Drosophila nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and their interactions with insecticidal peptide toxins

3 Dagmara Korona¹*, Benedict Dirnberger^{1,2,4}*, Carlo N G Giachello⁴*, Rayner M L Queiroz²,

- 4 David-Paul Minde², Michael J Deery², Glynnis Johnson¹, Karin H Müller³, Lucy C Firth⁴,
- 5 Fergus G Earley⁴, Steven Russell¹ ** and Kathryn S Lilley⁵ **
- 6
- 7
- ¹Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EH,
 ⁹ United Kingdom
- ²Cambridge Centre for Proteomics, Department of Biochemistry, Gleeson Building,
 University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1GA, United Kingdom
- ³Cambridge Advanced Imaging Centre, Department of Physiology, Development and
 Neuroscience/Anatomy Building, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, CB2 3DY,
- 14 United Kingdom
- ⁴Syngenta, Jealott's Hill International Research Centre, Bracknell, RG42 6EY, United
 Kingdom
- ⁵Cambridge Centre for Proteomics, Department of Biochemistry, Gleeson Building,
 University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1GA, United Kingdom.
 k.s.lilley@bioc.cam.ac.uk
- *These authors contributed equally: Dagmara Korona, Benedict Dirnberger, Carlo N G
 Giachello
- **Correspondence should be addressed to Kathryn S Lilley k.s.lilley@bioc.cam.ac.uk and
 Steven Russell sr120@cam.ac.uk
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27

28 Abstract

Drosophila nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are ligand-gated ion channels that 29 represent a target for insecticides. Peptide neurotoxins are known to block nAChRs by binding 30 to their target subunits, however, a better understanding of receptor subunit composition is 31 needed for effective design of insecticides. To facilitate the analysis of nAChRs we used a 32 CRISPR/Cas9 strategy to generate null alleles for all ten nAChR subunit genes in a common 33 genetic background. We studied interactions of nAChR subunits with peptide neurotoxins by 34 35 larval injections and styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs) pull-down assays. For the null alleles we determined the effects of α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx) and ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a 36 (Hv1a) administration, identifying potential receptor subunits implicated in the binding of these 37 38 toxins. We employed pull-down assays to confirm α -Btx interactions with the D α 5, D α 6, D α 7 subunits. Finally, we report the localization of fluorescent tagged endogenous Da6 during 39 nervous system development. Taken together this study elucidates native *Drosophila* nAChR 40 subunit interactions with insecticidal peptide toxins and provides a resource for the *in vivo* 41 42 analysis of insect nAChRs.

43

- 46 Subject Categories Neurotransmitter receptor/ Neurotoxin interactions
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51

52

53

^{Keywords} *Drosophila* nAChRs / insecticidal toxins / nAChR null alleles / SMALPs pulldowns

55 Introduction

56 Global climate change and other factors are placing increasing demands on available agricultural land to deliver efficient, reliable and sustainable food production. Insecticides are 57 important tools in securing yields of all major crops but need to be continually replaced to 58 overcome resistance in target species and reduce environmental impacts. In addition, new 59 insecticides must have low toxicity to non-target species, particularly the major pollinators 60 essential for agriculture. A large class of insecticide targets are neurotransmitter receptors such 61 as the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) located in synaptic plasma membranes (Ihara 62 et al, 2020). These pentameric cys-loop ligand-gated ion channels consist of either only α -63 subunits or α - and β -subunits, with ligand binding sites located between two α -subunits or 64 between α - and β -subunits. Most insect genomes, including that of the highly tractable 65 Drosophila melanogaster model, harbour ten highly conserved subunit genes that assemble in 66 various combinations to form the active receptors. 67

An essential pre-requisite for effective design of new insecticides targeting these receptors is 68 69 understanding the subunit composition of nAChRs and their distinctive binding properties. For 70 many reasons, including low expression in endogenous tissues or difficulties in expressing 71 insect receptors in heterologous systems, the characterisation of functional insect receptors has been challenging (Perry et al, 2021; Zuo et al, 2021; Salgado, 2021). Even in the tractable D. 72 *melanogaster* insect model, there has been no systematic isolation of mutations in *nAChR* 73 subunit genes, until recently, when Perry and colleagues described the generation of a new set 74 75 of null mutations in nine out of the ten *D. melanogaster* subunit genes (Perry *et al*, 2021). These mutations, however, were generated in different genetic backgrounds necessitating additional 76 77 work to assay background sensitive phenotypes such as neural or behavioural defects.

78 Several classes of insecticide, the most effective being those in the neonicotinoid and spinosad class, have been shown to bind insect nAChRs highly selectively to block their functions 79 80 (Chambers et al, 2019; Houchat et al, 2019). Recently, the binding affinity and the positive allosteric effects of ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a) peptide on nAChRs has been demonstrated 81 (Chambers et al, 2019) and this spider venom peptide is well known for its insecticidal effects. 82 83 In addition, other peptide toxins, such the snake venom constituent, α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx), have been widely used to probe nAChR functions, however whether α -Btx harbours a selective 84 insecticidal property is currently unknown. Alpha-Btx is a 74 amino acid peptide that binds 85 86 irreversibly to nAChR α -subunits in different species, including *D. melanogaster*, although the

87 exact subunit composition of target receptors is not fully understood (Schmidt-Nielsen *et al*, 88 1977; Dellisanti *et al*, 2007; Dacosta *et al*, 2015). Landsdell and co-workers have shown 89 binding of α -Btx to *D. melanogaster* D α 5, D α 6, and D α 7 subunits in a heterologous S2 cell 90 expression system (Lansdell & Millar, 2004; Lansdell *et al*, 2012) and the amino acid sequence 91 of these subunits show strong similarity across their ligand-binding domains (LBD).

The lipid bilayer surrounding nAChRs is known to be essential for structural integrity, stability 92 and ligand binding (Dacosta et al, 2013). However, this lipid requirement can make analysis 93 of membrane protein complexes challenging. The development of methods for extracting 94 membrane proteins from lipid bilayers using detergents and introducing them into artificial 95 lipid nanodiscs has facilitated a much better characterisation of receptor-ligand interactions 96 (Denisov & Sligar, 2016). The use of detergents generally used to solubilize membrane 97 98 proteins, however, leads to destabilisation, aggregation and misfolding and are therefore not compatible with this type of analysis (Loo et al, 1996). Styrene maleic acid lipid particles 99 100 (SMALPs) allow detergent-free extraction of membrane proteins in their local lipid environment and provide a promising technique for investigating receptor-ligand interactions 101 102 under native conditions (Lee et al, 2016). This is particularly important since loss of lipids surrounding membrane proteins can lead to changes in measured binding affinities (Martens et 103 104 al, 2018; Gault et al, 2020). The combination of detergent free SMALPs extraction coupled with mass spectrometry analysis provides a potential route for characterising native membrane 105 106 receptor complexes (Sobotzki et al, 2018; Kalxdorf et al, 2021).

Here we report the results from a combined genetic and biochemical analysis of D. 107 108 melanogaster nAChRs in vivo. Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering we generated new 109 null mutations for all ten receptor subunit genes in a uniform genetic background as well as introducing a fluorescent protein tag into the $nAChR\alpha 6$ locus. We show that the null mutants 110 in all seven α -subunit genes and two of the three β -subunit genes are viable and fertile, although 111 we find mild morphological defects and some neurological impairment. Mutation of the 112 remaining subunit gene, $nAChR\beta I$, is recessive lethal. All nine of the viable null mutants were 113 used to demonstrate a novel selective insecticidal effect of α -Btx on the *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6* 114 and *nAChRa7* subunits. We also applied the insecticidal Hv1a peptide to the viable null 115 mutants, showing resistance with two subunit gene mutants: $nAChR\alpha4$ and $nAChR\beta2$. In our 116 biochemical studies we analysed receptor-ligand interactions in native conditions using 117 SMALPs to verify the *in vivo* receptor subunit composition of the α-Btx binding target in adult 118 neural tissue from wild-type and receptor subunit mutants. Our analysis revealed binding of α -119

Btx to receptors containing $D\alpha 5$, $D\alpha 6$ and $D\alpha 7$ subunits with the analysis of mutants in these subunits genes indicating heterogeneity in α -Btx binding nAChRs. Furthermore, we have identified specific glycosylation sites in $D\alpha 5$ and $D\alpha 7$ subunits which are known from other studies to play a critical role in α -Btx binding affinity (Dellisanti *et al*, 2007; Rahman *et al*, 2020). Localization studies with the $D\alpha 6$ subunit tagged at the endogenous locus with a fluorescent reporter shows expression at different developmental stages in specific neuronal cells, including the Kenyon cells of the mushroom bodies, a known site of α -Btx-binding.

127

128 **Results**

129 New *D. melanogaster* nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit gene mutations

To investigate the role of individual nAChR subunits we used CRISPR/Cas9 to generate 130 deletion mutations in each of the seven α -subunit and three β -subunit genes. All of the 131 mutations were generated in virtually identical genetic backgrounds using nanos-Cas9 sources 132 133 on the second or third chromosome of otherwise genetically homogeneous fly lines. In brief, 134 for each gene we targeted exons shared between all predicted isoforms, close to the N-terminus of the protein. In order to disrupt each coding sequence and facilitate screening we introduced 135 136 a visible fluorescent marker, DsRED under control of the eye-specific 3xP3 promoter at the targeted locus. Positive lines were confirmed by PCR and sequencing, and subsequently the 137 138 DsRED marker was excised from the genome by Cre-Lox recombination.

For nine out of ten subunit genes we established homozygous viable and fertile stocks, the 139 exception was the *nAChR\beta1* gene which proved to be recessive lethal. Although all the other 140 141 lines are viable, we noticed that most of the mutants, but particularly nAChRa1, nAChRa2, *nAChRa5* and *nAChRβ3*, exhibited a curled abdomen phenotype that is most prominent in 142 males (approximately 25, 20, 15 and 15 % respectively, Fig 1A). It is possible that this 143 144 phenotype is a result of defects in neural control of abdominal muscles and it is interesting to note that a previous analysis of an *nAChRa1* allele reports reduced male courtship and mating 145 (Somers et al, 2017). 146

Since nAChRs are mostly found in the nervous system, we carried out basic climbing assays on the null alleles to assess potential locomotor defects (Fig 1B, Appendix Table S1). We saw little or no impact on the locomotor activity of ten day old flies with $nAChR\alpha 4$, $nAChR\alpha 5$, $nAChR\alpha 7$, $nAChR\beta 2$ or $nAChR\beta 3$ homozygous mutants, however, deletions of $nAChR\alpha 1$,

151 *nAChRa2* and *nAChRa6* showed 50-60 % reductions in climbing ability compared to wild-

152 type. In addition, the *nAChRa3* null mutant and heterozygotes for *nAChR\betal* exhibited a severe

- reduction in locomotor activity to less than 40 % of wild-type (22% and 34% respectively).
- 154 Taken together, we report the generation and validation of null mutations in all ten D.
- *melanogaster nAChR* subunit genes, with mild morphological defects associated with most of
- the new alleles and impaired locomotion observed with some mutants.

Distinct nAChR subunits mediate interactions with ω-Hexatoxin-Hv1a and α Bungarotoxin

In order to investigate the selective contribution of each *nAChR* subunits to toxin binding *in* 159 vivo, we injected 3rd instar larvae from the homozygous nAChR null mutants with either ω -160 Hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hv1a) or α-Bungarotoxin (α-Btx) dissolved in PBS. As a control, injections 161 of PBS alone (vehicle) were performed in parallel, and all larvae survived the injection 162 procedure and showed no detectable defects. Larval injection of 2.5 nmol/g Hv1a induced 163 locomotor paralysis and full lethality in the control groups (w^{1118} , *THattP40* and *THattP2*, Fig. 164 2A, Appendix Table S2). Survival was quantified as the percentage of pupae formed after 165 injection. Hv1a did not result in full lethality with $nAChR\alpha 4$ and $nAChR\beta 2$ homozygous 166 mutants, since both showed an increase in survival to 42±22% (One-way ANOVA followed 167 by Bonferroni's test, P=0.0035, Fig 2A). Mortality in all the other null mutants was comparable 168 169 to controls (P > 0.9).

We also observed significant toxicity following injection of 1.25 nmol/g α-Btx, with larvae exhibiting a progressive reduction in locomotion until stationary, resulting in developmental arrest and death. We found that α-Btx induced lethality is drastically reduced in the *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6* and *nAChRa7* subunit mutants, with the survival rate significantly increased from 0% (controls) to $61\pm10\%$ (*P*=0.001), $53\pm24\%$ (*P*=0.0051) and $72\pm25\%$ (*P*=0.0001) respectively (One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test, Fig 2B).

176 Together, these results indicate that Hv1a and α -Btx do not share the same binding target and 177 differentially interact with the nAChR subunits *in vivo*. Since α -Btx showed a novel insecticidal 178 effect on nAChRs we further examined its interactions biochemically.

- 179
- 180

181 Forming SMA-lipid particles (SMALPs) of ring-like nAChR complex structures

In order to take advantage of our new receptor subunit mutants for the biochemical analysis of native nAChR functions, we examined the composition of the receptors responsible for binding α -Btx. To address the functionality of *D. melanogaster* nAChRs isolated from endogenous membranes, we utilised detergent-free SMALPs extraction to characterise the interaction between receptor native lipid discs and the α -Btx toxin (Fig 3A).

- In brief, we prepared membrane extracts from adult *D. melanogaster* heads (Depner *et al*, 2014) and generated lipid particle discs by solubilising the membrane extracts with the SMA copolymer. We used affinity beads coupled to α -Btx (Wang *et al*, 2003; Mulcahy *et al*, 2018) to enrich for nAChRs in the SMALP preparations that bound to the toxin, and performed mass spectrometric analysis of tryptic peptides generated from the enriched preparations. In parallel we processed membrane extracts without SMALP and with SMALP extracts enriched with beads alone.
- We first determined whether membrane protein discs are formed from enriched membranes using the SMA copolymer. We prepared membrane enriched fractions from adult heads, solubilized these with SMA and separated the insoluble particles from the lipid discs by ultracentrifugation. We negatively stained the SMALP preparations and imaged them with transmission electron microscopy (TEM), observing irregular discs of varying shapes and sizes, with clusters containing different numbers of discs (Fig 3B).
- Membrane receptors often have a unique shape in TEM images and the five subunits of a 200 nAChRs is expected to form a ring-like structure, suggesting that the receptors are extracted as 201 a complex. However, we did not observe pentameric ring-like structures perhaps suggesting 202 that nAChRs are of low abundance and that analysis may benefit from enrichment. We coupled 203 204 α -Btx to affinity beads to enrich nAChR complexes that bind the toxin in SMALP preparations (Fig 3C). In contrast to the unenriched samples, TEM images of the enriched preparations 205 206 showed increased numbers of ring-like structures of 15 nm in diameter (Fig 3D and E). Thus our TEM analysis shows an increased number of ring-like membrane complexes in the SMALP 207 208 preparations which are likely to be nAChRs.
- 209
- 210
- 211

212 Efficient SMALPs extraction allow to study nAChR subunits solubility

To assess to what extent the SMA copolymer solubilized nAChRs, we performed a bottom-up 213 214 proteomics analysis to identify receptor subunits. Membrane preparations were solubilized in buffer with or without SMA, and affinity beads with or without α -Btx were used to assess 215 ligand-binding to nAChR subunits. Comparing the number of proteins identified in samples 216 solubilized either with or without 5% SMA, we observed a significantly increased 217 identification rate of proteins dissolved in SMA by equal numbers of MS/MS spectral counts 218 219 (two-tailed t-test, P<0.01, Fig 4A and non-significant, Fig 4B). This indicates that mass spectrometer performance was comparable during the measurements. 220

221 Sequences of membrane spanning segments of nAChR subunits, which are in close contact to the hydrophobic lipid environment, are largely composed of nonpolar side chains. Determining 222 223 the average of hydrophobicity of identified protein sequences revealed significantly increased 224 numbers of proteins with a positive hydrophobicity score in samples solubilized in SMA (twotailed t-test, P<0.0001, Fig 4C), indicative of enrichment of membrane proteins. An analysis 225 of Gene Ontology (GO) slim terms supports the conclusion that the SMALP preparations are 226 enriched of membrane embedded and associated proteins (Fig 4D), and that these are not 227 limited to plasma membrane proteins. In the SMA-enriched samples we found enrichment for 228 229 proteins annotated with metabolic and catalytic activity terms and also enhanced response to biological stimuli (Appendix Fig S1A and B), highlighting the recovery of membrane-230 231 associated proteins.

Next, we focused on identified membrane proteins predicted to contain transmembrane helical 232 (TMH) domains and found an increased number of proteins containing TMHs in SMA 233 234 solubilized samples (Fig 4E). While the majority of these proteins contained a single TMH domain, we identified Piezo, a mechanosensory ion channel protein containing 37 predicted 235 236 transmembrane helices. Both α - and β -nAChR subunits contain four TMH domains and could be solubilized in SMA. The number of β -barrel membrane spanning proteins identified was 237 also significantly increased by SMA extraction (two-tailed t-test, P<0.0001, Appendix Fig. 238 S1C). 239

In addition, palmitoylated lipid anchor modifications to nAChR subunits has been shown to be important for receptor assembly into membranes and the formation of functional complexes (Alexander *et al*, 2010). Comparing samples solubilized with and without SMA showed a significantly increased identification of proteins which are predicted to be palmitoylated and myristoylated (two-tailed t-test, *P*<0.0001, Appendix Fig S1D and E). In contrast, membrane
proteins that are predicted to contain a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor are equally
solubilized in both conditions (two-tailed t-test, non-significant, Appendix Fig 1F).

247 Focusing on the membrane receptors solubilized by SMA, we analysed the amino acid sequence properties of identified proteins and calculated an overall solubility score (Sormanni 248 et al, 2015; Sormanni et al, 2017). Comparing the solubility to the hydrophobicity showed a 249 calculated R² of 0.56 (Fig 4F). Sequences with a score greater than 1 are highly soluble 250 receptors and those less than minus -1 are difficult to solubilize. As a result, samples solubilized 251 in SMA contain more receptors, which are difficult to solubilize. These receptors are more 252 hydrophobic and contain larger numbers of TMH domains (Fig 4G). Calculating an average 253 solubility score of -2.76 for nAChR sequences indicates that difficult to solubilize subunits are 254 255 successfully recovered with SMA (Fig 4H).

Taken together, these analyses confirm that SMA solubilizes nAChR complexes in a state suitable for subunit identification by mass spectrometry and suggests that α -Btx interactions can be studied with SMALP preparations.

259 Three nAChR α -subunits are targets of α -Btx

To explore native nAChR subunit interactions with α -Btx we searched for peptides from 260 261 subunit ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domains, identifying the D α 5, D α 6 and D α 7 subunits in the α-Btx affinity bead pull-downs (Fig 5A and B, Appendix Table S3). Several other 262 263 nAChR subunit peptides could be identified in the negative controls performed without coupling α -Btx to affinity beads (Appendix Table S4). The sequences of the ligand-binding 264 265 domains of the Da5, Da6 and Da7 subunits are very similar (avg. 95.49 %) and we identified peptides common to all three subunits (Appendix Fig S2A) as well as unique peptides within 266 their cytoplasmic domains (Appendix Fig S2B). However, we found no evidence of peptides 267 mapping to TMH domains. The ligand-binding domain of α -subunits show structural similarity 268 across different species (Appendix Fig S3A) and by mapping the identified peptides to known 269 structures we concluded they are most likely outside of the α -Btx binding sites (Appendix Fig 270 S3B). 271

272 To further characterize the role of the three α -subunits identified in α -Btx binding we generated 273 SMALP preparations and performed α -Btx affinity bead enrichments with adult head 274 preparations from homozygous null mutations for each of the *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6* and

 $nAChR\alpha7$ subunit genes. With all three deletion mutants we observed, as expected, no detectable peptides from the missing subunit but could still identify peptides from the other two subunits (Fig 5C).

We compared the repertoire of proteins identified with α -Btx enrichment in wild-type with 278 279 those found in each of the three mutant lines to identify any changes in the representation of biological pathways annotated in KEGG (Kanehisa et al, 2020, Fig 5D). While the enrichments 280 in wild-type and the mutants were broadly similar, we noticed a loss of proteins associated with 281 cofactor/vitamin metabolism, particularly retinol and ascorbate, in all three of the mutants as 282 283 well as proteins associated with vesicular transport. It is possible that these pathway changes represent alterations in neurotransmitter production or trafficking. Interestingly, we also 284 noticed specific enrichment of cytochrome P450 related pathways in the nAChRa6 mutants, 285 suggesting perturbation of neurotransmitter pathways. 286

In summary, our analysis indicates that a functional α -Btx binding nAChR involves the D α 5,

288 D α 6 and D α 7 subunits. This is entirely in line with our genetic findings described above, where

loss of each of these subunit genes conferred substantial resistance to α -Btx induced lethality.

290 Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits by α-Btx binding

We next examined glycosylation sites on nAChR subunits since these are known to have an 291 292 important role in α-Btx binding affinity in other systems. For example, deglycosylation reduces α -Btx binding in human nAChRs by more than two orders of magnitude (Dellisanti *et al*, 2007) 293 and α-Btx binding to loop C in *Torpedo californica* α-subunits is enhanced by N-glycosylation 294 295 of sites in these regions (Rahman et al, 2020). To identify specific glycosylation sites in D. melanogaster nAChRs we first purified SMALP solubilized receptors with α-Btx affinity 296 297 beads, digested them into peptides and enriched for glycopeptides using HILIC resin 298 (Hägglund et al, 2004, Fig 6A).

Site-specific identification of glycans on peptides by mass spectrometry is challenging (Fang *et al*, 2020) and often requires an additional deglycosylation step for glycopeptide measurement. Deglycosylation of enriched peptides was carried out using two separate enzymes: Endoglycosidase H (Endo H), which cleaves asparagine-linked oligosaccharides to generate a truncated sugar molecule with one N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc) residue, and the endoglycosidase PNGase F, which releases the entire glycan from asparagine residues and deaminates the sugar free asparagine to aspartic acid. While very few glycopeptides were

observed in the flow through (an average 20 glycopeptides Fig 6B), we identified a total of 397
glycopeptides after enrichment and deglycosylation with Endo H or PNGase F (Fig 6C).

Shared glycopeptides from $D\alpha 5$ and $D\alpha 7$ nAChR subunits were identified after enrichment 308 309 and deglycosylation with Endo H or PNGase F (Fig 6D). Deglycosylation with Endo H identified modified asparagine (N2) residues on the peptide (NNGSCLYVPPGIFK), which is 310 predicted to be part of the D α 5 and D α 7 ligand-binding domains involved in α -Btx binding. 311 This asparagine residue was modified with an N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc) truncated sugar 312 chain. Releasing N-glycans after deglycosylation by PNGase F enabled us to identify a 313 deaminated asparagine residue in the same peptide. The monoisotopic mass of this peptide 314 changed due to the different modifications on the asparagine residue (Fig 6E). 315

The genome of Caenorhabditis elegans encodes for at least 29 nAChR subunits (Jones et al, 316 2007). The alpha-type unc-63 subunit contains an N-linked HexNAc modified asparagine 317 residue on position 136 (Kaji et al, 2007). Performing a multiple sequence alignment showed 318 that this asparagine residue is conserved between insects and nematodes (Appendix Fig S4A). 319 Comparing identified glycosylation sites of Da5 and Da7 subunits to known N-linked 320 glycosylation sites of α -subunits from T. californica, Danio rerio, Mus musculus or Homo 321 322 sapiens indicates that this site is not conserved between vertebrates and invertebrates 323 (Appendix Fig S4B).

We also identified glycosylation sites in the D α 3 (ATKATLNYTGR) and D β 3 (VVLPENGTAR) subunits after Endo H treatment but not with PNGase F treatment, suggesting they harbour a single N-linked HexNAc modified asparagine residue (Appendix Fig S4C).

328 Taken together these findings suggest that the D α 5 and D α 7 subunits are modified at 329 asparagine residues in the α -Btx ligand-binding domain with an N-linked sugar chain.

330 Localization of Da6 nAChRs subunit in the brain

In order to examine the endogenous localization of an α -Btx binding receptor subunit we used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering to introduce in frame C-terminal fluorescence and epitope tags into the endogenous *nAChRa6* locus. The resulting line is homozygous viable and fertile, and shows no apparent phenotypes. We live imaged the unfixed brains of larvae and adults homozygous for the tagged line using confocal microscopy. In 2nd instar larvae we observed

low level well-distributed fluorescence signal throughout the ventral nerve cord (VNC),including on commissural axons, and in the developing brain (Fig 7A).

By early L3, we found more defined localization in the VNC and developing mushroom bodies (Fig 7B and D), particularly noticeable in the Kenyon cells, a known site of α -Btx binding (Su & O'Dowd, 2003). Localization in larval mushroom bodies continued to evolve, with defined expression in the Kenyon cells, calyx, peduncle, dorsal and medial lobes as well as the medulla and lamina of the emerging optic lobes (Fig 7C and E). We also observed localisation to a number of cell bodies overlying the optic lobes (Fig 7F).

Finally, in the adult brain, expression was largely restricted to the mushroom bodies particularly the Kenyon cells and connections across the midline between the β and γ lobes and the optic lobes (Fig 7G). The temporal localization of D α 6 subunit in the CNS is summarized in schematic form (Fig 7H).

348 Discussion

Elucidation of complex insect nAChRs heterogeneity will lead to a better understanding of selective insecticidal effects. We present a new set of null mutations in all *D. melanogaster nAChR* subunit genes and investigated insecticidal peptide toxin effects on wild-type and receptor subunit mutant larvae. Utilising biochemical approaches with SMALP pull-downs we characterised toxin binding and subunit composition of native nAChR complexes.

354

355 Our genome engineering approach generated viable and fertile mutations in nine out of the ten subunit genes encoded in the D. melanogaster genome and is largely concordant with the 356 recently described work by Perry and colleagues (Perry et al, 2021). In both studies, null 357 mutations in the *nAChR\beta1* gene were inviable as stocks. We add to the previous work by 358 359 generating viable mutations in $nAChR\alpha 5$. We observed some minor morphological defects in 360 some of the null mutants especially in *nAChR* α *l*, *nAChR* α *2*, *nAChR* α *5* and *nAChR* β *3* as well as locomotor defects with some alleles, particularly severely in *nAChRa3* homozygotes and 361 *nAChR\beta1* heterozygotes. The locomotor defects we observed are in agreement with previously 362 reported neuronal phenotypes with *nAChR* subunit genes, including sleep disruption, defective 363 jump response, memory impairment or locomotor defects (Fayyazuddin et al, 2006; Rohde et 364 al, 2016; Somers et al, 2017; Tackenberg et al, 2020). 365

We used the nAChR null mutants to study insecticidal effects of the Hv1a peptide on viability after injection into larvae and investigated whether α -Btx has any insecticidal properties. As described by Chambers and colleagues, we confirm that Hv1a effects nAChRs (Chambers *et al*, 2019) and our analysis shows that the D α 4 and D β 2 subunits are involved in the insecticidal response. We show for the first time that α -Btx has selective insecticidal effects against the D α 5, D α 6 and D α 7 subunits, which we further characterized at the biochemical level.

- The pharmacology of Hv1a and α -Btx binding has been shown to be distinctive (Chambers *et* 372 373 al, 2019), correlating with our demonstration that these two peptide toxins mediate their effects through different receptor alpha subunits. Furthermore, resistance to neonicotinoid 374 375 insecticides, which interact most strongly with Hv1a binding, has been associated with $D\beta2$ 376 (Perry et al, 2008; Perry et al, 2021), consistent with the involvement of this subunit in the 377 response to Hv1a. However, no resistance to neonicotinoids was seen in D. melanogaster carrying a nAChRa4 gene deletion (Perry et al, 2021), which could be explained if 378 379 neonicotinoids act at multiple receptor classes. Multiple binding sites for the neonicotinoid imidacloprid can be resolved in equilibrium binding assays in many insect species (Xu et al, 380 381 2010) and by binding kinetics in flies (Liu & Casida, 1993).
- Resistance to spinosad is strongly associated with D α 6 (Perry *et al*, 2021), and spinosad binding is much more sensitive to the action of α -Btx than to the action of neonicotinoids (Chambers *et al*, 2019), again consistent with the involvement of this subunit with sensitivity to injected α -Btx and with the proposition that α -Btx and Hv1a act at distinct receptor classes.
- 386 nAChR subunits are known to be difficult to purify due to solubilisation issues (Cheng et al, 2015; Maldonado-Hernández et al, 2020) and the requirement for a lipid environment for 387 388 ligand binding (Dacosta et al, 2013) makes it challenging to study these receptors in native conditions. We used the SMALPs extraction method for preparing membrane discs and 389 390 enriched nAChRs via α-Btx affinity purification. Electron microscopy analysis indicated that 391 receptor-like particles were recovered and these were substantially enriched by α -Btx pull-392 down. Mass spectrometry analysis showed an enrichment for the Da5, Da6 and Da7 subunits in these preparations, which is concordant with our *in vivo* injection results and previous studies 393 394 that characterised aspects of α-Btx binding (Lansdell & Millar, 2004; Wu et al, 2005; Lansdell et al, 2012). 395

396 To our knowledge this is the first report of the identification of a native endogenous α -Btx 397 binding nAChRs. We note however, that we cannot determine from our analysis whether all 398 three identified subunits are part of the same complex or if there are different receptors containing a subset of these subunits. Using chimeric receptors in a cell line system, Landsdell 399 400 and colleagues reported that a combination of all three of these subunits show high affinity acetylcholine binding but α -Btx binding varied depending on receptor combinations, with D α 5 401 402 and Da6 binding most strongly (Lansdell *et al*, 2012). In a prior study they implicated Da6 and Dα7 (Lansdell & Millar, 2004). However, these assays were performed with 5HT3A-nAChR 403 404 subunit fusions, here we provide strong evidence that these three subunits bind to α -Btx *in vitro* 405 and in vivo.

In addition, glycopeptide enrichment showed site specific glycosylation modifications on the D α 5 and D α 7 nAChR subunit ligand binding domains. The unique lipid environment and glycosylation sites of nAChR α -subunits from the electric ray, *T. californica*, were found to be important for α -Btx binding activities (Quesada *et al*, 2016; Rahman *et al*, 2020), and structural studies support this conclusion (Dellisanti *et al*, 2007). Our work supports the view that there is a role for D α 5 and D α 7 glycosylation modifications in the recognition of α -Btx in *D. melanogaster*.

Our localization studies with fluorescence tagged endogenous $D\alpha 6$ subunit showed relatively 413 414 restricted expression in the brain and ventral nerve cord, with prominent expression in the Kenyon cells of the mushroom body, all known regions. The expression of Da6 in Kenyon 415 cells across development is in line with a proposed role for this subunit in memory plasticity, 416 along with other α -subunits including D α 5, in mushroom body output neurons (Barnstedt *et al*, 417 418 2016). Thus, it is possible that retention of α -Btx binding in the absence of D α 6 may simply reflect its restricted localisation. In contrast, it is clear that $D\alpha 6$ plays a major and specific role 419 in binding to the insecticide spinosad in D. melanogaster since mutations in this subunit are 420 421 highly resistant to the toxin (Perry et al, 2015).

422 Localization studies of D α 6 nAChRs subunit fusion protein by confocal microscopy are largely 423 consistent with recent reports of *nAChR\alpha6* expression derived from expression reporters 424 (Kondo *et al*, 2020), though these studies appear to indicate wider adult brain expression than 425 we observed, perhaps reflecting a degree of translational control or limitations in the sensitivity 426 of our live imaging.

427 In conclusion, we identified ligand-binding subunit sites for a *D. melanogaster* nAChR

428 antagonist with newly insecticidal effects. Our findings contribute to a better understanding of

429 the role of nAChR subunits which interacts with insecticidal peptide toxins.

430 Materials and methods

431 **Drosophila methods**

Embryos were injected using standard procedures into the THattP40 ($y^1 \ sc \ v^1 \ sev^{21}$; $P(y^{+t7.7})$ 432 $v^{+t1.8}$ nos-Cas9.R}attP40) or THattP2 (v^1 sc v^1 se v^{21} ; $P\{v^{+t7.7}, v^{+t1.8}, nos-Cas9.R\}attP2$) lines 433 expressing nos-Cas9 (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Centre). Donor DNA (500 ng/µL) in 434 sterile H₂O was injected together with of gRNA plasmids (100 ng/ μ L) as described previously 435 (Korona *et al*, 2020). Individually selected surviving adults were crossed to w^{1118} and the 436 progeny screened for DsRED fluorescence localized mostly to the eyes of transgenic flies: 437 438 positive flies were balanced and homozygous stocks established where possible. The correct localization of the insert was confirmed via PCR and sequencing. Transgenic flies were 439 assessed for the phenotype using bright field microscope. For tagging of $nAChR\alpha 6$, the stocks 440 were additionally subjected to Cre-recombination for marker removal and several independent 441 lines were verified by PCR. Some of these lines were screened for YFP fluorescence using 442 confocal microscopy. From the YFP positive balanced stocks, the viable and fertile 443 homozygote was established. Injections were performed by the Department of Genetics Fly 444 Facility (https://www.flyfacility.gen.cam.ac.uk). All fly stocks were maintained at 25°C on 445 standard cornmeal medium. Larvae of 2nd and 3rd stage were collected, and their brains were 446 dissected according to standard protocols. Brains were mounted in glycerol and live imaged. 447

448 Cloning of gRNAs and generation of donor vectors

449 Construction of *nAChR* subunits null alleles

In order to generate individual *nAChR* subunits gene deletions the open reading frame (ORF) was disrupted by introducing a visible marker harbouring DsRED marker under eye specific driver 3Px3 using CRISPR/Cas9 technology as previously described (Korona *et al*, 2020). The targeted exons are shared between different isoforms and adjacent to the N-terminus to ensure the protein translated was interrupted. The insertion sites were designed *in silico* and optimal gRNAs were chosen (Appendix Table S5) that were tested against the injection strain and cloned into pCDF3. Briefly, target specific sequences were synthesized and either 5'-

phosphorylated annealed and ligated into the *Bbs*I sites of pCDF3 precut with *Bbs*I. Positive
clones were confirmed by sequencing.

459 For generation of donor vectors, firstly, homology arms were amplified on genomic DNA (Appendix Table S6) that, secondly, were used as a template to amplify the homology arms 460 (Appendix Table S7) of the donor vector for CRISPR/Cas9 homologous recombination (HDR). 461 The inserts with visible marker were amplified using as a template previously generated 462 constructs (Korona et al, 2020) with appropriate primers. These fragments were used for 463 Gibson assembly using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs). PCR products 464 were produced with the Q5 High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (New England Biolabs). All inserts 465 466 were verified by sequencing.

467 C-terminal tagging of Dα6 nAChRs subunit fusion protein

For tagging of Dα6 nAChRs subunit the C-terminal fusion with FSVS fluorescent protein
harbouring StrepII and 3xFLAG epitope tags (3xFLAG-StrepII-Venus-StrepII) was generated
for CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome engineering (Korona *et al*, 2017; Korona *et al*, 2020).
Firstly, gRNAs were designed (Appendix Table S5) and tested against the genomic DNA
sequence of injection strains. The oligonucleotides were phosphorylated and ligated into *Bbs*I
pre-cut pCDF3. The positive variants were confirmed by sequencing.

The donor vector to generate protein fusion with fluorescent protein harbouring epitope tags 474 was cloned in 2 steps strategy by creating initially (A) nAChRa6-FSVS donor and then adding 475 the removable marker to generate (B) nAChRa6-FSVS-loxP-3PX3_DsRED_loxP donor 476 vector. At first, the homology arms were enriched on genomic DNA (Appendix Table S6) and 477 used to amplify homology arms for donor vector nAChRa6-FSVS (Appendix Table S7) that 478 479 was assembled using Gibson Assembly as described above. The FSVS tag was amplified on previously generated constructs (Korona et al, 2017) with appropriate overlapping 480 oligonucleotides (Appendix Table S7). The construct was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and 481 used as a template to generate donor vector with removable marker. The PCR fragments 482 483 harbouring homology arms and FSVS tag were amplified on nAChRa6-FSVS construct, whereas the 3PX3-DsRed with adjacent loxP sites was amplified using earlier generated 484 485 constructs (Korona et al, 2017). The final donor vector was generated using Gibson Assembly[®] as described above and positive variants were confirmed by sequencing. 486

488 Confocal microscopy

Localization of FSVS-tagged (3xFLAG-StrepII-Venus-StrepII) Dα6 nAChRs subunit was
visualised in dissected larvae brains via monitoring the YFP fluorescence (Venus). Briefly, the
larval brains were dissected and mounted in glycerol for live imaging. Images were acquired
using a Leica SP8 confocal microscope (Leica microsystems) with appropriate spectral
windows for mVenus, images were processed with Fiji software.

494 Locomotor behaviour

Adult female and male flies were collected shortly after eclosion and separated into 10 cohorts 495 496 consisting of 10 flies (100 total) for each genotype. Flies were maintained at 25°C and transferred to fresh food every three days. For the climbing assay, each cohort was transferred 497 to 10ml serological pipette, and allowed to acclimatize for five min. For each trial, flies were 498 tapped down to the bottom of the vial, and the percentage of flies able to cross a five-ml mark 499 successfully within 10 seconds was recorded as the climbing index. Five trials were performed 500 501 for each cohort, with a 1-min recovery period between each trial. Climbing assays were 502 performed 10 days after eclosion.

503 Drosophila larval injections

Injections were performed by using the Nanoliter 2000 (World Precision Instruments, 504 505 Hertfordshire, United Kingdom) mounted on a micromanipulator (Narishige, London, United Kingdom). Micropipettes were pulled from glass capillary tubes (1.14 mm OD, 0.530 mm \pm 506 507 25 µm ID; #4878, WPI) using a laser-based micropipette puller (Sutter P-2000, Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA, USA). Third instar larvae (wandering stage) were transferred to an 508 adhesive surface after being quickly washed with water to remove food residues and gently 509 510 dried using paper tissue. The micropipette was positioned over the approximate centre of the body, on the dorsal side, and the tip was advanced through the cuticle into the hemocoel of the 511 larva. Larvae were injected with 69 nL of PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) supplemented with 512 10% (v/v) filtered food dye (PME, moss green food colouring; 0.2 µm filter). Food dye was 513 included to aid in monitoring the success of the injection under a dissection microscope (Leica 514 MZ65. Milton Keynes, United Kingdom). ω-hexatoxin-Hv1a (Hvla, 515 Svngenta. Schaffhauserstrasse, CH-4332 Stein, Switzerland) and α-Bungarotoxin (α-Btx, ab120542, 516 Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) were added to the injection mix in order to obtain a final 517 concentration of 2.5 nmol/g and 1.25 nmol/g, respectively (average larval weight was 2.14 mg). 518

After injection, larvae were then gently transferred into agar/grape juice (Ritchie Products Limited, Burton-On-Trent, United Kingdom) plates and kept at 25°C. The rate of survival (expressed as percentage) was calculated as the number of living pupae, formed 1-2 days after injection, divided by the total number of injected larvae. Experiments were repeated three times independently with a total number of 10 larvae for each experimental group. Results were analysed with One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism (version 7, GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

526 Coupling procedure of α-Bungarotoxin to affinity beads

527 Coupling of α -Bungarotoxin, α -Btx (ab120542, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom) to 528 cyanogen bromide-activated (CNBr) sepharose beads 4B (C9 142-5G, Sigma-Aldrich, Haverhill, United Kingdom) was performed as described (Wang et al, 2003; Mulcahy et al, 529 530 2018). CNBr-activated sepharose 4B beads (0.25 g) were hydrated in 1.25 ml of 1 mM HCl for 1 hr at 4°C on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$, the supernatant removed 531 and beads washed twice with 1 ml of coupling buffer (0.25 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.3). 532 Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and the supernatant was removed. Alpha-Btx (1 533 534 mg) was resuspended in 1 ml coupling buffer and incubated together with the affinity beads at 4° C for 16 hr on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$. Coupling efficiency 535 was determined using a PierceTM quantitative fluorometric peptide kit and used according to 536 the manufacturer's instructions (23290, Thermo ScientificTM, Bishop's Stortford, United 537 Kingdom). Beads were blocked with 1 ml of 0.2 M glycine in 80 % coupling buffer at 4°C for 538 16 hr on a rotator. Beads were then centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and washed with 1 ml of 539 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0. This step was repeated with 1 ml of 0.1 M NaCH₃CO₂, 540 0.5 M NaCl, pH 4.0. Beads were washed again in 1 ml of 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.0. 541 After a final wash step with 1 ml coupling buffer the beads were incubated twice for 30 min in 542 1 ml Tris-buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). The beads were centrifuged for 5 min 543 544 at $1500 \times g$, the supernatant was removed and 20 µl Tris-buffer, pH 8.0 was added.

545 Membrane protein enrichment and incorporation in SMALPs

D. melanogaster heads were obtained and separated according to (Depner *et al*, 2014). In a 50 ml falcon tube approximately 6 g flies were rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen and vortexed twice for 3 min, with the tube cooled for 30 sec in liquid nitrogen between. Heads were separated from bodies by sieving (1201124 & 1201125, Endecotts, London, United Kingdom). 1 ml of 550 isotonic lysis buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 50 mM TRIS/HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 2mM EDTA, Protease inhibitor) was added to approximately 0.8 g separated heads. The solution was 551 mixed three times by vortexing and the heads were lysed with 60 strokes in a Dounce 552 homogenizer with a pestle. Membrane protein preparation was performed by differential 553 centrifugation-based fractionation as described (Depner et al, 2014; Geladaki et al, 2019). 554 Membrane protein pellets were resuspended in 20 to 100 µl 5 % SMALP solution (5 % styrene 555 maleic acid copolymer (3:1), 5 mM Tris-Base, 0.15 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). For efficient 556 incorporation and formation of SMALPs, membrane proteins were incubated with 5 % SMALP 557 558 solution for 2 hr at room temperature on a rocking platform. To separate the insoluble proteins from the soluble SMALPs a centrifugation step at $100000 \times g$ for 60 min, 4°C was performed. 559 Supernatant containing the SMALPs was combined and used for the nAChRs pull-downs. 560

561 Enrichment of nAChRs by α-Btx pull-down

SMALPs (20-35 mg/ml) were incubated with 200 μ l α -Btx conjugated affinity beads for 16 hr, 562 4° C on a rotator. The beads were then centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and washed two or 563 564 three times, each for 10 min with 1 ml ice-cold TBS (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.0) on a rotator at 4°C. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and nAChRs selectively eluted 565 566 twice with 100 µl 1 M carbachol (CAS 51-83-2, Insight Biotechnology Ltd, Wembley, United 567 Kingdom). These steps were performed for 25 min at room temperature on a rotator. Beads were centrifuged for 5 min at $1500 \times g$ and eluates were combined and ice-cold 100 % acetone 568 in the volume of four times of the sample was added to the samples, mixed by vortexing and 569 proteins were precipitated for 16 hr at -20°C. Samples were centrifuged at $13000 \times g$ for 15 570 min. Supernatant was removed and dried proteins were dissolved in Laemmli buffer (1M Tris 571 pH 6.8, 10 % SDS, 5 % glycerol, 2 % bromophenol blue). Proteins were heated at 60°C and 572 loaded on Mini-Protean TGX precast gels (456-1084, 4-15 %, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., 573 Watford, United Kingdom). 574

575 Electron microscopy preparation

For negative staining analysis, membrane proteins were extracted with 5 % SMA and nAChRs were enriched using α -Btx affinity pull-downs. Proteins were diluted 1:10 with deionised water to approximately 0.9 mg/ml and an aliquot of the samples were absorbed onto a glowdischarged copper/carbon-film grid (EM Resolutions) for approximately 2 min at room temperature. Grids were rinsed twice in deionised water and negative staining was performed using a 2 % aqueous uranyl acetate solution. Samples were viewed in a Tecnai G2 transmission

electron microscope (TEM, FEI/ThermoFisher) run at 200 keV accelerating voltage using a 20
 µm objective aperture to increase contrast; images were captured using an AMT CCD camera.

584 Sample preparation for liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS)

The protein lanes were excised from the gels and proteolytic digestion with trypsin/lys-C mix 585 586 (V5073, Promega, Southampton, United Kingdom) was performed as described (Shevchenko et al, 2007). The gel pieces were covered with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ / 50 % ACN and shaken for 587 10 min. This step was repeated with 100 % acetonitrile and finally dried in a speed vac. Samples 588 were reduced with 10 mM DTT in 50 mM NH4HCO3 at 56°C for 1 hr and alkylated with 50 589 590 mM iodoacetamide in 50mM NH₄HCO₃ at room temperature without light for 45 min. The gels were covered with 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ and 100 % ACN and shaken for 10 min. These steps were 591 repeated and samples were dried in a speed vac. Trypsin/lys-C buffer was added to the sample 592 according to manufacturer's instructions and incubated for 45 min on ice. Next 30 µl 25 mM 593 NH₄HCO₃ was added and samples were incubated at 37°C for 16 hr. The gel pieces were 594 covered with 20 mM NH₄HCO₃ and shaken for 10 min. Supernatant with peptides was 595 collected. Next, the gels were covered with 50 % ACN / 5 % FA and shaken for 20 min. These 596 steps were repeated and peptides were dried in a speed vac. Samples for glycopeptide 597 598 enrichment were digested in-solution according to (Oueiroz et al, 2019). Samples were reduced and alkylated in 10 mM DTT and 50 mM iodoacetamide. Proteins were digested in final 599 600 concentration of 2.5 µg trypsin/lys-C buffer for 16 hr at 37°C.

601 Peptide clean-up

602 Peptides were desalted using C-18 stage tips according to (Rappsilber et al, 2007). C-18 material (three C-18 plugs were pasted in a 200 µl pipette tip, PierceTM C18 Spin Tips, 84850 603 Thermo ScientificTM, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) was equilibrated with methanol/0.1 604 % FA, 70 % ACN/0.1 % FA and with 0.1 % FA. Peptides were loaded on C-18 material, 605 washed with 0.1 % FA and eluted with 70 % ACN/0.1 % FA. Samples were dried and finally, 606 peptides were resuspended in 20 µl 0.1 % FA. For glycopeptide enrichment peptides were first 607 desalted using poros oligo r3 resin (1-339-09, Thermo ScientificTM, Bishop's Stortford, United 608 Kingdom) as described (Gobom et al, 1999; Queiroz et al, 2019). Pierce[™] centrifuge columns 609 (SH253723, Thermo ScientificTM, Bishop's Stortford, United Kingdom) were filed with 250 µl 610 of poros oligo r3 resin. Columns were washed three times with 0.1 % TFA. Peptides were 611

612 loaded onto the columns and washed three times with 0.1 % TFA and subsequently eluted with

613 70 % ACN.

614 Glycopeptide enrichment

Enrichment of glycopeptides of nAChRs was performed as described (Hägglund et al, 2004). 615 Micro columns were prepared with 200 µl peptide tips filled with a C8 plug and iHILIC – 616 fusion 5µm, 100 Å silica based material (HCS 160119, Hilicon, Umeå, Sweden). Peptides were 617 solubilized stepwise in 19 µl dH₂O and then in 80 µl ACN plus 1 µl TFA acid. The micro 618 619 columns were cleaned with 50 μ l 0.1 % TFA and three times equilibrated with 100 μ l 80 % ACN, 1 % TFA. Peptides were loaded onto the micro column and washed twice with 100 µl 620 80 % ACN, 1 % TFA. Glycopeptides were eluted from the column using twice 40 µl 0.1 % 621 TFA and finally with 20 µl 80 % ACN, 1 % TFA. Samples were dried in a speed vac before 622 peptides were deglycosylated with Endo H or PNGase F according to manufacturer's 623 624 instructions (P07025 & P0710S, New England Biolabs Inc., Hitchin, United Kingdom).

625 LC-MS/MS

Peptide samples were dissolved in 20 µl of 0.1 % (v/v) FA. Approximately 1 µg peptide 626 solution was used for each LC-MS/MS analysis. All LC-MS/MS experiments were performed 627 628 using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 RSLC nanoUPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA) system and a Q ExactiveTM Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, 629 Waltham, MA, USA). Separation of peptides was performed by reverse-phase chromatography 630 at a flow rate of 300 nL/min and a Thermo Scientific reverse-phase nano Easy-spray column 631 (Thermo Scientific PepMap C18, 2µm particle size, 100A pore size, 75 µm i.d. x 50 cm length). 632 633 Peptides were loaded onto a pre-column (Thermo Scientific PepMap 100 C18, 5µm particle size, 100A pore size, 300 µm i.d. x 5mm length) from the Ultimate 3000 autosampler with 0.1 634 % FA for 3 min at a flow rate of 15 µL/min. After this period, the column valve was switched 635 to allow elution of peptides from the pre-column onto the analytical column. Solvent A was 636 water + 0.1 % FA and solvent B was 80 % ACN, 20 % water + 0.1 % FA. The linear gradient 637 employed was 2-40 % B in 90 min (the total run time including column washing and re-638 equilibration was 120 min). In between runs columns were washed at least four times to avoid 639 any carryovers. The LC eluant was sprayed into the mass spectrometer by means of an Easy-640 641 spray source (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). An electrospray voltage of 2.1 kV was applied in 642 order to ionize the eluant. All m/z values of eluting ions were measured in an Orbitrap mass

analyzer, set at a resolution of 35000 and scanned between m/z 380-1500 Data dependent scans (Top 20) were employed to automatically isolate and generate fragment ions by higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD, Normalised collision energy (NCE): 25 %) in the HCD collision cell and measurement of the resulting fragment ions were performed in the Orbitrap analyser, set at a resolution of 17500. Singly charged ions and ions with unassigned charge states were excluded from being selected for MS/MS and a dynamic exclusion of 20 seconds was employed.

650 Peptide/protein database searching

Protein identification was carried out using sequest HT or mascot search engine software 651 operating in Proteome Discoverer 2.3 (Eng et al, 1994; Koenig et al, 2008). Raw flies were 652 653 searched against the uniprot Drosophila_melanogaster_20180813 database (23297 sequences; 16110808 residues) and a common contaminant sequences database. The search parameters 654 655 using mascot algorithm were: (i) trypsin was set as the enzyme of choice, (ii) precursor ion mass tolerance 20 ppm, (iii) fragment ion mass tolerance 0.1 Da, (iv) maximum of two missed 656 657 cleavage sites were set, (v) a minimum peptide length of six amino acids were set, (vi) fixed cysteine static modification by carbamidomethylation, (vii) variable modification by 658 659 methionine oxidation & deamidation on asparagine and glutamine and N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc(1)dHex(1) + HexNAc on asparagine) as variable glycopeptide modifications, (viii) 660 A site probability threshold of 75 % was set, (ix) Percolator was used to assess the false 661 discovery rate and peptide filters were set to high confidence (FDR<1). 662

663 Data handling and statistical analysis

Protein data evaluation was performed using R 3.5.3 (Ihaka & Gentleman, 1996). Plotting of 664 graphs were performed in RStudio 1.3.959 (Rstudio, 2020) using ggplot2 (Ginestet, 2011) and 665 other R packages. In order to characterise membrane proteins the following tools were used: 666 (i) TMHMM - 2.0 (Krogh et al, 2001), (ii) PRED-TMBB2 (Tsirigos et al, 2016) (iii) SwissPalm 667 (Blanc et al, 2015), (iv) PredGPI (Pierleoni et al, 2008), (v) Gravy calculator (www.gravy-668 669 calculator.de), (vi) Myristoylator (Bologna et al, 2004) (vii) Solubility scores (Sormanni et al, 2015; Sormanni et al, 2017). Analysis of gene ontology (GO) slim terms (Carbon et al, 2019) 670 671 were performed within proteome discoverer 2.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). KEGG (Kanehisa 672 et al, 2020) pathway enrichment analysis was performed using DAVID (Huang et al, 2009). 673 For each experimental investigation $n \ge 3$ were considered and data are represented as means \pm SEM. Experiments were performed in a blinded manner whenever possible. Data are 674

presented as mean \pm SD. Statistical tests for SMALPs were performed using two-tailed t-test with an unequal variance and *P* values of ≤ 0.05 were considered to be significant. In DAVID, Fisher's exact *P* values are computed to measure the gene-enrichment terms. Fisher's exact *P* value of 0 represents perfect enrichment of a term. Usually *P* value of ≤ 0.05 are to be considered as strongly enriched. In this study the default threshold set in DAVID of 0.1 was used. Linear regression analysis was performed in order to study the efficiency of SMALPs extraction of membrane receptors.

682 Structural assessment and illustration of nAChR subunits

For structural alignment of nAChRs matchmaker command operating in UCSF Chimera X 0.91 683 (Goddard et al, 2018) was used. This command is superimposing protein structures by first 684 creating pairwise sequence alignments, then fitting the aligned residue pairs and displays in an 685 overlaid structure as a result. The following parameters were set to create the aligned structure: 686 (i) alignment algorithm; Needleman-Wunsch (ii) similarity matrix; BLOSUM-62. Structural 687 animation was performed in Blender 2.8 (www.blender.org), an open-source 3D graphics 688 689 software. For annotation of protein sequences InterProScan was used (Mitchell et al. 2019). Illustrator for biological sequences (IBS) web server was used to present biological sequences 690 691 (Liu et al, 2015). Multiple sequence alignments were performed (Madeira et al, 2019) or using BoxShade multiple sequence alignments (Swiss institute of bioinformatics). 692

693 Data availability

694

The mass spectrometry data from this publication have been deposited to PRIDE
(http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/) with the data set identifier PXD028484. Biochemical
source data is provided (Table EV1).

698 **Expanded View** for this article is available online.

699 Acknowledgements

700

We thank Professor Tim Dafforn for kindly providing us styrene maleic acid (SMA) copolymer, Joao A. Paulo, Ph.D. for helpful exchange and Mrs Renata Feret for technical discussions. We are very grateful to Syngenta and the Milner Therapeutics Institute for excellent infrastructural support. Electron microscopy was performed using the facilities at

705 CAIC (Cambridge Advanced Imaging Centre, University of Cambridge). Funding was

provided by BBSRC (BB/P021107/1) and Syngenta.

707 Author Contributions

- 708 Conceptualization, BD, DK, CNGG, LC, FGE, SR, and KSL; Methodology, DK, BD, CNGG,
- 709 RMLQ, GJ, MJD, DPM, and KHM; Data examination, BD, DK, CNGG, LCF, RMLQ, and
- 710 DPM; Manuscript preparation, BD, DK, CNGG, LCF, FE, SR, and KSL, with contributions of
- 711 all authors.

712 Conflict of interest

713 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

714 **Reference**

- Alexander JK, Govind AP, Drisdel RC, Blanton MP, Vallejo Y, Lam TT, Green WN (2010)
 Palmitoylation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *J Mol Neurosci* 40: 12-40
- Barnstedt O, Owald D, Felsenberg J, Brain R, Moszynski JP, Talbot CB, Perrat PN, Waddell
 S (2016) Memory-Relevant Mushroom Body Output Synapses Are Cholinergic. *Neuron* 89: 1237–1247
- Blanc M, David F, Abrami L, Migliozzi D, Armand F, Bürgi J, van der Goot FG (2015)
 SwissPalm: Protein Palmitoylation database. *F1000Research* 4: 261
- Bologna G, Yvon C, Duvaud S, Veuthey AL (2004) N-terminal myristoylation predictions by
 ensembles of neural networks. *Proteomics* 4: 1626-1632
- Carbon S, Douglass E, Dunn N, Good B, Harris NL, Lewis SE, Mungall CJ, Basu S,
 Chisholm RL, Dodson RJ, *et al* (2019) The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still
 GOing strong. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: D330-D338
- Chambers C, Cutler P, Huang YH, Goodchild JA, Blythe J, Wang CK, Bigot A, Kaas Q,
 Craik DJ, Sabbadin D, *et al* (2019) Insecticidal spider toxins are high affinity positive
 allosteric modulators of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *FEBS Lett* 593: 1336-1350
- Cheng H, Fan C, Zhang SW, Wu ZS, Cui ZC, Melcher K, Zhang CH, Jiang Y, Cong Y, Xu
 HE (2015) Crystallization scale purification of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor from
 mammalian cells using a BacMam expression system. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 36: 10131023
- Dacosta CJB, Dey L, Therien JPD, Baenziger JE (2013) A distinct mechanism for activating
 uncoupled nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Nat Chem Biol* 9: 701-707
- Dacosta CJB, Free CR, Sine SM (2015) Stoichiometry for α-bungarotoxin block of α7
 acetylcholine receptors. *Nat Commun* 6: 8057
- Dellisanti CD, Yao Y, Stroud JC, Wang ZZ, Chen L (2007) Crystal structure of the
 extracellular domain of nAChR α1 bound to α-bungarotoxin at 1.94 Å resolution. *Nat Neurosci* 10, 953-962

- 741 Denisov IG & Sligar SG (2016) Nanodiscs for structural and functional studies of membrane
 742 proteins. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 23: 481-486
- Depner H, Lützkendorf J, Babkir HA, Sigrist SJ, Holt MG (2014) Differential centrifugation based biochemical fractionation of the *Drosophila* adult CNS. *Nat Protoc* 9: 2796-2808
- Eng JK, McCormack AL, Yates JR (1994) An approach to correlate tandem mass spectral
 data of peptides with amino acid sequences in a protein database. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom* 5: 976-989
- Fang P, Ji Y, Silbern I, Doebele C, Ninov M, Lenz C, Oellerich T, Pan KT, Urlaub H (2020)
 A streamlined pipeline for multiplexed quantitative site-specific N-glycoproteomics. *Nat Commun* 11: 5268
- Fayyazuddin A, Zaheer MA, Hiesinger PR, Bellen HJ (2006) The Nicotinic Acetylcholine
 Receptor Dα7 Is Required for an Escape Behavior in*Drosophila*. *PLoS Biol* 4: e63
- Gault J, Liko I, Landreh M, Shutin D, Bolla JR, Jefferies D, Agasid M, Yen HY, Ladds
 MJGW, Lane DP, *et al* (2020) Combining native and 'omics' mass spectrometry to
 identify endogenous ligands bound to membrane proteins. *Nat Methods* 17: 505-508
- Geladaki A, Britovšek NK, Breckels LM, Smith TS, Vennard OL, Mulvey CM, Crook OM,
 Gatto L, Lilley KS (2019) Combining LOPIT with differential ultracentrifugation for
 high-resolution spatial proteomics. *Nat Commun* 10: 331
- Ginestet C (2011) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. In *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*, Wickham H (ed), 2nd edn, pp 1-245. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag
- Gobom J, Nordhoff E, Mirgorodskaya E, Ekman R, Roepstorff P (1999) Sample purification
 and preparation technique based on nano-scale reversed-phase columns for the sensitive
 analysis of complex peptide mixtures by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization
 mass spectrometry. *J Mass Spectrom* 34: 105-116
- Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Morris JH, Ferrin TE (2018)
 UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and analysis. *Protein Sci* 27: 14-25
- Hägglund P, Bunkenborg J, Elortza F, Jensen ON, Roepstorff P (2004) A new strategy for
 identification of N-glycosylated proteins and unambiguous assignment of their
 glycosylation sites using HILIC enrichment and partial deglycosylation. *J Proteome Res*3: 556-566
- Houchat JN, Dissanamossi BM, Landagaray E, Mathé-Allainmat M, Cartereau A, Graton J,
 Lebreton J, Le Questel JY, Thany SH (2019) Mode of action of sulfoxaflor on αbungarotoxin-insensitive nAChR1 and nAChR2 subtypes: Inhibitory effect of
 imidacloprid. *Neurotoxicology* 74: 132-138
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large
 gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* 4: 44-57
- Ihaka R & Gentleman R (1996) R: A Language for Data Analysis and Graphics. *J Comput Graph Stat* 5: 299-314
- Ihara M, Furutani S, Shigetou S, Shimada S, Niki K, Komori Y, Kamiya M, Koizumi W,
 Magara L, Hikida M, *et al* (2020) Cofactor-enabled functional expression of fruit fly,
 honeybee, and bumblebee nicotinic receptors reveals picomolar neonicotinoid actions.

- 783 Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117: 16283-16291
- Jones AK, Davis P, Hodgkin J, Sattelle DB (2007) The nicotinic acetylcholine receptor gene
 family of the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans: An update on nomenclature. *Invert Neurosci* 7:129-31
- Kaji H, Kamiie JI, Kawakami H, Kido K, Yamauchi Y, Shinkawa T, Taoka M, Takahashi N,
 Isobe T (2007) Proteomics reveals n-linked glycoprotein diversity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and suggests an atypical translocation mechanism for integral membrane
 proteins. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 6: 2100-2109
- Kalxdorf M, Günthner I, Becher I, Kurzawa N, Knecht S, Savitski MM, Eberl HC,
 Bantscheff M (2021) Cell surface thermal proteome profiling tracks perturbations and
 drug targets on the plasma membrane. *Nat Methods* 18: 84-91
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Ishiguro-Watanabe M, Tanabe M (2020) KEGG:
 integrating viruses and cellular organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49: D545-D551
- Koenig T, Menze BH, Kirchner M, Monigatti F, Parker KC, Patterson T, Steen JJ,
 Hamprecht FA, Steen H (2008) Robust prediction of the MASCOT score for an
 improved quality assessment in mass spectrometric proteomics. *J Proteome Res* 7: 3708-3717
- Kondo S, Takahashi T, Yamagata N, Imanishi Y, Katow H, Hiramatsu S, Lynn K, Abe A,
 Kumaraswamy A, Tanimoto H (2020) Neurochemical Organization of the *Drosophila*Brain Visualized by Endogenously Tagged Neurotransmitter Receptors. *Cell Rep* 30:
 284-297.e5
- Korona D, Koestler SA, Russell S (2017) Engineering the *Drosophila* Genome for
 Developmental Biology. *J Dev Biol* 5: 16

Korona D, Nightingale D, Fabre B, Nelson M, Fischer B, Johnson G, Lees J, Hubbard S,
 Lilley K, Russell S (2020) Characterisation of protein isoforms encoded by the
 Drosophila Glycogen Synthase kinase 3 gene *shaggy*. *PLoS One* 15: e0236679

Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL (2001) Predicting transmembrane
 protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* 305: 567-580

- Lansdell SJ, Collins T, Goodchild J, Millar NS (2012) The *Drosophila* nicotinic
 acetylcholine receptor subunits Dα5 and Dα7 form functional homomeric and
 heteromeric ion channels. *BMC Neurosci* 13: 73
- Lansdell SJ & Millar NS (2004) Molecular characterization of Dα6 and Dα7 nicotinic
 acetylcholine receptor subunits from *Drosophila*: Formation of a high-affinity αbungarotoxin binding site revealed by expression of subunit chimeras. *J Neurochem* 90:
 479-489
- Lee SC, Knowles TJ, Postis VLG, Jamshad M, Parslow RA, Lin YP, Goldman A, Sridhar P,
 Overduin M, Muench SP, *et al* (2016) A method for detergent-free isolation of
 membrane proteins in their local lipid environment. *Nat Protoc* 11: 1149–1162
- Liu MY & Casida JE (1993) High affinity binding of [3h]imidacloprid in the insect
 acetylcholine receptor. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 46: 40-46
- Liu W, Xie Y, Ma J, Luo X, Nie P, Zuo Z, Lahrmann U, Zhao Q, Zheng Y, Zhao Y, et al

- 825 (2015) IBS: An illustrator for the presentation and visualization of biological sequences.
 826 *Bioinformatics* 31: 3359-61
- Loo RR, Dales N, Andrews PC (1996) The effect of detergents on proteins analyzed by
 electrospray ionization. *Methods Mol Biol* 61:141-160
- Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN,
 Potter SC, Finn RD, *et al* (2019) The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools
 APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: W636-W641
- Maldonado-Hernández R, Quesada O, Colón-Sáez JO, Lasalde-Dominicci JA (2020)
 Sequential purification and characterization of *Torpedo californica* nAChR-DC
 supplemented with CHS for high-resolution crystallization studies. *Anal Biochem* 610:
 113887
- Martens C, Shekhar M, Borysik AJ, Lau AM, Reading E, Tajkhorshid E, Booth PJ, Politis A
 (2018) Direct protein-lipid interactions shape the conformational landscape of secondary
 transporters. *Nat Commun* 9: 4151
- Mitchell AL, Attwood TK, Babbitt PC, Blum M, Bork P, Bridge A, Brown SD, Chang HY,
 El-Gebali S, Fraser MI, *et al* (2019) InterPro in 2019: Improving coverage, classification
 and access to protein sequence annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: D351-D360
- Mulcahy MJ, Paulo JA, Hawrot E (2018) Proteomic Investigation of Murine Neuronal α7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor Interacting Proteins. *J Proteome Res* 17: 3959-3975
- Perry T, Chen W, Ghazali R, Yang YT, Christesen D, Martelli F, Lumb C, Luong HNB,
 Mitchell J, Holien JK, *et al* (2021) Role of nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits in
 the mode of action of neonicotinoid, sulfoximine and spinosyn insecticides in *Drosophila melanogaster. Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 131: 103547
- Perry T, Heckel DG, McKenzie JA, Batterham P (2008) Mutations in Dα1 or Dβ2 nicotinic
 acetylcholine receptor subunits can confer resistance to neonicotinoids in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 38: 520-528
- Perry T, Somers J, Yang YT, Batterham P (2015) Expression of insect α6-like nicotinic
 acetylcholine receptors in *Drosophila melanogaster* highlights a high level of
 conservation of the receptor: spinosyn interaction. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 64: 106-115
- Pierleoni A, Martelli PL, Casadio R (2008) PredGPI: A GPI-anchor predictor. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9: 392
- Queiroz RML, Smith T, Villanueva E, Marti-Solano M, Monti M, Pizzinga M, Mirea D-M,
 Ramakrishna M, Harvey RF, Dezi V, *et al* (2019) Comprehensive identification of
 RNA-protein interactions in any organism using orthogonal organic phase separation
 (OOPS). *Nat Biotechnol* 37: 169-178
- Quesada O, González-Freire C, Ferrer MC, Colón-Sáez JO, Fernández-García E, Mercado J,
 Dávila A, Morales R, Lasalde-Dominicci JA (2016) Uncovering the lipidic basis for the
 preparation of functional nicotinic acetylcholine receptor detergent complexes for
 structural studies. *Sci Rep* 6: 32766
- Rahman MM, Teng J, Worrell BT, Noviello CM, Lee M, Karlin A, Stowell MHB, Hibbs RE
 (2020) Structure of the Native Muscle-type Nicotinic Receptor and Inhibition by Snake
 Venom Toxins. *Neuron* 106: 952-962.e5

Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment, pre fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc* 2:
 1896–1906

- Rohde PD, Madsen LS, Neumann Arvidson SM, Loeschcke V, Demontis D, Kristensen TN
 (2016) Testing candidate genes for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in fruit flies
 using a high throughput assay for complex behavior. *Fly (Austin)* 10: 25-34
- 873 Rstudio Team (2020) RStudio: Integrated Development for R. *Rstudio, PBC, Boston, MA* 874 URL http://www.rstudio.com/
- Salgado VL (2021) Selective actions of insecticides on desensitizing and non-desensitizing
 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in cockroach (*Periplaneta americana*) neurons. *Pest Manag Sci* 77: 3663-3672
- Schmidt-Nielsen BK, Gepner JI, Teng NNH, Hall LM (1977) Characterization of an α bungarotoxin binding component from *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Neurochem* 29:
 1013-1029
- Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havliš J, Olsen JV, Mann M (2007) In-gel digestion for mass
 spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. *Nat Protoc* 1: 2856–2860
- Sobotzki N, Schafroth MA, Rudnicka A, Koetemann A, Marty F, Goetze S, Yamauchi Y,
 Carreira EM, Wollscheid B (2018) HATRIC-based identification of receptors for orphan
 ligands. *Nat Commun* 9: 1519
- Somers J, Luong HNB, Mitchell J, Batterham P, Perry T (2017) Pleiotropic effects of loss of
 the Dα1 subunit in *Drosophila melanogaster*: Implications for insecticide resistance.
 Genetics 205: 263-271
- Sormanni P, Amery L, Ekizoglou S, Vendruscolo M, Popovic B (2017) Rapid and accurate in
 silico solubility screening of a monoclonal antibody library. *Sci Rep* 7: 8200
- Sormanni P, Aprile FA, Vendruscolo M (2015) The CamSol method of rational design of
 protein mutants with enhanced solubility. *J Mol Biol* 427: 478-490
- Su H & O'Dowd DK (2003) Fast synaptic currents in *Drosophila* mushroom body kenyon
 cells are mediated by α-bungarotoxin-sensitive nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and
 picrotoxin-sensitive GABA receptors. *J Neurosci* 23: 9246–9253
- Tackenberg MC, Giannoni-Guzmán MA, Sanchez-Perez E, Doll CA, Agosto-Rivera JL,
 Broadie K, Moore D, McMahon DG (2020) Neonicotinoids disrupt circadian rhythms
 and sleep in honey bees. *Sci Rep* 10: 17929
- Tsirigos KD, Elofsson A, Bagos PG (2016) PRED-TMBB2: Improved topology prediction
 and detection of beta-barrel outer membrane proteins. *Bioinformatics* 32: i665-i671
- Wang H, Yu M, Ochani M, Amella CA, Tanovic M, Susarla S, Li JH, Wang H, Yang H,
 Ulloa L, *et al* (2003) Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor α7 subunit is an essential regulator
 of inflammation. *Nature* 421: 384-388
- Wu P, Ma D, Pierzchala M, Wu J, Yang LC, Mai X, Chang X, Schmidt-Glenewinkel T
 (2005) The Drosophila acetylcholine receptor subunit Dα5 is part of an α-bungarotoxin
 binding acetylcholine receptor. *J Biol Chem* 280: 20987-20994
- 907 Xu X, Bao H, Shao X, Zhang Y, Yao X, Liu Z, Li Z (2010) Pharmacological characterization

908 909	c b	f cis-nitromethylene neonicotinoids in relation to imidacloprid binding sites in the rown planthopper, <i>Nilaparvata lugens</i> . <i>Insect Mol Biol</i> 19: 1-8
910 911 912	Zuo Y k c	Y, Xue YX, Wang ZY, Ren X, Aioub AAA, Wu YD, Yang YH, Hu ZN (2021) Knockin of the G275E mutation of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) α6 onfers high levels of resistance to spinosyns in <i>Spodoptera exigua</i> . <i>Insect Sci</i> 0: 1-9
913		
914	Figur	e Legends
915	Figur	e 1. Morphological and locomotor phenotypes in <i>nAChR</i> subunit mutants.
916 917	А	Adult males from indicated <i>nAChR</i> subunit null mutants. Arrows indicate strong curled abdomen phenotypes.
918 919 920	В	Graph of locomotor activity determined in climbing assays as a percentage of wild- type. Error bars represent standard deviation from 5 replicates.
921	Figur	e 2. ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a and α -Bungarotoxin target different nAChR subunits.
922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933	A B	Bar graph of the survival rate, measured as the percentage of pupae formed, following larval injection of 2.5 nmol/g Hv1a in the indicated homozygous lines. Mean \pm SD of 3 independent replicates of 10 larvae per replicate. ** <i>P</i> =0.0035 (one-way ANOVA (F _(11,24) =4.99, <i>P</i> =0.0005 with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test). 3 independent replicates in each group (10 injected larvae in total). Survival rate following larval injection of 1.25 nmol/g α -Btx. Mean \pm SD of 3 independent replicates of 10 larvae per replicate. ** <i>P</i> <0.001, *** <i>P</i> =0.0001 (one-way ANOVA (F _(11,24) =7.921, <i>P</i> <0.0001, followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparisons test). 3 independent replicates in each group (10 injected larvae in total). w ¹¹¹⁸ is the wild-type base stock, <i>THattp40</i> and <i>THattP2</i> are the Cas9 lines used to establish the mutants, w ¹¹¹⁸ + PBS represents the injection control.
934	Figur	e 3. Forming styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs).
935 936	А	Schematic representation of the SMALPs extraction and nAChRs pull-down for mass spectrometric analysis.
937 938	В	Negative staining of extracted SMALPs by transmission electron microscopy. Scale bar 100 nm.
939 940	С	Fluorescence signal of uncoupled α -Btx in solution before and after coupling to affinity beads (two-tailed t-test, *** <i>P</i> <0.001, n=4).
941 942 943	D, E	Negative staining of extracted SMALPs after α -Btx coupled pull-downs. Ring-like protein structures are boxed (Scale bar = 100 nm) with an example in the magnified image (Scale bar = 20 nm). A top view of the nAChR structure from PDB entry 4HQP

- 944 is shown for reference.
- 945

946 Figure 4. Identification of proteins enriched by SMALP extraction.

- 947 A Number of identified proteins in affinity pull-down samples solubilized with or without 948 SMA, two-tailed t-test, **P<0.01, n=6 or 8 replicates per condition.
- 949 B MS/MS spectrum counts from samples solubilized with or without SMA, ns = not950 significant after two-tailed t-test with n=6 or 8.
- 951 CCalculated hydrophobicity score of amino acid residues found in protein sequences952obtained with and without SMA solubilisation, ****P<0.0001, two-tailed t-test, n=3953per condition.
- 954 D GO term (cellular compartment) enrichment of proteins identified with and without
 955 SMA solubilisation, n=4 or 11.
- 956 E Predicted numbers of proteins containing transmembrane helices obtained with or
 957 without SMA solubilisation, n=4 or 8.
- 958 F, G Analysis of solubility and hydrophobicity of receptors identified with and without SMA 959 solubilisation ($r^2 = -0.56$, P < 0.0001, n=4) and of transmembrane receptor helices 960 ($r^2 = 0.56$, P < 0.01, n=4).
- 961 H Solubility score of individual nAChR subunits.
- 962
- 963 Figure 5. Three nAChR α-subunits are binding to α-Bungarotoxin (α-Btx).
- 964 A Graphical representation of ten nAChR subunits. The position of protein domains and signal965 peptides are shown.
- 966BIdentified peptides of $D\alpha5$, $D\alpha6$ and $D\alpha7$ nAChR subunits in pull-downs using α -Btx affinity967beads. Found peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain are highlighted in red.
- 968 C Numbers of identified unique peptides in wild-type pull-downs using affinity beads in absence 969 and presence of α -Btx, n=3. Deleting *nAChRa5*, *nAChRa6*, *nAChRa7* and performing pull-970 downs identified unique peptides of nAChR subunits suggesting that functional complexes can 971 be formed in null alleles, n=3.
- 972DKEGG pathway enrichment analysis of pull-downs in wild-type and nAChRa5, nAChRa6,973nAChRa7 null alleles, Fisher's exact test, n=3. Protein counts with P values of enriched974pathways are shown. P values of ≤ 0.05 are to be considered as strongly enriched with default975threshold of 0.1.
- 976

977 Figure 6. N-glycosylation sites in nAChR subunits.

- 978 A Diagrammatic representation of nAChR subunit glycopeptide enrichment. Pull-downs 979 with α -Btx affinity beads enrich for nAChRs and after proteolytic digestion 980 glycopeptides were enriched. Glycopeptides were deglycosylated with Endo H or 981 PNGase F and analyzed by mass spectrometry.
- B Low numbers of glycopeptides (average 20) are detected in flow through fractions.
- 983 C Numbers of identified glycopeptides according to site probabilities are shown (n=3).
- 984 D Shared glycopeptide identified in the ligand-binding domain of D α 5 and D α 7, an N-985 linked glycosylated asparagine (N) residue is highlighted.

Be Deglycosylated peptide with either Endo H or PNGase F and contains either an Nacetylhexosamine or is deamidated on asparagine (N2). The two different modifications
on the same peptide lead to a different monoisotopic mass (MH+ [Da]). Peptide
contains an additional carbamidomethyl on cysteine (C5).

990

991 Figure 7. *In vivo* imaging of endogenously tagged Dα6 nAChR subunit.

- 992 A-G Live imaging of fly brains carrying a C-terminal EGFP fusion into the endogenous $nAChR\alpha 6$ locus.
- 994 A-C D α 6 subunit in 2nd, early and late 3rd instar larvae brain, respectively. Visible 995 localization in ventral nerve cord (VNC), mushroom bodies (MB), and optic lobes 996 (OL). Scale bar = 100 µm.
- 997 D D α 6 subunit in mushroom bodies of 3rd instar larvae with detectable fluorescence signal 998 in Kenyon cells (KC), calyx (CX), peduncle (PED), dorsal lobes (DL) and medial lobes 999 (ML). Scale bar = 100 μ m.
- 1000 ED α 6 subunit was observed in developing optic lobes, lamina (LAM) and medulla1001 (MED) of later 3rd instar larvae. Scale bar = 100 µm.
- 1002FD α 6 subunit on the external structures of developing lobes in later 3rd instar larvae.1003Scale bar = 100 μ m.
- 1004GD α 6 subunit in adult fly brain, strong signal detected in mushroom bodies (MB) and1005optic lobe (OL). Scale bar = 100 μ m.
- 1006HSchematic summary of D α 6 subunit expression during different developmental stages,1007 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} instar larvae and adult fly, (L2, L3 and Adult, respectively) in which the1008green lines indicate the localization of the D α 6 subunit.

1025 1026	Appendix Tables and Figures legends	
1027 1028	Table of Contents	
1029	Appendix Table S1 Climbing ability	32
1030	Appendix Table S2 <i>Drosophila</i> larval injection of ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a & α -Bungarotoxin	33
1031	Appendix Table S3 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs with $lpha$ -Bungarotoxin	33
1032	Appendix Table S4 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs without $lpha$ -Bungarotoxin	33
1033	Appendix Table S5 List of gRNAs and oligonucleotides used for cloning	36
1034	Appendix Table S6 List of oligonucleotides used for amplification from genomic DNA	37
1035	Appendix Table S7 C-terminal tagging of nAChRa6 with FSVS	38
1036	Appendix Figure S1 GO terms and predicted membrane proteins	38
1037	Appendix Figure S2 Identified peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain	38
1038	Appendix Figure S3 Superimposed nAChR $lpha$ -subunits structure together with identified peptide 3	39
1039	Appendix Figure S4 Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits	39
1040		

	10 days old flies								
number	receptor	series 1		series 2		series 3		average	standard deviation
	subunit	nercentage	actual	nercentage	actual	nercentage	actual	nercent	STEV
1	nAChR α1	30	37.5	40	50	50	71.4	53	17.2
2	nAChR α 2	40	50	40	50	50	71.4	57.1	12.4
3	nAChR α3	0	0	20	25	30	42.9	22.6	21.5
4	nAChR α 4	70	87.5	80	100	60	85.7	91.1	7.8
5	nAChR α 5	60	75	70	87.5	50	71.4	78	8.4
6	nAChR α 6	40	50	50	62.5	50	71.4	61.3	10.8
7	nAChR α 7	70	87.5	80	100	70	100	95.8	7.2
8	nAChR β 1	30	37.5	30	37.5	20	28.6	34.5	5.2
9	nAChR β 2	80	100	80	100	60	85.7	95.2	8.2
10	nAChR β3	80	100	80	100	70	100	100	0
11	WT	80	100	80	100	70	100	100	0

1043 Appendix Table S1 Climbing ability.

				Survi	val (% of	pupae forme	ed after injection)
	Strain	Injected cpd	Rep1	Rep2	Rep3	Average	Standard Deviation
CONTROL	W ¹¹¹⁸	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
CONTROL - Cas9 lines	THattP40	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
CONTROL - Cas9 lines	THattP2	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 1	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	25	0	0	8.33	14.43
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 2	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	25	0	0	8.33	14.43
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 3	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR $\alpha 4$	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	25	33.33	66.67	41.67	22.05
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 5	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 6	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 7	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR β 2	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	25	33.33	66.67	41.67	22.05
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR β3	2.5 nmol/g Hv1a	0	33.33	0	11.11	19.25
Injection CONTROL	W ¹¹¹⁸	PBS	100	100	100	100	0
CONTROL	w ¹¹¹⁸	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	0	0	0	0
CONTROL - Cas9 lines	THattP40	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	0	0	0	0
CONTROL - Cas9 lines	THattP2	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	0	0	0	0
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 1	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	0	33.33	11.11	19.25
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR $\alpha 2$	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	25	0	33.33	19.44	17.35
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 3	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	0	33.33	11.11	19.25
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR $\alpha 4$	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	0	33.33	0	11.11	19.25
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 5	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	50	66.67	66.67	61.11	9.62
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 6	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	25	66.67	66.67	52.78	24.06
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR α 7	1.25 nmol/g α -Btx	50	100	66.67	72.22	25.46
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR β2	1.25 nmol/g α-Btx	0	33.33	0	11.11	19.25
nAChR CRISPR mutant	nAChR β3	1.25 nmol/g α-Btx	0	0	0	0	0
Injection CONTROL	w ¹¹¹⁸	PBS	100	100	100	100	0

1049 Appendix Table S2 *Drosophila* larval injection of ω-Hexatoxin-Hv1a & α-Bungarotoxin.

1050

1051 Appendix Table S3 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs with α-Bungarotoxin.

Peptides from Dα3, Dα5, Dα6, Dα7 and Dβ3 nAChR subunits are listed and found [N] times within
individual replicates. Protein domains are marked with: Ed extracellular-, Id Intracellular-, LBD ligandbinding-, and Non-domain localization. The mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of the precursor ions, the
protonated monoisotopic masses, the theoretical MH⁺ masses in Dalton [Da] and peptide modifications
are listed. Peptide modifications are listed with: (C) Carbamidomethylation; (N,Q) Deamidation; (H)
N-acetylhexosamine (HexNAc); (M) Oxidation.

1058 Appendix Table S4 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs without α-Bungarotoxin.

1059 Identified peptides of nAChR subunits which are found in control pull-down samples without α -1060 Bungarotoxin (α -Btx).

Subunit	Accession	Sequence	Found [N]	Domain	m/z [Da]	MH+ [Da]	Theo. MH+ [Da]	Modification
nAChRα3	Q9W3G6	ATLnYTGR	2	LBD	549.77423	1098.54119	1098.54258	H4 or position 2,6
nAChRα5	Q7KT97	TVYGQGDDGSIGPIGSTR	7	Id	890.42413	1779.84099	1779.85078	
nAChRα5	Q7KT97	TVYGqGDDGSIGPIGSTR	2	Id	890.93036	1780.85344	1780.83480	Q5
nAChRα5	Q7KT97	FITDQLR	7	Id	446.74768	892.48808	892.48869	
nAChRα5	Q7KT97	KHQILSDVELKER	1	Id	399.47717	1594.8869	1594.8911	
nAChRα5	Q7KT97	SSTEYELGLILK	1	Id	676.86945	1352.7316	1352.7308	
nAChR α 5, α 7	Q7KTF97, Q9VWI9	LEWNDMNLR	1	LBD	595.78156	1190.55583	1190.5623	
nAChR α 5, α 7	Q7KTF97, Q9VWI9	NnGScLYVPPGIFK	2	LBD	884.93384	1768.86040	1768.85745	H2, C5
nAChRα5, α7	Q7KTF97, Q9VWI9	NnGScLYVPPGIFK	2	LBD	783.88092	1566.75456	1566.76209	N2, C5
nAChR α 5, α 7	Q7KTF97, Q9VWI9	nnGScLYVPPGIFK	2	LBD	784.39069	1567.77410	1567.74611	N1, N2, C5
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	ELQFITAR	4	Id	489.27380	977.54033	977.54146	
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	ELqFITAR	1	Id	489.7753	978.54332	978.52547	Q3
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	TADIHEMPPWIK	1	Non	719.36407	1437.72087	1437.71950	
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	TILLSNR	4	Id	408.75095	816.49462	816.49378	
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	ADDEAELIGDWK	4	Id	681.3179	1361.61.61785	1361.62195	
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	KADDEAELIGDWK	1	Id	745.36469	1489.72209	1489.71691	
nAChRα6	Q7KTF9	KTILLSNR	2	Id	472.79971	944.59215	944.58874	
nAChRα5, α6, α7	Q7KT97, Q7KTF9, Q9VWI9	IDITWFPFDDQR	3	LBD	776.87292	1552.7386	1552.7431	
nAChR α 5, α 6, α 7	Q7KT97, Q7KTF9, Q9VWI9	SLLANVLDIDDDFR	3	Id	803.40924	1605.8112	1605.8119	
nAChRα6, α7	Q7KTF9, Q9VWI9	IDITWFPFDDqR	1	LBD	777.37311	1553.73894	1553.7209	Q11
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	SLLANVLDIDDDFRcNHR	1	Id	544.01544	2173.0399	2173.0455	C15
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	KQQIQNVELKER	6	Id	504.95410	1512.84774	1512.84927	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	KQQIQNVELK	1	Id	614.35730	1227.70732	1227.70556	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	KQqIQNVELKER	1	Id	502.29062	1513.8573	1513.8333	N3
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	QGDDGSVGPVGPAGPVVDGR	12	Id	918.44794	1835.88860	18335.88823	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	qGDDGSVGPVGPAGPVVDGR	1	Id	918.94897	1936.89067	1836.87225	Q1
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	QQIQNVELK	1	Id	550.30487	1099.60246	1099.61060	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	EDETSDITR	1	Non	533.23535	1065.46343	1065.46947	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	mQRPGQVGYEcPPPPSSSSSASGEK	1	Id	908.41028	2723.2163	2723.2036	M1; C11
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	cASATLPHQPTYYR	2	Id	555.59827	1664.7803	1664.785	C1
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	WITEQLKKEDETSDITR	2	Id	698.01874	2092.0417	2092.0557	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	WITEQLK	1	Non	459.25516	917.50304	917.50909	
nAChRα7	Q9VWI9	cNHRCASATLPHQPTYYR	1	Id	832.89795	1664.7886	1664.785	C1
nAChRβ3	Q9VPQ8	VVLPEnGTAR	1	LBD	629.83380	1258.66033	1258.66376	H6 or position 8

Appendix Table S3 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs with α -Bungarotoxin.

Subunit	Accession	Sequence	Found [N]	Domains	m/z [Da]	MH+ [Da]	Theo. MH+ [Da]	Modification
nAChRa1	A0A0B4KGU3	LFIQILPK	1	Ed	486.31339	971.61949	917.62882	
nAChR α 1, α 2	A0A0B4KGU3, P17644	LYDDLLSNYNR	1	Ed	693.3407	1385.674	1385.66957	
nAChRα2	P17644	AIDVQLSDVAK	2	Non	579.823	1158.639	1158.636	
nAChRα2	P17644	VVWTPPAIFK	1	Ed	579.3391	1157.671	1157.671	
nAChR α 2, β 2	P17644, P25162	LSQLIEVNLK	1	Ed	386.5676	1157.692	1157.677	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	LVSSGYNNSLPK	10	Non	639.8441	1278.661	1278.66884	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	LVSSGYnNSLPK	1	Non	640.3381	1279.669	1279.653	N7
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	LSQLIDVNLK	2	LBD	571.8425	1142.678	1142.678	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	SPILNNPAFSHSK	1	Non	471.24591	1411.72318	1411.73284	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	RPTYNFETSK	3	Non	621.80939	1242.61150	1242.61133	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	RPTYnFETSK	3	Non	622.3102	1243.613	1243.595	N5
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	LYDDLLSNYNK	2	LBD	679.3725	1357.64910	1357.66342	
nAChRα4	A8JNX5	RPTYNFETSKLLLK	1	Non	621.8079	1242.609	1242.611	
nAChRβ1	P04755	NKNFVDLSDYWK	2	Ed	643.8068	1286.600	1286.605	
nAChRβ1	P04755	NFVDLSDYWK	4	Ed	643.8044	1286.602	1286.605	
nAChRβ1	P04755	nFVDLSDYWK	4	Ed	644.3093	1287.611	1287.589	N1
nAChRβ1	P04755	ILPPTSLVLPLIAK	1	Non	737.9825	1474.96074	1474.96071	
nAChRβ1	P04755	ATEAVEFIAEHLR	5	Id	495.92743	1485.76773	1485.76962	
nAChRβ1	P04755	VWKPDIVLFNNADGNYEVR	1	Ed	750.3779	2249.119	2249.135	
nAChRβ2	P25162	LYDDLLSNYNR	3	Ed	693.34070	1385.67412	1385.66957	
nAChRβ2	P25162	LSqLIEVNLKNqVMTTNLWVK	1	Ed	825.1133	2473.325	2473.3370	Q3; Q12
nAChRβ2	P25162	LSqLIEVNLK	2	Ed	386.5676	1157.688	1157.678	Q3

Appendix Table S4 Identified nAChR peptides in pull-downs without α -Bungarotoxin.

Appendix Table S5 List of gRNAs and oligonucleotides used for cloning.

	Knockouts						
nAChR	gRNA target		oligonucleotides name				
subunit	name	gRNA sequence (NGG)	(Forward/Reverse)	oligonucleotides sequence			
nAChRα1	Da1_111(+)	5'CGGAGATGTAGTAGTCCTGCAGG3'	41_Da1_111_F	5'GILGLGGGAGAIGIAGIAGILLIGL3			
			42_Da1_111_R	5'AAACGCAGGACTACTACATCTCCG3'			
	Da1_126(-)	5'CCTGCAGGTCGATGCCCACCTCG3'	43_Da1_126_F	5'GTCGCGAGGTGGGCATCGACCTGC3'			
			44_Da1_126_R	5'AAACGCAGGTCGATGCCCACCTCG3'			
nAChRα2	Da2 99 (+)	5'GCTCCTCTGCGAAACCGTTCAGG3'	45_Da2_99_F	5'GTCGCTCCTCTGCGAAACCGTTC3'			
	_ ()		46_Da2_99_R	5'AAACGAACGGTTTCGCAGAGGAG3'			
nAChRα3	Da3 18(+)	5'GTCCGGACGCCAGATGTGATCGG3'	49_Da3_18_F	5'GTCGTCCGGACGCCAGATGTGAT3'			
	_ ()		50_Da3_18_R	5'AAACATCACATCTGGCGTCCGGA3'			
nAChRα4	Da4 19(+)	5'TTGTTGCGACGAACCATACTTGG3'	53_Da4_19_F	5'GTCGTTGTTGCGACGAACCATACT3'			
	_ ()		54_Da4_19_R	5'AAACAGTATGGTTCGTCGCAACAA3'			
nAChRα5	Da5 232(-)	5'CCGGGGATCTTCAAGTCGACGTG3'	57_Da5_232_F	5'GTCGCACGTCGACTTGAAGATCCC3'			
			58_Da5_232_R	5'AAACGGGATCTTCAAGTCGACGTG3'			
	Da5 251(+)	5'CGTGCAAGATCGACATCACGTGG3'	59_Da5_251_F	5'GTCGCGTGCAAGATCGACATCACG3'			
	/		60_Da5_251_R	5'AAACCGTGATGTCGATCTTGCACG3'			
nAChRα6	Da6 70(+)	5'CGTATTCTTCTTTCCCGGCATGG3'	61_Da6_70_F	5'GTCGCGTATTCTTCTTTCCCGGCA3'			
	_ ()		62_Da6_70_R	5'AAACTGCCGGGAAAGAAGAATACG3'			
nAChRα7	Da7 1226(+)	5'CATTGACCACCGGACGCTCCAGG3'	63_Da7_1226_F	5'GTCGCATTGACCACCGGACGCTCC3'			
			64_Da7_1226_R	5'AAACGGAGCGTCCGGTGGTCAATG3'			
nAChRβ1	Db1 2(+)	5'TGGAGTCTTCCTGCAAATCCTGG3'	67_Db1_2_F	5'GTCGTGGAGTCTTCCTGCAAATCC3'			
•	/		68_Db1_2_R	5'AAACGGATTTGCAGGAAGACTCCA3'			
nAChRβ2	Db2_955(+)	5'TCAGACCTAACCAAACCGTCAGG3'	71_Db2_955_F	5'GTCGTCAGACCTAACCAAACCGTC3'			
			72_Db2_955_R	5'AAACGACGGTTTGGTTAGGTCTGA3'			
nAChRβ3	Db3 466(+)	5'CTTTGAAGTCCAGCGAGGTCTGG3'	75_Db3_466_F	5'GTCGCTTTGAAGTCCAGCGAGGTC3'			
•	/		76_Db3_466_R	5'AAACGACCTCGCTGGACTTCAAAG3'			
		C-termin	al tagging				
			oligonucleotides				
nACNK subunit	gRNA target	gRNA sequence (NGG)	name (Forward/Reverse)	oligonucleotides sequence			
nAChRa6	Da6 181(+)	5'TTGCACGATTATGTGCGGAGCGG3'	131_Da6_181_F	5'GTCGTTGCACGATTATGTGCGGAG3'			
in territud	200_101(1)			5'AAACCTCCGCACATAATCGTGCAA3'			
	Da6 176(+)	5'CCTTATTGCACGATTATGTGCGG3'	133_Da6_176_F	5'GTCGCCTTATTGCACGATTATGTG3'			
			134_Da6_176_R	5'AAACCACATAATCGTGCAATAAGG3'			

Appendix Table S6 List of oligonucleotides used for amplification from genomic DNA.

	knockouts						
nAChR subunit	homology arm	oligonucleotides name (Forward/Reverse)	oligonucleotides sequence				
nAChRa1	Da1_LHA	Da1_LHA_F1	5'TGGGGCGACAAAATAGCATG3'				
		Da1_LHA_R1	5'GGGGAAATGGGCCAACAAAT3'				
nAChRα1	Da1_RHA	Da1_RHA_F1	5'GCAGATACTTTCCCAGCAGC3'				
		Da1_RHA_R1	5'CCGCGTCCTTGACTACTTTG3'				
nAChRα2	Da2_LHA	Da2_LHA_F1	5'ACGAAATGCAAAACCGAGCT3'				
		Da2_LHA_R2	5'CCCAATTTGACCAACACCGT3'				
nAChRα2	Da2 RHA	Da2 RHA F1	5'GCGGGCAGAAAGGTAAACAA3'				
	_	 Da2_RHA_R1	5'TCACCTGATCACCGTCGTAG3'				
nAChRα3	Da3 LHA	Da3 LHA F1	5'CTCCAGCCGTTCCCAAATCT3'				
		Da3 LHA R1	5'CAATCTGTGGGTGGAGCAGT3'				
nAChBq3	Da3 RHA	Da3 RHA F1	5'CTGCTCGTCGAAGGGAAAGT3'				
		Da3 RHA R1	5'GATCCGAGCCAGACTAAGCC3'				
nAChBq4	Da4 1HA	Da4_LHA_F1	5'GATGAACAACAGGGCAGCAA3'				
		Da4 LHA R1	5'CAAAACAACAACCGTCACGC3'				
nAChBq4	Da4 BHA	Da4 RHA F1	5'TTAGAGCGTAACAGTGGGCG3'				
Inclinu4		 Da4 RHA R1	5'ACGCCTACAAACCGGACAAA3'				
nAChPa5			5'ACCGCATTCCTGTCGCATAT3'				
ПАСПКИЗ			5'CAGGACGACGTTGGCTTACT3'				
n A Ch B a E			5'GGATCTTCAAGTCGACGTGC3'				
ПАСПКИЗ	Da5_KHA		5'GAGGGTGTGGCTGGATTTTC3'				
a A Ch DarG	Dec. 1114		5'GTGTACGGGTGTGAGACAGA3'				
ΠΑCΠΚαδ	Da6_LHA		5'TCACACATTGCTTGCCGAAA3'				
	D. C. D. M.		5'GTCAGTTTCTCGCCCGAATC3'				
ηΑζηκαδ	Da6_RHA	Da6_RHA_F1	5'CCGAGAGTTGACTGTAGCCA3'				
		Da6_RHA_R1	5'TGTAAACCCTAGCAGTGCCA3'				
nAChRα7	Da7_LHA	Da7_LHA_F1	5'TATGATACCGGGTGAGTGCC3'				
		Da7_LHA_R1	5'CATCCGGTTTCCATAGGCGA3'				
nAChRα7	Da7_RHA	Da7_RHA_F1					
		Da7_RHA_R1					
nAChRβ1	Db1_LHA	Db1_LHA_F1					
		Db1_LHA_R1					
nAChRβ1	Db1_RHA	Db1_RHA_F1					
		Db1_RHA_R1					
nAChRβ2	Db2_LHA	D2b_LHA_F1					
		D2b_LHA_R1					
nAChRβ2	Db2_RHA	D2b_RHA_F1	5'CCCATCGCAACTTGTAGTCG3				
		D2b_RHA_R1	5'CATTCGTCCAGGTAAGTGCG3'				
nAChRβ3	Db3_LHA	Db3_LHA_F1	5'AACGGTTCCGATGACTTCCT3'				
		Db3_LHA_R1	5'TGAGCATGTTGAGTTCGCAG3'				
nAChRβ3	Db3_RHA	Db3_RHA_F1	5'TCCTTCGTCCTCTCCTTCGT3'				
		Db3_RHA_R1	5'TTCTGCGGGAAACTACGACC3'				

Appendix Table S6 Continued, List of oligonucleotides used for amplification from genomic DNA.

C-terminal tagging					
nAChR subunit	homology arm	oligonucleotides name (Forward/Reverse)	oligonucleotides sequence		
nAChRα6	Da6_RHA	74_Da6_RHA_F_Gen	5'GGGTTTCTGTTCTTGCGCTG3'		
		75_Da6_RHA_R_Gen	5'GCCCTGCTGATTTGTTTGCT3'		
nAChRα6	Da6_LHA	76_Da6_LHA_F_Gen	5'CCGATGCTTCCGACGTATCC3'		
		77_Da6_LHA_R_Gen	5'GCCATACTAGCGCATGACTCT3'		

Appendix Table S7 C-terminal tagging of nAChRa6 with FSVS.

		C-te	rminal tagging with FSVS
nAChR subunit	fragment	site	oligonucleotides sequence
nAChRα6		105Donor_LHA_Da6	5'CGGGCTAATTATGGGGTGTCGCCCTGTGCATGCAGAGAATGAAACC3'
	31	106_LHA_Da6_linker_R	5'CCTTGCACGATTATGTGCGGAGCTGAGAGCAGCACCGTAACCG3'
		107_linkerTag_F	5'GCTCTCAGCTCCGCACATAATCGTGCAAGGATCCGGCGGAGGGGGGC3'
	32	108_RHDa6_Tag_R	5'CTAATTCGAGCGTCCTTACTTTTCGAACTGGGGATGGC3'
		109_TG-RHA_Da6_F	5'CCCCAGTTCGAAAAGTAAGGACGCTCGAATTAGGCC3'
	33	110_Donor_RHDa6	5'AAATTTTGTGTCGCCCTTGAACTCGATTTGCGCTGCTTAGCTTCATCTG3'
C-terminal ta	gging with FS	SVS-loxP-3Px3DsRED-loxP	using as a template donors with FSVS tags above (fragments 31-33)
nAChR subunit	fragment	site	oligonucleotides sequence
nAChRα6		105Donor_LHA_Da6	5'CGGGCTAATTATGGGGTGTCGCCCTGTGCATGCAGAGAATGAAACC3'
	34	114_RHDa6_Tag_R	5'CTTTTCGAACTGGGGATGGCTCCAAGCTCC3'
		155_Marker_F1	5'CTTGGAGCCATCCCCAGTTCGAAAAGTAGTAAGGTACCGCGGGTATAAC3'
	36	157_Marker_R1	5'GGCTCTTCTATATAACTTCGTATAGCATAC3'
		165_Da6_F_marker	5'ATGCTATACGAAGTTATATAGAAGAGCCTAAGGACGCTCGAATTAGGCC3'
	36	110_Donor_RHDa6	5'AAATTTTGTGTCGCCCTTGAACTCGATTTGCGCTGCTTAGCTTCATCTG 3'

Appendix Figure S1 GO terms and predicted membrane proteins.

- A, B GO slim term for biological process and for molecular function analysed within samples solubilized without or with SMA, n=4 or 11 per conditions.
- C Predicted β -barrel membrane proteins, two-tailed t-test *****P*<0.0001, n=6 or 10.
- D Palmitoylated proteins, two-tailed t-test *****P*<0.0001, each n=8.
- E Myristoylated proteins, two-tailed t-test ****P < 0.0001, n=6 or 10.
- F GPI-anchored proteins, two-tailed t-test, non-significant ns, n=6 or 10.

Appendix Figure S2 Identified peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain.

- A Shared peptides found in the ligand-binding domains are shown in red.
- B Identified unique (/) and shared (,) peptides in cytoplasmic domains.

Appendix Figure S3 Superimposed nAChR α -subunits structure together with identified peptides.

- A Superimposed nAChR α-subunit structures from *H. sapiens* (blue, PDB 6USF) and *Torpedo californica* (red, 6UWZ). Extracellular ligand-binding domain (LBD) illustrates a structure similarity.
- B Same superimposed structures bound to α -Bungarotoxin (α -Btx, surface structure). Peptides found in LBD are highlighted in green. The homology regions of D α 6 nAChRs LBD are shown in violet.

Appendix Figure S4 Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits.

- A Multiple sequence alignment of insect α 7 nAChR subunits compared to sequences of nematodes. The glycosylated ligand-binding domain (LBD) sequence of D α 5 and D α 7 nAChR subunits are shown. Glycosylated asparagine residues highlighted in red are conserved within insects and nematodes (positions: D α 5 422 and D α 7 170 amino acids).
- B Same $D\alpha 5$ and $D\alpha 7$ nAChR subunit sequences compared to *T. californica*, *D. rerio*, *M. musculus* and *H. sapiens*.
- C Graphical representation of $D\alpha 3$ and $D\beta 3$ nAChR subunits. N-acetylhexosamine (H) modification on asparagine residues are highlighted and are of low site probability ≤ 80 %.

Figures

Table of Contents

Figure 1. Morphological and locomotor phenotypes in <i>nAChR</i> subunit mutants	2
Figure 2. ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a and α -Bungarotoxin target different nAChR subunits.	3
Figure 3. Forming styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs)	4
Figure 4. Identification of proteins enriched by SMALP extraction	5
Figure 5. Three nAChR α -subunits are binding to α -bungarotoxin (α -Btx)	6
Figure 6. N-glycosylation sites in nAChR subunits	7
Figure 7. In vivo imaging of endogenously tagged D $lpha$ 6 nAChR subunit	8
Appendix Figure S1 GO terms and predicted membrane proteins	9
Appendix Figure S2 Identified peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain1	0
Appendix Figure S3 Superimposed nAChR $lpha$ -subunits structure together with identified peptides	1
Appendix Figure S4 Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits1	2



Figure 1. Morphological and locomotor phenotypes in *nAChR* subunit mutants.



Figure 2. ω -Hexatoxin-Hv1a and α -Bungarotoxin target different nAChR subunits.



Figure 3. Forming styrene maleic acid lipid particles (SMALPs).



Figure 4. Identification of proteins enriched by SMALP extraction.



Figure 5. Three nAChR α-subunits are binding to α-bungarotoxin (α-Btx).



Figure 6. N-glycosylation sites in nAChR subunits.



Figure 7. In vivo imaging of endogenously tagged Da6 nAChR subunit.



Appendix Figure S1 GO terms and predicted membrane proteins.

Α	α 5, 7
α5 α6 α7	VDEKNQLLVTNVWLK <mark>LEWNDMNLR</mark> WNTSDYGGVKDLRIPPHRIWKPDVLMYNSADEGFDG <mark>60</mark> VDEKNQLLITNLWL <mark>S</mark> LEWND <mark>Y</mark> NLRWNETEYGGVKDLRI <mark>T</mark> PNKLWKPDVLMYNSADEGFDG <mark>60</mark> VDEKNQLLITNIWLKLEWNDMNLRWNSSEFGGVRDLRIPPHRLWKPDVLMYNSADEGFDG <mark>60</mark>
α5 α6 α7	<u>α 5,7</u> <u>α 5,6,7</u> TYATNVVVRNNGSCLYVPPGIFKSTCKIDITWFPFDDQRCEMKFGSWTYDG 111 TYHTNIVVKHNGSCLYVPPGIFKSTCKIDITWFPFDDQRCEMKFGSWTYDG 111 TYQTNVVVRNNGSCLYVPPGIFKSTCKIDITWFPFDDQRCEMKFGSWTYDG 111
в	$\alpha 5/\alpha 6/\alpha 7$ $\alpha 5.6.7$ $\alpha 7$
α5 α6 α7	EFPTTPCSDTSSERKHQ LSDVELKERSSKSLLANV DIDDDFRHNCRPMTPGGTLPHNP60 RMGRPGRKITRKTILLSN MKELELKERSSKSLLAN LDIDDDF HTISGSQTAIGSSAS60 SSS ASGEKKQQIQN E E SSKSLLANVLD DDDFRCNHRCASAT PHQPTYYRTMYR60
α5 α6 α7	α 5 / α 7α 5 / α 6α 5 / α 7AFYRTVYCQGDDCSIGPICSTRMPDAVTHHTCIKSSTEYLICLLKEIRFITDQRKDDE120FGRPTTVEEHHTAIGCNHKDLHLILKELQFIAMRKADIEAELGWKFAAMVVDRFC-119QCDDGVCPVGPAGPVVDCRLHEAISHTCLTSSAEYELALILKELRWITEQLKKEDET118

Appendix Figure S2 Identified peptides in ligand-binding and cytoplasmic domain.



Appendix Figure S3 Superimposed nAChR α -subunits structure together with identified peptides.

Α

Drosophila melanogaster α 5 WKPDVLMYNSADEGFD**e**t **CTNVVVRNNGSCL** TNVVRNNGSCLY Drosophila melanogaster α7 WKPDVLMYNSADEGFDGTY WKPDVLMYNSADEGFDSTY<mark>P</mark>TNVVVRNNGSCLY WKPDVLMYNSADEGFD<mark>STYP</mark>TNVVVRNNGSCLY Helicoverpa armigera Bombyx mori WKPDVLMYNSADEGFD<mark>CTYP</mark>TNVVKN<mark>NG</mark>TCL Apis mellifera WKPDVLMYNSADEGFD<mark>CTY</mark>PTNVVVRN<mark>NG</mark>SCL Tribolium castaneum Caenorhabditis elegans YNNADGN QVII MIKAK TYNG PDM ADGN QVIII MIKAK SYNG VE Nippostrongylus brasiliensis Y٢ VADGN QVIII MIKAKVSSNGEVE VADGN QVIII MIKAKVSSNGEVE Anisakis simplex Υľ Ascaris suum ΥN PD)

В

С

Drosophila melanogaster α5WKPDVL MYNSADEGFDGTYQTNVVRNNGSCLYVPPDrosophila melanogaster α7WKPDVL MYNSADEGFDGTYATNVVRNNGSCLYVPPTorpedo californicaWLPDLVLYNNADGDFAI VHMTKLLDYTGKI MYTPPMus musculusWKPDI LLYNSADERFDATFHTNVLVNASGHCQYLPPHomo sapiensWKPDI LLYNSADERFDATFHTNVLVNSSGHCQYLPP



Cytoplasmic domain

Appendix Figure S4 Glycosylation sites of nAChR subunits.