1 Sift-PULs: A public repository for specific functional polysaccharide utilization loci
2 Tao Song^{1*}, Congchong Wei¹, Dezhi Yuan², Shengwei Xiang¹, Lin Liu¹, Hua Lv¹, Binkai
3 Wang¹, Ting Huang¹, Kelei Zhao¹, Xinrong Wang¹, Yiwen Chu¹, Jiafu Lin^{1*}

4 1: Antibiotics Research and Re-evaluation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Sichuan
5 Industrial Institute of Antibiotics, School of pharmacy, Chengdu University, 610106,
6 Chengdu, China

7 2: Moutai Institute, Renhuai 564500, Guizhou Province, China

8 *: Corresponding authors of this paper

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 **Corresponding author:**

16 Tao Song and Jiafu Lin

17 Sichuan Industrial Institute of Antibiotics,

18 Chengdu University, Chengdu, 610106, P. R. China

19 Tel: +86-028-84216083, Fax: +86-028-84216083

20 E-mail: songtao@cdu.edu.cn, linjiafu@cdu.edu.cn

Abstract

Background

Polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs) were bacterial gene clusters encoding genes responsible for polysaccharide utilization process. PUL studies are blooming in recent years but the biochemical characterization speed is relative slow. There is a growing demand for PUL database with function annotations.

Results

Using signature genes corresponding for specific polysaccharide, 10422 PULs specific for 6 polysaccharides (agar, alginate, pectin, carrageenan, chitin and β -mannan) from various bacterial phyla were predicted. Then online website of specific functional polysaccharide utilization loci (Sift-PULs) was constructed. Sift-PULs provides a repository where users could browse, search and download interested PULs without registration.

Conclusions

The key advantage of Sift-PULs is to assign a function annotation of each PUL, which is not available in existing PUL databases. PUL's functional annotation lays a foundation for studying novel enzymes, new pathways, PUL evolution or bioengineering. The website is available on <http://sift-puls.org>

Keywords: Polysaccharide utilization loci; Database; Function annotation

Introduction

PULs (polysaccharide utilization loci) are bacterial gene clusters that encoding a variety of functional genes responsible for the transcription, degradation, transport and metabolism of polysaccharides (Grondin *et al.*, 2017). Since the discovery of starch PUL (also named as Sus. starch utilization system) from *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*, more and more PULs have been found from different ecosystems and various bacteria phyla (D'Elia and Salyers, 1996; Foley *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2018; Despres *et al.*, 2016; Grondin *et al.*, 2017). Discovered PULs are found to target at many different types of polysaccharides, including xylan, β -mannan or pectin (Tang *et al.*, 2017; Bagenholm *et al.*, 2017; Reddy *et al.*, 2016; Ficko-Blean *et al.*, 2017; Despres *et al.*, 2016; Pluvinage *et al.*, 2018). Now PUL studies are becoming a hotspot because their significant importance in ecology, evolution and bioengineering (Grondin *et al.*, 2017). Although PULs have important biological functions, biochemical identification in laboratory is too slow, resulting in number scarcity and hindering the progress of PUL study.

In view of the sparse data of PULs and PULs' significant biological functions, it is very necessary to use bioinformatics way to identify PULs. There are currently three PUL databases available, including PULDB, CGCs and dbCAN-PUL (Terrapon *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2018; Ausland *et al.*, 2021). PULDB is the first PUL database, which uses SusC/D gene pair and carbohydrate active enzymes for prediction. It mainly includes PULs from *Bacteroidetes*. CGCs predict PULs using transcription factors, transport

proteins and carbohydrate active enzymes while dbCAN-PUL does not predict PULs but provides experimentally confirmed PULs. It is worth mentioning that although these three databases provide PUL collections, there is no annotation for predicted PULs. So researchers who want to find PULs targeting at specific polysaccharide need to manually check the predicted PULs. This is very laborious. PUL with a function annotation is helpful for researchers to answer new hypotheses and provide a basis for new discoveries. With the increase of PUL studies, the requirement of PUL database with specific function annotations has become more and more urgent. Unfortunately, there is no PUL database providing specific function annotation now.

PULDB and CGCs are the two main databases currently used for PUL prediction, and no functional prediction is given for the predicted PULs. Therefore, we used signature genes corresponding to 6 different polysaccharides (agar, alginate, pectin, carrageenan, chitin and β -mannan) to predict PULs, and gave function predictions. Then Sift-PULs website is constructed, where users could easily search and download interested Sift-PULs. Sift-PULs serves as repository for researchers who focus on one specific polysaccharide and need large scale data to discover novel protein, utilization pathways or evolutionary process.

Materials and methods

Data retrieval

Bacterial genomes were mainly downloaded from NCBI database (download was finished in 2021.03.01). Genomes at different assemble levels (contig, scaffold, complete or chromosome) were downloaded using a home-made script. Only GBFF format file were retrieved from FTP link.

Data normalization

The genbank file of a bacterial genome was parsed using Biopython package, then protein sequences within bacterial genome were extracted into a single fasta file. The name of each protein is normalized into following format: GCF number of genome, contig name, serial number on the contig, gene start position, gene end position and gene direction. Therefore, protein name was a unique signature which contained essential information for prediction.

Selection of signature gene

In total, PULs that were specific for alginate, agar, carrageenan, chitin, β -mannan and pectin (polygalacturonicacid) were considered in this manuscript. In this study, signature genes were classified into two categories, core genes and alternative genes (Supplementary material 1). Core genes referred to genes that were essential for the polysaccharide utilization process, including ones responsible for monosaccharide metabolism (e.g. unique 3,6 anhydro-L galactose metabolic genes for agar) or unique metabolic process (e.g. GH130 mannan phosphorylase for mannan utilization). Core genes were determined if they were commonly found in most biochemically PULs. Alternative genes were usually carbohydrate active enzymes responsible for polysaccharide utilization. Alternative genes were

determined if they appeared in characterized PULs or their activities were related to polysaccharide degradation.

Hmmer model build

Most hmmer models responsible for signature genes were built locally. To build an hmmer model, experimentally validated protein sequences were first collected and aligned using MUSCLE(Edgar, 2004), followed by manual correction. Proteins with experimental evidences from CAZs and Unipro were used as test data to test the true positive rate and false positive rate. The re-build hmmer model should have >95% true positive rate and <5% false positive rate under a specific threshold. When the signature gene was from a family with only one enzyme activity and this family had very few experimentally confirmed members (less than 3), the corresponding hmmer model was retrieved from Pfam and dbCAN (Finn *et al.*, 2013; Zhang *et al.*, 2018).

Sift-PULs prediction

Sift-PUL prediction needed 6 steps:

- (1) Firstly, normalized protein fasta file was analyzed using Hmmer against corresponding models. If domain of a gene was the same as core gene or alternative gene, it was recorded as core gene or alternative gene, respectively.
- (2) Then, we investigated whether it was possible to put core genes and at least one alternative gene into a gene cluster with less than 50 genes. If did, serial numbers of matched core genes or alternative genes were recorded.
- (3) Minimum PUL was defined as gene cluster contained minimum members including all core genes and at least one alternative gene. Extended the minimum PUL to both sides until the gene number reached 50. Then extended PUL was defined as maximum PUL.
- (4) Calculate the frequency of individual domain in minimum PULs. Domains with >10% frequency were defined as high frequency domain.
- (5) PUL boundary of minimum PUL was extended until the adjacent and consecutive 5 genes did not have high frequency domain. If the extended PULs were smaller than maximum PUL, extended boundary was used. Otherwise, maximum PUL boundary was used.

Online database construct

The website of Sift-PULs was constructed using Vue.js (javascript) and Django (python). Database is implemented using PostgreSQL.

Result and discussion

Data collections of Sift-PULs

PULs are bacterial gene clusters that have essential biological functions. Considering increasing interest of researchers in PUL study and PULs' slow identification in laboratory, it is necessary to

establish a PUL database with function prediction. Using signature genes that specific to corresponding polysaccharide, 10422 PULs were identified, including 2347 pectin PULs, 1140 manan PULs, 1938 alginate PULs, 4723 chitin PULs, 186 agar PULs and 88 carrageenan PULs (Fig 1A). Meanwhile, predicted PULs came from different phyla including *Proteobacteria* (4140 PULs), *Firmicutes* (3335 PULs), *Bacteroidetes* (2342 PULs) and *Actinobacteria* (537 PULs). Noteworthy, Sift-PULs showed a potent as a reference database for discovering novel PULs. For example, predicted carrageenan PULs came from 5 phyla (*Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Planctomycetes*, *Proteobacteria* and *Firmicutes*), and now only carrageenan from *Bacteroidetes* was experimentally verified. Moreover, bacterial genomes above contig level were used for sift-PULs prediction in this study, therefore most predicted sift-PULs come from bacteria had contig or scaffold genomes (Fig 1B).

In current study, hmmer models were locally built to ensure signature genes' specificity, then combination of signature genes was used for PUL's function prediction. Still, it was possible that our predicted results may contain false positives.

To investigate the data reliability of predicted sift-PULs, firstly, we tried to evaluate the prediction method to give hint about data accuracy. However, it did not succeed because of insufficient number of experimentally confirmed PULs. For example, there was only one report of carrageenan PUL, 2 reports of agar PULs, less than 10 reports of pectin PULs. Then, we tried to find evidence in database containing bacterial polysaccharide utilization information (biodive). However, bacteria with sift-PULs were either not recorded in biodive database, or corresponding polysaccharide utilization information was not recorded. Matched results were too few to get any useful conclusions. In the end, we focused on bacteria with agar-PULs. This was because agar was commonly used in bacterial cultivation for almost 100 years, agar degradation phenotype could be easily seen on plate, and this information was more likely to be recorded in literature. Surprisingly, 70 out of 186 bacteria with predicted agar PULs could degrade agar and the rest were not mentioned (Supplementary material 2). This implied the predicted agar PULs were relative reliable. The accuracy of agar PULs also indicated sift-PULs could be used as reference databank for researchers.

Comparison with existing PUL databases

Using signature genes to predict PUL was commonly in current research(Terrapon *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2018). For example, SIFT-PULS used the PUL conservative SusC/D gene pair and carbohydrate active enzymes, and CGCs used transcription factors, sugar transporters and carbohydrate active enzymes. The signature genes used in prediction determine the properties of the obtained PUL. For example, the PULs in SIFT-PULS only came from *Bacteroidetes*, because the SusC/D gene pair was mainly derived from *Bacteroidetes*. Because the selected signature genes are not specific to polysaccharides in PULDB or CGCs, none of these two databases could give function prediction. The signature genes used in Sift-PULs in this article were specific to each polysaccharide, therefore function annotation was possible. The function prediction greatly reduced the workload of researchers searching for the corresponding function PUL.

Sift-PULs included 10422 PULs, which less than with 43156 PULs in PULDB (Table 1). This was probably because sift-PULs only focus on 6 polysaccharides. Meanwhile, PULs from Sift -PULS were

from multiple bacterial phyla. This was similar to CGCs but different with sift-PULs, in which only *Bacteroidetes* was considered. Compared with sift-PULs and CGCs, the most important feature of Sift-PULs was that it could give function predictions.

Web interface

At the start page of sift-PULs, there were six sections: home, search, browse, download, links and help (Fig 1A). At home page, there was a brief introduction of sift-PULs, where users could quickly learn how sift-PULs were predicted. Important update would also be showed here. User could find interested sift-PULs in two ways. First, in the search section, users could search for interested PULs using different keywords, for example polysaccharide name, taxid, GCF number, phylum name, species name or protein domain name (Fig 1B). Second, in browse section, sift-PULs were classified by polysaccharide or phyla (Fig 1C). By clicking the search button in search section or links in browse section, interested sift-PULs would be displayed (Fig 1D). After clicking 'view' button interested PUL, PUL information would be displayed in a pop-up page, which contains the PUL information, download option, gene cluster map and gene information(Fig 1E)..

Sift-PULs also provide batch download service, which was convenient for users who required large amount of data. In download section, users could easily download all sift-PULs data (Fig 1F). There were three format files available, including a genbank file that included complete DNA sequence of PUL, DNA fasta file that included DNA sequences for individual CDS, protein fasta file that included protein sequences for individual CDS. Users could download these files when browsing individual PUL.

Conclusions

Sift-PULs website provides a public repository where users could easily access, search and download PULs with specific function annotation, which helps researchers to build a local database and come up with novel hypothesis. For example, Sift-PULs could help biochemists discover novel enzymes (study proteins that are not characterized but have high frequency score) and find novel degradation pathways. In future, Sift-PULs would update once a year. Update would include sift-PULs from newly sequenced genomes or sift-PULs targeting at new polysaccharides (e.g. α -mannan, starch and ulvan). Online prediction service of sift-PULs is also under construction.

Declaration

-Abbreviations (if applicable)

PULs: polysaccharide utilization loci

-Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

-Consent for publication

Not applicable

199 -Availability of data and materials

200 Not applicable

201 -Funding

202 This work was supported by the Sichuan Science and Technology Program (Grant Number:
203 2019YJ0282); Collaboration and Innovation on New Anti-biotic Development and Industrialization (Grant
204 Number: 2016-XT00-00023-G); Major New Drugs Innovation and Development (Grant Number:
205 2018ZX09711-001); The talent Introduction of Project of Chengdu University (2081918021) and Key
206 Laboratory of Coarse Cereal Processing, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs (2020CC009).

207 Publishing cost was funded by Antibiotics Research and Re-evaluation Key Laboratory of Sichuan
208 Province (Grant Number: ARRLKF19-01, ARRLKF19-05); The Science and technology projects from
209 Department of Ecology and Environment of Sichuan Province (Grant No. 2019HB16).

210 -Competing Interests

211 We declare that we have no competing interest.

212 -Authors' contributions

213 TS: conceptualization, writing- reviewing and editing; CW and DY: website construction and maintain; SX,
214 LL and H: visualization, development or design of methodology; BW and XZ: data analysis and
215 visualization; XZ and TH: data curation; KZ: project administration; XW: funding acquisition; YC: funding
216 acquisition and writing- reviewing; JL: conceptualization, writing- reviewing and editing, supervision. All
217 authors have read and approved the manuscript.

218 -Acknowledgements

219 We thank Dr. Jan Hendrik Hehemann (Max Planck Institute for Marine Microbiology) for his critical
220 comments on polysaccharide utilization pathways.

221 **Reference**

222 Ausland,C. *et al.* (2021) dbCAN-PUL: a database of experimentally characterized CAZyme gene clusters
223 and their substrates. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **49**, D523–D528.

224 Bagenholm,V. *et al.* (2017) Galactomannan catabolism conferred by a polysaccharide utilisation locus of
225 *Bacteroides ovatus* : enzyme synergy and crystal structure of a β -mannanase. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **292**,
226 229–243.

227 Chen,J. *et al.* (2018) Alpha - and beta - mannan utilization by marine Bacteroidetes. *Environ. Microbiol.*,
228 **20**, 4127–4140.

229 D'Elia,J.N. and Salyers,A.A. (1996) Effect of regulatory protein levels on utilization of starch by
230 *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *J. Bacteriol.*, **178**, 7180–7186.

231 Despres,J. *et al.* (2016) Xylan degradation by the human gut *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* XB1AT involves
232 two distinct gene clusters that are linked at the transcriptional level. *BMC Genomics*, **17**, 326.

233 Edgar,R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
234 *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **32**, 1792–1797.

235 Ficko-Blean,E. *et al.* (2017) Carrageenan catabolism is encoded by a complex regulon in marine
236 heterotrophic bacteria. *Nat. Commun.*, **8**, 1685.

237 Finn,R.D. *et al.* (2013) Pfam: The protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **42**, D222–D230.

238 Foley,M.H. *et al.* (2016) The Sus operon: a model system for starch uptake by the human gut
239 Bacteroidetes. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, **73**, 2603–2617.

240 Grondin,J.M. *et al.* (2017) Polysaccharide utilization loci: Fueling microbial communities. *J. Bacteriol.*,
241 **199**, e00860-16.

242 Pluvinaige,B. *et al.* (2018) Molecular basis of an agarose metabolic pathway acquired by a human
243 intestinal symbiont. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**, 1043.

244 Reddy,S.K. *et al.* (2016) A β - mannan utilization locus in *Bacteroides ovatus* involves a GH36
245 α - galactosidase active on galactomannans. *FEBS Lett.*, **590**, 2106–2118.

246 Tang,K. *et al.* (2017) Characterization of potential polysaccharide utilization systems in the marine
247 Bacteroidetes *Gramella flava* JLT2011 using a multi-omics approach. *Front. Microbiol.*, **8**.

248 Terrapon,N. *et al.* (2015) Automatic prediction of polysaccharide utilization loci in Bacteroidetes species.
249 *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 647–655.

250 Zhang,H. *et al.* (2018) dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation.
251 *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **46**, W95–W101.

252

253 Table 1 Comparison Sift-PULDB with PULDB and CGCs, N.A.: not available

	PULDB	CGCs	Sift-PULs
Signature Genes	SusC/D and CAZys	Transcription factor, transporter and CAZys	Specific genes for each polysaccharide
PUL numbers	43156	N.A.	10422
Multiple phyla	No	Yes	Yes
Bacteria assemble level	Complete	Complete	above contig
Function prediction	No	No	yes

254

255

256

257 **Figure legends:**

258 Figure 1 summary of predicted sift-PULs. A: phyla distribution of bacteria with predicted sift-PULs. B:
259 Assemble level of bacteria with predicted sift-PULs.

260 Figure 2: Screenshots of sift-PULs website. A: Menu in sift-PULs website link to different sections. B:
261 Search section in sift-PULs website. Users could search sift-PULs by phyla, species name, txa id and
262 domain name. C: Browse section in sift-PULs website. Sift-PULs were classified by polysaccharide or
263 phyla. D: Screenshot of sift-PULs list after user click search or browse button. E: Web interface for a
264 sift-PUL using a alginate PUL as an example. F: Web interface for batch download.

A

Percentage(%)

B

Percentage(%)

A

Home Search Browse Download Links Help

→ 6 sections

B

Search section

Search by PUL type

eg. Agar, Alginate, Carrageenan, Chitin, Mannan, Pectin

PUL type

Search by Taxonomy

eg. 203122

Taxonomy ID

eg. GCF_000013665

GCF Number

eg. *Acetobacter cibonensis*

Species

eg. *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*

Phylum

Search by domain name

eg. *ABC_nan*, *BPD_hansp_1*

Domain name

C

Browser section

Polysaccharide

alginate **1938** PULs
agar **186** PULs
mannan **1140** PULs
chitin **4723** PULs
pectin **2347** PULs
carrageenan **88** PULs

Phylum

Acidobacteria **4** PULs
Actinobacteria **537** PULs
Bacteroidetes **2342** PULs
Bacteroidetes **1** PULs
Chloroflexi **2** PULs
Cyanobacteria **1** PULs
Dictyoglomi **2** PULs
Firmicutes **3335** PULs
Fusobacteria **5** PULs

D

1938 results are found

PUL Type	PUL ID	GCF Number	Organism Name	Phylum	Action
alginate	alginate_2	GCF_000014225	<i>Pseudoalteromonas atlantica</i> T6c	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_1	GCF_000013665	<i>Saccharophagus degradans</i> 2-40	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_3	GCF_000014745	<i>Maricaulis maris</i> MCS1-0	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_4	GCF_000020665	<i>Sterotrophomonas maltophilia</i> R551-9	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_5	GCF_000023785	<i>Hirschia batlica</i> ATCC 49814	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_6	GCF_000024685	<i>Paenibacillus</i> sp. Y412 MC10	Firmicutes	View Download
alginate	alginate_7	GCF_000024845	<i>Rhodothermus marinus</i> DSM 4252	Bacteroidetes	View Download
alginate	alginate_8	GCF_000026085	<i>Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis</i> TAC125	Proteobacteria	View Download
alginate	alginate_9	GCF_000060345	<i>Gramella forsteri</i> KT08-03	Bacteroidetes	View Download
alginate	alginate_10	GCF_000072485	<i>Sterotrophomonas maltophilia</i> K279a	Proteobacteria	View Download

E

PUL information

Taxonomy: Bacteria / Proteobacteria / Gammaproteobacteria / Alteromonadales / Pseudoalteromonadaceae

PUL Type	PUL ID	GCF Number	Taxonomy ID	Assembly Level	Gene Number	PUL Start	PUL End
alginate	alginate_2	GCF_000014225	342610	Complete Genome	36	4365762	4418088

Download: [DNA](#) [Protein](#) [Genbank](#)

PUL genes

PUL content

Gene ID	Gene Name	Domains	Classification	Gene Start	Gene End
alginate_2_3644	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.24	Plug_TonB_dep_Rec	sugar transporter or sugar binding protein	4365762	4367997
alginate_2_3645	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.4	Aminotran_1_2	UNKNOWN	4368141	4369269
alginate_2_3646	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.29	Response_reg	TF	4369288	4369678
alginate_2_3647	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.8	DNA_poi_B_DNA_poi_B_exo1	UNKNOWN	4369731	4372089
alginate_2_3648	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.12	GGDEF_TPR_12	UNKNOWN	4372108	4373992
alginate_2_3649	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.9	DUF3718	UNKNOWN	4374452	4374848
alginate_2_3650	NC008228.1_4365762_4418088.11	GFO_IDH_MocA_GH109_hmm	carbohydrate active enzymes	4375083	4376163

F

Download section

Batchly download all sift-PULs

Download all PUL data

[DNA](#) [Protein](#) [Genbank](#)

Batchly download a certain type sift-PULs

Download by polysaccharide

[Agar](#)

[Alginate](#)

[Carrageenan](#)

[Chitin](#)

[Mannan](#)

[Pectin](#)

PUL information

Download options

Gene organization

Gene information