## **Supplementary Results**

Visual (sighted) but not tactile Braille reading (blind) elicits a posterior-to-anterior functional gradient in left vOTC and shows left-lateralization

We first conducted a four-way hemisphere (left, right) by posterior/anterior subregion (posterior, middle, anterior) by lexicality (words, consonant strings, control) by group (sighted, blind) ANOVA to examine reading responses across groups.

This ANOVA revealed a significant hemisphere (left hemisphere > right hemisphere,  $F_{(1,32)} =$ 8.414, p < 0.01), posterior/anterior subregion (posterior and middle > anterior,  $F_{(2, 64)} = 9.74$ , p < 0.01) 0.001), and lexicality main effect (words and consonant strings > controls,  $F_{(2, 64)} = 14.31$ , p < 14.310.001). There was no group main effect ( $F_{(1,32)} = 0.732$ , p = 0.399). For the two way interaction, the group by lexicality ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001), group by posterior/anterior subregion ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 12.013$ , p < 0.001).  $_{64)} = 12.161, p < 0.001$ , hemisphere by lexicality (F (2, 64) = 42.846, p < 0.001) and the posterior/anterior subregion by lexicality ( $F_{(4, 128)} = 9.237, p < 0.001$ ) interaction effect were significant. There were no hemisphere by group interaction effect ( $F_{(1,32)} = 0.748$ , p = 0.394) or hemisphere by posterior/anterior subregion interaction effect ( $F_{(1, 32)} = 1.44$ , p = 0.244). For the three way interaction, the hemisphere by posterior/anterior subregion by group interaction ( $F_{(2)}$ )  $_{64)}$  = 3.72, p < 0.01), the posterior/anterior subregion by lexicality by group interaction ( $F_{(4, 129)}$  = 3.26, p < 0.05), and the hemisphere by posterior/anterior subregion by lexicality interaction (F (4,  $_{129} = 3.516$ , p < 0.01) effect were significant. Neither the hemisphere by lexicality by group interaction ( $F_{(2, 64)} = 2.165$ , p = 0.123) nor the hemisphere by posterior/anterior subregion by lexicality interaction were significant. The four way interaction have been reported in main context.