Abnormal Neurite Density and Orientation Dispersion in Frontal Lobe Link to Elevated Hyperactive/Impulsive Behaviors in Young Adults with Traumatic Brain Injury

Meng Cao^a, Yuyang Luo^a, Ziyan Wu^b, Kai Wu^c, Xiaobo Li^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Biomedical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, NJ, USA

^b Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, NJ, USA

^c Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, School of Materials Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, China

Running Title: Neurite Morphometrical Anomalies in TBI

***Correspondence:**

Xiaobo Li, PhD

Associate Professor of Biomedical Engineering

New Jersey Institute of Technology

323 Martin Luther King Blvd, Fenster 613

Newark, NJ 07102

Phone: 973-596-5880, **Fax:** 973-596-5222, **Email:** xli.aecom@gmail.com, xiaobo.li@njit.edu

Funding information: This work was partially supported by research grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (R03MH109791, R15MH117368, and R01MH060698), and the New Jersey Commission on Brain Injury Research (CBIR17PIL012).

Abstract

Traumatic brain injury is a major public health concern. A significant proportion of individuals experience post-traumatic brain injury behavioral impairments, especially in attention and inhibitory control domains. Traditional diffusion-weighted MRI techniques, such as diffusion tensor imaging, have provided tools to assess white matter structural disruptions reflecting the long-term brain tissue alterations associated with traumatic brain injury. The recently developed neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging is a more advanced diffusion-weighted MRI modality, which provides more refined characterization of brain tissue microstructures by assessing the neurite orientation dispersion and neurite density properties. In this study, we investigated the morphometrical and microstructural alterations at chronic brain injury stage and their relationships with the functional outcomes. Neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging data from 44 young adults with chronic traumatic brain injury (ranging from 18 - 27 years of age; 23 males/21 females) who had no prior-traumatic brain injury history of attention deficits and/or hyperactivity and 45 group-matched normal controls (23 males /22 females) were collected. Maps of fractional anisotropy, neurite orientation dispersion index, and neurite density index were calculated. Vertex-wise and voxel-wise analyses were conducted for gray matter and white matter, respectively. Post-hoc region of interest-based analyses were also performed. Compared to the controls, the group of traumatic brain injury showed significantly increased orientation dispersion index in various gray matter regions and significantly decreased orientation dispersion index in several white matter regions. Brain-behavioral association analyses indicated that the reduced neurite density index of left precentral gyrus and the reduced orientation dispersion index of left superior longitudinal fasciculus were significantly associated with elevated hyperactive/impulsive symptoms in the patients with traumatic brain injury. These findings suggest that traumatic brain injury-induced chronic neurite orientation dispersion alterations of left superior longitudinal fasciculus and left precentral may significantly contribute to post-traumatic brain injury hyperactive/impulsive behaviors in young adults with traumatic brain injury.

Keywords: Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI); Attention Deficits; Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging (NODDI); Neurite Density Index (NDI); Orientation Dispersion Index (ODI), Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus (SLF).

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major public health concern, with about 2.8 million documented cases occurring each year in the United States,¹ approximately 10 percent of which are due to sports and recreational activities.² Although the acute symptoms of TBI may be transient and temperate, evidence is emerging that a significant proportion of patients experience long-lasting post-TBI cognitive, emotional, behavioral, sensory, and motoric changes.³ Among those post-TBI cognitive and behavioral disturbances, attention deficits are the most commonly reported.⁴ with the neuropathological natures remaining unclear.

The long-term post-TBI neural and psychophysiological anomalies have been suggested to relate to traumatic axonal injury that persists in a chronic stage.⁵ Loss of white matter (WM) integrity has been widely considered to play a major role in the clinical phenomenology of TBI.⁶ The pathology of diffuse axonal injury has been widely investigated in diffusion MRI (dMRI)-based approaches, especially diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) that is more sensitive than conventional T1- or T2-weighted imaging in detecting axonal injury.^{7, 8} Among the DTI metrics, fractional anisotropy (FA) has been one of the most commonly reported measures in existing studies in TBI, with findings highly inconsistent in terms of involved brain regions as well as the trends (increase or reduction) of FA abnormalities. In particular, a number of existing studies indicated reduced FA in commonly affected WM tracts including corticospinal tract,⁹ sagittal stratum,¹⁰ and superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF)¹¹; corona radiata,¹² uncinate fasciculus,^{10, 13} corpus callosum,¹² inferior longitudinal fasciculus,¹³ and cingulum bundle¹³; inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (IFOF)¹⁴ among patients with chronic TBI relative to controls. While increased FA in corpus callosum,¹⁵ internal capsule,¹⁶ corticospinal tracts,¹⁵ corona radiata¹⁶ were also reported in subjects with a history of TBI as compared with matched controls.

The FA value derived from DTI can be influenced by changes in axial diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD) within the brain tissues, or both, making FA sensitive to many types of brain pathology, while incapable for identifying the specific nature of the underlying pathology.¹⁷ In addition, although

DTI can describe water diffusion behavior at modest b-values (e.g., 1000 s/mm²), many studies have demonstrated that simple Gaussian diffusion models do not sufficiently describe water diffusion in complex tissues, such as crossing fibers and mixtures of diffusion compartments. Thus, conventional DTI approaches lack the ability to distinguish the spatial organization of neurites. Furthermore, multiple mechanisms, including changes in cell morphology and packing density, or changes in WM fiber orientation, would contribute to the alterations of FA. Recently, a more advanced dMRI modality, the neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI), has been developed.¹⁸ This innovative technique acquires imaging data at multiple levels of diffusion weight, with each sampling many different spatial orientations at high angular resolution. The main parameters derived from NODDI are neurite density index (NDI), and neurite orientation dispersion index (ODI). The intracellular component of NODDI is designed to be representative of both axons and dendrites, thus providing an improved description of gray matter (GM) microstructure. In addition, NODDI enables differentiation of changes to tissue density and tissue ODI (both expressed by FA changes when using DTI), ultimately offering superior sensitivity to micro-level tissue changes. NODDI has shown significant promise in the evaluation of stroke,¹⁹ Alzheimer's disease,²⁰ and other patient populations.²¹ Recently, Churchill et al. conducted a NODDI study in adult athletes with and without TBI and reported significantly increased NDI and decreased ODI in corpus callosum and internal capsule in the TBI group.²² Besides, another recent study found that compared to conventional DTI, the NODDI parameters were more sensitive imaging biomarkers underlying WM microstructural pathology for relatively short-term (2 weeks and 6 months after TBI) consequences post mild TBI.²³ However, the relationships between NODDI measures and the common chronic (> 6 months) post-TBI behavioral alterations have not been investigated.

In this study, we proposed to utilize the refined measures provided by NODDI to investigate the neurobiological mechanisms that may underlie TBI-related attention deficits in young adults with chronic TBI. Based on results of our previous functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) studies that showed functional alterations in frontal areas during sustained attention processing in adults with TBI,²⁴

²⁵ we hypothesized that neural morphometrical and microstructural abnormalities in frontal areas and the major WM fibers connecting frontal and other brain regions may exist, and significantly contribute to post-TBI attention-related behavioral alterations.

Materials and Methods

Participants

A total of 89 young adults (ranging from 18 - 27 years of age), including 44 patients with TBI (23 males/21 females) and 45 group-matched normal controls (NCs; 23 males /22 females), were initially involved in this study. Participants in the TBI group were recruited from sports teams at New Jersey Institute of Technology (NJIT) and Rutgers University (RU). The NCs were recruited through on-campus flyers at NJIT and RU. The study received Institutional Reviewed Board Approval at both NJIT and RU. Written informed consents were provided by all participants. Within these 89 subjects, 27 were involved in our previous fNIRS study.²⁴

The subjects with TBI had a history of one or multiple sports- or recreational activity-related non-penetrating TBIs, with the most recent onset of TBI clinically confirmed at least 6 months prior to the study visit; had no head injury which ever caused overt focal brain damage; had no history of diagnosis with any sub-presentation of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) prior to the first onset TBI. The group of NCs included young adults with no history of head injury; had no history of diagnosis with ADHD; and had no severe inattentive and/or hyperactive/impulsive behaviors measured using the Conner's Adult ADHD Self-Reporting Rating Scales (CAARS, T-score < 60 for both inattention and hyperactivity/impulsivity subscales) during the study visits. Subjects in both groups were native or fluent speakers of English and strongly right-handed based on the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory. The study excluded subjects who had a history or current diagnosis of any neurological disorders (such as epilepsy); severe psychiatric disorders (including Schizophrenia, Autism Spectrum Disorders, Major Depression, Anxiety, etc.); received treatment with any stimulant or non-stimulant

psychotropic medication within the month prior to testing; or had contraindications to MRI scanning.

Demographic and clinical/behavioral information of the study cohort was included in **Table 1**.

Four subjects were excluded from group-level analysis due to the heavy head motion (with either the mean relative volume-to-volume displacement, maximum rotation, or maximum translation > 2.5mm).

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics in groups of normal controls and traumatic brain injury.

	NC (N=40) Mean (SD)	TBI (N=43) Mean (SD)	<i>p</i>
Age (year)	21.40 (2.31)	21.02 (2.04)	0.432
Education Level (year)	14.70 (1.73)	14.23 (1.51)	0.192
Mother's Education Level (year)	15.36 (2.21)	15.76 (2.62)	0.467
Father's Education Level (year)	15.92 (2.99)	15.81 (2.36)	0.849
Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scale (T score)			
Inattentive	45.53 (6.43)	57.67 (15.28)	<0.001
Hyperactive/Impulsive	43.25 (5.65)	52.26 (13.72)	<0.001
ADHD Total	44.03 (6.14)	56.67 (16.36)	<0.001
Conners' Adult ADHD Rating Scale (raw score)			
Inattentive	4.50 (2.78)	9.53 (6.40)	<0.001
Hyperactive/Impulsive	5.38 (2.59)	9.02 (5.39)	<0.001
ADHD Total	9.88 (4.69)	18.44 (10.65)	<0.001
	N (%)	N (%)	<i>p</i>
Male	22 (55.0)	23 (53.5)	0.89
Right-handed	40 (100.0)	43 (100.0)	1.00
Race			0.127
<i>Caucasian</i>	13 (32.5)	22 (51.2)	
<i>African American</i>	3 (7.5)	7 (16.3)	
<i>Hispanic/Latino</i>	2 (5.0)	2 (4.7)	
<i>Asian</i>	17 (42.5)	8 (18.6)	
<i>More than one race</i>	5 (12.5)	4 (9.3)	

TBI: group of traumatic brain injury; NC: group of normal controls; SD: standard deviation

Neuroimaging Data Acquisition Protocol

High-resolution T1-weighted structural MRI (0.9 mm³ isotropic T1w and T2w images) and dMRI data were acquired from a 3.0T Siemens Trio imaging system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The three-dimensional dMRI images were acquired using a three-shell protocol with an echo planar imaging pulse

sequence: TR/TE = 7700/103 ms, voxel size = $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^3$, number of slices = 55, FOV = $220 \times 220 \times 138 \text{ mm}^3$. The three shells of dMRI were consisted of 64 diffusion-weighting directions acquired at $b = 300 \text{ s/mm}^2$, $b = 700 \text{ s/mm}^2$, and $b = 2000 \text{ s/mm}^2$, along with six b_0 scans. Data of the $b = 700 \text{ s/mm}^2$ shell were used for both DTI and NODDI analyses.

Individual-level Imaging Data Processing

T1-weighted MRI data preprocessing were conducted using the Human Connectome Project (HCP) pipeline²⁶ and FreeSurfer v6.0.0.²⁷ After correction of gradient nonlinearity and field intensity, individual white and pial surfaces for surface-based analysis in GM were generated in the native spaces. Then each individual's pial surface was non-linearly registered to the group-averaged pial surface using multimodal surface matching (MSM) algorithm.²⁸

Each dMRI data was first preprocessed using the FMRIB Software Library (FSL; www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) for corrections of gradient distortion, B_0 distortion and eddy current distortion.²⁹ The transformation matrices from local diffusion space to the structural space were calculated by registering a b_0 volume to the T1-weighted image using FreeSurfer's *BBRegister*.³⁰ Voxel-wise NODDI analyses were then conducted using the open-source MATLAB toolbox (<http://mig.cs.ucl.ac.uk/index.php?n=Tutorial.NODDI matlab>) with the “WatsonSHStickTortIsoV_B0” parameterization to distinguish three microstructural subcomponents: intracellular (neuritic), extracellular, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) compartments. The NODDI MATLAB toolbox was utilized to generate three parameter maps: 1) fraction of CSF (f_{CSF}), which indexes the volume fraction of Gaussian isotropic diffusion (free fluid) within each voxel; 2) NDI, which indicates the fraction of tissue water restricted within neuritis (axons and dendrites) in the non-CSF compartment. The intracellular signal was modelled as a Watson distribution over cylinders of zero radius, which has a mean orientation vector μ and a concentration parameter $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ indicating how much the distribution tends to spread out around μ ; and 3) ODI, transformed from the concentration parameter, which

characterizes spatial configuration of neurites and ranges from 0 (completely parallel neurites) to 1 (completely random neurite orientation). In addition, the $b = 700$ s/mm² data series were analyzed to extract voxel-wise conventional DTI measure, FA, by using FSL's *dtifit*. The individual-level data processing steps were shown in **Fig. 1A**.

Surface-Based Analysis in GM

The surface-based analysis in GM was conducted using the HCP's connectome workbench and Freesurfer. First, the NDI, ODI, and FA maps of each individual were linearly transformed into native structural space. A ribbon mapping method, using WM surface as inner surface and pial surface as outer surface, was applied to sample the diffusion measures onto each individual's surface at local space. This method was to construct a polyhedron for each vertex using the vertex's neighbors, and to calculate the weight of each vertex based on the overlapping volumes with nearby voxels. To generate the group difference map, the surface maps of NDI, ODI, and FA were subsequently resampled onto the group-averaged surfaces (**Fig. 1B**) based on MSM surface registration.²⁸

The surface-based group comparison between TBI and NCs were performed using the Freesurfer's GLM analysis. Age, sex, and parent education level were used as covariates. A cluster-wise correction method, with a cluster of 25 mm² or greater and p value < 0.05, were performed, as the thresholding method for the vertex-wise group difference map. The anatomical regions with significant group differences on the GM surface map were identified using Desikan-Killiany atlas.

Tract-Based Spatial Statistics (TBSS) Analysis in WM

TBSS of the NDI, ODI and FA maps were carried out in FSL (version 6.0.1). Firstly, FMRIB58_FA in the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) common space was used as the target image for nonlinear registration of all subjects' FA maps, using the FMRIB Nonlinear Registration Tool (FNIRT; <http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FNIRT/>). The transformed FA images in the MNI space were then averaged and skeletonized, to generate the major WM skeleton that would be implemented to all the subjects (**Fig. 1C**). The threshold of FA ≥ 0.2 was implemented to the average FA map to ensure the WM skeleton to include major WM tracts while exclude peripheral tracts and GM. Each participant's aligned FA map was then projected onto this skeleton by assigning to each voxel the maximum FA in a line perpendicular to the local skeleton. The NDI, ODI, and isotropic compartment were projected onto

the mean FA skeleton after applying the warping registration field of each subject to the standard MNI space.

Comparisons between the groups of TBI and NCs were performed by voxel-wise statistics of the skeletonized maps of NDI, ODI and FA, using nonparametric statistical thresholding approach (FSL Randomise permutation algorithm; <https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/Randomise>). The thresholded mean FA skeleton was used as a mask. Two thousand permutations and statistical inference using threshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) were performed, with p values < 0.05 after family-wise error (FWE) correction for multiple comparisons. Age, sex, and parent education level were used as covariates. The anatomic locations of regions with significant group differences on the WM skeleton were identified from the Johns Hopkins University WM labels atlas.

Post-hoc Region of Interest (ROI) Analysis in GM and WM

On the base of the intermediate voxel-based results from the surface-based analysis in GM and TBSS in WM, post-hoc region of interest (ROI)-based analyses were further performed, as shown in **Fig. 1D**. A total of 13 GM and WM brain regions that showed significant between-group differences in the voxel-based analyses, including 11 GM ROIs (right rostral middle frontal gyrus, right superior frontal gyrus, left superior parietal lobule, bilateral caudal middle frontal gyrus, bilateral precentral gyrus, bilateral postcentral gyrus, and bilateral paracentral lobules) and two WM ROIs (left IFOF and left SLF), were involved in ROI-based analysis. The GM and WM ROIs were defined based on the Desikan-Killiany atlas and the Johns Hopkins University WM probabilistic tractography atlas, respectively. Mean ODI and NDI values within each GM and WM ROI was extracted for each subject (no between-group differences in FA were found during the voxel-based analyses). One-way analysis of covariates (ANCOVA) was conducted to identify the between group differences, with age, sex, and parent education level as covariates. Bonferroni correction was applied to control multiple comparisons at significance level of 0.05.

Brain-Behavior Correlation Analysis

Partial correlation was conducted between the ROI-based brain imaging measures that showed significant between-group differences and the behavioral measures for clinical symptoms in inattentiveness and hyperactivity/impulsivity (measured using the T-scores of the CAARS inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive subscales). Again, Bonferroni correction was applied to control multiple comparisons at significance level of 0.05.

Results

Demographic, Clinical and Behavioral Measures

The demographic and clinical information of both TBI and NC groups were summarized in **Table 1**. Demographic measures did not show significant between-group difference. Compared to the NCs, subjects with TBI showed significantly more clinical symptoms in inattentiveness and hyperactivity/impulsivity measured using the T- and raw-score of the CAARS inattentive and hyperactive/impulsive sub-scales.

Group Differences in the Intermediate Voxel-based Analyses

Group-level results of the surface-based analysis in GM showed significantly decreased NDI in vertex clusters from bilateral precentral gyrus, bilateral postcentral gyrus, left caudal middle frontal, right superior frontal gyrus, and right rostral middle frontal gyrus in patients, relative to controls (**Fig. 2A**). In addition, TBI group demonstrated significantly increased ODI in clusters of bilateral precentral gyrus, bilateral paracentral lobules, left precentral gyrus, left superior parietal lobule, and right middle frontal gyrus, when compared to NCs (**Fig. 2B**). All these regions showed p -value < 0.05 with a cluster greater than 25 mm².

Figure 2. Surface-based analysis of neurite properties in gray matter. (A) Surface-based gray matter analysis showing clusters that have higher NDI in NC than TBI. **(B)** Surface-based gray matter analysis showing clusters that have lower ODI in NC than TBI. **(C)** Group comparison of NDI in selected brain regions. Regions that showed significant group difference after Bonferroni correction were marked with asterisk (*). **(D)** Group comparison of ODI in selected brain regions. Regions that showed significant group difference after Bonferroni correction were marked with asterisk (*). NDI: neurite density index; ODI: orientation dispersion index; TBI: traumatic brain injury; NC: normal controls; L: Left; R: Right; cMFG: caudal middle frontal gyrus; PreCG: precentral gyrus; ParaCG: paracentral gyrus; PostCG: postcentral gyrus; SPG: superior parietal gyrus; rMFG: rostral middle frontal gyrus; SFG: superior frontal gyrus.

Group-level results of TBSS in WM showed significantly decreased ODI in the left SLF ($p < 0.05$, TFCE corrected) and left IFOF ($p < 0.05$, TFCE corrected) in patients with TBI relative to NCs (Fig. 3A).

Figure 3. Voxel-level and region of interest analysis of white matter. (A) Tract-based spatial statistics results showing significant between-group differences of voxel-wise ODI for groups of TBI and normal controls. (B) Region of interest analysis results showed significant between group differences in the groups of NC and TBI. Top panel presents the results in left superior longitudinal fasciculus; bottom panel presents the results in left inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus. TBI: traumatic brain injury; NC: normal controls; ODI: orientation dispersion index.

Group Differences of ROI-based Imaging Measures

Compared to controls, the TBI group showed significantly abnormal neurite orientational integrity in bilateral frontal and parietal GM areas, represented by greatly increased ODI in bilateral middle frontal gyri, postcentral, precentral, and superior parietal gyri in the left hemisphere, as well as the superior frontal gyrus in the right hemisphere. Relative to controls, the adults with TBI also showed significantly decreased GM neurite density left precentral gyrus. The ROI-based analyses in WM showed significantly reduced ODI in left IFOF and SLF in the group of TBI. All the parameters of these results are detailed in **Table 2** and graphically depicted in **Fig. 2C&D** and **Fig. 3B**, with all the p values corrected using Bonferroni method.

Table 2: Anatomical regions that showed significant between-group differences of the neurite morphometry.

Regions	Measures	NC (N=40) Mean (SD)	TBI (N=43) Mean (SD)	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i> #
Gray Matter ROIs					
Left Caudal Middle Frontal	ODI	0.537 (0.024)	0.556 (0.022)	18.17	<0.001
Left Postcentral Gyrus	ODI	0.493 (0.035)	0.517 (0.027)	11.296	0.014
Left Precentral	ODI	0.509 (0.024)	0.529 (0.022)	19.743	<0.001
	NDI	0.568 (0.036)	0.545 (0.033)	9.903	0.026
Left Superior Parietals	ODI	0.503 (0.039)	0.535 (0.035)	12.813	0.007
Right Caudal Middle Frontal	ODI	0.542 (0.023)	0.559 (0.021)	15.024	0.003
Right Superior Frontal	ODI	0.529 (0.017)	0.542 (0.015)	16.512	0.001
White Matter ROIs					
Left Inferior Fronto-occipital Fasciculus	ODI	0.266 (0.028)	0.251 (0.021)	8.115	0.012
Left Superior Longitudinal Fasciculus	ODI	0.276 (0.022)	0.264 (0.022)	6.039	0.032

ROI: region-of-interest; TBI: group of traumatic brain injury; NC: group of normal controls; SD: standard deviation; ODI: orientation dispersion index; NDI: neurite density index; *p*#: *p* value after Bonferroni correction.

Brain-Behavior Correlations

In the group of TBI, reduced NDI of the left precentral gyrus and reduced ODI of the left SLF were both significantly correlated with elevated hyperactive/impulsive symptoms (**Fig. 4**). We did not find significant brain-behavior correlations in the group of NC.

Figure 4. Brain-behavior correlation analysis results. (A) Greater orientation dispersion index of left superior longitudinal fasciculus was significantly correlated with reduced hyperactive/impulsive symptom severity T-score in the group TBI. (B) Increased neurite density index of left precentral gyrus was significantly correlated with reduced hyperactive/impulsive symptom severity T-score in the group TBI. TBI: traumatic brain injury.

Discussion

The present study investigated the neurite morphometry differences, represented by the NDI and ODI in both GM and WM areas, between young adults with TBI and group-matched controls. The results in surface-based GM analysis reported significantly altered neurite morphometry in bilateral frontal and parietal areas, with the left frontal morphometrical abnormalities to be dominated in the subjects with TBI. In the literature of TBI-related studies, structural and functional abnormalities associated with frontal GM areas have been consistently reported in adults and children with TBI. For instance, relative to controls, TBI groups showed significantly decreased thickness³²⁻³⁴ and significantly increased activation during working memory task^{35, 36} in frontal lobe. In addition, cortical thinning^{37, 38} and hyperactivation^{35, 39} in parietal lobe were also frequently observed in adults and children with TBI. Along with existing findings, our results suggest frontal and parietal regions are highly susceptible to the TBI-induced axonal and neuronal damages and NODDI have the high sensitivity in detecting the microstructure alterations.

Our investigations in WM demonstrated that compared to matched controls, the subjects with TBI had significantly decreased ODI in the left SLF and left IFOF. The SLF and IFOF are two key components of the long-association fronto-parietal pathways, which interconnect cortical and subcortical areas to subserve the visuospatial attention and higher-order cognitive processes.^{40, 41} Studies have suggested that SLF and IFOF are critical WM structures involving in the visuospatial orienting and executive components of attention processing,⁴² and brain regions associated with the orienting and executive components of visuospatial attention are most vulnerable to neural damages resulting from mild TBI.⁴³ Indeed, substantial previous DTI studies in subjects with TBI have reported structural abnormalities of SLF and IFOF. For instance, significantly increased FA and decreased mean diffusivity (MD) in SLF and/or IFOF have been frequently reported in subjects with a history of sports-related concussion.^{15, 16, 22} While other studies in subjects with TBI have reported decreased FA and/or increased MD in left SLF and/or IFOF, and their linkages with prolonged post-TBI behavioral

impairments.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ The inconsistency of these existing findings may be partially explained by the long-term effects of TBI appear to differ from subacute concussion or chronicity of the injury,⁴⁷ the severity level of TBI,⁴⁸ and the limitation of DTI measures.⁴⁹

Furthermore, the results of the present study implicated that the significantly decreased NDI of left precentral gyrus and decreased ODI of the left SLF both significantly contribute to the elevated hyperactive/impulsive symptoms in individuals with TBI. These findings first time in the field suggest that both the TBI-related reduced GM neurite density in left frontal lobe and the overly aligned axons¹⁸ in left SLF that anatomically interconnecting frontal and parietal lobes can significantly contribute to TBI-related behavioral impairment in the domain of inhibitory control. The precentral gyrus has been consistently implicated in response inhibition in both primate and human studies.⁵⁰⁻⁵³ The SLF has also emerged as one of the most reliably identified WM tract underlying inhibitory,⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷ and variability in behavioral performances of inhibitory control-related tasks can be partially explained by variability in WM integrity of SLF.⁵⁸ Together with existing studies, our findings suggest that neurite density and orientation dispersion alterations in left frontal lobe, especially the precentral area, are significantly vulnerable in TBI-induced chronic neuronal damages; and these neuronal abnormalities significantly link to post-TBI behavioral impairments especially in the domain of inhibitory control.

Limitations

Although the current study utilized an innovative and robust dMRI technique to study the neurite morphometry and its relationship with post-TBI behavioral impairments in young adults with TBI and have reported significantly findings, we acknowledge that there are issues to be addressed. First, our cohort consisted of both male and female subjects. Although existing studies indicated that compared to males, females might have poorer consequences after TBI,⁵⁹ our post hoc analyses in subgroups of females with TBI and males with TBI did not finding significant differences in the clinical/behavioral and neuroimaging measures. We acknowledge that the sample sizes of our subgroups were moderate. A

future study in a statistically powerful sample is expected to thoroughly investigate the sex-related post-TBI behavioral and anatomical alterations and their interactions. Second, we acknowledge that the diverse injury location of TBI may be associated with different symptoms. Nevertheless, none of the existing studies have identified any patterns of association between a definitive injury location and long-term deficits in a specific domain of cognitive functions.

Conclusions

By utilizing an innovative dMRI technique, NODDI, the current study investigated the GM and WM neuronal morphometry and its relationship with post-TBI behavioral impairments in young adults with TBI. The results showed that relative to matched controls, the TBI subjects had significantly altered neurite density and orientation dispersion in frontal and parietal GM areas, and the WM tracts connecting these GM areas. We also found strong relationship of the abnormal left precentral GM NDI and SLF WM ODI with increased hyperactive/impulsive behaviors in the group of TBI. Our findings suggest that significantly altered neurite morphometry exists in frontal and parietal GM regions and the WM major tracts that anatomically connect these GM regions, especially in the left hemisphere; and these neurite disruptions significantly contribute to post-TBI attention problems in subjects with TBI. These findings provide valuable insight into the neuropathological markers of chronic TBI, which have the potential to inform biologically targeted prevention and intervention strategies in affected subjects.

Funding

This study was partially supported by research grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (R03MH109791, R15MH117368, and R01MH060698) and the New Jersey Commission on Brain Injury Research (CBIR17PIL012).

Author Contributions

Dr. Xiaobo Li designed the study. Meng, Yuyang and Ziyang all involved in managing literature search, analyzing the clinical and imaging data, and writing early drafts of the manuscript. Meng and Drs. Li and Wu edited and finalized the manuscript. All authors contributed to and have approved the final manuscript.

Author Disclosure Statement

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Taylor CA, Bell JM, Breiding MJ, Xu L. Traumatic Brain Injury-Related Emergency Department Visits, Hospitalizations, and Deaths - United States, 2007 and 2013. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* Mar 17 2017;66(9):1-16. doi:10.15585/mmwr.ss6609a1
2. Centers for Disease C, Prevention. Nonfatal sports and recreation heat illness treated in hospital emergency departments--United States, 2001-2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* Jul 29 2011;60(29):977-80.
3. De Beaumont L, Mongeon D, Tremblay S, et al. Persistent motor system abnormalities in formerly concussed athletes. *J Athl Train.* May-Jun 2011;46(3):234-40. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-46.3.234
4. Adeyemo BO, Biederman J, Zafonte R, et al. Mild traumatic brain injury and ADHD: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. *J Atten Disord.* Oct 2014;18(7):576-84. doi:10.1177/1087054714543371
5. Rabinowitz AR, Levin HS. Cognitive sequelae of traumatic brain injury. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* Mar 2014;37(1):1-11. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2013.11.004
6. Hughes DG, Jackson A, Mason DL, Berry E, Hollis S, Yates DW. Abnormalities on magnetic resonance imaging seen acutely following mild traumatic brain injury: correlation with neuropsychological tests and delayed recovery. *Neuroradiology.* Jul 2004;46(7):550-8. doi:10.1007/s00234-004-1227-x
7. Hulkower MB, Poliak DB, Rosenbaum SB, Zimmerman ME, Lipton ML. A decade of DTI in traumatic brain injury: 10 years and 100 articles later. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* Nov-Dec 2013;34(11):2064-74. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3395
8. Mac Donald CL, Dikranian K, Bayly P, Holtzman D, Brody D. Diffusion tensor imaging reliably detects experimental traumatic axonal injury and indicates approximate time of injury. *J Neurosci.* Oct 31 2007;27(44):11869-76. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3647-07.2007
9. Kraus MF, Susmaras T, Caughlin BP, Walker CJ, Sweeney JA, Little DM. White matter integrity and cognition in chronic traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Brain.* Oct 2007;130(Pt 10):2508-19. doi:10.1093/brain/awm216
10. Geary EK, Kraus MF, Pliskin NH, Little DM. Verbal learning differences in chronic mild traumatic brain injury. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* May 2010;16(3):506-16. doi:10.1017/S135561771000010X
11. Matthews SC, Strigo IA, Simmons AN, O'Connell RM, Reinhardt LE, Moseley SA. A multimodal imaging study in U.S. veterans of Operations Iraqi and Enduring Freedom with and without major depression after blast-related concussion. *Neuroimage.* Jan 2011;54 Suppl 1:S69-75. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.269
12. Churchill NW, Caverzasi E, Graham SJ, Hutchison MG, Schweizer TA. White matter during concussion recovery: Comparing diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI). *Hum Brain Mapp.* Apr 15 2019;40(6):1908-1918. doi:10.1002/hbm.24500
13. Niogi SN, Mukherjee P, Ghajar J, et al. Extent of microstructural white matter injury in postconcussive syndrome correlates with impaired cognitive reaction time: a 3T diffusion tensor imaging study of mild traumatic brain injury. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* May 2008;29(5):967-73. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A0970
14. Singh M, Jeong J, Hwang D, Sungkarat W, Gruen P. Novel diffusion tensor imaging methodology to detect and quantify injured regions and affected brain pathways in traumatic brain injury. *Magn Reson Imaging.* Jan 2010;28(1):22-40. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2009.05.049
15. Henry LC, Tremblay J, Tremblay S, et al. Acute and chronic changes in diffusivity measures after sports concussion. *J Neurotrauma.* Oct 2011;28(10):2049-59. doi:10.1089/neu.2011.1836

16. Sasaki T, Pasternak O, Mayinger M, et al. Hockey Concussion Education Project, Part 3. White matter microstructure in ice hockey players with a history of concussion: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *J Neurosurg.* Apr 2014;120(4):882-90. doi:10.3171/2013.12.JNS132092
17. Pierpaoli C, Basser PJ. Toward a quantitative assessment of diffusion anisotropy. *Magn Reson Med.* Dec 1996;36(6):893-906. doi:10.1002/mrm.1910360612
18. Zhang H, Schneider T, Wheeler-Kingshott CA, Alexander DC. NODDI: practical in vivo neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging of the human brain. *Neuroimage.* Jul 16 2012;61(4):1000-16. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.072
19. Adluru G, Gur Y, Anderson JS, Richards LG, Adluru N, DiBella EV. Assessment of white matter microstructure in stroke patients using NODDI. *Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2014;2014:742-5. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2014.6943697
20. Colgan N, Siow B, O'Callaghan JM, et al. Application of neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI) to a tau pathology model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroimage.* Jan 15 2016;125:739-744. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.043
21. Caverzasi E, Papinutto N, Castellano A, et al. Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging Color Maps to Characterize Brain Diffusion in Neurologic Disorders. *J Neuroimaging.* Sep 2016;26(5):494-8. doi:10.1111/jon.12359
22. Churchill NW, Caverzasi E, Graham SJ, Hutchison MG, Schweizer TA. White matter microstructure in athletes with a history of concussion: Comparing diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging (NODDI). *Hum Brain Mapp.* Aug 2017;38(8):4201-4211. doi:10.1002/hbm.23658
23. Palacios EM, Owen JP, Yuh EL, et al. The evolution of white matter microstructural changes after mild traumatic brain injury: A longitudinal DTI and NODDI study. *Sci Adv.* Aug 2020;6(32):eaaz6892. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz6892
24. Wu Z, Mazzola CA, Catania L, et al. Altered cortical activation and connectivity patterns for visual attention processing in young adults post-traumatic brain injury: A functional near infrared spectroscopy study. *CNS Neurosci Ther.* Jun 2018;24(6):539-548. doi:10.1111/cns.12811
25. Koenig S, Wu Z, Gao Y, Li X. Abnormal Cortical Activation in Visual Attention Processing in Sub-Clinical Psychopathic Traits and Traumatic Brain Injury: Evidence from an fNIRS Study. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment.* 2020/12/01 2020;42(4):627-636. doi:10.1007/s10862-020-09808-5
26. Glasser MF, Sotiropoulos SN, Wilson JA, et al. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. *Neuroimage.* Oct 15 2013;80:105-24. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.127
27. Fischl B. FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage.* 2012;62(2):774-781.
28. Robinson EC, Jbabdi S, Glasser MF, et al. MSM: a new flexible framework for Multimodal Surface Matching. *Neuroimage.* Oct 15 2014;100:414-26. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.069
29. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage.* 2004;23 Suppl 1:S208-19. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.07.051
30. Greve DN, Fischl B. Accurate and robust brain image alignment using boundary-based registration. *Neuroimage.* Oct 15 2009;48(1):63-72. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.060
31. Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage.* Jul 1 2006;31(3):968-80. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021
32. Mayer AR, Hanlon FM, Ling JM. Gray matter abnormalities in pediatric mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma.* May 15 2015;32(10):723-30. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3534

33. Turken AU, Herron TJ, Kang X, et al. Multimodal surface-based morphometry reveals diffuse cortical atrophy in traumatic brain injury. *BMC Med Imaging*. Dec 31 2009;9:20. doi:10.1186/1471-2342-9-20
34. Wilde EA, Newsome MR, Bigler ED, et al. Brain imaging correlates of verbal working memory in children following traumatic brain injury. *Int J Psychophysiol*. Oct 2011;82(1):86-96. doi:10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.04.006
35. McAllister TW, Sparling MB, Flashman LA, Guerin SJ, Mamourian AC, Saykin AJ. Differential working memory load effects after mild traumatic brain injury. *Neuroimage*. Nov 2001;14(5):1004-12. doi:10.1006/nimg.2001.0899
36. Keightley ML, Saluja RS, Chen JK, et al. A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of working memory in youth after sports-related concussion: is it still working? *J Neurotrauma*. Mar 1 2014;31(5):437-51. doi:10.1089/neu.2013.3052
37. Govindarajan KA, Narayana PA, Hasan KM, et al. Cortical Thickness in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Neurotrauma*. Oct 15 2016;33(20):1809-1817. doi:10.1089/neu.2015.4253
38. Urban KJ, Riggs L, Wells GD, et al. Cortical Thickness Changes and Their Relationship to Dual-Task Performance following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in Youth. *J Neurotrauma*. Feb 15 2017;34(4):816-823. doi:10.1089/neu.2016.4502
39. Dettwiler A, Murugavel M, Putukian M, Cubon V, Furtado J, Osherson D. Persistent differences in patterns of brain activation after sports-related concussion: a longitudinal functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurotrauma*. Jan 15 2014;31(2):180-8. doi:10.1089/neu.2013.2983
40. Thiebaut de Schotten M, Dell'Acqua F, Forkel SJ, et al. A lateralized brain network for visuospatial attention. *Nat Neurosci*. Sep 18 2011;14(10):1245-6. doi:10.1038/nn.2905
41. Chechlacz M, Gillebert CR, Vangkilde SA, Petersen A, Humphreys GW. Structural Variability within Frontoparietal Networks and Individual Differences in Attentional Functions: An Approach Using the Theory of Visual Attention. *J Neurosci*. Jul 29 2015;35(30):10647-58. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0210-15.2015
42. Fan J, McCandliss BD, Sommer T, Raz A, Posner MI. Testing the efficiency and independence of attentional networks. *J Cogn Neurosci*. Apr 1 2002;14(3):340-7. doi:10.1162/089892902317361886
43. Halterman CI, Langan J, Drew A, et al. Tracking the recovery of visuospatial attention deficits in mild traumatic brain injury. *Brain*. Mar 2006;129(Pt 3):747-53. doi:10.1093/brain/awh705
44. Bendlin BB, Ries ML, Lazar M, et al. Longitudinal changes in patients with traumatic brain injury assessed with diffusion-tensor and volumetric imaging. *Neuroimage*. Aug 15 2008;42(2):503-14. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.04.254
45. Cubon VA, Putukian M, Boyer C, Dettwiler A. A diffusion tensor imaging study on the white matter skeleton in individuals with sports-related concussion. *J Neurotrauma*. Feb 2011;28(2):189-201. doi:10.1089/neu.2010.1430
46. Murugavel M, Cubon V, Putukian M, et al. A longitudinal diffusion tensor imaging study assessing white matter fiber tracts after sports-related concussion. *J Neurotrauma*. Nov 15 2014;31(22):1860-71. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3368
47. Ling JM, Pena A, Yeo RA, et al. Biomarkers of increased diffusion anisotropy in semi-acute mild traumatic brain injury: a longitudinal perspective. *Brain*. Apr 2012;135(Pt 4):1281-92. doi:10.1093/brain/awh073
48. Wilde EA, McCauley SR, Hunter JV, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging of acute mild traumatic brain injury in adolescents. *Neurology*. Mar 18 2008;70(12):948-55. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000305961.68029.54
49. Wu YC, Mustafi SM, Harezlak J, Kodiweera C, Flashman LA, McAllister TW. Hybrid Diffusion Imaging in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Neurotrauma*. Oct 15 2018;35(20):2377-2390. doi:10.1089/neu.2017.5566

50. Li CS, Huang C, Constable RT, Sinha R. Imaging response inhibition in a stop-signal task: neural correlates independent of signal monitoring and post-response processing. *J Neurosci.* Jan 4 2006;26(1):186-92. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3741-05.2006
51. Swann N, Tandon N, Canolty R, et al. Intracranial EEG reveals a time- and frequency-specific role for the right inferior frontal gyrus and primary motor cortex in stopping initiated responses. *J Neurosci.* Oct 7 2009;29(40):12675-85. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3359-09.2009
52. Picazio S, Veniero D, Ponzo V, et al. Prefrontal control over motor cortex cycles at beta frequency during movement inhibition. *Curr Biol.* Dec 15 2014;24(24):2940-5. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.043
53. Narayanan NS, Laubach M. Top-down control of motor cortex ensembles by dorsomedial prefrontal cortex. *Neuron.* Dec 7 2006;52(5):921-31. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.10.021
54. Cristofori I, Zhong W, Chau A, Solomon J, Krueger F, Grafman J. White and gray matter contributions to executive function recovery after traumatic brain injury. *Neurology.* Apr 7 2015;84(14):1394-401. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000001446
55. Karlsgodt KH, Bato AA, Blair MA, DeRosse P, Szeszko PR, Malhotra AK. White matter microstructure in the executive network associated with aggression in healthy adolescents and young adults. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.* Sep 2015;10(9):1251-6. doi:10.1093/scan/nsv015
56. Mabbott DJ, Noseworthy M, Bouffet E, Laughlin S, Rockel C. White matter growth as a mechanism of cognitive development in children. *Neuroimage.* Nov 15 2006;33(3):936-46. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.07.024
57. Urger SE, De Bellis MD, Hooper SR, Woolley DP, Chen SD, Provenzale J. The superior longitudinal fasciculus in typically developing children and adolescents: diffusion tensor imaging and neuropsychological correlates. *J Child Neurol.* Jan 2015;30(1):9-20. doi:10.1177/0883073813520503
58. Li P, Tsapanou A, Qolamreza RR, Gazes Y. White matter integrity mediates decline in age-related inhibitory control. *Behav Brain Res.* Feb 26 2018;339:249-254. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2017.11.005
59. Broshek DK, Kaushik T, Freeman JR, Erlanger D, Webbe F, Barth JT. Sex differences in outcome following sports-related concussion. *J Neurosurg.* May 2005;102(5):856-63. doi:10.3171/jns.2005.102.5.0856