Crystal structure of a constitutive active mutant of adenosine A2A receptor
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Abstract

Previously we reported a common activation pathway of the class A G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) in which a series of conserved residues/motifs undergo conformational change during extracellular agonist binding and finally induce the coupling of intracellular G protein, and successfully predicted several novel constitutive active or inactive mutations for A2A adenosine receptor (A2AAR) through this mechanism (Zhou et al., 2019). Here we determined the crystal structure of a typical A2AAR constitutive active mutant I92N in complex with agonist UK-432097 to reveal the molecular mechanism of mutation-induced constitutive activity. The mutated I92N forms a hydrophilic interaction network with nearby residues including W6.48 of the CWxP motif, which is absent in the wild type A2AAR. Although the mutant structure is overall similar to the previously determined intermediate state A2AAR structure (PDB ID: 3QAK), the molecular dynamics simulations suggested that the I92N mutant stabilizes the metastable intermediate state through the hydrophilic interaction network and favors the receptor conformational transition towards the active state. This research provides a structural template toward the special pharmacological outcome triggered by conformational mutation and sheds light on future structural or pharmacological studies among class A GPCRs.
Introduction

GPCRs are a group of seven-transmembrane (7TM) proteins that can sense extracellular chemical/light/odor signals to transduce downstream cellular adaptors such as G proteins (Venkatakrishnan et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2021). The signal transduction is accomplished through binding of its agonist in the extracellular pocket that triggers conformational changes of the 7TM, which in turn create enough space in the intercellular region to accommodate G protein (Rasmussen et al., 2011; Weis & Kobylka, 2018). While the ligand-receptor binding modes are varied among different receptors, the transition pathways from a ligand-free, inactive state to both agonist- and G protein-bound, active state are roughly similar in the intercellular side, and characterized by a narrow inward movement of TM7 toward TM3 and a wide outward movement of TM6 in their cytoplasmic ends. In addition to the active and the inactive snapshots determined by crystallography or cryo-EM, there are also a series of intermediate states during the conformational transition, which has also been well illustrated by NMR studies (Manglik et al., 2015; Ye, Van Eps, Zimmer, Ernst, & Prosser, 2016). Of note, the special role of the sodium-coordinating residues (such as D$^{2.50}$ and S$^{3.39}$)(Ballesteros–Weinstein numbering in superscript) on receptor stability and G protein signaling have been comprehensively explored from the aspects of structural biology (Ballesters & Weinstein, 1995; White et al., 2018) and biophysics (Eddy et al., 2018; Lee, Nivedha, Tate, & Vaidehi, 2019; Song, Yen, Robinson, & Sansom, 2019), while the importance of other motif residues (such as CWxP, PIF and DRY) are yet to be completed. We have previously reported a common GPCR activation pathway that directly links the ligand-binding pocket with the G protein-binding region (Zhou et al., 2019), this common activation mechanism is featured by switching or repacking of dozens of paired residues within the intercellular half of the 7TM including those conserved class A motifs (Erlandson, McMahon, & Kruse, 2018; Thal, Glukhova, Sexton, & Christopoulos, 2018). This mechanism is confirmed by designing of constitutive active or inactive mutations within the pathway. The adenosine A$_{2A}$ receptor (A$_{2A}$AR) is a prototypical member of the class A subfamily of the G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) that is widely distributed in various tissues and organs of the human body and participating in many important signal regulation processes. Using A$_{2A}$AR as an example, we have functionally validated 6 mutations with increased basal activity (i.e., constitutive active mutations) and 15 mutations with decreased or abolished activity (i.e., constitutive active mutations)(Zhou et al., 2019).

Abnormal function of A$_{2A}$AR has been linked to neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s disease, Huntington’s disease, inflammation and coronary heart disease. A$_{2A}$AR has been considered as a prototypical receptor in the GPCR structural biology field and dozens of A$_{2A}$AR structures with different types of ligands and/or adaptors have been determined. A$_{2A}$AR in complex with antagonist was crystallized to inactive state (Liu et al., 2012), while...
these agonist-bound A2AARs were mostly crystallized to the intermediate state (Lebon, Edwards, Leslie, & Tate, 2015; Lebon et al., 2011; F. Xu et al., 2011). Compared to the full active conformation that acquired with the presence of G protein or mini-G protein (Carpenter, Nehme, Warne, Leslie, & Tate, 2016; Garcia-Nafria, Lee, Bai, Carpenter, & Tate, 2018), the intermediate state is within the receptor’s transition pathway from inactive state to active state. To investigate whether these constitutive active mutations are linked to unobserved conformational states of A2AAR, we tried to crystallize agonist bound A2AAR in combination with different constitutive active mutations (Zhou et al., 2019): I923.40N, L953.43A and I2386.40Y. Among them, the I923.40N was predicted to form a hydrogen bond with W2466.48, while L953.43A and I2386.40Y were thought to loosen the hydrophobic lock between L953.43, I2386.40 and V2396.41. All these mutations are hypothesized to favor the active conformation by rotating the intercellular half of TM6, which thus loosen the TM3–TM6 contacts to allow TM6 moving outward in an easier way to create enough space for recruiting of downstream G protein.

**Results**

All mutations were made based on previous crystallized A2AAR construct with the third intracellular loop (ICL3) replaced with BRIL (Liu et al., 2012)(referred as wild-type A2AAR hereafter unless further mentioned). These variants were expressed in insect cells and purified to similar homogeneity as wild-type (WT) A2AAR (Figure 1A-B). We firstly measured their thermal stabilities in apo state or in complex with agonist (CGS21680) or antagonist (ZM241385) by CPM-based thermal-shift assay (Figure 1C). All apo variants were relatively unstable without the presence of ligands. Interestingly, the WT A2AAR showed the best thermal stabilities in all conditions compared to these variants. Especially, the I923.40N and L953.43A apo proteins each showed a significantly decreased melting temperature (3–5°C) compared to the WT, whereas the decreases can be fully retrieved with presence of CGS21680 but only partially retrieved by ZM241385 (Figure 1D). The result suggested that these mutations indeed break the equilibrium formed by the WT A2AAR and driven the receptor from inactive to active state, this can also be testified by the fact that these mutants increased the basal activities by 7-28 fold (Zhou et al., 2019). These apo variants are metastable but can be stabilized by the agonists who favor the active state, whereas the antagonists who lean toward the inactive state are incompatible with these mutations. Compared to the WT A2AAR, the I2386.40Y showed comparable melting temperatures in apo state or with agonist, but with a decreased melting temperature with antagonist (Figure 1D). Such result suggested that Y2386.40 might additionally stabilize the local environment by its bulky aromatic side-chain, a principle that previously characterized and summarized on a class B GPCR, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (Y. Xu et al., 2019).
Since the agonist performs better than antagonist in thermal-shift assay (and also logically as described), we tried co-crystallization of all three variants with agonist CGS21680 but failed. We then tried co-crystallization with another agonist, UK-432097, who has similar potency as CGS21680 and was the first agonist that crystallized with WT A2AAR (F. Xu et al., 2011). We successfully crystallized mutants I923.40N and L953.43A with UK-432097, but can only optimize the crystals of I923.40N to suitable size and collected the data to 3.8 Å (Figure 1—figure supplement 1, Table 1). The structure was determined using previous intermediate state A2AAR structure (PDB ID: 3QAK) as searching model.

The I923.40N–UK-432097 structure is overall similar to previous intermediate A2AAR structure (F. Xu et al., 2011) with a Ca r.m.s.d of 0.46 Å, and is distinct from the inactive (Liu et al., 2012) (Ca r.m.s.d of 1.74 Å) or active (Carpenter et al., 2016) (Ca r.m.s.d of 1.61 Å) state structure. Consistent with our prediction, in the variant structure the N923.40 forms a hydrogen bond with W2466.48 (Figure 2A-B). Unexpectedly, the side-chain of N923.40 also forms a weak hydrogen bond with the carbonyl group of C1855.46, as well as an even weaker interaction with the side-chain of N2807.45. Of note, all these residues are relatively far away from each other in the inactive state (Erlandson et al., 2018), thus these residues and their local structures undergo conformational change and move together during receptor activation. Obviously, above hydrophilic interactions are not possible to happen in the WT A2AAR since the corresponding position of 3.40 is a hydrophobic isoleucine residue (Figure 2C). Actually, I923.40, well-known as part of the P5.50-I3.40-F6.44 motif that triggered signaling initiation(Schonegge et al., 2017; Wacker et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2019), locates in an edge between the transmission switch and the hydrophobic lock in the structure, whereas in the inactive conformation it involves more with the hydrophobic lock. Therefore it is our estimation that the I923.40N mutation disturbed the local environment in the apo (inactive) state, while this disharmony may be compromised through adding the agonist by which induces departure of the N923.40 from the hydrophobic lock and formation of these hydrophilic interactions, these analysis are in line with the thermal-shift assay (Figure 1C,D).

For A2AAR, the active state is roughly identical with the intermediate state in the transmission switch region but distinct in the intercellular end of TM6, which moves further outward by >10 Å to accommodate G protein. The transition from intermediate to active state in the intracellular region requires the switch and new interactions formed by key residues R1023.50, Y2887.53, as well as the residues in G protein. However, in the I923.40N–UK-432097 structure we did not see further conformational change in the intracellular end of TM6 compared to previous intermediate A2AAR structure. This is consistent with previous finding that full activation of a GPCR requires engagement of its downstream G protein, as validated in many receptors including A2AAR and β2AR (Eddy et al., 2018; Nygaard et al., 2013; Thal et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2016).
Crystal structure represents typically a single conformation of individual protein, while it is known that GPCRs are very dynamic and multiple conformations are employed during their physiological events (Latorraca, Venkatakrishnan, & Dror, 2017). To further explore the dynamic events of the variant and previous WT A2AAR intermediate structure, we performed all atom molecule dynamics (MD) simulations to monitor how the I923.40N mutation might affect the dynamic or conformation of A2AAR (Figure 3-4). All simulations including the I923.40N and WT together with UK-432097 or without UK-432097 (apo) were conducted at 1 μs timescale. Structural comparison among the inactive, intermediate and active structures of A2AAR reveals the step-wise conformational change occurred in the residues centered at I923.40 (Figure 3A) to final dense packing upon receptor activation, as seem from the decreasing inter-residue minimum distances (Figure 3C). When bound to UK-432097 (trajectory I92N–UK-432097), the mutated N923.40 was mostly stabilized in its original position that identical with the active/intermediate states but distinct from the inactive state (Figure 3A). Quantitatively, the N923.40 reserves its hydrogen bond interactions with C1855.46 and W2466.48 to percentages of 98% and 78%, respectively (Figure 3B). In the mutant simulation without UK-432097 (trajectory I92N–apo), the N923.40–C1855.46 interaction is largely disrupted; in contrast, the N923.40–W2466.48 interaction is well maintained at early stage and fluctuation happens only at the 2nd half timescale (Figure 3C). For the simulations of WT A2AAR, the minimum distances between I923.40 and W2466.48/C1855.46/N2807.45 are also measured. The minimum distances of I923.40–W2466.48 and I923.40–C1855.46 are roughly stable during the simulation with UK-432097 (trajectory WT–UK-432097); in contrast, with removal of the agonist (trajectory WT–apo) both distances are fluctuated and apparently larger than the ones with the presence of UK-432097 (Figure 3C). The I/N923.40–N2807.45 distance is overall not that sensitive compared to the other two pairs of distances. However, we can still see that the minimum I923.40–N2807.45 distance is apparently larger in average in the simulation of WT–apo (without UK-432097) compared to WT–UK-432097, while the N923.40–N2807.45 distance is not differentiable between the simulations of I92N–UK-432097 and I92N–apo (Figure 3C). All these results indicated that in addition to the agonist who drives the transition of receptor from inactive to intermediate/active state by forming multiple interactions with the pocket residues, the I923.40N also plays an essential role by disturbing the local environment and forming these hydrophilic linkages which escorted conformational change of the intracellular G protein-binding region.

At the intracellular region, the distinct performances of conformational dynamics between the WT and mutant receptors during MD simulations suggested unique role played by I/N923.40. Within all four types of trajectories the minimum distances between the ionic lock residues (R1023.50 and E2286.30) are far less than that of active state (18.8 Å). Nevertheless, while all other trajectories are fluctuating between the inactive and intermediate states, the WT–apo apparently returned back to the inactive state after 200 ns of simulation, judging from the
steadily formed ionic lock as well as the Ca–Ca distance between R102\textsuperscript{3,50} and the first residue of TM6 (T224\textsuperscript{6,26}). Consistently, the solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) of G protein-binding sites for the WT–apo snapshots are averagely smaller than the other three. The trajectories of I92N–UK-432097 and I92N–apo are roughly similar, with far less distances of key residue pairs (R102\textsuperscript{3,50}–T224\textsuperscript{6,26}, R102\textsuperscript{3,50}–E228\textsuperscript{6,30}) (Figure 4B, top and middle) but much closer SASA of G protein-binding sites (Figure 4B, bottom) compared to those of active structure. Such asynchronous events between the creation of intracellular cleft for G protein entering and further outward movement of the intracellular end of TM6 triggered by G protein-binding highlight the essential role of G protein-binding in receptor activation. Nevertheless, all these simulations suggest that although the I92\textsuperscript{3,40}N mutant does not induce a full active state for A\textsubscript{2a}AR, it can preserve the intermediate state that driven by the agonist through a hydrophilic interaction network; on the contrary, the WT receptor would return back to the inactive state within a short timescale once the agonist removed.

**Discussion**

In this study we determined the A\textsubscript{2a}AR constitutive active mutant I92\textsuperscript{3,40}N in complex with agonist UK-432097 to a resolution of 3.8 Å. We identified that the mutation I92\textsuperscript{3,40}N stabilizes a hydrophilic interaction network that preserved an intermediated state in the presence or removal of the agonist through MD simulations, whereas the WT receptor trends to move back to the inactive state without the presence of agonist. Our results indicated that both WT and mutant receptors can hardly equilibrate to the fully active conformation during the simulation in 1μs timescale, with or without agonist. This observation is consistent with the critical role of G protein binding in receptor activation, as revealed in previous structural and dynamic studies (Carpenter et al., 2016; Eddy et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2016). Alternatively, long-timescale accelerated/enhanced MD simulations have been developed to escape local energy minima and efficiently sample the full energy landscape (McRobb, Negri, Beuming, & Sherman, 2016). Additionally, there is another possibility that the current conformation observed in I92\textsuperscript{3,40}N mutant structure is potentially affected by the lattice packing since a BRIL protein was inserted in the ICL3. Two BRIL proteins packing against each other in the lattice and a distortion is identified in the middle of each 4\textsuperscript{th} helix within the packing interface (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A-B). Such a distortion is not seen in previous BRIL structures that fused to ICL3 of GPCRs (Figure 2—figure supplement 2C-D), and it is possible that the lattice packing suppressed the position of BRIL and that pressure is immediately transferred to the TM6 of A\textsubscript{2a}AR through the rigid connection between receptor and fusion protein.

The residues involved in the common activation pathway are partially conserved within class A GPCRs, e.g., the W\textsuperscript{6,48} is located in a highly conserved CWxP motif, while the opposing position 3.40 is not very conserved but typically adopts a residue with short side-chain to fit the
highly condensed region in the center region. Remarkably, several mutations on position 3.40 have been linked to dysfunctions or diseases, \textit{i.e.}, V509^{3.40}A of thyrotropin receptor caused hyperthyroidism non-autoimmune (Duprez et al., 1994), I137^{3.40}T of melanocortin receptor 4 caused obesity (Gu et al., 1999; Xiang et al., 2006), S127^{3.40}F of vasopressin V2 receptor caused nephrogenic diabetes insipidus (Erdelyi et al., 2015), and L125^{3.40}R of rhodopsin caused retinitis pigmentosa 4 (Dryja, 1992). These mutations may unbalance the activity of each receptor through either impulse the conformational transition (active) or disconnect the transition linkage (inactive). Our study laid the basis for understanding the mechanism for these disease-related mutations and can be effectively applied to future modeling studies for pharmacological or pathological purposes.

In summary, our research together with previous studies indicated the critical role of the transmission switch, and either agonist binding or specific mutations in the activation pathway may trigger receptor conformational change to achieve or maintain active or active-like state. Our research provides a general template to understand the mutation triggered conformational change and signal transduction though the combination of structural and computational biology, and highlights the single point mutation strategy may provide another routine to initiate signal transduction beside the classical agonist binding.

### Materials and Methods

**A2AAR construct design, expression and purification**

Human wild-type A2AAR gene has 412 residues. The crystallization construct has replaced the ICL3 loop (residue K209-G218) by BRIL (thermostabilized apocytochrome b562 from \textit{E. coli}) and cut off the C-terminal after A316 which hindering the protein crystallization. The modified A2AAR gene was cloned to pFastBac 1 vector containing HA signal peptide, FLAG epitope tag, and 3C protease cleavage site at the N-terminus and 10×His-tag at the C-terminus. Three mutations I92^{3.40}N, L95^{3.40}A and I238^{6.40}Y were induced individually by overlap PCR to form constitutively active mutations. Recombinant baculoviruses expressing A2AAR WT or mutants were prepared using Bac-to-Bac system (Invitrogen). \textit{Spodoptera frugiperda} 9 (Sf9) insect cells were grown in ESF921 medium, when Sf9 cells density at 2~3×10^{6} cells/ml can be infected by 1% (v/v) baculoviruses and harvested at 48 h after infection. The 1 L cells were collected by centrifugation, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until further use. After 2 washes of hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES pH7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 20 mM KCl with EDTA-free protease-inhibitor cocktail tablets) and 3 washes of high salt buffer (10 mM HEPES pH7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 20 mM KCl, 1 M NaCl with EDTA-free protease-inhibitor cocktail tablets), the cell pellets were collected and pre-treated with 4 mM theophylline (Sigma), 2.0 mg/ml iodoacetamide (Sigma), and EDTA-free protease-inhibitor cocktail tablets. After incubation for 30 min the cell membranes were solubilized by incubation in the presence of 50 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 1% N-Dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM, Anatrace), 0.2% cholesterol hemisuccinate (CHS, Sigma), for 3 h at 4 °C. The insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 150,000 ×g and the supernatant was added to 1 ml pure TALON resin
(Clontech) and 20 mM imidazole and rock slowly overnight at 4 °C. The resin was washed
with 4×10 column volumes (CV) of wash buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5%
glycerol, 0.05% DDM, 0.01% CHS, 30 mM imidazole and 20 μM UK-432097), and eluted
with 3 ml elution buffer (25mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.025% DDM,
0.005% CHS, 300 mM imidazole and 100 μM UK-432097). The elution was concentrated with
100 kDa molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) Amicon centrifugal ultrafiltration unit (Millipore).

**Thermal shift assay**

CPM (N-((4-(7-diethylamino-4-methyl-3-coumarinyl)phenyl)maleimide) dye was dissolved in
DMSO at 4 mg/mL as stock solution and diluted 20 times in CPM buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH
7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 0.01% (w/v) DDM, 0.002% (w/v) CHS) before use. 1
μL of diluted CPM was added to the same buffer with approximately 0.5-2 μg receptor in a
final volume of 50 μL. For receptors prepared for thermal shift assay, no compound was added
during purification and each compound was only added in each CPM buffer to final
concentration of 50 μM. The thermal shift assay was performed in a Rotor-gene real-time PCR
cycler (Qiagen). The excitation wavelength was 365 nm and the emission wavelength was 460
nm. All assays were performed over a temperature range from 25°C to 85°C. The stability data
were processed with GraphPad Prism.

**Crystallization**

Purified A2A,AR protein was cocrystallised with UK-432097 using lipid cubic phase (LCP)
technology. The concentrated A2A,AR was mixed the lipid [10% (w/w) cholesterol, 90% (w/w)
monoolein] using a 1:1.5 (v/v) protein:lipid ratio to generate LCP mixture, then loaded 50 nl
each well on 96 well plate and overlaid with 800 nl of different precipitant solution. LCP plates
were stored at room temperature (18-20 °C). Diffracting quality crystals were grown in the
condition of 100 mM Tris pH 8.2, 30% PEG400 and 0.4 M (NH₄)₂SO₄. A2A,AR–UK-432097
crystals were harvested using mesh grid loops (MiTeGen) and stored in liquid nitrogen before
use.

**Data collection and model building**

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the Japan synchrotron radiation SPring-8 on beaming
line 45XU with automatic data collection program. Diffraction data were then collected with
the 10 μm beam with 0.2 second exposures with an oscillation of 0.2° per frame. X-ray
diffraction data were automatically processed with program KAMO (Yamashita, Hirata, &
Yamamoto, 2018) and indexed and scaled using XDS (Kabsch, 2010). The structure was solved
by molecular replacement with PHASER by using the solved A2A,AR structures (PDB ID:
3QAQ) as search models. Resulting model refinement and rebuilding were performed using
Phenix (Adams et al., 2010) and Coot (Emsley, Lohkamp, Scott, & Cowtan, 2010). Statistics
are provided in Table 1 and the final 3D pictures are prepared with PyMOL (The PyMOL

**Molecular dynamic simulations**

Molecular dynamic simulations were performed by Gromacs 2020.1 (Abraham et al., 2015).
The WT A2A,AR (UK-432097-bound A2A,AR, PDB ID: 3QAQ) and I92N mutant (crystal
structure determined herein) were prepared and capped by the Protein Preparation Wizard
(Schrodinger 2019-2). Two residues D52<sup>2.50</sup> and D101<sup>3.49</sup> were deprotonated, while other
titratable residues were left in their dominant state at pH 7.0. The apo receptor or its complex with UK-432097 were embedded in a bilayer composed of 201 POPC lipids and solvated with 0.15 M NaCl in explicitly TIP3P waters using CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder (Wu et al., 2014). The CHARMM36-CAMP force filed (Guvenc et al., 2011) was adopted for protein, lipids and salt ions. The parameter of UK-432097 was generated using the CHARMM General Force Field (CGenFF) (Vanommeslaeghe et al., 2010) program version 2.4.0. The Particle Mesh Ewald (PME) (Darden, York, & Pedersen, 1993) method was applied with a cutoff of 10 Å and the bonds involving hydrogen atoms were constrained using LINCS algorithm (Hess, 2008). The MD simulation system was relaxed using the steepest descent energy minimization, followed by slow heating of the system to 310 K with restraints. The restraints were reduced gradually over 20 ns, with a simulation step of 1 fs. Finally, 1000 ns production run without restraints were carried out, with a time step of 2 fs in the NPT ensemble at 310 K and 1 bar using the v-rescale thermostat (Bussi, Donadio, & Parrinello, 2007) and the semi-isotropic Parrinello-Rahman barostat (Aoki & Yonezawa, 1992), respectively. The “gmx hbond” function within Gromacs was used to analyze hydrogen bond occupancies (applied criteria of donor-acceptor distance: 3.5 Å and 40° angle). The interface areas were calculated by FreeSASA (Mitternacht, 2016) using the Sharke-Rupley algorithm with a probe radius of 1.2 Å.
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Figure 1. Purification and thermal-shift assay of A2AAR constitutive active mutants. (A), SDS-PAGE of purified WT and mutant A2AAR fusion proteins. (B), size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) suggest that the A2AAR fusion proteins are mostly monomeric and of similar homogeneity; (C-D), Thermal-shift profiles (C) and Tm plots (D) of A2AAR WT and mutants in apo state or in complex with agonist (CGS21680) or antagonist (ZM241385). In the thermal-shift assay, 500 mM NaCl was added in parallel to each experimental buffer for strict comparison since sodium is an allosteric effector for A2AAR.

Source data 1. Raw representative western blot of A2AAR WT and mutants during purification.

Source data 2. Raw size-exclusion chromatography data of A2AAR WT and mutants.


Figure supplement 1. Purification and crystallization of I92N mutant with UK-432097.
Figure 2. The I92^{3.40}N mutant structure of A2AAR in complex with UK-432097. (A), Overall structure with agonist UK-432097 shown as yellow sticks and N92^{3.40} shown as spheres. (B), Zoomed-in view of the region around N92^{3.40} within the mutant structure. Hydrogen bonded residues are labeled and their distances are marked. (C), Superposition of the mutant structure with the intermediate state WT structure (PDB ID: 3QAK). In the WT structure, I92^{3.40} and its nearby residues are shown as orange sticks.

Figure supplement 1. Crystal packing of I92N–UK-432097.
Figure 3. Conformational dynamics of the ligand-binding pocket in the molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of wild-type A2AAR and its mutant I92N. (A), Structural comparison of the residues around I/N92\textsuperscript{3.40} between MD final snapshot and the released structures of A2AAR in different states. Inactive (agonist-bound), intermediate (agonist-bound) and active (both agonist and G protein bound) A2AAR structures are colored in orange, magenta and green, respectively (Carpenter et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012; F. Xu et al., 2011). (B), Statistics of hydrogen bonds between N92 and its surrounding residues during the last 500 ns MD simulation of UK-432097-bound A2AAR mutant I92N. (C), Representative distances between I/N92\textsuperscript{3.40} and its surrounding residues C185\textsuperscript{5.46}, W246\textsuperscript{6.48}, and N280\textsuperscript{7.45}. Minimum distances were measured between non-hydrogen atoms. Dashed horizontal lines indicate values for the released structure of A2AAR in different states (inactive state, orange; intermediate state, magenta; active, green).
Figure 4. Conformational dynamics of the intercellular part of transmembrane helix 6 (TM6) during MD simulation. (A), Structural comparison of the intracellular half of TM6 between MD final snapshot and the released structure of A2AAR in different states. Inactive, intermediate, and active conformations are colored in orange, magenta and green, respectively (Carpenter et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2012; F. Xu et al., 2011). (B), Movements of TM6 during MD simulations: top, minimum distance between the charged non-hydrogen atoms of R102\(^{3.50}\) and E228\(^{6.30}\); middle, the Ca distance between R102\(^{3.50}\) and the intracellular tip of TM6 (T224\(^{6.26}\)); bottom; the solvent-accessible surface area of G protein-binding sites, which consists of R102\(^{3.50}\), A105\(^{3.53}\), I106\(^{3.54}\), I200\(^{5.61}\), A203\(^{5.64}\), S234\(^{6.36}\) and L235\(^{6.37}\). The interface areas were calculated by FreeSASA (Mitternacht, 2016). Dashed horizontal lines indicate values for the released structure of A2AAR in different states (inactive state, orange; intermediate state, magenta; active, green).
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<td>Resolution ($\text{Å}^a$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections (total/unique)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{pim}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I/\sigma(I)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{work}$/ $R_{free}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s deviations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles ($^\circ$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran (%)$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Values for highest resolution shells are given in parentheses.

$^b$CC1/2 = Pearson’s correlation coefficient between average intensities of random half data sets for each unique reflection.

$^c$Residues in favored, accepted, and outlier regions of the Ramachandran plot as reported by MOLPROBITY.
Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Purification and crystallization of I92N mutant with UK-432097. (A-B), SDS-PAGE and SEC profiles of purified mutant (I92N) and concentrated (I92N-C) I92N–UK-432097 complex. (C), Thermal-shift assay of mutant receptor in apo or in complex with UK-432097. Tm values are shown on the right. (D), Crystals of I92N–UK-432097 complex from LCP environment.

Source data 1. Raw SDS-PAGE of A2AAR purified mutant (I92N) and concentrated (I92N-C) I92N–UK-432097 complex.

Source data 2. Raw size-exclusion chromatography data of A2AAR purified mutant (I92N) and concentrated (I92N-C) I92N–UK-432097 complex.

Source data 3. Raw CPM-based thermal-shift assay data of A2AAR I92N mutant receptor in apo or in complex with UK-432097.
Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Crystal packing of I92N–UK-432097. (A-B), The lattice packing and BRIL-BRIL interface within the lattice. (C), Superposition of the BRIL proteins that used as fusion partner at the ICL3 of GPCRs. (D), Superposition on receptors of the GPCR-BRIL$^{ICL3}$ fusion protein structures showed variant orientations for the ICL3-BRIL. PDBs used for alignments are PDBs: 4EIY, 4IAR, 4IB4, 4NTJ, 4PXZ, 4IAQ, 4Z35, 4Z34, 4Z36, 5UIG, 5IU4, 5IUA, 5N2R, 5MZJ, 5JTB, 5UEN, 6DRX, 6DRY, 6DRZ, 6RZ4, 6RZ6, 6RZ7, 6RZ8, 6RZ9, 6BQH, 6BQG, 6AQF, 6ZDR, 6ZDV and current structure. All these previous structures of GPCR-BRIL$^{ICL3}$ fusion proteins showed varied orientations between the receptor and BRIL, and only the 5HT$_{2C}$ receptor in complex with agonist is crystallized in a full active conformation.