Gpr19 is a circadian clock-controlled orphan GPCR with a role in modulating free-running period and light resetting capacity of the circadian clock

Yoshiaki Yamaguchi^{1,#} | Iori Murai^{1,#} | Kaoru Goto^{1,#} | Shotaro Doi¹ | Huihua Zhou¹ |
Genzui Setsu¹ | Hiroyuki Shimatani¹ | Hitoshi Okamura^{1,2} | Takahito Miyake¹ | Masao Doi¹

¹Department of Systems Biology, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

²Department of Neuroscience, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.

Short title: The orphan GPCR Gpr19 modulates the pace of circadian clock

Keywords: Circadian clock, orphan GPCR, suprachiasmatic nucleus, clock gene

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.D. (email: doimasao@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp) or H.O. (email: okamurah@pharm.kyoto-u.ac.jp).

Word count: 5539

ABSTRACT

Background and Purpose

Gpr19 encodes an evolutionarily conserved orphan G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) with no established physiological function in vivo. The purpose of this study was to determine the role of *Gpr19* in the circadian clock system.

Experimental Approach

We examined whether and how the master circadian clock neurons in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) express *Gpr19*. By analysing *Gpr19*-deficient (*Gpr19*^{-/-}) mice, we asked whether *Gpr19* has a role in modulating free-running period and light resetting capacity of the circadian clock.

Key Results

Compared with the known common core clock genes, *Gpr19* was identified to show several distinct yet limited features related to the circadian clock. *Gpr19* mRNA was mainly expressed in the middle-to-dorsal region of the SCN. A conserved cAMP-responsive element within the *Gpr19* promoter drove the circadian expression of *Gpr19*. *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice exhibited a prolonged circadian period and a delayed initiation of daily locomotor activity in a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle. *Gpr19* deficiency caused the downregulation of several genes that normally peak during the night, including *Bmal1* and *Gpr176*. *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice had a reduced capacity for phase shift to early subjective night light. The defect was only observed for phase-delay, but not phase-advance, and accompanied by reduced response of c-Fos

expression in the dorsal region of the SCN, while apparently normal in the ventral part of the SCN, in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice.

Conclusion and Implications

Gpr19 is an SCN-enriched orphan GPCR with a distinct role in circadian regulation and thus may be a potential target for alleviating circadian clock disorders.

● What is already known:

Gpr19 is an evolutionarily conserved class-A orphan receptor with no established physiological role in vivo.

The SCN is a light-entrainable master circadian pacemaker governing daily rhythms of behaviour and physiology.

● What this study adds:

Gpr19 is an SCN-enriched orphan GPCR whose levels fluctuate in a circadian fashion.

Gpr19 is a functional clock modulator involved in period determination and phase resetting.

● Clinical significance:

Targeting the orphan receptor *Gpr19* may provide a therapeutic approach for alleviating circadian clock disorders.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The SCN is the master circadian oscillator and the principal target for light modulation of the circadian rhythm in mammals (Herzog et al., 2017). Approximately 10,000 SCN neurons are clustered near the third ventricle above the optic chiasm, the source of direct retinal input to the SCN. The ventral part of the SCN close to the optic chiasm receives input from the retina, while the dorsal part of the SCN does not. Through communication between its ventral and dorsal parts, the whole SCN is synchronised to the ambient light/dark cycle (LeGates et al., 2014). The cyclic input serves solely to entrain the clock, not to sustain it. The SCN generates endogenous circadian oscillation with a period (or time taken to complete a full cycle) of approximately 24 h. Animals, including human beings, can therefore sustain overt circadian oscillations in behaviour and physiology even under constant conditions, e.g. under constant darkness (Takahashi, 2017).

At the molecular level, individual neurons in the SCN act as cell-autonomous oscillators, exhibiting circadian oscillations of firing rate and gene expression. The rhythm-generating mechanism of the cellular clock involves clock genes, which regulate their own transcription in a negative transcription–translation feedback loop (TTFL). Positive regulators *Clock* and *Bmal1* and negative regulators *Per1*, *Per2*, *Cry1*, and *Cry2* constitute the main TTFL (Takahashi, 2017). Besides the clock components directly involved in the TTFL, SCN

neurons express a number of genes that are involved in the coordination of cellular clocks within the structure. These are exemplified by VIP and its receptor *Vipr2* coordinating the SCN circuit and expression of the circadian clock genes in the SCN (Aton et al., 2005; Colwell et al., 2003; Harmar et al., 2002). The AVP receptor *V1a/b* confers an intrinsic resistance against perturbation such as jet lag (Yamaguchi et al., 2013). The transcription factors *Zfhx3* and *Lhx1* regulate the expression of distinct neuropeptidergic genes to control circadian locomotor activity (Bedont et al., 2014; Hatori et al., 2014; Parsons et al., 2015). The G-protein signalling regulator *RGS16* participates in circadian period determination by modulating cAMP signalling (Doi et al., 2011; Hayasaka et al., 2011). The orphan receptor *Gpr176* also modulates the period of the SCN clock through circadian cAMP regulation (Doi et al., 2016). The neurotransmitter GABA has been implicated in synchronising individual cells within the SCN (Albus et al., 2005; Liu & Reppert, 2000). However, compared to the well-understood molecular mechanisms of the TTFL, molecular components involved in the coordination of the whole SCN are still not fully understood.

In the entrainment of the clock, phase resetting light pulses increase expression of *Per1* as well as other immediate early genes in the SCN. *Per1* induction changes the phase of the TTFL. In the SCN, indirect modulators of the TTFL also have a role in modifying the phase resetting response of the clock. Blocking the GABA_A receptor leads to increased phase shifts

of circadian locomotor activity rhythm in mice (Lall & Biello, 2003). VIP-Vipr2 signalling is not only required for time keeping but is also involved in circadian clock entrainment to the environmental light-dark cycle (Hamnett et al., 2019; Hughes & Piggins, 2008; Mazuski et al., 2018; Patton et al., 2020). Lhx1 mutant mice rapidly phase shift under experimental jet lag conditions (Bedont et al., 2014; Hatori et al., 2014). Synaptic Ras GTPase-activating protein SynGAP and Ras-like G protein Dexas1 are involved in the modulation of light-induced phase shifts (Aten et al., 2021; Cheng et al., 2004). The voltage-gated channel Nav1.1 in the SCN is also required for the full phase-responsiveness of the clock (Han et al., 2012). These accumulating data support the notion of multilayered regulation of the capacity of phase response in the SCN clock, although the components involved are still not fully described.

Gpr19 encodes an evolutionarily conserved orphan GPCR (<https://www.gpcrdb.org/>) first identified from a human genome EST library (O'Dowd et al., 1996). Histological studies previously identified the enrichment of *Gpr19* expression in the brain, including the SCN (Doi et al., 2016; Hoffmeister-Ullerich et al., 2004; Lein et al., 2007) ; however, how its expression is controlled in the SCN is not characterized. Moreover, currently, *Gpr19* lacks assignment to physiological functions; while a few published research articles reported on its potential association with certain metastatic cancers (Kastner et al., 2012; Rao & Herr, 2017;

Riker et al., 2008), its distinct role in physiology has been unclear, reflecting the absence of study reporting the phenotype of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice.

In the present study, we show that *Gpr19* is involved in the determination of the circadian period and phase-resetting capacity of the SCN clock. *Gpr19* mRNA was mainly expressed in the dorsal part of the SCN, with its expression fluctuating in circadian fashion. We explored the role for *Gpr19* in the regulation of circadian behaviour.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Mouse strains and behavioural activity monitoring

Gpr19^{-/-} mice were obtained from the Mutant Mouse Resource & Research Centers (MMRRC strain name, *Gpr19*^{tm1Dgen}) with a mixed genetic background involving 129P2/OlaHsd × C57BL/6J and backcrossed to C57BL/6J for ten generations prior to behavioural assessment. Single-caged adult male mice (8- to 15-week old) were housed individually in light-tight, ventilated closets within a temperature- and humidity-controlled facility. The animals were entrained on a 12-h light:12-h dark (LD) cycle at least 2 weeks and transferred to constant darkness (DD). Locomotor activity was detected with passive

(pyroelectric) infrared sensors (FA-05 F5B; Omron) and data were analysed with ClockLab software (Actimetrics) developed on MatLab (Mathworks) (Doi et al., 2011). Free-running circadian period was determined with χ^2 periodogram, based on animal behaviors in a 14-day interval taken 3 days after the start of DD condition. For phase shift experiments, mice were exposed to a 15-min light pulse at either CT6, CT14, or CT22 with a light intensity of 20 or 200 lux. Phase shifts were quantified as the time difference between regression lines of activity onsets before and after the light stimulation, using ClockLab software. All animal experiments were conducted in compliance with ethical regulations in Kyoto University and performed under protocols approved by the Animal Care and Experimentation Committee of Kyoto University (Approval No. 18-21-4). Animal studies are reported in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0 (Percie du Sert et al., 2020) and with the recommendations made by the British Journal of Pharmacology (Lilley et al., 2020).

2.2 | In situ hybridization

Radioisotopic *in situ* hybridization was performed as described with the following gene-specific probes (Shigeyoshi et al., 1997): for *Per1* (nucleotides 812–1651, NM_011065) and for *Gpr19* (nucleotides 923–1096, NM_008157). Free-floating brain sections (30- μ m thick) containing the SCN were hybridized to anti-sense 33 P-labeled cRNA probes. Quantification of expression strength was performed by densitometric analysis of autoradiograph films. To

detect distribution of *Gpr19* mRNA expression in the SCN, RNAscope *in situ* hybridization was performed using 12 pairs of ZZ probe targeting the nucleotides 911–1583 of the mouse *Gpr19* (NM_008157). This region corresponds to the deleted sequence of the *Gpr19*^{tm1Dgen} allele. The ZZ probes were designed and synthesized by Advanced Cell Diagnostics. RNA hybridization signals were visualized with the RNAscope 2-Plex Detection Kit (Advanced Cell Diagnostics) using the Fast Red chromogen according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin.

2.3 | Immunoblot

Gpr19 antibody was raised in rabbit using a His-tag fused *Gpr19* mouse protein fragment (amino acids 333–415). The raised antibodies were affinity-purified using a maltose-binding protein (MBP)-fused *Gpr19* fragment (a.a. 333–415). Endogenous *Gpr19* proteins were immunoprecipitated from the mouse hypothalamic SCN membrane extracts. The tissues were homogenized with a Dounce tissue grinder in a hypotonic buffer containing 20 mM HEPES (pH7.8), 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 × cOmplete Protease Inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics). After centrifugation at 20,400 × g for 30 min, the pellet was resuspended in a high-salt buffer containing 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES (pH7.8), 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 1 × cOmplete Protease Inhibitor cocktail. The mixture was then centrifuged, and the resultant pellet was solubilized with a detergent-containing buffer (20

mM HEPES [pH7.8], 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1% dodecyl- β -d-maltoside, 0.2% cholesteryl hemisuccinate, and 1 $\times$ cOmplete Protease Inhibitor). The soluble fractions, collected at either ZT4 or ZT16, were used for Gpr19 immunoprecipitation. Immunoblotting was performed using our standard method (Doi et al., 2011) with the same Gpr19 antibody.

2.4 | 5' Rapid amplification of cDNA ends

Total RNA was purified from laser-microdissected mouse SCN using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The single strand cDNA for 5'RACE was prepared by in vitro reverse transcription with avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcriptase XL (Takara Bio) using total RNA (0.5 μ g) and the primer RT (5'-AGG ATG GAG GGA ATC-3') and digestion of the template RNA with RNase H. 5'RACE was carried out using a 5' Full RACE Core Set (Takara Bio). The first PCR was performed using the single strand cDNAs concatenated by T4 RNA ligase and primers S1 (5'-TTC TAT ACC ATC GTC TAC CCG CTG AGC TTC-3') and A1 (5'- TTC AGC TCG TAC TGA AGC TCT GTC CTG TTG-3') through a 25 cycle-amplification (94°C for 15 s, 45°C for 30 s, and 68°C for 2 min). Then, a nested PCR was applied to the first PCR products under the same condition using primers S2 (5'-GGG AAC TGC CTA TAC CGT CAT CCA CTT C-3') and A2 (5'-CTC CTC ATG CAA TCC CAT CAG GCC ATG-3'). The resultant product of the nested PCR was cloned into pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector for DNA sequencing.

2.5 | Promoter activity reporter assay

We constructed a *piggyBac* (*PB*) transposon-based plasmid DNA containing *luciferase* (*luc*) reporter to ensure long-term transgene expression (Nakanishi et al., 2010). The following *PB*-based reporter plasmids were constructed: (i) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−1083CREwt), in which a 1309-bp genomic DNA fragment of the murine *Gpr19* (−1083 to +226)-luciferase reporter (*luc2P*, Promega) was cloned into a vector engineered to contain the *PB* IRs and internal sequences necessary for efficient chromosomal integration (Nakanishi et al., 2010); (ii) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−1083CREmut), which is the same as (i) except that the CRE was mutated to 5′-GCACAAAA-3′; (iii) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−915CREwt), in which a 1141-bp genomic fragment of the *Gpr19* (−915 to +226) was cloned into the vector; (iv) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−915CREmut), which is the same as (iii) except that the CRE was mutated to 5′-GCACAAAA-3′; (v) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−514), which contains the −514 to +226 fragment of the *Gpr19*; and (vi) pIR *Gpr19* promoter-*Luc2P* (−242), which contains the −242 to +226 fragment of the *Gpr19*. For the analysis of isolated CRE activity, we used (vii) pGL4.23[luc2/minP] (Promega); (viii) pGL4.23 *Gpr19* 3×CREwt-*Luc2*, in which a tandem repeat of the sequence corresponding to the *Gpr19* CRE with its flanking sequences (positions −874 to −853) was cloned into the pGL4.23; and (ix) pGL4.23 *Gpr19* 3×CREmut-*Luc2*, which is the same as (viii) except that the CRE sequences were mutated to 5′-GCACAAAA-3′. All the plasmids

were verified by DNA sequencing. MEF cells were uniformly plated in a 35-mm dish at a density of $3\text{--}4 \times 10^5$ cells per dish and cultured for 1 day. Then, cells were transfected with a selected reporter plasmid using the Lipofectamine LTX/Plus reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Where required, *PB* transposase-expressing vector (pFerH-PBTP) (Nakanishi et al., 2010) was co-transfected. Three days after transfection, culture medium was refreshed to the medium containing 1mM luciferin. On the following day, cells were treated with FSK (20 μ M) or DMSO (1%). Luminescence was measured using a dish-type luminometer (Kronos Dio, ATTO). The average fold increase was determined by dividing the luciferase activity at 4–7 h post FSK or DMSO treatment with the average basal activity, which is 3-h reporter activity before FSK/DMSO treatment.

2.6 | Viral transduction and bioluminescence recording of organotypic SCN slice culture

A luciferase reporter driven by a tandem repeat of the *Gpr19* CRE sequence (3×CRE-*Luc2P*) was inserted between the ITR sequences of pAAV-MCS vector (Cell Biolabs Inc) to obtain pAAV-3×CRE-*Luc2P*. HEK293T cells cultured in dish were co-transfected with pAAV-3×CRE-*Luc2P*, pAAV-DJ, and pHelper according to the manufacturer's instructions (Cell Biolabs Inc). Three days after transfection, cells were harvested and resuspended in 1 ml of DMEM, followed by four freeze–thaw cycles and centrifugation. The titers of 3×CREwt-*Luc2P* and 3×CREmut-*Luc2P* virus solutions were $\sim 8 \times 10^{12}$ genome copies/mL. The SCN

slices were prepared according to our standard method (Doi et al., 2019). Two days after the preparation of SCN slices, the AAV solution (3 μ L per slice) was inoculated on the surface of the SCN slices. Infected slices were further cultured for ~14 days. Thereafter, luminescence from the culture was measured with a dish-type luminometer (Kronos Dio, ATTO) at 35°C using 1 mM luciferin (Doi et al., 2019). The luminescence was monitored for 2 min at 20-min intervals for each slice. The raw data were smoothed using a 1-h moving average and further detrended by subtracting a 24 h running average.

2.7 | Laser microdissection and qRT-PCR analysis

Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation under a safety red light at the indicated time points in DD. Coronal brain section (30- μ m thick) containing the SCN was prepared using a cryostat microtome (CM3050S, Leica) and mounted on POL-membrane slides (Leica). Sections were fixed in ice-cold ethanol-acetic acid mixture (19:1) for 2 min and stained with 0.05% toluidine blue. SCN were then excised using a LMD7000 device (Leica) and lysed into Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). Total RNA was purified using the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen) and converted to cDNA with SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen). qPCR was run on a BioMark HD System (Fluidigm) with a 48.48 Fluidigm BioMark Dynamic Array chip (Fluidigm) as described (Doi et al., 2019). The primer sets used for *Per1*, *Per2*, *Per3*, *Cry1*, *Cry2*, *Clock*, *Bmal1*, *Nr1d1*, *Dbp*, *E4bp4* and *Rplp0* were already

reported elsewhere (Doi et al., 2019). The TaqMan probe and primers used for the other genes are listed in Table S1. The data were normalized with *Rplp0*. Hierarchical clustering was performed with Ward's method by calculating Euclidean distances among the time-series data using scikit-learn (version 0.23.1) in Python. In this cluster analysis, the values of each mRNA expression were transformed by linear-scaling: the highest and lowest values were adjusted to 1 and 0, respectively. The resultant tree-diagram was further converted into an unrooted circular dendrogram, whose branch length reflects the degree of similarity between the genes, using the application Phylodendron software (<http://iubio.bio.indiana.edu/treeapp/treeprint-form.html>).

2.8 | c-Fos immunolabeling

Free-floating immunohistochemistry was performed with 30- μ m-thick serial coronal brain sections. To minimize technical variations in immunostaining, different tissue sections to be compared were immunolabelled simultaneously in a single staining mixture. c-Fos antibody (Abcam, ab7963, RRID:AB_306177, 1:10000 dilution) and biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Vector Laboratories, BA-1000, RRID:AB_2313606, 1:1000 dilution) were used. Immunoreactivities were visualized with a peroxidase-based Vectorstain Elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories) using diaminobenzidine as a chromogen. The number of c-Fos-positive cells in the SCN was counted with NIH ImageJ software. We used a rolling ball

algorithm to correct uneven background in each photomicrograph. Nine SCN sections were examined per mouse. To measure c-Fos expression in the dorsal and ventral SCN, the SCN was divided into two regions in equal proportions along the vertical axis (from the dorsal-most to the ventral-most) for non-biased definition of the regions of interest. Three coronal SCN sections with characteristic dorsal and ventral subregions were used for counting.

2.9 | Data and statistical analysis

The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis et al., 2018). All experiments were designed to generate groups of equal size, using randomization and blinded analysis. The statistical analysis was undertaken only for experiments where each group size was at least $n = 5$ of independent values and performed using these independent values. The group sizes for the in vivo experiments were chosen according to previous studies (Doi et al., 2016; Doi et al., 2019). Statistically significant differences between means of two groups were analysed by using unpaired Student's *t*-test. For comparisons involving more than two groups, when *F* was significant, one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's *post hoc* test was performed. *P* values < 0.05 were considered significant. Outliers were included in data analysis and presentation. All statistical analysis was calculated using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, RRID:SCR_002798).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Expression of *Gpr19* in the SCN

We performed *in situ* hybridisation using a radioisotope-labelled probe for *Gpr19*. Coronal brain sections from wild-type (WT) mice confirmed the enrichment of *Gpr19* transcript in the SCN, while no signal was observed for *Gpr19*-deficient (*Gpr19*^{-/-}) mice (Figure 1a). To detect distribution of *Gpr19* mRNA expression in the SCN, we next performed RNAscope *in situ* hybridization (Figure 1b). Coarse-grained RNA signals for *Gpr19* were mainly observed in the middle-to-dorsal region of the SCN in WT mice. Corresponding signals were not observed for *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Figure 1b). To test the possibility that *Gpr19* mRNA expression is regulated by the endogenous clock, we performed quantitative *in situ* hybridisation using samples from mice housed under constant dark conditions (DD). After entrainment on a regular 12-h light:12-h dark cycle (LD), mice were dark-adapted for 2 days before being sacrificed at 4-h intervals starting at circadian time (CT) 0 (Figure 1c, CT12 corresponds to locomotor activity onset). *Gpr19* mRNA was highest in the subjective day at CT4 and lowest in the subjective night at CT16, with an amplitude of ~2.75-fold ($P < 0.05$, CT4 vs CT16, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test, Figure 1c). These data demonstrate that the circadian clock regulates *Gpr19* expression in the SCN. We generated an anti-Gpr19 antibody. This antibody was unfortunately not useful for immunohistochemistry, but we

confirmed its ability to specifically immunoprecipitate Gpr19 protein from WT mice but not *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Figure 1d). In this analysis we also noted a higher protein level of Gpr19 at daytime (ZT4, ZT represents Zeitgeber time; ZT0 denotes lights-on) than at night (ZT16) (Figure 1d). Thus, Gpr19 abundance appears to fluctuate at both mRNA and protein level.

3.2 | CRE sequence in *Gpr19* promoter generates circadian oscillation in the SCN

To investigate the mechanism of circadian *Gpr19* expression, we performed sequence conservation analysis of the *Gpr19* promoter region among different mammalian species using the UCSC Genome Browser on Mouse (GRCm38/mm10, Figure 2). We identified the transcription start site by 5' RACE using total RNA isolated from the SCN (Figure S1) and found a major site of initiation of *Gpr19*, which we designated as base pair +1 (Figure 2a). This analysis revealed two conserved segments, one of which was located near the transcription start site, including exon 1 (-194 to +232), while the other was located approximately 900-bp upstream of the gene (-1071 to -826). There were no consensus sequences matching the canonical circadian *cis*-elements E-box or D-box in these regions (Figure 2a). Instead, we identified a potential cAMP-responsive element (CRE) (-867 to -860) in the distal region. Of note, this conserved CRE sequence was functionally responsive, as revealed by the forskolin (cAMP enhancer)-dependent increase in reporter

activity of *Gpr19* promoter-luciferase constructs that harbour the *Gpr19* CRE (–915CREwt and –1083CREwt, Figure 2b) but not of those with mutated CRE (–915CREmut or –1083CREmut) or shortened promoter constructs devoid of the CRE sequence (–514 and –242) (Figure 2b; see also Figure S2a–c). Vehicle treatment had no effect on the *Gpr19* promoter regardless of the presence of the CRE sequence (Figure S2d–f). Similar results were obtained with a reporter construct containing the isolated *Gpr19* CRE sequence (Figure 2a,b, 3×CREwt or 3×CREmut). With these results, we next moved to test whether the *Gpr19* CRE sequence is able to produce circadian transcriptional rhythm in the SCN. We performed long-term reporter recording using cultured SCN slices (Figure. 2c). Adeno-associated virus (AAV)-mediated 3×CREwt-luc expression in the SCN slice exhibited persistent circadian rhythms of bioluminescence over multiple cycles under constant culture conditions. In contrast, all tested slices expressing 3×CREmut-luc did not display detectable circadian luminescence expression (Figure 2c). The *Gpr19* CRE sequence, thus, has the ability to generate autonomous circadian expression in the SCN.

3.3 | *Gpr19* deficiency lengthens the period of circadian locomotor activity rhythm

To assess the in vivo function of *Gpr19*, we monitored daily locomotor activity of *Gpr19*^{–/–} mice, which had been backcrossed to the C57BL/6J genetic background over 10 generations.

Our initial survey using mice of a mixed background (75% C57BL/6J and 25% 129P2/OlaHsd) suggested a trend towards prolonged periods of circadian locomotor activity for *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice compared to WT mice (free-running period (h), mean ± SEM; WT, 23.79 ± 0.04; *Gpr19*^{-/-}, 23.92 ± 0.07, *P*=0.1, *t*-test) (Doi et al., 2016). C57BL/6J-backcrossed mutant mice displayed an entrainment to a 12-h light:12-h dark (LD) cycle, although the phase of activity onset of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice under LD conditions was delayed relative to that of WT mice (Figure 3a,b). On transfer of animals into constant darkness (DD), *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice showed a free-running period significantly longer than the WT period (WT, 23.77 ± 0.02; *Gpr19*^{-/-}, 24.18 ± 0.03, *P* < 0.05, Student's *t*-test, Figure 3c) and significantly longer than 24 h (95% confidence interval = 24.11, 24.25). These results indicate that *Gpr19* is involved in the determination of circadian period length.

3.4 | *Gpr19* participates in maintaining proper circadian gene expression in the SCN

To identify potential molecular mediators of the effects of *Gpr19* deficiency in the SCN, we examined expression of representative clock and clock-related genes in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{-/-}. The SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice housed in DD were micro-dissected every 4 h. Then, a customised panel of 41 SCN genes, which include representative core clock genes, clock-controlled genes, and circadian clock-related neurotransmitters and receptors, were

analysed by quantitative RT-PCR using the Fluidigm system. The data of rhythmic genes were hierarchically aligned (Figure 3d) (see also plots in Figure S3). The core oscillatory gene *Per2* was basically circadian in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice, although the peak was slightly delayed, which was consistent with the prolonged circadian period of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Figure 3e). Similarly, the genes with peak expression during daytime (e.g. *Per1*, *Cry1*, *Nr1d1*, *Rora*, *Bhlhe41*, *Prok2*, *Avp*, *Rasl11b*, and *Rgs16*) were apparently normal, except *Nmu*, whose expression was up-regulated in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Figure 3d,e; Figure S3). In contrast, a certain number of genes that show peak expression during the nighttime (CT12–16) in WT mice, including *Bmal1*, *Clock*, *Npas2*, *Vip*, *Lhx1*, *Nmur2*, *Sstr1*, *Gpr176*, and *Prokr2*, were consistently downregulated in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN (Figure 3d,e), suggesting that *Gpr19* is involved in the maintenance of proper gene expression peaking in the night.

3.5 | *Gpr19* deficiency alters entrainment capacity

Next, we investigated the possible involvement of *Gpr19* in entrainment of the clock. Light resets the phase of circadian rhythms in a phase-dependent and light-intensity-dependent manner, with delays dominating the early subjective night, advances dominating the late subjective night, and minimal phaseshifts during the subjective day. We illuminated mice with a short light pulse of 20 or 200 lux at CT14, CT22, or CT6 (Figure 4). In both WT and

Gpr19^{-/-} mice, light at CT14 and CT22 caused the phase delay and advance, respectively, while light administered at CT6 had little effect (Figure 4). In addition, we observed that 200-lux light caused larger phaseshifts than 20 lux in both WT and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Figure 4a,b). However, significant quantitative differences were detected in the magnitude of phase delays. Exposure to a 20-lux light at CT14 caused a delay of 1.90 ± 0.11 h in WT mice, whereas the phase-shifting response of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice was only 0.71 ± 0.12 h ($P < 0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test) (Figure 4b,d). A 200-lux light pulse applied at CT14 resulted in a phase delay of 2.04 ± 0.12 h in WT and 1.16 ± 0.16 h in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 4a,c). In contrast, a light pulse given at CT22 led to comparable phase advances in WT and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice at both 20 lux (0.36 ± 0.14 h for WT, 0.30 ± 0.09 h for *Gpr19*^{-/-}) and 200 lux (0.72 ± 0.15 h for WT, 0.60 ± 0.16 h for *Gpr19*^{-/-}). These results indicate that *Gpr19* is involved in determining the magnitude of phase delay of the circadian locomotor activity rhythm in mice.

3.6 | *Gpr19* deficiency alters light-evoked *Per1* and c-Fos expression in the SCN

To gain insight into decreased capacity of phase-shift of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice, we examined the magnitude and location of *Per1* and c-Fos expression in the SCN of mice after light illumination. Mice were illuminated at CT14 or CT22 with 20 lux light, the intensity with

which the difference in phase delay between WT and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice was profound, and distribution of light-induced *Per1* and c-Fos expression in the SCN was examined either using radioisotopic *in situ* hybridization (for *Per1*) or immunohistochemistry (for c-Fos). *Per1* expression in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN had a lower fold-induction ratio than that had in the WT SCN, at both CT14 and CT22 (CT14: 4.90 ± 0.09 for WT, 3.80 ± 0.04 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* < 0.05; CT22: 3.60 ± 0.06 for WT, 3.15 ± 0.15 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test), with apparently reduced *Per1*-positive-area in the SCN (Figure 5a). The number of c-Fos-immunopositive cells was decreased at CT14, but not CT22 (CT14: 999 ± 97 for WT, 625 ± 108 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* < 0.05; CT22: 936 ± 224 for WT, 965 ± 160 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* > 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test, Figure 5b). Within the ventral SCN, the increase in the number of c-Fos-positive cells was almost equivalent between the genotypes. Crucially, however, at CT14, the increased number of c-Fos-positive cells in the dorsal region was significantly reduced in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN, compared to that in the WT SCN (c-Fos numbers in ventral: 286 ± 22 for WT, 245 ± 40 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* > 0.05, in dorsal: 130 ± 15 for WT, 47 ± 7 for *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test, Figure 5c–e), demonstrating an impaired expressional response of c-Fos in the dorsal part of the SCN in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice.

4 | DISCUSSION

Besides clock components directly involved in the TTFL, SCN bears a number of additional genes implicated in modifying the length of circadian period and phase resetting capacity of the circadian clock (Herzog et al., 2017). A complete understanding of these additional modifiers of the SCN clock, however, still necessitate yet-unidentified related factors to be studied. In the present study, we demonstrate that the orphan G-protein coupled receptor *Gpr19*, whose mRNA expression exhibits circadian oscillation in the mid-to-dorsal region of the SCN, modulates the period and phase response of the circadian clock (a model, Figure 6).

We show that *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice exhibit a circadian period longer than 24 h under constant darkness. Under normal LD cycle conditions, these mice also show a delayed onset of locomotor activity compared to WT mice. The mechanism of this phase angle change is unknown, but a change from a circadian period shorter than 24 h to one longer than 24 h might be related to the observed phase angle phenotype of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (Johnson et al., 2003). A similar phase angle alteration was also reported in delayed sleep phase disorder patients (Micic et al., 2016) as well as several animal models, including Neuropeptide Y-deficient mice (Harrington et al., 2007), Nav1.1 channel mutant mice (Han et al., 2012), and lithium-treated mice (Iwahana et al., 2004).

Although the underlying molecular mechanism(s) of the lengthened circadian period of

Gpr19^{-/-} mice is still unclear, we found a group of downregulated genes in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice, the majority of which exhibit night-time peak mRNA expression in the SCN of WT mice. Thus, it is plausible to suggest that these alterations in gene expression may, at least in part, explain the phenotype of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. For example, *Bmal1* deficiency in SCN neurons has been previously reported to prolong the circadian period of locomotor activity rhythm (Mieda et al., 2015; Shan et al., 2020), consistent with the overall downregulation of *Bmal1* mRNA expression in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN. *Clock*, *Npas2*, *Lhx1*, *Sst*, and *Gpr176*, which were also downregulated in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN, are also involved in modulating the circadian period of locomotor activity rhythm (DeBruyne et al., 2007; Doi et al., 2016; Fukuhara et al., 1994; Hatori et al., 2014). The gene encoding Neuromedin U (*Nmu*) was, on the other hand, up-regulated in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice, suggesting the possibility of a compensatory relationship between *Gpr19* and *Nmu*. These complex changes in mRNA expression of circadian clock-related genes might be part of mechanism explaining the phenotype of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice.

A reduced magnitude of phase response to an early subjective night light pulse was also observed in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. In WT mice, a light pulse at CT14, of either 20 lux or 200 lux, caused a phase-delay of locomotor activity rhythm of approximately 2 hours. A reducing effect of the ablation of *Gpr19* on the magnitude of phase delay was more severe at a lower light-intensity condition: 20- and 200-lux light pulses caused phase delays of 0.71 and 1.16

h, respectively, in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. *Gpr19* is therefore likely to be required to induce the maximal phase delay response towards a light pulse of relatively low intensity.

Currently, we could not address the molecular mechanism of the reduced capacity of phase delaying in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. We observed that, in the *Gpr19*^{-/-} SCN, light-induced induction of *Per1* mRNA and c-Fos expression was attenuated in the dorsal region of the SCN. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that *Gpr19* may function as an upstream regulator of *Per1* and c-Fos expression in the dorsal SCN. However, together with this interpretation, it can also be possible that *Gpr19* may exert its indirect influence on the expression of *Per1* and c-Fos through affecting, for example, the gene expression required for the control of the circadian clock in the SCN. In this respect, the mRNA expression of *Lhx1* and *Sst*, both previously shown to play a role in circadian entrainment (Bedont et al., 2014; Hamada et al., 1993; Hatori et al., 2014), are downregulated in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. It is also interesting to note that a similar ventral/dorsal phenotype, that is, a rather normal response in the ventral SCN but an impaired response in the dorsal SCN, has been previously described in Nav1.1 channel mutant mice (Han et al., 2012) and Sox2-deficient mice (Cheng et al., 2019). It is not known whether *Gpr19* has an association with these genes.

Our knockout study identified the role of orphan GPCR *Gpr19* in the circadian clock system. In an attempt to identify its endogenous ligand, high-throughput ligand screening

studies have been performed via several means, including Tango assay (Kroeze et al., 2015) and other β -arrestin recruitment-based assays (Colosimo et al., 2019; Foster et al., 2019). However, no cognate ligand has been determined for Gpr19 to date, hampering its further study in vivo using pharmacology. While adropin is considered a possible ligand for Gpr19 (Rao & Herr, 2017; Stein et al., 2016), its expression in the SCN has not been identified and the coupling between adropin and Gpr19 remains controversial (Foster et al., 2019). Apart from the SCN, *Gpr19* is also expressed in the testis, heart, liver, and kidney (Hoffmeister-Ullerich et al., 2004; O'Dowd et al., 1996) as well as certain cancer cell types (Kastner et al., 2012; Rao & Herr, 2017; Riker et al., 2008). The physiological role of Gpr19 in vivo, however, has not been well studied. Only a few published research articles suggest a role for Gpr19 in the regulation of cell cycle (Kastner et al., 2012) and MAPK signalling (Hossain et al., 2016; Thapa et al., 2018), using mRNA knockdown in in vitro cultured cells. In the present study, we provided the first report describing the role of Gpr19 in vivo, using *Gpr19* knockout mice. Our animal behavioural data demonstrate that Gpr19 is a functional component involved in the circadian clock. Pharmacological interventions targeting this orphan receptor may provide a potential therapeutic approach that modulates the circadian clock.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Ichie Nishimura for technical support. This work was supported in part by research grants from the Project for Elucidating and Controlling Mechanisms of Ageing and Longevity of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (JP20gm5010002), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (17H01524, 18H04015, 20B307), the Kobayashi Foundation, and the Kusunoki 125 of Kyoto University 125th Anniversary Fund.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.D. conceived the project; M.D. and H.O. designed the research; Y.Y., I.M., and K.G. performed experiments in collaboration with S.D., H.Z., G.S., H.S., and T.M.; M.D. and Y.Y. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

DECLARATION OF TRANSPARENCY AND SCIENTIFIC RIGOUR

This Declaration acknowledges that this paper adheres to the principles for transparent

reporting and scientific rigour of preclinical research as stated in the *BJP* guidelines for Design and Analysis, Immunoblotting and Immunochemistry, and Animal Experimentation, and as recommended by funding agencies, publishers, and other organizations engaged with supporting research.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files). The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Albus, H., Vansteensel, M. J., Michel, S., Block, G. D., & Meijer, J. H. (2005). A GABAergic mechanism is necessary for coupling dissociable ventral and dorsal regional oscillators within the circadian clock. *Current Biology*, 15(10), 886-893.
- Aten, S., Kalidindi, A., Yoon, H., Rumbaugh, G., Hoyt, K. R., & Obrietan, K. (2021). SynGAP is expressed in the murine suprachiasmatic nucleus and regulates circadian-gated locomotor activity and light-entrainment capacity. *Eur J Neurosci*, 53(3), 732-749.
- Aton, S. J., Colwell, C. S., Hattmar, A. J., Waschek, J., & Herzog, E. D. (2005). Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide mediates circadian rhythmicity and synchrony in mammalian clock neurons. *Nat Neurosci*, 8(4), 476-483.
- Bedont, Joseph L., LeGates, Tara A., Slat, Emily A., Byerly, Mardi S., Wang, H., Hu, J., ... Blackshaw, S. (2014). Lhx1 Controls Terminal Differentiation and Circadian Function of the Suprachiasmatic Nucleus. *Cell Rep*, 7(3), 609-622.
- Cheng, A. H., Bouchard-Cannon, P., Hegazi, S., Lowden, C., Fung, S. W., Chiang, C.-K., ... Cheng, H.-Y. M. (2019). SOX2-Dependent Transcription in Clock Neurons Promotes the Robustness of the Central Circadian Pacemaker. *Cell Rep*, 26(12), 3191-3202.e3198.
- Cheng, H. Y., Obrietan, K., Cain, S. W., Lee, B. Y., Agostino, P. V., Joza, N. A., ... Penninger, J. M. (2004). Dexras1 potentiates photic and suppresses nonphotic responses of the circadian clock. *Neuron*, 43(5), 715-728.
- Colosimo, D. A., Kohn, J. A., Luo, P. M., Piscotta, F. J., Han, S. M., Pickard, A. J., ... Brady, S. F. (2019). Mapping Interactions of Microbial Metabolites with Human G-Protein-

Coupled Receptors. *Cell Host Microbe*, 26(2), 273-282.e277.

Colwell, C. S., Michel, S., Itri, J., Rodriguez, W., Tam, J., Lelievre, V., ... Waschek, J. A. (2003). Disrupted circadian rhythms in VIP- and PHI-deficient mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 285(5), R939-949.

Curtis, M. J., Alexander, S., Cirino, G., Docherty, J. R., George, C. H., Gienbycz, M. A., ... Ahluwalia, A. (2018). Experimental design and analysis and their reporting II: updated and simplified guidance for authors and peer reviewers. *Br J Pharmacol*, 175(7), 987-993.

DeBruyne, J. P., Weaver, D. R., & Reppert, S. M. (2007). CLOCK and NPAS2 have overlapping roles in the suprachiasmatic circadian clock. *Nat Neurosci*, 10(5), 543-545.

Doi, M., Ishida, A., Miyake, A., Sato, M., Komatsu, R., Yamazaki, F., ... Okamura, H. (2011). Circadian regulation of intracellular G-protein signalling mediates intercellular synchrony and rhythmicity in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *Nat Commun*, 2, 327.

Doi, M., Murai, I., Kunisue, S., Setsu, G., Uchio, N., Tanaka, R., ... Okamura, H. (2016). Gpr176 is a Gz-linked orphan G-protein-coupled receptor that sets the pace of circadian behaviour. *Nat Commun*, 7, 10583.

Doi, M., Shimatani, H., Atobe, Y., Murai, I., Hayashi, H., Takahashi, Y., ... Okamura, H. (2019). Non-coding cis-element of Period2 is essential for maintaining organismal circadian behaviour and body temperature rhythmicity. *Nat Commun*, 10(1), 2563.

Foster, S. R., Hauser, A. S., Vedel, L., Strachan, R. T., Huang, X. P., Gavin, A. C., ... Gloriam, D. E. (2019). Discovery of Human Signaling Systems: Pairing Peptides to G Protein-Coupled Receptors. *Cell*, 179(4), 895-908.e821.

- Fukuhara, C., Hamada, T., Shibata, S., Watanabe, S., Aoki, K., & Inouye, S. I. (1994). Phase advances of circadian rhythms in somatostatin depleted rats: effects of cysteamine on rhythms of locomotor activity and electrical discharge of the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *J Comp Physiol A*, 175(6), 677-685.
- Hamada, T., Shibata, S., Tsuneyoshi, A., Tominaga, K., & Watanabe, S. (1993). Effect of somatostatin on circadian rhythms of firing and 2-deoxyglucose uptake in rat suprachiasmatic slices. *Am J Physiol*, 265(5 Pt 2), R1199-1204.
- Hamnett, R., Crosby, P., Chesham, J. E., & Hastings, M. H. (2019). Vasoactive intestinal peptide controls the suprachiasmatic circadian clock network via ERK1/2 and DUSP4 signalling. *Nat Commun*, 10(1), 542.
- Han, S., Yu, F. H., Schwartz, M. D., Linton, J. D., Bosma, M. M., Hurley, J. B., ... de la Iglesia, H. O. (2012). Na(V)1.1 channels are critical for intercellular communication in the suprachiasmatic nucleus and for normal circadian rhythms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 109(6), E368-377.
- Harmar, A. J., Marston, H. M., Shen, S., Spratt, C., West, K. M., Sheward, W. J., ... Hastings, M. H. (2002). The VPAC(2) receptor is essential for circadian function in the mouse suprachiasmatic nuclei. *Cell*, 109(4), 497-508.
- Harrington, M., Molyneux, P., Soscia, S., Prabakar, C., McKinley-Brewer, J., & Lall, G. (2007). Behavioral and neurochemical sources of variability of circadian period and phase: studies of circadian rhythms of npy-/- mice. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 292(3), R1306-1314.
- Hatori, M., Gill, S., Mure, L. S., Goulding, M., O'Leary, D. D., & Panda, S. (2014). Lhx1

maintains synchrony among circadian oscillator neurons of the SCN. *Elife*, 3, e03357.

Hayasaka, N., Aoki, K., Kinoshita, S., Yamaguchi, S., Wakefield, J. K., Tsuji-Kawahara, S., ... Shibata, S. (2011). Attenuated food anticipatory activity and abnormal circadian locomotor rhythms in Rgs16 knockdown mice. *PloS one*, 6(3), e17655.

Herzog, E. D., Hermansteyne, T., Smyllie, N. J., & Hastings, M. H. (2017). Regulating the Suprachiasmatic Nucleus (SCN) Circadian Clockwork: Interplay between Cell-Autonomous and Circuit-Level Mechanisms. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, 9(1)

Hoffmeister-Ullerich, S. A., Susens, U., & Schaller, H. C. (2004). The orphan G-protein-coupled receptor GPR19 is expressed predominantly in neuronal cells during mouse embryogenesis. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 318(2), 459-463.

Hossain, M. S., Mineno, K., & Katafuchi, T. (2016). Neuronal Orphan G-Protein Coupled Receptor Proteins Mediate Plasmalogens-Induced Activation of ERK and Akt Signaling. *PLoS One*, 11(3), e0150846.

Hughes, A. T., & Piggins, H. D. (2008). Behavioral responses of *Vipr2*^{-/-} mice to light. *J Biol Rhythms*, 23(3), 211-219.

Iwahana, E., Akiyama, M., Miyakawa, K., Uchida, A., Kasahara, J., Fukunaga, K., ... Shibata, S. (2004). Effect of lithium on the circadian rhythms of locomotor activity and glycogen synthase kinase-3 protein expression in the mouse suprachiasmatic nuclei. *Eur J Neurosci*, 19(8), 2281-2287.

Johnson, C. H., Elliott, J. A., & Foster, R. (2003). Entrainment of circadian programs. *Chronobiology International*, 20(5), 741-774.

Kastner, S., Voss, T., Keuerleber, S., Glockel, C., Freissmuth, M., & Sommergruber, W. (2012).

Expression of G protein-coupled receptor 19 in human lung cancer cells is triggered by entry into S-phase and supports G(2)-M cell-cycle progression. *Mol Cancer Res*, 10(10), 1343-1358.

Kroeze, W. K., Sassano, M. F., Huang, X. P., Lansu, K., McCorvy, J. D., Giguere, P. M., ... Roth, B. L. (2015). PRESTO-Tango as an open-source resource for interrogation of the druggable human GPCRome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, 22(5), 362-369.

Lall, G. S., & Biello, S. M. (2003). Neuropeptide Y, GABA and circadian phase shifts to photic stimuli. *Neuroscience*, 120(4), 915-921.

LeGates, T. A., Fernandez, D. C., & Hattar, S. (2014). Light as a central modulator of circadian rhythms, sleep and affect. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 15(7), 443-454.

Lein, E. S., Hawrylycz, M. J., Ao, N., Ayres, M., Bensinger, A., Bernard, A., ... Jones, A. R. (2007). Genome-wide atlas of gene expression in the adult mouse brain. *Nature*, 445(7124), 168-176.

Lilley, E., Stanford, S. C., Kendall, D. E., Alexander, S. P. H., Cirino, G., Docherty, J. R., ... Ahluwalia, A. (2020). ARRIVE 2.0 and the British Journal of Pharmacology: Updated guidance for 2020. *Br J Pharmacol*, 177(16), 3611-3616.

Liu, C., & Reppert, S. M. (2000). GABA synchronizes clock cells within the suprachiasmatic circadian clock. *Neuron*, 25(1), 123-128.

Mazuski, C., Abel, J. H., Chen, S. P., Hermansteyne, T. O., Jones, J. R., Simon, T., ... Herzog, E. D. (2018). Entrainment of Circadian Rhythms Depends on Firing Rates and Neuropeptide Release of VIP SCN Neurons. *Neuron*, 99(3), 555-563.e555.

Micic, G., Lovato, N., Gradisar, M., Ferguson, S. A., Burgess, H. J., & Lack, L. C. (2016). The

etiology of delayed sleep phase disorder. *Sleep Med Rev*, 27, 29-38.

Mieda, M., Ono, D., Hasegawa, E., Okamoto, H., Honma, K.-i., Honma, S., & Sakurai, T. (2015). Cellular Clocks in AVP Neurons of the SCN Are Critical for Interneuronal Coupling Regulating Circadian Behavior Rhythm. *Neuron*, 85(5), 1103-1116.

Nakanishi, H., Higuchi, Y., Kawakami, S., Yamashita, F., & Hashida, M. (2010). piggyBac Transposon-mediated Long-term Gene Expression in Mice. *Molecular Therapy*, 18(4), 707-714.

O'Dowd, B. F., Nguyen, T., Lynch, K. R., Kolakowski, L. F., Jr., Thompson, M., Cheng, R., ... George, S. R. (1996). A novel gene codes for a putative G protein-coupled receptor with an abundant expression in brain. *FEBS Letters*, 394(3), 325-329.

Parsons, M. J., Brancaccio, M., Sethi, S., Maywood, E. S., Satija, R., Edwards, J. K., ... Nolan, P. M. (2015). The Regulatory Factor ZFH3 Modifies Circadian Function in SCN via an AT Motif-Driven Axis. *Cell*, 162(3), 607-621.

Patton, A. P., Edwards, M. D., Smyllie, N. J., Hamnett, R., Chesham, J. E., Brancaccio, M., ... Hastings, M. H. (2020). The VIP-VPAC2 neuropeptidergic axis is a cellular pacemaking hub of the suprachiasmatic nucleus circadian circuit. *Nat Commun*, 11(1), 3394.

Percie du Sert, N., Hurst, V., Ahluwalia, A., Alam, S., Avey, M. T., Baker, M., ... Würbel, H. (2020). The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research. *Br J Pharmacol*, 177(16), 3617-3624.

Rao, A., & Herr, D. R. (2017). G protein-coupled receptor GPR19 regulates E-cadherin expression and invasion of breast cancer cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res*,

1864(7), 1318-1327.

Riker, A. I., Enkemann, S. A., Fodstad, O., Liu, S., Ren, S., Morris, C., ... Matta, J. (2008). The gene expression profiles of primary and metastatic melanoma yields a transition point of tumor progression and metastasis. *BMC Med Genomics*, 1, 13.

Shan, Y., Abel, J. H., Li, Y., Izumo, M., Cox, K. H., Jeong, B., ... Takahashi, J. S. (2020). Dual-Color Single-Cell Imaging of the Suprachiasmatic Nucleus Reveals a Circadian Role in Network Synchrony. *Neuron*

Shigeyoshi, Y., Taguchi, K., Yamamoto, S., Takekida, S., Yan, L., Tei, H., ... Okamura, H. (1997). Light-induced resetting of a mammalian circadian clock is associated with rapid induction of the mPer1 transcript. *Cell*, 91(7), 1043-1053.

Stein, L. M., Yosten, G. L., & Samson, W. K. (2016). Adropin acts in brain to inhibit water drinking: potential interaction with the orphan G protein-coupled receptor, GPR19. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*, 310(6), R476-480.

Takahashi, J. S. (2017). Transcriptional architecture of the mammalian circadian clock. *Nat Rev Genet*, 18(3), 164-179.

Thapa, D., Stoner, M. W., Zhang, M., Xie, B., Manning, J. R., Guimaraes, D., ... Scott, I. (2018). Adropin regulates pyruvate dehydrogenase in cardiac cells via a novel GPCR-MAPK-PDK4 signaling pathway. *Redox Biol*, 18, 25-32.

Yamaguchi, Y., Suzuki, T., Mizoro, Y., Kori, H., Okada, K., Chen, Y., ... Okamura, H. (2013). Mice genetically deficient in vasopressin V1a and V1b receptors are resistant to jet lag. *Science*, 342(6154), 85-90.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Spatiotemporal expression profile of *Gpr19* in the SCN. **(a)** Representative brain coronal sections of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice hybridised to anti-sense ³³P-labelled *Gpr19* riboprobe. Arrows indicate the position of the SCN. Scale bars, 1 mm. **(b)** RNAscope *in situ* hybridisation of *Gpr19* in the SCN. The sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. Right panels show the extracts of the *Gpr19*-RNAscope signal. The dashed lines delineate the SCN. oc, optic chiasm; v, third ventricle. Scale bars, 50 µm. **(c)** Circadian rhythm of *Gpr19* expression in the SCN. Relative mRNA abundance was determined by *in situ* hybridisation autoradiography. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM (*n* = 6, for each time point). Representative time-series autoradiographs are shown on top. Scale bars, 200 µm. **(d)** Western blots of Gpr19 in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice at ZT4 and ZT16. Endogenous Gpr19 proteins were immunoprecipitated from hypothalamic SCN membrane extracts and probed for Gpr19. The solid and open arrowheads indicate Gpr19 and non-specific bands, respectively. Relative protein levels were determined by densitometry.

Figure 2. The *Gpr19* CRE sequence generates circadian expression of *Gpr19* in the SCN. **(a)** The CRE in the *Gpr19* promoter. Genomic positions relative to the transcription start site (+1) of the *Gpr19* gene are indicated along with evolutionary conservation scores among

mammalian species. Alignment shows the CRE (−867 to −860; highlighted in magenta) and its flanking sequences of mouse, human, and other representative mammalian species. We used reporter constructs containing serial deletions of the mouse *Gpr19* promoter (−242 to +226, −514 to +226, −915 to +226, −1083 to +226) and the mutant derivative for the CRE (mut; GCACAAA). We also used reporter constructs containing 3× isolated CRE (3×CREwt) or its mutant (3×CREmut). miniP, minimal promoter. **(b)** *Gpr19* promoter activities in MEF cells after treatment with cAMP enhancer FSK. Average fold increase relative to basal activity was calculated ($n = 6$, for each construct). Error bars indicate SEM. $*P < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. **(c)** Representative detrended bioluminescence traces from SCN explants infected with AAV carrying the 3×CREwt (upper) or 3×CREmut (lower) reporter construct. Luminescence was recorded at 20-min intervals over 5 days in culture.

Figure 3. *Gpr19* deficiency elongates the period of locomotor activity rhythm and alters circadian clock gene expression in the SCN. **(a)** Representative double-plotted locomotor activity records of C57BL/6J-backcrossed *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{−/−} mice. Mice were housed in a 12L:12D light–dark cycle and transferred to DD. Periods of darkness are indicated by grey backgrounds. Each horizontal line represents 48 h; the second 24-h period is plotted to the

right and below the first. The coloured lines delineate the phase of activity onset in DD. **(b)**

Daily profile of locomotor activity of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice in LD. Values are the

mean $\pm$ SEM of % activity of a day. **P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc*

test. **(c)** Period-length distribution of C57BL/6J-backcrossed *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice.

Free-running period measurements were based on a 14-day interval taken after 3 days of a

DD regime and were executed with a χ^2 periodogram. Plotted are the period lengths of

individual animals. Bars indicate the mean $\pm$ SEM (*Gpr19*^{+/+}, *n* = 11; *Gpr19*^{-/-}, *n* = 9). **P* <

0.05, Student's unpaired *t*-test. **(d)** Heatmaps displaying circadian expression of

representative clock and clock-related genes in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. The

highest and lowest values of each gene were adjusted to 1 and 0, respectively. The genes

(rows) are ordered by hierarchical clustering using Euclidean distance and Ward

agglomeration. **(e)** Line graphs showing double-plotted circadian expression profiles of the

genes affected by *Gpr19* deficiency in **(d)**. Relative mRNA levels were determined by qRT-

PCR (*n* = 2, for each data point). Values (mean $\pm$ variation) are double-plotted for better

comparison between the genotypes. *Per2* is not affected. Data of all examined genes are

shown in Figure S3.

Figure 4. *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice exhibit a decreased capacity of phase shift to early subjective night

light. **(a,b)** Representative double-plotted locomotor activity records of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice before and after a 15-min light pulse exposure at CT14, CT22, or CT6. CT was determined for individual animals based on their free-running period and the onset of locomotor activity (which is defined as CT12). The red lines delineate the phase of activity onset. Phase shifts (delay at CT14, advance at CT22) were quantified as the time difference between regression lines of activity onset before and after the light pulse, 200 lux for **(a)** and 20 lux for **(b)**. **(c,d)** Magnitude of light-induced phase-shifts of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. By convention, delays are negative, and advances are positive. Data indicate the mean $\pm$ SEM for 200 lux **(c)** and 20 lux **(d)** (200 lux: CT14, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 11, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 14; CT22, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 11, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 12; CT6, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 9, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 8; 20 lux: CT14, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 7, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 11; CT22, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 11, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 11; CT6, *Gpr19*^{+/+} *n* = 7, *Gpr19*^{-/-} *n* = 6). **P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test.

Figure 5. Attenuated light-induced induction of *Per1* mRNA and c-Fos immunoreactivity in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. **(a)** *Per1* expression in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice with or without a 15-min light pulse exposure at CT14 or CT22. Mice were sacrificed 1 h after light onset. Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM (*n* = 4). The mean value in *Gpr19*^{+/+} SCN without a light pulse was set to 1. **P* < 0.05, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post*

hoc test. Representative autoradiographs are shown on the top. Scale bars, 200 μ m. **(b)** The number of c-Fos-immunopositive cells in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. Mice were illuminated as described in **(a)**. Data are the mean $\pm$ SEM ($n = 4$ for light (-), $n = 6-8$ for light (+)). * $P < 0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. Representative images of immunohistochemistry are shown on the top. Scale bars, 200 μ m. **(c)** Reduced c-Fos induction in the dorsal area of the SCN in *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice. Representative images of immunohistological distribution of c-Fos expression in the SCN of *Gpr19*^{+/+} and *Gpr19*^{-/-} mice (2 mice for each genotype) after a 15-min light pulse exposure at CT14. oc, optic chiasm; v, third ventricle. Scale bars, 200 μ m. **(d,e)** The number of c-Fos-immunopositive cells in the ventral **(d)** and dorsal **(e)** area of the SCN in **(c)** ($n = 4$ for light (-), $n = 8$ for light (+)). Values are the mean $\pm$ SEM. * $P < 0.05$, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's *post hoc* test. n.s., not significant.

Figure 6. A putative role of Gpr19 in the central circadian clock modulation. The orphan receptor Gpr19 is a circadian oscillating GPCR localised to the middle-dorsal area of the SCN, is involved in the determination of the intrinsic period of locomotor activity rhythm, and modulates the extent of phase shift response to early subjective night light. Gpr19 controls gene expression in the SCN and modulates the propagation of light-entrainment

747 signal from the ventral to the dorsal area of the SCN. Orange, c-Fos expression area;

748 Asterisk, light pulse; D, dorsal area; V, ventral area; CRE, cAMP-responsive element.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6