A genomic and morphometric analysis of alpine bumblebees: ongoing reductions in tongue length but no clear genetic component

Running title: Genome variation in alpine bumblebees

Matthew J. Christmas¹, Julia C. Jones^{1,2}, Anna Olsson¹, Ola Wallerman¹, Ignas Bunikis³, Marcin Kierczak⁴, Kaitlyn M. Whitley^{5,6}, Isabel Sullivan^{5,7}, Jennifer C. Geib⁵, Nicole E. Miller-Struttmann⁸, Matthew T. Webster¹

1) Department of Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

2) School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

3) Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

4) Department of Cell and Molecular Biology, National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden, Science for Life Laboratory, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

5) Department of Biology, Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina, USA

6) U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

7) Marine Estuarine Environmental Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park Maryland

8) Biological Sciences Department, Webster University, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Corresponding author: Matthew T. Webster

Address: Dept. Medical Biochemistry and Microbiology, Box 582, 75123 Uppsala, Sweden

Email: matthew.webster@imbim.uu.se

Tel: +46 18 471 4391

ABSTRACT

Over the last six decades, populations of the bumblebees *Bombus sylvicola* and *Bombus balteatus* in Colorado have experienced decreases in tongue length, a trait important for plant-pollinator mutualisms. It has been hypothesized that this observation reflects selection resulting from shifts in floral composition under climate change. Here we use morphometrics and population genomics to determine whether morphological change is ongoing, investigate the genetic basis of morphological variation, and analyze population structure in these populations. We generate a genome assembly of *B. balteatus*. We then analyze whole-genome sequencing data and morphometric measurements of 580 samples of both species from seven high-altitude localities. Out of 281 samples originally identified as *B. sylvicola*, 67 formed a separate genetic cluster comprising a newly-discovered cryptic species (“incognitus”). However, an absence of genetic structure within species suggests that gene flow is common between mountains. We find a significant decrease in tongue length between bees collected between 2012-2014 and in 2017, indicating that morphological shifts are ongoing. We did not discover any genetic associations with tongue length, but a SNP related to production of a proteolytic digestive enzyme is implicated in body size variation. We identify evidence of covariance between kinship and both tongue length and body size, which is suggestive of a genetic component of these traits, although it is possible that shared environmental effects between colonies are responsible. Our results provide evidence for ongoing modification of a morphological trait important for pollination and indicate that this trait likely has a complex genetic and environmental basis.

INTRODUCTION

The importance of pollinators for ecology and the maintenance of biodiversity is widely recognized (Goulson *et al.* 2015). Bumblebees are large-bodied, mainly cold-adapted species with broad significance for agricultural production and plant-pollinator networks in the wild (Goulson 2003). Many bumblebee species are experiencing range shifts or declines due to climate change or habitat loss (Goulson *et al.* 2008a; Cameron *et al.* 2011; Cameron & Sadd 2020; Soroye *et al.* 2020). In particular, a general worldwide trend for species to shift to higher elevations and latitudes has been documented, with losses occurring at a faster rate than expansions (Kerr *et al.* 2015; Marshall *et al.* 2020). Arctic and alpine species are

particularly threatened by these trends, and can be considered "canaries in the coal mine" for detecting early effects (Elsen & Tingley 2015).

In addition to range shifts there is evidence that the morphology of certain populations of bumblebees has shifted in recent decades. Miller-Struttmann et al. (2015) compared morphometric measurements of contemporary samples and historical specimens of the species *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* from the same high-elevation alpine localities in Colorado. These species historically comprise the majority of bumblebees in these locations (Miller-Struttmann et al. 2015). They reported a significant decrease in tongue length in both species in a period between 1966 and 2014. The cause of this was inferred to be a general decrease in floral abundance resulting from warmer temperatures and dryer soils resulting from climate change. These conditions could favor more generalist foraging strategies, which several studies indicate are performed more efficiently by bees with shorter tongues (Heinrich 2004; Goulson & Darvill 2004; Goulson et al. 2005, 2008b; Huang et al. 2015). Continued monitoring of these populations is important to understand the causes of these morphological shifts and their ecological consequences. It is unknown whether the trend towards shorter tongues has continued in more recent years.

One explanation for the observed morphological shifts in *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* in Colorado is that natural selection for shorter tongues has occurred (Miller-Struttmann et al., 2015). However, it is also possible that the observed changes are a plastic response to environmental change (Merilä & Hendry 2014). It is unknown whether this trait has a genetic component that could be acted on by natural selection. Uncovering the size of the genetic contribution to this trait and the number and identity of any genetic loci involved would be an important step towards understanding the underlying cause of the morphological changes. The feasibility of genome sequencing on a population scale has enabled studies of quantitative genetics (Gienapp et al. 2017) and genome-wide association studies (Santure & Garant 2018) in wild populations, allowing these goals to be pursued.

The genetic structure of populations is an important factor for interpretation of genotype-phenotype correlations and the cause of morphological shifts. We previously presented a genome assembly of *B. sylvicola* and analyzed genome-wide variation by resequencing 281

samples (Christmas *et al.* 2021). This identified the presence of a previously-undetected cryptic species, which we gave the preliminary name *Bombus incognitus*. We refer to this species hereafter simply as “incognitus” to reflect the fact that a formal taxonomic description is not currently available. This species was identified morphologically as *B. sylvicola* but forms a distinct genetic cluster. It is therefore possible that changes in tongue length in the combined populations of these species could be brought about by changes in the relative abundance of each species, although this has not previously been investigated. Additional population structure within species could lead to a similar effect, particularly if genetic structure associated with morphology exists. An understanding of genetic structure and spatial connectivity between populations in different locations is also needed to predict how they will evolve under climate change and to define the conservation value of subpopulations (Pauls *et al.* 2013; Razgour *et al.* 2019).

The degree of gene flow in bumblebee populations is determined by the distances that reproductive individuals (males and queens) travel in order to mate and establish new nests (Heinrich 2004; Woodard *et al.* 2015). Genetic methods have been used to investigate population structure of several species of bumblebees across a variety of habitats in the UK, continental Europe and continental USA (Darvill *et al.* 2006; Ellis *et al.* 2006; Jha 2015; Woodard *et al.* 2015; Koch *et al.* 2017; Jackson *et al.* 2018; Ghisbain *et al.* 2020). A general finding is that populations of common species tend to exhibit very little structure in the absence of geographical barriers, even at continental scales. However, genetic differentiation can occur in rare or declining species, or when there are natural barriers (Woodard *et al.* 2015). Populations restricted to high elevations have been shown to exhibit higher genetic differentiation (Lozier *et al.* 2011, 2013). However, the degree of fragmentation of populations of bumblebee species inhabiting high elevations in mountain ranges is not fully understood.

Genetic methods can also be applied to estimate nest density and foraging distances of bumblebees, by analyzing where genetically-related nestmates are caught. Such studies indicate that maximum foraging distances range from less than 100 m to over 10 km (Darvill *et al.* 2004; Knight *et al.* 2005; Jha & Kremen 2013; Geib *et al.* 2015; Woodard *et al.* 2015). These variables show large variation between species and are strongly dependent on

landscape composition. Genome sequencing of multiple individuals has the potential to add greater resolution to our understanding of population density, structure and dispersal.

In this study we present a high-quality annotated genome assembly of the bumblebee species *B. balteatus* based on long-read Oxford Nanopore sequencing. We also conducted whole-genome sequencing of 299 samples of this species sampled from seven high-altitude localities spread across 150 km in Colorado. These localities have an average separation of 54 km between each other, and consist of alpine tundra separated by forest. We analyze these data together with the previously-published population genomic dataset of 284 samples *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” from the same localities (Christmas *et al.* 2021). We use these data to assess whole-genome variation and its connection to geographical and morphological variation in these populations, which were previously studied by (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015). We then analyzed morphological variation in tongue length and body size in context of previous studies (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015) to determine whether the trend towards decreasing tongue length is ongoing. We also performed a genome-wide analysis of the genetic basis of morphological variation using both genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and genome-wide complex trait analysis (GCTA) (Yang *et al.* 2011). The results are informative regarding the degree of connectivity between bumblebee populations, the genetic basis of morphological variation, and the mechanisms of morphological evolution.

Our study species have recently been subject to taxonomic revision. The species we refer to here as *B. balteatus* was previously described as occurring in both North America and Eurasia, but a recent study classified these geographically separated populations as two different species: *B. balteatus* in Eurasia and *Bombus kirbiellus* in North America (Williams *et al.* 2019). The species we refer to here as *B. sylvicola* was previously split into a North American form (*B. sylvicola*) and a Eurasian form (*Bombus lapponicus*). However, a recent analysis synonymized these two species as *B. lapponicus* (Martinet *et al.* 2019). We continue to use the previous names here to avoid confusion with comparison to previous ecological and evolutionary genetic studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

We collected samples identified as *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* (Koch *et al.* 2014) during the summer of 2017. Bumblebees were collected on seven different mountains in Colorado, USA, with an average distance of 54 km between mountains (greatest distance: 134 km, Quail Mountain – Niwot Ridge; shortest distance: 10km, Mount Democrat – Pennsylvania Mountain). The existence of “incognitus” was unknown to us when the bees were sampled but a large fraction of bees identified as *B. sylvicola* were subsequently assigned to “incognitus” on the basis of genetic analysis (Christmas *et al.* 2021). Three of these mountains (Mount Evans (39.583883, -105.63845), Niwot Ridge (40.05945, -105.616667), and Pennsylvania Mountain (39.263383, -106.142733)) were also sampled previously by (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015). Four additional mountains were sampled here (Boreas Mountain (39.419375, -105.95441), Mount Democrat (39.33721, -106.12581), Horseshoe Mountain (39.204605, -106.181085) and Quail Mountain (39.018158, -106.404877)) but were not sampled in the previous study. Each of the mountain locations comprised several neighboring sampling sites separated by short distances (mean = 1.1 km) and elevations (range: 3,473 – 4,012 m.a.s.l.) (full details in supplementary tables S1, S2).

We collected samples of foraging worker bees from each site using sweeping hand nets. We kept samples in Falcon tubes in cool boxes for transport. Species assignment was performed by observing standard morphological characters (Koch *et al.* 2014). We dissected each sample after placing it at -20°C for approximately 10 minutes. We stored thoraces in 95% ethanol prior to DNA extraction whereas the heads were stored for morphometric analysis.

Morphological measurements

We measured intertegular distance (ID) and tongue length on all of the samples of *B. sylvicola*, “incognitus” and *B. balteatus* using scaled photographs of individual bee heads processed by ImageJ (Kearns & Inouye 1993; Schneider *et al.* 2012). Following netting, bees were anesthetized by chilling them on ice or in a freezer (depending on field conditions). Once anesthetized, the bees were removed from the vial, gently pressed prone to the table with the thorax parallel to the camera lens using flat forceps, and photographed with a metric ruler for scaling purposes. The distance between the tegula was measured as a straight line between the edge of one tegula to the other tegula, running as near to

perpendicular to the tegula as possible. The head was then immediately removed from the body and placed into an individual labeled vial and kept frozen until further characterization in the lab. Following the protocol used in Miller-Struttmann *et al.* (2015), the prementum and glossa were dissected from the head while the tissue was flexible, straightened and aligned as possible without damaging the tissue, and mounted on acid-free paper. The samples were then photographed with a ruler in the field of view under a microscope, and the traits measured (i.e., prementum length and glossa length) via ImageJ (Schneider *et al.* 2012). We visually characterized the diagnostic traits visible on each head, such as the shape of the malar space, the pile color between and antenna and above the ocelli, the size, color and location of the ocelli relative to the supraorbital line, and the presence of black pile on the scutellum. None of the morphological characters we measured could be used to distinguish between “incognitus” and *B. sylvicola*.

Genome sequencing and assembly

We used the same sequencing strategy to produce high-quality genome assemblies for both *B. sylvicola* and *B. balteatus*. The *B. sylvicola* assembly was presented previously (Christmas *et al.* 2021). This involved combining data from Oxford Nanopore (ONT) and 10x Genomics Chromium technologies. For both species, we used DNA extracted from male bees sampled on Niwot Ridge and stored in 95% ethanol prior to DNA extraction. DNA from a single bee was used for each assembly. We performed DNA extraction using a salt-isopropanol extraction followed by size selection using magnetic bead purification to remove fragments < 1 kbp. ONT sequencing was performed using the MinION instrument. For *B. sylvicola*, we used two R9.4 flowcells and the RAD004 kit starting with 3-400 ng DNA per run. This resulted in a total of 9.4 Gbp of sequence data, in 2.5 million reads with a mean length of 3.7 kbp (Christmas *et al.* 2021). For *B. balteatus*, we used 1µg DNA in a modified LSK-108 one-pot protocol. 1.75 µl NEB FFPE buffer, 1.75 µl NEB Ultra-II End Prep buffer and 1 µl FFPE repair mix was added to 24 µl DNA and incubated at 20°C for 30 minutes. 2 µl End Prep enzyme mix was then added for blunting, phosphorylation and A-tailing (20 min. 20°C, 10 min. 65°C, 10 min. 70°C). AMX (20 µl), ligation enhancer (1 µl) and Ultra-II ligase (40 µl) was added for ligation at room temperature for 30 minutes. Clean-up and flowcell loading was done following the standard ONT LSK-108 protocol and the library was sequenced on one

R9.4 flowcell. This resulted in 23.3 Gpb of sequence data, in 3.9 million reads with a mean length of 5.9 kbp.

We assembled the ONT reads using the following process: We first used downpore with default parameters for adaptor trimming and splitting chimeric reads. We then assembled the trimmed reads using wtdbg2 (Ruan & Li 2020) on default settings. We then ran two rounds of the consensus module Racon and contig improvements using medaka v.0.4, removing contigs of length < 20 kbp. We used Illumina short-read data from the population sequencing to perform two rounds of Pilon to improve sequence accuracy, particularly around indels.

We also sequenced the same sample of each species using 10x Genomics Chromium. A 10x GEM library was constructed according to the manufacturer's protocols. We quantitated each library using qPCR and sequenced them together on a single lane of HiSeq 2500 using the HiSeq Rapid SBS sequencing kit version 2, resulting in 150 bp paired-end sequences. The linked reads were mapped to the assembly using Longranger v.2.1.4. We then ran Tigmint v1.1.2 to correct errors in the assembly detected by the read mappings. We removed contigs containing multiple mitochondrial genes identified using BLAST+ v2.9.0. We also removed all contigs shorter than 10 kbp.

Genome analysis

BUSCO v3.0.2b (Simão *et al.* 2015) was used to assess the assembly completeness using the hymenoptera_odb9 lineage set and species *B. impatiens*. We ran RepeatMasker v.4.1.0 on each genome assembly to characterize genome repeat content, including interspersed repeats and low complexity sequences. It has been shown that bumblebees have a stable 18 chromosome karyotype across all subgenera (Sun *et al.* 2020). We therefore performed whole-genome synteny alignments between the *B. terrestris* chromosome-level genome assembly (Sadd *et al.* 2015) (downloaded from NCBI; BioProject PRJNA45869) and our assemblies using Satsuma v.3 (Grabherr *et al.* 2010) to arrange the contigs from our assemblies onto 18 pseudochromosomes.

RNAseq and Annotation

We used the Nextflow pipelines available at <https://github.com/NBISweden/pipelines-nextflow> for genome annotation. The pipelines, in turn, depend on two additional annotation-specific toolkits, AGAT and GAAS, available at <https://github.com/NBISweden/AGAT> and <https://github.com/NBISweden/GAAS> respectively. Both repositories contain extensive documentation and installation instructions. As reference proteins database, we used the SwissProt/UniProt (561,356 curated proteins, downloaded 2019-11). The parameter files stated below are available at https://github.com/matt-webster-lab/alpine_bumblebees.

We performed RNAseq on a single lane of Illumina HiSeq 2500 using samples from four body parts from a single sample of *B. balteatus* (abdomen, head, legs and thorax), which generated 42 Gbp of reads. We performed quality control of the data using FastQC and read trimming using trimmomatic (v. 0.39, trimmomatic.params). We next generated a guided assembly with Hisat2 and Stringtie using the AnnotationPreprocessing.nf (parameters as in AnnotationPreprocessing_params.conf) TranscriptAssembly.nf Nextflow pipeline with parameters as in the TranscriptAssembly_params.config file. In addition to the guided assembly, we performed a de novo assembly for reads from each of the four tissues using Trinity (v. 2.0.4, --seqType fq --SS_lib_type RF).

Next, we constructed a species-specific repeat library using RepeatModeler package (v. open-1.0.8; -engine ncbi -pa 35). Since the de novo identified repeats may still include parts of protein-coding genes, we perform an additional filtering step and remove from the database the repeats that can be found in a comprehensive set of known proteins. We remove all repeats that match to a pre-computed set non-transposable proteins from our protein reference database. We used custom script (filter_repeats.bash) for filtering. To identify the location of repeats from this library in the genomes, we used RepeatMasker (open-4.0.9).

We used the MAKER package to compute gene builds. An evidence-guided gene build was computed by MAKER (-fix-nucleotides) constructing gene models from these reference proteins and from the transcript sequences aligned to the genome assembly (parameters in

evidence_build.maker_opts.ctl). We also used prepared an ab initio build using the AbInitioTraining.nf pipeline and AbInitioTraining_params.config as the parameters file. Both the evidence-guided and the ab initio builds were then merged using MAKER (hybrid_build_maker_opts.ctl). Finally, we performed functional annotation using the FunctionalAnnotation.nf pipeline with FunctionalAnnotation_params.config as the parameter file.

Population sequencing, read mapping and variant calling

We extracted DNA from the thoraces of 299 worker bees of *B. balteatus* collected from across the seven sampling sites using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit. We prepared dual-indexed libraries using the Nextera Flex kit and performed sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq X to produce 2 x 150 bp reads, using an average of 36 samples per lane. We mapped reads to the *B. balteatus* reference using the mem algorithm in BWA (Li & Durbin 2009). We performed sorting and indexing of the resultant bam files using samtools (Li *et al.* 2009) and marked duplicate reads using Picard. We used the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) to call variants (McKenna *et al.* 2010). We first ran HaplotypeCaller using default parameters on the bam file of each sample to generate a gVCF file for each sample. We then used GenomicsDBImport and GenotypeGVCFs with default parameters to call variants for all *B. balteatus* samples together. We applied a set of hard filters using the VariantFiltration tool to filter for reliable SNPs using the following thresholds: QD < 2, FS > 60, MQ < 40, MQRankSum < -12.5, ReadPosRankSum < -8 (see the GATK documentation for full descriptions of each filter). Only biallelic SNPs were considered for downstream analysis. Samples from *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” samples were analyzed in the same way and are presented in Christmas *et al.* (2021).

Relatedness and population structure

We estimated the pairwise kinship coefficient between all samples within each species using the method described in (Manichaikul *et al.* 2010) implemented in the --relatedness2 option of vcftools v0.1.16 (Danecek *et al.* 2011). Worker bees from the same bumblebee colony are expected to have a relatedness of 0.75 under the assumption of monandry, which is reasonable for the study species (Estoup *et al.* 1995). The kinship coefficient (ϕ) predicted

from this relationship is $\frac{3}{8}$ (the probability that two alleles sampled at random from two individuals are identical by descent). We estimated the lower cutoff for the inference criteria to infer this level of relatedness using powers of two as described in (Manichaikul *et al.* 2010), providing an estimate of $\frac{3}{2^{7/2}}$.

We tested for correlations between relatedness and geographic distance for each species using Mantel tests with the mantel function in the ‘ecodist’ package in R v4.0.2. Coordinates for each sample were converted to geographic distances using the R package ‘geodist’ and these were compared to the matrix of kinship coefficients described above. We used the mantel.correlog function to produce mantel correlograms and assess whether correlations were significant over different geographic distances. We performed these analyses after excluding sisters from the datasets to ensure any patterns we observe were not driven by an effect of sampling individuals from the same colony.

We thinned the VCF files to 1 SNP every 10 kbp using the --max-missing 1 and --thin flags in vcftools v.0.1.16 to ensure no missing data and to more efficiently assess population structure and relatedness. We calculated p-distance matrices for *B. balteatus* samples and *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” samples combined from the thinned vcf files using VCF2Dis (<https://github.com/BGI-shenzhen/VCF2Dis>) and then constructed neighbor-joining trees using the ‘ape’ package in R v4.0.2. We converted the thinned vcf files to plink format and performed principal components analyses using the ‘adeigenet’ package in R v4.0.2. We performed PCAs on all samples as well as after removing one of each pair of sisters identified via the kinship analysis to ensure that relatedness did not heavily influence any clustering. We used admixture v1.3.0 (Alexander *et al.* 2009) to assess the most likely number of genetic clusters within each species. We ran admixture for K=1 through to 10, with 10 iterations per K value and used the calculated cross-validation (cv) errors to assess the most suitable values of K, with low cv-error indicating higher support.

Statistical morphometric analysis

We combined the phenotypic measurements made in this study with those from previous collections published in (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015). These measurements are ID, glossa

length, prementum length, and total tongue length (equal to glossa length plus prementum length). This gave us three time periods to compare amongst: '2017' (samples collected for this study), '2012-2014', and '1966-1980' (both sets published in (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015)). We performed statistical analysis of variation in glossa length, prementum length, and ID in R v4.0.2. We investigated correlations between all traits for each species in the 2017 set using the 'cor.test' function, which calculates a Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient.

Within our 2017 dataset, we compared glossa lengths between the three species by fitting linear models of species against glossa length with ID included as a random effect to account for body size using the lm function. We then calculated least-squares mean glossa lengths from this model using the lsmeans function and tested for significant differences using the Tukey method for p-value adjustment for multiple testing. We employed a similar approach for comparing glossa lengths between our dataset and historical datasets for each species. Here we used a linear mixed effect model framework with the 'lmer' function in the lme4 package in R v4.0.2, where mountain and ID were included as random effects in each model. Significance of each model was assessed using analysis of variance with the 'anova' function. We calculated least-squares mean glossa lengths from each model using the lsmeans function and tested for significant differences using the Tukey method for p-value adjustment for multiple testing.

We analyzed variation in ID between species and geographic locations for our 2017 dataset using analysis of variance ('aov' function) and assessed significance of comparisons using Tukey's honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test ('TukeyHSD' function). For comparisons of ID between years, we fitted linear mixed effect models and included mountain as a random effect, then compared least-squares means from the models using the Tukey method for p-value adjustment for multiple testing.

Genome-wide association study

We carried out mixed linear model-based association analyses, implemented in GCTA-MLMA (Yang *et al.* 2011, 2014), to identify correlations between allele frequencies and trait variation across the genome for *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* samples separately

("incognitus" samples were left out of this analysis due to too few samples). First, we created a genetic relationship matrix (GRM) from the genome wide SNPs using the `--make-grm` function in GCTA. We removed one sample from each pair of sisters by setting a relatedness cut-off of 0.67 using the '`--grm-cutoff`' flag. We ran the analyses for three traits per species: ID, glossa length, and the residuals from a linear regression of glossa~ID to control for body size. The GRM was included as a polygenic/random effect. The analysis assumes phenotypes are normally distributed and so samples were removed in the tails of the distributions to meet this assumption for all traits except ID in *B. sylvicola*, which was normally distributed. For *B. balteatus*, this resulted in 279, 291, and 262 samples for the glossa length, ID, and residuals analyses respectively. For *B. sylvicola* there were 176, 192, and 152 samples for the glossa length, ID, and residuals analyses respectively. We assessed divergence of p-values from neutral expectations using QQ-plots and carried out Bonferroni correction of p-values using the '`p.adjust`' function in R v4.0.2 to assess genome-wide significance.

Genome-wide complex trait analysis

We used GCTA (Yang *et al.* 2011) to perform genome-wide complex trait analysis. We estimated the phenotypic variance explained by the genome-wide SNPs using genome-based restricted maximum likelihood (GREML). The analysis was performed separately for each species on measures of ID, glossa length, and glossa length with ID included as a covariate to control for body size. We ran each analysis twice, once using a GRM based on all samples and a second time with the same GRM produced above where one from each pair of sisters was removed. We calculated 95% confidence intervals around each estimate by multiplying the standard error by 1.96. We used R functions provided in (Wang & Xu 2019) as well as the GCTA-GREML power calculator (Visscher *et al.* 2014) to perform power analysis and estimate the effect sizes we can expect to detect with our population datasets.

RESULTS

Generation of a highly-contiguous genome assembly of *B. balteatus*

We generated a *de novo* genome assembly for the species *B. balteatus* using Oxford Nanopore (ONT) long-read and 10x Chromium linked-read sequencing and compared this to the assembly for *B. sylvicola* that we recently published (Christmas *et al.* 2021). Assembly

statistics for BBAL_1.0 (*B. balteatus*) and BSYL_1.0 (*B. sylvicola*) are presented in table 1, which includes statistics for the assemblies of *B. terrestris* (BTER_1.0) and *B. impatiens* (BIMP_2.2) for comparison. Total assembly sizes of 250.1 and 252.1 Mbp for *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* respectively are both above the average assembly size of *Bombus* genomes assembled to date of 247.9 Mbp (22 genomes, range 229.8 – 282.1 Mbp) (Sadd *et al.* 2015; Heraghty *et al.* 2020; Sun *et al.* 2020). Contig N50s of 8.60 and 3.02 for BBAL_1.0 and BSYL_1.0 respectively are the longest and third longest contig N50s of all published *Bombus* assemblies, demonstrating these to be highly contiguous assemblies. Megabase-scale contig N50 are typical of long-read assemblies, such as the ones presented here and in (Heraghty *et al.* 2020), and are significantly more contiguous than those based on short-read sequencing technologies such as BTER_1.0 and BIMP_2.2.

High genome completeness and accuracy is also reflected in the BUSCO analysis, with scores of 99.0% (98.7% single copy, 0.3% duplicated, 0.5% fragmented, 0.5% missing) and 98.2% (97.9% single copy, 0.3% duplicated, 0.4% fragmented, 1.4% missing) reported for BBAL_1.0 and BSYL_1.0 respectively. Whole genome alignments to the *B. terrestris* assembly (Sadd *et al.* 2015) resulted in 93.9% and 91.1% of the *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* genomes being placed on 18 pseudochromosomes. Our annotation pipeline annotated 11,711 and 11,585 genes in BBAL_1.0 and BSYL_1.0 respectively. These are highly comparable to the 11,874 genes in the *B. terrestris* gene set (v. 1.0), but lower than the 12,728 genes in the *B. impatiens* gene set (v. 2.1). Gene annotation of the *Bombus* assemblies presented in (Heraghty *et al.* 2020; Sun *et al.* 2020) also resulted in a greater number of genes annotated, ranging from 13,325 to 16,970 genes. BUSCO analyses of the annotated genes in our assemblies do suggest that we are missing some genes from our annotations (complete BUSCO genes in BBAL_01 and BSYL_01 respectively: 86.6% and 86.9%). Repeat content of our assemblies is comparable to that of other *Bombus* assemblies, with 17.11% of BBAL_1.0 and 17.85% of BSYL_1.0 identified as repetitive by RepeatMasker (full details of repeat classes in supplementary table S3). These proportions are comparable to the repeat content inferred in the *B. terrestris* and *B. impatiens* genomes.

Population resequencing indicates three species clusters, with little population structure within species

The locations of sample collections, which comprise 580 samples from across seven localities in the Rocky Mountains, CO, USA, are presented in Fig. 1 (full details in table S1, S2). Illumina sequencing resulted in an average genome coverage of 13.1x per sample, with 4.5 and 3.5 million SNPs identified in *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* samples respectively (supplementary table S4). These samples were initially identified morphologically as *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola*, and those identified as *B. sylvicola* have been presented previously (Christmas *et al.* 2021). Neighbor-joining trees produced from samples of both groups of samples indicate that the *B. balteatus* samples form a single cluster whereas the *B. sylvicola* samples form two clusters (Fig 1). By comparison with an additional dataset (Martinet *et al.* 2019) we previously inferred the largest cluster to be comprised of *B. sylvicola* samples whereas the second cluster is comprised of the cryptic species “incognitus” (Christmas *et al.* 2021). Among samples originally designated as *B. sylvicola*, 24% (n=67) are identified in the separate cluster of “incognitus” whereas the remaining 76% (n=214) are *B. sylvicola*. *Bombus balteatus* (n=299) was the most commonly observed species across all localities. The proportions vary between localities (table S1). “Incognitus” samples were collected on six out of the seven mountains, whereas *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* were found on all seven.

We calculated a pairwise kinship coefficient between samples to infer degrees of relatedness (Manichaikul *et al.* 2010; Danecek *et al.* 2011). We inferred a set of samples that were likely to be nestmates (sisters) based on them having the same maternal and paternal parents, which is likely to be the case for all workers from the same colony in these species, under the realistic assumption that each colony is headed by a single monandrous female queen (Estoup *et al.* 1995). Those identified as sisters from the same single-mated queen from the same colony were always collected foraging on the same mountain and at the same or immediately neighboring site (table S1). This suggests that foraging bees were generally found in the immediate vicinity of their nests. Among the 299 *B. balteatus* samples, we identified 43 samples from 17 colonies that had another nestmate in the dataset, indicating that we sampled a total of 273 colonies. For the 214 *B. sylvicola*, we identified 14 samples from 7 colonies that met this criterion, indicating a total of 207 colonies. For 67 “incognitus”, we identified two samples from the same colony, indicating that we sampled 66 colonies.

We investigated the presence of population genetic structure within each species using several methods. Neighbor-joining trees (Fig. 1) do not reveal the presence of any substructure related to geography for *B. balteatus* or “incognitus”. For *B. sylvicola*, all of the samples from Quail Mountain (the furthestmost southwestern site) cluster together, but do not separate from the rest on the tree. Principal component analysis further reflected the extremely low level of geographical structure across all species (Fig. 2). One slight exception to this trend is the Quail Mountain population of *B. sylvicola* which, as with the neighbor-joining tree, shows a slight degree of clustering away from the other samples at the positive extreme of PC1 (Fig. 2B), but they still overlap with other samples and PC1 explains only 1.17% of the variance in the data. Outlier clusters seen in the PCA plots of *B. balteatus* and “incognitus” (Fig. 2A,C, circled) are composed of highly related individuals as shown in the kinship analysis, suggesting that the effect of kinship overrides any signal of genetic structure. After removing one of each pair of sisters, nearly all *B. balteatus* samples cluster extremely tightly, with PC1 only explaining 0.8% of the variance (Fig. S1A). A similar pattern is observed for *B. sylvicola*, with PC1 explaining 1.2% of the variance (Fig. S1B). For “incognitus”, two pairs of highly related individuals are separated from the main cluster on PC1, but again only a small amount of the genetic variance is captured by PC1 (2.6%), suggesting they are still highly similar (Fig. S1C).

We assessed connectivity between colonies on different mountains by analyzing the association between kinship and geography using Mantel tests after removing sisters from the datasets (Fig. 3). We found no significant relationship between geographic distance and relatedness for *B. balteatus* (Mantel $R = -0.03$ (95% ci: $-0.04 - -0.02$), p value = 0.11; Fig. 3A,D). There were small but significant negative relationships for *B. sylvicola* (Mantel $R = -0.06$ (95% c.i. $-0.07 - -0.04$), p value = 0.0001; Fig. 3B,E) and “incognitus” (Mantel $R = -0.04$ (95% ci: $-0.06 - -0.03$), p value = 0.029; Fig. 3C,F), demonstrating greater relatedness at closer locations. In these species, Mantel correlograms indicate significant associations between kinship and geographical distance only at shorter distances (from the same or neighboring mountains), which are no longer significant at greater distances (Fig. 3E-F)). These results indicate that the limited population structure is mainly driven by the occurrence of a few highly-related samples from the same localities in the dataset.

Decrease in tongue length is ongoing in all species

We made morphological measurements for each sample (table S2). Intertegular distance (ID) is considered a proxy for body size (Cane 1987). We also measured the length of two parts of the tongue: glossa and prementum. These two tongue measures are correlated in both species (*B. balteatus* Pearson's $r = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$; *B. sylvicola* Pearson's $r = 0.38$, $p < 0.001$; Figs. S2,S3). Only glossa is considered further, as it is the tongue part with most relevance to feeding (Cariveau *et al.* 2016) and was measured by (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015). Glossa is also significantly correlated with ID (*B. balteatus* Pearson's $r = 0.41$, $p < 0.001$; *B. sylvicola* Pearson's $r = 0.26$, $p < 0.001$) (Figs. S2,3).

A comparison of phenotypes between species shows that glossa length is substantially longer in *B. balteatus*, consistent with previous knowledge (ls-means = 4.72 mm, 3.34 mm, and 3.40 mm for *B. balteatus*, *B. sylvicola* and "incognitus" respectively; Tukey test, t ratio = 12.25 and 21.17, $p < 0.001$ for *B. balteatus* compared to *B. sylvicola* and "incognitus"; Fig. 4A). Average glossa length is extremely similar in *B. sylvicola* and "incognitus" (Tukey test, t ratio = 0.50, $p = 0.87$), suggesting that this character does not distinguish this newly-discovered species from *B. sylvicola*. *Bombus balteatus* bees are significantly larger bees as indicated by a greater ID, and *B. sylvicola* is significantly larger than "incognitus" (mean ID = 4.00 mm, 3.83 mm, 3.58 mm for *B. balteatus*, *B. sylvicola* and "incognitus" respectively; ANOVA, $F=28.75$, $p < 0.001$, Tukey post-hoc test revealed differences are significant among all species at $p < 0.001$; Fig. S4A).

We next compared the distribution of glossa lengths in our samples with historical data. We combined *B. sylvicola* and "incognitus" for this analysis because they do not differ in glossa length on average and they were not distinguished in previous studies. We find an overall trend of decreasing glossa length in both *B. sylvicola*-incognitus and *B. balteatus* over time (Fig. 4B,C). This was reported previously between the collections from 1966-1980 and from 2012-2014 for both species (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015). Here we show that this trend is continuing, with further reductions in glossa length between the 2012-2014 collections and our collections from 2017. These reductions are significant for both *B. sylvicola*-incognitus (ls-means: 2012-2014 = 3.51 mm, 2017 = 3.21 mm; Tukey test, t -ratio = 3.198, $p = 0.004$)

and *B. balteatus* (ls-means: 2012-2014 = 5.24 mm, 2017 = 4.84 mm; Tukey test, t-ratio = 2.79, $p = 0.015$). In contrast, there is no significant trend shown by ID (Fig. S4B,C), although for *B. sylvicola-incognitus*, ID is significantly larger in both the 1966-80 and 2017 samples compared to the 2012-2014 samples (ANOVA, $F = 15.28$, $p < 0.001$. Tukey post-hoc test, $p < 0.001$).

For samples collected in 2017, we compared the distribution of glossa lengths for each species among the seven mountains where they were collected (Fig. S5). There is an overall trend for glossa lengths to be longer in bees from Mount Evans than on other mountains in all species. The distributions for *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola* show significant differences, with samples from Mount Evans having significantly longer glossae than on Mount Democrat, Horseshoe Mountain, and Pennsylvania Mountain in *B. balteatus* (ls-mean glossa length on Mt. Evans = 5.34 mm, other mountains 4.51 – 4.73 mm; Tukey $p < 0.01$ in each case; Fig. S5A) and significantly longer glossae than on all other mountains except Niwot Ridge in *B. sylvicola* (ls-mean glossa length on Mt. Evans = 3.77 mm, other mountains 3.02 – 3.24 mm; Tukey $p < 0.01$ in each case; Fig. S5B). None of the trends for “incognitus” were significant (Fig. S5C). Comparisons of ID between mountains revealed that *B. balteatus* bees sampled on Niwot Ridge are significantly larger than those on Boreas Mountain, Mount Democrat, Horseshoe Mountain, and Quail Mountain (Niwot Ridge mean ID = 4.25 mm, other mountains 3.84 - 4.00 mm, ANOVA, $F = 4.09$, $p < 0.001$, Tukey post-hoc test $p < 0.05$ in four comparisons; Fig. S6A). We did not identify any significant differences in ID of bees across mountains for *B. sylvicola* (ANOVA, $F = 1.83$, $p = 0.10$) or “incognitus” (ANOVA, $F = 1.62$, $p = 0.17$; Fig. S6B,C).

Genome-wide association study identifies a SNP associated with a proxy for body size

We used a linear mixed-model analysis to search for correlations between allele frequencies and quantitative trait variation in *B. sylvicola* and *B. balteatus*. We used the traits glossa length, ID and glossa length corrected for ID (see Methods). One from each pair of sisters identified in the kinship analysis was removed from all analyses to reduce the level of relatedness in the sample set. We detected a genome-wide significant association between ID and a SNP in intron 9 of the *CTRB1* (Chymotrypsinogen B1) gene on chromosome 10 in *B. sylvicola* ($p < 0.001$ after Bonferroni correction; Fig. 5). The SNP is found at an allele

frequency of 0.14 across all populations, with 41 individuals heterozygous and nine homozygous for the allele. The allele is present on all mountains, ranging in allele frequency from 0.06 (Quail Mountain) to 0.24 (Niwt Ridge). The *CTRB1* gene is involved in production of chymotrypsin, a proteolytic digestive enzyme (Giebel *et al.* 1971; Grogan & Hunt 1979; Rawlings & Barrett 1994; Burgess *et al.* 1996; Matsuoka *et al.* 2015; Lazarević & Janković-Tomanić 2015). Intron 9 is a large 18 Kbp intron and the significant SNP is located 2 Kbp upstream of exon 10. The GWAS significance suggests it is of likely functional importance and its location is suggestive of a regulatory element, such as an intron splice enhancer or silencer.

Two further SNPs, located 4 bp apart on chromosome 11, also significantly associated with ID ($p = 0.039$ after Bonferroni correction). However, they are not located in or close to any genes according to our annotation, so any potential functional significance of these SNPs is unknown. We did not find any significant associations between allele frequencies and ID in *B. balteatus* (Fig. S7). Furthermore, no significant associations were found between allele frequencies and glossa length, or glossa length corrected for ID in either species (Fig. S7). This indicates that the trait has a polygenic or mainly environmental component. A power analysis (Visscher *et al.* 2014) revealed that our datasets have sufficient power (>0.8) to detect a QTL with a h^2 of ~ 0.1 but that loci with lower effect sizes would likely be undetectable.

Limited evidence that morphological variation has a genetic component

We performed GCTA-GREML analyses (Yang *et al.* 2011) to determine the genetic component of trait variation for *B. sylvicola* and *B. balteatus* (Fig. 6, supplementary table S5). The “incognitus” samples were not included here due to smaller sample size. When each species’ entire dataset is considered, we detect a heritable component for both glossa length and ID in both species, where the 95% confidence interval does not overlap zero. For *B. sylvicola*, but not *B. balteatus*, this is also the case when ID is introduced as a covariate to account for body size when considering glossa length. However, when we remove samples that we infer to be workers from the same colony from the kinship analysis, we only detect a heritable component with 95% confidence for ID in *B. balteatus* (all others then overlap with zero). This indicates that a large proportion of the signal of covariance between genetic

and trait variance is due to the presence of sisters from the same colony. This could be a confounding factor because environmental effects such as level of nutrition and colony health are likely to covary with colony. However, such covariation could also reflect genetics. The level of dependency of phenotype on genotype is therefore unclear from this analysis.

The 95% confidence intervals for the estimate of heritability have a wide span and overlap zero in many cases. The power to accurately determine heritability depends on several factors, including sample size, the structure of relatedness in the samples, and the true value of heritability. When samples are all completely unrelated, it has been estimated that > 3,000 samples are required to achieve a SE below 0.1 (Visscher *et al.* 2014). For datasets of unrelated samples of the size we have analyzed here, we estimate a power of ~0.06, or ~6% probability, to detect $h^2 > 0$ for a trait with a SNP-heritability of 0.5 and a type 1 error rate (α) of 0.05. Greater sample size or higher levels of relatedness within the dataset would therefore increase accuracy of our heritability estimates.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we generated a high-quality reference genome and performed genome sequencing of a population sample of the bumblebee species *B. balteatus*. Combining this data with a previous dataset from *B. sylvicola* produced the most extensive population genomics dataset for bumblebees to date, with 580 samples from these two species and the newly-discovered "incognitus" collected from high-altitude locations in Colorado, USA. These data were combined with morphometric measurements from each sample and used to investigate genetic structure, morphological evolution and the genetic basis of phenotypic traits in these populations. Our main findings are 1) no evidence for gene flow between species, including the recently-inferred "incognitus", but lack of population structure within species, indicating high levels of connectivity among subpopulations. 2) An ongoing decrease in tongue (glossa) length compared to historical measurements, previously inferred to reflect the effects of decreasing floral abundance on bumble bee foraging (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015). 3) An association between body size and variation at a single SNP located in a gene related to production of a digestive enzyme in *B. sylvicola*. 4) A lack of conclusive evidence for a genetic component to tongue length in both species.

Our analysis is unable to determine the degree of heritability underlying these traits but is consistent with a highly polygenic genetic component.

This investigation adds to the number of bumblebee species with reference genomes available. The genomes of the common species *B. impatiens* and *B. terrestris* were sequenced using short-read technologies (Sadd *et al.* 2015). Genome assemblies are also available for representatives of all 15 *Bombus* subgenera (Sun *et al.* 2020) based on short-read sequencing of jumping libraries and scaffolding using Hi-C, resulting in contig N50 of 325 kb. In addition to the two genomes produced here, the genomes of the North American species *B. terricola*, *B. bifarius*, *B. vancouverensis*, and *B. vosnesenskii* have also been produced using long-read sequencing (PacBio or ONT) and have megabase-scale contig N50s (Kent *et al.* 2018; Heraghty *et al.* 2020).

Identification of the previously-unknown species “incognitus” demonstrates the power of population-scale genome sequencing to discover new cryptic variation (Christmas *et al.* 2021). None of the morphological features that we studied could distinguish between *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus”. *Bombus sylvicola* did however have a significant tendency to be collected at higher altitudes and have larger body size. However, importantly for this study, there were no significant differences in glossa or prementum length between the two species. The wider distribution of “incognitus” is unknown, as is the true composition of populations described as *B. sylvicola* in other geographical locations. Existing collections of *B. sylvicola* from Colorado are likely to be a mixture of both species.

We find no evidence for population structure among samples of any of the of the three species studied here. This indicates an absence of long-term geographical barriers to gene flow between the high-elevation localities included here. Furthermore, although we observe elevated degrees of relatedness among foragers collected on the same mountain, there is no significant tendency for elevated relatedness of foragers caught on nearby mountains, indicating a lack of population structure at this scale. Foragers inferred to belong to the same nest (i.e. with identical parents) were always found in the same or adjacent sites, consistent with the limited foraging distance (on average < 110m) inferred previously by Geib *et al.* (Geib *et al.* 2015) for these species.

Studies of several bumblebee species across continental USA, including *B. bifarius* and *B. vosnesenkii*, also found in Colorado and across western North America, indicate weak geographical differentiation even at distances over 1000 km (Lozier *et al.* 2011; Ghisbain *et al.* 2020). However, the complex topography of mountain ranges likely modulates gene flow between populations (Lozier *et al.* 2011, 2013). Populations of *B. bifarius* are inferred to be more fragmented in the southern portions of their ranges, where they occur at higher elevations (Jackson *et al.* 2018). The species studied here, *B. sylvicola* and *B. balteatus* are generally restricted to high elevation localities above the tree line separated by forest (Williams *et al.* 2014). However, our analysis indicates that this complex landscape has not historically limited mating and dispersal between localities in the same mountain range. This is consistent with previous studies of these species that found substantial genetic differentiation between mountain ranges in the Pacific Northwest, but not within the same mountain range (Koch *et al.* 2017; Whitley 2018).

Morphological change over time has already been documented in the species studied here, with a significant reduction in tongue length observed since 1966 (Miller-Struttmann *et al.* 2015). During this time period, there has also been a shift in species composition, with shorter-tongued species becoming more predominant. Previous collections of *B. sylvicola* in this region are likely a mixture of *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus”, as the existence of “incognitus” was unknown. However, as *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” do not differ in glossa length, undetected changes in the relative abundance of these species over time are unlikely to be responsible for the observed shifts in glossa length observed in samples that are defined as *B. sylvicola*. This effect could however contribute to the observed variation in body size over time as *B. sylvicola* have a significantly greater ID on average than “incognitus” (Fig. S4).

A major finding that we present here is that the temporal shift toward shorter tongue length is ongoing. In addition to the significant reduction previously observed over a period of six decades up until 2014, we also observe a significant reduction over a much shorter time period between 2012-14 and 2017 in both *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola*-incognitus. Mean tongue length reportedly decreased on average by 0.61% annually in a period

between 1966 and 2014 for *B. sylvicola* (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015). According to our recent measurements, the corresponding decrease in 3-5 subsequent years has been 12.9 %, or 3.2% per year (compared to the 2012-14 average).

Decreases in tongue-length have been previously attributed to an advantage of shorter tongues for foraging on a wide range of flowers. Such generalist foraging could be favored due to a general decline of floral resources observed in connection with warmer summers (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015). While both long- and short-tongued bees are capable of accessing nectar in plants with short flower tubes, short-tongued bees are more efficient at foraging from them (Inouye 1980) and are also more efficient at foraging across a diversity of flower tube depths (Plowright & Plowright 1997; Arbulo *et al.* 2011; Geib & Galen 2012). Short-tongued bumble bees often forage from a wider suite of plants both in these alpine bumble bee species (Miller-Struttman *et al.* 2015) and others (Heinrich 2004; Goulson & Darvill 2004; Goulson *et al.* 2008b; Huang *et al.* 2015). These considerations indicate that shorter tongues are likely advantageous for generalist foraging. Although short-tongued bees are unable to pollinate plants with long flower tubes, they are likely important for supporting a diverse array of flowering plants.

It is unclear whether the tongue-length changes we observe are the result of natural selection or phenotypic plasticity. There are now many examples of rapid evolution of traits driven by natural selection due to climatic events in the wild, such as a shift in beak shape over a period of a few years in Darwin's finches related to competition for food during drought (Lamichhaney *et al.* 2016) and genomic shifts related to cold-tolerance in the green anole lizard related to severe winter storms (Campbell-Staton *et al.* 2017). Human activity may also promote rapid adaptation by natural selection, as demonstrated by the evolution of longer beaks in great tits promoted by the use of bird feeders in the UK (Bosse *et al.* 2017). Global climate change is also expected to be a driver of rapid evolution, but it is often difficult to establish whether trends of phenotypic change result from evolution or phenotypic plasticity (Merilä & Hendry 2014). Long-term changes in breeding timing in great tits (Charmantier *et al.* 2008) and body mass in red-billed gulls (Teplitsky *et al.* 2008) that track temperature increases have been shown to result solely from phenotypic plasticity.

To address the causes of the changes in bumblebee tongue length observed here, we attempted to uncover the genetic basis of morphological variation using genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and genome-wide complex trait analysis (GCTA). No significant associations were observed for tongue length in the genome of any of the species using GWAS. However, a significant association with body size was identified in *B. sylvicola* at a single SNP in the *CTRB1* (Chymotrypsinogen B1) gene. The lack of association at neighboring SNPs could be attributable to high rates of meiotic recombination and low levels of linkage disequilibrium in bumblebees (Kawakami *et al.* 2019). The *CTRB1* gene produces a precursor of chymotrypsin, a key digestive enzyme in animals, which is likely important for pollen digestion in bees (Giebel *et al.* 1971; Grogan & Hunt 1979; Rawlings & Barrett 1994; Burgess *et al.* 1996; Matsuoka *et al.* 2015; Lazarević & Janković-Tomanić 2015). The associated SNP is found in a large intron (18 Kbp) of this gene, 2 Kbp upstream of the nearest exon. We lack any functional annotation for this SNP but its location and GWAS significance suggests it may play a role in gene regulation. For example, if located within an intronic splicing enhancer or silencer, it may result in variation in expression levels of different isoforms of the CTRB1 enzyme being produced. Such changes could influence body size through an effect on the efficiency of digestion.

We detected a significant signal of covariance of trait measurements with relatedness for both tongue length and ID using GCTA when closely-related individuals were included in the dataset. However, no significant signal of heritability in morphological traits was detected when the dataset was purged of close relatives. The first result could potentially reflect a genetic component, but it is not possible to disentangle this component from the shared environmental effects due to close relatives sharing a nest. This analysis is therefore underpowered to detect genetic effects due to low sample size and a general lack of related individuals in the dataset. A possible explanation for our results is that the morphological traits tongue length and ID have a highly polygenic genetic component, with multiple QTL of small effect ($h^2 < 0.1$), such that GWAS and GCTA were underpowered to detect QTL and estimate heritability. The size of the genetic component of variation in both traits is therefore still unknown in each species.

It therefore remains unclear whether the observed changes in tongue length are caused by natural selection acting on functional genetic variation, or whether they are the result of phenotypic plasticity. There is large variation in body size between individuals in bumblebee nests, indicating substantial phenotypic plasticity in this trait (Peat *et al.* 2005). This variability could be explained by a correlation between larval position in the nest and size, which is related to feeding intensity (Couvillon & Dornhaus 2009). There is evidence from solitary bees of an effect of temperature on body size (Scaven & Rafferty 2013), although such an effect is likely to be less pronounced in social insects such as bumblebees that regulate the temperature of their nests. However, it is unclear whether tongue length also exhibits plasticity, as this trait correlates with body size among nestmates (Peat *et al.* 2005), indicating that plasticity in tongue length independent of body size is less pronounced. However, our observation of significant differences in mean tongue length between mountains could potentially reflect phenotypic plasticity.

In summary, we have shown that decreasing tongue length observed over the last six decades is still ongoing in populations of the bumblebee species *B. sylvicola* and *B. balteatus* (and the recently-reported "incognitus") at high-altitudes in Colorado. We have generated the genomic resources to study these species on the genetic level in the form of highly-contiguous genome assemblies and comprehensively characterized genome variation. Our results indicate that the complex topology of the mountain landscape in Colorado does not contain significant barriers to mating and dispersal of bumblebees between within this area. We are unable to conclude whether the observed morphological shifts over time are the result of genetic adaptation or phenotypic plasticity. Alpine ecosystems are particularly sensitive to climate change and may suffer its effects before other geographical regions (Elsen & Tingley 2015). Continued monitoring of changes in morphology in these and other populations in these regions could be highly valuable for understanding how pollinators respond to the effects of a warming climate, the degree to which rapid evolution by natural selection or phenotypic plasticity are possible, and the effects of the changes on these ecosystems.

DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

All sequence data presented here are available at NCBI under BioProject IDs PRJNA646847 and PRJNA704506. Scripts and annotation parameters used in the study are available at GitHub (https://github.com/matt-webster-lab/alpine_bumblebees). VCF files containing genotypic variation are available at the Dryad Digital Repository (<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.mgqnk990p>).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by Swedish Research Council Formas grant 2016-00535 and a SciLifeLab National Biodiversity Project NP00046 to MTW. MK is financially supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation as part of the National Bioinformatics Infrastructure Sweden at SciLifeLab. The authors acknowledge support from the National Genomics Infrastructure in Stockholm and Uppsala funded by Science for Life Laboratory, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation and the Swedish Research Council. The computations and data handling were enabled by resources provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at UPPMAX partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant agreement no. 2018-05973. We thank the U.S. Forest Service, Dr. Rosemond Greinetz and the Mountain Area Land Trust for providing access to field sites. We thank Jacqueline Staab and Michael Osbourn who aided in specimen collection, identification and preservation and Lisa Danback, Kate Schleicher, Ying Thao, Anna Grobelny and Breana Cook who carried out phenotypic measurements.

REFERENCES

- Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K (2009) Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Research*, **19**, 1655–1664.
- Arbulo N, Santos E, Salvarrey S, Invernizzi C (2011) Proboscis Length and Resource Utilization in Two Uruguayan Bumblebees: *Bombus atratus* Franklin and *Bombus bellicosus* Smith (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Neotropical Entomology*, **40**, 72–77.
- Bosse M, Spurgin LG, Laine VN, Cole EF, Firth JA, Gienapp P, ... Slate J (2017) Recent natural selection causes adaptive evolution of an avian polygenic trait. *Science*, **358**, 365–368.
- Burgess EPJ, Malone LA, Christeller JT (1996) Effects of two proteinase inhibitors on the digestive enzymes and survival of honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *Journal of Insect Physiology*, **42**, 823–828.
- Cameron SA, Lozier JD, Strange JP, Koch JB, Cordes N, Solter LF, Griswold TL (2011) Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 662–667.

- Cameron SA, Sadd BM (2020) Global Trends in Bumble Bee Health. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **65**, 209–232.
- Campbell-Staton SC, Cheviron ZA, Rochette N, Catchen J, Losos JB, Edwards SV (2017) Winter storms drive rapid phenotypic, regulatory, and genomic shifts in the green anole lizard. *Science*, **357**, 495–498.
- Cane JH (1987) Estimation of Bee Size Using Intertegular Span (Apoidea). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society*, **60**, 145–147.
- Cariveau DP, Nayak GK, Bartomeus I, Zientek J, Ascher JS, Gibbs J, Winfree R (2016) The Allometry of Bee Proboscis Length and Its Uses in Ecology. *PLOS ONE*, **11**, e0151482.
- Charmantier A, McCleery RH, Cole LR, Perrins C, Kruuk LEB, Sheldon BC (2008) Adaptive Phenotypic Plasticity in Response to Climate Change in a Wild Bird Population. *Science*, **320**, 800–803.
- Christmas MJ, Jones JC, Olsson A, Wallerman O, Bunikis I, Kierczak M, ... Webster MT (2021) Genetic Barriers to Historical Gene Flow between Cryptic Species of Alpine Bumblebees Revealed by Comparative Population Genomics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*.
- Couvillon MJ, Dornhaus A (2009) Location, location, location: larvae position inside the nest is correlated with adult body size in worker bumble-bees (*Bombus impatiens*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 2411–2418.
- Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, ... Durbin R (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics*, **27**, 2156–2158.
- Darvill B, Ellis JS, Lye GC, Goulson D (2006) Population structure and inbreeding in a rare and declining bumblebee, *Bombus muscorum* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Molecular Ecology*, **15**, 601–611.
- Darvill B, Knight ME, Goulson D (2004) Use of Genetic Markers to Quantify Bumblebee Foraging Range and Nest Density. *Oikos*, **107**, 471–478.
- Ellis JS, Knight ME, Darvill B, Goulson D (2006) Extremely low effective population sizes, genetic structuring and reduced genetic diversity in a threatened bumblebee species, *Bombus sylvarum* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Molecular Ecology*, **15**, 4375–4386.
- Elsen PR, Tingley MW (2015) Global mountain topography and the fate of montane species under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 772–776.
- Estoup A, Scholl A, Pouvreau A, Solignac M (1995) Monoandry and polyandry in bumble bees (Hymenoptera; Bombinae) as evidenced by highly variable microsatellites. *Molecular Ecology*, **4**, 89–93.
- Geib JC, Galen C (2012) Tracing impacts of partner abundance in facultative pollination mutualisms: from individuals to populations. *Ecology*, **93**, 1581–1592.
- Geib JC, Strange JP, Galen C (2015) Bumble bee nest abundance, foraging distance, and host-plant reproduction: implications for management and conservation. *Ecological Applications*, **25**, 768–778.
- Ghisbain G, Lozier JD, Rahman SR, Ezray BD, Tian L, Ulmer JM, ... Hines HM (2020) Substantial genetic divergence and lack of recent gene flow support cryptic speciation in a colour polymorphic bumble bee (*Bombus bifarius*) species complex. *Systematic Entomology*, **45**, 635–652.
- Giebel W, Zwilling R, Pfeleiderer G (1971) The evolution of endopeptidases—XII. The proteolytic enzymes of the honeybee (*Apis Mellifica* L.): Purification and characterization of endopeptidases in the midgut of adult workers and comparative

872 studies on the endopeptidase-pattern of different castes and on different
873 ontogenetic stages. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Comparative*
874 *Biochemistry*, **38**, 197–210.

875 Gienapp P, Fior S, Guillaume F, Lasky JR, Sork VL, Csilléry K (2017) Genomic Quantitative
876 Genetics to Study Evolution in the Wild. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **32**, 897–908.

877 Goulson D (2003) *Bumblebees: Their Behaviour and Ecology*. Oxford University Press.

878 Goulson D, Darvill B (2004) Niche overlap and diet breadth in bumblebees; are rare species
879 more specialized in their choice of flowers? *Apidologie*, **35**, 55–63.

880 Goulson D, Hanley ME, Darvill B, Ellis JS, Knight ME (2005) Causes of rarity in bumblebees.
881 *Biological Conservation*, **122**, 1–8.

882 Goulson D, Lye GC, Darvill B (2008a) Decline and conservation of bumble bees. *Annual*
883 *Review of Entomology*, **53**, 191–208.

884 Goulson D, Lye GC, Darvill B (2008b) Diet breadth, coexistence and rarity in bumblebees.
885 *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **17**, 3269–3288.

886 Goulson D, Nicholls E, Botías C, Rotheray EL (2015) Bee declines driven by combined stress
887 from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **347**,
888 1255957.

889 Grabherr MG, Russell P, Meyer M, Mauceli E, Alföldi J, Di Palma F, Lindblad-Toh K (2010)
890 Genome-wide synteny through highly sensitive sequence alignment: Satsuma.
891 *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 1145–1151.

892 Grogan DE, Hunt JH (1979) Pollen proteases: Their potential role in insect digestion. *Insect*
893 *Biochemistry*, **9**, 309–313.

894 Heinrich B (2004) *Bumblebee Economics*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

895 Heraghty SD, Sutton JM, Pimsler ML, Fierst JL, Strange JP, Lozier JD (2020) De Novo Genome
896 Assemblies for Three North American Bumble Bee Species: *Bombus bifarius*, *Bombus*
897 *vancouverensis*, and *Bombus vosnesenskii*. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, **10**, 2585–
898 2592.

899 Huang J, An J, Wu J, Williams PH (2015) Extreme Food-Plant Specialisation in Megabombus
900 Bumblebees as a Product of Long Tongues Combined with Short Nesting Seasons.
901 *PLOS ONE*, **10**, e0132358.

902 Inouye DW (1980) The effect of proboscis and corolla tube lengths on patterns and rates of
903 flower visitation by bumblebees. *Oecologia*, **45**, 197–201.

904 Jackson JM, Pimsler ML, Oyén KJ, Koch-Uhuad JB, Herndon JD, Strange JP, ... Lozier JD (2018)
905 Distance, elevation and environment as drivers of diversity and divergence in
906 bumble bees across latitude and altitude. *Molecular Ecology*, **27**, 2926–2942.

907 Jha S (2015) Contemporary human-altered landscapes and oceanic barriers reduce bumble
908 bee gene flow. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 993–1006.

909 Jha S, Kremen C (2013) Resource diversity and landscape-level homogeneity drive native
910 bee foraging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, 555–558.

911 Kawakami T, Wallberg A, Olsson A, Wintermantel D, Miranda JR de, Allsopp M, ... Webster
912 MT (2019) Substantial Heritable Variation in Recombination Rate on Multiple Scales
913 in Honeybees and Bumblebees. *Genetics*, genetics.302008.2019.

914 Kearns CA, Inouye DW (1993) *Techniques for Pollination Biologists*. University Press of
915 Colorado, Boulder.

916 Kent CF, Dey A, Patel H, Tsvetkov N, Tiwari T, MacPhail VJ, ... Zayed A (2018) Conservation
917 Genomics of the Declining North American Bumblebee *Bombus terricola* Reveals
918 Inbreeding and Selection on Immune Genes. *Frontiers in Genetics*, **9**.

- 919 Kerr JT, Pindar A, Galpern P, Packer L, Potts SG, Roberts SM, ... Pantoja A (2015) CLIMATE
920 CHANGE. Climate change impacts on bumblebees converge across continents.
921 *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, **349**, 177–180.
- 922 Knight ME, Martin AP, Bishop S, Osborne JL, Hale RJ, Sanderson RA, Goulson D (2005) An
923 interspecific comparison of foraging range and nest density of four bumblebee
924 (*Bombus*) species. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 1811–1820.
- 925 Koch JB, Looney C, Sheppard WS, Strange JP (2017) Patterns of population genetic structure
926 and diversity across bumble bee communities in the Pacific Northwest. *Conservation*
927 *Genetics*, **18**, 507–520.
- 928 Koch J, Strange J, Williams P (2014) *Bumble Bees of the Western United States*. U.S. Forest
929 Service.
- 930 Lamichhaney S, Han F, Berglund J, Wang C, Almen MS, Webster MT, ... Andersson L (2016) A
931 beak size locus in Darwins finches facilitated character displacement during a
932 drought. *Science*, **352**, 470–474.
- 933 Lazarević J, Janković-Tomanić M (2015) Dietary and phylogenetic correlates of digestive
934 trypsin activity in insect pests. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **157**, 123–
935 151.
- 936 Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
937 transform. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1754–1760.
- 938 Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, ... Durbin R (2009) The Sequence
939 Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2078–2079.
- 940 Lozier JD, Strange JP, Koch JB (2013) Landscape heterogeneity predicts gene flow in a
941 widespread polymorphic bumble bee, *Bombus bifarius* (Hymenoptera: Apidae).
942 *Conservation Genetics*, **14**, 1099–1110.
- 943 Lozier JD, Strange JP, Stewart IJ, Cameron SA (2011) Patterns of range-wide genetic variation
944 in six North American bumble bee (Apidae: *Bombus*) species. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**,
945 4870–4888.
- 946 Manichaikul A, Mychaleckyj JC, Rich SS, Daly K, Sale M, Chen W-M (2010) Robust
947 relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics*, **26**, 2867–
948 2873.
- 949 Marshall L, Perdijk F, Dendoncker N, Kunin W, Roberts S, Biesmeijer JC (2020) Bumblebees
950 moving up: shifts in elevation ranges in the Pyrenees over 115 years. *Proceedings.*
951 *Biological Sciences*, **287**, 20202201.
- 952 Martinet B, Lecocq T, Brasero N, Gerard M, Urbanová K, Valterová I, ... Rasmont P (2019)
953 Integrative taxonomy of an arctic bumblebee species complex highlights a new
954 cryptic species (Apidae: *Bombus*). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **187**,
955 599–621.
- 956 Matsuoka T, Takasaki A, Mishima T, Kawashima T, Kanamaru Y, Nakamura T, Yabe T (2015)
957 Expression and characterization of honeybee, *Apis mellifera*, larva chymotrypsin-like
958 protease. *Apidologie*, **46**, 167–176.
- 959 McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, ... DePristo MA
960 (2010) The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-
961 generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome research*, **20**, 1297–1303.
- 962 Merilä J, Hendry AP (2014) Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity: the
963 problem and the evidence. *Evolutionary Applications*, **7**, 1–14.

964 Miller-Struttmann NE, Geib JC, Franklin JD, Kevan PG, Holdo RM, Ebert-May D, ... Galen C
 965 (2015) Functional mismatch in a bumble bee pollination mutualism under climate
 966 change. *Science*, **349**, 1541–1544.

967 Pauls SU, Nowak C, Bálint M, Pfenninger M (2013) The impact of global climate change on
 968 genetic diversity within populations and species. *Molecular Ecology*, **22**, 925–946.

969 Peat J, Tucker J, Goulson D (2005) Does intraspecific size variation in bumblebees allow
 970 colonies to efficiently exploit different flowers? *Ecological Entomology*, **30**, 176–181.

971 Plowright CMS, Plowright RC (1997) THE ADVANTAGE OF SHORT TONGUES IN BUMBLE BEES
 972 (BOMBUS) — ANALYSES OF SPECIES DISTRIBUTIONS ACCORDING TO FLOWER
 973 COROLLA DEPTH, AND OF WORKING SPEEDS ON WHITE CLOVER. *The Canadian*
 974 *Entomologist*, **129**, 51–59.

975 Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ (1994) Families of serine peptidases. *Methods in Enzymology*, **244**,
 976 19–61.

977 Razgour O, Forester B, Taggart JB, Bekaert M, Juste J, Ibáñez C, ... Manel S (2019)
 978 Considering adaptive genetic variation in climate change vulnerability assessment
 979 reduces species range loss projections. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
 980 *Sciences*, **116**, 10418–10423.

981 Ruan J, Li H (2020) Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *Nature Methods*, **17**,
 982 155–158.

983 Sadd BM, Barribeau SM, Bloch G, Graaf DC de, Dearden P, Elisk CG, ... Worley KC (2015) The
 984 genomes of two key bumblebee species with primitive eusocial organization.
 985 *Genome Biology*, **16**, 76.

986 Santure AW, Garant D (2018) Wild GWAS—association mapping in natural populations.
 987 *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **18**, 729–738.

988 Scaven VL, Rafferty NE (2013) Physiological effects of climate warming on flowering plants
 989 and insect pollinators and potential consequences for their interactions. *Current*
 990 *Zoology*, **59**, 418–426.

991 Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
 992 analysis. *Nature Methods*, **9**, 671–675.

993 Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM (2015) BUSCO:
 994 assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
 995 orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 3210–3212.

996 Soroye P, Newbold T, Kerr J (2020) Climate change contributes to widespread declines
 997 among bumble bees across continents. *Science*, **367**, 685–688.

998 Sun C, Huang J, Wang Y, Zhao X, Su L, Thomas GWC, ... Mueller RL (2020) Genus-wide
 999 characterization of bumblebee genomes provides insights into their evolution and
 1000 variation in ecological and behavioral traits. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*.

1001 Teplitsky C, Mills JA, Alho JS, Yarrall JW, Merilä J (2008) Bergmann’s rule and climate change
 1002 revisited: Disentangling environmental and genetic responses in a wild bird
 1003 population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 13492–13496.

1004 Visscher PM, Hemani G, Vinkhuyzen AAE, Chen G-B, Lee SH, Wray NR, ... Yang J (2014)
 1005 Statistical Power to Detect Genetic (Co)Variance of Complex Traits Using SNP Data in
 1006 Unrelated Samples. *PLOS Genetics*, **10**, e1004269.

1007 Wang M, Xu S (2019) Statistical power in genome-wide association studies and quantitative
 1008 trait locus mapping. *Heredity*, **123**, 287–306.

- Whitley KM (2018) Genetic structure and gene flow barriers among populations of an alpine
bumble bee (*Bombus balteatus*) in the central Rocky Mountains. M.Sc. Thesis.
Appalachian State University.
- Williams PH, Berezin MV, Cannings SG, Cederberg B, Ødegaard F, Rasmussen C, ... Byvaltsev
AM (2019) The arctic and alpine bumblebees of the subgenus *Alpinobombus* revised
from integrative assessment of species' gene coalescents and morphology
(Hymenoptera, Apidae, *Bombus*). *Zootaxa*, **4625**, zootaxa.4625.1.1.
- Williams P, Thorp R, Richardson L, Colla (2014) *Bumble Bees of North America*.
- Woodard SH, Lozier JD, Goulson D, Williams PH, Strange JP, Jha S (2015) Molecular tools and
bumble bees: revealing hidden details of ecology and evolution in a model system.
Molecular Ecology, **24**, 2916–2936.
- Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM (2011) GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex
Trait Analysis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, **88**, 76–82.
- Yang J, Zaitlen NA, Goddard ME, Visscher PM, Price AL (2014) Advantages and pitfalls in the
application of mixed-model association methods. *Nature Genetics*, **46**, 100–106.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Designed research: MJC, NEM-S, JCG, MTW; Performed research: all authors; Analyzed data:
MJC, JCJ, OW, IB, MK, MTW; Wrote the paper: MJC, MTW.

Figure 1. Population sampling and genetic variation in three *Bombus* species. (A) Map
showing the sampling locations of *Bombus balteatus*, *B. sylvicola*, and “incognitus” on seven
mountains in the Rocky Mountains, CO, USA. Insert indicates location in the USA.
Neighbour-joining trees of (B) *B. balteatus* and (C) *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” populations
sampled across the seven mountains, based on genome-wide single nucleotide
polymorphisms. Coloured tips indicate the mountain each sample was collected on, with
colours corresponding to those in (A).

**Figure 2. Principal component analysis of Rocky Mountain populations of three *Bombus*
species.** Plots show the first two principal components from principal component analyses
of (A) *Bombus balteatus*, (B) *B. sylvicola* and (C) “incognitus” populations. Numbers in
brackets on axes indicate the percentage variance explained by each principal component.

Figure 3. Correlations between kinship and geographic distance. Geographic distance
between all pairs of samples plotted against kinship coefficient (a measure of relatedness)
between pairs are shown for (A) *Bombus balteatus*, (B) *B. sylvicola*, and (C) “incognitus”,
where each point represents a pair. (D-F) Mantel correlograms showing the Mantel
correlation between kinship and geographic distance over 10 km distance classes for (D)
Bombus balteatus, (E) *B. sylvicola*, and (F) “incognitus”. Filled in boxes indicate significant
correlations ($p < 0.01$). There were insufficient data points for calculating Mantel
correlations at distance classes > 60 km for *B. balteatus* and *B. sylvicola*. For “incognitus”,
distance class 10-20 km as well as all distance classes > 50 km lacked sufficient data.

Figure 4. Comparisons of glossa lengths between species, years, and locations. (A) Least-
squares mean (ls-mean) glossa lengths correcting for body size for the three *Bombus*
species, based on measurements of the 2017 collections. Least-squares mean glossa

lengths, correcting for body size and sample location, per sampling period for (B) *B. balteatus* and (C) *B. sylvicola* and “incognitus” combined. In all plots, error bars represent $\bar{x} \pm$ standard error and significant differences between classes are indicated by asterisks ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 5. Genome-wide association Manhattan plot. (A) The $-\log_{10}$ p-values from an association test using a mixed linear model between allele frequencies and intertegular distance (ID) are plotted for 2,705,361 genome-wide SNPs against their genome positions over the 18 *Bombus balteatus* pseudochromosomes. Red dashed line indicates genome-wide significance after Bonferroni correction for $p = 0.01$. A single SNP on chromosome 10, coloured and circled in red, had a $-\log_{10}$ p-value above this threshold. Green dashed line indicates genome-wide significance after Bonferroni correction for $p = 0.05$. Two neighbouring SNPs located on chromosome 14, coloured green, had $-\log_{10}$ p-values above this threshold. (B) Zoomed-in plot of the significant SNP in chromosome 10 showing its location within an intron of the *CTRB1* gene. Exons of this gene are represented by purple boxes, introns are represented by dashed purple lines.

Figure 6. Estimates of SNP-heritability for two traits in *Bombus* species. The proportion of variance in glossa length and intertegular distance (ID) explained by all genome-wide SNPs (the SNP-based heritability) was calculated using the genome-base restricted maximum likelihood (GREML) method in GCTA. These were calculated separately for *B. sylvicola* (left panel) and *B. balteatus* (right panel). We included ID as a covariate with glossa length to control for body size. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals around estimates. Each analysis was carried out twice, once with all samples (red), and a second time with one from each pair of sisters removed (black), to assess the effect of including highly related samples in the analysis.

Table 1: Assembly metrics for the two genomes, with two other published *Bombus* genomes included for comparison

Species	<i>B. balteatus</i>	<i>B. sylvicola</i>	<i>B. terrestris</i>	<i>B. impatiens</i>
Assembly	BBAL_1.0	BSYL_1.0	Bter_1.0	BIMP_2.2
Size (Mbp) [†]	250.07	252.08	248.65 (236.38)	246.86 (241.98)
Scaffolds (n)	-	-	5,678	5,460
Contigs (n)	336	592	10,672	16,060
Contig N50 (Mbp)	8.60	3.02	0.08	0.06
Contig L50 (n)	12	28	890	54
GC (%)	37.64	38.23	37.51	37.76
Repeat content (%)	17.1	17.9	14.8	17.9
Complete hymenoptera BUSCO genes (%) [*]	99.0	98.2	96.9	98.3

^{*}BUSCO analysis using the OrthoDB v. 10, Hymenoptera dataset.

[†] Numbers in brackets represent total ungapped length

A **B**