Article

Selection of reference genes for quantitative analysis of microRNA expression in three cancers.

Yuliya A. Veryaskina ^{1,2,*}, Sergei E. Titov ^{2,3}, Mikhail K. Ivanov ³, Pavel S. Ruzankin ^{4,5}, Anton S. Tarasenko ^{4,5}, Sergei P. Shevchenko ⁶, Igor B. Kovynev ⁷, Evgenij V. Stupak ⁸, Tatiana I. Pospelova ⁷ and Igor F. Zhimulev ²

¹ Laboratory of Gene Engineering, Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

² Department of the Structure and Function of Chromosomes, Laboratory of Molecular Genetics Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia; titovse78@gmail.com (S.E.T.); zhimulev@mcb.nsc.ru (I.F.Z.)

³ AO Vector-Best, Novosibirsk, 630117, Russia; ivanovmk@vector-best.ru (M.K.I.)

⁴ Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia; ruzankin@math.nsc.ru (P.S.R.); tarasenko@math.nsc.ru (A.S.T.)

⁵ Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

⁶ Novosibirsk Municipal Clinical Hospital #1, Novosibirsk, 630047, Russia; shevchenko_sp@mail.ru (S.P.S.)

⁷ Department of therapy, hematology and transfusiology, Novosibirsk State Medical University, Novosibirsk, 630091, Russia; kovin_gem@mail.ru (I.B.K.); depart04@mail.ru (T.I.P.)

⁸ Department of Neurosurgery, Ya.L. Tsivyan Novosibirsk Research Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics, 630091, Novosibirsk, Russia; stupakphoto@mail.ru (E.V.S.)

* Correspondence: microrna@inbox.ru

Abstract: MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are promising biomarkers in cancer research. Quantitative PCR (qPCR), also known as real-time PCR, is the most frequently used technique for measuring miRNA expression levels. The use of this technique, however, requires that expression data be normalized against reference genes. The problem is that a universal internal control for quantitative analysis of miRNA expression by qPCR has yet to be known. The aim of this work was to find the miRNAs with stable expression in the thyroid gland, brain and bone marrow according to NanoString nCounter miRNA quantification data. As a results, the most stably expressed miRNAs were as follows: miR-361-3p, -151a-3p and -29b-3p in the thyroid gland; miR-15a-5p, -194-5p and -532-5p in the brain; miR-140-5p, -148b-3p and -362-5p in bone marrow; and miR-423-5p, -28-5p and -532-5p, no matter what tissue type. These miRNAs represent promising reference genes for miRNA quantification by qPCR.

Keywords: reference genes; qPCR; microRNA; thyroid gland; brain; bone marrow.

Introduction

The discovery of the small non-coding RNA lin-4 in *Caenorhabditis elegans* in 1993 established a base for a new line of research in molecular biology [1]. 2,654 human miRNA genes are currently deposited in the miRBase database [2]. However, some of them are false-positive entries [3]. This led to the need of alternative databases that could give a true view of all miRNAs in existence. One of such databases is MirGeneDB 2.0: in 2020, it contained 556 validated human miRNAs [4]. MicroRNAs play an important regulatory role in many organisms in many biological processes. There is little doubt that aberrant miRNA expression may entail disease initiation and progression [5].

To date, a large number of works showing how miRNAs are distributed in healthy and diseased human tissues have been published [6,7]. These studies normally seek to identify

human disease-specific miRNAs that have promise for personalized medicine, hence the need to have accurate miRNA profiling data on the study samples. The most commonly used approach to addressing this problem is through analysis of miRNA expression levels by qPCR [8]. This technique is highly sensitive, reproducible and relatively cheap. The main problem with qPCR-based protocols is one of the choice of an optimum reference gene. Normalization compensates for variations in the amounts of miRNA following RNA extraction, reverse transcription or that are due to variations in amplification efficiency. A reference gene should have stable expression in all samples, be expressed together with its target in the study cells and have a similar stability with target miRNAs, no matter what sample storage conditions. However, we have yet to know a universal reference gene meeting all these criteria [9]. It has been argued that normalization is the most accurate, if the reference genes used are in the same class of RNA as the study targets [10]. Nevertheless, miRNA expression studies most commonly use non-coding RNAs (U6, U48, U44 etc.). However, non-coding RNAs are not in the same class of RNA as miRNAs and, therefore, the properties of the former are not the same as those of the latter, which may results in different efficiencies of extraction, reverse transcription and PCR amplification. Various authors have demonstrated that, along with miRNAs, small nuclear RNAs are highly variable in expression, too [11-13]. Multiple studies have demonstrated that the best choice of reference gene in the analysis of the relative miRNA expression level is either a miRNA or the geometric mean of several stably expressed miRNAs. Additionally, it is recommended that a reference gene be searched for in each experimental system [9]. The most popular algorithms used for expression stability assessment are geNorm, NormFinder and Best Keeper [14-16].

Although qPCR is the most popular technique for measuring miRNA expression levels, it is not the only one: other molecular genetic methods that can assess the absolute number of miRNA molecules from one to hundreds at once are in use, too, for example,

digital PCR, NanoString nCounter and sequencing [17-19]. One of the most popular multiplexed systems used for measuring gene expression is NanoString nCounter. This technique relies on digital barcoding, requires neither mRNA-to-cDNA conversion by reverse transcription nor cDNA amplification by PCR [20]. One experimental session included analysis of about 800 miRNAs in 12 study samples, showing that some miRNAs have variable expression, while the others, stable. Apparently, the miRNA identified by this technique as being stably expressed may further be used as reference genes for qPCR.

The aim of this work was to find the miRNAs that could be used as potential reference genes from among those miRNAs that are stably represented in the thyroid gland, brain and bone marrow, according to nCounter miRNA Assay data.

Materials and Methods

Clinical Samples

1. Thyroid tissue

All biological material was obtained in compliance with the legislation of the Russian Federation, and written informed consent was provided by all the patients, all the data were depersonalized. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Medical Sciences. We used samples of thyroid tumor tissue surgically re-moved from 32 patients and representing different neoplasm histotypes and normal tissue: normal tissue, 16; hyperplastic nodule, 5; papillary thyroid carcinoma, 2; follicular variant of papillary thyroid carcinoma, 2; follicular thyroid adenoma, 6; and follicular thyroid carcinoma, 1. Sample collection and histology analysis were controlled by a qualified oncologist (Novosibirsk Municipal Clinical Hospital #1, Oncology Department VI). Detailed clinical information of the patients is given in Supplementary Table S1.

2. Brain tissue

Surgery material was two 1-mm³ bioptic samples from each patient's brain: tumoral tissue and non-tumoral tissue taken farther than 2 cm away from the tumor margin, in a functionally insignificant location. Tumor samples were examined by histology and as-signed to groups according to the 2007 WHO grading system of gliomas: Grade I (n = 1), Grade II (n = 2), Grade II (n = 2) and Grade IV (n = 1). Detailed clinical information of the patients is given in Supplementary Table S2.

3. Bone marrow tissue

We used 12 cytological specimens obtained by bone marrow aspiration in the Municipal Hematological Center of the Ministry of Health of the Novosibirsk Region. The study groups were patients with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) (n = 3), non-Hodgkin's lymphoma without myelodysplasia ((NHL (-MD)) (n = 3) and NHL with myelodysplasia ((NHL (+MD)) (n = 3); the control group consisted of patients with non-cancerous blood diseases (NCBD) (n = 3). Detailed clinical information of the patients is given in Supplementary Table S3.

Isolation of Total RNA

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacture's recommendations.

NanoString nCounter miRNA Expression Assay for miRNA Profiling

The expression of 800 miRNAs in tumoral and normal thyroid and brain tissues was evaluated using the nCounter Human v2 miRNA Expression Assay Kit (NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA); and that in bone-marrow tissue, using the nCounter Human v3 miRNA Expression Assay Kit (NanoString Technologies, Inc., Seattle, WA, USA). These procedures were carried out in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol. For the NanoString assay, 100 ng of total RNA was isolated from bone-marrow aspiration material. The RNA concentration was measured by a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). According to NanoString recommendations, the 260/280 ratio should be not less than 1.9 and the 260/230 ratio, not less than 1.8, and so were our figures. The data were analyzed using the nSolver v4 package (NanoString Technologies, Inc., Seattle, WA, USA). The nCounter assay for each sample consisted of six positive controls, eight negative controls and five control mRNAs.

Statistical analysis

The following groups of samples were considered for analysis of miRNA expression: ‘thyroid gland’, ‘gliomas’, ‘bone marrow’, and ‘pooled’. In each group, the miRNAs that revealed less than 50 molecules in more than 50% of the samples were excluded from analysis. After normalization, the within-group variation of the binary logarithm of the normalized miRNA concentration was analyzed.

For each group, the following normalization strategies were considered: normalization using housekeeping genes; normalization using all miRNAs found; normalization using 75 most represented miRNAs; and normalization using the positive control. Normalized data were calculated as the difference between the binary logarithm of the registered number of miRNA molecules and the binary logarithm of the total number of normalizing molecules.

We used the following measures of variability for the normalized observation data: standard deviation, range (the difference between the maximum and the minimum), interquartile range (the difference between the third quartile and the first quartile) and the mean absolute deviation from the median. For each normalization strategy and each measure of variability, 10 least variable miRNAs are presented in Supplementary Tables 4–7.

Additionally, we determined the most stable miRNAs according to all these measures of variability and two normalization strategies: one to housekeeping genes and one to the total miRNA content. Because we were not aware whether some of the measures of variability or some of the normalization strategies might be more important than some others,

we considered them all equally important. To this end, we determined the rank of miRNAs for each measure of variability and each of these two normalization strategies: the least variable miRNAs were ranked 1, the next least variable miRNAs were ranked 2 and so on.

Additionally, for the ‘pooled’ group, we compared the mean of the logarithms of five least variable miRNAs based on the sum of the ranks and the logarithms of five next least variable miRNAs. (Recall that the mean of the logarithms is equal to the logarithm of the geometric mean.) The comparison was performed using the paired Grambsch test which tests difference between variances, and the paired Bonett-Seier test which tests difference between mean absolute deviations from median.

Next, we compared the results of the different normalization strategies within the ‘pooled’ group. We compared the ranking orders of five best miRNAs for each pair of normalization strategies. Five best miRNAs were selected as the miRNAs with the least variances. The comparison was performed using the following permutation test. For each pair of normalization strategies, five best miRNAs were put on a list. The list could have less than ten entries, if some of the five best miRNAs were indicated by both normalization strategies. Next, each miRNA was ranked (that is, was assigned its serial number in the sequence arranged in ascending order of variance) for each normalization strategy. The ranks of the miRNA in this list were compared between two normalization strategies using the permutation test. The p value was the probability of obtaining a permutation “as or more extreme” than the permutation corresponding to the difference between these two normalization strategies, assuming all the permutations are of equal probability. “As or more extreme” meant that the sum of the absolute differences between the ranks is not less than the observed sum of the absolute differences between the ranks for this pair of normalization strategies. (For each miRNA, the absolute difference of its ranks under two normalization

strategies is found and then all the absolute differences so found are summed for all miRNAs in the considered sequence.)

Results

Table 1 presents ten most stable miRNAs in the samples: miR-361-3p, miR-151a-3p and miR-29b-3p in thyroid tissue; miR-140-5p, miR-148b-3p and miR-362-5p in bone marrow tissue; and miR-15a-5p, miR-194-5p and miR-532-5p in brain gliomas and surrounding normal tissue. Additionally, miR-423-5p, miR-28-5p and miR-532-5p are the most stable miRNAs in any of these tissues.

Table 1. MicroRNAs with the most stable expression in different tissues according to NanoString data.

All tumors		Thyroid gland		Bone marrow		Gliomas	
Best variables by combined rank	Rank sum	Most stable miRNAs by combined rank	Rank sum	Most stable miRNAs by combined rank	Rank sum	Most stable miRNAs by combined rank	Rank sum
miR-423-5p	64	miR-361-3p	27	miR-140-5p	77	miR-15a-5p	125
miR-28-5p	65	miR-151a-3p	122	miR-148b-3p	112	miR-194-5p	153
miR-532-5p	66	miR-29b-3p	126	miR-362-5p	143	miR-532-5p	155
miR-362-5p	70	miR-425-5p	129	miR-191-5p	153	miR-500a-5p + miR-501-5p	173
miR-425-5p	72	miR-361-5p	135	miR-378i	154	miR-660-5p	193
miR-361-3p	110	let-7b-5p	137	miR-98-5p	174	miR-185-5p	201
miR-191-5p	110	miR-423-5p	145	miR-374b-5p	192	miR-99b-5p	234
miR-140-5p	114	miR-330-3p	154	miR-423-5p	194	let-7d-5p	235
let-7a-5p	125	miR-28-5p	154	miR-29b-3p	215	miR-19a-3p	249
miR-106b-5p	131	miR-301a-3p	169	miR-107	227	miR-140-3p	251

For all patients, we compared the mean of the logarithms of the concentrations of five least variable miRNAs based on the sum of the ranks and the mean of the logarithms of five next least variable miRNAs. The results of the comparison are presented in Supplementary Material (Supplementary Tables 4–7). When we normalized miRNAs to housekeeping genes, p was less than 0.001 for both the paired Grambsch test and the paired Bonett-Seier test, which confirmed the assumption of different variances (the paired Grambsch test) and the assumption of different mean absolute deviations from the median (the paired Bonett-Seier

test). However, when we normalized the same miRNAs to the total miRNA content, p was equal to 0.13 for the paired Grambsch test and 0.70 for the paired Bonett-Seier test.

We performed six pair-wise comparisons of four normalization strategies with the permutation test applied to five (for each group) microRNAs with least variances (for results, see Table 2). Each p-value is reported as adjusted p-value and unadjusted p-value. The Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment was used.

Table 2. A comparison of various normalization strategies.

Normalization to	Adjusted p-value (unadjusted p-value)			
	Housekeeping genes	Total miRNA content	75 most highly expressed miRNAs	Positive control
Housekeeping genes	1	0.195 (0.13)	0.028 (0.014)	0.77 (0.77)
Total miRNA content	0.195 (0.13)	1	0.77 (0.77)	0.028 (0.014)
75 most highly expressed miRNAs	0.028 (0.014)	0.77 (0.77)	1	0.028 (0.014)
Positive control	0.77 (0.77)	0.028 (0.014)	0.028 (0.014)	1

As we can see, the different normalization strategies lead to different ‘least variable’ miRNAs. The difference between the normalization to the total miRNA content and the normalization to 75 most highly expressed miRNAs failed to reach significance, as was expected.

Discussion

MicroRNAs are biomarkers, which show promise for diagnosis of tumors of various origins, assessment of antitumor therapy efficacy, survival prognosis and appear to be potential targets for new antitumor drugs [6]. The most frequently used technique for analysis of miRNA expression levels is real-time RT-PCR [21]. The main problem with qPCR-based protocols is one of the choice of an optimum reference gene.

To quantify miRNAs, researchers often use the NanoString system, which directly counts RNA or DNA molecules without amplification, thus preventing PCR-related errors [20]. In this work, we used NanoString to quantify miRNAs in three tissue types: tumoral and

normal thyroid tissue; brain gliomas and adjacent morphologically normal tissue; and bone marrow tissue with tumoral and non-malignant bone marrow pathologies.

NanoString detect the expression of up to 800 miRNAs in a sample. Normally, only about 200 miRNAs are expressed at sufficiently high levels, with some of them varying between the samples and the others being invariant. The authors of all publications about NanoString-based miRNA quantification data are largely interested in finding the most significant differences in miRNA expression levels between the groups [22, 23]. By contrast, we wanted to find those miRNAs that have stable expression in all groups. These miRNAs could further be used as reference genes in an analysis of miRNA expression levels by real-time RT-PCR.

We found that ten most stable miRNAs in the thyroid gland are (in descending order) miR-361-3p, -151a-3p, -29b-3p, -425-5p, -361-5p, let-7b-5p, -423-5p -330-3p, -28-5p and -301a-3p. Therefore, any alone or their combinations may be used as a reference gene for analysis of miRNA expression levels in the thyroid gland by real-time RT-PCR.

In practice, analyses of thyroid tissue by qPCR most frequently involve U6, U44 or U48 as reference genes [24-26]. However, some authors prefer miRNAs. Mohamad Yusof et al. used miR-10b-5p and miR-191-5p [27]. Santos et al. proposed a combination of let-7a, miR-103, miR-125a-5p, let-7b, miR-145 and RNU48 for work with cytological specimens of thyroid tissue [28]. Titov et al. used the geometric mean of miR-197, -99a, -151a and -214 for work with cytological specimens of FNA-biopsied thyroid material and, to make their choice of reference genes, relied on NanoString-based miRNA counts [29]. As can be seen, only miRNA-151a appears in that and the present study. This could be due to the difference in the number of study specimens: Titov et al. used 12 and we used 36. Apparently, an increase in sample size may have led to a shift in statistically significant results. However, in a later work, Titov et al. used the geometric mean of miR-197-3p, -23a-3p, and -29b-3p for work

with the same material [30]. In the present work, we found one them, miRNA-29b, to be the most adequate reference gene for analysis of miRNA expression levels in thyroid tissue.

We found that ten most stable miRNAs in brain tissue are (in descending order) miR-15a-5p, -194-5p, -532-5p, -500a-5p + -501-5p, -660-5p, -185-5p, -99b-5p, let-7d-5p, -19a-3p and -140-3p. Analysis of literature data showed that U6, U48 or a combination of several small nuclear RNAs are most frequently used as reference genes [31-34]. Thus, the results of this work allow a complex normalizer to be formed, consisting of the geometric mean of miRNAs stably expressed in brain tissue. The use of a complex normalizing factor as a reference gene will increase the accuracy of analysis of miRNA expression variation.

We found that ten most stable miRNAs in the bone marrow were (in descending order) miR-140-5p, -148b-3p, -362-5p, -191-5p, -378i, -98-5p, -374b-5p, -423-5p, -29b-3p and -107. In practice, the researchers tend to give more preference to the use of small nuclear RNAs as reference genes for qPCR in bone marrow tissues [35-37]. However, some authors are confident with miRNAs. Morenos et al. used a combination of miR-16 and miR-26b for work with archived bone marrow specimens [38]. Drobna et al. used a combination of miR-16-5p, -25-3p and let-7a-5p for work with bone marrow samples from patients with acute leukemia [39]. Costé et al. used miR-191-5p for analysis of miRNA expression levels in human multipotent stromal cells [40]. Kovynev et al. used a combination of miR-103a, -191 and -378 for work with bone marrow samples from AML and ALL patients [41]. In our previous work, when we were deciding on the reference gene for analysis of miRNA expression levels in bone marrow samples, we used NanoString nCounter and chose the geometric mean of the expression levels of miR-378 and miR-191. Analysis of miRNA quantification data obtained with NanoString nCounter showed that the abundance of these miRNAs in the samples was well above background values, but these miRNAs had the least variable expression across the groups [22].

In addition to the list of tissue-specific reference genes, we composed a list of miRNAs that can be used as reference genes, no matter which tissue type they were expressed in (10 most stable, in descending order): miR-423-5p, -28-5p, -532-5p, -362-5p, -425-5p, -361-3p, -191-5p, -140-5p, let-7a-5p and -106b-5p.

In this work, miRNA-423 has the least variable expression across the study tissue types, and so it is the best candidate for a universal reference gene. To date, several works with miRNA-423 used as a reference gene have been published. Costa-Pinheiro et al. opine that miR-423-5p is an optimal reference gene for analysis of prostate cancer tissue [42]. Yanokura et al. used miRNA-423-5p as a reference gene for analysis of endometrial cancer tissue [43]. Babion et al. used miRNA-423-5p for analysis of cervical cancer tissue [44].

Analysis of literature data shows small nuclear RNAs are most frequently used as reference genes; however, their expression stability varies. Masè et al. demonstrated that, in atrial tissue samples, the most stable reference gene was SNORD48 and the least stable reference gene was U6 [45]. However, miRNAs are now being used as reference genes increasingly frequently. Remarkably, some of the miRNAs that we have chosen had already been used as reference genes for analysis of miRNA expression levels in tumors of various origins. In particular, Peltier et al., who used geNorm and NormFinder for choosing an optimal reference gene, showed that miRNA-191 and miRNA-103 were the most stable RNAs no matter which tissue type or which storage conditions (frozen specimens/FFPE) [46]. In studies about miRNA expression in renal cell adenocarcinoma, miR-28, -103, -106a and RNU48 were found to be the most stably expressed genes. If it is possible to use a single gene as a reference gene, miR-28 is recommended; otherwise a combination of miR-28 and -103 or a combination of miR-28, -103 and -106a is preferable [47]. Shen et al. and Leitão Mda et al. used a combination of miR-23a and miR-191 as a reference gene for analysis of cervical cancer tissue [48,49]. When working with lung cancer tissue, a combination of miR-

26a, -140-5p, -195 and -30b can be an option [50]. Zhang et al. showed that it is possible to use combinations of miRNA-191 and -103 as a reference gene for analysis of lung cancer FFPE tissue samples [51]. Fochi et al.' choice of reference gene in melanoma cells was miR-191-5p [52]. Zhu et al. proposed a combination of miR-103 and miR-191 for analysis of hepatocellular carcinoma FFPE samples [53]. Rohan et al. chose miR-191 and RNU6b as reference genes for analysis of cervical cancer FFPE samples [54]. Bignotti et al. used miRNA -191-5p for analysis of ovarian cancer samples [55]. Anauate et al. demonstrated that a combination of miR-101-3p and miR-140-3p was the best reference gene for analysis of stomach cancer samples [56]. As a reference gene, Jacobsen et al. use a combination of miR-24-3p, miR-151a-5p and miR-425-5p for analysis of hepatocytes [12].

Noteworthy, the miRNA most frequently used as a reference gene in work with tumors of various origins is miRNA-191. It is possible that this could be a promising universal reference gene for analysis of miRNA gene expression. However, about two hundred published works indicate that miRNA-191 is associated with various diseases [57,58]. This is a reminder that a particular reference gene -either as a single miRNA or, to keep the effect of the variable expression of the reference gene on the results to a minimum, as a combination of miRNAs - should be chosen for each experimental system.

To date, a large number of works attempting to find both tissue-specific and universal reference genes have been published [47,59], but the problem still persists. Research to uncover the role of miRNA in various diseases has been under way for 20 years. To be sure, much progress has been made in the development of qPCR data normalization strategies in an analysis of miRNA expression levels – from choosing suitable small nuclear RNAs to the development of mathematical approaches minimizing the interference of a reference gene with the end result. In the current work, we have identified the most stable miRNAs by a NanoString-enabled analysis of miRNA expression levels: miR-361-3p, -151a-3p and -29b-

3p for thyroid tissue; miR-15a-5p, -194-5p and -532-5p for brain tissue; miR-140-5p, -148b-3p and -362-5p for bone marrow tissue; and miR-423-5p, -28-5p and -532-5p for any of the tissues. The study included very few tissue samples, but even so results of several comparisons were statistically significant. It is deemed logical to further validate these results on larger sample sizes using qPCR followed by analysis of the most stable miRNAs by GeNorm, NormFinder or Best Keeper.

Supplementary Materials

Table S1-S3: Patient characteristics at the time of diagnosis, Table S4-S7: The results of the comparison of the logarithms of the concentrations of five least variable miRNAs based on the sum of the ranks and the logarithms of five next least variable miRNAs.

Author Contributions

YA Veryaskina contributed to the conception and design of the work, data collection and wrote the manuscript draft. SE Titov contributed to the conception and design of the work, participated in the experiments and data analysis, wrote the manuscript draft. IB Kovynev SP Shevchenko and EV Stupak collected clinical samples. YA Veryaskina and SE Titov conducted the experiments. PS Ruzankin and AS Tarasenko carried out statistical analysis. TI Pospelova, MK Ivanov and IF Zhimulev contributed to the conception and design of the work, supervised this study, reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The work of S. Titov was financially supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 20-14-00074). The work of Y. Veryaskina was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project No. 19-34-60024). The work of P. Ruzankin and A.

Tarasenko was supported by the Mathematical Center in Akademgorodok under agreement No. 075-15-2019-1675 with the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no competing interests.

References

1. Lee RC, Feinbaum RL, Ambros, V. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. *Cell*. 1993; 75(5): 843-54.
2. Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019; 8: 47(D1), D155-D162.
3. Ludwig N, Becker M, Schumann T, Speer T, Fehlmann T, Keller A, et al. Bias in recent miRBase annotations potentially associated with RNA quality issues. *Sci Rep*. 2017; 7(1): 5162.
4. Fromm B, Domanska D, Hoye E, Ovchinnikov V, Kang W, Aparicio-Puerta E, et al. MirGeneDB 2.0: the metazoan microRNA complement. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2020; 8: 48(D1): D132-D141.
5. Hammond SM. An overview of microRNAs. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2015; 87: 3-14.
6. Dwivedi S, Purohit P, Sharma P. MicroRNAs and Diseases: Promising Biomarkers for Diagnosis and Therapeutics. *Ind J Clin Biochem*. 2019; 34: 243–45.
7. Ardekani AM, Naeini MM. The Role of MicroRNAs in Human Diseases. *Avicenna J Med Biotechnol*. 2010; 2(4): 161-79.
8. Schmittgen TD, Lee EJ, Jiang J, Sarkar A, Yang L, Elton TS, et al. Real-time PCR quantification of precursor and mature microRNA. *Methods*. 2008; 44(1): 31-8.

9. Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, et al. Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 2002; 3(7): research0034.
10. Wong LLK, Lee K, Russell I, Chen C. Endogenous Controls for Real-Time Quantitation of miRNA Using TaqMan MicroRNA Assays. *Applied Biosystems Application Note.* 2010; 27AP11-01.
11. Lamba V, Ghodke-Puranik Y, Guan W, Lamba JK. Identification of suitable reference genes for hepatic microRNA quantitation. *BMC Res Notes.* 2014; 7: 129.
12. Jacobsen KS, Nielsen KO, Winther TN, Glebe D, Pociot F, Høgh B. Identification of valid reference genes for microRNA expression studies in a hepatitis B virus replicating liver cell line. *BMC Res Notes.* 2016; 9: 38.
13. Lou G, Ma N, Xu Y, Jiang L, Yang J, Wang C, et al. Differential distribution of U6 (RNU6-1) expression in human carcinoma tissues demonstrates the requirement for caution in the internal control gene selection for microRNA quantification. *Int J Mol Med.* 2015; 36(5): 1400-8.
14. De Spiegelaere W, Dern-Wieloch J, Weigel R, Schumacher V, Schorle H, Nettersheim D, et al. Reference gene validation for RT-qPCR, a note on different available software packages. *PLoS One.* 2015; 10(3): e0122515.
15. Andersen CL, Jensen JL, Ørntoft TF. Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 1: 64(15): 5245-50.
16. Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgome C, Neuvians T. Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity:

- BestKeeper – Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnology Letters*. 2004; 26: 509–15.
17. Zhao G, Jiang T, Liu Y, Huai G, Lan C, Li G, et al. Droplet digital PCR-based circulating microRNA detection serve as a promising diagnostic method for gastric cancer. *BMC Cancer*. 2018; 18(1): 676.
18. Valera VA, Parra-Medina R, Walter BA, Pinto P, Merino M.J. microRNA Expression Profiling in Young Prostate Cancer Patients. *J Cancer*. 2020; 11(14): 4106-14.
19. Toda H, Seki N, Kurozumi S, Shinden Y, Yamada Y, Nohata N, et al. RNA-sequence-based microRNA expression signature in breast cancer: tumor-suppressive miR-101-5p regulates molecular pathogenesis. *Mol Oncol*. 2020; 14(2): 426-46.
20. Kulkarni MM. Digital multiplexed gene expression analysis using the NanoString nCounter system. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol*. 2011; 94: 25B: 10: 1–17.
21. Chen C, Tan R, Wong L, Fekete R, Halsey J. Quantitation of microRNAs by real-time RT-qPCR. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2011; 687: 113-34.
22. Veryaskina YA, Titov SE, Kovynev IB, Pospelova TI., Zhimulev, I.F. The miRNA Profile in Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Patients with Secondary Myelodysplasia. *Cells*. 2020; 9: 2318.
23. Foye C, Yan IK, David W, Shukla N, Habboush Y, Chase L, et al. Comparison of miRNA quantitation by Nanostring in serum and plasma samples. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(12): e0189165.
24. Luo Y, Hao T, Zhang J, Zhang M, Sun P, Wu L. MicroRNA-592 suppresses the malignant phenotypes of thyroid cancer by regulating lncRNA NEAT1 and downregulating NOVA1. *Int J Mol Med*. 2019; 44(3): 1172-82.

25. Dettmer M, Voetseder A, Durso MB, Moch H, Komminoth P, Perren A, et al. MicroRNA expression array identifies novel diagnostic markers for conventional and oncocytic follicular thyroid carcinomas. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2013; 98(1): E1-7.
26. Dettmer MS, Perren A, Moch H, Komminoth P, Nikiforov YE, Nikiforova MN. MicroRNA profile of poorly differentiated thyroid carcinomas: new diagnostic and prognostic insights. *J Mol Endocrinol*. 2014; 52(2): 181-9.
27. Mohamad Yusof A, Jamal R, Muhammad R, Abdullah Suhaimi SN, Mohamed Rose I, Saidin S, et al. Integrated Characterization of MicroRNA and mRNA Transcriptome in Papillary Thyroid Carcinoma. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2018; 9: 158.
28. Santos MTD, Buzolin AL, Gama RR, Silva ECAD, Dufloth RM, Figueiredo DLA, et al. Molecular Classification of Thyroid Nodules with Indeterminate Cytology: Development and Validation of a Highly Sensitive and Specific New miRNA-Based Classifier Test Using Fine-Needle Aspiration Smear Slides. *Thyroid*. 2018; 28(12): 1618-26.
29. Titov SE, Demenkov PS, Ivanov MK, Malakhina ES, Poloz TL, Tsivlikova EV, et al. Selection and validation of miRNAs as normalizers for profiling expression of microRNAs isolated from thyroid fine needle aspiration smears. *Oncol Rep*. 2016; 36(5): 2501-10.
30. Titov SE, Ivanov MK, Demenkov PS, Katanyan GA, Kozorezova ES, Malek AV, et al. Combined quantitation of HMGA2 mRNA, microRNAs, and mitochondrial-DNA content enables the identification and typing of thyroid tumors in fine-needle aspiration smears. *BMC Cancer*. 2019; 19(1): 1010.
31. Xiong X, Deng J, Zeng C, Jiang Y, Tang S, Sun X. MicroRNA-141 is a tumor regulator and prognostic biomarker in human glioblastoma. *Oncol Lett*. 2017; 14(4): 4455-60.

32. Jiang Y, Wang X, Zhang J, Lai, R. MicroRNA-599 suppresses glioma progression by targeting RAB27B. *Oncol Lett.* 2018; 16(1): 1243-52.
33. Lozada-Delgado EL, Grafals-Ruiz N, Miranda-Román MA, Santana-Rivera Y, Valiyeva F, Rivera-Díaz M, et al. Targeting MicroRNA-143 Leads to Inhibition of Glioblastoma Tumor Progression. *Cancers (Basel).* 2018; 10(10): 382.
34. Han IB, Kim M, Lee SH, Kim JK, Kim SH, Chang JH, et al. Down-regulation of MicroRNA-126 in Glioblastoma and its Correlation with Patient Prognosis: A Pilot Study. *Anticancer Res.* 2016; 36(12): 6691-97.
35. Xiao Y, Deng T, Su C, Shang, Z. MicroRNA 217 inhibits cell proliferation and enhances chemosensitivity to doxorubicin in acute myeloid leukemia by targeting KRAS. *Oncol Lett.* 2017; 13(6): 4986-94.
36. Wang L, Zhang H, Lei D. microRNA-146a Promotes Growth of Acute Leukemia Cells by Downregulating Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor Receptor and Activating JAK2/STAT3 Signaling. *Yonsei Med J.* 2019; 60(10): 924-34.
37. Verboon LJ, Obulkasim A, de Rooij JD, Katsman-Kuipers JE, Sonneveld E, Baruchel A, et al. MicroRNA-106b~25 cluster is upregulated in relapsed MLL-rearranged pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Oncotarget.* 2016; 7(30): 48412-22.
38. Morenos L, Saffery R, Mechinaud F, Ashley D, Elwood N, Craig JM, et al. Evaluation of microRNA expression in patient bone marrow aspirate slides. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(8): e42951.
39. Drobna M, Szarzyńska-Zawadzka B, Dąca-Roszak P, Kosmowska M, Jaksik R, Witt M, et al. Identification of Endogenous Control miRNAs for RT-qPCR in T-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2018; 19(10): 2858.

40. Costé É, Rouleux-Bonnin F. The crucial choice of reference genes: identification of miR-191-5p for normalization of miRNAs expression in bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cell and HS27a/HS5 cell lines. *Sci Rep.* 2020; 10: 17728.
41. Kovynev IB, Titov SE, Ruzankin PS, Agakishiev MM, Veryaskina YA, Nedel'ko VM, et al. Profiling 25 Bone Marrow microRNAs in Acute Leukemias and Secondary Nonleukemic Hematopoietic Conditions. *Biomedicines.* 2020; 8(12): 607.
42. Costa-Pinheiro P, Ramalho-Carvalho J, Vieira FQ, Torres-Ferreira J, Oliveira J, Gonçalves CS, et al. MicroRNA-375 plays a dual role in prostate carcinogenesis. *Clin Epigenetics.* 2015; 7(1): 42.
43. Yanokura M, Banno K, Aoki D. MicroRNA-34b expression enhances chemosensitivity of endometrial cancer cells to paclitaxel. *Int J Oncol.* 2020; 57(5): 1145-56.
44. Babion I, Snoek BC, van de Wiel MA, Wilting SM, Steenbergen RDM. A Strategy to Find Suitable Reference Genes for miRNA Quantitative PCR Analysis and Its Application to Cervical Specimens. *J Mol Diagn.* 2017; 19(5): 625-37.
45. Masè M, Grasso M, Avogaro L, D'Amato E, Tessarolo F, Graffigna A., et al. Selection of reference genes is critical for miRNA expression analysis in human cardiac tissue. A focus on atrial fibrillation. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7: 41127.
46. Peltier HJ, Latham GJ. Normalization of microRNA expression levels in quantitative RT-PCR assays: identification of suitable reference RNA targets in normal and cancerous human solid tissues. *RNA.* 2008; 14(5): 844-52.
47. Wotschovsky Z, Gummlich L, Liep J, Stephan C, Kilic E, Jung K, et al. Integrated microRNA and mRNA Signature Associated with the Transition from the Locally Confined to the Metastasized Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma Exemplified by miR-146-5p. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(2): e0148746.

48. Leitão Mda C, Coimbra EC, de Lima Rde C, Guimarães Mde L, Heráclio Sde A, Silva Neto Jda C, et al. Quantifying mRNA and microRNA with qPCR in cervical carcinogenesis: a validation of reference genes to ensure accurate data. PLoS One. 2014; 9(11): e111021.
49. Shen Y, Li Y, Ye F, Wang F, Wan X, Lu W., et al. Identification of miR-23a as a novel microRNA normalizer for relative quantification in human uterine cervical tissues. Exp Mol Med. 2011; 4:, 358–66.
50. Bediaga NG, Davies MP, Acha-Sagredo A, Hyde R, Raji OY, Page R, et al. A microRNA-based prediction algorithm for diagnosis of non-small lung cell carcinoma in minimal biopsy material. Br J Cancer. 2013; 109(9): 2404-11.
51. Zhang X, Li P, Rong M, He R, Hou X, Xie Y, et al. MicroRNA-141 is a biomarker for progression of squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the lung: clinical analysis of 125 patients. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2015; 235(3): 161-9.
52. Fochi S, Orlandi E, Ceccuzzi L, Rodolfo M, Vergani E, Turco A, et al. Identification of suitable mRNAs and microRNAs as reference genes for expression analyses in skin cells under sex hormone exposure. Gene. 2021; 769: 145336.
53. Zhu HT, Dong QZ, Sheng YY, Wei JW, Wang G, Zhou HJ, et al. MicroRNA-29a-5p is a novel predictor for early recurrence of hepatitis B virus-related hepatocellular carcinoma after surgical resection. PLoS One. 2012; 7(12): e52393.
54. Rohan T, Ye K, Wang Y, Glass AG, Ginsberg M, Loudig O. MicroRNA expression in benign breast tissue and risk of subsequent invasive breast cancer. PLoS One. 2018; 13(2): e0191814.
55. Bignotti E, Calza S, Tassi RA, Zanotti L, Bandiera E, Sartori E, et al. Identification of stably expressed reference small non-coding RNAs for microRNA quantification in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma tissues. J Cell Mol Med. 2016; 20(12): 2341-48.

56. Anauate AC, Leal MF, Wisnieski F, Santos LC, Gigeck CO, Chen E, et al. Identification of suitable reference genes for miRNA expression normalization in gastric cancer. *Gene*. 2017; 621: 59-68.
57. Nagpal N, Kulshreshtha R. miR-191: an emerging player in disease biology. *Front Genet*. 2014; 5: 99.