bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.09.451759; this version posted July 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

# 1 Double nerve transfer to a single target muscle: experimental model in

# 2 the upper extremity

3 Matthias Luft<sup>1,2</sup>, Johanna Klepetko<sup>1,2</sup>, Silvia Muceli<sup>3</sup>, Jaime Ibáñez<sup>4,5</sup>, Vlad Tereshenko<sup>1,2</sup>,

4 Christopher Festin<sup>1,2</sup>, Gregor Längle<sup>1,2</sup>, Olga Politikou<sup>1,2</sup>, Udo Maierhofer<sup>1,2</sup>, Dario Farina<sup>4</sup>,

5 Oskar C. Aszmann<sup>1,6</sup>, Konstantin D. Bergmeister<sup>1,2,7</sup>

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Clinical Laboratory for Bionic Extremity Reconstruction, Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and
- 7 Aesthetic Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Center for Biomedical Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>3</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, London, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical and Movement Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK
- <sup>6</sup>Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Medical University of Vienna,
- 13 Vienna, Austria
- <sup>14</sup> <sup>7</sup>Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Department of Plastic, Aesthetic and Reconstructive
- 15 Surgery, University Hospital St. Poelten, Krems, Austria
- 16

# 17 **\* Correspondence:**

- 18 Konstantin Bergmeister, MD PhD
- 19 Clinical Laboratory for Bionic Extremity Reconstruction,
- 20 Department of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery
- 21 Medical University of Vienna
- 22 Waehringer Guertel 18-20
- 23 A-1090 Vienna, Austria
- 24 kbergmeister@gmail.com

# 25 Keywords: nerve injury, peripheral nerve, nerve transfer, targeted muscle reinnervation, rat

- 26 model, microsurgery
- 27

## 28 Abstract

29 Surgical nerve transfers are used to efficiently treat peripheral nerve injuries, neuromas, phantom 30 limb pain or improve bionic prosthetic control. Commonly, one donor nerve is transferred to one 31 target muscle. However, the transfer of multiple nerves onto a single target muscle may increase the 32 number of muscle signals for myoelectric prosthetic control and facilitate the treatment of multiple 33 neuromas. Currently, no experimental models are available for multiple nerve transfers to a common 34 target muscle in the upper extremity. This study describes a novel experimental model to investigate 35 the neurophysiological effects of peripheral double nerve transfers. For this purpose, we developed a 36 forelimb model to enable tension-free transfer of one or two donor nerves in the upper extremity. 37 Anatomic dissections were performed to design the double nerve transfer model (n=8). In 62 male 38 Sprague-Dawley rats the ulnar nerve of the antebrachium alone (n=30) or together with the anterior 39 interosseus nerve (n=32) was transferred to reinnervate the long head of the biceps brachii. Before 40 neurotization, the motor branch to the biceps' long head was transected at the motor entry point and 41 resected up to its original branch to prevent auto-reinnervation. In all animals, coaptation of both 42 nerves to the motor entry point could be performed tension-free. Mean duration of the procedure was 43  $49 \pm 13$  min for the single nerve transfer and  $78 \pm 20$  min for the double nerve transfer. Twelve 44 weeks after surgery, muscle response to neurotomy, behavioral testing, retrograde labeling and 45 structural analyses were performed to assess reinnervation. These analyses indicated that all nerves 46 successfully reinnervated the target muscle. No aberrant reinnervation was observed by the originally 47 innervating nerve. Our observations suggest a minimal burden for the animal with no signs of 48 functional deficit in daily activities or auto-mutilation in both procedures. Furthermore, standard 49 neurophysiological analyses for nerve and muscle regeneration were applicable. This newly 50 developed nerve transfer model allows for the reliable and standardized investigation of neural and 51 functional changes following the transfer of multiple donor nerves to one target muscle.

52

### 59 **1** Introduction

60 Nerve transfers offer a variety of therapeutic possibilities in modern extremity reconstruction, such as 61 treating peripheral nerve injuries, neuromas, phantom limb pain, improving prosthetic control or 62 restoring function following spinal cord injuries (Aszmann et al., 2015; Farina et al., 2017; Dumanian 63 et al., 2019; Van Zyl et al., 2019). Compared to conventional nerve repair modalities, nerve transfers 64 are capable of bypassing slow peripheral nerve regeneration (Terzis and Papakonstantinou, 2000), 65 thus preventing irreversible muscle fibrosis before reinnervation (Mackinnon and Novak, 1999). For 66 this purpose, nearby nerves with a sufficient axonal load and lesser functional importance are 67 neurotomized and transferred to the injured nerve (Oberlin et al., 1994;Bertelli et al., 1997). Because 68 of overall faster regeneration and better functional outcomes compared to nerve grafting, this surgical 69 procedure has been able to improve the devastating effects of peripheral nerve and brachial plexus 70 lesions, which have otherwise often led to long-term health impairment and subsequent 71 socioeconomic costs (Mackinnon and Novak, 1999;Terzis and Papakonstantinou, 2000;Bergmeister 72 et al., 2020). Additionally, they are used in a procedure termed targeted muscle reinnervation (TMR) 73 to improve myoelectric prosthetic control (Kuiken et al., 2009;Kapelner et al., 2016), treat neuromas 74 or phantom limb pain (Mioton et al., 2020). Here, amputated nerves within an extremity stump are 75 transferred to residual stump muscles, thus significantly improving the recording of neural activity 76 about motor intent and the control of myoelectric prostheses. Generally, one donor nerve is 77 transferred to one target muscle head and this concept has been well studied with high clinical 78 success (Kuiken et al., 2009;Aszmann et al., 2015;Farina et al., 2017). However, the use of multiple 79 nerve transfers to a single target muscle head may provide additional benefits for these clinical 80 indications but has not been clinically explored. Although several nerve transfer models have been 81 established (Kuiken et al., 1995;Bergmeister et al., 2016;Aman et al., 2019), none of them has 82 investigated multiple peripheral nerve transfers in the upper extremity. Only one model where 83 multiple donor nerves are used to restore muscle function in the rat hindlimb has been described

84 (Kuiken et al., 1995). However, as most nerve injuries occur in the upper extremity, an upper 85 extremity model for experimental investigation of this concept is needed (Scholz et al., 2009).

In this study, we propose a surgical nerve transfer model to allow the transfer of multiple donor nerves to a single muscle head and we validate this model in the rat forelimb. This model allows for reliable analyses with all standard neurophysiological investigations of the motor unit for possible implementation of this concept to clinical application.

#### 90 2 Materials and methods

# 91 2.1 Experimental design

Eight rat cadavers were dissected to design the double nerve transfer procedure. An important criterion for the selection of the donor nerves and the target muscle was clinical relevance. First, eligible peripheral motor nerves were determined for a reliable, tension-free transfer to the long head of the biceps muscle. Then, the topographical relationships between the biceps' long head, its motor nerve branch, the ulnar nerve in the antebrachium (UN) and the anterior interosseus nerve (AIN) were studied and subsequently compared to the human anatomy. These studies verified the anatomical feasibility of transferring both the distal UN and AIN to the long head of the biceps.

99 Sixty-two Sprague-Dawley rats aged 8-10 weeks were randomly allocated into two groups by an 100 animal care taker to investigate functional and structural changes following single (SNT) and double 101 nerve transfer (DNT). Thirty-two animals were assigned to the DNT group (Figure 1), while 30 102 animals underwent the single nerve transfer of the UN and were used as control (Figure 1). Twelve 103 weeks after surgery, microscopic inspection of the motor entry point (n=62), nerve crush and 104 neurotomy (n=32), and Terzis' grooming test (n=51) (Inciong et al., 2000) were performed. After the 105 final functional assessments, muscle specimens were harvested and weighed (n=32). Thirty-eight 106 animals were assigned for retrograde labeling analyses. Sample size calculations performed by a

biostatistician were considered in the planning of the studies. Planning, conducting and reporting of experiments were performed according to the ARRIVE (Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments) guidelines (Percie Du Sert et al., 2020). The protocols for these experiments were approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Vienna and the Austrian Ministry for Research and Science (reference number BMBWF- 66.009/0413-V/3b/2019) and strictly followed the principles of laboratory animal care as recommended by the Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA)(Guillen, 2012).





Figure 1. Experimental nerve transfer models. *Single-nerve transfer model:* The UN (yellow) was transected distally
to the palmar cutaneous branch in the forearm and surgically transferred to reinnervate the long head of the biceps (n=30). *Multiple-nerve transfer model:* Both the UN (yellow) and AIN (green) were redirected to reinnervate the long head of the
biceps (n=32). Before both nerve transfer procedures, the originally innervating branch of the MCN was removed. The

119 untreated contralateral biceps muscles served as internal control for both groups. The red lines indicate the level of 120 transection. Credit: Aron Cserveny.

# 121 **2.2** Nerve transfer model

122 For each procedure, anesthesia was induced with ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (5 mg/kg) 123 intraperitoneally and maintained by volume-controlled ventilation (40% O2, room air, 1.5-2% 124 isoflurane) following orotracheal intubation. Piritramide (0.3 mg/kg) was administered 125 subcutaneously for analgesia. Furthermore, the drinking water was mixed with piritramide and 126 glucose (30 mg piritramide and 30 ml 10% glucose dissolved in 250 ml drinking water) and 127 administered ad libitum for pain relief during the first seven postoperative days. After the 128 experimental tests, animals were euthanized with a lethal dose of pentobarbital (300 mg/kg) injected 129 intracardially under deep anesthesia. All animals were examined daily by an animal keeper for pain, 130 sensory deficits, impairments in daily activities, wound dehiscence and infection. All nerve transfer 131 procedures were performed by the same surgeon and assistant.

# 132 **2.2.1 Single nerve transfer**

133 A lazy S-shaped incision was made from 5 mm caudal to the greater tubercle of the humerus over the 134 medial epicondyle along the ulnar side of the forearm until 5 mm proximal to the forepaw (Figure 135 2A). Following the dissection of the subcutaneous tissue, the antebrachial fascia was opened through 136 an incision placed over the palmaris longus muscle to preserve the underlying ulnar collateral vessels. 137 Then, the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle was bluntly mobilized and retracted ulnarly using a Magnetic 138 Fixator Retraction System (Fine Science Tools, Heidelberg, Germany) to expose the UN. Further 139 exposure of the dorsal and palmar cutaneous branches of the UN was carried out using an operating 140 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Munich, Germany) (Figure 2B). The palmar branch was cut right after its 141 emergence and the UN was subsequently transected as distally as possible. The UN was dissected 142 proximally to its distal exit from the cubital tunnel while preserving the ulnar artery and basilic vein.

143 Intraneural dissection allowed for conservation of the dorsal cutaneous and flexor carpi ulnaris motor 144 branches (Figure 2B), while facilitating a tension-free nerve coaptation. Next, the incision of the 145 antebrachial fascia was extended proximally to open the brachial fascia above the cubital fossa and 146 biceps. Subsequently, the pectoral muscles were retracted to expose the musculocutaneous nerve's 147 (MCN) branch to the long head of the biceps running along the bicipital groove (Figure 2C). The 148 motor branch of the MCN to the biceps' long head was then cut at the motor insertion point and the 149 proximal segment subsequently removed from its division to prevent spontaneous regeneration. Next, 150 the UN was routed proximally over the cubital fossa and coapted tension-free to the epimysium near 151 the original motor insertion point with one 11-0 (Ethilon, Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson Medical Care,

152

USA) simple interrupted stitch (Figure 2D).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.09.451759; this version posted July 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by neer review) is the author/funder All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission the upper extremity



#### 153

154 Figure 2. Surgical procedure of the ulnar nerve transfer. (A) Overview of the rats' supinated right forelimb after the 155 brachial and antebrachial fascia were removed. (B) Two blunt retractors have been placed to pull the flexor carpi ulnaris 156 and the palmaris longus apart, revealing the underlying UN. The yellow line indicates the level of transection to gain 157 sufficient length to reach the biceps' long head tension-free. To achieve this, the palmar cutaneous branch must be 158 transected, while the dorsal cutaneous branch can be preserved. (C) For better visualization, the brachial fascia was 159 opened above the biceps. A sharp retractor was placed to pull back the pectoral muscles and thus revealed the two biceps 160 heads, which were bluntly separated. In the deep bicipital groove, the MCN and its motor branch to the long head of the 161 biceps were identified. Maximum length of the motor branch to the long head was removed to prevent spontaneous 162 regeneration. (D) Eventually, the UN was rerouted from between the palmaris longus and flexor carpi ulnaris to the long 163 head of the biceps and sutured to the epimysium at the former original motor entry point. This procedure on the one hand 164 spares the denervation of the flexor carpi ulnaris and the flexor digitorum superficialis and the invasive dissection through 165 the cubital tunnel.

# 166 **2.2.2 Double nerve transfer**

167 The skin incision, exposure of the distal UN as well as the denervation of the biceps' long head were 168 performed as described in the single nerve transfer. Before coaptation of the UN, the median nerve 169 and AIN were dissected. For better exposure of the AIN, one blunt retractor was carefully placed to 170 pull the proximal belly of the pronator teres muscle ulnarly (Figure 3A). After identifying the AIN, it 171 was transected and dissected proximally in an intraneural fashion to its branching point (Figure 3A). 172 Then, both the UN and the AIN were neurotized to the epimysium near the original motor insertion 173 point with one 11-0 (Ethilon, Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson Medical Care) simple interrupted stitch 174 each (Figure 3B). Significant caliber differences between the motor branch of the biceps' long head 175 and the two transferred nerves required neurotization directly to the epimysium. In this way, the 176 regeneration distance was kept as short as possible, hence minimizing the reinnervation time. It is 177 particularly important not to place the two nerves in direct proximity in the tissue (Figure 3B) as this 178 increases the complexity of the dissection and therefore the risk of injuring the nerves in the follow-179 up examinations. Wound closure was performed with fascial and deep dermal 6-0 (Vicryl, Ethicon, 180 Johnson and Johnson Medical Care, Austria) simple interrupted sutures followed by running 181 subcuticular suture with 6-0 (Vicryl, Ethicon, Johnson and Johnson Medical Care, Austria).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.09.451759; this version posted July 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by neer review) is the author/funder All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission the upper extremity



183 Figure 3. Surgical procedure of the double nerve transfer. (A) General view of the right supinated forelimb. The 184 proximal hook pulls the pectoral muscles towards proximal for better presentation. (B) The brachial and antebrachial 185 fascia and the motor branch to the pronator teres muscle were removed for better visualization. In the cubital fossa, three 186 branches arise from the median nerve: one muscle branch supplying the pronator teres (resected), one muscle branch 187 supplying the flexor carpi radialis, palmaris longus and flexor digitorum superficialis and the AIN supplying pronator 188 quadratus, flexor pollicis longus and flexor digitorum profundus. After transecting the AIN (vellow line), proximal 189 dissection in an intraneural fashion gains sufficient length to reach the biceps' motor entry point. (C) Surgical site before 190 wound closure, after both the UN and the AIN were transferred to the physiological motor entry point of the long head of 191 the biceps. (FCR - flexor carpi radialis. PL - palmaris longus. FDS - flexor digitorum superficialis).

# 192 2.3 Behavioral evaluation

Quantitative assessment of grooming behavior was carried out and filmed twelve weeks after the single (n=21) and double nerve transfer (n=30) using Terzis' grooming test (Inciong et al., 2000), a modification of Bertelli's grooming test (Bertelli and Mira, 1993). To keep the animals' stress level at a minimum, testing was performed in the animals' familiar environment. In brief, 1 to 3 ml of water was sprinkled on the rats' snouts, which led to consistent bilateral grooming movements of the forelimbs. Grading of the grooming performance was assessed by the following score: grade 1, paws

reach mouth or elbow is extended; grade 2, paws reach mouth and beneath eyes; grade 3, paws reach
eyes; grade 4, paws reach between eyes and ears; grade 5, paws reach behind the ears. The slowmotion video sequences were graded by a blinded observer.

# 202 2.4 Retrograde labeling

203 Assessment of the motor unit at the spinal cord level after nerve transfer surgery was performed via 204 retrograde labeling as previously described (Hayashi et al., 2007). In brief, retrograde tracers are 205 taken up by terminal axons and transported via retrograde axonal transport to label the cell somas in 206 the spinal cords' ventral root. In eight additional untreated control animals both the UN in the 207 antebrachium and the AIN were transected and placed into conduit reservoirs for one hour, either 208 filled with 5 µl of 10% Fluoro-Ruby (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or 5 µl of 2% Fast-Blue 209 (Polysciences, Warrington, PA, USA). Tracer leakage was prevented by sealing the reservoir around 210 the nerve with Vaseline (Vaselinum album, Fagron, Glinde, Germany). Hence, the corresponding 211 motor neuron pools in the spinal cord (C8-Th1) were localized (Figure 4). To further prevent bias 212 due to differences in penetration of the tracers, the nerves were alternately colored with Fluoro-Ruby 213 and Fast-Blue. Additionally, twelve weeks following the SNT (n=15) and DNT (n=15) surgery, 214 motor neurons reinnervating the long head of the biceps were studied. Through a 15mm incision 215 above the biceps, the biceps' long head and its insertion site were exposed. A Hamilton micro syringe 216 was then used to inject 10µl 2% Fluoro-Gold (Fluorochrome, LLC, Denver, CO) evenly into the 217 biceps' long head near the motor insertion site. After tracer injection with a small gauge needle, the 218 syringe was kept inside the muscle for one minute before slowly withdrawing it to keep leakage to a 219 minimum. Seven days following retrograde labeling, the animals were deeply anesthetized by a lethal 220 dose of xylazine, ketamine and pentobarbital intraperitoneally before the left ventricle was perfused 221 with 400ml of 0.9% NaCl followed by 400ml of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution. Then, the 222 spinal cord segments C4-Th2 were harvested and stored in 4% PFA for 24 hours at  $+4^{\circ}$ , followed by

# bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.09.451759; this version posted July 29, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by neer review) is the author/funder All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission **Double nerve transfer to a single target muscle: experimental model in the upper extremity**

223 24h in 0.1M phosphate buffered saline PBS at  $+4^{\circ}$ . Then, the specimens were dehydrated in a PBS 224 solution with increasing sucrose concentrations of 10%, 25% and 40% for 24 hours each before embedding them in Tissue-Tek<sup>®</sup> O.C.T.<sup>TM</sup> Compound (Sakura Finetek Europe B.V., Alphen aan den 225 226 Rijn, Netherlands). Spinal cord segments were cut longitudinally into 40-um sections using a cryostat 227 (Leica, Germany). To assess the reinnervation, each spinal cord section was analyzed in an observer 228 blinded setting using a fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Munich, Germany). Spinal cord 229 segments of labeled motor neurons after DNT (Fluoro-Gold) were compared to the double labeled 230 (Fast-Blue, Fluoro-Ruby) segments of the untreated animals.



232 Figure 4. Double retrograde labeling. (A) The selected donor nerves were both dissected in a right forelimb and placed 233 in a conduit reservoir filled with Fast-Blue (UN) and Fluoro-Ruby (AIN) respectively for one hour. Wet sterile swabs 234 were placed above the surgical site to prevent the tissue from drying and the fluorescent dyes from bleaching. 235 C8-Th1. Labeled AIN (orange) UN (B) Spinal cord section and motoneuron pool (blue). 236 WM – white matter, GM – grey matter.

# 237 2.5 Neuromuscular analyses

The lengths of both the UN (n=6) and AIN (n=6) were measured intraoperatively before coaptation to the muscle. Twelve weeks following surgery, the motor entry point was microscopically examined

for proper reinnervation and neuroma formation in all animals. Muscle reaction to nerve crush (see Video 2 for muscle reaction to MCN crush in the control side) and neurotomy was assessed in animals following DNT (n=17) and compared to animals following SNT (n=15). For internal control, the motor branches to the biceps' long head were crushed and neurotomized in the contralateral forelimbs. Conclusively, to assess neuromuscular regeneration after denervation, the biceps muscles were resected and weighed immediately after removal using a microscale.

#### 246 **2.6 Statistical analysis**

An ANCOVA was conducted to determine effects of the nerve transfer procedure (SNT and DNT) on the reinnervated muscle mass after adjusting for control muscle mass. In addition, a paired-samples t-test was used to determine whether there was a change of muscle mass following SNT or DNT between the two sides. All data analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics for Macintosh, Version 25.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York, USA).

252 **3 Results** 

# 253 **3.1** Nerve transfer surgery

All animals survived the surgical nerve transfers and showed normal gait and grasping behavior in the twelve-week follow-up period. All animals were able to carry out activities of daily behavior unhindered and no signs of severe pain, wound dehiscence, auto-mutilation or infection were documented. Mean surgery time was  $49 \pm 13$  min for the SNT procedures and  $78 \pm 20$  min for the DNT procedures.

# 259 **3.2 Behavioral evaluation**

Slow motion video sequence analysis by a blinded evaluator showed that twelve weeks following the SNT and DNT, all animals could consistently reach behind their ears and therefore achieved a maximum score of 5 (Video 1).

#### 263 **3.3 Retrograde Labeling**

Analyses of the spinal cord following UN transfer showed adequate motor neuron staining in the corresponding segments (Th1-C8). When comparing the spinal cords of the untreated animals with spinal cords of animals which underwent DNT, the distribution pattern of the longitudinally arranged Fluoro-Gold dyed clusters provides strong evidence that both the UN and AIN innervated the biceps' long head (see Figure 4 for a representative example). Furthermore, no signs of spontaneous regeneration from the MCN were noted by analyzing the corresponding spinal cord segments (C5-C7).

#### 271 **3.4** Neuromuscular analyses

Both the donor nerve branches, and biceps' motor entry point were topographically consistent. The UN measured a mean length of  $23.08 \pm 1.36$  mm from the distal exit of the cubital tunnel to the distal stump. The AIN transfer provided a mean length of  $10.50 \pm 1.61$  mm measured from its branching off the median nerve to the distal stump.

Twelve weeks following nerve transfer surgeries, macroscopic examination of all biceps motor entry points showed successful reinnervation but no auto-innervation by the MCN and no signs of neuroma were detected. Adequate muscle fibrillation was observed in all animals upon crushing and neurotomizing the donor nerves individually following SNT and DNT (UN crush and AIN crush response is shown in video 3 and 4 respectively).

#### 281 **3.4.1 Comparison of reinnervated muscle mass**

282 There was a linear relationship between treated and untreated muscle mass for each nerve transfer 283 procedure, as assessed by visual inspection of a scatterplot. There was homogeneity of regression 284 slopes as the interaction term was not statistically significant, F(1, 28) = .238, p = .630. Standardized 285 residuals for the interventions and for the overall model were normally distributed, as assessed by 286 Shapiro-Wilk's test (p > .05). There was homoscedasticity and homogeneity of variances, as assessed 287 by visual inspection of a scatterplot and Levene's test of homogeneity of variance (p = .504), 288 respectively. There were no outliers in the data, as no cases were detected with standardized residuals 289 greater than  $\pm 3$  standard deviations.

After adjustment for control muscle mass, there was a statistically significant difference in muscle mass between the treated sides following SNT and DNT, F(1, 29) = 24.030, \*\*\*p < .001, partial  $\eta^2 =$ .453. Muscle mass was statistically significantly larger in the DNT group (303.01 ± 7.76 mg) compared to the SNT group (245.57 ± 8.29 mg), with a mean difference of 57.45 (95% CI, 33.48 to 81.41) mg, \*\*\*p < .001. Data are reported adjusted mean ± standard error.

# 295 **3.4.2** Comparison of reinnervated and control muscle mass

No outliers were detected as assessed by inspection of a boxplot. The assumption of normality was not violated, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk's test for the SNT (p = .758) and DNT group (p = .307).

The mean muscle mass was reduced following SNT ( $235.07 \pm 44.05$  mg) as opposed to the untreated

299 contralateral side (292.93  $\pm$  35.17 mg) with a statistically significant decrease of -57.87 (95% CI, -

300 77.38 to -38.35) mg, t(14) = -6.360, \*\*\*p < .001, d = 1.64. However, mean muscle mass following

301 DNT (312.28  $\pm$  37.74 mg) compared to the untreated contralateral side (315.97  $\pm$  28.22 mg) was

302 similar and showed no statistically significant change (p = .571). Data are reported as mean  $\pm$ 303 standard deviation.

#### **304 4 Discussion**

The present study provides a robust and easily accessible model for surgical double nerve transfers to a single target muscle in the rat's upper extremity. We offer detailed step-by-step instructions on how to reproduce this model, including potential pitfalls. For comparison, the model also offers a description of a single nerve transfer to the same target muscle. We employed nerve crush, neurotomy, behavioral analysis and retrograde labeling which indicated that neuromuscular regeneration of two donor nerves occurred into one target muscle.

311 To our knowledge, only one rat model for multiple peripheral innervation of a single target has been 312 described. However, that previous model was for the lower extremity and did not provide detailed 313 description for step by step reproduction of the model (Kuiken et al., 1995). Hindlimb models do not 314 adequately represent the physiology of upper extremity nerve transfers and targeted muscle 315 reinnervation procedures. This notion is supported by the clinical discrepancy between the excellent 316 outcomes for upper extremity compared to the poor outcomes for lower extremity nerve transfers 317 (Ray et al., 2016). Furthermore, most nerve transfers are currently conducted in the upper extremity for both nerve reconstruction and prosthetic control. We already established single peripheral nerve 318 319 transfer models in the upper extremity (Bergmeister et al., 2016;Aman et al., 2019), which were 320 considered for developing this novel model. For this purpose, we conducted anatomical dissections in 321 eight rat cadavers to design the DNT concept to allow tension-free approximation of the two motor 322 nerves to the target biceps muscle. Theoretically, many other target muscles are also feasible due to 323 the sufficient length of both the UN and AIN. However, the biceps muscle provides an optimal target 324 that is accessible for all standard structural and functional analyses and accurately represents a 325 surgical target in clinical nerve transfer scenarios as well.

The implementation of this model requires an operating microscope, a set of microsurgery tools and advanced microsurgical skills to achieve reproducible results. In our experience, dissection of the UN in the antebrachium can be performed in a straightforward manner and preservation of the motor branch to the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle, the dorsal sensory branch and the ulnar artery is easily

330 feasible. Subsequently, transecting the UN as distally as possible allows for tension-free coaptation to 331 the proximal target muscle. Exposure of the MCN's motor branch to the long head of the biceps is 332 best achieved in the bicipital groove by retracting the overlaying pectoral muscles medially. Here, 333 considerable care must be taken when dividing the two bicep heads to preserve the bicipital artery, 334 which enters the long head in the distal portion and advances in proximal direction. Injury to this 335 vessel has shown to affect functional measures in previous experiments. Another hazard in the DNT 336 model is potential injury of the median vessels in the cubital fossa. To prevent this scenario, special 337 attention is required during the dissection of the median nerve, because the median vessels are either 338 found directly beneath or above the nerve. It is mandatory to dissect the AIN intraneurally to its 339 proximal branching point to enable tension-free coaptation to the original motor point of the biceps. 340 Due to the target to donor nerve diameter discrepancies, we chose to suture the donor nerves to the 341 motor entry point epimysially. In previous models, this approach led to reliable reinnervation of the 342 target muscle (Bergmeister et al., 2019).

343 Our behavioral observations indicate that the procedures did not cause extraordinary distress or pain 344 under adequate analgesia postoperatively. As early as one week after surgery, behavioral testing was 345 carried out in randomly selected individual animals, and all of them achieved the maximum score. 346 Likewise, after a 12-week regeneration period, all animals from both the control and the experimental 347 DNT group achieved the maximum score of Terzis grooming test (Inciong et al., 2000) (Video 1). 348 Hence, it seems that two motor nerves of different origin governing the same muscle did not hamper 349 activities of daily living. Additionally, no substantial pain or neuroma pain was evident. When 350 comparing the two procedures, it takes only marginally longer to perform the DNT, while no 351 additional physical stress or motor deficits were observed postoperatively.

352 The donor nerves reinnervated the target muscle within 12 weeks in all animals as indicated 353 macroscopically during dissection and by the fact that nerve crush or neurotomy induced

fasciculations of the muscle (Videos 3 and 4). Likewise, intramuscular retrograde labeling showedthe uptake and transport of tracer dye into the motor neuron columns of the two transferred nerves.

356 Interestingly, after 12 weeks, muscle mass of the UN reinnervated muscles only recovered to 80.25 357 % of the contralateral side. This is in contrast with previous studies performed by authors of this 358 work (Bergmeister et al., 2019). A possible explanation for this mismatch is the difference of the 359 levels at which the UN was cut and transferred in the two studies. Unlike in the previous study where 360 the entire UN was transferred, here the UN was transferred at the wrist level. This may have caused 361 that the donor nerve was not able to fully regenerate the long head of the biceps due to the lower 362 motor axon numbers. Detailed analyses exist for humans, where the UN at wrist level only contains 363  $1226 \pm 243$  motor axons compared to the entire UN (2670 \pm 347) whereas the MCN contains 1601 ± 364 164 (Gesslbauer et al., 2017). Considering that the muscle mass of double reinnervated muscles 365 regenerated to 98.83%, it appears that the two donor nerves were better able to reinnervate and 366 adequately restore 24.72 % more muscle mass than the SNT. This additionally indicates that both 367 SNT and DNT procedures were successful and that DNT with a high axonal load may lead to higher 368 muscle reinnervation and functional regeneration.

369 Previous findings (Bergmeister et al., 2019) reported neuroma formation at the insertion point 370 following nerve transfer. These consisted presumably mainly of sensory axons and the surplus of 371 motor neurons which was not able to innervate motor endplates. We did not observe neuroma 372 formation in this study and believe, that this is because the donor nerves comprised only few sensory 373 axons and the donor-to-recipient ratio of motor axons and targets was more balanced than in the 374 previous study, as mentioned above. Therefore, we assume that no fibers were lost at the insertion 375 site to the muscle, which may have formed a neuroma. Although the question of the optimal donor-376 to-recipient ratio for optimal outcome remains unsolved, further investigations in this surgical model 377 are ongoing to answer this question and contribute to surgical refinement of nerve transfers.

378 One potential limitation of this study is the use of the mixed UN containing both sensory and motor 379 nerve fibers. For better outcomes of surgical nerve transfers, "pure" motor nerves should be 380 preferred, such as the AIN used here, to avoid sensory to motor axon incongruence (Ray et al., 2016). 381 We decided to transfer the UN at a level, where it also contains sensory fibers of the superficial 382 branch because unlike in human, intraneural fascicular dissection to identify the two branches 383 proximal to Guyon's canal is impossible due to intermingling axons at the level of Guyon's canal. 384 Uncomplicated dissection, significant transfer leeway and the lack of a better alternatives made the 385 UN the best option.

386 The presented nerve transfer model finds broad application in many research fields. It offers the 387 possibility to investigate basic neurophysiology, but also clinical applications of surgical nerve 388 transfers for biological reconstruction and bionic reconstruction via targeted muscle reinnervation. 389 After amputation, targeted muscle reinnervation can create additional myosignals to improve basic 390 prosthetic control. In TMR, neuromas within the stump are cut and the healthy fascicles are then 391 transferred to intact muscle segments, after denervation from their original innervation. EMG 392 technology can record and decipher neuronal signals from those reinnervated areas into signals for 393 prosthetic movement (Bergmeister et al., 2017; Muceli et al., 2019b; Salminger et al., 2019). The 394 biceps' long head is suitable to perform various EMG examinations, as we have previously shown 395 (Bergmeister et al., 2019; Muceli et al., 2019a). Especially with novel multichannel EMG technology 396 (Muceli et al., 2015), individual motor unit action potentials can potentially be decoded from such 397 signals as we have previously shown in SNT models (Muceli et al., 2019a).

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that a single target muscle can host two separate donor nerves.
Our results suggest that both the SNT and DNT models are suitable for common neurophysiological
examinations in peripheral nerve research. The concept of transferring multiple nerves to a single
target may improve muscle reinnervation, prosthetic interfacing, neuroma therapy or facilitate

402	phantom limb	pain management.	Until first clinical	applications can	be translated,	further research is
	1			1 1		

403 needed to fully understand the neurophysiological changes following multiple nerve transfers.

# 404 **5 Conflict of Interest**

405 All authors declare that they have no competing interest. The ERC had no influence on the study.

# 406 **6** Author Contributions

- 407 Conception and design: ML, JK, SM, JI, VT, CF, GL, OP, UM, DF, OCA and KDB. Analyses and
- 408 interpretation of data: ML, JK, SM, JI, VT, CF, GL, OP, UM, DF, OCA and KDB. Drafting of the
- 409 article: ML, SM, DF, OCA, and KDB. Critical revision for important intellectual content and final
- 410 approval of the version to be published: all authors.

# 411 **7 Funding**

- 412 This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European
- 413 Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 810346).

# 414 8 Abbreviations

- 415 AIN Anterior interosseus nerve
- 416 DNT Double nerve transfer
- 417 EMG Electromyography
- 418 MCN Musculocutaneous nerve
- 419 SNT Single nerve transfer
- 420 TMR Targeted muscle reinnervation
- 421 UN Ulnar nerve
- 422 9 Acknowledgments

423	We thank AM. Willensdorfer for her continuous technical assistance and A. Cserveny for his			
424	admirable illustrations in this project. In addition, we thank Florian Frommlet for his statistical			
425	analyses and expertise as a biostatistician.			
10.5				
426	10 Data availability			
427	The following dataset was generated:			

- 428 Luft et al. (2021), Muscle mass of the long head of the biceps following single and double nerve
- 429 transfer, Dryad, Dataset, <u>https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.3j9kd51jb</u>

430

431 <b>11</b>	References
---------------	------------

Aman, M., Sporer, M., Bergmeister, K., and Aszmann, O. (2019). Experimentelle Modelle für
selektive Nerventransfers der oberen Extremität: Modellbeschreibung und
neurophysiologische Effekte. *Handchirurgie · Mikrochirurgie · Plastische Chirurgie* 51, 319326.

- Aszmann, O.C., Roche, A.D., Salminger, S., Paternostro-Sluga, T., Herceg, M., Sturma, A., Hofer,
  C., and Farina, D. (2015). Bionic reconstruction to restore hand function after brachial plexus
  injury: a case series of three patients. *Lancet* 385, 2183-2189.
- Bergmeister, K.D., Aman, M., Muceli, S., Vujaklija, I., Manzano-Szalai, K., Unger, E., Byrne, R.A.,
  Scheinecker, C., Riedl, O., Salminger, S., Frommlet, F., Borschel, G.H., Farina, D., and
  Aszmann, O.C. (2019). Peripheral nerve transfers change target muscle structure and
  function. *Sci Adv* 5, eaau2956.
- Bergmeister, K.D., Aman, M., Riedl, O., Manzano-Szalai, K., Sporer, M.E., Salminger, S., and
  Aszmann, O.C. (2016). Experimental nerve transfer model in the rat forelimb. *European Surgery* 48, 334-341.
- 446 Bergmeister, K.D., Große-Hartlage, L., Daeschler, S.C., Rhodius, P., Böcker, A., Beyersdorff, M.,
- 447 Kern, A.O., Kneser, U., and Harhaus, L. (2020). Acute and long-term costs of 268 peripheral
  448 nerve injuries in the upper extremity. *PLOS ONE* 15, e0229530.
- 449 Bergmeister, K.D., Vujaklija, I., Muceli, S., Sturma, A., Hruby, L.A., Prahm, C., Riedl, O.,
- 450 Salminger, S., Manzano-Szalai, K., Aman, M., Russold, M.-F., Hofer, C., Principe, J., Farina,

451	D., and Aszmann, O.C. (2017). Broadband Prosthetic Interfaces: Combining Nerve Transfers
452	and Implantable Multichannel EMG Technology to Decode Spinal Motor Neuron Activity.
453	Frontiers in Neuroscience 11.
454	Bertelli, J.A., and Mira, J.C. (1993). Behavioral evaluating methods in the objective clinical
455	assessment of motor function after experimental brachial plexus reconstruction in the rat. $J$
456	Neurosci Methods 46, 203-208.
457	Bertelli, J.A., Mira, J.C., Pecot-Dechavassine, M., and Sebille, A. (1997). Selective motor
458	hyperreinnervation using motor rootlet transfer: an experimental study in rat brachial plexus.
459	J Neurosurg 87, 79-84.
460	Dumanian, G.A., Potter, B.K., Mioton, L.M., Ko, J.H., Cheesborough, J.E., Souza, J.M., Ertl, W.J.,
461	Tintle, S.M., Nanos, G.P., Valerio, I.L., Kuiken, T.A., Apkarian, A.V., Porter, K., and Jordan,
462	S.W. (2019). Targeted Muscle Reinnervation Treats Neuroma and Phantom Pain in Major
463	Limb Amputees: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Ann Surg 270, 238-246.
464	Farina, D., Vujaklija, I., Sartori, M., Kapelner, T., Negro, F., Jiang, N., Bergmeister, K., Andalib, A.,
465	Principe, J., and Aszmann, O.C. (2017). Man/machine interface based on the discharge
466	timings of spinal motor neurons after targeted muscle reinnervation. Nature Biomedical
467	Engineering 1, 0025.
468	Gesslbauer, B., Hruby, L.A., Roche, A.D., Farina, D., Blumer, R., and Aszmann, O.C. (2017).
469	Axonal components of nerves innervating the human arm. Annals of Neurology 82, 396-408.
470	Guillen, J. (2012). FELASA guidelines and recommendations. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci 51, 311-
471	321.
472	Hayashi, A., Moradzadeh, A., Hunter, D., Kawamura, D., Puppala, V., Tung, T., Mackinnon, S., and
473	Myckatyn, T. (2007). Retrograde Labeling in Peripheral Nerve Research: It Is Not All Black
474	and White. Journal of Reconstructive Microsurgery 23, 381-389.
475	Inciong, J.G., Marrocco, W.C., and Terzis, J.K. (2000). Efficacy of intervention strategies in a
476	brachial plexus global avulsion model in the rat. Plast Reconstr Surg 105, 2059-2071.
477	Kapelner, T., Jiang, N., Holobar, A., Vujaklija, I., Roche, A.D., Farina, D., and Aszmann, O.C.
478	(2016). Motor Unit Characteristics after Targeted Muscle Reinnervation. PLOS ONE 11,
479	e0149772.
480	Kuiken, T.A., Childress, D.S., and Zev Rymer, W. (1995). The hyper-reinnervation of rat skeletal
481	muscle. Brain Research 676, 113-123.

- 482 Kuiken, T.A., Li, G., Lock, B.A., Lipschutz, R.D., Miller, L.A., Stubblefield, K.A., and Englehart,
- 483 K.B. (2009). Targeted muscle reinnervation for real-time myoelectric control of multifunction
  484 artificial arms. *Jama* 301, 619-628.
- 485 Mackinnon, S.E., and Novak, C.B. (1999). Nerve transfers. New options for reconstruction following
  486 nerve injury. *Hand Clin* 15, 643-666, ix.
- Mioton, L.M., Dumanian, G.A., Shah, N., Qiu, C.S., Ertl, W.J., Potter, B.K., Souza, J.M., Valerio,
  I.L., Ko, J.H., and Jordan, S.W. (2020). Targeted Muscle Reinnervation Improves Residual
  Limb Pain, Phantom Limb Pain, and Limb Function: A Prospective Study of 33 Major Limb
  Amputees. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 478, 2161-2167.
- Muceli, S., Bergmeister, K.D., Hoffmann, K.P., Aman, M., Vukajlija, I., Aszmann, O.C., and Farina,
  D. (2019a). Decoding motor neuron activity from epimysial thin-film electrode recordings
  following targeted muscle reinnervation. *J Neural Eng* 16, 016010.
- Muceli, S., Poppendieck, W., Hoffmann, K.P., Dosen, S., Benito-Leon, J., Barroso, F.O., Pons, J.L.,
  and Farina, D. (2019b). A thin-film multichannel electrode for muscle recording and
  stimulation in neuroprosthetics applications. *J Neural Eng* 16, 026035.
- Muceli, S., Poppendieck, W., Negro, F., Yoshida, K., Hoffmann, K.P., Butler, J.E., Gandevia, S.C.,
  and Farina, D. (2015). Accurate and representative decoding of the neural drive to muscles in
  humans with multi-channel intramuscular thin-film electrodes. *J Physiol* 593, 3789-3804.
- Oberlin, C., Béal, D., Leechavengvongs, S., Salon, A., Dauge, M.C., and Sarcy, J.J. (1994). Nerve
  transfer to biceps muscle using a part of ulnar nerve for C5-C6 avulsion of the brachial
  plexus: anatomical study and report of four cases. *J Hand Surg Am* 19, 232-237.
- Percie Du Sert, N., Hurst, V., Ahluwalia, A., Alam, S., Avey, M.T., Baker, M., Browne, W.J., Clark,
  A., Cuthill, I.C., Dirnagl, U., Emerson, M., Garner, P., Holgate, S.T., Howells, D.W., Karp,
- 505 N.A., Lazic, S.E., Lidster, K., Maccallum, C.J., Macleod, M., Pearl, E.J., Petersen, O.H.,
- 506 Rawle, F., Reynolds, P., Rooney, K., Sena, E.S., Silberberg, S.D., Steckler, T., and Würbel,
- 507 H. (2020). The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research.
  508 *PLOS Biology* 18, e3000410.
- Ray, W.Z., Chang, J., Hawasli, A., Wilson, T.J., and Yang, L. (2016). Motor Nerve Transfers. *Neurosurgery* 78, 1-26.
- Salminger, S., Sturma, A., Hofer, C., Evangelista, M., Perrin, M., Bergmeister, K.D., Roche, A.D.,
  Hasenoehrl, T., Dietl, H., Farina, D., and Aszmann, O.C. (2019). Long-term implant of
  intramuscular sensors and nerve transfers for wireless control of robotic arms in above-elbow
  amputees. *Science Robotics* 4, eaaw6306.

- 515 Scholz, T., Krichevsky, A., Sumarto, A., Jaffurs, D., Wirth, G., Paydar, K., and Evans, G. (2009).
- 516 Peripheral Nerve Injuries: An International Survey of Current Treatments and Future
  517 Perspectives. 25, 339-344.
- 518 Terzis, J.K., and Papakonstantinou, K.C. (2000). The surgical treatment of brachial plexus injuries in
  519 adults. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 106, 1097-1122; quiz 1123-1094.
- Van Zyl, N., Hill, B., Cooper, C., Hahn, J., and Galea, M.P. (2019). Expanding traditional tendonbased techniques with nerve transfers for the restoration of upper limb function in tetraplegia:
  a prospective case series. *The Lancet* 394, 565-575.

# **523 12 Rich Media**

- 524 Video 1: Grooming behavior 12 weeks following double nerve transfer in the right upper limb.
- 525 Video 2: Muscle response upon crushing the motor branch of the long head of the biceps.
- 526 Video 3: Muscle response upon ulnar nerve crush following double nerve transfer.
- 527 Video 4: Muscle response upon anterior interosseus nerve crush following double nerve transfer.