Effects of climate warming on the pine processionary moth at the southern edge of its range: a retrospective analysis on egg survival in Tunisia

Asma BOUROUGAAOUI^{1,2,3}, Christelle ROBINET³, Mohamed L. BEN JAMAA¹, Mathieu LAPARIE³

¹ Université de Carthage, Institut National de Recherches en Génie Rural, Eaux et Forêts-Laboratoire de Gestion et de Valorisation des Ressources Forestières, Ariana, Tunisia

² Université de Carthage, Institut National Agronomique de Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia

³ INRAE, URZF, 45075, Orléans, France

* Corresponding author: **Mathieu Laparie**, mathieu.laparie@inrae.fr

Journal: PCI Ecology

Type of paper: Research Article

Number of figures: 6

Number of Tables: 3

Supplementary Material: 6

Running title: Effects of climate warming on eggs of the pine processionary moth in Tunisia

26 **Abstract**

27 In recent years, ectotherm species have largely been impacted by extreme climate events, essentially
28 heatwaves. In Tunisia, the pine processionary moth (PPM), *Thaumetopoea pityocampa*, is a highly
29 damaging pine defoliator, which typically lays eggs in summer. Its geographical range is expanding
30 northwards in Europe while retracting from South Tunisia where summer temperatures can reach
31 extremely high values. In this study, we aimed at exploring the effects of climate change on this
32 species at its southern range edge. We investigated variations of fecundity and causes of egg
33 mortality over time using historical and contemporary collections of egg masses from different
34 Tunisian sites to seek relationships with regional climate change over three decades (1990-2019).
35 Our results suggest negative effects of summer heat on egg survival, reflected in a decrease of
36 hatching rate down to 0% in one site during a heatwave. Such a high hatching failure was found to
37 result from both high egg sterility (our results did not allow distinguishing impeded mating success
38 from failed egg maturation or early death of the embryo) and increased abortion of more developed
39 embryos, but little effects of parasitism rate, thereby suggesting vulnerability to heat during
40 embryonic development. We also observed decreasing female fecundity (*i.e.*, number of eggs laid per
41 female) in regions where data were available both in the 1990s and the 2010s, which was associated
42 with a decrease in parasitism rate, while the climatic variability increased. This study investigated
43 direct hatching failure in nature that may be related to the magnitude of warming in summer.
44 Previous studies have confirmed the thermal sensitivity of early instars of the PPM to temperatures
45 observed in the present work, including one population from South Tunisia. However, further work is
46 required to evaluate the relative importance of warming summers among populations because the
47 risk of heat stress depends on the phenology of sensitive instars, and populations from the warmest
48 areas may not necessarily be the most vulnerable to climate change if they already evolved
49 phenological heat avoidance. In addition to heat-induced mortality, the ultimate fitness of individuals
50 that survive challenging heat stresses during early developmental stages should also be explored to
51 determine potential carry-over effects on subsequent life stages.

52

53 **Keywords**

54 Egg mass, heatwave, pine processionary moth, pinus, *Thaumetopoea pityocampa*, Tunisia

55 Introduction

56 During the period 1901-2010, land temperature has risen by 1.12 and 0.84°C in the Northern and
57 Southern hemispheres, respectively (Jones et al., 2012). This average climate warming already
58 impacted phenology and distribution in many plant and ectotherm species (Parmesan & Yohe, 2003).
59 Climate change is also expected to increase temperature variability and, in turn, increase the
60 magnitude and frequency of stochastic extreme thermal events, which is already increasingly
61 observed over most parts of the world (Allen et al., 2012; Coumou & Rahmstorf, 2012; Fischer &
62 Schär, 2010), particularly northern Africa (Fontaine et al., 2013; Nangombe et al., 2019; Zittis et al.,
63 2021). In Tunisia, the mean average temperature has risen by about 1.4°C since 1901, with a
64 remarkable increase in the last 30 years with an average warming of 0.4°C per decade, and most
65 substantial warming has been observed during summer in southern regions where average maximum
66 temperatures can often exceed 40°C (Verner et al., 2013). Together with average warming,
67 increasing thermal fluctuations and extreme events may impact organismal fitness (Kingsolver et al.,
68 2013; Thompson et al., 2013), and their combination is expected to dramatically impact survival,
69 distribution and life cycles of many organisms.

70 The pine processionary moth (hereafter referred to as PPM), *Thaumetopoea pityocampa*
71 (Lepidoptera, Notodontidae), is a highly damaging pest of pine forests across the circum-
72 Mediterranean region (Carus, 2009; Démolin, 1969; Jacquet et al., 2013; Sbay & Zas, 2018). The
73 geographic range of the PPM extends from northern Africa to southern Europe, from the Atlantic
74 coast to the western part of Turkey (EPPO, 2004; Roques, 2015). The PPM is a well-documented
75 Lepidopteran insect that has been acknowledged by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
76 (IPCC) as one of the few species for which the causal relationship between climate warming and
77 range expansion has been thoroughly proven (Battisti et al., 2005; Rosenzweig et al., 2007). The
78 distribution range remained relatively steady until the late 1990s but then expanded towards higher
79 latitudes and elevations in southern Europe. Indeed, warming winter temperatures have facilitated
80 feeding in this winter-developing species and thus indirectly contributed to improving survival rate
81 and growth rate in newly colonized areas (Battisti et al., 2005; Robinet et al., 2007).

82 Contrary to beneficial effects of climate change demonstrated near the northern distribution edge
83 of the PPM, adverse effects of climate change have been observed on the southern range edge
84 (North Africa). Range retraction has been described in southern Tunisia and was found to result from
85 increasing mortality rates of early life stages in a translocation experiment along a natural thermal
86 gradient, which could be ascribed to local effects of climate warming (Bourougaaoui et al., 2021).
87 Fecundity, hatching rate and predation at the egg stage (mostly from parasitoids) presumably play an

important role in the PPM because this species is gregarious. Several studies have emphasized how larval performance depends on the realised group size, i.e. the number of neonates, and ultimately the survival of the whole colony until the end of larval growth (Clark & Faeth, 1997; Denno & Benrey, 1997; Ronnås et al., 2010). Colony density has been suggested to influence feeding activity and feeding efficiency of individual larvae, which is particularly critical in early stages when individuals have little desiccation and starvation resistances. The number of larvae was also shown to impact silk weaving activity to build and maintain the nests that shelter larvae during the day until their pupation in spring (Démolin, 1965; Martin, 2005). Authors found that the number of surviving tents and the average proportion of living larvae per tent were positively correlated to colony size (Pérez-Contreras et al., 2003; Roques et al., 2015). Focusing on the main drivers of colony size in early development is therefore of key importance to understand distribution changes and responses to climate change.

In Tunisia, the life cycle of PPM is generally univoltine, however it can extend over two years at high altitudes due to prolonged diapause in a fraction of the pupae (Roques, 2015). Flight periods are poorly documented, nonetheless a study conducted by Démolin and Rive in 1968 in high and medium latitudes, revealed that most individuals fly in the second half of July at high elevations and August to September at mid elevations (Ben Jamâa & Jerraya, 1999; Démolin & Rive, 1968). Due to the short lifespan of adults, egg laying occurs immediately after adult flights, and eggs and neonate larvae are presumably the instars that are most likely exposed to acute heat during the whole life cycle. Understanding the effects of warming on female fecundity, egg survival and egg parasitoids is crucial to explore the overall effects of climate change of this species at its southern range edge where warming is known to be of great magnitude.

In this study, we explored how climate warming over the last three decades may have impacted egg survival and hatching rate in Tunisia. To address this question, we combined historical and contemporary collections of egg masses originating from different Tunisian localities in the 1990s (1992, 1993, and 1995) and in the 2010s (2010, 2014, 2017, 2018, and 2019). Egg phenotypes and survival rate were investigated with regard to regional climatic features and contrasts analyzed from 30-year climatic data series across Tunisia.

Materials and Methods

1 Historical data (1992-2014) and egg sampling done for this study (2017-2019)

A total of 755 egg masses from historical datasets and recent collections were analyzed in this study. Egg masses originated from 22 sites distributed across the PPM distribution in Tunisia (Table 1;

Figure 1; Table SM1). Historical datasets on egg masses collected in 1992, 1993, 1995, 2010 and 2014 on Aleppo pine stands, *Pinus halepensis* Miller, were retrieved from institutional reports (unpublished data, INRGREF). These datasets report the length of egg masses, the number of eggs per egg mass, and the phenotype of individual eggs (parasitized, aborted, sterile, hatched). In addition, we collected egg masses in various locations in 2017, 2018, and 2019. All these egg masses were also collected on Aleppo pine stands, and kept at ambient temperature $25 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$) at the INRGREF laboratory near Tunis where we followed a protocol similar to that used for historical collections of egg masses. Egg masses were kept individually in test tubes capped with cotton to allow ventilation. Egg hatching was checked daily. After a period of at least 40 days with no additional hatching, the protective scales that cover PPM egg masses were removed to observe individual eggs under a binocular magnifier and collect data similar to that available in historical datasets: length of egg masses, number of eggs per egg mass (fecundity), and egg phenotype. First, hatched eggs were distinguished from unhatched eggs based on the presence of the characteristic large jagged exit hole from which the neonate left the egg, and an empty transparent shell. Then, unhatched eggs were dissected to assess the cause of mortality (parasitized, *i.e.*, eggs with a small parasitoid exit hole and/or containing a dead parasitoid and/or containing parasitoid meconium; aborted, *i.e.*, dead embryo or dead PPM larva; and sterile, *i.e.*, undeveloped egg with dried-up yolk) (Imbert, 2012). Parasitism rate was calculated taking into account both emerged parasitoids found in the test tubes and dead ones found inside unhatched eggs.

Table 1 . Collection of PPM egg masses in Tunisia (see Table SM1 for coordinates of the sites). Calculated clusters are indicated to represent the amount of data available per cluster.

Site	Year of collection	Number of egg masses	Cluster	Nearest meteorological station (within 100 km and 350 m in elevation); distance
Sejnane	1995	20	1	Béja; 51.0 km
Testour	2014	12	1	Béja; 26.3 km
Ain Jamala	2010	15	1	Béja; 26.5 km
El Krib	2010	18	1	Kef; 38.7 km
Henchir Naam	1992	53	1	Kef; 41.5 km
Jebel Kbouch	1993	56	1	Kef; 21.3 km
El Ayoun	1993	30	1	Kasserine; 73.2 km
Chaambi	1995	27	1	Kasserine; 30.3 km
	2014	11		
Thélepte	2017	43	1	Kasserine; 1.9 km
	2019	30		
Jebel Sidi Aich	2014	31	1	Kasserine; 32.7 km
Kesra	2010	18	1	Siliana; 20.8 km
Mghila	2014	51	1	Kasserine ; 71.6 km

Bir Lahfay	2014	12	2	Sidi Bouzid; 32.5 km
Jebel Motlag	2017	38	2	Sidi Bouzid; 20.6 km
	2018	29		
Jebel Rihane	2017	25	2	Sidi Bouzid; 25.7 km
El Fej	2017	18	2	Gafsa; 36.6 km
El Menzeh	1992	19	3	Carthage; 3.2 km
	1993	57		
	2014	10		
Cité Ettahrir	2014	21	3	Carthage; 7.9 km
Manouba	2010	15	3	Carthage; 10.5 km
Korbous	1992	30	3	Carthage; 32.5 km
	2010	15		
Dar Chichou	1995	20	4	Kelibia; 17.1 km
Oued Laabid	1995	31	4	Kelibia; 33.3 km

142

143

144

145 **Figure 1.** Location of egg sampling sites and meteorological stations, with associated calculated
146 climate cluster.

147

148 2 Climate data

149

150

151

We used series of daily temperatures recorded (by the Institut National de Météorologie, INM, Tunis, Tunisia) in eight meteorological stations distributed within the PPM range in Tunisia (Fig. 1; Table SM2). To fill missing data in INM time series, satellite measurement of daily temperatures were

also retrieved from the NASA Prediction of Worldwide Energy Resources website (<https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-access-viewer/>) on the grid cells of 8 km × 8 km matching the location of INM weather stations (Table SM2). The similarity of both sources of data was evaluated using Pearson correlations tests for daily maximal and daily minimal temperatures in Tunis, where the data series from INM since 1990 was the most comprehensive. Daily maximal and minimal temperatures from both data sources were found to be strongly correlated (Pearson tests, $r = 0.95$, $p < 0.001$ and $r = 0.94$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). The two types of datasets were therefore combined in case of missing data in other INM series to reconstruct uninterrupted series for the period 1990-2019 (Table SM2).

Each site of egg sampling was assigned to the nearest meteorological station (< 100 km in all cases) among those situated at an elevation within 350 meters of the egg site, an arbitrary threshold we chose to mitigate potential climatic differences along elevation gradients (Table 1, Figure SM3).

To better understand climatic features in each of the eight meteorological series (Table SM2), (i) the normal daily temperatures with seasonal contrasts over the period, as well as (ii) the overall trend since 1990, were calculated. For (i), we averaged 30 years of daily maxima (TX) and minima (TN) by day of the year, and calculated the likelihood for each day of temperatures below 0 or above 32 and 40°C, which have been suggested by Huchon & Démolin (1969) as pivotal thresholds for phenological strategies and survival in the PPM (see also discussion in Robinet et al. 2015). For (ii), daily TX and TN were averaged per year and represented along the 30 years of data, together with the total number of days below 0 or above 32 and 40°C. Those per-station climate summaries are provided in SM4.

3 Statistical analyses

Climate clusters. The unbalanced egg sampling design throughout historical data and recent collections prevents allochronic comparisons of egg phenotypes within individual sampling sites. Therefore, we investigated climatic similarities and dissimilarities among meteorological series in order to identify regional climate clusters within which multiple meteorological series and associated egg sampling sites could be statistically grouped together. Climate-based grouping appeared more relevant and less arbitrary than using administrative regions because of the heterogeneous landscape and overall size of some regions. To do so, the monthly averages of TN and TX were calculated in each meteorological series over the period 1990-2019, resulting in a set of 24 variables (2 × 12 months) and 30 values per series (30 years). A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used on the covariance matrix of those variables to project the 30 years of data from each of the eight meteorological locations and better visualize their intra- and inter-group variance on reduced

dimensionality. The resulting multivariate object then fed a K-medoid clustering analysis using the PAM method (Partitioning Around Medoids, see Reynolds et al. 2006, Schubert and Rousseeuw 2019) to identify relevant climate clusters (listed in Table1). The PCA could be performed on unscaled temperature variables since they were all measured in the same unit (covariance PCA), thereby giving most weight to summer months due to generally higher temperature values, without neglecting other months in the overall variance structure. As a consequence, the climate clusters identified using all four seasons are mostly influenced by the season eggs are exposed to (roughly June to September). Details of cluster assignation to individual points in each meteorological series are detailed in SM5. Monthly means of TN and TX of the medoid of each cluster, *i.e.*, the individual point that best represents its cluster due to low average dissimilarity to all other points, are represented in SM6.

Interannual fluctuation of maximal summer temperature within clusters. To explore regional warming trends to which eggs are subjected within clusters over 1990-2019, the monthly means of daily maximal temperatures from meteorological series within each cluster were calculated from June to September. A linear model was then built for each cluster and each month to plot regressions over time and determine the slope for each cluster. The adequacy of residuals to Normality was checked using QQ plots. Finally, the four months were averaged together per cluster and period to obtain the mean maximal summer temperature in each cluster and period. Then, a two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey *post-hoc* procedure was performed to test the effects of cluster and period on the maximal summer temperature.

Egg phenotype comparisons. Egg phenotype variables did not meet assumptions of homoscedasticity and normality for parametric tests, therefore we used the non-parametric test (RANCOVA) proposed by Quade (1967) to compare eggs sampled within each of the four clusters in the 1990s or in the 2010s. First, the response variables (Fecundity, Hatching, Sterility, Abortion and Parasitism rates) and the covariate (monthly means of TX averaged from June to September per year per cluster) were ranked separately. Second, residuals from the respective linear regression of each ranked response variable on the ranked covariate were calculated. Third, the effects of grouping factors period (1990s vs 2010s) and Cluster (1, 2, 3, 4) on residuals were investigated for each response variable using the Quade's RANCOVA. When a significant interaction was found, we reported the pairwise comparisons in dependent variable between clusters at each period level, as well as between period levels for each cluster using pairwise t-tests with Bonferroni corrections for multiple testing. When no significant interaction was found between period and cluster, a Tukey *post hoc* procedure was used to investigate pairwise differences between clusters.

Results

1 Climate clusters

The first plane (PC1 × PC2) of the PCA performed on climatic data from all eight meteorological series based on monthly averages of TN and TX each year ($n = 8 \times 30 = 240$ data points) accounted for 71.28% of the total inertia (Fig. 2). PAM clustering on the PCA scores indicated four relevant groups with little overlapping (Fig. 2). Cluster 1 grouped Kef, Kasserine, Siliana and Béja together, cluster 2 grouped Sidi Bouzid and Gafsa together, while cluster 3 and cluster 4 corresponded to single meteorological series, Carthage and Kélibia, respectively. Depending on the meteorological series, between 76.67 and 100% of data points (years) were correctly assigned to their cluster (SM5).

Figure 2. PCA scores for each year of data from the eight meteorological series (INM and NASA series, see table SM2) and 24 TN monthly average and TX monthly average variables. Each of the eight series are identified with different symbol and colour combinations. PAM clustering results are overlaid on the PCA scores with 95% confidence ellipses and different symbols for different clusters. Open points correspond to the centroids of each meteorological series, while large thin crosses mark the medoid point of each cluster.

Per-cluster climate reconstructions averaged from monthly means of TX over years in each cluster (Fig. 3) indicated comparatively cold winters and hot summers with a high interseasonal variability in cluster 1, a shift to warmer winters and summers in cluster 2 with similar interseasonal variability, no extreme winters or summers and lower interseasonal variability in cluster 3, and the lowest interseasonal variability with comparatively mild summers in cluster 4. Within-month variability also appeared to be the highest over the last 30 years in clusters 1 and 2. The probability to overreach 40°C in summer was found to be the highest in cluster 2, while cluster 4 showed the lowest probability of overreaching 32°C, with clusters 1 and 3 sitting in between those extremes. TN

monthly average within each medoid appeared to roughly reflect TX monthly average across each months of the year (SM6, SM9), indicating that similar trends can be inferred for per-cluster TN monthly average.

Figure 3. Ridge lines showing the distribution (kernel density) of monthly means of TX over 1990-2019 for each cluster. The area of each distribution equals 1 and white vertical lines mark 0.1 and 0.9 quantiles.

Averaging TX monthly means (from June to September each year) within clusters and periods (Fig. 4) confirmed significant differences among clusters (ANOVA, Fdf = 275.2453, $p < 0.001$; significant differences in all pairs of clusters but 1 and 3 (Tukey post hoc, $p < 0.0001$)). Period did not influence this summary summer variable significantly despite an increasing trend in clusters 2, 3 and 4 (ANOVA, Fdf = 2.5111, Fig. 4), and the interaction term between cluster and period was not significant (ANOVA, Fdf = 2.5111, $p = 0.116$).

Figure 4. Maximal temperatures averaged from June to September each year, within each cluster and period. Year points in each group are slightly jittered along the x-axis to ease visualization. Cluster was the only significant factor, but period sub-groups are represented to reflect the two-way ANOVA we performed.

Fluctuations of monthly averaged TX during summer over the last three decades (Fig. 5) in each cluster indicated that September has not warmed significantly over time (no apparent slopes, non-significant linear regressions). Contrariwise, July got significantly warmer over time, particularly in cluster 2 and 3 (positive slopes, significant linear regressions). Cluster 2 appeared as the cluster with the most striking summer warming, with positive increases of TX monthly average spanning not only July, but also June (non-significant trend ($p < 0.1$), similar to cluster 3) and August (significant increase). Contrariwise, summers in clusters 1 and 4 appeared as the least subject to warming since 1990.

Figure 5. Fluctuations over time of monthly maximal temperature within each cluster, from June to September. The upper and lower lines of each ribbon correspond to 95% confidence intervals.

2 Egg comparisons

Fecundity and hatching rate. The length of egg masses was significantly and highly positively correlated to fecundity (Spearman correlation test, $r_s = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$), therefore we focused further analyses on fecundity only. Fecundity across clusters and years ranged from 121 ± 8.2 SE to 174.6 ± 5.9 SE (Figure 6). Fecundity did not differ significantly between clusters (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 2.36_3$, $p = 0.071$) and between periods ($F_{df} = 3.52_1$, $p = 0.61$). However, there was a crossover interaction (*i.e.*, the effect of periods on the fecundity is opposite, depending on the value in each cluster) (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 6.41_1$, $p = 0.012$) suggested that significant differences among clusters can be found in at least one of the two periods, and evolved differentially over time. Specifically, no differences were found among clusters in the 1990s, whereas fecundity was significantly higher in cluster 1 than cluster 3 in the 2010s (pairwise t-test, $t_{df} = 3.2_2$, $p = 0.005$ adjusted by Bonferroni correction). Cluster 3 was the only cluster where fecundity significantly changed over time ($t_{df} = -3.1_1$, $p = 0.002$), with a decrease of 16 %.

Figure 6. Fecundity (raw count of eggs), clutch length, hatching rate, sterility rate, abortion rate and parasitism rate in each egg sampling site and sampling year. Error bars: SEM.

Hatching rate significantly differed between clusters (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 3.8_3$, $p=0.01$) and between periods ($F_{df} = 24.9_1$, $p < 0.001$). As found for fecundity, differences in hatching rate among clusters varied between the 1990s and the 2010s (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 21.7_1$, $p < 0.001$). Hatching rate was significantly lower in cluster 3 than cluster 1 (pairwise t-test, $t_{df} = -4.7_2$, $p < 0.001$ adjusted by Bonferroni correction) and cluster 4 ($t_{df} = -3.6_2$, $p = 0.001$) in the 1990s, and higher in cluster 2 than cluster 1 in the 2010s ($t_{df} = 2.4_2$, $p = 0.05$) (Table 2). The mean difference between periods showed that hatching rate in cluster 1 was significantly higher in the past ($t_{df} = 6.7_1$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 2). Particularly, in 2017, hatching rate dropped to zero in cluster 1, and largely decreased in cluster 2 compared to other years (Figure 6).

Table 2. Descriptive statistics: observed mean (M), Quade's adjusted mean (Madj) and associated standard error (SE) for the different response variables.

Variable	Period	Cluster	M (SE)	Madj (SE)
Fecundity	1990s	1	156.6 (3)	19.9 (1.1)
		2	NA	NA
		3	159.7 (4.3)	24.6 (2.1)
		4	145.4 (6.3)	-55.3 (4.3)
	2010s	1	153 (3)	14 (1)
		2	142.1 (4.5)	-12.8 (1.8)
		3	134.5 (5.6)	-84.2 (3.5)
		4	NA	NA
Hatching rate	1990s	1	73.5 (1.7)	76.8 (1)

Sterility rate	2010s	2	NA	NA
		3	63.3 (2.5)	-42.5 (1.7)
		4	79.3 (3.1)	82.2 (3.7)
		1	46.8 (2.5)	-59.8 (1)
		2	48.9 (3.4)	-4.9 (1.8)
		3	63.2 (3.6)	2.8 (3.3)
		4	NA	NA
		1	6.8 (0.6)	-30.8 (1)
	1990s	2	NA	NA
		3	6.6 (0.7)	-22.5 (1.7)
		4	5.8 (0.7)	-21.8 (3.2)
		1	27.1 (2.5)	46.7 (1.1)
		2	17.3 (2.6)	21.8 (1.9)
		3	6.6 (1.7)	-67.6 (3.2)
		4	NA	NA
		1	2.4 (0.2)	-96.2 (0.8)
Abortion rate	2010s	2	NA	NA
		3	9.3 (1.9)	22.2 (1.8)
		4	8.1 (2.8)	-2.6 (4.1)
		1	17.2 (1.8)	18.1 (1)
		2	24.4 (2.9)	36.2 (1.8)
		3	17.4 (2.9)	116.6 (3.3)
		4	NA	NA
		1	17.2 (1.3)	60.2 (1)
	1990s	2	NA	NA
		3	20.8 (1.7)	89.5 (1.8)
		4	6.8 (1.5)	-173.4 (4.2)
		1	8.9 (0.7)	-55.3 (1)
		2	9.4 (1.1)	-1 (1.6)
		3	12.8 (1.8)	15.4 (3.3)
		4	NA	NA
Parasitism rate	2010s	2	NA	NA
		3	20.8 (1.7)	89.5 (1.8)
		4	6.8 (1.5)	-173.4 (4.2)
		1	8.9 (0.7)	-55.3 (1)
		2	9.4 (1.1)	-1 (1.6)
		3	12.8 (1.8)	15.4 (3.3)
		4	NA	NA
	1990s	2	NA	NA
		3	20.8 (1.7)	89.5 (1.8)
		4	6.8 (1.5)	-173.4 (4.2)
		1	8.9 (0.7)	-55.3 (1)
		2	9.4 (1.1)	-1 (1.6)
		3	12.8 (1.8)	15.4 (3.3)
		4	NA	NA

Egg mortality factors. Abortion and parasitism rates were the lowest (0%) in cluster 1 in 2017, while sterility was the highest, reaching 100% that year (Figure 6). The latter varied significantly between clusters (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 2.8_3$, $p = 0.037$) and periods ($F_{df} = 5.9_1$, $p = 0.016$). Sterility differences among clusters varied between the 1990s and 2010s (Quade's RANCOVA, $F_{df} = 9.1_1$, $p = 0.003$). No differences were found between clusters in the 1990s, however, sterility was significantly lower in cluster 3 than cluster 1 (Pairwise t-test, $t_{df} = -3.7_2$, $p = 0.001$ adjusted by Bonferroni correction) and cluster 2 ($t_{df} = -2.6_2$, $p = 0.025$) in the 2010s. Cluster 1 was the only cluster where sterility significantly increased over time ($t_{df} = 3.6_1$, $p < 0.001$) (Table 2).

Abortion and parasitism rates significantly differed between clusters (abortion rate: $F_{df} = 9.2_3$, $p < 0.001$; parasitism rate: $F_{df} = 17.6_3$, $p < 0.001$) and periods (abortion rate: $F_{df} = 40.2_1$, $p < 0.001$; parasitism rate: $F_{df} = 36.8_1$, $p < 0.001$). However, unlike the other variables, no significant interactions were found between the main effects (abortion rate: $F_{df} = 0.3_1$, $p = 0.607$; parasitism rate: $F_{df} = 1.2_1$, $p = 0.283$). Pairwise differences for these variables between clusters are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Results of Turkey's post hoc tests at a threshold of 0.05 for abortion and parasitism rates.

Variable	Cluster	Observed mean (M)	Quade's adjusted mean (Madj)	Statistical significance for alpha = 0.05
Abortion	1	10.6	-33.1	a
	2	24.4	36.2	bc
	3	12.3	56.7	bd
	4	8.1	-2.6	ab
Parasitism	1	13	-3.5	b
	2	9	-1	bc
	3	18	62.5	d
	4	7	-173.4	a

Discussion

Climate change has been recognized to be one of the major phenomena that may affect forest insect populations (Ramsfield et al., 2016). While many studies report the occurrence of more frequent and larger insect outbreaks (Raffa et al., 2008; Robinet & Roques, 2010), diminishments in outbreak severity have also been observed (Pureswaran et al., 2018; Rozenberg et al., 2020). The ongoing global warming may exert mixed effects on population dynamics (Dreyer & Baumgärtner, 1996; Huang et al., 2008), and ultimately have an impact on species distributions, as has been observed with the PPM northern range expansion in Europe (Battisti et al. 2005) and the southern range retraction in Tunisia (Bourougaaoui et al. 2021). A report by the German Technical

Cooperation Agency (GTZ et al. (2007)) has predicted more intense and longer heatwaves in Tunisia with temperatures tending to rise even further in the coming century. To better understand potential adverse effects of climate change at the southern edge of the PPM range, the present study sought to explore variations in egg survival and hatching and their potential relationship with climate variations, based on a set of historical and recent field samplings across Tunisia.

Fluctuations of monthly averaged TX during summer months over the period 1990-2019 showed that July and August are the warmest months overall (Fig 3, 5). The fastest warming was observed in June and July with an increasing trend (although not always significant) in clusters 2, 3 and 4 for June, and in all the clusters for July. In August, positive increase trends were noted in clusters 2 and 3, but the trend was significant in cluster 2 only (Fig. 5).

Hatching rate tended to decrease between the 1990s and the 2010s in cluster 1 (for which the number of egg samples were the highest). Particularly, in 2017, a sharp decrease to 0% in hatching rate was observed (and reflected in an equally steep increase in sterility rate), with no PPM larva or parasitoids (Fig. 6). The decrease in hatching rate observed during other years of the 2010s period was less critical and caused by a mixture of sterile eggs, parasitized eggs, and most importantly, a clear increase in the abortion rate (i.e., fertile eggs with failed embryo development), the latter being possibly related to warmer conditions during embryonic development. The unusually high sterility rate observed in 2017 could be explained by extreme temperatures during that particular summer, suggesting that the trend is more than a simple correlation and might be causal. Maximal temperature overreached 40°C during approximately 10 consecutive days in August, which matches the usual timing of egg occurrence in sites within cluster 1. Such acute heat may have directly damaged newly laid eggs during that period before any embryo could be visible (*i.e.*, not sterile per se, but aborted very early), or alternatively, the heat stress may have impacted adult gametes and fertility (Sales et al., 2018). These results bear a close resemblance to those shown by Rocha et al. (2017), which revealed that negative effects appeared on Tunisian egg masses at 42°C after only 3 days of heatwaves, and no survivorship was noted at 44°C. Caution must be taken when interpreting the hatching rate drop in 2017, however, because only one site (Thélepte) was sampled in cluster 1 during that peculiar year, and it remains unknown whether populations in the vicinity and similarly exposed to the heatwave have also incurred a severe drop in hatching rate.

Hatching rate was slightly higher in cluster 2 than cluster 1 in the 2010s (Table 2), which can be explained by the lowest hatching rate that dropped to 0% in 2017 in cluster 1 (Figure 6). This dramatic decline contributed the most to the greater decrease in hatching observed in cluster 1 than cluster 2 over the entire 2010s. Although the probability to overreach 32°C (proposed by Démolin

(1969) as a biological threshold above which physiological processes in eggs and/or larvae may be altered and epizootic may be favoured, although it remains unclear whether this threshold was experimentally verified and compared among populations) and 40°C in summer since the 1990s was found to be the highest in cluster 2, no historical egg data from cluster 2 are available to confirm whether hatching rate was lower in cluster 2 than cluster 1 in the 1990s. During uneven years with unusually hot summers, the biological thresholds of the PPM summer instars may be overreached in an unpredictable way and in turn negatively affect egg survival, as already demonstrated in southern Tunisia (Bourougaaoui et al. 2021). According to this study, temperatures exceeded 35°C on more than half of July and August months (nearly each year during the period 1980-2019), even 40°C for few days in the southern regions. In September, temperatures above 35°C and 40°C were found to be frequent in Tataouine over 1980-2019, which suggested the contribution of these high temperatures to population declines and to the disappearance of the PPM from southernmost areas (Bourougaaoui et al., 2021). The present study provides a broader view on the spatial and temporal variations in the fecundity penalty that may result from these extremely high temperatures. Beyond striking drops in fecundity observed in 2017 in cluster 1, lower fecundity penalties may have a snowball effect on whole colony survival. In Spain, Pérez-Contreras et al. (2003) found that 32 individuals is a threshold above which larval growth reaches its maximum and mortality drops substantially. A similar result was observed in an exploratory experiment in a French population during winter, where survival was null for colonies of 25 or 50 individuals (Roques et al., 2015).

Temperature is a crucial abiotic factor that can lead to local adaptations in insects and shape their geographic range (Bush et al., 2016; Hoffmann et al., 2002; Kellermann et al., 2012; Sinclair et al., 2012). Various thermal environments may therefore be associated with differences in behaviours and even physiological tolerance (Calosi et al., 2010). Numerous studies have focused on geographical variation in thermal tolerance in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, considered as one of the most widely distributed insect species, highlighting local variation in the thermal tolerance and performance of distinct populations (see e.g., Sinclair et al., 2012). In this species, Hoffmann et al. (2002) found opposing clines in resistance to temperature extremes when comparing numerous Australian populations along a gradient from tropical to temperate latitudes, which suggests that thermal tolerance traits are under direct climatic selection.

Likewise, summer temperature has been hypothesized as being a strong selection pressure in the PPM due to the mortality observed in eggs (Rocha et al. 2017) and neonate larvae (Santos et al. 2011) after experimental heat exposure, combined with the wide range of climates under which the PPM occurs. Variations in environmental parameters may induce phenological shifts in all stages of this species either as a consequence of altered developmental time or responses to stressors (Berardi

et al., 2015; Robinet et al., 2015). In areas where summer are the warmest, early mortality caused by heat stress may favour late-emerging individuals through natural selection, especially as adult females are shortlived and lay eggs only once (Rocha et al. 2017). A striking example of phenological differentiation among PPM populations was recently discovered in Portugal, where two sympatric forms exhibit contrasted life cycles: one with the typical overwinter larval development, and one with a summer larval development (Santos et al., 2011). While little is known on the causal factors that promoted the emergence of both forms in the same area, Godefroid et al. (2016) demonstrated that the range of the summer population is restricted to central-coastal Portugal due to climatic constraints, while winter populations expands northward. This may be due to the comparatively low thermal resistance found in eggs of the summer form, which develop into mature larvae before the peak of summer, as opposed to eggs and early instar larvae of the winter-developing form which usually occur in the middle of summer and are more likely to be exposed to heats (Rocha et al. 2017). The increase in climatic variability is expected to exert effects on insect species that differ from those caused by gradual global warming (Schreven et al., 2017). Large-scale heatwaves are known to have contrasted effects on different populations of the PPM depending on local climates and phenologies (Robinet et al., 2015). In Europe, the summer heatwave in 2003 led to a collapse of PPM populations in northern areas in France (Robinet et al., 2013), while it benefited to adult dispersal and altitudinal expansion in the Italian Alps, likely due to flight thermal thresholds more easily met than usual near the elevation edge) (Battisti et al., 2006) . These findings are congruent with the available data in Tunisia where phenology in cluster 2 is delayed compared to that in cluster 1, most likely due to the climatic and altitudinal differences found between those clusters. This fact could contribute to explain the lower hatching rate found in cluster 1 than in cluster 2 in 2017 (Figure 6), since eggs and neonate larvae occur after most summer heats in cluster 2 due to later adult emergences. This suggests that populations from the warmest areas of Tunisia may not be the most vulnerable to climate change thanks to phenological adaptation favouring heat avoidance, as opposed to populations from areas where individuals are close to their physiological limits but did not evolve differentiated phenology in response to heat lethality.

Despite the impacts of the PPM on Aleppo pine forests in Tunisia, little is known about how climate change can alter the phenology of Tunisian population and whether it is spatially structured by climate heterogeneity. According to Robinet et al. (2015), predictable unfavourable conditions to which PPM populations are exposed can be alleviated by differentiated phenologies (phenological plasticity and/or adaptations), which is supported by later adult emergences in cluster 2 on average. By contrast, unpredictable adverse conditions may be mitigated by bet-hedging strategies such as prolonged diapause, as it creates heterogeneity within populations and siblings by

expanding the life cycle of only a fraction of individuals that stay sheltered in the ground at the pupal stage and evade climate stochasticity (Salman et al., 2019). Diapausing individuals may therefore contribute to reconstituting local population collapses after extreme events, but the cost is that survival decreases with the total duration of diapause due to other factors of mortality (fungi, pupal predation, etc.) (Salman et al. 2019). A significant relationship was found between the rate of prolonged diapause and both cold and warm winter temperatures, presumably because they serve as cues of the likelihood of either cold or hot lethal temperatures caused by inter-annual climate uncertainty (Salman et al. 2019). The acute heat stress exerted on PPM egg masses in Tunisia may help explain the retraction of this pest from southernmost regions, and further investigations on phenological differentiations and prolonged diapause strategies among populations incurring different levels climate harshness are now necessary to predict further distribution changes.

A growing body of literature reveals that parasitoids are often more sensitive to climate warming than other trophic levels because of their higher position in the food web (Jeffs & Lewis, 2013; Rosenblatt & Schmitz, 2016). Climate change can lead to phenological asynchrony between parasitoids and their hosts in cases where the phenology of the interacting species respond variously to the same climatic cue (Visser & Holleman, 2001), or when the interacting species use different cues to initiate emergence or development (Jeffs & Lewis, 2013; Walther, 2010). Phenological asynchrony may also appear if one of the interacting partners rapidly develops or has a seasonal diapause in response to warming (Forrest, 2016). Parasitism rates therefore tend to decrease with increasing climatic variability that impedes parasitoids from tracking host populations (Chidawanyika et al., 2019). As a result, outbreaks of phytophagous insects are expected to increase in the future (Stireman et al., 2005). At the southern edge of PPM distribution (North Africa), some studies showed that extremely high temperatures could both disrupt population regulation mechanisms and decrease the severity of outbreaks (Bouzar.Essaidi et al., 2021; Pureswaran et al., 2018). The lower PPM fecundity in this area (when compared to that at the northern edge, in southern Europe) due to lower plant quality (Bouzar.Essaidi et al., 2021; Pimentel et al., 2010) may explain why egg parasitism is also lower with climate warming. Our results showed that the mean number of eggs per egg mass (155 ± 2.1 in cluster 1, 142 ± 4.5 in cluster 2, 150 ± 3.5 in cluster 3, 145 ± 6.3 in cluster 4; 151 ± 1.7 when merging all clusters) was considerably lower than that found in Bulgaria (226 ± 43.2) and France (194.3 ± 50.1)(Georgiev et al., 2020). Similarly, the average rate of parasitism in the four clusters was low ($13 \pm 0.7\%$ in cluster 1, $9 \pm 1.1\%$ in cluster 2, $18 \pm 1.3\%$ in cluster 3, $7 \pm 1.5\%$ in cluster 4; $13 \pm 0.5\%$ when merging all clusters) compared to northern parts of PPM distribution (24.4% in France and 29% in Bulgaria) (Georgiev et al., 2020), and is therefore unlikely to be the main driver of PPM collapses at the southern edge of the distribution. Our findings confirm Bouzar et al.

468 (2021)'s results showing that fecundity and parasitism were lower in Algeria than in southern Europe.
469 Significant local warming in Tunisia appears as a prime candidate factor contributing to the sharp
470 decrease of PPM populations (Bourougaaoui et al., 2021).

471 Heat tolerance has received close attention in insects, however its fluctuation throughout
472 ontogeny and effects persisting from one developmental stage to another are still poorly
473 documented. Besides the PPM, few case studies showed that the effects of acute heat stress
474 received early in life cycle may be carried over to later instars. This was demonstrated in
475 holometabolous insects such as the tropical butterfly, *Bicyclus anynana* (Klockmann et al., 2017).
476 Beside consequences of heat on immediate mortality investigated in experimental work (e.g., Rocha
477 et al 2017) or inferred in the present study by putting together long time series, the ultimate fitness
478 of individuals that survive challenging heats at the egg stage or first larval instar would therefore be
479 of great interest to understand the impacts of climate warming at the southern edge of the PPM.
480 This insect remains one of the ideal models to study these questions owing to the availability of
481 historical data and its already demonstrated spatial and phenotypic causal response to climate
482 change (Battisti et al., 2005; Robinet et al., 2007).

483

484 **Acknowledgements**

485 We are grateful to Adel Ben Abada (INRGREF, Tunis, Tunisia) for his valuable help in the field. We
486 acknowledge the National Institute of Meteorology (INM) in Tunis for providing temperature
487 datasets.

488

489 **Funding**

490 This work was supported by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education of Scientific Research and
491 Technology and the University of Carthage: the university provided two grants to AB for internships
492 at INRAE URZF in France during her PhD.

493

494 **Conflict of interest disclosure**

495 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

496

497 **Author contributions**

498 Conceptualization: AB, CR, MLBJ, ML; Data curation: AB; Formal analysis: AB, ML; Funding
499 acquisition: CR, MLBJ; Investigation: AB; Methodology: AB, CR, ML; Project administration: CR, MLBJ;
500 Supervision: CR, MLBJ, ML; Writing – original draft: AB, CR, ML; Writing – review and editing: AB, CR,
501 MLBJ, ML.

502

503 **Data, script and code availability**

504 Data and R scripts are publicly available at <https://doi.org/10.15454/RUEIOA>.

506 References

- 507 Allen, S., Cardona, O., Cutter, S., Dube, O. P., Ebi, K., Handmer, J., Lavell, A., Mastrandrea, M.,
508 McBean, G., Mechler, R., & Nicholls, N. (2012). Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and
509 Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation. Special Report of Working Groups I and II
510 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In.
511 <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.3117.9529>
- 512 Battisti, A., Stastny, M., Buffo, E., & Larsson, S. (2006). A rapid altitudinal range expansion in the pine
513 processionary moth produced by the 2003 climatic anomaly. *Global Change Biology*, 12(4),
514 662-671. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01124.x>
- 515 Battisti, A., Stastny, M., Netherer, S., Robinet, C., Schopf, A., Roques, A., & Larsson, S. (2005).
516 Expansion of geographic range in the pine processionary moth caused by increased winter
517 temperatures. *Ecol Appl*, 15(6), 2084-2096. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1903>
- 518 Ben Jamâa, M., & Jerraya, A. (1999). Essai de lutte contre la processionnaire du pin, *Thaumetopoea*
519 *pityocampa* Schiff.(Lep., Thaumetopoeidae), à l'aide de *Bacillus thuringiensis* Kurstaki
520 (ECOTECH-PRO). Annales de l'INRGREF,
- 521 Berardi, L., Branco, M., Paiva, M., Santos, H., & Battisti, A. (2015). Development time plasticity of the
522 pine processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*) populations under laboratory
523 conditions. *Entomologia*, 3, 19-24. <https://doi.org/10.4081/entomologia.2015.273>
- 524 Bourougaaoui, A., Jamâa, M. L. B., & Robinet, C. (2021). Has North Africa turned too warm for a
525 Mediterranean forest pest because of climate change? *Climatic Change*, 165(3-4), 46.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-021-03077-1>
- 527 Bouzar.Essaidi, K., Branco, M., Battisti, A., Garcia, A., Fernandes, M. R., Chabane, Y., Bouzemarene,
528 M., & Benfekih, L. (2021). Response of the egg parasitoids of the pine processionary moth
529 to host density and forest cover at the southern edge of the range. *Agricultural and Forest*
530 *Entomology*, 23(2), 212-221. <https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12423>
- 531 Bush, A., Mokany, K., Catullo, R., Hoffmann, A., Kellermann, V., Sgrò, C., McEvey, S., & Ferrier, S.
532 (2016). Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution modelling reduces
533 projected vulnerability to climate change. *Ecology Letters*, 19(12), 1468-1478.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12696>
- 535 Calosi, P., Bilton, D. T., Spicer, J. I., Votier, S. C., & Atfield, A. (2010). What determines a species'
536 geographical range? Thermal biology and latitudinal range size relationships in European
537 diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 79(1), 194-204.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01611.x>
- 539 Carus, S. (2009). Effects of defoliation caused by the processionary moth on growth of Crimean pines
540 in western Turkey. *Phytoparasitica*, 37(2), 105-114. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-008-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-008-0018-z)
541 [0018-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-008-0018-z)
- 542 Chidawanyika, F., Mudavanhu, P., & Nyamukondiwa, C. (2019). Global Climate Change as a Driver of
543 Bottom-Up and Top-Down Factors in Agricultural Landscapes and the Fate of Host-Parasitoid
544 Interactions [Review]. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 7(80).
545 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00080>
- 546 Clark, B. R., & Faeth, S. H. (1997). The consequences of larval aggregation in the butterfly *Chlosyne*
547 *lacinia*. *Ecological Entomology*, 22(4), 408-415.
548 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2311.1997.00091.x>
- 549 Coumou, D., & Rahmstorf, S. (2012). A decade of weather extremes. *Nature Climate Change*, 2(7),
550 491-496. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1452>
- 551 Démolin, G. (1965). Grégarisme et subsocialité chez *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* Schiff. Nids d'hiver–
552 activité de tissage. Actes du V^e Congress de L'Union Internationale pour L'étude des insectes
553 Sociaux,
- 554 Démolin, G. (1969). Comportement des adultes de *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* Schiff. Dispersion
555 spatiale, importance écologique. Annales des Sciences Forestières,

- Démolin, G., & Rive, J. (1968). La processionnaire du pin en Tunisie. *Ann. I.N.R.F. Tunisie*, 1(1), 1-19.
- Denno, R., & Benrey, B. (1997). Aggregation facilitates larval growth in the neotropical nymphalid butterfly *Chlosyne janais*. *Ecological Entomology*, 22(2), 133-141. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2311.1997.t01-1-00063.x>
- Dreyer, H., & Baumgärtner, J. (1996). Temperature influence on cohort parameters and demographic characteristics of the two cowpea coreids *Clavigralla tomentosicollis* and *C. shadabi*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 78(2), 201-213. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1996.tb00783.x>
- EPPO. (2004). EPPO Standards: *Thaumetopoea pityocampa*- PM7/37. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin*, 34, 295-298.
- Fischer, E. M., & Schär, C. (2010). Consistent geographical patterns of changes in high-impact European heatwaves. *Nature Geoscience*, 3(6), 398-403. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo866>
- Fontaine, B., Janicot, S., & Monerie, P.-A. (2013). Recent changes in air temperature, heat waves occurrences, and atmospheric circulation in Northern Africa. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 118(15), 8536-8552. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50667>
- Forrest, J. R. K. (2016). Complex responses of insect phenology to climate change. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 17, 49-54. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2016.07.002>
- Georgiev, G., Rousselet, J., Laparie, M., Robinet, C., Georgieva, M., Zaemdzhikova, G., Roques, A., Bernard, A., Poitou, L., Buradino, M., Kerdelhue, C., Rossi, J. P., Matova, M., Boyadzhiev, P., & Mirchev, P. (2020). Comparative studies of egg parasitoids of the pine processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa*, Den. & Schiff.) in historic and expansion areas in France and Bulgaria. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 94(2), 324-331. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpaa022>
- Godefroid, M., Rocha, S., Santos, H., Paiva, M. R., Burban, C., Kerdelhué, C., Branco, M., Rasplus, J. Y., & Rossi, J. P. (2016). Climate constrains range expansion of an allochronic population of the pine processionary moth. *Diversity and Distributions*, 22(12), 1288-1300. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12494>
- GTZ, MARH, & Exaconsult Gopa. (2007). *Stratégie nationale d'adaptation de l'agriculture tunisienne et des écosystèmes aux changements climatiques*. Rapport d'étude dans le cadre de la coopération Tuniso-allemande publié par Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit.
- Hoffmann, A. A., Anderson, A., & Hallas, R. (2002). Opposing clines for high and low temperature resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ecology Letters*, 5(5), 614-618. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00367.x>
- Huang, Z., Ren, S., & Musa, P. D. (2008). Effects of temperature on development, survival, longevity, and fecundity of the *Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) predator, *Axinoscymnus cardilobus* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Biological Control*, 46(2), 209-215. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2008.04.004>
- Imbert, C. E. (2012). *Expansion d'un ravageur forestier sous l'effet du réchauffement climatique : la processionnaire du pin affecte-t-elle la biodiversité entomologique dans les zones nouvellement colonisées ?* PhD thesis, Université d'Orléans, Orléans, France, pp. 197
- Jacquet, J.-S., Bosc, A., O'Grady, A. P., & Jactel, H. (2013). Pine growth response to processionary moth defoliation across a 40-year chronosequence. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 293, 29-38. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.12.003>
- Jeffs, C. T., & Lewis, O. T. (2013). Effects of climate warming on host-parasitoid interactions. *Ecological Entomology*, 38(3), 209-218. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12026>
- Jones, P. D., Lister, D. H., Osborn, T. J., Harpham, C., Salmon, M., & Morice, C. P. (2012). Hemispheric and large-scale land-surface air temperature variations: An extensive revision and an update to 2010. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 117(D5). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD017139>
- Kellermann, V., Overgaard, J., Hoffmann, A. A., Fløjgaard, C., Svenning, J.-C., & Loeschcke, V. (2012). Upper thermal limits of *Drosophila* are linked to species distributions and strongly

- constrained phylogenetically. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(40), 16228. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207553109>
- Kingsolver, J. G., Diamond, S. E., & Buckley, L. B. (2013). Heat stress and the fitness consequences of climate change for terrestrial ectotherms. *Functional Ecology*, 27(6), 1415-1423. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12145>
- Klockmann, M., Kleinschmidt, F., & Fischer, K. (2017). Carried over: Heat stress in the egg stage reduces subsequent performance in a butterfly. *PloS one*, 12(7), e0180968-e0180968. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180968>
- Martin, J. (2005). La processionnaire du pin *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* (Denis et Schiffermüller). *Biologie et protection des forêts*. Avignon: Avignon Editions, INRA, 1-62. <http://www.prodinra.inra.fr/prodinra/pinra/index.xsp>
- Nangombe, S. S., Zhou, T., Zhang, W., Zou, L., & Li, D. (2019). High-Temperature Extreme Events Over Africa Under 1.5 and 2 °C of Global Warming. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 124(8), 4413-4428. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029747>
- Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, 421(6918), 37-42. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286>
- Pérez-Contreras, T., Soler, J., & Soler, M. (2003). Why do pine processionary caterpillars *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* (Lepidoptera, Thaumetopoeidae) live in large groups? An experimental study. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 40, 505-515.
- Pimentel, C., Ferreira, C., & Nilsson, J. A. N. Å. (2010). Latitudinal gradients and the shaping of life-history traits in a gregarious caterpillar. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 100(1), 224-236. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2010.01413.x>
- Pureswaran, D. S., Roques, A., & Battisti, A. (2018). Forest Insects and Climate Change. *Current Forestry Reports*, 4(2), 35-50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-018-0075-6>
- Quade, D. (1967). Rank Analysis of Covariance. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 62(320), 1187-1200. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2283769>
- Raffa, K. F., Aukema, B. H., Bentz, B. J., Carroll, A. L., Hicke, J. A., Turner, M. G., & Romme, W. H. (2008). Cross-scale Drivers of Natural Disturbances Prone to Anthropogenic Amplification: The Dynamics of Bark Beetle Eruptions. *BioScience*, 58(6), 501-517. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1641/B580607>
- Ramsfield, T. D., Bentz, B. J., Faccoli, M., Jactel, H., & Brockerhoff, E. G. (2016). Forest health in a changing world: effects of globalization and climate change on forest insect and pathogen impacts. *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, 89(3), 245-252. <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpw018>
- Reynolds, A. P., Richards, G., de la Iglesia, B., & Rayward-Smith, V. J. (2006). Clustering Rules: A Comparison of Partitioning and Hierarchical Clustering Algorithms. *Journal of Mathematical Modelling and Algorithms*, 5(4), 475-504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10852-005-9022-1>
- Robinet, C., Baier, P., Pennerstorfer, J., Schopf, A., & Roques, A. (2007). Modelling the effects of climate change on the potential feeding activity of *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* (Den. & Schiff.) (Lep., Notodontidae) in France. *GLOBAL ECOL BIOGEOGR*, 16(4), 460-471. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2006.00302.x>
- Robinet, C., Laparie, M., & Rousselet, J. (2015). Looking Beyond the Large Scale Effects of Global Change: Local Phenologies Can Result in Critical Heterogeneity in the Pine Processionary Moth. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 6(334). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00334>
- Robinet, C., & Roques, A. (2010). Direct impacts of recent climate warming on insect populations. *Integrative Zoology*, 5(2), 132-142. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4877.2010.00196.x>
- Robinet, C., Rousselet, J., Pineau, P., Miard, F., & Roques, A. (2013). Are heat waves susceptible to mitigate the expansion of a species progressing with global warming? *Ecol Evol*, 3(9), 2947-2957. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.690>

- Rocha, S., Kerdelhué, C., Ben Jamaa, M. L., Dhahri, S., Burban, C., & Branco, M. (2017). Effect of heat waves on embryo mortality in the pine processionary moth. *Bull Entomol Res*, 107(5), 583-591. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007485317000104>
- Ronnås, C., Larsson, S., Pitacco, A., & Battisti, A. (2010). Effects of colony size on larval performance in a processionary moth. *Ecological Entomology*, 35, 436-445. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2010.01199.x>
- Roques A, R. J., Avci M et al. (2015). Climate warming and past and present distribution of the processionary moths (*Thaumetopoea* spp.) in Europe, Asia Minor and North Africa. In R. A (Ed.), *Processionary moths and climate change : an update* (pp. 81-161). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9340-7_3
- Roques, L., Rossi, J.-P., Berestycki, H., Rousselet, J., Garnier, J., Roquejoffre, J.-M., Rossi, L., Soubeyrand, S., & Robinet, C. (2015). Modeling the Spatio-temporal Dynamics of the Pine Processionary Moth. In (pp. 227-263). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9340-7_5
- Rosenblatt, A. E., & Schmitz, O. J. (2016). Climate Change, Nutrition, and Bottom-Up and Top-Down Food Web Processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(12), 965-975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.09.009>
- Rosenzweig C, Casassa G, Karoly DJ, Imeson A, Liu C, Menzel A, Rawlins S, Root TL, Seguin B, & P, T. (2007). Assessment of observed changes and responses in natural and managed systems. . In O. F. C. M.L. Parry, J.P. Palutikof, and P.J. van der Linden (Ed.), *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 79-131). Cambridge University Press.
- Rozenberg, P., Pâques, L., Huard, F., & Roques, A. (2020). Direct and Indirect Analysis of the Elevational Shift of Larch Budmoth Outbreaks Along an Elevation Gradient [Original Research]. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 3(86). <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2020.00086>
- Sales, K., Vasudeva, R., Dickinson, M. E., Godwin, J. L., Lumley, A. J., Michalczyk, Ł., Hebberecht, L., Thomas, P., Franco, A., & Gage, M. J. G. (2018). Experimental heatwaves compromise sperm function and cause transgenerational damage in a model insect. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 4771. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07273-z>
- Salman, M. H. R., Bonsignore, C. P., El Alaoui El Fels, A., Giomi, F., Hodar, J. A., Laparie, M., Marini, L., Merel, C., Zalucki, M. P., Zamoum, M., & Battisti, A. (2019). Winter temperature predicts prolonged diapause in pine processionary moth species across their geographic range. *PeerJ*, 7, e6530-e6530. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6530>
- Santos, H., Paiva, M. R., Tavares, C., Kerdelhué, C., & Branco, M. (2011). Temperature niche shift observed in a Lepidoptera population under allochronic divergence. *J. Evol. Biol*, 24(9), 1897-1905. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02318.x>
- Sbay, H., & Zas, R. (2018). Geographic variation in growth, survival, and susceptibility to the processionary moth (*Thaumetopoea pityocampa* Dennis & Schiff.) of *Pinus halepensis* Mill. and *P. brutia* Ten.: results from common gardens in Morocco. *Annals of Forest Science*, 75(3), 69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0746-2>
- Schreven, S. J. J., Frago, E., Stens, A., de Jong, P. W., & van Loon, J. J. A. (2017). Contrasting effects of heat pulses on different trophic levels, an experiment with a herbivore-parasitoid model system. *PloS one*, 12(4), e0176704-e0176704. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176704>
- Schubert, E., & Rousseeuw, P. J. (2019). Faster k-Medoids Clustering: Improving the PAM, CLARA, and CLARANS Algorithms. In G. Amato, C. Gennaro, V. Oria, & M. Radovanović, *Similarity Search and Applications* Cham.

708 Sinclair, B. J., Williams, C. M., & Terblanche, J. S. (2012). Variation in Thermal Performance among
709 Insect Populations. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology: Ecological and Evolutionary*
710 *Approaches*, 85(6), 594-606. <https://doi.org/10.1086/665388>

711 Stireman, J. O., Dyer, L. A., Janzen, D. H., Singer, M. S., Lill, J. T., Marquis, R. J., Ricklefs, R. E., Gentry,
712 G. L., Hallwachs, W., Coley, P. D., Barone, J. A., Greeney, H. F., Connahs, H., Barbosa, P.,
713 Morais, H. C., & Diniz, I. R. (2005). Climatic unpredictability and parasitism of caterpillars:
714 Implications of global warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
715 *United States of America*, 102(48), 17384. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508839102>

716 Thompson, R. M., Beardall, J., Beringer, J., Grace, M., & Sardina, P. (2013). Means and extremes:
717 building variability into community-level climate change experiments. *Ecology Letters*, 16(6),
718 799-806. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12095>

719 Verner, D., Wilby, R., Breisinger, C., Al-Riffai, P., Robertson, R., Wiebelt, M., Kronik, J., Clement, V.,
720 Levine, T., Esen, F., & Roos, P. (2013). *Tunisia in a changing climate : assessment and actions*
721 *for increased resilience and development*. World Bank Publications.
722 <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-9857-9>

723 Visser, M. E., & Holleman, L. J. M. (2001). Warmer springs disrupt the synchrony of oak and winter
724 moth phenology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*,
725 268(1464), 289-294. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.1363>

726 Walther, G. R. (2010). Community and ecosystem responses to recent climate change. *Philosophical*
727 *Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 365(1549), 2019-2024.
728 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0021>

729 Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., Almazroui, M., Bucchignani, E., Driouech, F., El Rhaz, K., Kurnaz, L.,
730 Nikulin, G., Ntoumos, A., Ozturk, T., Proestos, Y., Stenchikov, G., Zaaboul, R., & Lelieveld, J.
731 (2021). Business-as-usual will lead to super and ultra-extreme heatwaves in the Middle East
732 and North Africa. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 4(1), 20.
733 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00178-7>

Supplementary information

A. Available temperature data from INM and NASA sources, GPS coordinates of meteorological stations and sites of egg sampling.

Table SM1. Coordinates of sampling sites.

Field egg masses sampling sites	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)
Chaambi	35.200000	8.700000
El Ayoun	35.557653	8.879097
Mghila	35.333333	9.200000
Thélepte	34.960611	8.583472
Bir Lahfay	34.944697	9.156361
El Fej	34.701582	9.043508
Jebel Motlag	34.954417	9.707389
Jebel Rihane	34.823708	9.636170
cit� Ettahrir	36.821750	10.135778
Dar Chichou	36.965594	10.964017
Korbous	36.833333	10.583333
Sejnane	37.183333	9.183333
Oued Laabid	36.816542	10.711303
El Menzeh	36.837850	10.184692
Manouba	36.814722	10.108361
Ain Jamala	36.503839	9.301167
Testour	36.544944	9.377444
Henchir Naam	36.216667	9.166667
Jebel Kbouch (Kef)	36.210000	8.930000
El Krib (Siliana)	36.332544	9.063128
Kesra (Siliana)	35.871833	9.366583
Jebel Sidi Aich (Gafsa)	34.783292	8.865861

740 **Table SM2.** Temperature datasets (combination of data from the Institut National de Météorologie,
741 INM, and the NASA data in corresponding 8 km × 8 km grid) and coordinates of meteorological
742 stations.

INM meteorological station	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Available data (INM)	Data from NASA used to complete the INM datasets
Kélibia	36.844855	11.082701	2001-2011	1990-2000 2012-2019
Carthage	36.846081	10.219053	1990-2014	2015-2019
Béja	36.723338	9.184013	1990-1997 2001-2011	1998-2000 2012-2019
Siliana	35.851853	9.595147	1990-1997	1998-2019
Kef	36.120862	8.720267	1990-1997 2001-2011	1998-2000 2012-2019
Kasserine	34.948369	8.569550	2001-2011	1990-2000 2012-2019
Sidi Bouzid	35.025685	9.498840	1990-2014	2015-2019
Gafsa	34.427352	8.820959	1990-2014	2015-2019

743

Geographic and elevational distances between meteorological stations and sampling sites (lower opacity if > 100 km and > 350 m, respectively)

744 **Figure SM3.** Geographic and elevational distances between meteorological stations and egg sampling
745 sites (lower opacity if >100 km and >350 m).

746

747

748

749

750 **Figure SM4.** Climate data in eight Tunisian regions between 1990 and 2019 (data source: INM and
 751 NASA, see Table SM2). Left charts show the mean year in each region by averaging daily maxima
 752 (red) and minima (blue) by day of the year over the period, represented as 95% CI ribbons. Right
 753 charts show the yearly average of daily maxima (red) and minima (blue), represented as 95% CI
 754 ribbons, and corresponding Theil-Sen estimators. Thick grey ribbons in the background show the
 755 maximal thermal range across all nine regions depending on day of the year (left) or year (right). The
 756 bottom part of left charts shows the likelihood of temperatures ≤ 0 (blue), ≥ 32 (beige) or ≥ 40 °C
 757 (red), while the bottom part of right charts shows the annual number of days below or above those
 758 thresholds. The 366th day during leap years was discarded due to its lower sample size.

759 **B. Multivariate analyses on climate data and K-medoids clustering.**

760
761 **Figure SM5.** Number of years assigned to the different clusters for each meteorological series (PAM
762 clustering on data from January to December).

763

Figure SM6. Tmin and Tmax from January to December in each cluster medoid (PAM clustering on data from January to December).

Cluster 1

Cluster 2

Cluster 3

Cluster 4

Summer Tmax (yearly mean for Jun-Sep) in each cluster, 1990-2019

Interannual fluctuation of Tmax within clusters (95% CI, °C)

Jun

Jul

Aug

Sep

Cluster