ENCODE	ENCODE Consortium	https://www.encodeproject.org
UCSC Genome Browser	Kent et al., 2002	https://genome.ucsc.edu
GeneHancer Regulatory Elements and Gene Interactions	Fishilevich et al., 2017	https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?db=hg19&g=geneHancer
GSEA 20.0.5	Tamayo, et al., 2005	https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp

Supplementary Table 3

The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) data sets

Experiment	File download	Target	Tissue
ENCSR153NDQ	ENCFF250INB	H3K4me3	prostate gland male adult (37 years)
ENCSR841AJO	ENCFF121EZK	H3K27ac	prostate gland male adult (37 years)
ENCSR074WIB	ENCFF602GRK	H3K4me3	upper lobe of left lung male adult (37 years)
ENCSR505YFA	ENCFF027NNY	H3K27ac	upper lobe of left lung male adult (37 years)
ENCSR344TLI	ENCFF249QWR	H3K4me3	right lobe of liver female adult (53 years)
ENCSR981UJA	ENCFF599LSB	H3K27ac	right lobe of liver female adult (53 years)
ENCSR807XUB	ENCFF898XDY	H3K27ac	sigmoid colon male adult (37 years)
ENCSR960AAL	ENCFF378PGI	H3K4me3	sigmoid colon male adult (37 years)
ENCSR640XRV	ENCFF284LUF	H3K27ac	transverse colon male adult (37 years)
ENCSR813ZEY	ENCFF604IEC	H3K4me3	transverse colon male adult (37 years)
ENCSR343ZOV	ENCFF297RMA	H3K4me3	coronary artery female adult (53 years)
ENCSR443UYU	ENCFF172MCO	H3K27ac	coronary artery female adult (53 years)
ENCSR015GFK	ENCFF772QFT	H3K27ac	thoracic aorta male adult (37 years)
ENCSR930HLX	ENCFF712GED	H3K4me3	thoracic aorta male adult (37 years)

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

Figure 3

A

B

C

D

E

F

Figure 4

A

B

C

D

E

F

G

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 6

A

B

C

Supplementary Figure 7