On the origin and evolution of *Drosophila* new genes during spermatogenesis

Qianwei Su¹, Huangyi He¹, Qi Zhou^{1,2,3,*}

1. The MOE Key Laboratory of Biosystems Homeostasis & Protection and Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory for Cancer Molecular Cell Biology, Life Sciences Institute, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310058, China.

2. Department of Neuroscience and Developmental Biology, University of Vienna, Vienna 1030, Austria

3. Center for Reproductive Medicine, The 2nd Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310052, China

*Corresponding author: zhouqi1982@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

Origin of functional new genes is a basic biological process that has significant contribution to organismal diversity. Previous studies in both *Drosophila* and mammals showed that new genes tend to be expressed in testis, and avoid the X chromosome presumably because meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI). Here we analyze the published single-cell transcriptome data of *Drosophila* adult testis and find an enrichment of male germline mitotic genes, but an underrepresentation of meiotic genes on the X chromosome. This can be attributed to an excess of autosomal meiotic genes that were derived from their X-linked mitotic progenitors, which provides direct cell-level evidence for MSCI in *Drosophila*. We reveal that new genes, particularly those produced by retrotransposition, tend to exhibit an expression shift toward late spermatogenesis compared to their parental copies, probably due to the more intensive sperm competition or sexual conflict. Our results dissect the complex factors including the age, the origination mechanisms and the chromosomal locations that influence the new gene origination and evolution in testis, and identify new gene cases that show divergent cell-level expression pattern from their progenitors for future functional studies.

Introduction

Origin of new genes is one of the fundamental processes underlying the production of functional novelties and organismal diversity[1,2]. This process is inevitably shaped by the pre-existing regulatory differences between organs of the individual[3], and those between autosomes and sex chromosomes in the genome[4]. Extensive case and large genomic studies in *Drosophila* and mammals discovered two surprisingly convergent patterns between the two distantly related phyla. First, testis, compared to other organs, seems to play a more important role in permitting the nascent new genes to originate, and to subsequently adopt novel functions in other tissues by evolutionary time (the 'out of the testis' hypothesis)[5]. New genes, especially those of young ages (e.g., species-specific new genes) are more likely to have a testis-biased expression pattern than the other 'older' new genes (new genes that are shared by multiple species) or single-copy genes[6-8]. This is probably because intensive sperm competition and sexual conflict drive testis to evolve overall a more rapidly evolving transcriptomic environment than other organs that may accommodate new genes. In addition, during mammalian spermatogenesis, meiotic and postmeiotic cells (spermatocytes and spermatids) were reported to confer a more permissive open chromatin state that may allow and expose more promiscuous expression of nascent new gene copies to natural selection[9,10]. These new gene copies are either to be purged by natural selection, or to be fixed within the population if they confer novel beneficial functions.

A second general pattern regarding the birth of new genes is that the X chromosome seems to be producing an excess of testis-biased new genes that are relocated onto the autosomes in both *Drosophila* or mammals[11,12]. This 'out of the X' pattern has been found for the new genes generated by retrotransposition or DNA-based duplications[7], suggesting that this is not impacted by the mechanism of how new genes were generated, but by the complex different molecular and evolutionary factors acting on the X chromosome vs. autosomes[4]. Such factors include meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI), a process that was well documented in mammals with both X and Y chromosomes forming a heterochromatic 'XY body' in spermatocytes [13]. More specifically, the 'out of the X' pattern for retrogenes was found to be only started after eutherian lineages were split, which was taken as evidence for the origin of MSCI in the eutherian ancestor[14]. Similar patterns of precocious silencing of X-linked genes in spermatocytes have also been reported in *C. elegans* [15] and *Drosophila* [16] by cytogenetic or transgenic studies. A second factor is the sexually antagonistic selection that is also expected to drive nascent male-biased genes off the female-biasedly transmitted X chromosome[17]. These factors, together with the lack of canonical dosage compensation of X chromosome in the male

germline[18], lead to an underrepresentation of male-biased genes on the X chromosome, i.e., demasculinization of the X [19].

Previous studies of new genes used the whole-testis RNA-seq[19,20], or different manually dissected parts of *Drosophila* testis that are enriched for different stages of cells in spermatogenesis for microarray[21]. Direct cell-level evidence for MSCI driving the new gene out of the X chromosome is still lacking. In addition, debates about whether there is MSCI in *Drosophila*[22,23] have lasted until very recently. A new single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) study of larvae testes of *D. melanogaster* provided novel cell-level expression evidence and resolution of distinctive nuclear features of X chromosome vs. autosomes that supported the existence of MSCI of some but not all X-linked genes[24]. This study also uncovered that the gene-poor dot chromosome, a former X chromosome in non-*Drosophila* Diptera species[25], exhibited a similar dynamic expression pattern with that of X chromosome during spermatogenesis, probably due to their close nuclear territory that subjects them to the same regulatory program. Here we reanalyze the testis scRNA-seq data, with the focus on comparing the expressed cell types or stages during spermatogenesis between the new gene and its parental gene. Our aim is to reveal how the age, origination mechanisms, and chromosome location impact the evolution of new genes across different testis cell types. Specifically, if MSCI exists in *Drosophila* and drives the relocation of X-borne new genes onto the autosomes, we would expect that a shift of autosomal new genes to be expressed toward the middle or late spermatogenesis (e.g., in spermatocytes or spermatids) stages, relative to their parental genes that are expressed in the early spermatogenesis (mitotic) stage (e.g., spermatogonia). Test of this hypothesis is to provide mounting evidence for MSCI in *Drosophila*, and provide more insights into the molecular driving forces of new gene evolution in testis.

Results

Comparing the expression patterns of genes between chromosomes during spermatogenesis

In order to compare between the new gene and parental gene, and test the aforementioned hypothesis about MSCI, we first prepare two datasets: one is the identified new genes and their parental genes among different *Drosophila* lineages from GenTree (<http://gentree.ioz.ac.cn/>)[26], with the information of age and origination mechanisms of new genes' annotated (**Figure 1A**). The age is inferred based on the presence or absence of the focal new gene in *D. melanogaster* or multiple *Drosophila* species including *D. melanogaster*, i.e., in the ancestor of all these species. And the origination mechanisms were divided into DNA-based duplication, RNA-based

duplication (retrotransposition), or *de novo* evolution[1,27], based on their possibly different patterns emerging from later expression comparison between parental vs. new genes. For example, new genes produced by retrotransposition or located on a different chromosome compared to the parental genes are more likely to share different *cis*-regulatory elements with those of their parental genes and evolved novel expression patterns. In particular, *de novo* genes have been recently analyzed with *Drosophila* adult testis scRNA-seq dataset and are not the focus of this study, nor they help to clarify the role of MSCI during new gene evolution[28]. A second dataset is that we use the scRNA-seq of adult testis of *D. melanogaster* and annotate every gene for their major expressed stages during spermatogenesis. We use the adult testis data because some new or parental genes in the following analyses may be expressed in the post-meiotic cells that are underrepresented in the larvae testes.

After estimating the average expression level (**Figure 1B**) and the percentage of expressed cells (**Supplementary Fig. S1**) within each type of cell for each gene, we annotate each gene as one of the five cell categories. According to unsupervised clustering, mitotic genes refer to those that are predominantly expressed in spermatogonia; meiosis genes refer to those that are predominantly expressed in spermatocytes, and post-meiosis genes refer to those that are predominantly expressed in spermatids. There are also some genes that span two adjacent stages or developing cell types (**Figure 1B**, e.g., ‘meiosis and mitosis’ genes that are expressed in both spermatogonia and spermatocytes). Overall, mitotic genes comprise the largest category (over 50% of the 15189 genes expressed in the germline) among all five types of genes, with 6431 (42.3%) genes expressed in spermatogonia, and 1300 (8.6%) non-overlapping genes expressed in both spermatogonia and early spermatocytes. While genes predominantly expressed in spermatids comprise the smallest part, with only 690 genes (4.5%). Our division of genes according to their expressed cell types or stages is consistent with their respective enrichment of gene ontology (GO) terms (**Supplementary Fig. S2**). For example, mitotic genes are enriched for GOs of ‘mitotic cell cycle process’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’ etc., and post-meiotic genes are enriched for GOs of ‘spermatid development’ and ‘sperm motility’ etc..

Figure 1. Comparing chromosomal distribution of genes across stages of spermatogenesis.

(a) The number of new genes divided by branches and origination mechanisms, the description of each number separated by slash is shown in the bottom, the divergence time of each branch is also shown in the plot with number 1 to 6. New genes of branch 6 are the youngest new genes and only restricted to *Drosophila melanogaster*. New genes of branch 5 are shared by *D. melanogaster*, *D. simulans* and *D. sechellia* and 'older' than those of branch 6, and so on. (b) Unsupervised clustering of testis-expressing genes according to their average expression level within each of the four types of cells (spermatogonia, early spermatocytes, late spermatocytes and spermatids). Each line is a gene and each column shows the color scaled normalized expression level, i.e., the log2(CPM+1) value per gene within a testis cell type. The genes are then divided into 5 categories based on their predominant expressed cell types as mitotic genes, meiotic genes, postmeiotic genes or genes that span two adjacent stages. We also show the branch information of new genes next to the heatmap, with most genes shown in white color as they are old genes shared by all *Drosophila* species. (c) The distribution of different categories of genes in autosomes, 4, X and Y chromosomes. The black asterisks means significant underrepresentation when being compared to autosomes, while the red asterisks means significant enrichment. Since there are few post-meiosis genes, we combine them with meiosis genes when performing the statistical tests, and the asterisks are shown between the orange and red. (d) Each dot shows the ratio of numbers of genes on the X/Y/dot chromosome vs. autosomes within each cell category

Comparing to the autosomes excluding the dot chromosome, we found a significant ($P < 0.05$, Fisher's test) enrichment of mitotic genes, but a significant ($P < 0.05$, Fisher's test) underrepresentation of meiotic/post-meiotic genes, on both X chromosome and dot chromosome of *D. melanogaster*. This pattern is consistent with the results previously reported on the mouse X chromosome[29]. For the Y chromosome, over 50% of the genes are not expressed in any cell types, and it in contrast shows an underrepresentation of mitotic genes (Figure 1C-D) relative to the autosomes. A similar enrichment or under-representation pattern of certain testis genes on the X chromosome can be also observed, if we divide the genes according to the percentage of cells that they are expressed, among each cell type

(**Supplementary Fig. S1**), suggesting our results are robust to the different methods of categorizing the genes. These patterns indicate a distinctive chromosomal composition of genes expressed in different testis cell types. They are consistent with the previous analyses using the larvae scRNA-seq data[24], which suggested that the dramatic reduction of X-linked gene expression starting from early until late primary spermatocytes, and a deficiency of X-linked meiotic genes is more likely to be caused by MSCI rather than lack of dosage compensation in meiotic cells. They are also consistent with the probably shared expression regulatory mechanism of X- and dot-linked genes during spermatogenesis.

New genes of different ages or produced by different mechanisms have a different expression pattern across testis cells

A large portion of functional new genes that become fixed in the population are usually expected to have evolved a diverged expression pattern from their parental genes to avoid functional redundancy[1]. After annotating the major expressed cell type(s) of each gene, we set out to compare between new vs. parental genes for their major expressed testis cell types to test this hypothesis. Our previous study comparing different age groups of new vs. parental genes uncovered that new genes have gradually acquired active histone modification by their ages[8]. Consistent with this, the percentage of new genes expressed within at least one type of testis cells has increased by the new gene age (**Figure 2A**, the parts that are not black). Also the percentage of parental-new gene pairs that are expressed within completely non-overlapping cell types of testis becomes higher in the older groups of new genes (32%~39%) than the new genes of younger ages (24% or 25% of group 5 or 6 genes, **Figure 2A**). Overall, new genes are significantly ($P < 0.05$, Fisher's test) more enriched for meiosis or post-meiosis genes in the testis, but deficient for mitotic genes, compared to their parental genes (**Figure 2A**). The pattern of different expressed testis cell types is mainly attributed to those new genes that are of relatively older age (age group 1-3). These single-cell level expression patterns support the notion that new genes gradually acquired novel functions and diverge their expression patterns from their parental copies within testis during evolution.

Particularly, there is a significant enrichment ($P < 0.05$, Fisher's test) of mitotic genes among the parental genes of very young genes (age group 5 and 6 combined), which are specific to *D. melanogaster* or shared by *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*, relative to the genomic background (**Figure 2A, C**). We previously showed that these two groups of young genes are also more enriched for testis-specific genes relative to other age groups, while the 'older' new genes are more enriched for house-keeping genes[8]. These results together

188 indicate that mitotic genes, or genes expressed in spermatogonia, have a disproportionately
189 large role in producing the 'out of the testis' pattern of *Drosophila* new genes.

190
191 **Figure 2. The expression patterns of parental-new gene pairs across testis cells divided**
192 **by their age and origination mechanisms.**

193 (a) Comparing between parental ('Parent') and new ('Child') genes of different age groups (1-6, Figure
194 1a) for their expression patterns within different cell types. Different colors represent different categories
195 of genes inferred from Figure 1b. The numbers within each ring shows the number of parental-new gene
196 pairs of each age group. And the percentage number shown between the rings of parental genes and
197 new genes indicates the percentage of genes that are expressed in completely non-overlapping cell types
198 of testis. (b) Comparison between DNA-based ('DNA') and RNA-based ('RNA') gene duplications and
199 also between intra- or inter-chromosomal duplications. (c) Comparison between the *de novo* genes and
200 the genomic background of all genes (Flybase 6.15 released version). The significant tests were
201 performed by Fisher test for fig. 2a and fig. 2b, between each parental and new gene set. Red asterisks

mean significantly enriched, black asterisks mean significantly underrepresented. “*”: $P < 0.05$, “**”: $P < 0.01$, “***” $P < 0.001$.

When we divided the new genes according to their origination mechanisms, namely DNA-based duplication, RNA-based duplication (retrotransposition) and *de novo* evolution from non-coding genomic DNAs, and also divided them by whether the parental and new gene copies reside on the same chromosome (intra-chromosomal) or not (inter-chromosomal), different categories of parental-new gene pairs show different expression patterns across testis cells. The differences of expressed testis cell types between parental and new genes are much larger for the new genes produced by retrotransposition compared to those produced by DNA-based duplication (**Figure 2B**). While there does not seem to be a pronounced difference between new genes produced by inter- or intra-chromosomal DNA duplications. And *de novo* genes also show a significantly different expression pattern across the testis cell types compared to the genomic background (**Figure 2C**). These patterns can be explained by the fact that retrogenes and *de novo* genes are much more likely to acquire novel *cis*-regulatory elements than DNA-based new gene duplications. In particular, both new retrogenes and *de novo* genes exhibit an enrichment of meiosis or post-meiosis genes, i.e., genes expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids (‘into the meiosis’), and correspondingly an underrepresentation of mitosis genes (expressed in spermatogonia) compared to their progenitors or the genomic background (**Figure 2B-C**). Genes expressed in late spermatogenesis stages may be more frequently exposed to sperm competition than those expressed in spermatogonia, which probably accounts for the fixation of these new genes in the population.

MSCI plays an important role in ‘out of the X’ pattern of new genes

Having discovered an ‘into the meiosis’ pattern for retrotransposed new genes and *de novo* genes, we further examine the parental-new genes pairs that are divided according to their chromosomal distributions. We hypothesize that if MSCI drives an ‘out of the X’ pattern of new genes, we expect to find an enrichment of meiosis genes for the new genes on the autosomes, while an underrepresentation of meiosis genes for their progenitors located on the X chromosome. This is indeed the case (**Figure 3a, Supplementary Table S1**): among 68 pairs of X-linked parental genes that produce an autosome new gene (‘X to A’, 41 pairs by DNA-based duplication, 27 pairs by retroposition), there are 42 autosomal new genes expressed in cells of meiosis or post-meiosis stages, in contrast to only 16 X-linked parental genes that are expressed at the same stages. Compared to the parental genes, the ‘X to A’ new genes are

significantly ($P < 0.05$, Fisher's test) enriched for meiosis and postmeiosis genes, but significantly deficient for mitotic genes. Such a significantly complementary expression pattern between parental and new genes is not found for other interchromosomal parental-new gene pairs between autosomes ('A to A') or from autosomes to X chromosome ('A to X') and provides direct evidence for MSCI in *Drosophila* (**Figure 3a**).

To further delineate the direction of, and measure the extent of germline cell type changes of each new gene compared to its parental gene, we labelled the 4 germline cell categories or their combinations ('mitosis', 'mitosis and meiosis', 'meiosis', 'meiosis and postmeiosis' in **Figure 1b**) as 1 to 4. For example, if a parental gene predominantly expressed in spermatogonial or mitotic cells (cell type 1) produces a new gene predominantly expressed in spermatids or meiotic/postmeiotic cells (cell type 4), such a gene pair is annotated with an 'into the meiosis' change of 3. Any positive values of cell type change for a parental gene expressed in the mitotic cells (cell type 1 or 2) is defined with an 'into the meiosis' change, *vice versa* for the 'into the mitosis' change with negative values, or others with no or minor changes as 'within the meiotic cells' or 'within the mitotic cells'. In general, new genes are always much more likely to show a propensity of 'into the meiosis' change than the opposite direction of 'into the mitosis' change when being compared to their parental genes, except for DNA-based new gene duplications between autosomes. And retrotransposed new genes are more likely to show an 'into the meiosis' change relative to DNA-based new gene duplication of the same interchromosomal gene duplication category. For example, there is about 1.5-fold (59.2% vs. 40.5%) more 'X to A' retrogenes that exhibit a 'into the meiosis' change than the 'X to A' DNA-based new gene duplicates. In fact, 'X to A' retrotransposed new genes exhibit the highest percentage of 'into the meiosis' change among all types of interchromosomal gene duplications (**Figure 3b**). These data together support the notion that MSCI drives the 'out of the X' pattern of new genes in *Drosophila*[7,11].

(a)

(b)

Figure 3. Comparing the testis cell type differences between parental and new genes

(a) Comparing the testis cell composition between the parental and new genes divided by their relative chromosomal positions. We divided the parental-new gene groups into: 'A to A' (an autosomal parental gene produces an autosomal new gene), 'A to X' (an autosomal parental gene produces an X-linked new gene), 'X to A' (an X-linked parental gene produces an autosomal new gene). (b) We further cross-examine the three types of interchromosomal parental-new gene pairs regarding their different origination mechanisms as either DNA-based or RNA-based duplications. The x-axis shows the extent and direction of testis cell type changes between the parental and new genes. We labelled the genes annotated to be predominantly expressed at one or two types of germline cells (Figure 1b) from spermatogonia to

spermatids as 1 to 4. If a parental gene that is expressed mainly in spermatogonia (cell type 1) or both spermatogonia and early spermatocytes (cell type 2) shows a positive value of cell type change for its new gene, it is defined as showing an ‘into the meiosis’ change. Similarly, we can also define cell type changes as ‘within the meiotic cells’ or ‘within the mitotic cells’ if the new and parental genes differ by 1 or less, and as ‘into the mitosis’ if the difference is a negative value and the new gene is predominantly expressed in spermatogonia (cell type 1 or 2). We show the percentage of ‘into the meiosis’ gene pairs in orange, and that of ‘into the mitosis’ in blue for each category.

New gene cases of ‘into the meiosis’

We finally examine the parental-new gene pairs that have been previously studied for their functions, in order to elucidate the evolutionary forces driving their expression divergence across different testis cells (**Supplementary Table S2**). Among the ‘X to A’ parental-new gene pairs that exhibit the ‘into the meiosis’ trend, we find nuclear transport factor 2 (*Ntf-2*) and its retroposed new gene *Ntf-2r* that was identified nearly 20 years ago as one of the first reported retroposed new genes[30]. The coding sequence of *Ntf-2r* has been characterized to have undergone positive selection relative to its parental copy, and its promoter was inferred to drive a late-testis specific expression similar to that of *beta-2 tubulin*. This is indeed the case: while *Ntf-2* concentrates its expression within the spermatogonia, the expression of *Ntf-2r* has the highest level within early spermatocytes and extends further into spermatids (**Figure 4**). A second case is *sex lethal* (*Sxl*) and its duplicated gene copy *CG5213* or *maca*. *Maca* has been recently reported to be critical for the proper splicing of Y-linked genes *kl-2*, *kl-3* and *kl-5* with gigantic introns, and the *maca* mutant exhibits a failure in the sperm individualization process and thus male sterility[31]. This is also consistent with *maca*’s expression concentrated within the early spermatocytes, compared to *Sxl* that is predominantly expressed in spermatogonia. Among the ‘A to A’ genes that exhibit a ‘into the meiosis’ trend, we found two cases of proteasome new genes *Prosalpha4T1* and *Prosalpha3T* that have been proposed to be important for sperm tail elongation. The parental genes of both cases are housekeeping genes that are expressed in most tissues, and in testis, predominantly in spermatogonia; while the two new genes are exclusively expressed during late spermatogenesis, and in few or no other adult tissues. Mutants of *Prosalpha4T1* have been recently shown to cause male sterility[32]. These cases indicate that many new genes have acquired novel functions through evolving divergent cell-level expression from their parental genes in testis.

We do notice that there are also cases of ‘into the meiosis’ among the ‘A to X’ genes (**Figure 3b**), this suggest that the MSCl does not impact all the genes located on the X chromosome, particularly those whose expression is required for the spermatogenesis.

Although underrepresented, there are still many X-linked genes expressed during meiosis (Figure 1b). Among all the identified cases of ‘A to X’ genes with the ‘into the meiosis’ change (Supplementary Fig. S3), we have not found cases whose functions have been studied in detail. However, ‘A to X’ duplicated new genes, or tandem gene duplications on the X chromosomes that show predominant expression within meiotic cells for both parental and new gene copies can provide us some insights. Among these cases, we found the X-linked *xmas-1*, whose parental gene *Mmp2* is located on the autosomes. *Mmp2* is an important developmental gene related to metamorphosis and is biasedly expressed in pupae but has a low expression level in testis[33]. Interestingly it is also required for ovulation and specifically expressed in follicle cells[34,35]. While *xmas-1* encodes a hydrophilic protein required for spermatogenesis and oogenesis and one of its mutant lines shows male sterility[36]. This probably represents another case of gene duplication that evolved to resolve sexual conflict[37], in addition to the recently reported case of *Apollo* and *Artemis* gene duplication pair[38]. While the tandemly duplicated genes of *Sdic* family on the X chromosome are mainly expressed in meiotic cells (Figure 4), and has been suggested to be important for sperm competition by influencing the sperm motility[39]. These cases together suggest that, besides the selection of MSCI against meiotic genes to be located on the X chromosome, sperm competition and sexual conflict may nevertheless fix some X-linked new genes that are expressed during meiosis. More future functional studies into these ‘A to X’ new genes that show meiotic gene expression (Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Fig. S3) can test this hypothesis.

Figure 4. New gene cases of ‘into the meiosis’

We show each pair of parental (left) and new (right) genes next to each other for their color-scaled expression levels and percentage of expressed cells scaled by the bubble size within each type of testis cell. Genes are selected based on their previous functional studies.

Discussion

Here we harness the recently published scRNA-seq data of *D. melanogaster* and address several important questions on the origin and evolution of new genes in testis, in the context of distinct regulatory programs of X chromosome vs. autosomes. In somatic cells of male *Drosophila*, male specific lethal (MSL) protein complex specifically targets the X chromosome and results in a balanced expression level between the single-copied X chromosome vs. the autosomes with two copies[40]. Such a canonical dosage compensation mechanism is absent in the male germline cells, and seems to be replaced by a non-canonical dosage compensation whose mechanism is still unclear, in spermatogonia [41]. Previous transcriptomic and epigenetic studies of enriched spermatogonia cells of *bam* mutant flies[42,43] showed an overall enrichment of chromatin remodelling factors, and a distinct histone modification pattern from mammalian embryonic stem cells on genes related to cell differentiation. These factors may explain genes predominantly expressed in spermatogonia are most abundant among all genes compared to those expressed in other testis cells (**Figure 1**), suggesting a more permissive transcriptional environment in spermatogonia than other testis cells. It may also explain that mitotic genes act as an important source for generating the young genes and contributing to the 'out of the testis' pattern of new gene origination in *Drosophila*. Particularly, mitotic genes are enriched on the *Drosophila* X chromosome, and this has been also reported on the mouse X chromosome[29,44]. The convergent accumulation of male germline mitotic genes on the two independently evolved X chromosomes probably reflects the 'fast-X evolution' effect, that male recessive beneficial alleles are expected to be fixed at a faster rate on the X chromosome than the autosomes[45,46].

On the other hand, the general deficiency of male meiotic genes on the X, and consistently, an excess of X-borne new genes onto the autosomes ('X to A' genes) that are predominantly expressed in the male meiotic cells (**Figure 3**), demonstrate the effect of MSCI in *Drosophila*. Again, a similar underrepresentation of male meiotic genes on the mouse X chromosome has also been reported before[29]. Such similar patterns of the X chromosomes of mouse and *Drosophila* indicate the selection of MSCI against X-linked genes that are specifically expressed during meiosis. This does not preclude some X-linked new genes that evolved novel or necessary functions during meiosis to be fixed in population (e.g., *Sdic* gene

family), driven by either sperm competition or sexual conflict which is expected to be more intense during late spermatogenesis. In mammals, the contrasting forces of ‘fast-X evolution’ and MSCI together resulted in an excess of genes duplicated onto the X chromosome, as well as an excess of genes relocated from the X chromosome (the ‘gene traffic’ pattern)[12]. The development of scRNA-seq data atlas of different organs of both *Drosophila* and mammals[47], as well as future functional studies into the parental-new gene pairs that have been annotated for their divergent expressed testis cell types in this study (**Supplementary Fig. S3**), are to provide more insights into the complex molecular and evolutionary factors acting on the X chromosome, and the evolutionary trajectories of new genes.

Materials and Methods

Datasets of new genes and scRNA-seq

The new gene dataset of *Drosophila* is derived from GenTree[26]. We only used those identified *de novo* genes or new genes with clearly defined parental genes with respective age information. Among these, there are 565 new genes produced by gene duplication (464 from DNA-based duplication and 101 from RNA-based duplication) and 140 *de novo* genes. These new genes are divided into 6 age groups based on their origination time, with the youngest group only restricted to *Drosophila melanogaster* and labeled as branch 6 and so on (**Figure 1a**).

We used previously published scRNA-seq data of adult *D. melanogaster* testis from 2 different strains [48]. Reads were aligned and filtered based on the FlyBase dmel_r6.15 reference genome with Cellranger 4.0.0[49] and count matrices were generated. Potential doublets were detected using DoubletFinder[50] and removed. R package Seurat 4.0.2[51] was used for single-cell downstream analysis. First, genes expressed in at least 3 cells and cells with at least 200 genes expressed were kept. Remaining cells were normalized by SCTransform[52] with default parameters. 2000 variable features were selected in each dataset and 2 dataset were integrated using the canonical correlation analysis (CCA) method implemented in Seurat by default augments. After integration, FindNeighbors and FindClusters were performed with 30 PCs and the resolution was 2. Cells were visualized with Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP). We annotated 7 cell types, including 4 germline cell types and 3 somatic cell types with markers used in [48]. We used *AGO3* and *Aub* as markers for spermatogonia. Clusters with high expression of *CycB* and *fzo* were annotated as early spermatocytes and clusters expressing *twe* but not *fzo* were identified as late spermatocytes. Spermatids were identified by *soti*, *boly* and *p-cup*. Clusters enriched in *tj* and

eya are cyst cells. Hub cells are marked by *Fas3* and *zfh1*. Cells expressing *MtnA* but not *zfh1* are epithelial cells. Average expression levels ($\log_2(\text{CPM} + 1)$) for each cell type were calculated. Since germline somatic cells are of relatively low numbers, we focused our study on germ cells.

Defining the major expressed cell type of *Drosophila* genes

For all the genes annotated in flybase (r6.15), 15189 genes were expressed in germline cells, 30 genes were only detected in somatic cell types, and other 2508 genes were not expressed in testis. We used the 15189 germline expressing genes ($\log_2(\text{CPM} + 1)$) to generate a heatmap with k-means clustering using ComplexHeatmap package in R. Based on the development of the germline cells and clustering patterns, we divided all genes into 5 categories, genes enriched in spermatogonia were defined as mitosis genes, genes enriched in spermatocytes were defined as meiosis genes, genes enriched in spermatids were defined as post-meiosis genes. We further defined those enriched in both spermatogonia and early spermatocytes as mitosis and meiosis genes, and those enriched in spermatocytes and spermatids as meiosis and post-meiosis genes. Since the post-meiosis stage contains very few genes in the clustering result, we combined the meiosis and post-meiosis stage together. To verify our categorization of genes, we not only clustered the expressional level using row-scaled $\log_2(\text{CPM} + 1)$ value of each gene in every cell type, but also used the percentage of expressed cells in each cell type to do k-means clustering. The overall pattern of different types of genes across different chromosomes stayed the same, regardless how we clustered the genes.

Comparing the expressed cell types between the new and parental genes

To measure the stage shift between the new and parental genes, we proposed a calculation method. From spermatogonia to spermatids, corresponding to the numbers 1 to 4, the difference between child value and parent value is the pairs' stage shift condition. The negative number means the stage of child is before the stage of parent, and positive number means the stage of child is after the stage of parent, thus we got a value to measure the stage shift condition. All the significant tests were using Fisher test in R. For duplicated new genes, the tests were checked between parent and child. For *de novo* new genes, the tests were checked between *de novo* new genes and all annotated genes. We used Flybase (<http://flybase.org/>) to manually check individual genes' expression patterns across different tissues or stages, based on the modENCODE transcriptome data[53,54].

Author Contributions

Q.Z. conceived the work, Q.S. and H.H. performed the analyses, Q.Z., Q.S. and H.H. wrote the paper

Funding

Q.Z. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32061130208), the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LD19C190001) and the European Research Council Starting Grant (grant agreement 677696).

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Long, M.; Betrán, E.; Thornton, K.; Wang, W. The origin of new genes: glimpses from the young and old. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **2003**, *4*, 865-875, doi:10.1038/nrg1204.
2. Chen, S.; Krinsky, B.H.; Long, M. New genes as drivers of phenotypic evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2013**, *14*, 645-660, doi:10.1038/nrg3521.
3. Brawand, D.; Soumillon, M.; Necsulea, A.; Julien, P.; Csárdi, G.; Harrigan, P.; Weier, M.; Liechti, A.; Aximu-Petri, A.; Kircher, M.; et al. The evolution of gene expression levels in mammalian organs. *Nature* **2011**, *478*, 343-348, doi:10.1038/nature10532.
4. Vicoso, B.; Charlesworth, B. Evolution on the X chromosome: unusual patterns and processes. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **2006**, *7*, 645-653, doi:10.1038/nrg1914.
5. Kaessmann, H. Origins, evolution, and phenotypic impact of new genes. *Genome Res.* **2010**, *20*, 1313-1326, doi:10.1101/gr.101386.109.
6. Zhang, Y.E.; Vibranovski, M.D.; Krinsky, B.H.; Long, M. Age-dependent chromosomal distribution of male-biased genes in *Drosophila*. *Genome Res.* **2010**, *20*, 1526-1533, doi:10.1101/gr.107334.110.
7. Vibranovski, M.D.; Zhang, Y.; Long, M. General gene movement off the X chromosome in the *Drosophila* genus. *Genome Res.* **2009**, *19*, 897-903, doi:10.1101/gr.088609.108.
8. Zhang, J.-Y.; Zhou, Q. On the Regulatory Evolution of New Genes Throughout Their Life History. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2019**, *36*, 15-27, doi:10.1093/molbev/msy206.
9. Kleene, K.C. A possible meiotic function of the peculiar patterns of gene expression in mammalian spermatogenic cells. *Mech. Dev.* **2001**, *106*, 3-23, doi:10.1016/s0925-4773(01)00413-0.
10. Kleene, K.C. Sexual selection, genetic conflict, selfish genes, and the atypical patterns of gene expression in spermatogenic cells. *Dev. Biol.* **2005**, *277*, 16-26, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.09.031.
11. Betrán, E.; Thornton, K.; Long, M. Retroposed new genes out of the X in *Drosophila*. *Genome Res.* **2002**, *12*, 1854-1859, doi:10.1101/gr.6049.
12. Emerson, J.J. Extensive Gene Traffic on the Mammalian X Chromosome. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 537-540, doi:10.1126/science.1090042.
13. Turner, J.M.A. Meiotic Silencing in Mammals. *Annual Review of Genetics* **2015**, *49*, 395-412, doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-112414-055145.
14. Potrzebowski, L.; Vinckenbosch, N.; Marques, A.C.; Chalmel, F.; Jégou, B.; Kaessmann, H. Chromosomal Gene Movements Reflect the Recent Origin and Biology of Therian Sex Chromosomes. *PLoS Biology* **2008**, *6*, e80, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060080.
15. Kelly, W.G.; Schaner, C.E.; Dernburg, A.F.; Lee, M.-H.; Kim, S.K.; Villeneuve, A.M.; Reinke, V. X-chromosome silencing in the germline of *C. elegans*. *Development* **2002**, *129*, 479-492.
16. Hense, W.; Baines, J.F.; Parsch, J. X chromosome inactivation during *Drosophila* spermatogenesis. *PLoS Biol.* **2007**, *5*, e273, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050273.
17. Wu, C.-I.; Xu, E.Y. Sexual antagonism and X inactivation – the SAXI hypothesis. *Trends in Genetics* **2003**, *19*, 243-247, doi:10.1016/s0168-9525(03)00058-1.
18. Meiklejohn, C.D.; Landeen, E.L.; Cook, J.M.; Kingan, S.B.; Presgraves, D.C. Sex Chromosome-Specific Regulation in the *Drosophila* Male Germline But Little Evidence for Chromosomal Dosage Compensation or Meiotic Inactivation. *PLoS Biology* **2011**, *9*, e1001126, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001126.
19. Sturgill, D.; Zhang, Y.; Parisi, M.; Oliver, B. Demasculinization of X chromosomes in the *Drosophila* genus. *Nature* **2007**, *450*, 238-241, doi:10.1038/nature06330.
20. Assis, R.; Bachtrog, D. Neofunctionalization of young duplicate genes in *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110*, 17409-17414, doi:10.1073/pnas.1313759110.

21. Vibranovski, M.D.; Lopes, H.F.; Karr, T.L.; Long, M. Stage-specific expression profiling of *Drosophila* spermatogenesis suggests that meiotic sex chromosome inactivation drives genomic relocation of testis-expressed genes. *PLoS Genet.* **2009**, *5*, e1000731, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000731.
22. Meiklejohn, C.D.; Presgraves, D.C. Little Evidence for Demasculinization of the *Drosophila* X Chromosome among Genes Expressed in the Male Germline. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **2012**, *4*, 1007-1016, doi:10.1093/gbe/evs077.
23. Vibranovski, M.D.; Zhang, Y.E.; Kemkemer, C.; Lopes, H.F.; Karr, T.L.; Long, M. Re-analysis of the larval testis data on meiotic sex chromosome inactivation revealed evidence for tissue-specific gene expression related to the *drosophila* X chromosome. *BMC Biol.* **2012**, *10*, 49; author reply 50, doi:10.1186/1741-7007-10-49.
24. Mahadevaraju, S.; Fear, J.M.; Akeju, M.; Galletta, B.J.; Pinheiro, M.M.L.S.; Avelino, C.C.; Cabral-de-Mello, D.C.; Conlon, K.; Dell'Orso, S.; Demere, Z.; et al. Dynamic sex chromosome expression in *Drosophila* male germ cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2021**, *12*, 892, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-20897-y.
25. Vicoso, B.; Bachtrog, D. Reversal of an ancient sex chromosome to an autosome in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **2013**, *499*, 332-335, doi:10.1038/nature12235.
26. Shao, Y.; Chen, C.; Shen, H.; He, B.Z.; Yu, D.; Jiang, S.; Zhao, S.; Gao, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Chen, X.; et al. GenTree, an integrated resource for analyzing the evolution and function of primate-specific coding genes. *Genome Res.* **2019**, *29*, 682-696, doi:10.1101/gr.238733.118.
27. Zhou, Q.; Wang, W. On the origin and evolution of new genes--a genomic and experimental perspective. *J. Genet. Genomics* **2008**, *35*, 639-648, doi:10.1016/S1673-8527(08)60085-5.
28. Witt, E.; Benjamin, S.; Svetec, N.; Zhao, L. Testis single-cell RNA-seq reveals the dynamics of de novo gene transcription and germline mutational bias in *Drosophila*. doi:10.1101/689687.
29. Khil, P.P.; Smirnova, N.A.; Romanienko, P.J.; Daniel Camerini-Otero, R. The mouse X chromosome is enriched for sex-biased genes not subject to selection by meiotic sex chromosome inactivation. *Nature Genetics* **2004**, *36*, 642-646, doi:10.1038/ng1368.
30. Betrán, E.; Long, M. Dntf-2r, a young *Drosophila* retroposed gene with specific male expression under positive Darwinian selection. *Genetics* **2003**, *164*, 977-988.
31. Zhu, L.; Fukunaga, R. RNA-binding protein Maca is crucial for gigantic male fertility factor gene expression, spermatogenesis, and male fertility, in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet.* **2021**, *17*, e1009655, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009655.
32. Kondo, S.; Vedanayagam, J.; Mohammed, J.; Eizadshenass, S.; Kan, L.; Pang, N.; Aradhya, R.; Siepel, A.; Steinhauer, J.; Lai, E.C. New genes often acquire male-specific functions but rarely become essential in. *Genes Dev.* **2017**, *31*, 1841-1846, doi:10.1101/gad.303131.117.
33. Page-McCaw, A.; Serano, J.; Santé, J.M.; Rubin, G.M. *Drosophila* Matrix Metalloproteinases Are Required for Tissue Remodeling, but Not Embryonic Development. *Developmental Cell* **2003**, *4*, 95-106, doi:10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00400-8.
34. Deady, L.D.; Shen, W.; Mosure, S.A.; Spradling, A.C.; Sun, J. Matrix metalloproteinase 2 is required for ovulation and corpus luteum formation in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet.* **2015**, *11*, e1004989, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004989.
35. Jevitt, A.; Chatterjee, D.; Xie, G.; Wang, X.-F.; Otwell, T.; Huang, Y.-C.; Deng, W.-M. A single-cell atlas of adult *Drosophila* ovary identifies transcriptional programs and somatic cell lineage regulating oogenesis. *PLoS Biol.* **2020**, *18*, e3000538, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000538.

36. McKim, K.S.; Dahmus, J.B.; Hawley, R.S. Cloning of the *Drosophila melanogaster* meiotic recombination gene *mei-218*: a genetic and molecular analysis of interval 15E. *Genetics* **1996**, *144*, 215-228.
37. Gallach, M.; Betrán, E. Intralocus sexual conflict resolved through gene duplication. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2011**, *26*, 222-228, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.02.004.
38. VanKuren, N.W.; Long, M. Gene duplicates resolving sexual conflict rapidly evolved essential gametogenesis functions. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2018**, *2*, 705-712, doi:10.1038/s41559-018-0471-0.
39. Yeh, S.-D.; Do, T.; Abbassi, M.; Ranz, J.M. Functional relevance of the newly evolved sperm dynein intermediate chain multigene family in *Drosophila melanogaster* males. *Commun. Integr. Biol.* **2012**, *5*, 462-465, doi:10.4161/cib.21136.
40. Conrad, T.; Akhtar, A. Dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*: epigenetic fine-tuning of chromosome-wide transcription. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2012**, *13*, 123-134, doi:10.1038/nrg3124.
41. Witt, E.; Shao, Z.; Hu, C.; Krause, H.M.; Zhao, L. Single-cell RNA-sequencing reveals pre-meiotic X-chromosome dosage compensation in *Drosophila* testis. *PLoS Genet.* **2021**, *17*, e1009728, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009728.
42. Gan, Q.; Schones, D.E.; Ho Eun, S.; Wei, G.; Cui, K.; Zhao, K.; Chen, X. Monovalent and unpoised status of most genes in undifferentiated cell-enriched *Drosophila* testis. *Genome Biol.* **2010**, *11*, R42, doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-4-r42.
43. Gan, Q.; Chepelev, I.; Wei, G.; Tarayrah, L.; Cui, K.; Zhao, K.; Chen, X. Dynamic regulation of alternative splicing and chromatin structure in *Drosophila* gonads revealed by RNA-seq. *Cell Res.* **2010**, *20*, 763-783, doi:10.1038/cr.2010.64.
44. Wang, P.J.; McCarrey, J.R.; Yang, F.; Page, D.C. An abundance of X-linked genes expressed in spermatogonia. *Nat. Genet.* **2001**, *27*, 422-426, doi:10.1038/86927.
45. Rice, W.R. Sex Chromosomes and the Evolution of Sexual Dimorphism. *Evolution* **1984**, *38*, 735, doi:10.2307/2408385.
46. Charlesworth, B.; Coyne, J.A.; Barton, N.H. The Relative Rates of Evolution of Sex Chromosomes and Autosomes. *The American Naturalist* **1987**, *130*, 113-146, doi:10.1086/284701.
47. Haniffa, M.; Taylor, D.; Linnarsson, S.; Aronow, B.J.; Bader, G.D.; Barker, R.A.; Camara, P.G.; Camp, J.G.; Chédotal, A.; Copp, A.; et al. A roadmap for the Human Developmental Cell Atlas. *Nature* **2021**, *597*, 196-205, doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03620-1.
48. Witt, E.; Benjamin, S.; Svetec, N.; Zhao, L. Testis single-cell RNA-seq reveals the dynamics of de novo gene transcription and germline mutational bias in. *Elife* **2019**, *8*, doi:10.7554/eLife.47138.
49. Zheng, G.X.Y.; Terry, J.M.; Belgrader, P.; Ryvkin, P.; Bent, Z.W.; Wilson, R.; Ziraldo, S.B.; Wheeler, T.D.; McDermott, G.P.; Zhu, J.; et al. Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14049, doi:10.1038/ncomms14049.
50. McGinnis, C.S.; Murrow, L.M.; Gartner, Z.J. DoubletFinder: Doublet Detection in Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Data Using Artificial Nearest Neighbors. *Cell Syst* **2019**, *8*, 329-337.e324, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2019.03.003.
51. Hao, Y.; Hao, S.; Andersen-Nissen, E.; Mauck, W.M., 3rd; Zheng, S.; Butler, A.; Lee, M.J.; Wilk, A.J.; Darby, C.; Zager, M.; et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **2021**, *184*, 3573-3587.e3529, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048.
52. Hafemeister, C.; Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 296, doi:10.1186/s13059-019-1874-1.

- 594 53. Graveley, B. The developmental transcriptome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genome*
595 *Biology* **2010**, *11*, doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-s1-i11.
- 596 54. Daines, B.; Wang, H.; Wang, L.; Li, Y.; Han, Y.; Emmert, D.; Gelbart, W.; Wang, X.; Li,
597 W.; Gibbs, R.; et al. The *Drosophila melanogaster* transcriptome by paired-end RNA
598 sequencing. *Genome Res.* **2011**, *21*, 315-324, doi:10.1101/gr.107854.110.
599