1 **Title: BRET-based self-cleaving biosensors for SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro Inhibitor**

2 **Discovery**

3 **Author names:** Ningke Hou^{a,b,c}, Chen Peng^{a,b,c}, Lijing Zhang^{a,b,c}, Yuyao Zhu^{a,b,c}, Qi
4 Hu^{a,b,c*}

5 Affiliations:

^aKey Laboratory of Structural Biology of Zhejiang Province, School of Life Sciences,
Westlake University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

^bCenter for Infectious Disease Research, Westlake Laboratory of Life Sciences and Biomedicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China.

10 ^cInstitute of Biology, Westlake Institute for Advanced Study, Hangzhou, Zhejiang,
11 China.

12 ***Corresponding author:** Email: huqi@westlake.edu.cn; Tel: (86)0571-85273915

1 **Highlights**

- 2 ● Sensitive cell-based biosensors for 3CLpro inhibitor discovery in BSL-2
- 3 laboratories.
- 4 ● The BRET-based self-cleaving biosensors mimic the *in vivo* autoproteolytic
- 5 activation of 3CLpro.
- 6 ● Similar biosensors can be designed for other self-cleaving proteases, such as HIV
- 7 protease PR and HCV protease NS3.

Abstract:

The 3C-like protease (3CLpro) of SARS-CoV-2 is an attractive drug target for developing antivirals against SARS-CoV-2. A few small molecule inhibitors of 3CLpro are in clinical trials for COVID-19 treatments and more inhibitors are being developed. One limiting factor for 3CLpro inhibitors development is that the cellular activities of such inhibitors have to be evaluated in a Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) or BSL-4 laboratory. Here, we design genetically encoded biosensors that can be used in BSL-2 laboratories to set up cell-based assays for 3CLpro inhibitor discovery. The biosensors were constructed by linking a green fluorescent protein (GFP2) to the N-terminus and a Renilla luciferase (RLuc8) to the C-terminus of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro, with the linkers derived from the cleavage sequences of 3CLpro. After over-expression of the biosensors in HEK293 cells, 3CLpro can be released from GFP2 and RLuc by self-cleavage, resulting in a decrease of the bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) signal. Using one of these biosensors, pBRET-10, we evaluated the cellular activities of several 3CLpro inhibitors. These inhibitors restored the BRET signal by blocking the proteolysis of pBRET-10, and their relative activities measured using pBRET-10 were consistent with their anti-SARS-CoV-2 activities reported previously. We conclude that the biosensor pBRET-10 is a useful tool for SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro inhibitor discovery. Furthermore, our strategy can be used to design biosensors for other viral proteases that share the same activation mechanism as 3CLpro, such as HIV protease PR and HCV protease NS3.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; 3C-like protease; inhibitor; self-cleaving biosensor; BRET

1. Introduction

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) causing the global pandemic of COVID-19 poses a great threat to public health (Zhou et al., 2020). Despite several vaccines have been accessible, effective antivirals are still urgently needed for the treatment of COVID-19 (Grobler et al., 2020). The RNA genome of SARS-CoV-2 encodes two large overlapping polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab, and several structural proteins and accessory proteins (Hartenian et al., 2020). During virus replication in host cells, pp1a and pp1ab are expressed and then cleaved to generate 16 non-structural proteins (nsps). The cleavages are catalyzed by nsp3 and nsp5 – two proteases included in the 16 nsps. Specifically, the papain-like protease (PLpro) domain of nsp3 cleaves the peptides bonds between nsp1 and 2, nsp2 and 3, and nsp3 and 4; the peptide bonds between other nsps are cleaved by nsp5 (also called 3C-like protease, 3CLpro or the main protease). Inhibition of 3CLpro is an effective strategy to develop antivirals against SARS-CoV-2 (Zumla et al., 2016). Several 3CLpro inhibitors have been reported, two of which are now in COVID-19 clinical trials (Dai et al., 2020; de Vries et al., 2021; Drayman et al., 2021; Fu et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020; Vandyck and Deval, 2021; Zhang et al., 2020).

Enzymatic assays using purified 3CLpro were frequently used in the initial screening of 3CLpro inhibitors, but to evaluate the cell permeability and cellular activities of the inhibitors, cell-based antiviral assays are necessary. The requirement of Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) or BSL-4 laboratories for doing the cell-based anti-SARS-CoV-2 assays has slowed the development of 3CLpro inhibitors.

To set up cell-based 3CLpro assays that can be done in BSL-2 laboratories, several biosensors have been developed. The first is called Flip-GFP that has a 3CLpro cleavage site inserted into GFP; the fluorescence of GFP was decreased by the insertion but could be restored by the 3CLpro-catalyzed cleavage (Froggatt et al., 2020). Similar biosensors have been developed by other groups (O'Brien et al., 2021; Gerber et al., 2021). The second is an engineered luciferase having two complementary luciferase fragments

1 linked by a 3CLpro cleavage site; the luminescence was lost by 3CLpro cleavage and
2 restored when the 3CLpro activity was inhibited (Rawson et al., 2021). The third is a
3 GFP fusion protein having an ER targeting domain linked to the C-terminus of GFP
4 through a 3CLpro cleavage site; 3CLpro-catalyzed cleavage led to a translocation of
5 the GFP from ER to the nucleus which can be quantified using light microscopy
6 (Pahmeier et al., 2021). The fourth is a biosensor in which a Src myristoylation domain
7 and a HIV-1 Tat-GFP fusion protein are linked to the N- and C-terminus of 3CLpro,
8 respectively, through 3CLpro cleavage sites; expression of this biosensor in HEK 293T
9 cells showed little GFP fluorescence while inhibition of 3CLpro greatly increased the
10 GFP fluorescence, probably because 3CLpro-catalyzed self-cleavage led to degradation
11 of the biosensor (Moghadasi et al., 2020).

12 The limitations of these biosensors are that (1) their readouts are highly dependent
13 on the expression level of the biosensors, and for the first three types of biosensors, the
14 expression level of 3CLpro also affects the readouts; (2) the first three types of
15 biosensors require either co-transfection of two plasmids (the biosensor and 3CLpro
16 plasmids) or transfection of 3CLpro into cells stably expressing the biosensors, while
17 the fourth only needs to transfect one plasmid but its sensitivity to 3CLpro inhibitor
18 GC376 was much lower.

19 In this study, we developed a series of BRET-based biosensors to set up cell-based
20 assays for 3CLpro inhibitor discovery. We linked a green fluorescent protein (GFP2)
21 and a Renilla luciferase (RLuc8) to the N- and C-terminus of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro,
22 respectively, using 3CLpro cleavage sequences as the linkers (Fig. 1A&B). The BRET
23 from RLuc8 to GFP2 of the biosensors was disrupted upon self-cleavage catalyzed by
24 3CLpro and can be restored by adding 3CLpro inhibitors. The effect of variance in the
25 biosensor expression level on the readouts was minimized by normalizing the BRET
26 signal with the luminescent signal of RLuc8.

27 **2. Material and methods**

1 2.1. Construction of plasmids

2 The gene sequences of RLuc8 and GFP2 are the same as that reported previously (Bery
3 et al., 2018). The gene sequences of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro and its cleavage sites are the
4 same as that in the SARS-CoV-2 genome (NC_045512.2). The DNA fragment encoding
5 the biosensor pBRET-1 was synthesized at GENEWIZ (Suzhou, China) and inserted
6 into pcDNA3.1 vector at the site after the FLAG-tag. Then an HA-tag was added to the
7 C-terminus of pBRET-1 by Gibson homologous recombination using primers HA-F and
8 HA-R (Table S1). The plasmid of pBRET_{mut}-1 was constructed by introducing the
9 3CLpro C145A mutation into pBRET-1 through site-directed mutagenesis using
10 primers C145A-F and C145A-R (Table S1). The plasmids carrying other pBRET
11 biosensors were constructed on the basis of pBRET-1 using Gibson homologous
12 recombination method. The primers were shown in Table S1. The protein sequences of
13 all the BRET-based self-cleaving biosensors were shown in Table S2.

14 2.2. Cell culture

15 HEK 293T cells were cultured in a humidified incubator maintained at 37 °C with 5%
16 CO₂, using the high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco)
17 supplemented with 100 U/mL penicillium-streptomycin (HyClone) and 10% fetal
18 bovine serum (Gibco).

19 2.3. 3CLpro inhibitors

20 GC376 (Selleck, S0475) and Boceprevir (Selleck, S3733) were purchased from Selleck.
21 Compounds 11a and 13b were synthesized following protocols reported previously (Dai
22 et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

23 2.4. Western blot and BRET assays

24 To monitor the self-cleavage of pBRET-1 and to evaluate its sensitivity to 3CLpro
25 inhibitor GC376, HEK 293T cells were seeded into 6-well cell culture plates at about

1 40% confluence, and after 24 hours the cells were transfected with plasmids carrying
2 pBRET-1 (5 µg/well) using PEI as the transfection reagent. After transfection, 3CLpro
3 inhibitors in DMSO were added into the cell culture to reach the indicated working
4 concentrations. The final DMSO concentration in the cell culture was 0.5%. After
5 additional 24 hours, the cells were harvested and equally divided into two parts: one
6 part for western blot analysis, and the other for BRET assay.

7 For western blot analysis, HEK 293T cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (Beyotime,
8 P0013B). Then equal amounts of total protein in each condition were resolved by SDS-
9 PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Merck Millipore, ISEQ00010). The
10 PVDF membrane was blocked with 1% (for anti-FLAG antibody) or 3% (for anti-HA
11 antibody) non-fat milk in TBST buffer (Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween-
12 20) overnight at 4 °C and incubated with anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma, F1804, 1:1000
13 dilution) or anti-HA antibody (Abcam, ab9110, 1:1000 dilution) for 2 hours at room
14 temperature. After being washed three times with TBST buffer, the PVDF membrane
15 was incubated with anti-rabbit IgG (Merck Millipore, AP156P, 1:20000 dilution) or
16 anti-mouse IgG (Merck Millipore, AP127P; 1:20000 dilution) for 1 hours, washed with
17 TBST buffer three times, and developed using Pierce ECL western blotting substrate
18 (CWBIO, CW0049S).

19 For BRET assay, the cells were resuspended in ice-cold PBS and transferred to a
20 96-well clear-bottom white plate (Corning, 3610). After adding coelenterazine 400a
21 (Cayman, 16157) to a final concentration of 20 µM, the luminous signal at 410 nm and
22 fluorescent signal at 515 nm were measured using a BioTek microplate reader (Biotek
23 Synergy NEO2). The BRET ratio was calculated using the following equation:

$$24 \quad \text{BRET ratio} = (F_{515,S} - F_{515,BL}) / (L_{410,S} - L_{410,BL})$$

25 in which $F_{515,S}$ and $L_{410,S}$ are the fluorescent (515 nm) and luminescent signals (410 nm),
26 respectively, of cells expressing pBRET biosensors, and $F_{515,BL}$ and $L_{410,BL}$ are the
27 fluorescent (515 nm) and luminescent signals (410 nm), respectively, of HEK 293T

1 cells without pBRET biosensors.

2 To set up high-throughput BRET assay for optimizing pBRET-1 and evaluating the
3 activities of different 3CLpro inhibitors, HEK 293T cells were seed into a 96-well clear-
4 bottom white plate (Corning, 3610) at about 40% confluence, and after 24 hours the
5 cells were transfected with plasmids carrying the biosensors (0.4 µg/well) using PEI as
6 the transfection reagent. Then 3CLpro inhibitors in DMSO were added into the cell
7 culture to reach the indicated working concentrations. Twenty-four hours later, the
8 BRET ratios were measured using same protocols as described above.

9 **3. Results and discussion**

10 *3.1. Design of a BRET-based self-cleaving biosensor, pBRET-1*

11 The maximal distance for BRET is about 10 nm (Bacart et al., 2008). According to a
12 crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro (PDB code: 6Y2E), the distance between the
13 N- and C-terminus of 3CLpro is 22.9 Å (Zhang et al., 2020). To construct our first
14 biosensor — pBRET-1, we linked GFP2 to the N-terminus of SARS-CoV-2 3CLpro
15 using the cleavage sequence between nsp4 and 3CLpro as the linker, and linked RLuc8
16 to the C-terminus of 3CLpro using the cleavage sequence between 3CLpro and nsp6 as
17 the linker; furthermore, we added a FLAG tag before GFP2 and a HA tag after RLuc8
18 (Fig. 1A). We also constructed pBRET_{mut}-1, in which the catalytic residue C145 of
19 3CLpro was mutated to alanine.

20 Transient expression of pBRET-1 in HEK293 cells resulted in a BRET ratio (see
21 the methods) of about 0.02, while transient expression of pBRET_{mut}-1 showed a BRET
22 ratio of 0.25 (Fig. 1C). Adding GC376, a reported inhibitor of 3CLpro (Fu et al., 2020),
23 to the cell culture increased the BRET ratio of pBRET-1 in a concentration-dependent
24 manner. These results indicate that the BRET ratio of pBRET-1 is negatively associated
25 with the protease activity of 3CLpro.

26 We also monitored the self-cleavage of pBRET-1 in HEK 293T cells using Western

1 blot. We first used anti-HA antibody to detect the self-cleavage products (Fig. 1D). For
2 pBRET-1 in the absence of GC376, only RLuc was detected; as the concentration of
3 GC376 increased, the band of the 3CLpro-RLuc8 fragment and that of the full-length
4 GFP2-3CLpro-RLuc8 fusion protein appeared. We also used anti-FLAG antibody to
5 detect the self-cleavage products (Fig. 1E). Interestingly, as the concentration of GC376
6 increased, only the bands of GFP2 and the full-length GFP2-3CLpro-RLuc8 fusion
7 protein were detected, but no band of the GFP2-3CLpro fragment. These results suggest
8 that the cleavage at the N-terminus of 3CLpro occurred before the cleavage at the C-
9 terminus, which is consistent with the maturation process of 3CLpro reported
10 previously (Li et al., 2010).

11 *3.2. Optimization of pBRET-1*

12 There are eleven 3CLpro cleavage sites in the polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab of SARS-
13 CoV-2 (Mody et al., 2021). The efficiencies of 3CLpro to cleave these sites are different.
14 We presume that modulating the self-cleaving efficiency of pBRET-1 by changing the
15 cleavage sequence between GFP2 and 3CLpro and that between 3CLpro and RLuc8
16 may increase the sensitivity of the biosensor to 3CLpro inhibitors. As shown in Fig. 2,
17 nine pBRET biosensors were designed and their sensitivities to GC376 were tested.
18 Among them, pBRET-10 showed the highest sensitivity, with an EC₅₀ value of 2.72 μM
19 for GC376; in contrast, the EC₅₀ value measured using pBRET-1 was 11.60 μM (Fig.
20 2C).

21 *3.3. Evaluation of the cellular activities of 3CLpro inhibitors using pBRET-10*

22 As pBRET-10 has the highest sensitivity, we measured the EC₅₀ values of three other
23 3CLpro inhibitors (Boceprevir, compounds 11a and 13b) using pBRET-10 (Table 1)
24 (Dai et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Compound 11a showed an activity
25 slightly lower than GC376, while the activities of Boceprevir and compound 13b were
26 an order of magnitude lower than that of GC376. The EC₅₀ values of GC376 and
27 Boceprevir are comparable to that measured using cell-based anti-SARS-CoV-2 assays

(Fu et al., 2020). But for compounds 11a and 13b, the EC₅₀ values from our measurement were about 9 times the reported values from anti-SARS-CoV-2 assays (Dai et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020).

4. Conclusion

We have developed a class of BRET-based self-cleaving biosensors that can be used in BSL-2 laboratories to set up cell-based assays for 3CLpro inhibitor discovery. One of them, pBRET-10, showed comparable sensitivity to cell-based antiviral assays. Self-cleavage catalyzed by 3CLpro in these biosensors mimics the activation process of 3CLpro during coronavirus replication. In addition to the 3CLpro of SARS-CoV-2, similar biosensors can be developed to screen inhibitors of 3C-like proteases of other coronaviruses. Furthermore, many other viruses (such as HIV, HCV, Dengur virus, Zika virus, and West Nile virus) also utilize a replication strategy involving the expression of a polyprotein containing a self-cleaving protease (Huang et al., 2019; Lin, 2006; Majerová et al., 2019; Suthar et al., 2013; Yost and Marcotrigiano, 2013); therefore, our strategy can also be used to develop biosensors for proteases of these viruses, for example, the HIV protease PR and HCV protease NS3.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests which may be considered as potential competing interests: One or more authors have a pending patent related to this work.

CRedit authorship contribution statement

Ningke Hou: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. **Chen Peng:** Investigation, Data curation, Writing – original draft. **Lijing Zhang:** Resources, Validation. **Yuyao Zhu:** Investigation. **Qi Hu:** Supervision, Conceptualization, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing.

1 Acknowledgements

2 The work is supported by Westlake Education Foundation and Tencent Foundation.

3 References:

- Bacart, J., Corbel, C., Jockers, R., Bach, S., Couturier, C., 2008. *Biotechnol. J.* 3, 311–324.
- Bery, N., Cruz-Migoni, A., Bataille, C.J., Quevedo, C.E., Tulmin, H., Miller, A., Russell, A., Phillips, S.E., Carr, S.B., Rabbitts, T.H., 2018. *eLife* 7, e37122
- Dai, W., Zhang, B., Jiang, X.-M., Su, H., Li, J., Zhao, Y., Xie, X., Jin, Z., Peng, J., Liu, F., Li, C., Li, Y., Bai, F., Wang, H., Cheng, X., Cen, X., Hu, S., Yang, X., Wang, J., Liu, X., Xiao, G., Jiang, H., Rao, Z., Zhang, L.-K., Xu, Y., Yang, H., Liu, H., 2020. *Science* 368, 1331–1335.
- de Vries, M., Mohamed, A.S., Prescott, R.A., Valero-Jimenez, A.M., Desvignes, L., O'Connor, R., Steppan, C., Devlin, J.C., Ivanova, E., Herrera, A., Schinlever, A., Loose, P., Ruggles, K., Koralov, S.B., Anderson, A.S., Binder, J., Dittmann, M., 2021. *J. Virol.* 95, e01819-20.
- Drayman, N., DeMarco, J.K., Jones, K.A., Azizi, S.-A., Froggatt, H.M., Tan, K., Maltseva, N.I., Chen, S., Nicolaescu, V., Dvorkin, S., Furlong, K., Kathayat, R.S., Firpo, M.R., Mastrodomenico, V., Bruce, E.A., Schmidt, M.M., Jedrzejczak, R., Muñoz-Alía, M.Á., Schuster, B., Nair, V., Han, K., O'Brien, A., Tomatsidou, A., Meyer, B., Vignuzzi, M., Missiakas, D., Botten, J.W., Brooke, C.B., Lee, H., Baker, S.C., Mounce, B.C., Heaton, N.S., Severson, W.E., Palmer, K.E., Dickinson, B.C., Joachimiak, A., Randall, G., Tay, S., 2021. *Science* eabg5827.
- Froggatt, H.M., Heaton, B.E., Heaton, N.S., 2020. *J. Virol.* 94. e01265-20
- Fu, L., Ye, F., Feng, Y., Yu, F., Wang, Q., Wu, Y., Zhao, C., Sun, H., Huang, B., Niu, P., Song, H., Shi, Y., Li, X., Tan, W., Qi, J., Gao, G.F., 2020. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4417.
- Grobler, J.A., Anderson, A.S., Fernandes, P., Diamond, M.S., Colvis, C.M., Menetski, J.P., Alvarez, R.M., Young, J.A.T., Carter, K.L., 2020. *Cell Host Microbe* 28, 638–645.
- Gerber, P.P., Duncan, L.M., Greenwood, E.J., Marelli, S., Naamati, A., Teixeira-Silva, A., Crozier, T.W., Gabaev, I., Zhan, J.R., Protasio, A.V., Matheson, N.J., 2021. *bioRxiv*. 2021.03.22.435957.
- Hartenian, E., Nandakumar, D., Lari, A., Ly, M., Tucker, J.M., Glaunsinger, B.A., 2020. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 12910–12934.
- Huang, L., Li, L., Tien, C., LaBarbera, D.V., Chen, C., 2019. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 301.
- Jin, Z., Du, X., Xu, Y., Deng, Y., Liu, M., Zhao, Y., Zhang, B., Li, X., Zhang, L., Peng, C., Duan, Y., Yu, J., Wang, L., Yang, K., Liu, F., Jiang, R., Yang, Xinglou, You, T., Liu, Xiaoce, Yang, Xiuna, Bai, F., Liu, H., Liu, Xiang, Guddat, L.W., Xu, W., Xiao, G., Qin, C., Shi, Z., Jiang, H., Rao, Z., Yang, H., 2020. *Nature* 582, 289–293.
- Li, C., Qi, Y., Teng, X., Yang, Z., Wei, P., Zhang, C., Tan, L., Zhou, L., Liu, Y., Lai, L., 2010. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 28134–28140.
- Lin, C., 2006. HCV NS3-4A Serine Protease. *Genomes Mol. Biol. Norfolk UK Horiz.*

Biosci. Chapter 6, 44.

Majerová, T., Novotný, P., Krýsová, E., Konvalinka, J., 2019. *Biochimie* 166, 132–141.

Mody, V., Ho, J., Wills, S., Mawri, A., Lawson, L., Ebert, M.C.C.J.C., Fortin, G.M., Rayalam, S., Taval, S., 2021. *Commun. Biol.* 4, 1–10.

Moghadas, S.A., Becker, J.T., Belica, C., Wick, C., Brown, W.L., Harris, R.S., 2020. *bioRxiv*. 2020.11.09.375139

O'Brien, A., Chen, D.-Y., Hackbart, M., Close, B.J., O'Brien, T.E., Saeed, M., Baker, S.C., 2021. *Virology* 556, 73–78.

Pahmeier, F., Neufeldt, C.J., Cerikan, B., Prasad, V., Pape, C., Laketa, V., Ruggieri, A., Bartenschlager, R., Cortese, M., 2021. *J. Virol.* 95, e01715-20.

Rawson, J.M.O., Duchon, A., Nikolaitchik, O.A., Pathak, V.K., Hu, W.-S., 2021. *Viruses* 13, 173.

Suthar, M.S., Diamond, M.S., Gale Jr, M., 2013. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 115–128.

Vandyck, K., Deval, J., 2021. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 49, 36–40.

Yost, S.A., Marcotrigiano, J., 2013. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 3, 137–142.

Zhang, L., Lin, D., Sun, X., Curth, U., Drosten, C., Sauerhering, L., Becker, S., Rox, K., Hilgenfeld, R., 2020. *Science* 368, 409–412.

Zhou, P., Yang, X.-L., Wang, X.-G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Si, H.-R., Zhu, Y., Li, B., Huang, C.-L., Chen, H.-D., Chen, J., Luo, Y., Guo, H., Jiang, R.-D., Liu, M.-Q., Chen, Y., Shen, X.-R., Wang, X., Zheng, X.-S., Zhao, K., Chen, Q.-J., Deng, F., Liu, L.-L., Yan, B., Zhan, F.-X., Wang, Y.-Y., Xiao, G.-F., Shi, Z.-L., 2020. *Nature* 579, 270–273.

Zumla, A., Chan, J.F.W., Azhar, E.I., Hui, D.S.C., Yuen, K.-Y., 2016. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 15, 327–347.

Figure captions:

Figure 1. A) The domain organization of SARS-CoV-2 polyproteins (pp1a or pp1ab) (top) and the BRET-based self-cleaving biosensor (bottom). B) An illustration of the BRET-based self-cleaving biosensor showing the relative position of GFP2, 3CLpro and RLuc8. C) The BRET ratio ($\text{GFP}_{515\text{ nm}}/\text{RLuc}_{410\text{ nm}}$) of HEK 293T cells 24 hours post-transfection of the plasmid carrying biosensor pBRET-1. The 3CLpro inhibitor GC376 at the indicated working concentrations were added right after transfection. The biosensor with a C145A mutation in 3CLpro (pBRET_{mut-1}) was used as non-cleavable control. The data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent measurements. D and E) The self-cleavage of pBRET-1 in the presence of indicated concentrations of GC376 was detected by Western blot analysis using an anti-HA antibody (D) or an anti-FLAG antibody (E).

Figure 2. A and B) The BRET ratio ($\text{GFP}_{515\text{ nm}}/\text{RLuc}_{410\text{ nm}}$) of HEK 293T cells 24 hours post-transfection of different biosensor plasmids (pBRET-1 to pBRET-10). The 3CLpro inhibitor GC376 was diluted into cell culture media at the indicated working concentrations right after transfection. The data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent measurements. C) The amino acid sequences of the 3CLpro cleavage sites at the N- and C terminus of the ten biosensors, and the EC₅₀ of GC376 measured using these biosensors.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Table 1. The EC₅₀ values of four reported 3CLpro inhibitors measured using pBRET-10 and the corresponding EC₅₀ values from anti-SARS-CoV-2 assays.

3CLpro inhibitors	EC ₅₀ measured using pBRET-10 (μM)	Reported EC ₅₀ against SARS-CoV-2 (μM)	References
GC376	2.72 ± 0.12	0.70 (Vero E6 cells, MOI 0.01); 2.20 (Vero E6 cells, MOI 0.01)	(Fu et al., 2020); (Froggatt et al., 2020)
Boceprevir	36.31 ± 2.39	15.57 (Vero E6 cells, MOI 0.01)	(Fu et al., 2020)
11a	4.59 ± 0.23	0.53 (Vero E6 cells, MOI 0.05)	(Dai et al., 2020)
13b	37.11 ± 1.91	4~5 (Calu-3 cells, MOI 0.05)	(Zhang et al., 2020)