Increased pathogenicity of the nematophagous fungus *Drechmeria coniospora* following long-term laboratory culture.

Damien Courtine, Xing Zhang and Jonathan J. Ewbank

Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, CIML, Turing Centre for Living Systems,
Marseille, France

Corresponding author: ewbank@ciml.univ-mrs.fr

ORCID: 0000-0002-9162-0111 (DC), 0000-0001-9847-2193 (XZ), 0000-0002-1257-6862 (JJE)

Abstract

Domestication provides a window into adaptive change. Over the course of 2 decades of laboratory culture, a strain of the nematode-specific fungus *Drechmeria coniospora* became more virulent during its infection of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Through a close comparative examination of the genome sequences of the original strain and its more pathogenic derivative, we identified a small number of non-synonymous mutations in protein-coding genes. In one case, the mutation was predicted to affect a gene involved in hypoxia resistance and we provide direct corroborative evidence for such an effect. The mutated genes with functional annotation were all predicted to impact the general physiology of the fungus and this was reflected in an increased *in vitro* growth, even in the absence of *C. elegans*. While most cases involved single nucleotide substitutions predicted to lead to a loss of function, we also observed a predicted restoration of gene function through deletion of an extraneous tandem repeat. This latter change affected the regulatory subunit of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase. Remarkably, we also found a mutation in a gene for a second protein of the same, protein kinase A, pathway. Together, we predict that they result in a stronger repression of the pathway for given levels of ATP and adenylate cyclase activity. Finally, we also identified mutations in a few lineage-specific genes of unknown function that are candidates for factors that influence virulence in a more direct manner.

Introduction

Drechmeria coniospora is a nematophagous *Ascomycetes* fungus that lies on a distal branch of the *Ophiocordycipitaceae* (Li et al., 2021). It is one of the best-characterised fungal pathogens of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Infection starts with the adhesion of non-motile asexual spores (conidia) to the nematode cuticle. These single-celled haploid spores are

formed through a phialidic mode of holoblastic conidiogenesis. In other words, they grow out from a conidiogenic hypha, on specialized stalks called conidiophores, with their extension involving the complete cell wall of the hypha, and can be distinguished from the conidiogenic hypha before they separate from it. They are also called mitospores, as they are generated through mitosis, with no meiosis, and are therefore genetically identical to their haploid parent. After adhesion, an appressorium forms, allowing the nematode cuticle to be penetrated. Haploid and septate endozoic hyphae grow throughout the infected host, with new conidiophores then emerging through the cuticle of the nematode, forming conidia that can go on to infect other nematodes (Gernandt and Stone, 1999; Saikawa, 1982; Wyatt et al., 2013).

A variety of isolates from diverse locations worldwide exist, including ATCC 96282, derived from a strain collected in Sweden by H.-B. Jansson in 1990 (Jansson and Friman, 1999). This strain has been extensively used in studies addressing host defences (Dierking et al., 2011; Labed et al., 2012; Lee et al., 2010, 2018). More recently, taking its genome as a starting point, *D. coniospora* has been developed as a model to understand how nematophagous fungi can infect and kill their hosts. This capacity has emerged multiple times during evolution and in each case appears largely to involve distinct molecular mechanisms (Andersson et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2020; Lebrigand et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2018; Meerupati et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2016), although there is also evidence in some cases for convergent evolution (Iqbal et al., 2018).

With regards *D. coniospora*, as a first example, presumably as a consequence of horizontal gene transfer, the fungus has acquired genes encoding proteins containing one or more SapA domains that bind to and potentially inhibit the antimicrobial activity of host SapB-domains proteins (Lebrigand et al., 2016). Secondly, *D. coniospora* has an unusually broad repertoire of enterotoxins (Wang et al., 2018). Only two out of these 23 enterotoxins have been characterised in any detail. They play specific, partly antagonistic roles, interfering with host defence signalling pathways (Zhang et al., 2021). These examples represent a tiny fraction of the hundreds of potential virulence factors encoded within the *D. coniospora* genome. A substantial proportion of them are either lineage-specific or correspond to proteins for which no functional annotation exists in any species (Lebrigand et al., 2016). Many aspects of the biology of *D. coniospora* that are most relevant to its capacity to parasitise worms are therefore poorly characterised.

One powerful way to address the molecular basis of physiological traits is to take advantage of genetic and phenotypic variation between natural populations. The genome of a Danish isolate of *D. coniospora* has also been sequenced (Zhang et al., 2016), but its genome is very different from that of ATCC 96282 (Courtine et al., 2020), rendering comparative functional analysis challenging. Another approach relies on experimental evolution. Typically, organisms are maintained in a defined environment, with or without applied selective pressures, and the changes that accumulate over time are monitored. The best known such study is one from the Lenski lab, where 12 populations of *Escherichia coli* have been cultured since 1988 in minimal media by batch culture for more than 60,000 generations (Good et al., 2017; Lenski, 2017). In another long-running experiment, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* populations evolved over 3 years, for some 10,000 generations in three environments (Johnson et al., 2021; McDonald, 2019). These and other studies have given

valuable insights into evolutionary processes. It has been observed repeatedly that populations follow a more-or-less foreseeable path, with the rate that fitness increases slowing down as populations adapt, while the mutation rate remains relatively stable. In comparison to complex and changing natural environments, laboratory culture conditions are designed to be simple and constant. Although it is impossible to predict what exact mutations will arise, selection pressure will generally favour the loss of genes and pathways that are superfluous under the experimental conditions (Kvitek and Sherlock, 2013; McDonald, 2019).

Experimental evolution has also been used to characterise host-pathogen interactions. In the case of *C. elegans*, pioneering studies include a dissection of the role of mating modalities in host resistance (Morran et al., 2011), behavioural responsiveness to bacterial microbes (Schulte et al., 2012), and co-evolutionary studies (Masri et al., 2015). The field continues to be very active (e.g. (Ekroth et al., 2021; White et al., 2020, 2021)). Here, we took advantage of an unintentional domestication experiment. In 1999, we started laboratory culture of the *D. coniospora* ATCC 96282 strain. We recently sequenced the genome of this isolate, which we refer to as Swe1, as well as that of a derived strain, Swe3, cryo-archived in 2018 after almost 2 decades of laboratory culture (Courtine et al., 2020). As we show here, there is a notable difference in the speed at which Swe1 and Swe3 kill *C. elegans*.

Leveraging the genome annotation available for the Swe1 derivative Swe2, cryo-archived and sequenced in 2013 (Lebrigand et al., 2016), we were able to annotate the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes. This then provided an opportunity to conduct a comparative genomic analysis, to identify the mutations that have accumulated over the years, including those potentially linked to the observed increase in virulence. When the laboratory culture of *D. coniospora* was started, there was little expectation that it would continue for so long. Had this been the case, a more controlled experimental protocol would have been instigated, with, for example, passaging of spores at more regular intervals, and replicate populations grown in parallel to be able to determine the robustness and reproducibility of any observed changes. Nevertheless, this single somewhat uncontrolled long-term experiment does provide insight into the evolutionary changes that occurred during domestication of *D. coniospora* and that impact its virulence

Methods

C.elegans strains and culture

The strain IG463 (*rrf-3(b26);fIs7*) was made by standard crosses between the conditionally sterile mutant strain DH26 *rrf-3(b26) II* (formerly *fer-15*) and the reporter strain IG274 (+*fIs7* [*nlp-29p::GFP, col-12p::DsRed*] *IV*) (Pujol et al., 2008). N2 and other strains were maintained on nematode growth media (NGM) and fed *E. coli* strain OP50 (Stiernagle, 2006). IG463 and DH26 were maintained at 15°C, the permissive temperature, the other strains at 25°C.

***D. coniospora* culture**

D. coniospora was serially cultured by infecting *C. elegans*. Typically, spores were harvested from infected worms every one or two weeks and used to infect a fresh worm population. The methods used are described in detail elsewhere (Powell and Ausubel, 2008). Briefly, about 300 μ l of freshly harvested spore solution (ca. $1\text{--}5 \times 10^8$ spores) was added to a standard 10 cm NGM agar plate with 1000-2000 synchronized L4 or young adult N2 worms on an extensive lawn of *E. coli* OP50. After drying under a laminar flow hood, the plate was incubated at 25°C for 1 day. Infected worms were harvested with 50 mM NaCl and transferred to an NGM plate supplemented with 15 μ g/ml gentamicin and 100 μ g/ml ampicillin, without OP50. The plate was incubated at 25°C for up to 1 week and then stored at 20°C.

Infection assays

Spores were harvested from plates at 25°C after 6 days and counted using a Neubauer chamber (Bürker) as described (<http://www.lo-laboroptik.de/englisch/info/info.html>) before dilution in 50 mM NaCl to the required concentration. Around 150 young adult IG463 worms that had been grown at 25°C, the non-permissive temperature, were manually transferred to 4 cm plates containing NGM agar seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Freshly harvested spores (1×10^9) were spread on the plate. After drying under a laminar flow hood, and overnight infection at 25°C, for each experimental condition, 25 worms were picked into 4 wells of a 12-well plate containing NGM agar seeded with *E. coli* OP50. Images of each well were collected automatically every 24 minutes using a custom system that will be described elsewhere. The images were examined, and worms scored as dead when they no longer exhibited movement between successive images.

Analyses with the Biosort worm sorter

Fluorescent protein expression was quantified with the COPAS (Complex Object Parametric Analyzer and Sorter) Biosort system (Union Biometrica, Holliston, MA) as described (Pujol et al., 2008). Worms were analyzed for length (assessed as TOF, time of flight), optical density (assessed as extinction) and Green and/or Red fluorescence (GFP/Red). Raw data were filtered on the TOF for adult worms (typically $300 \leq \text{TOF} \leq 1500$). Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired t-test (GraphPad Prism).

Monitoring fungal growth

Spores (typically 10^6 in 30 μ l) were added to each well of 12-well plates containing 700 μ l of NGM agar supplemented with 0 mM, 1 mM, or 2 mM CoCl_2 and incubated at 25°C. Fungal growth was recorded using a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 microscope equipped with Definite Focus, a motorised stage, a PeCon GmbH incubation chamber and a Hamamatsu C11440-42U30 camera. All images from a stack were aligned using the ImageJ macro *Align_Slice* (https://github.com/landinig/IJ-Align_Slice/) to compensate for any image drift. Spore and hyphae length were measured using the ImageJ toolbox HyphaTracker v1.0 (Brunk et al., 2018; Schindelin et al., 2012). The thresholds to convert images into binary data were

set automatically, the minimal area was set to 5 pixels and the last frame was selected to be the reference.

Fungal PCR

Fungal DNA was extracted as previously described (Courtine et al., 2020) from freshly thawed aliquots of the original isolate ATCC 96282, called here Swe1, or its derivatives Swe2 and Swe3, archived and sequenced in 2013 (Lebrigand et al., 2016) and 2018 (Courtine et al., 2020), respectively. Once samples of Swe1, Swe2 or Swe3, which were cryopreserved at -80°C, were thawed, their precise culture history before being used in experiments was recorded (number of passages, etc). The identity of the different strains was verified regularly by PCR using primers designed to amplify one locus per chromosome, divergent either between Swe1 and Swe2, or between Swe2 and Swe3. The strains could be distinguished on the basis of the results of PCR amplification: presence or absence of an amplicon, size of an amplicon, or amplicon sequence, depending on the strain and PCR primers used (Supp. Table S1). PCR with the indicated primers pairs was also used to produce amplicons from Swe2 genomic DNA, corresponding to regions that were previously poorly defined, that were then sequenced.

Assembling the Swe2 mitochondrial genome

The genomic reads from Swe2 (SRR1810847) mapping on the Swe1 and Swe3 mitochondrial sequences (Courtine et al., 2020) were extracted and used for a *de novo* assembly with IDBA-UD v1.1.3-1 using default parameters (Peng et al., 2012). The resulting longest contig was circularised with nucmer (--maxmatch --nosimplify), followed by show-coords (-lrcT) within the package MUMmer v4.0.0b2 (Marçais et al., 2018). This generated a mitochondrial genome that was judged complete on the basis of comparison with available mitochondrial genomic sequences from the closely-related fungi *Purpureocillium lilacinum*, *Tolypocladium inflatum* and *Tolypocladium cylindrosporum* (Supp. Table S2; Supp. Fig. S1).

Scaffolding of Swe2 sequences into chromosomal assemblies

A previous comparison of Swe1 and Swe3 genomes revealed a chromosomal collinearity. This had allowed the major Swe2 scaffolds to be assembled into 3 chromosomes (Courtine et al., 2020), but omitted smaller scaffolds that included some predicted protein-coding genes (Supp. Table S3). Each of these unincorporated scaffolds was compared to the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences using BLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997). The alignments were visualised using Kablammo (Wintersinger and Wasmuth, 2015), permitting manual assignment of scaffolds containing repeat sequences to unique chromosomal positions, as well as trimming of unaligned regions. The precise insertion position and orientation for each scaffold was determined by manual inspection of a multiple sequence alignment from the 3 genomes using Mauve (Darling et al., 2004). When the precise junctional sequence could not be defined, an NNN sequence was added at either side of each newly inserted scaffold.

Improving the Swe2 genome sequence

The reassembled Swe2 genome still included 1,386 regions of sequence undetermined in the original assembly *i.e.* stretches with one or several consecutive *Ns*. Sequences 1000 nt 5' and 3' to each of these regions were extracted separately from the Swe2 genome and aligned by BLASTN against the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes. In the simplest case, when unambiguous syntenic alignments revealed regions of defined genome sequence fully conserved between Swe1 and Swe3, the relevant sequence was copied from Swe1/Swe3 and inserted in the place of the undefined region of the Swe2 genome. In cases where the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences had the same length but were not identical, the differences were examined, and only the ones with 10 or less ambiguous positions were retained. These remaining undefined bases were left as *N* before using the consensus sequence to edit the Swe2 genome. This resulted in an addition of 41,997 bp of defined sequence. No attempt was made to correct the Swe2 sequence when the corresponding regions in the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were of different lengths. In some cases, the flanking regions overlapped when aligned, because of an incorrect copy number for a repetitive sequence in the Swe2 genome. We then used the consensus Swe1 and Swe3 sequences to remove this superfluous sequence from the Swe2 genome, representing a total of 7,579 bp of deleted sequence. As a complementary automatic approach, in parallel we used the tool Sealer, from the assembler ABySS (Paulino et al., 2015) with the parameters "*-b20G -k120 -k110 -k100 -k90 -k80 -k70 -k60 -k50 -k40 -F 700 -P 10 -B 3000*" to generate a list of gaps to be closed, many of which were also identified by the manual workflow. We filtered these out, as well as those containing ambiguous bases, and then implemented the remaining modifications identified by Sealer. The improved version of Swe2 still contains 33,795 *Ns* in 993 stretches.

Genome similarity metrics

Average Nucleotide Identity values were calculated using pyani (v0.2.9) with default parameters (Pritchard et al., 2015). Genomes were aligned with Minimap v2.17-r974-dirty with the parameters *-c --cs=long* (Li, 2018a). The resulting PAF file was converted to MAF with the Minimap2 utility *paftools.js view -f maf* and the command *sed 's/^a /a score=/'*. The gap-compressed identity score was extracted from the field *de:f* in the PAF file, and the gap-excluded identity score was obtained by parsing the MAF alignment (BioPython AlignIO v1.78). For all gap-free positions, the number of matches and mismatches was recorded, allowing the number of matches divided by number of matches and mismatches to be calculated. These metrics were calculated for alignment blocks longer than 1 Mb (Li, 2018b). Homopolymers were removed from the genomes with the command "*sed -e 's/[aA]\{5,\}//g' -e 's/[tT]\{5,\}//g' -e 's/[cC]\{5,\}//g' -e 's/[gG]\{5,\}//g' "*.

Swe2 gene set

A number of proteins predicted for *D. coniospora* ARSEF 6962 (Zhang et al., 2016), referred to here as Dan2, were absent from the original Swe2 protein set as judged by the results of reciprocal BLASTP searches. These included members of highly repeated families, for example integrases and transposases, as well as a few with atypical structures (KYK54054.1/KYK54053.1/KYK56388.1/KYK54065.1)) that we did not attempt to curate.

The remaining Dan2 protein sequences were aligned by TBLASTN against the Swe2 genome. Those matching an existing predicted Swe2 protein-coding gene were considered likely to reflect events of gene expansion in Dan2 and not pursued further, with the exception of genes encoding proteins with an enterotoxin alpha domain (PFAM: PF01375), which was the subject of manual annotation (Zhang et al., 2021). Then Dan2 protein sequences that aligned with predicted coding sequence from Swe2 with an identity greater than 90% and for which the main exon was more than 80% of the predicted coding sequence for the gene were manually curated and added to the set of predicted protein-coding genes in Swe2. When polishing of the Swe2 genome removed one or more *N* from a predicted protein-coding gene, the RNAseq reads (SRR1930119, SRR1930124) and/or BLASTX alignment at NCBI against nr/nt databases were used to validate the corrected sequence.

Gene Comparison

To identify the mutations that accumulated within protein-coding genes, the Swe2 gene set was directly compared to the Swe1 and Swe3 genome sequences, taking advantage of their conserved genomic structure. Thus, from the start of each chromosome, Swe2 genes were sequentially aligned on Swe1 and Swe3 by BLASTN v2.8.1+ with the parameters *-max_hsps 1 -dust no*, verifying at each iteration that the target gene was in the expected chromosomal position. For each such gene, the query coverage, percent of identity, as well as alignment start and stop coordinates on the Swe1 and Swe3 chromosomes were recorded.

We then selected, i) genes with a query coverage equal to 1 and less than 100% sequence identity, ii) genes with a query coverage different from 1. For the former set, exons for each gene were aligned to the expected gene sequence in Swe1 and Swe3 by BLASTN to identify genes with mutations in introns; these were excluded from further analysis. The protein sequence of the remaining genes was aligned to Swe1 and Swe3 by TBLASTN with parameters *-seq no* and *-subject_loc* to define the gene coordinates on the target genome. All high scoring pairs (HSPs) were compared 2 by 2 between Swe1 and Swe3 to identify potential sequence changes. The predicted protein sequence of candidate genes with expected non-synonymous substitutions were aligned on the expected Swe1/Swe3 gene using Exonerate v2.2.0 (Slater and Birney, 2005) and parameters *-m protein2genome* to confirm the mutation. Finally, the sequence evidence for each potentially mutated position was checked in the 3 genomes using the sets of short-reads available: ERR3997395, SRR1810847 and ERR3997392 for Swe1, Swe2 and Swe3, respectively, and only those fully supported were retained. The second set of genes was parsed in the same way, except for those having one or more exons that failed to align during the first BLASTN analysis. Among these, genes with *N* in their sequence were removed. For the remaining genes, each corresponding genomic locus in the 3 Swe genomes was manually inspected in combination with alignments of short sequencing reads as above, and long reads (ERR3997483, ERR3997394) for Swe1 and Swe3, respectively and only those exhibiting fully supported non-synonymous changes were retained.

Results

D. coniospora has become more virulent following laboratory culture

We have cultured *D. coniospora* in the laboratory for two decades, passaging it serially through *C. elegans* hundreds of times. We noticed that compared to the original isolate, which we call Swe1, the passaged strain, Swe3, appeared to kill its nematode host more rapidly. As *D. coniospora* can be cryopreserved at -80°C, we were able to compare in the same experiment the Swe1 and Swe3 strains. When we thus assayed the survival of *C. elegans* following *D. coniospora* infection, there was indeed a significant difference between the strains (TD₅₀ 40.7 vs 70.8 h for Swe3 vs Swe1, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. 1A). The speed of killing is influenced by the number of fungal conidia (spores) that attach to the nematode cuticle (Zugasti et al., 2016). Non-infectious spores acquire an adhesive bud as they mature, essential for the capacity to attach to the host. There were no significant differences in spore morphology nor in their rate of maturation between Swe1 and Swe3 (Fig. 1B), with figures that were comparable to those reported previously for *D. coniospora* (van den Boogert et al., 1992). Nor was there any significant difference for spore attachment to *C. elegans* between the two strains (Fig. 1C), suggesting that the observed difference in worm survival was related to other changes affecting fungal virulence. This was supported by the fact that at early time points during the infection, Swe3 provoked a significantly greater host innate immune reaction, as reflected by the higher expression of the *nlp-29p::GFP* transgene (Fig. 1D), a well-characterised reporter of the host response to fungal infection (Pujol et al., 2008). Additionally, there was markedly more rapid growth of mycelia from infected worms before and after they died from infection with Swe3 compared to Swe1 (Fig. 1E). The greater virulence of Swe3 therefore appears to be correlated with an increase in fungal growth during the colonization of *C. elegans*.

To investigate whether the difference in virulence might reflect a more general alteration of fitness, we compared the growth of Swe1 and Swe3 in the absence of worms. These tests were conducted in the absence of bacteria, as we observed that the *E. coli* strain OP50 used with *C. elegans* strongly inhibited fungal growth. Interestingly, Swe3 grew in culture more rapidly than Swe1 (Fig. 1F), suggesting that part of the observed increase in virulence might reflect generally improved growth under laboratory culture conditions, rather than changes affecting specific virulence mechanisms.

Improving the Swe2 genome sequence

We wished to identify the changes between the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes that could account for their phenotypic differences. The faster growth of Swe3 could reflect changes in mitochondrial function. While the nuclear genome of Swe2, a strain intermediate between Swe1 and Swe3 was sequenced and annotated a few years ago, its mitochondrial genome had not been assembled (Lebrigand et al., 2016). Taking the mitochondrial genomes of Swe1 and Swe3 as a template (Courtine et al., 2020), we remapped the available Swe2 DNA reads, allowing assembly of a complete Swe2 mitochondrial genome. When we compared the genomes of the 3 strains, we found that they were 100% identical. Thus, the phenotypic

differences observed between Swe1 and Swe3 must be a consequence of changes to the nuclear genome.

While the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes are more complete than that of Swe2 (Courtine et al., 2020), gene predictions have only been made for Swe2 (Lebrigand et al., 2016). We therefore needed to transpose the Swe2 gene annotations to the other 2 strains' genomic sequences in order to then be able to compare gene sequences between the different strains. Before doing so, we decided to improve the existing Swe2 sequence and gene predictions. Using the most recent chromosome-level assembly (Courtine et al., 2020) as a starting point, we took advantage of the global synteny between the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes to improve further the assembly of the Swe2 nuclear genome, adding 22 previously un-scaffolded small Swe2 scaffolds, for a total of exactly 580 kb to the existing 31.14 Mb genome (an increase of 0.19%; Supp. Table S3).

At the nucleotide level, the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes are extremely similar, with numerous stretches of >100 kb with 100% sequence identity, the longest being 260 kb. If a particular sequence is absolutely conserved between Swe1 and Swe3, it is reasonable to assume that the Swe2 sequence will be the same. We validated this assumption by amplifying and sequencing 2 randomly selected Swe2 genomic regions containing undetermined (*i.e.* “N”) nucleotides (Supp. Fig. S2). On this basis, we therefore replaced 19,478 previously undetermined nucleotides in the Swe2 genome with the corresponding sequence from the Swe1/Swe3 genomes, in 471 stretches ranging from 1 to 694 bp (median 23 bp), thereby adding a further 42 kb of defined sequence, removing 7.5 kb of extraneous (erroneously duplicated) sequence, and resulting in an improved sequence for 4 protein coding genes (see Methods for details). This then gave us high-quality chromosomal level assemblies for Swe1, Swe2 and Swe3, that provided a starting point for more detailed analyses.

Sequence divergence following years of laboratory culture

Unlike the Swe2 genome (Lebrigand et al., 2016), the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were assembled using long-reads, with short-read polishing. This has the potential to introduce systematic errors, particularly in homopolymeric sequences (Courtine et al., 2020), complicating precise comparisons. Nevertheless, to have a global overview of the sequence divergence between the 3 genomes, we calculated the Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI), a widely applied measure to compare genome sequences, using one alignment-free and two alignment-based methods (Olm et al., 2017). As expected, the sequences were all very similar by this metric (>99.9%). Surprisingly, however, Swe1 and Swe3 showed a higher sequence similarity to each other than to the intermediary strain Swe2 (Supp. Table S4). Therefore, to complement this analysis and provide a more refined view of the sequence divergence between the 3 Swe strains, we aligned their genomes and evaluated the similarity between each pair. We restricted this test to blocks of sequence that gave unambiguous alignments longer than 1 Mbp, to limit the confounding effects of repeated sequences (see Discussion). To obtain an estimate of single nucleotide substitutions, we chose the gap-excluded identity (Li, 2018b). We also used the gap-compressed identity within Minimap2, in which indels of any size are counted as one event. Despite polishing (see above), the Swe2 genome includes stretches of undetermined sequences. We therefore calculated the different metrics with *N*

masking when appropriate. The Swe1 and Swe3 genomes were calculated to differ by less than 0.002%, i.e. at one position per 50 kb (Table 1). This value rose to ca. 0.009% when indel events were taken into account. Unexpectedly, but consistent with the results obtained with ANI, the metrics indicated that the genomes of Swe1 and Swe3 were indeed more similar to each other than either were to the genome of Swe2. Compared to the Swe2 genome, the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes differed by 0.004 % and 0.005% respectively. When indels were taken into account, these figures increased, up to 0.06% (Table 1).

Inspection revealed that many instances of potential differences occurred in stretches of homopolymeric sequence. It is known that correctly calling homopolymers from long-reads is challenging. Our analysis indicates that even with short-read polishing (Courtine et al., 2020), the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes contain residual homopolymer errors (Supp. Fig. S3). To have a more realistic measure of sequence similarity, we therefore removed homopolymer sequences of 5 or more identical nucleotides, from each of the Swe genomes, and recalculated the different metrics. In all comparisons, the similarity scores increased, with a more marked effect for comparisons involving Swe2 (Table 1). Nevertheless, Swe1 and Swe3 were still reported to have the highest similarity, with a divergence as low as 0.0005% and 0.0001% (i.e. one change per 200 kb and 1 Mb) by the gap-excluded and gap-compressed metrics, respectively. The values for the comparison with Swe2 were an order of magnitude higher (0.004% and 0.003% of divergence with Swe1 and Swe3, respectively), and about 0.04% of divergence using the gap-compressed metric. As discussed below, this likely reflects the different genome sequencing approaches. Nevertheless, the values for Swe1 and Swe3 give an estimate of the comparatively low sequence evolution of the Swe genomes over time.

No sexual reproduction in *D. coniospora* under laboratory conditions.

Any interpretation of *D. coniospora* molecular evolution requires a knowledge of its reproductive mode. Whether or not *D. coniospora* has a sexual cycle remains unclear. Sexually reproducing fungi can be homothallic (self-fertile) or heterothallic (outcrossing) (Heitman et al., 2007). In ascomycetes, this is determined by the allelic combination of the genes of the mating type (*MAT*) loci, termed idiomorphs. In a homothallic species, individual cells will possess both *MAT1-1* and *MAT1-2* idiomorphs, while in heterothallic species, a single cell will have genes of only one idiomorph. Mating is then restricted to cells with complementary idiomorphs. Zhang *et al.* reported the *D. coniospora* ARSEF 6962 (called here Dan2) genome to include a well-conserved *MAT1-1* locus composed of 3 genes (*MAT1-1-1* (KYK59754.1), *MAT1-1-2* (KYK59755.1) and *MAT1-1-3* (KYK59756.1)), but no *MAT1-2* idiomorphs. Taken together with evidence for an active repeat induced point mutation (RIP) system, and the presence of genes for 2 RIP system proteins, as well as 4 heterokaryon incompatibility (HET) proteins, they suggested that *D. coniospora* might be heterothallic (Zhang et al., 2016). Dan2 is a derivative of the *D. coniospora* isolate CBS 615.82 that we refer to as Dan1 (Courtine et al., 2020). Like Dan2, the Dan1 genome contains genes for the 2 RIP system and 4 HET proteins, as well as 3 *MAT1-1* genes in a single locus, but no *MAT1-2* genes. While the Swe genomes contain genes for the same RIP system and HET proteins (Supp. Table 5), no *MAT* genes were predicted in the original annotation of the Swe2 genome (Lebrigand et al., 2016). On the other hand, in the Swe2 region syntenic to the

Dan1/Dan2 *MAT1-1* locus, using BLASTX and TBLASTN searches, we found a putative *MAT1-2-1* gene that had not been previously annotated, fully conserved in Swe1 and Swe3, but absent from the Dan1 and Dan2 genomes (Fig. 2). Zhang *et al.* had proposed that *D. coniospora*'s adaptation to an endoparasitic lifestyle might result in a gradual loss of sexual reproduction (Zhang et al., 2016). On the basis of our analysis, while it remains possible that in nature *D. coniospora* is heterothallic, under our experimental conditions, the Swe strains used here will only reproduce in an asexual manner. Since spore formation involves a transition between two haploid states, with no meiosis, for this study, the *D. coniospora* isolate Swe1 and its derivatives can be considered to behave as haploid asexual clones.

Completion of the Swe proteome

The absence of *MAT1-2-1* from the predicted gene set for Swe2 suggested that there might be other lacunae in gene prediction. We therefore conducted an all-against-all comparison between the protein-coding genes of Dan2 and Swe2 (Genbank GCA_001625195.1 and GCA_001618945.1, respectively). This identified 298 genes present in Dan2 for which there was no equivalent prediction for Swe2. Detailed sequence searches in the Swe2 genome (see Methods) revealed plausible sequences for 39 of them, and these were added to the Swe2 gene set. This set was then used to predict genes in the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes, using a sequential approach (see Methods). Direct searches of the remaining 259 Dan2 genes against the Swe1 genome failed to identify any further previously unpredicted genes.

Genomic comparison of the 3 *D. coniospora* strains reveals mutated genes

We then compared the respective sequences of the complete set of 8,702 protein-coding genes from the Swe1, Swe2, and Swe3 genomes. The vast majority, 94.7%, had precisely the same DNA sequence in the 3 genomes (Fig. 3). The remaining 457 genes potentially contain mutations. In most cases (68.4%), the mutation was present in an intron. In no case did this affect splice donor or acceptor sites and these mutations would not be expected to affect the corresponding protein. We filtered out 103 of the remaining 144 genes either because the mutation was synonymous, and would not result in any change in the sequence of the corresponding protein, or since a potential mutation had been called because the polished Swe2 sequence still contained one or more *N*. Even though the 3 genomes are of high quality, 31 out of the remaining 41 potential mutations were found to be a consequence of an error in a homopolymeric sequence, despite short-read support for the consensus sequence (e.g. Supp. Fig. S3). These errors in the Swe1 and/or Swe3 genomes were manually corrected. It should be noted that similar errors will exist in non-coding regions that were not examined here. In the end, we identified with high confidence just 10 protein-coding genes with indels or non-synonymous mutations in exonic sequences.

When applicable, the changes that we found in the genomic sequence were fully supported by the transcriptomics data available for Swe2. Interestingly, in two cases, specifically for mutations that were predicted to appear between Swe2 and Swe3, the RNAseq reads were indicative of sequence heterogeneity (Supp. Fig. S4). The samples for RNAseq analysis had been collected following a particular culture regime, involving amplification in liquid media (Lebrigand et al., 2016). It appears that the RNAseq captured a snapshot of a heterogeneous

population, with a mixture of both mutated and non-mutated fungal lineages, prior to subsequent fixation of the respective mutations.

The first example where we captured a mutation before it became the preponderant allele in the population corresponds to g932.t1/ODA82425.1 that encodes a transcription factor related to sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBP), homologous to *Sre1* in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Swe1 and Swe2 share a common sequence, while in Swe3, the gene carries a premature stop codon that would be predicted to be a null mutation (Table 2). *Sre1* is activated under hypoxic and sterol-depleted conditions and upregulates the expression of genes involved in sterol biosynthesis (Hughes et al., 2005). A similar process exists in *Aspergillus* species, for which the ability to grow under hypoxic conditions is linked to virulence (Chung et al., 2014). In fungi of the classes *Sordariomycetes* and *Leotiomycetes*, relatively close phylogenetically to *Drechmeria*, the homologues of SREBP, such as *FgSre1* in *Fusarium graminearum*, are required for hypoxic growth, but are not involved in the regulation of sterol biosynthesis, which is controlled by the sterol uptake control protein *FgSR* (Liu et al., 2019). The gene g932.t1, which we refer to here as *DcSre1*, is therefore potentially involved in growth under hypoxic and/or sterol-depleted conditions.

While in natural environments sterols can be limited, to support *C. elegans* growth, NGM is supplemented with cholesterol. The loss of *DcSre1* might have arisen as a consequence of prolonged growth on a sterol replete medium. To address the potential function of *DcSre1*, we therefore first assayed the growth of Swe3 on agar plates of NGM with or without added cholesterol. We observed no obvious difference between the high and low cholesterol conditions (Supp. Fig. S5). Since even without *DcSre1*, Swe3 is able to maintain its growth regardless of sterol levels, this suggests that *DcSre1*, like *FgSre1*, is not involved in the regulation of sterol biosynthesis. *D. coniospora* does possess an orthologue of *FgSR* (ODA81938.1), and we hypothesise that this plays the role of sterol biosynthesis regulator as in closely-related species. On the other hand, when we assayed Swe1 and Swe3 in the presence of CoCl₂, which mimics hypoxia (Lee et al., 2007), there was a significant difference in the growth of the two strains. While under standard conditions Swe3 grew faster than Swe1 (Fig. 1F, Fig. 4A), at a concentration of 1 mM of CoCl₂, the situation was reversed and Swe1 clearly grew better than Swe3. Indeed Swe3's growth was as strongly inhibited as it was in the presence of 2 mM CoCl₂, when Swe1 failed to grow too. Thus, presumably as a consequence of the mutation in *DcSre1*, Swe3 has lost the capacity to adapt to conditions that mimic hypoxia. Whether loss of *DcSre1* function also leads to better growth under laboratory conditions, both in the absence of worms and during infection, remains to be determined.

The second gene for which there was evidence for the mutation arising during liquid culture of Swe2 and being fixed in Swe3 was g7915.t1/ODA75859.1 (Table 2). It corresponds to the RPN7 subunit of the proteasome lid, required for the structural integrity of the complex (Isono et al., 2004). We identified a non-synonymous mutation at a highly conserved position within the Pfam RPN7 (PF10602) domain. The proteasome serves an essential role in cell physiology. We would predict this mutation to affect overall organismal fitness rather than being directly important for virulence.

Several other mutations affect genes involved in important cell functions (Table 2). Thus, we identified a nonsense mutation that occurred between Swe2 and Swe3 in the gene g7471.t1/ODA76724.1, encoding a protein similar to hamartin/tuberous sclerosis protein 1

(TSC1). The TSC1/TSC2 complex has GTPase Activating Protein (GAP) activity and is known to inactivate the Ras GTPase, Rheb (Rhb1/a). In *S. pombe*, loss of Tsc1 and/or Tsc2 function results in a decreased uptake of arginine and impacts amino acid biosynthesis (van Slegtenhorst et al., 2004). A second mutation, in gene g8020.t1/ODA75964.1, also potentially impacts Ras signalling as a non-synonymous change, N319D, occurred between Swe1 and Swe2 in the *D. coniospora* Ras gene itself. The mutation is predicted to affect the interaction with the Ras regulator GDP Dissociation Inhibitor that stabilises the inactive (GDP-bound) form of Ras in the cytosol (Müller and Goody, 2018). The mutations observed in *DcTsc1* and *DcRas1* have the potential to affect the overall growth capacity of *D. coniospora*.

Similarly, the predicted null mutation in gene g3500.t1/ODA80732.1 (a single nucleotide insertion causing a frameshift and so a precocious stop codon) affects the *D. coniospora* Bud2p homologue. In *S. cerevisiae*, Ras-GAP Bud2p activates the Ras protein Rsr1p/Bud1p, which controls the site of budding. Loss of function of *Bud2* leads to the constitutive activation of Rsr1p/Bud1p and thus to a random budding site (Ni and Snyder, 2001). In *D. coniospora*, loss of DcBud2 might be expected to affect the pattern of hyphal branching, but the ramifications from a single germinating spore (see for example Figs. 1E, 4B) confounded attempts at quantitative comparison. Nevertheless, again, this is a mutation more likely to affect growth than virulence specifically.

The remaining mutations affecting genes with well-characterised orthologues correspond to a pair of proteins that potentially function in the same regulatory pathway (Table 2). For one, gene g1354.t1/ODA82845.1, a non-synonymous mutation, L408F, occurred between Swe1 and Swe2. This gene (*DcPde1*) is predicted to encode a 3' 5'-cAMP phosphodiesterase (PDE). Loss of PDE function would be expected to result in increased cAMP levels. For the second, gene g1885.t1/ODA83372.1, a 20 bp duplication in the Swe1 genome, predicted to render the corresponding protein non-functional, was lost in Swe2. Thus in this case, the mutation would be expected to restore function to the predicted regulatory subunit of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase (*DcCPKA*). In many fungi, cAMP levels act via cAMP-dependent protein kinases to influence growth, morphology and sporulation (Kim et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). Assuming that the mutation of *DcPde1* is a loss-of-function, in combination with the mutation in *DcCPKA*, growth and sporulation in Swe2 and Swe3 would be predicted to be more tightly controlled by the ATP/cAMP balance (Fig. 5).

The remaining 3 proteins have poor or non-existent annotation. Genes g7143.t1/ODA77116.1 and g3072.t1/ODA80305.1 that acquired non-synonymous mutations at different steps of culture (Table 2) correspond to hypothetical proteins of unknown function, found in a phylogenetically restricted range of fungi. Still more extreme is the case of fg4743.t1/ODA79924.1. Despite using sensitive search tools (including HHblits (Steinegger et al., 2019) against the UniRef100 database), we were unable to find any plausible homologues in any other species. Indeed, there is no equivalent of fg4743.t1 even in the genomes of the 2 available *D. coniospora* Dan strains. The gene was predicted *ab initio* with the software tool Augustus (Lebrigand et al., 2016), but has only very marginal RNAseq read support, with 11 and 16 reads in samples from mycelia and spores, respectively, not covering all of the predicted coding sequence and with none of the reads confirming the predicted intron-exon boundaries (Supp. Fig. S6). We were unable to amplify a transcript from cDNA using sequence-specific PCR primers designed on the basis of the existing gene model. Thus

if such a gene does exist, it is unique to the Swe strains of *D. coniospora* and its sequence gives no clue as to its possible function. Like all the genes described above, its potential role in virulence *per se* remains to be addressed.

Discussion

The difference in virulence between Swe1 and its domesticated derivative Swe3 opened a potential path to dissect the molecular basis of pathogenicity in *D. coniospora*. As mentioned above, at the outset, we had little idea that we would culture *D. coniospora* for 20 years. Otherwise, we would have made adjustments to our method. We used the wild-type N2 strain of *C. elegans* to propagate *D. coniospora*. In line with recommended practice, the cultures of N2 were replaced from frozen stocks several times a year. Although this was not the specific intention, as a consequence, *D. coniospora* was grown in a host with an effectively stable genetic background. While this simplifies interpretation of any changes to *D. coniospora*, had a replicate been included for which N2 was not replaced, but allowed to accumulate mutations, we might have gained insight into host-pathogen co-evolution.

Our *in silico* analysis was, therefore, necessarily restricted to a genomic comparison of the different *D. coniospora* strains. As a first step, we improved the genome assembly for Swe2, as this served as our reference sequence for gene curation in the other two strains. The refined Swe2 genome is of high quality, with less than 1/1000 undefined bases on average. Given the degree of divergence in long fully-defined regions of the Swe genomes (i.e. 0.5 substitutions per 100 kb between Swe1 and Swe3), one would expect < 70 changes in exonic sequence. Thus, we can predict that few if any SNPs and indels affecting protein-coding genes have been overlooked because of the remaining undefined bases. In the context of this study, improving the genome sequences further would require a disproportionate effort for relatively limited potential return.

Unexpectedly, on the basis of standard similarity metrics, the Swe1 and Swe3 sequences were reported to be more similar to each other than to Swe2, which makes little biological sense. This was also the case when the effect of erroneous homopolymer length determination associated with nanopore sequencing was eliminated. This difference is likely to reflect the strategy used to assemble the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes, compared to that for Swe2. Firstly, the average short-read coverage for Swe1 and Swe3 was close to 400X, four times higher than that for Swe2. This should increase the intrinsic sequence accuracy at each position. Perhaps more importantly, the long reads used for assembling the Swe1 and Swe3 genomes allowed unambiguous positions to be assigned to repeated elements, including transposons. During polishing, short-reads were recruited to the correct sequence with high-fidelity. For the Swe2 genome, without the support of long reads, some repeated elements were mis-positioned, or had an incorrect copy number, leading to genome compression, as described previously (e.g. (Eccles et al., 2018)), and reflected in the slightly smaller (ca. 1%) total size for the current Swe2 genome. In the absence of correct assembly, some short reads would be mapped to an erroneous genomic region and this recruitment of reads from one or more slightly divergent copies of the same element would lead to inaccuracies in the final sequence. We indeed observed this type of event in our manual inspection of short-read

mapping to the Swe2 genome. This is likely to be a common artifact when comparing genomes sequenced using different technologies.

The mutations that accumulated in Swe3, compared to Swe1, were far from randomly distributed. Introns and exons represent about 5% and 40% of the genome sequence, respectively. Given a divergence rate of about 0.002% between Swe1 and Swe3, one would expect around 8 and 60 nucleotide changes in introns and exons, respectively. This is very far from our estimates (incorporating the measured true positive rate) of 78 and 20, respectively, presumably a reflection of selection pressure maintaining the integrity of protein-coding genes.

At the DNA level, we found no evidence for incomplete spread of the different alleles that accumulated in Swe2 and then Swe3. In the simplest case of haploid selection, as a very rough estimate, a new allele that confers a 10% fitness advantage can go from a low to a high frequency in a population in 100 generations, while one associated with a 1% increase in fitness will take 1000 generations. For this experiment with *D. coniospora*, a generation can be equated to one passage, from the addition of spores to a plate of *C. elegans*, to the harvesting of new spores, typically 1-2 weeks later. We have no way of knowing exactly when each new allele arose, but given their spread in a relatively small number of generations (estimated to be 25/year on average), excepting any case of hitch-hiking by a neutral allele, each is likely to confer a substantial increase in fitness. Ideally, we would be able to introduce the alleles singly into the Swe1 background (or that of Swe2, as appropriate) to determine their individual contributions to fitness and/or virulence. Our established *D. coniospora* transformation method (He and Ewbank, 2017) no longer functions and we are not currently able to alter specifically the fungal genome. As an alternative, one can heterologously express candidate virulence factors in *C. elegans* (Zhang et al., 2021), but this is only appropriate for *D. coniospora* proteins that are predicted to be secreted into the host, which is not the case for any of the candidates identified in the current study.

The most striking molecular change concerned *DcCPKA*. In Swe1, the gene is predicted to be non-functional, due to a tandem duplication of a short sequence element. This was lost in Swe2, putatively restoring function. Similar effects have been reported following long-term culture of *S. cerevisiae*, including one linked to adenine biosynthesis (*ade2-1*), wherein mutations reverted a premature stop codon in the parental strain so that the full ADE2 sequence could be translated (Johnson et al., 2021). Rearrangements involving tandem sequence elements like this can arise due to replication slippage and are well-known drivers of genomic change (Hancock, 1996). In the Dan1 and Dan2 genomes, the *DcCPKA* locus resembles that of Swe2 and is thus predicted to encode a functional protein. Sequence analysis of other environmental isolates of *D. coniospora* will be needed to establish how often *DcCPKA* function is lost in nature. It would also be extremely interesting to know whether the *DcCPKA* mutation appeared in our laboratory culture before or after the mutation in *DcPde1*, but unfortunately we have no cryopreserved samples between Swe1 and Swe2. Since we cannot be certain that the *DcPde1* mutation in Swe2 represents a loss of function allele, it is also an open question as to whether the 2 mutations, in *DcCPKA* and *DcPde1*, are compensatory or mutually reinforce an effect on PKA signaling. Our current model is that the Swe2 *DcCPKA* allele encodes a functional regulatory protein, subject to control by cAMP levels, and that with decreased DcPde1p activity, the PKA pathway is more repressed in

Swe2 than Swe1 for given levels of ATP and adenylylase activity. This model could be tested by site-specific mutation of the Swe1 genome, but, unfortunately, as explained above, this is currently not possible. Indeed, this is an important barrier to making definitive causative links between any of the observed mutations and the observed alteration of virulence.

Another potential limitation of our study reflects the use of Swe2 gene set as our reference. While we found no more paralogues for Dan2 genes in the Swe1 genome than in the Swe2 genome, if there are genes with mutations substantially altering their predicted coding sequence (e.g. introduction of a premature stop codon) in Swe2, they would be missing from the gene set and therefore not included in our analysis. Nevertheless, in common with *DcCPKA* and *DcPde1*, several of the mutations that were identified between Swe1 and Swe3 are likely to play general roles in fungal growth. Compared to the natural environment, laboratory culture conditions are relatively constant and it may be advantageous for *D. coniospora* to lose regulatory pathways that are not needed, as has been seen in other evolution experiments (reviewed in (Kvitek and Sherlock, 2013; McDonald, 2019). Indeed, in one replicated study, a majority of mutations were found in three major signaling networks that regulate growth control, including the Ras/cAMP/PKA pathway that in *D. coniospora* involves *DcCPKA* and *DcPde1*. Although such mutations are predicted to be beneficial in a stable environment, they generally come at the cost of eliminating the metabolic plasticity needed when nutrient supplies change. We assume that this type of mutation will impact pathogenesis through a general effect on growth. It remains an open question whether any of the other mutations we identified in 3 unannotated predicted hypothetical proteins might impact virulence *per se*. As they are not predicted to be secreted, they are unlikely to be direct effectors of fungal virulence, but could conceivably be involved in the regulation of virulence factor gene expression. In the absence of tools for site-directed mutagenesis, determining their function remains a challenge for the future.

Data Availability

All relevant data can be accessed either at the EBI/NCBI/DDBJ via BioProject PRJNA269584, or on our institutional server at <http://www.ciml.univ-mrs.fr/applications/DC/Genome.htm>.

Acknowledgements

We thank Marie-Anne Félix for insightful comments, Philippe Fort for helpful discussion concerning Ras GAP function and phylogeny, and the CIML imaging (ImagImm) and bioinformatics platforms.

Funding

This work was supported by institutional grants from the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and Aix-Marseille

University to the CIML, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche program grant (ANR-16-CE15-0001-01), and “Investissements d’Avenir” ANR-11-LABX-0054 (Labex INFORM), ANR-16-CONV-0001, and ANR-11-IDEX-0001-02, and from the Excellence Initiative of Aix-Marseille University - A*MIDEX

References

- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* *25*, 3389–3402.
- Andersson, K.M., Kumar, D., Bentzer, J., Friman, E., Ahren, D., and Tunlid, A. (2014). Interspecific and host-related gene expression patterns in nematode-trapping fungi. *BMC Genomics* *15*, 968.
- van den Boogert, P.H.J.F., Dijksterhuis, J., Velvis, H., and Veenhuis, M. (1992). Adhesive knob formation by conidia of the nematophagous fungus *Drechmeria coniospora*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* *61*, 221–229.
- Brunk, M., Spath, S., Dose, S., van de Linde, S., and Terpitz, U. (2018). HyphaTracker: An ImageJ toolbox for time-resolved analysis of spore germination in filamentous fungi. *Sci. Rep.* *8*, 605.
- Chung, D., Barker, B.M., Carey, C.C., Merriman, B., Werner, E.R., Lechner, B.E., Dhingra, S., Cheng, C., Xu, W., Blosser, S.J., et al. (2014). ChIP-seq and In Vivo Transcriptome Analyses of the *Aspergillus fumigatus* SREBP SrbA Reveals a New Regulator of the Fungal Hypoxia Response and Virulence. *PLoS Pathog.* *10*, e1004487.
- Courtine, D., Provaznik, J., Reboul, J., Blanc, G., Benes, V., and Ewbank, J.J. (2020). Long-read only assembly of *Drechmeria coniospora* genomes reveals widespread chromosome plasticity and illustrates the limitations of current nanopore methods. *GigaScience* *9*, giaa099.
- Darling, A.C.E., Mau, B., Blattner, F.R., and Perna, N.T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res.* *14*, 1394–1403.
- Dierking, K., Polanowska, J., Omi, S., Engelmann, I., Gut, M., Lembo, F., Ewbank, J.J., and Pujol, N. (2011). Unusual regulation of a STAT protein by an SLC6 family transporter in *C. elegans* epidermal innate immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* *9*, 425–435.
- Eccles, D., Chandler, J., Camberis, M., Henrissat, B., Koren, S., Le Gros, G., and Ewbank, J.J. (2018). De novo assembly of the complex genome of *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* using MinION long reads. *BMC Biol.* *16*, 6.
- Ekroth, A.K.E., Gerth, M., Stevens, E.J., Ford, S.A., and King, K.C. (2021). Host genotype and genetic diversity shape the evolution of a novel bacterial infection. *ISME J.* *15*, 2146–2157.

- Gernandt, D.S., and Stone, J.K. (1999). Phylogenetic Analysis of Nuclear Ribosomal DNA Places the Nematode Parasite, *Drechmeria coniospora*, in *Clavicipitaceae*. *Mycologia* 91, 993–1000.
- Good, B.H., McDonald, M.J., Barrick, J.E., Lenski, R.E., and Desai, M.M. (2017). The dynamics of molecular evolution over 60,000 generations. *Nature* 551, 45–50.
- Hancock, J.M. (1996). Simple sequences and the expanding genome. *BioEssays News Rev. Mol. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 18, 421–425.
- He, L.D., and Ewbank, J.J. (2017). Polyethylene Glycol-mediated Transformation of *Drechmeria coniospora*. *Bio-Protoc.* 7, e2157.
- Heitman, J., Kronstad, J.W., Taylor, J.W., and Casselton, L.A. (2007). Sex in fungi: molecular determination and evolutionary implications. Wiley.
- Hughes, A.L., Todd, B.L., and Espenshade, P.J. (2005). SREBP Pathway Responds to Sterols and Functions as an Oxygen Sensor in Fission Yeast. *Cell* 120, 831–842.
- Iqbal, M., Dubey, M., Gudmundsson, M., Viketoft, M., Jensen, D.F., and Karlsson, M. (2018). Comparative evolutionary histories of fungal proteases reveal gene gains in the mycoparasitic and nematode-parasitic fungus *Clonostachys rosea*. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 18, 171.
- Isono, E., Saeki, Y., Yokosawa, H., and Toh-e, A. (2004). Rpn7 Is required for the structural integrity of the 26 S proteasome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 27168–27176.
- Jansson, H.B., and Friman, E. (1999). Infection-related surface proteins on conidia of the nematophagous fungus *Drechmeria coniospora*. *Micol. Res.* 103, 249–256.
- Ji, X., Yu, Z., Yang, J., Xu, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Zou, C., Li, J., Liang, L., and Zhang, K.-Q. (2020). Expansion of Adhesion Genes Drives Pathogenic Adaptation of Nematode-Trapping Fungi. *IScience* 23, 101057.
- Johnson, M.S., Gopalakrishnan, S., Goyal, J., Dillingham, M.E., Bakerlee, C.W., Humphrey, P.T., Jagdish, T., Jerison, E.R., Kosheleva, K., Lawrence, K.R., et al. (2021). Phenotypic and molecular evolution across 10,000 generations in laboratory budding yeast populations. *ELife* 10, e63910.
- Kim, H.-S., Park, S.-Y., Lee, S., Adams, E.L., Czymmek, K., and Kang, S. (2011). Loss of cAMP-Dependent Protein Kinase A Affects Multiple Traits Important for Root Pathogenesis by *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact.* 24, 719–732.
- Kvitek, D.J., and Sherlock, G. (2013). Whole genome, whole population sequencing reveals that loss of signaling networks is the major adaptive strategy in a constant environment. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003972.
- Labe, S.A., Omi, S., Gut, M., Ewbank, J.J., and Pujol, N. (2012). The Pseudokinase NIPI-4 Is a Novel Regulator of Antimicrobial Peptide Gene Expression. *PLoS ONE* 7, e33887.
- Lebrigand, K., He, L.D., Thakur, N., Arguel, M.-J., Polanowska, J., Henrissat, B., Record, E.,

- Magdelenat, G., Barbe, V., Raffaele, S., et al. (2016). Comparative Genomic Analysis of *Drechmeria coniospora* Reveals Core and Specific Genetic Requirements for Fungal Endoparasitism of Nematodes. *PLoS Genet.* *12*, e1006017.
- Lee, H., Bien, C.M., Hughes, A.L., Espenshade, P.J., Kwon-Chung, K.J., and Chang, Y.C. (2007). Cobalt chloride, a hypoxia-mimicking agent, targets sterol synthesis in the pathogenic fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. *Mol. Microbiol.* *65*, 1018–1033.
- Lee, K.Z., Kniazeva, M., Han, M., Pujol, N., and Ewbank, J.J. (2010). The fatty acid synthase *fasn-1* acts upstream of WNK and Ste20/GCK-VI kinases to modulate antimicrobial peptide expression in *C. elegans* epidermis. *Virulence* *1*, 113–122.
- Lee, S.H., Omi, S., Thakur, N., Taffoni, C., Belougne, J., Engelmann, I., Ewbank, J.J., and Pujol, N. (2018). Modulatory upregulation of an insulin peptide gene by different pathogens in *C. elegans*. *Virulence* *9*, 648–658.
- Lenski, R.E. (2017). Experimental evolution and the dynamics of adaptation and genome evolution in microbial populations. *ISME J.* *11*, 2181–2194.
- Li, H. (2018a). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* *34*, 3094–3100.
- Li, H. (2018b). On the definition of sequence identity, <https://lh3.github.io/2018/11/25/on-the-definition-of-sequence-identity>.
- Li, Y., Steenwyk, J.L., Chang, Y., Wang, Y., James, T.Y., Stajich, J.E., Spatafora, J.W., Groenewald, M., Dunn, C.W., Hittinger, C.T., et al. (2021). A genome-scale phylogeny of the kingdom Fungi. *Curr. Biol.* *31*, 1653-1665.e5.
- Lin, R., Qin, F., Shen, B., Shi, Q., Liu, C., Zhang, X., Jiao, Y., Lu, J., Gao, Y., Suarez-Fernandez, M., et al. (2018). Genome and secretome analysis of *Pochonia chlamydosporia* provide new insight into egg-parasitic mechanisms. *Sci. Rep.* *8*, 1123.
- Liu, Z., Jian, Y., Chen, Y., Kistler, H.C., He, P., Ma, Z., and Yin, Y. (2019). A phosphorylated transcription factor regulates sterol biosynthesis in *Fusarium graminearum*. *Nat. Commun.* *10*, 1228.
- Marçais, G., Delcher, A.L., Phillippy, A.M., Coston, R., Salzberg, S.L., and Zimin, A. (2018). MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* *14*, e1005944.
- Masri, L., Branca, A., Sheppard, A.E., Papkou, A., Laehnemann, D., Guenther, P.S., Prahl, S., Saebelfeld, M., Hollensteiner, J., Liesegang, H., et al. (2015). Host-Pathogen Coevolution: The Selective Advantage of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Virulence and Its Cry Toxin Genes. *PLoS Biol.* *13*, e1002169.
- McDonald, M.J. (2019). Microbial Experimental Evolution - a proving ground for evolutionary theory and a tool for discovery. *EMBO Rep.* *20*, e46992.
- Meerupati, T., Andersson, K.M., Friman, E., Kumar, D., Tunlid, A., and Ahren, D. (2013).

Genomic mechanisms accounting for the adaptation to parasitism in nematode-trapping fungi. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003909.

Morran, L.T., Schmidt, O.G., Gelarden, I.A., Parrish, R.C., and Lively, C.M. (2011). Running with the Red Queen: host-parasite coevolution selects for biparental sex. *Science* 333, 216–218.

Müller, M.P., and Goody, R.S. (2018). Molecular control of Rab activity by GEFs, GAPs and GDI. *Small GTPases* 9, 5–21.

Ni, L., and Snyder, M. (2001). A Genomic Study of the Bipolar Bud Site Selection Pattern in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 12, 2147–2170.

Olm, M.R., Brown, C.T., Brooks, B., and Banfield, J.F. (2017). dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. *ISME J.* 11, 2864–2868.

Paulino, D., Warren, R.L., Vandervalk, B.P., Raymond, A., Jackman, S.D., and Birol, I. (2015). Sealer: a scalable gap-closing application for finishing draft genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 16, 230.

Peng, Y., Leung, H.C.M., Yiu, S.M., and Chin, F.Y.L. (2012). IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1420–1428.

Powell, J.R., and Ausubel, F.M. (2008). Models of *Caenorhabditis elegans* Infection by Bacterial and Fungal Pathogens. *Innate Immunity*, p. 403–427.

Pritchard, L., Glover, R.H., Humphris, S., Elphinstone, J.G., and Toth, I.K. (2015). Genomics and taxonomy in diagnostics for food security: soft-rotting enterobacterial plant pathogens. *Anal. Methods* 8, 12–24.

Pujol, N., Cypowyj, S., Ziegler, K., Millet, A., Astrain, A., Goncharov, A., Jin, Y., Chisholm, A.D., and Ewbank, J.J. (2008). Distinct innate immune responses to infection and wounding in the *C. elegans* epidermis. *Curr Biol* 18, 481–489.

Saikawa, M. (1982). An electron microscope study of *Meria coniospora*, an endozoic nematophagous Hyphomycete. *Can. J. Bot.* 60, 2019–2023.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* 9, 676–682.

Schulte, R.D., Hasert, B., Makus, C., Michiels, N.K., and Schulenburg, H. (2012). Increased responsiveness in feeding behaviour of *Caenorhabditis elegans* after experimental coevolution with its microparasite *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Biol. Lett.* 8, 234–236.

Slater, G.S.C., and Birney, E. (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* 6, 31.

van Slegtenhorst, M., Carr, E., Stoyanova, R., Kruger, W.D., and Henske, E.P. (2004). Tsc1+ and tsc2+ Regulate Arginine Uptake and Metabolism in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 12706–12713.

Steinegger, M., Meier, M., Mirdita, M., Vöhringer, H., Haunsberger, S.J., and Söding, J. (2019). HH-suite3 for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. BMC Bioinformatics 20, 473.

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of *C. elegans*. WormBook : the online review of *C. elegans* biology, 1–11.

Wang, C., Zhang, S., Hou, R., Zhao, Z., Zheng, Q., Xu, Q., Zheng, D., Wang, G., Liu, H., Gao, X., et al. (2011). Functional Analysis of the Kinome of the Wheat Scab Fungus *Fusarium graminearum*. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002460.

Wang, R., Dong, L., He, R., Wang, Q., Chen, Y., Qu, L., and Zhang, Y.-A. (2018). Comparative genomic analyses reveal the features for adaptation to nematodes in fungi. DNA Res. 25, 245–256.

White, P.S., Choi, A., Pandey, R., Menezes, A., Penley, M., Gibson, A.K., de Roode, J., and Morran, L. (2020). Host heterogeneity mitigates virulence evolution. Biol. Lett. 16, 20190744.

White, P.S., Arslan, D., Kim, D., Penley, M., and Morran, L. (2021). Host genetic drift and adaptation in the evolution and maintenance of parasite resistance. J. Evol. Biol. 34, 845–851.

Wintersinger, J.A., and Wasmuth, J.D. (2015). Kablammo: an interactive, web-based BLAST results visualizer. Bioinformatics 31, 1305–1306.

Wyatt, T.T., Wösten, H.A.B., and Dijksterhuis, J. (2013). Fungal spores for dispersion in space and time. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 85, 43–91.

Xie, J., Li, S., Mo, C., Xiao, X., Peng, D., Wang, G., and Xiao, Y. (2016). Genome and Transcriptome Sequences Reveal the Specific Parasitism of the Nematophagous *Purpureocillium lilacinum* 36-1. Front. Microbiol. 7, 1084.

Zhang, L., Zhou, Z., Guo, Q., Fokkens, L., Miskei, M., Pócsi, I., Zhang, W., Chen, M., Wang, L., Sun, Y., et al. (2016). Insights into Adaptations to a Near-Obligate Nematode Endoparasitic Lifestyle from the Finished Genome of *Drechmeria coniospora*. Sci. Rep. 6, 23122.

Zhang, X., Harding, B.W., Aggad, D., Courtine, D., Chen, J.-X., Pujol, N., and Ewbank, J.J. (2021). Antagonistic fungal enterotoxins intersect at multiple levels with host innate immune defences. PLoS Genet. 17, e1009600.

Zugasti, O., Thakur, N., Belougne, J., Squiban, B., Kurz, C.L., Soulé, J., Omi, S., Tichit, L., Pujol, N., and Ewbank, J.J. (2016). A quantitative genome-wide RNAi screen in *C. elegans* for antifungal innate immunity genes. BMC Biol. 14, 35.

Figure 1: Phenotypic differences between Swe1 and Swe3. (A) Survival of *C. elegans* worms (strain IG463) following infection at 25°C with 1×10^9 spores of Swe1 or Swe3; $n = 100$ for each strain, **** $p < 0.0001$, one-sided log rank test. The results are representative of three independent trials. (B) Comparison of the size (left) and prevalence of adhesive buds (right), for spores from Swe1 and Swe3. A minimum of 100 spores were scored at each time point. (C) The number of spores at the mouth and vulval regions of *C. elegans* was counted after 15 h of infection with 1×10^9 spores of Swe1 or Swe3. Each dot represents the mean of an experiment with at least 10 worms; ns = not significant, two tailed unpaired t-test. (D) Left hand panels: Representative fluorescence images of age-matched IG463 worms uninfected (NI) or infected for 16 h (16 hpi) with Swe1 or Swe3. The worm strain carries the integrated transgene *frIs7* that includes *nlp-29p::GFP* and *col-12p::dsRed* transgenes; red and green fluorescence is visualized simultaneously; scale bar: 200 μm . Quantification of the green/red fluorescence ratio (in arbitrary units) of IG463 worms at 24 hpi, compared to aged-matched non-infected (NI) worms; $n > 250$ worms for each, **** $p < 0.0001$, unpaired t-test. (E) Representative images of Swe1 or Swe3 infected worms at 48, 96 and 144 hpi. The 2nd panels from the left are a higher magnification of the indicated vulval regions. The arrowhead highlights Swe1 spores attached to the vulva (top), and hyphae growing out from the Swe3-infected worm (bottom); scale bar: 50 μm . The righthand panels show hyphae growing from dead worms; scale bar: 1 mm. (F) Spores of Swe1 or Swe3 (harvested 6 dpi) were seeded on NGM plates, incubated at 25°C without worms and images taken at the indicated times. The extent of hyphal growth from a single spore is delimited by dotted lines in the images at 69 h. The two right-hand columns illustrate the degree of spore germination with or without OP50 after 96 h; scale bar: 100 μm .

Figure 2: MAT loci in *D. coniospora*. The Swe2 region syntenic to the Dan2 MAT locus is shown. The previously annotated Dan2 MAT genes are indicated with green arrows, labeled with their idiomorph identifiers. The newly identified Swe2 *MAT1-2-1* gene is shown in blue. The red shapes highlight the extent of the sequence synteny, and the black arrows represent a pair of neighbouring orthologous genes.

Figure 3: Classification of mutations identified between the genomes of the Swe strains. The overview includes the number of genes and mutations identified at each step of the workflow (see Methods for details). Mutations identified in introns were individually examined; none affected splice donor or acceptor sites.

Figure 4: Comparison of the growth of Swe1 and Swe3 in hypoxia-like conditions. (A) Quantification of growth rates for Swe1 and Swe3 on standard NGM plates (0 mM), or plates supplemented with CoCl₂ to a final concentration of 1 or 2 mM. As illustrated in Figure 1F, measurement beyond 44 h of Swe1 and Swe3 growth in the absence of CoCl₂ is confounded by the overgrowth of mycelia derived from separate spores. The average size and 95% confidence interval for 8 - 35 individual spores per condition, followed at each time point, are shown. (B) Representative images of spores of Swe1 and Swe3 growing in the presence of 1 or 2 mM CoCl₂. A direct comparison can be made with Figure 1F, as the experiments were conducted in parallel.

Figure 5: Two mutations potentially affect the same pathway. Simplified diagram illustrating the regulation of protein kinase A (PKA) by cAMP. Under low cAMP condition, the PKA regulatory and catalytic subunits dimerize, leading to an inactive PKA. In Swe1, as the regulatory subunit is constitutively inactive, PKA would be predicted to be permanently active. In Swe2, the inactivating mutation of the regulatory subunit is reverted to wild-type (as indicated by the green cross), so PKA would again come under the control of cAMP levels. Its activity is also regulated by a cAMP phosphodiesterase (PDE), as this converts cAMP to AMP. The PDE bears a non-synonymous mutation in Swe2 (red cross). Assuming that this corresponds to a loss of function, the two mutations would act synergistically, as cAMP would accumulate, thereby accentuating the suppression of repression of PKA activity. Thus PKA would be predicted to be more active in Swe2 (and Swe3) than in Swe1 under the same culture conditions.

Table 1: Differences between the Swe genomes

Query	Subject	Frequency of differences (per Mb)			
		With homopolymers		Without homopolymers	
		gap-excluded (Ns removed)	gap-compressed	gap-excluded (Ns removed)	gap-compressed
Swe1	Swe2	34	550	32	425
Swe2	Swe1	40	512	39	387
Swe1	Swe3	15	83	6	1
Swe3	Swe1	11	86	5	1
Swe2	Swe3	45	512	30	400
Swe3	Swe2	47	550	32	412

Table 2: Summary of non-synonymous mutations

Gene	Protein ID	Location	Mutation appearance	Mutation	Protein change	Protein length	Function
fg4743.t1	ODA79924.1	chr 3 6 981 485..6 983 062	Swe1 > Swe2	G1421C	Ser(AGC) 347 Thr(ACC)	398	unknown function
g1354.t1	ODA82845.1	chr 2 5 101 688..5 104 354	Swe1 > Swe2	C1377T	Leu(CTT) 408 Phe(TTT)	813	cAMP phosphodiesterase
g3072.t1	ODA80305.1	chr 1 4 336 085..4 336 645	Swe1 > Swe2	C490A	Gln(CAG) 164 Lys(AAG)	186	unknown function
g8020.t1	ODA75964.1	chr 3 10 903 448..10 905 186	Swe1 > Swe2	A1230G	Asn(AAC) 319 Asp(GAC)	487	Ras family
g1885.t1	ODA83372.1	chr 2 3 206 066..3 207 273	Swe1 > Swe2	del 196 AGCTACCC GGCTCAGTACAA	Premature stop	378	cAMP dependent protein kinase
g7143.t1	ODA77116.1	chr 2 10 184 692..10 185 816	Swe2 > Swe3	C323T	Ser(TCA) 74 Leu(TTA)	340	unknown function
g7471.t1	ODA76724.1	chr 3 1 598 585..1 601 730	Swe2 > Swe3	G1486A	Trp(TGG) 431 STOP (TGA)	964	Hamartin
g7915.t1	ODA75859.1	chr 3 10 553 741..10 555 370	Swe2 > Swe3	G397T	Asp(GAC) 133 Tyr(TAC)	490	26S proteasome regulatory subunit Rpn7
g932.t1	ODA82425.1	chr 2 6 515 072..6 518 106	Swe2 > Swe3	C1338T	Gln(CAG) 420 STOP (TAG)	984	Transcription factor induced by hypoxia
g3500.t1	ODA80732.1	chr 1 2 667 080..2 670 892	Swe2 > Swe3	ins 209 C	Premature stop	1270	GTPase-activator protein for Ras-like GTPase

Purpureocillium lilacinum (MN635609.1)

Tolypocladium inflatum (KY924883.1)

Tolypocladium cyclosporium (MN842262.1)

Drechmeria coniospora (Swe1, 2, 3)

Supplementary Figure S1: Alignment of mitochondrial genomes from *D. coniospora* and 3 closely related fungi. For each species, a histogram of the overall sequence conservation (from 0 to 100%) calculated using a sliding window of fixed size is shown under the genome coordinates (in kb). The regions in red are syntenic and conserved across the four species, those in green correspond to sequences absent from *P. lilacinum*.

Locus 1

Locus 2

Supplementary Figure S2: Experimental validation of strategy to replace undetermined Swe2 sequences. Sequence alignments of 2 sequenced amplicons from Swe2, and the corresponding regions from the Swe1 and existing Swe2 genomic sequences. The blue highlights matching positions between the 3 sequences. The red lines indicate the stretches of underdetermined bases in the original Swe2 genome. Note, these regions were chosen as the corresponding sequence in Swe3 is identical to that in Swe1. The primers pairs used were GACCGCCAGTAGCATTATCC and AAGGGTGAATTAAGGACGG for the left locus; CAAATAAAGGGGAACGCACC and GTTCGAACCTTGTCCTCTCG for the right.

Swe2 chromosome 3: 6974792..6974831

g4221.t1

Swe3 chromosome 3: 7109562..7109603

Swe1 chromosome 3: 7099070..7099100

Supplementary Figure S3: Homopolymeric region bearing a residual sequence error.

Mapping of short DNA sequencing reads to the equivalent locus in the Swe2, Swe3 and Swe1 genomes, for which the respective genomic coordinates are indicated. A selection of the reads for each is represented, with their sequences in a salmon or light blue background, depending on their orientation. The sequence predicted for the locus, after polishing for Swe1 and Swe3 (Courtine et al., 2020), is shown below the mapped reads in each panel. The green rectangles under the top and middle panels highlight a homopolymer of 8 Gs. This 8 G sequence, supported by a large majority of short reads in all cases, has been incorrectly truncated to 7 Gs in Swe1, as highlighted by the dashed green rectangle. The black arrowhead marks the position where numerous reads have an extra G (an insertion as indicated by the blue I) that has not been taken into proper consideration, and the red arrowhead highlights a position where numerous reads have been mismapped because of the tandem repetition of the sequence CGG, 3' to the homopolymeric sequence, resulting in the inference of 2 nucleotide deletions, with respect to the (erroneous) reference, as indicated by -2-.

A

B

Gene	Allele	Genomic reads	Mycelium RNAseq	Spores RNAseq
g932.t1	Ref (C)	91 (99%)	3 (8%)	59 (92%)
	Alt (T)	1 (1%)	34 (92%)	5 (8%)
g7915.t1	Ref (C)	74 (100%)	5 (3%)	128 (82%)
	Alt (A)	0	144 (96%)	28 (18%)

Supplementary Figure S4: Examples of sequence heterogeneity captured by RNAseq. (A) Evidence at two sites for a disparity between the Swe2 genomic sequence and the sequence determined by transcriptome sequencing. Screen captures from IGV showing the mapping of genomic reads (top), and two RNAseq read sets from mycelia (middle) and spores (bottom). The boxes at the top of each panel represent the read coverage at each nucleotide position. They are coloured grey if >95% of reads support the consensus sequence for the Swe2 genome (shown below; identical to the corresponding sequence in the Swe1 genome). If an alternative nucleotide is supported by at least 5% of reads, the boxes are coloured proportionately, with the colours corresponding to those used for the different nucleotides in the genomic sequence shown below. Reads are represented in salmon or light blue, depending on their orientation. In those that do not match entirely the genomic sequence, non-consensus nucleotides are shown. For g7915.t1 (right), the gene is in the 3'-5' orientation, while the alleles are given for the 5'-3' sequence. (B) Summary of the number of reads supporting each allele in the 3 sets of reads.

Supplementary Figure S5: Growth of a *DcSre1* mutant is not altered by cholesterol supplementation. Swe3 carries a null allele of *DcSre1*. Fungal growth on standard NGM plates (+) or plates without (-) the normal 5 μ g/l cholesterol supplementation was monitored at the indicated times. Scale = 100 μ m.

Supplementary Figure S6: Imperfect RNAseq support for the current gene model of fg4743. Screen capture from IGV showing the mapping of two RNAseq read sets from mycelia (top) and spores (bottom) at the fg4743 (ODA79924.1) locus. The boxes at the top of each panel represent the read coverage at each nucleotide position. They are coloured grey if >95% of reads support the consensus sequence for the Swe2 genome. If an alternative nucleotide is supported by at least 5% of reads, the boxes are coloured proportionately, with the colours being the standard ones for the different nucleotides. Reads are represented in salmon or light blue, depending on their orientation, with coloured vertical lines indicating non-consensus nucleotides; paired reads are connected by a thin horizontal line.

Supplementary Table 1: PCR tests used to genotype the *D. coniospora* Swe strains.

Strains to differentiate	Description	Target	Primer id	Sequence
Swe1, Swe2	Deletion in gene g1885.t1 (ODA83372.1)	Chr. 2, g1885.t1	F1_g1885	GTGGTCGACGAGGAACGC
			F2_g1885	CGGCTCAGTACAAAGCTACCC
			R_g1885	ACGACGTAGAAGAAGTCGCC
Swe1, Swe2	SNP in gene g8020.t1 (ODA75964.1): A1230G	Chr. 3, g8020.t1	F_g8020	ACCACCTACCACCTCTCGC
			R_g8020	GGCGACGATCTTGACGGG
Swe1, Swe2	SNP in gene g3072.t1 (ODA80305.1): C490A	Chr. 1, g3072.t1	F_g3072	ACCAGACGTTACTCCCAAGC
			R_g3072	ACCCTAAAGTTGTCCCAGAAGC
Swe2, Swe3	SNP in the gene g932.t1 (ODA82425.1): C1338T	Chr. 2, g932.t1	F_g932	AGTGCCCCAACAGGATTACC
			R_g932	ATGCGGTAGCCCATGAGATG
Swe2, Swe3	One insertion in gene g3500.t1 (ODA80732.1): 209insC	Chr. 1, g3500.t1	F_g3500	CATCATGCAAGGACACGCC
			R_g3500	CTGGGAGAGATGGGGGAGG
Swe2, Swe3	SNP in the gene g7471.t1 (ODA76724.1): G1486A	Chr. 3, g7471.t1	F_g7471	AAAAGACGGACTTTGGACGC
			R_g7471	GATTTTGTGCTCGACTGGC

Target	Primers	Strain	Amplicon (bp)	Read out
g1885.t1	F1_g1885 + R_g1885	Swe1	403	Amplicon migration
	F1_g1885 + R_g1885	Swe2	383	
	F2_g1885 + R_g1885	Swe1	365	
	F2_g1885 + R_g1885	Swe2	0	
g8020.t1	F_g8020 + R_g8020	Swe1 / Swe2	282	Sequencing
g3072.t1	F_g3072 + R_g3072	Swe1 / Swe2	289	Sequencing
g932.t1	F_g932 + R_g932	Swe2 / Swe3	300	Restriction (<i>Hha</i> I) + migration
g3500.t1	F_g3500 + R_g3500	Swe2	249	Sequencing
	F_g3500 + R_g3500	Swe3	250	
g7471.t1	F_g7471 + R_g7471	Swe2 / Swe3	295	Sequencing

Supplementary table 2: Presence/absence of mitochondrial genes found in Swe2 compared to 3 closely related fungi mitogenomes

		<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	<i>Tolypocladium Inflatum</i>	<i>Tolypocladium cylindrosporum</i>	Swe2	
		10.1080/23802359.2019.1699466 (Li et al., 2020)	10.1007/s00253-017-8574-0 (Zhang et al., 2017)	10.1080/23802359.2020.1714495 (Zhang and Zhang 2020)	MITOS2 webserver, 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.023	MFannot webserver https://megasun.bch.umontreal.ca/cgi-bin/mfannot/mfannotInterface.pl
		MN635609.1	KY924883.1	MN842262.1	-	-
rRNA genes	small sub-unit	ms	ok	ok	ok	ok
	large sub-unit	rnl	ok	ok	ok (2 introns; rps3 and GIY)	ok
Protein-coding genes	ATP synthase	atp6	ok	ok	ok	ok
		atp8	ok	ok	ok	ok
		atp9	ok	ok	ok (1 intron; GIY)	ok
	apocytochrome b	cob	ok	ok	ok (1 intron; GIY)	ok (1 intron; GIY)
	cytochrome oxidase	cox1	ok	ok	ok (2 introns; LAGLIDADG and GIY)	ok (2 introns; LAGLIDADG and GIY)
		cox2	ok	ok	ok	ok
		cox3	ok	ok	ok	ok
	NADH dehydrogenase	nad1	ok	ok	ok	ok
		nad2	ok	ok	ok	ok
		nad3	ok	ok	ok	ok
		nad4	ok	ok	ok	ok
		nad4L	ok	ok	ok	ok
		nad5	ok	ok	ok	ok (1 intron; LAGLIDADG)
		nad6	ok	ok	ok	ok
	rps-like protein	rps3	ok	ok	ok	ok
tRNA genes	trnA(tgc)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnC(gca)		absent	ok	ok	ok
	trnD(gtc)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnE(ttc)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnF(gaa)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnG(acc)		absent	absent	ok	absent
	trnG(tcc)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnH(gtg)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnI(gat)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnK(ttt)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnL(tag)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnL(taa)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnM(cat)		3 copies	3 copies	3 copies	3 copies
	trnN(gtt)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnP(tgg)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnQ(ttg)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnR(acg)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnR(tct)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnS(tga)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnS(gct)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnT(tgt)		absent	ok	ok	ok
	trnV(tac)		absent	ok	ok	ok
	trnW(tca)		ok	ok	ok	ok
	trnY(gta)		ok	ok	ok	ok

Supplementary table 3: Status in the new assembly of Swe2 scaffolds that had not previously been incorporated into the genome assembly

Swe2 scaffold	length (nt)	presence of gene?	Insert in Swe2	Length after trimming	remark
JYHR01000012.1	173 380	yes	chromosome 3	160 167	
JYHR01000013.1	54 712	yes	chromosome 1	50 350	
JYHR01000014.1	48 392	yes	chromosome 3	43 976	
JYHR01000015.1	29 422	yes	chromosome 1	28 782	
JYHR01000016.1	28 571	yes	chromosome 3	28 571	
JYHR01000017.1	28 080	yes	chromosome 3	21 992	
JYHR01000018.1	27 206	yes	chromosome 3	27 206	
JYHR01000019.1	26 919	yes	chromosome 3	26 919	
JYHR01000020.1	26 539	yes	chromosome 2	26 539	
JYHR01000021.1	23 828	yes	mitochondria	NA	
JYHR01000022.1	23 058	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000023.1	22 641	yes	chromosome 3	22 641	
JYHR01000024.1	20 036	yes	chromosome 3	16 817	
JYHR01000025.1	18 657	yes	chromosome 3	18 657	
JYHR01000026.1	16 902	yes	chromosome 3	16 902	
JYHR01000027.1	16 052	yes	chromosome 3	16 052	
JYHR01000028.1	14 597	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000029.1	14 514	yes	chromosome 3	14 514	
JYHR01000030.1	14 170	yes	chromosome 2	14 170	
JYHR01000031.1	14 066	yes	chromosome 3	14 066	
JYHR01000032.1	9 307	yes	chromosome 3	9 307	
JYHR01000033.1	9 128	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000034.1	8 345	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000035.1	8 253	yes	chromosome 3	8 253	
JYHR01000036.1	6 725	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000037.1	6 689	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000038.1	6 421	yes	chromosome 3	6 421	
JYHR01000039.1	6 407	yes	NA	NA	Identical to JYHR01000038.1
JYHR01000040.1	5 655	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000041.1	5 653	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000042.1	5 624	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000043.1	5 249	yes	NA	NA	Already present in the genome, assembly duplication
JYHR01000044.1	5 211	yes	chromosome 3	5 211	
JYHR01000045.1	4 839	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000046.1	3 936	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000047.1	3 817	yes	NA	NA	Already present in the genome, assembly duplication
JYHR01000048.1	3 440	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000049.1	2 917	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000050.1	2 571	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000051.1	2 487	yes	chromosome 3	2 487	
JYHR01000052.1	2 111	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000053.1	2 040	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000054.1	1 554	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000055.1	1 460	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000056.1	1 434	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000057.1	1 343	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000058.1	1 318	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000059.1	1 285	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000060.1	1 146	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000061.1	1 041	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000062.1	932	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000063.1	902	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000064.1	891	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000065.1	825	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000066.1	814	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000067.1	812	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000068.1	757	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000069.1	722	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000070.1	701	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000071.1	636	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000072.1	584	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000073.1	556	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000074.1	536	no	NA	NA	
JYHR01000075.1	505	no	NA	NA	

Supplementary table 3 (continued): Swe2 assembly statistics

	Chromosomes	Unplaced scaffolds
Genome size (Mb)	31.75	0.128
Sequences	3 + MT	38
GC content (%)	55.25	44.38
% of unknowns (Ns)	0.11	3.8
No. predicted protein-coding genes	8702	0

Supplementary table 4: ANI values for all pairs of Swe genomes from pyANI v0.29. Sequences were aligned with MUMmer (ANIm) or BLAST+ (ANIb). Tetranucleotides correlations represent similarity with alignment-free method.

Measure	Query strain	Swe1	Swe2	Swe3
ANIm	Swe1	100	99.9052	99.9896
	Swe2	99.9052	100	99.9060
	Swe3	99.9896	99.9060	100
ANIb	Swe1	100	99.7694	99.9901
	Swe2	99.9155	100	99.9154
	Swe3	99.9820	99.7608	100
Tetranucleotides correlations	Swe1	100	99.9709	99.9971
	Swe2	99.9709	100	99.9550
	Swe3	99.9971	99.9550	100

Supplementary Table 5: Results of BLAST searches of Dan2 sexual reproduction-related proteins

Query	Query ID	Query size (aa)	Best BLASTP hit in Swe2	Score	%ID	Alignment length (aa)	Query coverage (%)	Comment
MAT1-1-1	KYK59754.1	370	-	-	-	-	-	
MAT1-1-2	KYK59755.1	353	-	-	-	-	-	
MAT1-1-3	KYK59756.1	166	ODA81607.1	71	40	75	45	Alignment is restricted to the protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
RIP - rid1	KYK59907.1	646	ODA78704.1	776	91	416	64	
RIP - dim-2	KYK56049.1	377	ODA76538.1	598	86.4	397	100	
Late sexual development protein	KYK59150.1	355	ODA77900.1	706	99.72	355	100	
Heterokaryon incompatibility	KYK58811.1	804	ODA84177.1	1630	98.88	804	100	
Heterokaryon incompatibility	KYK56754.1	938	ODA78419.1	1898	99.68	938	100	
Heterokaryon incompatibility	KYK56328.1	752	ODA76785.1	1506	99.73	732	97	
Heterokaryon incompatibility - putative	KYK55209.1	749	ODA82169.1	1546	99.73	749	100	

TBLASTN with e-value threshold 0.0000000001. Dataset used for the TBLASTN searches: <https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/?query=gene%3Amat1-2-1+OR+gene%3Amat1-2-2+OR+gene%3Amat1-2-3+OR+gene%3Amat1-1-1+OR+gene%3Amat1-1-2+OR+gene%3Amat1-1-3+&sort=score>

Protein of interest	Target	Best HSP (TBLASTN)	Best HSP localization	Best Query ID (UniProt ID)	Comment
MAT1-1-1	Swe2	no hit			
MAT1-1-2	Swe2	no hit			
MAT1-1-3	Swe2	ODA78559.1	Chr. 1	A7KPA5_COCPO	Query does not have the required protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
MAT1-2-1	Swe2	not hit	Chr. 1	V9LW71_9HYPO	
MAT1-2-2	Swe2	ODA81607.1	Chr. 2	A0A2K8C394_9PEZI	Alignment is restricted to the protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
MAT1-2-3	Swe2	not hit			
MAT1-1-1	Dan2	KYK59754.1	Chr. 1 (CM004174.1)	U3N6X4_TOLIN	Presence of the protein domain "Mating-type protein MAT alpha 1 HMG-box" (PF04769)
MAT1-1-2	Dan2	KYK59755.1	Chr. 1 (CM004174.1)	Q1MVS6_TOLIN	Presence of the protein domain "Mating type protein 1-1-2" (PF17043)
MAT1-1-3	Dan2	KYK59757.1	Chr. 1 (CM004174.1)	A7KPA5_COCPO	Query does not have the required protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
		KYK59756.1	Chr. 1 (CM004174.1)	Q1MVS7_TOLIN	Presence of of the protein domain "HMG-box" (PF00505)
MAT1-2-1	Dan2	KYK55799.1	Chr. 3 (CM004176.1)	K4H8Z2_9HELO	Alignment is restricted to the protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
MAT1-2-2	Dan2	KYK55799.1	Chr. 3 (CM004176.1)	A0A2K8C394_9PEZI	Alignment is restricted to the protein domain (HMG-box - PF00505)
MAT1-2-3	Dan2	no hit			