Forkhead transcription factor FKH-8 is a master regulator of primary cilia in *C. elegans*

Rebeca Brocal-Ruiz¹, Ainara Esteve-Serrano¹, Carlos Mora-Martinez¹, Peter Swoboda², Juan Tena³ and Nuria Flames^{1,*}

1: Developmental Neurobiology Unit, Instituto de Biomedicina de Valencia IBV-CSIC, Valencia, 46010, Spain

2: Department of Biosciences and Nutrition. Karolinska Institute. Campus Flemingsberg - NEO Building Hälsovägen 9, SE-141 83 Huddinge, Sweden

3: Centro Andaluz de Biología del Desarrollo (CABD), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas/Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Seville, 41013, Spain.

* Correspondence: nflames@ibv.csic.es

SUMMARY

Cilia, either motile or non-motile (a.k.a primary or sensory), are complex evolutionary conserved eukaryotic structures composed of hundreds of proteins required for their assembly, structure and function that are collectively known as the ciliome. Ciliome mutations underlie a group of pleiotropic genetic diseases known as ciliopathies. Proper cilium function requires the tight coregulation of ciliome gene transcription, which is only fragmentarily understood. RFX transcription factors (TF) have an evolutionarily conserved role in the direct activation of ciliome genes both in motile and non-motile cilia cell types. In vertebrates, FoxJ1 and FoxN4 Forkhead (FKH) TFs work with RFX in the direct activation of ciliome genes, exclusively in motile cilia cell-types. No additional TFs have been described to act together with RFX in primary cilia cell-types in any organism. Here we describe FKH-8, a FKH TF, as master regulator of the primary ciliome in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *fkh-8* is expressed in all ciliated neurons in *C. elegans*, binds the regulatory regions of ciliome genes, regulates ciliome gene expression, cilium morphology and a wide range of behaviours mediated by sensory cilia. Importantly, we find FKH-8 function can be replaced by mouse FOXJ1 and FOXN4 but not by members of other mouse FKH subfamilies. In conclusion, our results show that RFX and FKH TF families act as master regulators of ciliogenesis also in sensory ciliated cell types and suggest that this regulatory logic could be an ancient trait predating functional cilia sub-specialization.

Keywords

cilium, transcriptional regulation, FKH, RFX, terminal differentiation, *C. elegans*

INTRODUCTION

Eukaryotic cilia are complex and highly organized organelles defined as specialized membrane protrusions formed from a stereotyped assembly of microtubules. Cilia are composed of hundreds of proteins, required for their assembly, structure and function, which are collectively known as the ciliome (**Figure 1A**). Cilia can be classified into motile or non-motile based on their function and structure: motile cilia are responsible for propelling cells or generating fluid flow while non-motile (a.k.a primary or sensory) cilia function as cellular antennae to sense extracellular stimuli (Choksi et al., 2014). Cilia appeared early in eukaryotic evolution and it is thought that ancient cilia displayed mixed motile and sensory functions (Mitchell, 2017). In multicellular invertebrates, primary and motor cilia are restricted to specific cell types. In contrast, in vertebrates, primary cilia are present almost in every cell, including neurons, while motile cilia are present only in specialized cell types.

Most ciliome components are shared between motile and primary cilia and are referred as "core" ciliome (**Figure 1A**). In addition, motile cilia usually contain specialised axonemal dyneins and other motile-specific components while membrane of sensory cilia is decorated with receptors that trigger downstream signalling cascades when they are activated by small molecules, mechanical perturbations, or radiation.

The importance and wide range of cilia functions are underscored by the large number of congenital disorders caused by mutations in genes coding for ciliome components, which are collectively called ciliopathies (Andreu-Cervera et al., 2021; Horani and Ferkol, 2021; Lucas et al., 2020; Tobin and Beales, 2009). These disorders cause a broad spectrum of symptoms including retinal

degeneration, polycystic kidney, deafness, polydactyly, brain and skeletal malformations, infertility, morbid obesity and mental retardation. Importantly, there are still many "orphan ciliopathies", which correspond to congenital disorders classified as ciliopathies by phenotype but with yet unidentified causal mutations. Genetic variants lying in coding genes (including mutations in the ciliome) are easier to identify as causal mutations, however, most variants associated to human diseases lie in the non-coding genome. It is currently thought that some of these non-coding variants act as regulatory mutations affecting gene expression. Thus, regulatory mutations affecting ciliome gene expression might underlie many orphan ciliopathies, understanding the molecular mechanisms that ensure correct co-regulation of ciliome genes is then of utmost importance.

Little is known about the direct transcriptional co-regulation of ciliome gene expression (Choksi et al., 2014; Lewis and Stracker, 2020; Thomas et al., 2010). In 2000, pioneer work in *Caenorhabditis elegans* identified DAF-19, an RFX family transcription factor (TF), as a direct regulator of ciliome gene expression in the ciliated sensory neurons (Swoboda et al., 2000). This work was followed by numerous reports on the role of different members of the RFX TF family as direct ciliome regulators both in primary and motile cilia cell types in several animal models including *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Danio rerio*, *Xenopus laevis* and *Mus musculus* (Ashique et al., 2009; Bonnafe et al., 2004; Chung et al., 2012; Dubruille et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2007). FOXJ1, an ancient member of the Forkhead family, also acts as a direct activator of ciliome transcription in several vertebrates, but its role is limited to cell types containing motile cilia (Brody et al., 2000; Chen et al., 1998; Stubbs et al., 2008; Yu et al.,

2008). Thus, currently additional TFs acting together with RFX TFs in the direct regulation of the ciliome in sensory cilia cell types are completely unknown in any organism.

Here, we take advantage of the amenability of *C. elegans* for genetic studies to understand the transcriptional regulatory logic of the non-motile primary ciliome. *C. elegans* contains sensory but not motile cilia. In hermaphrodites, sensory cilia are found in 25 out of the 118 neuronal types known as the ciliated sensory system (Scholey, 2007) (**Figure 1B**). We find that FKH-8, a FKH TF, is expressed in all ciliated sensory neurons in *C. elegans*, with an onset of expression concomitant to the start of ciliome gene expression. Chromatin immuno-precipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq) data analysis shows that FKH-8 binds to a broad range of ciliome genes, at locations often near X-box sites, which are recognized by DAF-19/RFX. *fkh-8* mutants show decreased ciliome reporter gene expression, cilia morphology abnormalities and deficits in a wide range of behaviours mediated by sensory cilia. In addition, we find *fkh-8*/FKH and *daf-19*/RFX act synergistically in the regulation of ciliome genes. Finally, we show that mouse FoxJ1 and FoxN4, two ancient FKH TFs known to directly regulate ciliome expression in vertebrate motile-cilia cell types, rescue *fkh-8* mutant expression defects. This functional conservation is not observed with members of other FKH sub-families. Our results identify FKH-8 as the first TF acting together with RFX TFs in the direct regulation of the ciliome in sensory-ciliated cells and suggest that this function could be evolutionary conserved in vertebrates. Taken together, a global ciliome regulatory logic starts to emerge in which RFX and FKH TFs could act together in the direct regulation of ciliome gene expression both in cell types containing motile or

122 primary cilia. Considering that ancestral eukaryotic cilium is proposed to
123 combine motile and sensory functions, we speculate that RFX / FKH regulatory
124 module might represent the ancestral state of eukaryotic ciliome gene
125 regulation.

126

RESULTS

Persistent enhancer activity of ciliome genes in *daf-19*/RFX mutants

The activity of enhancers for ciliome genes bearing X-boxes is dramatically reduced in *daf-19(m86)* null mutants. However, for several ciliome fluorescent reporters, some residual activity has been anecdotally reported (Burghoorn et al., 2012; Chu et al., 2012; Efimenko et al., 2005; Haycraft et al., 2001; Stasio et al., 2018; Swoboda et al., 2000). As *daf-19* is the only RFX TF coded in the *C. elegans* genome, we reasoned that persistent ciliome enhancer activity in *daf-19(m86)* null mutants would underscore the presence of additional TF families working in concert with DAF-19. Based on previous data, we selected enhancers and built fluorescent reporters for ten phylogenetically conserved and broadly expressed core cilia components: five intraflagellar transport (IFT) genes (*che-11*, *osm-1*, *ift-20*, *che-13*, *osm-5*); the transition zone transmembrane genes *tmem-107* and *mks-1*; a Tub gene involved in receptor trafficking (*tub-1*); the dynein-component *xbx-1* and the ubiquitin protein ligase *peli-1* (**Figure 1A**). Human orthologs for several of these genes are linked to ciliopathies (Horani and Ferkol, 2021; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2005; Thevenon et al., 2016). All fluorescent reporters contain at least one experimentally validated X-box (**Figure S1**). In *wild type* worms, all these reporters show broad activity in the ciliated system, with mean reporter expression in at least 30 ciliated neurons, except for *mks-1* and *osm-5* reporters that showed expression in less than 20 cells, suggesting other enhancers outside the analysed sequences might drive expression in additional ciliated neurons (**Figure 1C** and **Figure S2**). To avoid dauer entry of *daf-19(m86)* null animals, we analysed reporter expression in *daf-19(m86); daf-12(sa204)* double mutants and added *daf-12*

(*sa204*) single mutant analysis as additional control (**Supplementary File 1**). As expected, *daf-19(m86); daf-12 (sa204)* double mutants show a dramatic decrease in the number of neurons positive for each reporter (**Figure 1C** and **Figure S2**). Importantly, all reporters except *tmem-107*, *mks-1* and *osm-5*, which correspond to the shortest constructs, show persistent expression in some neurons (**Figure 1C**, **Figure S2** and **Supplementary File 1**). We hypothesised that these short constructs might lack binding sites for additional TFs working with DAF-19. Indeed, we find that shorter versions of *xbx-1* and *peh-1* reporter constructs are more affected by *daf-19* mutation than corresponding longer constructs, consistent with shorter sequences lacking additional regulatory information (**Figure 1C**).

Unexpectedly, we find that *daf-12* itself has a small but significant effect on the expression of several reporters (namely, *che-13*, *ift-20*, *osm-1*, *mks-1* and *tmem-107*) (**Supplementary File 1**), suggesting a possible role for this nuclear hormone receptor (NHR) TF in ciliome expression. Altogether our data strongly suggests that additional TF or TFs act together with DAF-19 to directly activate core ciliome gene expression.

Figure 1

Figure 1. FKH-8 is a candidate regulator of ciliome gene expression in *C. elegans*.

A) Schema for a sensory cilium. Cilia components (ciliome) can be divided into core and subtype specific categories. Genes whose reporters are analysed in panel C are indicated by their function.

B) Left lateral view of *C. elegans* hermaphrodite ciliated system. Sixty ciliated neurons from 25 different classes are distributed in 5 distinct anatomical regions.

C) Ciliome core components show persistent expression in double *daf-12(sa204)*, *daf-19(m86)* null mutants. Each dot represents the total number of reporter-positive neurons in a single animal. Mean and standard deviation are represented. The mean number of remaining reporter-positive neurons in double *daf-12*, *daf-19* null mutants is indicated. See **Supplementary File 1** for raw data, *daf-12(sa204)* single mutant scorings and sample sizes and **Supplementary figure 2** for additional reporter scorings.

D) DAF-19/RFX motifs (X-box) are enriched in regulatory sequences of core and broadly expressed ciliome genes. See **Supplementary figure 3** for additional enriched motifs.

E) Motifs enriched in regulatory sequences of ciliome genes containing X-box sites, potential TF binding to these motifs is unknown.

F) sc-RNA-seq data analysis (Cao et al., 2017) identifies 10 TFs specifically enriched in ciliated sensory neurons. These TFs belong to FKH, ZF, NHR and HD families. See **Supplementary figure 3** for detailed description of TF expression in each ciliated neuron type.

G) ChIP-seq data analysis of 259 available TFs shows that FKH-8 ranks first in direct binding to genes of the ciliome list. See **Supplementary figure 3** for core ciliome or subtype specific binding.

H) Correlation of total number of peaks and ciliome-list genes bound by TFs shows FKH-8 behaves as an outlier, demonstrating high binding to ciliome genes is not merely due to the high number of FKH-8 binding-events.

170

171 **Identification of FKH-8 as candidate regulator of ciliome gene expression**

172 We reasoned that similar to *daf-19*, additional regulators of cilia gene
173 expression could act broadly on many genes coding for ciliome components
174 and in many different ciliated neuron types. Thus, to identify these putative
175 candidates, we combined three strategies: *cis*-regulatory analysis of the ciliome
176 genes, TF expression enrichment in the sensory ciliated system and TF binding
177 to putative regulatory regions of the ciliome genes.

178 We built a manually curated list of 163 cilium effector genes (See materials and
179 methods and **Supplementary File 2**). This list can be divided in four categories:

180 1) 73 "*core components*" present in all types of cilia and thus expressed by all
181 ciliated neurons in *C. elegans*. Core components include IFT particles, kinesins,
182 dyneins, BBSome complex, etc; 2) 68 "*Subtype specific*" genes, that code for
183 channels or receptors located in cilia that are expressed in a neuron type
184 specific manner, providing neuron type specific functions; 3) 13 "*Broad*
185 *expression*" genes, specifically expressed within the ciliated system but not

associated with a well-defined core cilia functions and 4) 9 "*Male*" genes that code for genes with male-specific cilia functions (**Supplementary File 2**).

De novo motif enrichment analysis using the promoters of these ciliome genes identified previously known RFX consensus binding sites (X-box motif). In agreement with published results, X-box motifs are preferentially associated to "*Core*" and "*Broadly expressed*" ciliome genes (**Figure 1D**) (Burghoorn et al., 2012; Efimenko et al., 2005; Swoboda et al., 2000). An additional motif matching the pro-neural bHLH TF *lin-32/Atoh1* is present in 28% of the genes, with no particular bias to any ciliome category (**Figure S3**). The pro-neural binding site might reflect the neuronal nature of this gene set, as in *C. elegans* cilia are only present in neurons. Motif enrichment analysis limited only to the 102 genes containing predicted X-box sites identified two additional motifs (**Figure 1E**). Neither of both motifs significantly match known Position Weight Matrices for TFs. In contrast to the X-box, which is highly specific, TF binding motifs (TFBM) for many TF families are often short and degenerate, thus they appear widely in the genome and provide low information content. This feature might explain the failure to find enriched motifs for additional TFs in ciliome gene regulatory regions.

As an alternative to motif enrichment analysis, we turned to TF expression enrichment. We hypothesized that TFs acting broadly on sensory cilia gene expression could show enriched expression in the sensory ciliated neurons. Using available single cell RNA expression data (sc-RNAseq) from the second larval stage (Cao et al., 2017), we retrieved the expression pattern of 861 *C. elegans* transcription factors (Narasimhan et al., 2015). Ten transcription factors are specifically enriched within the ciliated sensory neurons compared to other

neuron types or non-neuronal tissues (**Figure 1F**). Using an independent set of sc-RNAseq data from young adult (Taylor et al., 2021), only FKH-8 expression is detected in all 25 different types of sensory ciliated neurons (**Figure S3**), suggesting it could be a good candidate to work together with DAF-19. Finally, we interrogated 446 published ChIP-seq datasets, corresponding to 259 different TFs (including FKH-8 but not DAF-19), for nearby binding to the ciliome gene list (**Supplementary File 2**). We find FKH-8 behaves very differently from the rest of TFs with at least one FKH-8 binding peak associated to 49% of the genes on the ciliome gene list (**Figure 1G-H**). FKH-8 binds both core components and subtype specific ciliome genes (**Figure S3**), although, similar to X-box motifs, FKH-8 binding is significantly more prevalent for core ciliome genes (75% compared to 22% binding to subtype genes). Thus, both sc-RNAseq and ChIPseq data analysis pinpoint FKH-8 as a good candidate TF to directly control ciliome gene expression.

FKH-8 is expressed in all ciliated sensory neurons

FKH-8::GFP fosmid expression at young adult stage is detected in all ciliated sensory neurons, as assessed by co-localization with the *ift-20* core ciliome reporter (**Figure 2A, B and Supplementary File 1**). Only three non-ciliated neurons, PVD, VC4 and VC5 show FKH-8 expression, while no expression is detected in non-neuronal tissues. *C. elegans* male nervous system contains additional ciliated sensory neurons, mostly in the tail, which also express FKH-8 (**Figure 2B**). During embryonic development, there is a similar overlap between FKH-8 and *ift-20* reporters (**Figure 2C and S4**). Correlation between *fkh-8*, *ift-20* and *daf-19* expression during development is also observed using Uniform

Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) representation of embryonic sc-RNA-seq data (Packer et al., 2019) (**Figure 2D and S4**). In addition, there is a high gene expression correlation for the 73 core ciliome genes and *daf-19* or *fkh-8* expression but not with other TFs (**Figure S4**). Thus, our analysis shows that FKH-8 is expressed almost exclusively in the whole ciliated sensory system and its developmental expression correlates with core ciliome gene expression.

FKH-8 genomic binding is enriched in ciliome genes

Next, we extended FKH-8 ChIPseq data analysis to the whole genome. FKH-8 binds a total of 5035 genomic regions assigned to 3,987 genes. Most peaks are associated to promoter regions (58,65%). Gene ontology analysis of FKH-8 bound genes shows enrichment for cilia functions or dauer regulation (which is also dependent on cilia integrity) (**Figure 2E**).

DNA consensus sequences bound by FKH-8 have not been experimentally determined. FKH TF family binds the canonical consensus RYMAAYA (Pierrou et al., 1994) and an alternative motif, termed FKH-like (FHL), characterized by a GACGC core sequence (Nakagawa et al., 2013). *De novo* motif enrichment analysis of FKH-8 ChIP-seq peaks does not show any match for FKH canonical binding site but identifies a motif that highly resembles the FHL motif (**Figure 2F**). This motif, present in 27% of the peaks, is enriched at central positions suggesting it could act as FKH-8 primary binding motif (**Figure 2F**).

We noticed that eight out of the twelve functional X-boxes present in the core ciliome reporters analysed in Figure 1C overlap with FKH-8 ChIP-seq peaks

261 **(Figure S1)**. Thus, we next looked for DAF-19 binding motif enrichment in FKH-8
 262 bound regions. 21% of FKH-8 peaks contain at least one match for the DAF-19
 263 position weight matrix **(Figure 2G)**. Importantly, predicted X-boxes are
 264 preferentially found also at central locations, suggesting they could be in close
 265 proximity to FKH-8 bound sites **(Figure 2G)**. DAF-19 binding sites are less
 266 significantly or not significantly enriched in ChIP-seq datasets for other FKH TFs
 267 **(Figure S4)**, suggesting specific co-binding of DAF-19 and FKH-8.

Figure 2. FKH-8 expression correlates with ciliated neuron differentiation.

A) *fkh-8* locus (top) and fosmid based *fkh-8* reporter (bottom). Grey boxes represent exons and orange boxes correspond to exons coding for the FKH DNA binding domain. Putative *daf-19*/RFX binding sites are depicted with a blue bar. Red lines indicate extension for the corresponding deletion alleles.

B) Dorso-ventral views of young adult animals expressing both the fosmid-based FKH-8::GFP reporter (in green) and an integrated reporter for the panciliary marker *ift-20* (in red). A: anterior, P: posterior, R: right, L: left. Scale bar = 10 μ m.

C) Embryonic developmental expression of FKH-8::GFP matches in time and space panciliary reporter *ift-20::gfp* expression. Scale bar = 10 μ m. See **Supplementary figure 4** for embryonic co-localization between FKH-8::GFP and *ift-20::tagrfp* reporter.

D) Embryonic sc-RNA-data (Packer et al., 2019) from *C. elegans* ciliated neurons and their progenitor cells. Pseudo-time (left panel) shows the maturation trajectory of ciliated neurons that coincides with increasing *fkh-8* (centre) and *ift-20* (right) expression. See **Supplementary figure 4** for *daf-19* expression analysis and quantification of gene expression correlation.

E) Genes bound by FKH-8 enrich Gene Ontology terms related to cilia regulated processes and/or functions. Data correspond to adjusted p-value.

F) *De novo* motif analysis of FKH-8 ChIP-seq data identifies a motif present in 27% of the peaks, enriched at central peak positions, that matches an FHL-like motif.

G) DAF-19/RFX binding motifs (PWM M1534_1.02) are present in 21% of the FKH-8 bound regions and are enriched at central positions. See **Supplementary figure 4** for similar analysis on additional FKH ChIP-seq data sets.

fkh-8 mutants show defects in ciliome reporter gene expression

The only available *fkh-8* mutation, *tm292*, is a deletion downstream the FKH DNA binding domain, suggesting it could act as a hypomorphic allele (**Figure 2A**). Thus, we built *fkh-8(vlc43)*, a null deletion allele that removes the whole *fkh-8* locus (**Figure 2A**). We selected eight reporters for six genes that code for core cilia components and that overlap with FKH-8 ChIP-seq peaks (**Figure S1**) and analysed their expression both in *fkh-8(tm292)* and *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutants.

Both *fkh-8* mutant alleles show significant expression defects in all reporters except for *tub-1/Tub* and the long *peli-1/Peli1,2,3* reporter. Phenotypes are often more penetrant in *fkh-8(vlc43)* null allele than in the *fkh-8(tm292)* supporting the hypomorphic nature of *tm292* allele (**Figure 3A and Supplementary File 1**). The expression of each reporter is affected in specific subpopulations of ciliated neurons with some residual reporter expression found in all cases (**Figure 3A**). Lack of fluorescence reporter expression in *fkh-8* mutants reflects enhancer activity defects and not the absence of the ciliated neurons *per se*, as in *fkh-8* mutants *tub-1/Tub* and the long *peli-1/Peli1,2,3*

reporters are expressed in 53 and 46 ciliated neurons respectively
(**Supplementary File 1**), similar to *wild type* expression levels.

fkh-8(vlc43) animals show missing *ift-20* expression in ten neurons including the four pairs of dopaminergic ciliated mechanosensory neurons (CEPV, CEPD, ADE and PDE). Expression in *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals of *fkh-8* cDNA under the control of a *dat-1* dopaminergic specific promoter, which is unaffected in *fkh-8* mutants, is able to rescue *ift-20* reporter expression, consistent with a cell autonomously role of *fkh-8* in the regulation of ciliome gene expression (**Supplementary File 1**, see also **Figure 7**).

Next, we complemented the TF mutant analysis with *cis*-regulatory mutant analysis. We focused on *ift-20* and short *xbx-1* reporters which both overlap with FKH-8 Chip-seq peaks (**Figure S5**). Three independent transgenic lines with point mutations for FKH binding sites show broad expression defects both for *ift-20* and *xbx-1* reporters (**Figure 3B and Supplementary File 1**). *cis*-mutation expression defects are stronger than the ones observed for *fkh-8* mutant alleles suggesting either other FKH factors can compensate the lack of *fkh-8* or that *cis*-mutations could affect the binding of other TFs in addition to FKH-8.

In summary, our *cis* regulatory and *fkh-8* mutant analyses unravel a cell autonomous role for FKH-8 in the regulation of ciliome gene expression.

Figure 3

Figure 3. FKH-8 TF and FKH-binding sites are required for correct core ciliome gene reporter expression.

A) Dorso-ventral images from young adult heads expressing different core ciliome gene reporters in *wild type* and *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutant animals. Significant expression defects in 5 distinct anatomical regions for both *tm292* and *vlc43* alleles are summarized in the right panel. *Wild type* reporter expression "++" indicates more than 50% of ciliated neurons in the region express the reporter, whereas "+" indicates expression in less than 50% of the neurons. n.e: not expressed. Statistically significant expression defects appear in red. Expression defect below 50% of *wild type* values are indicated as "+/-" whereas losses higher than 50% are depicted as "-". Enhanced phenotype in *vlc43* mutants compared to *tm292* is marked with an asterisk. A: anterior, P: posterior, R: right, L: left. Scale bar = 25 μ m. See **Supplementary File 1** for raw scoring data.

B) *Cis*-regulatory mutation of putative FKH sites greatly reduces ciliome gene reporter expression. Representative dorso-ventral images from young adult heads expressing *wild type* or FKH-site-mutated reporters for core ciliome genes *xbx-1* and *ift-20*. Expression defects are summarized on the right as in A). A: anterior, P: posterior, R: right, L: left. Scale bar = 25 μ m. See **Supplementary Figure 5** and **Supplementary File 2** for *cis*-mutation details.

FKH-8 is required for correct cilia morphology

Mutations in several ciliome core component, including *osm-5* and *xbx-1*, whose reporters are affected in *fkh-8* mutants, show cilium morphology defects (Blacque et al., 2004; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2007; Perkins et al., 1986; Starich et al., 1995). One of the most commonly used methods to assess gross cilium integrity is lipophilic dye staining (like DiD), which in *wild type* animals labels a subpopulation of amphid and phasmid neurons (Starich et al., 1995). Unexpectedly, *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals show similar DiD staining than *wild type* (Supplementary File 1).

Next, we directly analysed cilium morphology labelling specific subpopulations of ciliated neurons (Figure 4). Cilium length in CEP and AWB neurons is significantly reduced in *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutants compared to controls, while ADF neuron cilium length is significantly increased (Figure 4). In addition, *fkh-8* mutants display arborization defects in AWA cilia, while AWC cilia showed no major defects (Figure 4).

Thus, FKH-8 is necessary to regulate correct cilium length and morphology in diverse types of ciliated neurons.

Figure 4

Figure 4. *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutants display morphological defects in cilia.

Integrated reporters unaffected in *fkh-8* mutant are used to label the cilia of several distinct subpopulations of ciliated neurons. CEP: *otIs259(dat-1::gfp)*; ADF: *zdlIs13(tph-1::gfp)*; AWB: *kyls104(str-1::gfp)*; AWA: *pkIs583(gpa-6::gfp)*; AWC: *kyls140(str-2::gfp)*. Panels show representative images from 3 animals in *wild type* and *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutant backgrounds. Cilium length of CEP and AWB neurons is significantly increased in the absence of FKH-8 whereas ADF cilia length is increased. Depth of AWA cilium arborization is significantly reduced in *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutants. No major defects are observed in AWC cilia when comparing both genetic backgrounds. Each dot in the graphs represents measures for a single cilium. Mean and standard deviation are represented.

331

332

333

***fkh-8* mutants display defects in a wide range of cilia mediated behaviours**

In *C. elegans* cilia are necessary to mediate sensory functions (Bargmann, 1993); thus, we interrogated *fkh-8* mutants with a battery of sensory paradigms. *fkh-8* mutants respond similarly to *wild type* animals to body gentle touch stimuli, which are mediated by not ciliated neurons (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981) (**Figure S6**), discarding general response or locomotory defects in *fkh-8* mutants. Response to posterior harsh touch, which is redundantly mediated by ciliated PDE and non-ciliated PVD neurons (Li et al., 2011) is also unaffected in *fkh-8(tm292)* and *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals, suggesting FKH-8 is not required to mediate this mechanosensory behaviour (**Figure S6**).

We tested two additional mechanosensory behaviours mediated only by ciliated sensory neurons: basal slowing response, mediated by dopaminergic ciliated neurons (Sawin et al., 2000) and nose touch, mediated by ASH, FLP and OLQ ciliated neurons (Kaplan and Horvitz, 1993). No defects on basal slowing response are found in *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutants, while both *fkh-8* alleles are defective for nose touch responses (**Figure 5A, B**). *vlc43* null allele shows stronger defects than *tm292* allele, supporting the hypomorphic nature of *tm292* allele (**Figure 5A**).

fkh-8(vlc43) animals are slightly but significantly dauer constitutive at 27°C compared to N2 controls (**Figure 5C**), indicating defects in preventing dauer entry, which is mediated by ADF, ASI and ASG ciliated neurons (Bargmann and Horvitz, 1991). Moreover, exposure to pheromones induces dauer entry in *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals less efficiently than in *wild type* animals [6 fold induction in *wild type* versus 3 fold induction in *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals] (**Figure 5C**), suggesting

FKH-8 is also required for correct dauer entry, which is mediated by ASJ ciliated neuron (Bargmann and Horvitz, 1991).

fkh-8(vlc43) null mutants, but not *tm292* allele, show significant odor avoidance defects to 2-nonanone (AWB mediated) and defective odor attraction to 2-heptanone (mediated by AWC) (**Figure 5D, E**) (Bargmann et al., 1993; Sengupta et al., 1996; Troemel et al., 1997). Diacetyl attraction, which is mediated by AWA, is also decreased in *fkh-8(vlc43)* animals, although not significantly, due to high standard deviation values (**Figure 5F**).

Finally, we tested gustatory responses to NaCl, Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS) and copper. *fkh-8* mutants are attracted to NaCl similar to N2 controls, a response that is mediated mainly by ASE ciliated neurons (Bargmann and Horvitz, 1991) (**Figure 5G**). In contrast, avoidance response to SDS, mediated by ASH and ASK ciliated neurons (Hilliard et al., 2002) and avoidance to copper, mediated by ASH, ASE, ADF and ADL ciliated neurons (Guo et al., 2015; Sambongi et al., 1999), were significantly reduced both in *fkh-8(vlc43)* and *fkh-8(tm292)* animals (**Figure 5H, I**).

In summary, our battery of behavioural assays reveals FKH-8 is necessary for the correct response to a wide range of sensory stimuli (mechanical, gustatory or olfactory) that are mediated by different types of ciliated neurons (ADF, ADL, ASE, ASG, ASH, ASI, ASJ, ASK, AWB, AWC, FLP and OLQ). Specific behaviours, such as attraction to NaCl or basal slowing response are sustained in *fkh-8* mutants, suggesting retained sensory functions for particular neuron types, even with gene expression or morphological cilia defects (such as CEPs).

Figure 5

Figure 5. FKH-8 is required for the correct display of several sensory mediated behaviours.

A) Mutations in *fkh-8* significantly impair appropriate backward response to nose touch, revealing functionality defects for the ASH, FLP and/or OLQ ciliated neurons. This phenotype is stronger in *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutants than in the hypomorphic *tm292* allele.

B) Decrease in locomotory rate upon re-entering a bacterial lawn is unaffected in *fkh-8* mutants.

C) *fkh-8* null mutants significantly fail to prevent *dauer* entry. Pheromones induce *dauer* in *fkh-8* mutants, albeit less efficiently than in controls.

D to F) Lack of *fkh-8* significantly impairs olfaction-mediated behaviours towards compounds sensed by ciliated AWB and AWC neurons. Diacetyl response, mediated by AWA, is affected but not to a significant level due to high variability in the response.

G to I) Attractive chemotaxis towards NaCl is unaffected in *fkh-8* mutant animals. Avoidance behaviour towards toxic SDS and copper anions is significantly impaired.

Mean and standard deviation are represented in all graphs. See **Supplementary figure 6** for quantification of non-cilia mediated behaviours and **Supplementary file 3** for raw data and samples' sizes.

FKH-8 and DAF-19/RFX act synergistically

FKH-8 binds five different locations in the *daf-19* locus (**Figure 6A**) while *fkh-8* locus contains 3 putative X-box sites (**Figure 2A**), suggesting they could cross-regulate each other's expression. Transcription of *daf-19* locus generates different isoforms that share the carboxyl terminal (Ct) domain and the RFX DNA binding domain but differ in the amino-terminal region (**Figure 6A**). Some of these isoforms are expressed in a mutually exclusive manner: *daf-19d* is specifically expressed in ciliated neurons while *daf-19a/b* isoforms are expressed in the rest of the nervous system but not in ciliated neurons (Senti and Swoboda, 2008). Accordingly, a fosmid based Ct-tagged DAF-19 reporter that labels all isoforms is broadly expressed in neurons (**Figure S7**). We did not find any obvious DAF-19::GFP expression defects in *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutants (**Figure S7**). Co-localization of DAF-19::GFP with *dat-1::mcherry* dopaminergic reporter expression or DiD lipophilic staining also reveals similar expression in *wild type* and *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutants in the dopaminergic or amphid ciliated neurons (**Figure S7**). Thus, our data suggest that, despite its extensive binding to *daf-19* locus, FKH-8 does not seem to be required for *daf-19* expression, at least in the subpopulation of ciliated neurons directly assayed.

Next, we assessed FKH-8::GFP fosmid expression in *daf-19(m86); daf-12(sa204)* double null mutants. In contrast to the pan-ciliated neuron expression pattern seen in *wild type*, FKH-8::GFP is expressed pan-neuronally in *daf-19(m86); daf-12(sa204)* double mutants (**Figure 6B**). FKH-8::GFP expression in the PDE dopaminergic ciliated sensory neuron is unaffected in *daf-19(m86); daf-12(sa204)* double mutants as assessed by co-localization with *dat-1:cherry* (91% PDE neurons are FKH-8::GFP positive in *wild type* animals and 92% in *daf-19(m86)* mutants, suggesting that FKH-8::GFP expression is unaffected in ciliated neurons (**Supplementary File 1**). *daf-19(m86)* allele affects all isoforms; as DAF-19 isoform D is expressed in ciliated neurons, our results suggest DAF-19D is not necessary for FKH-8 expression in ciliated neurons. In contrast, DAF-19 isoforms A and B seem to repress FKH-8 expression in non-ciliated neurons. *daf-19(of5)*, a mutant allele that specifically affects *daf-19 a/b* isoform expression (**Figure 6A**) shows similar pan-neuronal de-repression of FKH-8::GFP (**Figure 6B**) further supporting the repressive role of DAF-19 A/B long isoforms.

Next, we aimed to assess if DAF-19 and FKH-8 act synergistically. *daf-19* and *fkh-8* genes are both located in chromosome II, despite several attempts, we failed to generate *daf-19(m86), fkh-8(vlc43) II; daf-12(sa204)* triple mutants but we were able to obtain *daf-19(m86), fkh-8(tm292); daf-12(sa204)* recombinant animals. We find DAF-19 and FKH-8 act synergistically in the regulation of *ift-20*, *peli-1*, *osm-1* and *xbx-1* reporters (**Figure 6C, D**). Of note, these reporters still show some vestigial expression in the triple mutant (**Figure 6D**). We CRISPR-engineered a full deletion of the *fkh-8* locus in the *daf-19(m86); daf-12(sa204); ift-20::rfp* strain which generated a viable triple null mutant [*fkh-8*

(*vlc39*) allele]. These animals show similar residual *ift-20* expression in a couple of unidentified neurons (**Figure 6C**), suggesting additional transcription factors might cooperate with DAF-19 and FKH-8 in the regulation of ciliome gene expression.

Figure 6. FKH-8 and DAF-19 exhibit crosstalk and synergistic effects in the transcriptional regulation of the ciliome.

A) *daf-19* locus codes for five different *daf-19* isoforms. Grey boxes represent exons whereas blue boxes correspond to exons coding for the RFX DNA binding domain. FKH-8 binding events are depicted as orange bars. Red arrows locate mutations of the corresponding alleles.

B) Lateral views from young adult hermaphrodite heads expressing the *fkx-8* fosmid-based reporter *wgls652*. Lack of all *daf-19* isoforms (*m86* allele) derepresses *fkx-8* in non-ciliated neurons. This phenotype is mimicked by the specific absence of long *daf-19a/b* isoforms (*of5* allele). Scale bar = 25 μ m.

C) Dorso-ventral images from young adult hermaphrodites showing core ciliome *ift-20* reporter expression in several genetic backgrounds. Scale bar = 25 μ m.

D) Mean number of reporter-expressing neurons is significantly lower than expected in *fkx-8(tm292)*, *daf-19(m86)*, *daf-12(sa204)* triple mutants for the core ciliome features *ift-20*, *peh-1*, *osm-1* and *xbx-1*. Each dot represents the number of reporter-expressing neurons scored in a single animal. Mean and standard deviation are represented. See **Supplementary File 1** for raw data and statistics for all analysed genetic backgrounds.

Mouse FOXJ1 and FOXN4, master regulators of motile ciliome, can functionally replace FKH-8

Vertebrate FKH family is composed by 49 different members divided into 16 subfamilies (Shimeld et al., 2010). The establishment of specific orthology relationships between FKH members is challenging among distant species (Shimeld et al., 2010), precluding the direct assignment of the closest vertebrate ortholog for *C. elegans* FKH-8.

To date, no vertebrate FKH TF has been involved in ciliogenesis in primary cilia cell types. Nevertheless, in several vertebrate cell types that contain motile cilia, FoxJ1 FKH TF directly activates ciliome gene expression (Brody et al., 2000; Chen et al., 1998; Stubbs et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2008). Thus, considering its role in ciliogenesis, we next wondered if mouse FOXJ1 could functionally substitute FKH-8 in *C. elegans*. We find this to be the case as FoxJ1 cDNA expression under the dopaminergic promoter *dat-1* rescues *ift-20* expression similarly to *fkx-8* cDNA (**Figure 7A-C**). In *Xenopus*, another FKH TF, FoxN4, binds similar genomic regions to FoxJ1 and it is also required for direct ciliome gene expression in motile multiciliated cells (Campbell et al., 2016). We find

450 FoxN4 expression also rescues *ift-20* expression defects in *fkf-8(vlc43)*
 451 animals. Importantly, we find that conserved functionality is not observed for
 452 any vertebrate FKH TFs as expression of mouse Foxl1, a FKH TF involved in
 453 the development of several tissues but not reported to control cilia gene
 454 expression (Edlund et al., 2015), does not rescue *fkf-8* mutant phenotype.
 455 In summary, our results unravel the functional conservation between FKH-8 and
 456 specific mouse members of the FKH family, which have already been described
 457 to work together with RFX TFs in the regulation of ciliome gene expression in
 458 motile cilia cell types.

Figure 7

Figure 7. Mammalian FKH TFs with known motile cilia regulatory functions can rescue *fkh-8* mutant phenotype.

A) Rescue strategy: *dat-1* promoter, unaffected in *fkh-8* mutants, is used to drive FKH TF cDNA and eGFP expression specifically in the dopaminergic ciliated system.

B) Representative images of dopaminergic neurons expressing an integrated reporter for the core ciliome marker *ift-20* (in red) in *wild type*, *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutants and with the co-expression of different rescuing constructs. Scale bar = 5 μ m.

C) Quantification of rescue experiments. *ift-20* reporter expression is lost from the dopaminergic neurons in *fkh-8(vlc43)* null mutants compared to *wild type* animals. Expression of FKH-8, FOXJ1 and FOXN4 but not FOXI1 is sufficient to recover *ift-20* expression in dopaminergic neurons. N = 30 animals per transgenic line. See **Supplementary file 1** for similar results obtained with two additional transgenic lines per construct.

D) Speculative model on the evolution of ciliome gene regulatory logic. FKH and RFX TFs could have an ancestral role in the direct coregulation of ciliome genes before its functional diversification into motile and primary cilia cell types. Different RFX and FKH TF members could have evolved to regulate ciliome genes in specific cell types in different organisms. Orange squares represent FKH TFs and blue circles RFX TFs, light blue bars represent ciliome enhancers.

460

461

DISCUSSION

FKH-8 works together with DAF-19 in the direct regulation of ciliome gene expression in sensory neurons

RFX are the only TFs known to be involved in the direct regulation of ciliome gene expression both in cell types with motile and sensory cilia. This role is conserved in nematodes, flies and vertebrates (Choksi et al., 2014). In this work we characterized the persistent activity of ciliome reporters in *daf-19/rfx* null mutants, demonstrating that, in some specific cellular contexts, DAF-19/RFX is not necessary to drive ciliome gene expression. DAF-19 is the only RFX TF in *C. elegans*; thus, persistent enhancer activity must be attributed to other TF families.

A multi-angled approach allowed us to identify FKH-8 as a key regulator of ciliome gene expression in most, if not all, sensory neurons in *C. elegans*. FKH-8 is expressed almost exclusively in all ciliated neurons and binds to upstream regions of many ciliome genes. *fkh-8* mutants show decreased levels of ciliome reporter gene expression, abnormal cilia morphology and defects in a plethora of behaviours mediated by sensory ciliated neurons. Finally, mutations in putative FKH binding sites for two ciliome reporters lead to expression defects, further supporting the direct action of FKH-8 in ciliome gene expression. Altogether, our results show that FKH-8 is a master regulator of ciliogenesis in sensory neurons and thus represents the first identified TF in any organism that works together with RFX in cell types with non-motile primary cilia.

In the past, the identification of direct targets of RFX TFs has been instrumental in the identification of new ciliome components, which lead to a better understanding of cilia function and the etiology of ciliopathies (Blacque et al.,

2005; Chen et al., 2006; Efimenko et al., 2005; Li et al., 2004; Schiebinger et al., 2019). FKH-8 binds to many genes in the *C. elegans* genome, some with uncharacterized functions; thus, similar to RFX, a more exhaustive characterization of FKH-8 targets could be used to unravel novel components of the sensory ciliome.

Specific DAF-19 isoforms repress *fkh-8* expression in non-ciliated neurons

Interestingly, our results show that DAF-19A and B isoforms repress (directly or indirectly) *fkh-8* expression in non-sensory neurons. Repression of alternative fates is a prevalent feature in neuronal development. DAF-19AB repression of *fkh-8* might be necessary to avoid ectopic ciliome gene expression in non-ciliated cells. Indeed, we find that, similar to *fkh-8*, *kap-1* gene, a core ciliome component involved in anterograde transport and cilia assembly is also pan-neuronally de-repressed in *daf-19* mutants (R.B. and N.F. unpublished). Repressive actions for DAF-19A/B have also been recently reported (Stasio et al., 2018).

Role of FKH TFs in the transcriptional regulation of ciliome genes both in motile and sensory cilia cell types

Although TFs working with RFX in the regulation of ciliogenesis in sensory cell types where previously unknown, RFX TFs work in concert with the FKH TF FOXJ1 in the direct regulation of ciliome genes in different vertebrate cell types with motile cilia (Choksi et al., 2014).

Importantly, vertebrate sensory ciliogenesis is unaffected in FoxJ1 loss of function mutants (Choksi et al., 2014); thus, FoxJ1 role as a master regulator of ciliogenesis is restricted to motile ciliary cell types. In *Xenopus*, FoxN4 binds similar genomic regions to FoxJ1 and it is also required for motile cilium gene expression (Campbell et al., 2016). We find both FOXJ1 and FOXN4, but not FOXI1, which has not been described to be involved in ciliogenesis, are able to functionally substitute FKH-8. This data suggests that specific FKH subfamilies might have an inherent capacity to act as direct cilium regulators, independently of being expressed in motile or sensory cilia cell types.

FKH-8 and DAF-19 show synergistic actions

FKH-8 bound regions are enriched for X-box/RFX sites, suggesting DAF-19 and FKH-8 are involved in the regulation of a common set of regulatory regions. Our double mutant analysis shows synergistic effects between *daf-19/rfx* and *fkh-8*, suggesting cooperative actions among these TFs.

Similarly, in motile multiciliated cells of *Xenopus* larval skin FOXJ1 binding to cilium gene promoters depends on the presence of RFX2 (Quigley and Kintner, 2017). In addition, in human airway multiciliated epithelial cell, RFX3 and FOXJ1 act synergistically in the activation of cilium genes (Didon et al., 2013).

Importantly, in *fkh-8*, *daf-19* double mutants, some enhancer activity is still present in several sensory cells underscoring the existence of additional direct regulators of cilium gene expression. Nuclear hormone receptor *nhr-277* and *nhr-158* and the homeodomain *ceh-57*, whose expression is enriched in

sensory neurons (**Figure 1F**), are possible candidates to be involved in the process and could be the focus of future studies.

Evolution of cilia subtype specialization and ciliome regulatory logic

Ancestral cilium present in the last common eukaryotic ancestor has been proposed to combine motile and sensory functions (Mitchell, 2017). RFX role regulating ciliome expression predates the emergence of metazoans, where major cell type diversification has occurred (Chu et al., 2010; Piasecki et al., 2010). FoxJ and FoxN constitute the most ancient FKH sub-families, present in choanoflagellate *Monosiga brevicolis*, while FoxI subfamily is only present in bilaterians (Shimeld et al., 2010). Moreover, the ability of RFX and FKH TFs to bind similar genomic regions is not limited to metazoans and it is also present in fungi. In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, which lacks cilia and ciliome genes, Fkh2 FKH TF and Sak1 RFX TF bind the same regulatory regions to control cell cycle gene expression (Garg et al., 2015), suggesting that the joint actions for these TFs could be present before the split of fungi and metazoans. Alternatively, RFX and FKH TFs might have an inherent ability to cooperate that could explain convergent evolution of these TFs in ciliome regulation both in sensory and motile cilia cell types (Sorrells et al., 2018).

In light of these data, we hypothesize that RFX and FKH role as co-regulators of ciliome gene expression could precede the emergence of cilia division of labor and the specialization of motile and sensory cilium in different cell types (**Figure 7D**).

Role of FKH TFs in ciliome regulation of primary cilia cell types

Regardless of the evolutionary history of events underlying RFX and FKH functions as master regulators of ciliome gene expression, our results raise the possibility that, in vertebrates, yet unidentified FKH TFs could work together with RFX as master regulators of ciliome gene expression in sensory ciliated cell types (**Figure 7D**). The establishment of specific orthology relationships between FKH members among distant species is challenging (Larroux et al., 2008; Shimeld et al., 2010) precluding the direct assignment of the closest vertebrate ortholog for *C. elegans* FKH-8. In addition, functional paralog substitutions among TFs of the same family have been described to occur in evolution (Tarashansky et al., 2021). Importantly, FoxJ1 and FoxN4 mutants do not show ciliome gene expression defects in non-motile ciliated cell types (Brody et al., 2000; Campbell et al., 2016; Chen et al., 1998; Stubbs et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2008). Other members of FoxJ and FoxN subfamilies are broadly expressed in mouse neurons, which all display primary cilia (Zeisel et al., 2018). It will be important, in future studies, to determine if additional FoxJ and FoxN TFs can rescue *fkh-8* expression defects in *C. elegans* and if they display similar roles in mammals as master regulators of sensory ciliome. These studies could also help better characterize the functional meaning of non-coding mutations associated to orphan ciliopathies.

METHODS

C. elegans strains and genetics

C. elegans culture and genetics were performed as previously described (Brenner, 1974). Strains used in this study are listed in **Supplementary File 4**.

Mutant strain genotyping

Mutant strains used in this study are listed in **Supplementary File 4**. Deletion alleles were genotyped by PCR. Presence of *daf-19(m86)* allele was determined by visual inspection of the dye-filling defective phenotype of homozygous mutants. Presence of *daf-12(sa204)X* allele was ensured through a double cross strategy, crossing of F1 males with original *daf-12(sa204)X* mutants. Strains carrying point mutations were genotyped by sequencing. Genotyping primers are included in **Supplementary File 4**.

DiD staining.

Lipophilic dye filling assays were performed with the 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-tetramethylindodicarbocyanine, 4-chlorobenzenesulfonate salt (DiD) (ThermoFisher, #D7757). DiD staining solution was freshly prepared prior to every assay as a 1:200 dilution of the DiD stock solution [2 mg/mL dilution in N,N-dimethyl formamide (Sigma, #D4551)] in M9 1X buffer. Animals were transferred into 1.5 mL tubes containing 200 µL of the DiD staining solution and incubated (wrapped in aluminium foil) for 2 hours at room temperature in an orbital shaker in a horizontal position. Animals were collected with a glass Pasteur and transferred to fresh NGM plates. Robust identification of the ASK, ADL, ASI, AWB, ASH, ASJ, PHA and PHB ciliated neurons was achieved through this method.

Generation of *C. elegans* transgenic lines

Fluorescent reporters for ciliome genes were generated through fusion PCR (Hobert, 2002). To facilitate identification and scoring of reporter-expressing cells, GFP was tagged to the cell's nucleus employing a modified sequence of the classical SV40 large T antigen nuclear localizing signal (NLS) (Kalderon et al., 1984). Regulatory sequences were amplified with custom oligonucleotides from N2 genomic DNA preparations. An independent PCR was used to amplify the 2xNLS::GFP::*unc-54* 3'UTR fragment from an NLS version of the pPD95.75 plasmid (pNF400). Successfully fused PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, #28106) and resuspended in nuclease-free water (Sigma, #W4502).

Mutated versions for the *xbx-1* and *ift-20* promoters were generated as PCR products by introducing the desired mutation of putative FKH sites within the corresponding custom primers. Putative FKH sites were identified through the single sequence scan tool from the CIS-BP website (Weirauch et al., 2014). Mutation criteria accounted for the nature of the nitrogenous bases and the number of hydrogen bonds they could form; thus, A was mutated to C and G was mutated to T (and vice versa). Mutated sequences were checked to discard the generation of new TF binding site motifs using both the motif scan tool of the CIS-BP database and the Tomtom tool (Gupta et al., 2007) from the MEME Suite website. When designed mutations created potential new TF binding sites manual punctual mutations were applied to disrupt those potential sites.

To generate FKH-8 rescuing plasmids, constructs containing the cDNA of the corresponding FKH TF fused to the self-cleaving peptide T2A (Ahier and Jarriault, 2014) and the eGFP cDNA from the pPD95.75 plasmid were created. Such constructs were then cloned under the control of the dopaminergic *dat-1*

promoter between the KpnI/XhoI sites of the pPD95.75 backbone vector. *fkh-8* cDNA sequence was synthetically generated (Biomatik). Murine FKH members were obtained as Dharmacon clones (FoxJ1: MMM1013-202732974, FoxN4: MMM1013-211694291, FoxI1: MMM1013-202763055).

Simple-array transgenic lines were generated by intragonadal microinjection into strains of the appropriated genotype. The injection mix was composed by 50 ng/μL of a given purified fusion PCR or a rescuing plasmid plus 100 ng/μL of the pFR4 plasmid, *rol-6(su1006)*, as a co-marker (Mello et al., 1991).

Generation of *C. elegans* mutations

Whole deletion of the *fkh-8* locus was performed through a co-CRISPR strategy (Kim et al., 2014) using *dpy-10(cn64)* as conversion marker (Arribere et al., 2014). Custom CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) were ordered (IDT, Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA XT) targeting both sides of the desired deletion of *fkh-8* and at the 5' of the *dpy-10* site of mutation. Single stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODNs) of approximately 100 base pairs overlapping each side of the genetic modifications were also ordered (IDT) and used as donor templates to achieve homology-directed repair. Cas9 nuclease (IDT, #1081058) and the universal trans-activating crRNA (tracrRNA) needed to initiate enzymatic activity (IDT, #1072532) were used. co-CRISPR injections were performed on young adult hermaphrodites expressing the reporter *otIs395(ift-20::NLS::tagRFP)III*. Microinjection mix was freshly prepared with all 3 crRNAs plus the tracrRNA, ssODNs and Cas9 nuclease. Ribonucleoprotein complex formation was achieved by incubating this mix for 10 minutes at 37 Celsius degrees. Before use, the final mix was incubated on ice for 30 minutes. All custom primer

sequences and concentrations used for the generation of the aforementioned strains are included in the **Supplementary File 4**.

Bioinformatical Analysis

Ciliome gene list was assembled including genes associated with cilium-related terms from the Gene Ontology using AmiGO (Carbon et al., 2009), known ciliome genes with functional X-boxes (Burghoorn et al. 2012) and genes whose expression in ciliated neurons was reported in the WormBase. Transcription factors were deliberately excluded from this list. A further curation process was performed through a bibliographic research (see **Supplementary File 2** for complete ciliome gene list).

For each isoform of the final 163 genes composing the ciliome gene list, putative regulatory sequences were retrieved from the Ensembl BioMart site (Kinsella et al., 2011) spanning 700 base pairs in length upstream of their translational start sites. These sequences were used to feed the RSAT oligo-analysis tool as previously described (Defrance et al., 2008; Turatsinze et al., 2008), using as a background model the in-tool genome of *C. elegans* and overall default options. Retrieved matrices were then compared both against the CIS-BP 1.02 (Weirauch et al., 2014) and the JASPAR core non-redundant 2018 (Khan et al., 2018) databases using the TomTom (Gupta et al., 2007) tool from the MEME suite (Bailey et al., 2009).

Identification of candidate transcription factors with enriched expression in ciliated neurons was performed through the on-line tool GExplore_{1.4} (Hutter and Suh, 2016), employing the sci-RNA-seq dataset by (Cao et al., 2017). A 5-fold enrichment ratio and a false detection rate of 0.001 were used.

Expression pattern data in each ciliated neuron type for candidate transcription factors at the fourth larval stage were retrieved from the *C. elegans* Neuronal Gene Expression Network (CeNGEN) (Taylor et al., 2021), whose results are freely accessible through the on-line tool SCeNGEA. Unfiltered data was used. ChIP-seq data from *C. elegans* TFs were retrieved from the ENCODE portal website (Davis et al., 2018) (time of consulting: January the 10th, 2019). Data analysis was performed through a custom script using R/Bioconductor (Huber et al., 2015). Peak annotation was carried out employing the ChIPseeker package (Yu et al., 2015), setting parameters as following: `annotatePeak(gr1, tssRegion=c(-2000, 1000), level=lev, TxDb=annoData, overlap="TSS")`. ENCODE accession numbers for all datasets used in this analysis are listed in **Supplementary File 2**.

fkh-8 ChIP-seq bed narrowPeak file (ENCODE accession: ENCFF653QKE) was used as input file for the web-based analysis tool ChIPseek (Chen et al., 2014). For de novo motif discovery, resulting fasta file with annotated peaks was then used to feed the RSAT peak-motifs tool as previously described (Thomas-Chollier et al., 2012b, 2012a), setting the number of motifs per algorithm at 10 and using all 4 available discovery algorithms with overall default options.

For gene ontology, genes associated to FKH-8 ChIP-seq peaks were analysed through the on-line tool WormEnricher (Kuleshov et al., 2016).

Gene expression correlation between TFs and genes of interest were calculated using embryonic sc-RNA-seq data (Packer et al., 2019). Genes of interest were categorized into four categories: 1) core ciliome genes, 2) subtype-specific ciliome genes (both extracted from our ciliome list), 3)

panneuronal genes (Stefanakis et al., 2015) and 4) ubiquitously expressed genes (Packer et al., 2019). In addition to fkh-8 and daf-19, the proneural TF factor hlh-14 was added as control TF not related to ciliogenesis. For all 10,775 ciliated cells present in the dataset, correlation index (R) between the expression levels for each gene and the TF was calculated. R data for each gene category are represented in the graph (See **Supplementary File 2** for R values).

Presence of RFX/*daf-19* binding motifs within the FKH-8 ChIP-seq peak sequences was performed with the on-line tool Centrimo (Bailey and MacHanick, 2012) from the MEME suite. To prevent Centrimo from discarding sequences due to uneven sequence length within and among the different ChIP-seq datasets, a custom python script was used to extract sequences of 420 base pairs in length spanning 210 base pairs from the centre of each peak. This consensus length was used considering the average sequence length of FKH-8 ChIP-seq peaks. ENCODE accession numbers for all datasets used in this analysis are listed in **Supplementary File 2**.

Visualization and analysis of ChIP-seq and RNA-seq files were performed with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) software (Robinson et al., 2011).

Microscopy

For scoring and image acquisition, worms were anesthetized with a drop of 0.5 M sodium azide (Sigma, #26628-22-8) on 4% agarose pads (diluted in distilled water) placed over a regular microscope glass slide (Rogo Sampaic, #11854782). These preparations were sealed with a 24 x 60 mm coverslip (RS France, #BPD025) and animals were then conveniently examined.

Scoring of ciliome features was performed observing the animals on a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope using a 63X objective. Assessment of fluorescence signal on PDE and Phasmid regions was performed *de visu*. To appropriately assess number of cells in the head, optical sections containing the volume of reporter-positive neurons in the head of the animals were acquired at 1 μm intervals and images were manually scored using FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012). Reporters used in the FKH cis-mutational analyses (both *wild type* and mutated forms) were scored *de visu* as the low intensity and fast bleaching in their signals precluded us from taking pictures.

For cilia morphology assessment, image acquisition was performed with a TCS-SP8 Leica Microsystems confocal microscope using a 63X objective. The following conditions of optical sections (μm) were used: CEP: 0.4 μm ; ADF: 0.2; AWB: 0.24; AWC: 0.3. Retrieved images were z-projected at maximum intensity (Leica LAS X LS) and linear adjustment for brightness and contrast was performed prior to cilia length quantification ($N \geq 32$ cilia per neuron type) (FIJI). AWA analysis was performed from images acquired from dorsoventrally positioned animals ($N = 7$) in which both cilia were levelled and depth of arborisation was estimated from the volume containing all the optical sections (0.3 μm) in which fluorescence signal was observed.

All micrographs presented in this paper were acquired with a TCS-SP8 Leica Microsystems confocal microscope using a 63X objective and appropriate zooming conditions. Raw data and statistics for all scorings performed for this work are gathered in **Supplementary File 1**.

Behavioural assays

Unless otherwise stated, all mechano- and chemosensory assays were performed over small-scale synchronized populations of young adult hermaphrodites.

Nose touch tests were performed as previously described (Kaplan and Horvitz, 1993). Ten minutes before the assay, young adult hermaphrodites were transferred to non-seeded NGM agar plates and nose touch responses were elicited by causing a nose-on collision placing an eyelash attached to a pipette tip in the path of an animal moving forward. With brief modifications from (Brockie et al., 2001), five consecutive nose touch trials were scored for each worm.

Both gentle and harsh touch mechanosensory tests were performed as previously described (Chalfie et al., 1985). Briefly, gentle touch assays were performed by alternatively stroking the animal just behind the pharynx and just before the anus with an eyebrow hair attached to a pipette tip for a total amount of 10 strokes (Hobert et al., 1999). Harsh touch assays were also performed by stroking the worms across the posterior half of their bodies in a top-down manner with a platinum wire. Each worm was tested five times with a 2 minutes interval between each trial (Li et al., 2011).

For all aforementioned mechanosensory assays, escape responses of trailed animals were recorded and a population response index (RI) was calculated for every replica as: $RI = \text{total number of escape responses} / \text{total amount of strokes}$

Chemotaxis towards diacetyl, 2-heptanone, NaCl and 2-nonanone were performed over 3 times freshly washed worms with 1 mL of filtered, autoclaved CTX solution, aspirating the supernatant to a final volume of approximately 100

μL. 2 μL of this worm-containing solution with no less than 25 animals were placed at the proper place of the assay plates. During the assays, worms were allowed to freely crawl across the plates for 60 minutes at room temperature and then stored at 4 °C until the next day when worms' positions were scored and behavioural indexes were calculated.

With few modifications, volatile diacetyl attraction assay was performed as described by (Margie et al., 2013). A four-quadrant paradigm drawn at the base of non-seeded NGM agar plates was used, adding a 1 cm circular central area that worms had to trespass to be scored. Stock diacetyl (Sigma-Aldrich, #803528) test solution was prepared as a 0.5% V/V mix in absolute ethanol (Scharlau, #ET00101000). Absolute ethanol was used as control solution. Immediately after the worms were plated, 2 μL of a mix combining equal volumes of diacetyl stock solution and sodium azide 1M were pipetted onto the 2 test sites (T) of the agar plate. Same procedure was then performed for the 2 control sites (C). Chemotaxis index (CI) was then calculated as: $CI = (worms\ in\ (T1 + T2) - worms\ in\ (C1 + C2)) / total\ scored\ worms$

Chemotaxis assay towards 2-heptanone was performed as previously reported (Zhang et al., 2016). A two-halves paradigm was used, adding the threshold distance by (Margie et al., 2013) to prevent immobile worms from skewing the data. 2-heptanone (Sigma Aldrich, #W254401) test solution was prepared as a 1:10 V/V mix in ethanol absolute. Ethanol was used as control solution. Immediately after the worms were plated, 3 μL of a mix combining equal volumes of 2-heptanone stock solution and sodium azide 1M were pipetted onto the test site (T) of the agar plate. Same procedure was follow to the control site (C). CI was calculated: $CI = (worms\ in\ T - worms\ in\ C) / total\ scored\ worms$.

Chemotaxis toward NaCl was also performed of a two-halves paradigm. Radial gradients of either test or control solutions were created prior to worm loading as originally stated (Ward 1973). Following (Frøkjær-Jensen et al., 2008), 10 μ L of NaCl (Sigma, #S3014-1KG) 2.5 M (dissolved in double distilled water (ddH₂O)) or ddH₂O itself were respectively pipetted onto the agar surface at T and C spots and allowed to diffuse for 12-14 hours at room temperature. To increase steepness of the gradients, 4 μ L of NaCl 2.5 M or ddH₂O solutions were additionally added to the T and C spots respectively 4 hours prior to the chemotaxis assay. Chemotaxis indexes for two-halves paradigm assays were calculated as: $CI = (\text{worms in T} - \text{worms in C}) / \text{total scored worms}$.

Avoidance assay towards 2-nonanone was performed as previously reported (Troemel et al., 1997). Briefly, six equal sectors labelled as A, B, C, D, E and F were drawn on the base of squared plates (90 x 15 mm, Simport™, #11690950) containing 15 mL of standard NGM agar. Stock 2-nonanone (Sigma-Aldrich, #W278550) test solution was prepared as a 1:10 V/V mix in absolute ethanol. Ethanol was used as control solution. Immediately after the worms were plated on the centre of the plate, 2 μ L of a mix combining equal volumes of 2-nonanone stock solution and sodium azide 1M were pipetted onto two spots within peripheral test sector A. Same procedure was then performed for the ethanol control sites within sector opposite peripheral control sector F. Population avoidance index (AI) was calculated as: $AI = (\text{worms in (A+B)} - \text{worms in (E + F)}) / \text{total amount of worms}$.

Avoidance responses to water-soluble compounds were evaluated using the drop test as previously described (Hilliard et al., 2002). Following (Hilliard et al., 2004) with few modifications, well-fed synchronized young adult

hermaphrodites were washed three times with M13 buffer. 5 animals were then placed on unseeded NGM agar plates and allowed to rest for 10 minutes. Two test solutions were assayed: 0.1% W/V sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Sigma, #L3771-100G) and 0.1 mM CuSO₄ pentahydrate (Merck, #1027901000), both dissolved in the M13 buffer that acted as control solution. Each animal was tested first with 4 single drops of the control solution and then with 4 single drops of the testing solution, allowing for 2 minutes of recovery between each stimulus. Avoidance response was scored within 4 seconds after substance delivery. Population avoidance index (AI) per genotype and replica was calculated as: AI = number of responses / total amount of drops.

Dauer induction was performed using filtered liquid culture obtained from *wild type* worms grown at 7 worms/μl for 4 days. Briefly, 300μl of pheromone containing extracts or control extracts (culture media alone) were added to 60mm OP50-seeded NGM plates. After drying, 10 gravid worms were added and allowed to lay eggs for 18 hours and then removed from the plates. 72h later, resulting P0 worms were scored and percentage of dauer animals determined for each condition. Dauer induction was carried at 27°C in four independent experiments performed in parallel with wild type and *fkh-8(vlc43)* mutant worms.

Basal slowing response was performed with few modifications as previously reported (Sawin et al., 2000). In this case, 60 mm NGM plates in which HB101 was seeded in only one half of the plate were used. Briefly, well-feed worms were 3 times washed with 1 mL of filtered, autoclaved CTX solution, supernatant aspirated to a final volume of approximately 200 μL and 2 μL of this worm-containing solution (with no less than 10 animals) was placed at the non-

seed part of pre-warmed assay plates. Free movement of the worms across the plates was recorded capturing 30 frames per second. Body beds per 20 seconds intervals were counted from same worms moving on agar and crawling across the bacterial lawn.

Sample size, tested genotypes, number of animals and number of replicates performed per assay are detailed in **Supplementary File 3**. All strains used for these behavioural studies are listed in **Supplementary File 4**.

Statistical analyses.

Statistical significance for the mean number of reporter-positive neurons in whole animals among different genetic backgrounds was assessed by the appropriate two-tailed t-test considering the homo- or heteroscedasticity of the samples being compared. Inbuilt Excel functions F.TEST and T.TEST were used and obtained p-values were adjusted through Bonferroni correction accounting for all possible pairwise comparisons in each experiment.

To increase for statistical power, statistical significance for the mean number of reporter-positive neurons in the five distinct anatomical regions containing ciliated neurons among different genetic backgrounds was assessed by the appropriate one-tailed t-test considering the homo- or heteroscedasticity of the compared samples. Obtained p-values were then adjusted through the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure setting α level at 0.05. This same procedure was used to assess for statistical significance within the dauer induction experiments.

Unless otherwise stated, same two-tailed t-test procedure was followed in the assessment of statistical significance in behavioural experiment. Behavioural responses were ultimately analysed through the corresponding indexes ranging

from 0 to 1 (or to -1 to 0 when avoidance responses were assayed). For each type of assay, a population-based mean index was calculated per replica and a final response index was then obtained as the mean of all replicas' means. Prior to hypothesis testing, the Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro and Martin, 1965) was used to address for the normality of these final indexes.

Assessment of synergistic effects between *fkh-8* and *daf-19* was performed under the multiplicative model (Wagner, 2015). Briefly, average number of reporter-expressing neurons found in the whole animals for each genetic background was transformed into the corresponding fold change related to the observed mean value in the *wild type*. Next, expected values for the fold change corresponding to triple *fkh-8*; *daf-12*; *daf-19* mutants were calculated as the product of the mean observed values for the double *daf-12*; *daf-19* and the single *fkh-8* mutant strains. Statistical significance between observed and expected values was then assessed through a one-sample t test.

For the assessment of statistical significance in rescue experiments, data was categorically classified as 'on' or 'off' and the significance of the association was examined using the two-tailed Fisher's exact test. No further multiple testing correction was performed, as *fkh-8* null mutants were exclusively compared to wild type worms whereas each rescued line was exclusively compared against the *fkh-8* null mutants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank CGC (P40 OD010440) for providing strains. Dr Laura Chirivella,

Noemi Daroqui and Elia García for technical help. Erick Sousa for providing bioinformatical assistance. Ines Carrera and Elisa Martí for comments on the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by European Research Council (StG2011-281920 and COG-101002203), Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (SAF2017-84790-R and PID2020-115635RB-I00) and Generalitat Valenciana (PROMETEO/2018/055).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

R.B and N.F designed experiments, wrote the manuscript, and made figures with contributions from other authors. R.B conducted most of the experiments. A.E performed most behavioural assays, C.M and J.T helped in the bioinformatics analysis and C.M built fkh-8 CRISPR alleles.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

- Ahier, A., and Jarriault, S. (2014). Simultaneous expression of multiple proteins under a single promoter in *Caenorhabditis elegans* via a versatile 2A-based toolkit. *Genetics* **196**, 605–613.
- Andreu-Cervera, A., Catala, M., and Schneider-Maunoury, S. (2021). Cilia, ciliopathies and hedgehog-related forebrain developmental disorders.

924 Neurobiol. Dis. 150, 105236.

925 Arribere, J.A., Bell, R.T., Fu, B.X.H., Artiles, K.L., Hartman, P.S., and Fire, A.Z.
926 (2014). Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with
927 CRISPR/Cas9 in caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 198, 837–846.

928 Ashique, A.M., Choe, Y., Karlen, M., May, S.R., Phamluong, K., Solloway, M.J.,
929 Ericson, J., and Peterson, A.S. (2009). The Rfx4 Transcription Factor
930 Modulates Shh Signaling by Regional Control of Ciliogenesis. Sci. Signal. 2.

931 Bailey, T.L., and MacHanick, P. (2012). Inferring direct DNA binding from ChIP-
932 seq. Nucleic Acids Res.

933 Bailey, T.L., Boden, M., Buske, F.A., Frith, M., Grant, C.E., Clementi, L., Ren,
934 J., Li, W.W., and Noble, W.S. (2009). MEME Suite: Tools for motif discovery
935 and searching. Nucleic Acids Res.

936 Bargmann, C.I. (1993). Genetic and cellular analysis of behavior in C. elegans.
937 Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 16, 47–71.

938 Bargmann, C.I., and Horvitz, H.R. (1991). Control of larval development by
939 chemosensory neurons in Caenorhabditis elegans. Science (80-.). 251, 1243–
940 1246.

941 Bargmann, C.I., Hartwig, E., and Horvitz, H.R. (1993). Odorant-selective
942 genes and neurons mediate olfaction in C. elegans. Cell 74, 515–527.

943 Blacque, O.E., Reardon, M.J., Li, C., McCarthy, J., Mahjoub, M.R., Ansley, S.J.,
944 Badano, J.L., Mah, A.K., Beales, P.L., Davidson, W.S., et al. (2004). Loss of C.
945 elegans BBS-7 and BBS-8 protein function results in cilia defects and
946 compromised intraflagellar transport. Genes Dev. 18, 1630–1642.

947 Blacque, O.E., Perens, E.A., Boroevich, K.A., Inglis, P.N., Li, C., Warner, A.,

948 Khattra, J., Holt, R.A., Ou, G., Mah, A.K., et al. (2005). Functional genomics of
949 the cilium, a sensory organelle. *Curr. Biol.* *15*, 935–941.

950 Bonnafe, E., Touka, M., AitLounis, A., Baas, D., Barras, E., Ucla, C., Moreau,
951 A., Flamant, F., Dubruille, R., Couble, P., et al. (2004). The Transcription Factor
952 RFX3 Directs Nodal Cilium Development and Left-Right Asymmetry
953 Specification. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* *24*, 4417–4427.

954 Brenner, S. (1974). The genetics of *Caenorabditis elegans*. *Genetics* *77*, 71–94.

955 Brockie, P.J., Mellem, J.E., Hills, T., Madsen, D.M., and Maricq, A. V. (2001).
956 The *C. elegans* glutamate receptor subunit NMR-1 is required for slow NMDA-
957 activated currents that regulate reversal frequency during locomotion. *Neuron*
958 *31*, 617–630.

959 Brody, S.L., Yan, X.H., Wuerffel, M.K., Song, S.K., and Shapiro, S.D. (2000).
960 Ciliogenesis and left-right axis defects in forkhead factor HFH-4-null mice. *Am.*
961 *J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* *23*, 45–51.

962 Burghoorn, J., Piasecki, B.P., Crona, F., Phirke, P., Jeppsson, K.E., and
963 Swoboda, P. (2012). The in vivo dissection of direct RFX-target gene promoters
964 in *C. elegans* reveals a novel cis-regulatory element, the C-box. *Dev. Biol.* *368*,
965 415–426.

966 Campbell, E.P., Quigley, I.K., and Kintner, C. (2016). Foxn4 promotes gene
967 expression required for the formation of multiple motile cilia. *Development* *143*,
968 4654–4664.

969 Cao, J., Packer, J.S., Ramani, V., Cusanovich, D.A., Huynh, C., Daza, R., Qiu,
970 X., Lee, C., Furlan, S.N., Steemers, F.J., et al. (2017). Comprehensive single-
971 cell transcriptional profiling of a multicellular organism. *Science* (80-.).

972 Carbon, S., Ireland, A., Mungall, C.J., Shu, S., Marshall, B., Lewis, S., Lomax,
973 J., Mungall, C., Hitz, B., Balakrishnan, R., et al. (2009). AmiGO: Online access
974 to ontology and annotation data. *Bioinformatics*.

975 Chalfie, M., and Sulston, J. (1981). Developmental genetics of the
976 mechanosensory neurons of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev. Biol.* **82**, 358–370.

977 Chalfie, M., Sulston, J.E., White, J.G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J.N., and
978 Brenner, S. (1985). The neural circuit for touch sensitivity in *Caenorhabditis*
979 *elegans*. *J. Neurosci.* **5**, 956–964.

980 Chen, J., Knowles, H.J., Hebert, J.L., and Hackett, B.P. (1998). Mutation of the
981 mouse hepatocyte nuclear factor/forkhead homologue 4 gene results in an
982 absence of cilia and random left-right asymmetry. *J. Clin. Invest.* **102**, 1077–
983 1082.

984 Chen, N., Mah, A., Blacque, O.E., Chu, J., Phgora, K., Bakhoun, M.W.,
985 Newbury, C.R.H., Khattra, J., Chan, S., Go, A., et al. (2006). Identification of
986 ciliary and ciliopathy genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* through comparative
987 genomics. *Genome Biol.* **7**.

988 Chen, T.W., Li, H.P., Lee, C.C., Gan, R.C., Huang, P.J., Wu, T.H., Lee, C.Y.,
989 Chang, Y.F., and Tang, P. (2014). ChIPseeker, a web-based analysis tool for
990 ChIP data. *BMC Genomics* **15**.

991 Choksi, S.P., Lauter, G., Swoboda, P., and Roy, S. (2014). Switching on cilia:
992 Transcriptional networks regulating ciliogenesis. *Dev.*

993 Chu, J.S., Baillie, D.L., and Chen, N. (2010). Convergent evolution of RFX
994 transcription factors and ciliary genes predated the origin of metazoans. *BMC*
995 *Evol. Biol.* **10**.

996 Chu, J.S.C., Tarailo-Graovac, M., Zhang, D., Wang, J., Uyar, B., Tu, D., Trinh,
997 J., Baillie, D.L., and Chen, N. (2012). Fine tuning of RFX/DAF-19-regulated
998 target gene expression through binding to multiple sites in *Caenorhabditis*
999 *elegans*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* *40*, 53–64.

1000 Chung, M.I., Peyrot, S.M., LeBoeuf, S., Park, T.J., McGary, K.L., Marcotte,
1001 E.M., and Wallingford, J.B. (2012). RFX2 is broadly required for ciliogenesis
1002 during vertebrate development. *Dev. Biol.* *363*, 155–165.

1003 Davis, C.A., Hitz, B.C., Sloan, C.A., Chan, E.T., Davidson, J.M., Gabdank, I.,
1004 Hilton, J.A., Jain, K., Baymuradov, U.K., Narayanan, A.K., et al. (2018). The
1005 Encyclopedia of DNA elements (ENCODE): Data portal update. *Nucleic Acids*
1006 *Res.*

1007 Defrance, M., Janky, R., Sand, O., and van Helden, J. (2008). Using RSAT
1008 oligo-analysis and dyad-analysis tools to discover regulatory signals in nucleic
1009 sequences. *Nat. Protoc.*

1010 Didon, L., Zwick, R.K., Chao, I.W., Walters, M.S., Wang, R., Hackett, N.R., and
1011 Crystal, R.G. (2013). RFX3 Modulation of FOXJ1 regulation of cilia genes in the
1012 human airway epithelium. *Respir. Res.* *14*, 1–13.

1013 Dubruille, R., Laurençon, A., Vandaele, C., Shishido, E., Coulon-Bublex, M.,
1014 Swoboda, P., Couble, P., Kernan, M., and Durand, B. (2002). *Drosophila*
1015 regulatory factor X is necessary for ciliated sensory neuron differentiation.
1016 *Development* *129*, 5487–5498.

1017 Edlund, R.K., Birol, O., and Groves, A.K. (2015). The role of foxi family
1018 transcription factors in the development of the ear and jaw. In *Current Topics in*
1019 *Developmental Biology*, p.

1020 Efimenko, E., Bubb, K., Mark, H.Y., Holzman, T., Leroux, M.R., Ruvkun, G.,
1021 Thomas, J.H., and Swoboda, P. (2005). Analysis of *xbx* genes in *C. elegans*.
1022 *Development* 132, 1923–1934.

1023 Frøkjær-Jensen, C., Ailion, M., and Lockery, S.R. (2008). Ammonium-acetate is
1024 sensed by gustatory and olfactory neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS*
1025 *One* 3.

1026 Garg, A., Fitcher, B., and Leatherwood, J. (2015). A new transcription factor for
1027 mitosis: In *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the RFX transcription factor Sak1
1028 works with forkhead factors to regulate mitotic expression. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
1029 43, 6874–6888.

1030 Guo, M., Wu, T.H., Song, Y.X., Ge, M.H., Su, C.M., Niu, W.P., Li, L.L., Xu, Z.J.,
1031 Ge, C.L., Al-Mhanawi, M.T.H., et al. (2015). Reciprocal inhibition between
1032 sensory ASH and ASI neurons modulates nociception and avoidance in
1033 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Commun.*

1034 Gupta, S., Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A., Bailey, T.L., and Noble, W.S. (2007).
1035 Quantifying similarity between motifs. *Genome Biol.* 8.

1036 Haycraft, C.J., Swoboda, P., Taulman, P.D., Thomas, J.H., and Yoder, B.K.
1037 (2001). The *C. elegans* homolog of the murine cystic kidney disease gene
1038 *Tg737* functions in a ciliogenic pathway and is disrupted in *osm-5* mutant
1039 worms. *Development* 128, 1493–1505.

1040 Hilliard, M.A., Bargmann, C.I., and Bazzicalupo, P. (2002). *C. elegans* responds
1041 to chemical repellents by integrating sensory inputs from the head and the tail.
1042 *Curr. Biol.* 12, 730–734.

1043 Hilliard, M.A., Bergamasco, C., Arbucci, S., Plasterk, R.H.A., and Bazzicalupo,

1044 P. (2004). Worms taste bitter: ASH neurons, QUI-1, GPA-3 and ODR-3 mediate
1045 quinine avoidance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *EMBO J.* 23, 1101–1111.

1046 Hobert, O. (2002). PCR fusion-based approach to create reporter Gene
1047 constructs for expression analysis in transgenic *C. elegans*. *Biotechniques* 32,
1048 728–730.

1049 Hobert, O., Moerman, D.G., Clark, K.A., Beckerle, M.C., and Ruvkun, G. (1999).
1050 A conserved LIM protein that affects muscular adherens junction integrity and
1051 mechanosensory function in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J. Cell Biol.* 144, 45–57.

1052 Horani, A., and Ferkol, T.W. (2021). Understanding Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia
1053 and Other Ciliopathies. *J. Pediatr.* 230, 15-22.e1.

1054 Huber, W., Carey, V.J., Gentleman, R., Anders, S., Carlson, M., Carvalho, B.S.,
1055 Bravo, H.C., Davis, S., Gatto, L., Girke, T., et al. (2015). Orchestrating high-
1056 throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. *Nat. Methods*.

1057 Hutter, H., and Suh, J. (2016). GExplore 1.4: An expanded web interface for
1058 queries on *Caenorhabditis elegans* protein and gene function . *Worm* 5,
1059 e1234659.

1060 Kalderon, D., Roberts, B.L., Richardson, W.D., and Smith, A.E. (1984). A short
1061 amino acid sequence able to specify nuclear location. *Cell* 39, 499–509.

1062 Kaplan, J.M., and Horvitz, H.R. (1993). A dual mechanosensory and
1063 chemosensory neuron in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
1064 *A.* 90, 2227–2231.

1065 Khan, A., Fornes, O., Stigliani, A., Gheorghe, M., Castro-Mondragon, J.A., Van
1066 Der Lee, R., Bessy, A., Chèneby, J., Kulkarni, S.R., Tan, G., et al. (2018).
1067 JASPAR 2018: Update of the open-access database of transcription factor

1068 binding profiles and its web framework. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

1069 Kim, H., Ishidate, T., Ghanta, K.S., Seth, M., Conte, D., Shirayama, M., and

1070 Mello, C.C. (2014). A Co-CRISPR strategy for efficient genome editing in

1071 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **197**, 1069–1080.

1072 Kinsella, R.J., Kähäri, A., Haider, S., Zamora, J., Proctor, G., Spudich, G.,

1073 Almeida-King, J., Staines, D., Derwent, P., Kerhornou, A., et al. (2011).

1074 Ensembl BioMarts: A hub for data retrieval across taxonomic space. *Database.*

1075 Kuleshov, M. V., Jones, M.R., Rouillard, A.D., Fernandez, N.F., Duan, Q.,

1076 Wang, Z., Koplev, S., Jenkins, S.L., Jagodnik, K.M., Lachmann, A., et al.

1077 (2016). Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server

1078 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

1079 Larroux, C., Luke, G.N., Koopman, P., Rokhsar, D.S., Shimeld, S.M., and

1080 Degnan, B.M. (2008). Genesis and expansion of metazoan transcription factor

1081 gene classes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 980–996.

1082 Lewis, M., and Stracker, T.H. (2020). Transcriptional regulation of multiciliated

1083 cell differentiation. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **110**, 51–60.

1084 Li, J.B., Gerdes, J.M., Haycraft, C.J., Fan, Y., Teslovich, T.M., May-Simera, H.,

1085 Li, H., Blacque, O.E., Li, L., Leitch, C.C., et al. (2004). Comparative genomics

1086 identifies a flagellar and basal body proteome that includes the BBS5 human

1087 disease gene. *Cell* **117**, 541–552.

1088 Li, W., Kang, L., Piggott, B.J., Feng, Z., and Xu, X.Z.S. (2011b). The neural

1089 circuits and sensory channels mediating harsh touch sensation in

1090 *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat. Commun.* **2**.

1091 Liu, Y., Pathak, N., Kramer-Zucker, A., and Drummond, I.A. (2007). Notch

1092 signaling controls the differentiation of transporting epithelia and multiciliated
1093 cells in the zebrafish pronephros. *Development* 134, 1111–1122.

1094 Lucas, J.S., Davis, S.D., Omran, H., and Shoemark, A. (2020). Primary ciliary
1095 dyskinesia in the genomics age. *Lancet Respir. Med.* 8.

1096 Margie, O., Palmer, C., and Chin-Sang, I. (2013). *C. elegans* chemotaxis assay.
1097 *J. Vis. Exp.*

1098 Mello, C.C., Kramer, J.M., Stinchcomb, D., and Ambros, V. (1991). Efficient
1099 gene transfer in *C.elegans*: extrachromosomal maintenance and integration of
1100 transforming sequences. *EMBO J.* 10, 3959–3970.

1101 Mitchell, D.R. (2017). Evolution of cilia. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 9.

1102 Mukhopadhyay, A., Deplancke, B., Walhout, A.J.M., and Tissenbaum, H.A.
1103 (2005). *C. elegans* tubby regulates life span and fat storage by two independent
1104 mechanisms. *Cell Metab.* 2, 35–42.

1105 Mukhopadhyay, S., Lu, Y., Qin, H., Lanjuin, A., Shaham, S., and Sengupta, P.
1106 (2007). Distinct IFT mechanisms contribute to the generation of ciliary structural
1107 diversity in *C. elegans*. *EMBO J.* 26, 2966–2980.

1108 Nakagawa, S., Gisselbrecht, S.S., Rogers, J.M., Hartl, D.L., and Bulyk, M.L.
1109 (2013). DNA-binding specificity changes in the evolution of forkhead
1110 transcription factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 12349–12354.

1111 Narasimhan, K., Lambert, S.A., Yang, A.W.H., Riddell, J., Mnaimneh, S.,
1112 Zheng, H., Albu, M., Najafabadi, H.S., Reece-Hoyes, J.S., Fuxman Bass, J.I., et
1113 al. (2015). Mapping and analysis of *Caenorhabditis elegans* transcription factor
1114 sequence specificities. *Elife.*

1115 Packer, J.S., Zhu, Q., Huynh, C., Sivaramakrishnan, P., Preston, E., Dueck, H.,

1116 Stefanik, D., Tan, K., Trapnell, C., Kim, J., et al. (2019a). A lineage-resolved
1117 molecular atlas of *C. elegans* embryogenesis at single-cell resolution . *Science*
1118 (80-.). 365.

1119 Perkins, L., Hedgecock, E., Thomson, J., and Culotti, J. (1986). Mutant sensory
1120 cilia in the nematode *C. elegans*. *Dev. Biol.* 117, 456–487.

1121 Piasecki, B.P., Burghoorn, J., and Swoboda, P. (2010). Regulatory Factor X
1122 (RFX)-mediated transcriptional rewiring of ciliary genes in animals. *Proc. Natl.*
1123 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 12969–12974.

1124 Pierrou, S., Hellqvist, M., Samuelsson, L., Enerbäck, S., and Carlsson, P.
1125 (1994). Cloning and characterization of seven human forkhead proteins:
1126 Binding site specificity and DNA bending. *EMBO J.* 13, 5002–5012.

1127 Quigley, I.K., and Kintner, C. (2017). Rfx2 Stabilizes Foxj1 Binding at Chromatin
1128 Loops to Enable Multiciliated Cell Gene Expression. *PLoS Genet.* 13, 1–29.

1129 Robinson, J.T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E.S.,
1130 Getz, G., and Mesirov, J.P. (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat.*
1131 *Biotechnol.*

1132 Sambongi, Y., Nagae, T., Liu, Y., Yoshimizu, T., Takeda, K., Wada, Y., and
1133 Futai, M. (1999). Sensing of cadmium and copper ions by externally exposed
1134 ADL, ASE, and ASH neurons elicits avoidance response in *Caenorhabditis*
1135 *elegans*. *Neuroreport* 10, 753–757.

1136 Sawin, E., Ranganathan, R., and Horvitz, H. (2000). *C. elegans* locomotory rate
1137 is modulated by the environment through a dopaminergic pathway and by
1138 experience through a serotonergic pathway. *Neuron*.

1139 Schiebinger, G., Shu, J., Tabaka, M., Cleary, B., Subramanian, V., Solomon, A.,

1140 Gould, J., Liu, S., Lin, S., Berube, P., et al. (2019). Optimal-Transport Analysis
1141 of Single-Cell Gene Expression Identifies Developmental Trajectories in
1142 Reprogramming. *Cell* 176, 928-943.e22.

1143 Schindelin, J., Arg, I., Arganda-Carreras, I., a-Carreras, Frise, E., Kaynig, V.,
1144 Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-
1145 source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods*.

1146 Scholey, J. (2007). The sensory cilia of *Caenorhabditis elegans*_Revised.
1147 *WormBook* 8, 2903–2915.

1148 Sengupta, P., Chou, J.H., and Bargmann, C.I. (1996). odr-10 Encodes a seven
1149 transmembrane domain olfactory receptor required for responses to the odorant
1150 diacetyl. *Cell* 84, 899–909.

1151 Senti, G., and Swoboda, P. (2008). Distinct isoforms of the RFX transcription
1152 factor DAF-19 regulate ciliogenesis and maintenance of synaptic activity. *Mol.*
1153 *Biol. Cell*.

1154 Shapiro, S.S., and Martin, B.W. (1965). An Analysis of Variance Test for
1155 Normality. *Biometrika*.

1156 Shimeld, S.M., Degnan, B., and Luke, G.N. (2010). Evolutionary genomics of
1157 the Fox genes: Origin of gene families and the ancestry of gene clusters.
1158 *Genomics* 95, 256–260.

1159 Sorrells, T.R., Johnson, A.N., Howard, C.J., Britton, C.S., Fowler, K.R.,
1160 Feigerle, J.T., Weil, P.A., and Johnson, A.D. (2018). Intrinsic cooperativity
1161 potentiates parallel cis-regulatory evolution. *Elife* 7, 1–29.

1162 Starich, T.A., Herman, R.K., Kari, C.K., Yeh, W.H., Schackwitz, W.S., Schuyler,
1163 M.W., Collet, J., Thomas, J.H., and Riddle, D.L. (1995). Mutations affecting in

1164 chemosensory neurons of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **139**, 171–188.

1165 Stasio, E.A. De, Mueller, K.P., Bauer, R.J., Hurlburt, A.J., Bice, S.A., Scholtz,
1166 S.L., Phirke, P., Sugiaman-trapman, D., Stinson, L.A., and Swoboda, P. (2018).
1167 An Expanded Role for the RFX Transcription Factor. *Genetics* **208**, 1083–1097.

1168 Stefanakis, N., Carrera, I., and Hobert, O. (2015). Regulatory Logic of Pan-
1169 Neuronal Gene Expression in *C. elegans*. *Neuron*.

1170 Stubbs, J.L., Oishi, I., Izpisua Belmonte, J.C., and Kintner, C. (2008). The
1171 forkhead protein Foxj1 specifies node-like cilia in *Xenopus* and zebrafish
1172 embryos. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 1454–1460.

1173 Swoboda, P., Adler, H.T., and Thomas, J.H. (2000). The RFX-type transcription
1174 factor DAF-19 regulates sensory neuron cilium formation in *C. Elegans*. *Mol.*
1175 *Cell*.

1176 Tarashansky, A.J., Musser, J.M., Khariton, M., Li, P., Arendt, D., Quake, S.R.,
1177 and Wang, B. (2021). Mapping single-cell atlases throughout metazoa unravels
1178 cell type evolution. *Elife* **10**, 1–24.

1179 Taylor, S.R., Santpere, G., Weinreb, A., Barrett, A., Reilly, M.B., Xu, C., Varol,
1180 E., Oikonomou, P., Glenwinkel, L., McWhirter, R., et al. (2021). Molecular
1181 topography of an entire nervous system. *Cell*.

1182 Thevenon, J., Duplomb, L., Phadke, S., Eguether, T., Saunier, A., Avila, M.,
1183 Carmignac, V., Bruel, A.L., St-Onge, J., Duffourd, Y., et al. (2016). Autosomal
1184 recessive IFT57 hypomorphic mutation cause ciliary transport defect in
1185 unclassified oral–facial–digital syndrome with short stature and
1186 brachymesophalangia. *Clin. Genet.* **90**, 509–517.

1187 Thomas-Chollier, M., Herrmann, C., Defrance, M., Sand, O., Thieffry, D., and

1188 Van Helden, J. (2012a). RSAT peak-motifs: Motif analysis in full-size ChIP-seq
1189 datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

1190 Thomas-Chollier, M., Darbo, E., Herrmann, C., Defrance, M., Thieffry, D., and
1191 Van Helden, J. (2012b). A complete workflow for the analysis of full-size ChIP-
1192 seq (and similar) data sets using peak-motifs. *Nat. Protoc.*

1193 Thomas, J., Morlé, L., Soulavie, F., Laurençon, A., Sagnol, S., and Durand, B.
1194 (2010). Transcriptional control of genes involved in ciliogenesis: a first step in
1195 making cilia. *Biol. Cell* 102, 499–513.

1196 Tobin, J.L., and Beales, P.L. (2009). The nonmotile ciliopathies. *Genet. Med.*

1197 Troemel, E.R., Kimmel, B.E., and Bargmann, C.I. (1997). Reprogramming
1198 chemotaxis responses: Sensory neurons define olfactory preferences in *C.*
1199 *elegans*. *Cell* 91, 161–169.

1200 Turatsinze, J.V., Thomas-Chollier, M., Defrance, M., and van Helden, J. (2008).
1201 Using RSAT to scan genome sequences for transcription factor binding sites
1202 and cis-regulatory modules. *Nat. Protoc.*

1203 Wagner, G.P. (2015). Two rules for the detection and quantification of epistasis
1204 and other interaction effects. *Methods Mol. Biol.*

1205 Weirauch, M.T., Yang, A., Albu, M., Cote, A.G., Montenegro-Montero, A.,
1206 Drewe, P., Najafabadi, H.S., Lambert, S.A., Mann, I., Cook, K., et al. (2014).
1207 Determination and inference of eukaryotic transcription factor sequence
1208 specificity. *Cell* 158, 1431–1443.

1209 Yu, G., Wang, L.G., and He, Q.Y. (2015). ChIP seeker: An R/Bioconductor
1210 package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization.
1211 *Bioinformatics.*

1212 Yu, X., Ng, C.P., Habacher, H., and Roy, S. (2008). Foxj1 transcription factors
 1213 are master regulators of the motile ciliogenic program. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 1445–
 1214 1453.

1215 Zeisel, A., Hochgerner, H., Lönnerberg, P., Johnsson, A., Memic, F., van der
 1216 Zwan, J., Häring, M., Braun, E., Borm, L.E., La Manno, G., et al. (2018).
 1217 Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell*.

1218 Zhang, C., Zhao, N., Chen, Y., Zhang, D., Yan, J., Zou, W., Zhang, K., and
 1219 Huang, X. (2016). The signaling pathway of *Caenorhabditis elegans* mediates
 1220 chemotaxis response to the attractant 2-heptanone in a Trojan Horse-like
 1221 pathogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **291**, 23618–23627.

1222

1223

1224