A CRISPR-Cas9 screen reveals a role for WD repeat-containing protein 81 (WDR81) in the entry of late penetrating viruses

Anthony J. Snyder, Andrew T. Abad, and Pranav Danthi[#]

Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, USA

Running title: WDR81 facilitates infection by reovirus and filovirus

[#]Corresponding author: Mailing address: Department of Biology, Indiana University, 212 S. Hawthorne Drive, Bloomington, IN 47405. Phone: (812) 856-2449. Fax: (812) 856-5710. E-mail: pdanthi@indiana.edu

ABSTRACT

Successful initiation of infection by many different viruses requires their uptake into the endosomal compartment. While some viruses exit this compartment early, others must reach the degradative, acidic environment of the late endosome. Mammalian orthoreovirus (reovirus) is one such late penetrating virus. To identify host factors that are important for reovirus infection, we performed a CRISPR-Cas9 knockout (KO) screen that targets over 20,000 genes in fibroblasts derived from the embryos of C57/BL6 mice. We identified seven genes (WDR81, WDR91, RAB7, CCZ1, CTSL, GNPTAB, and SLC35A1) that were required for the induction of cell death by reovirus. Notably, CRISPR-mediated KO of WD repeat-containing protein 81 (WDR81) rendered cells resistant to reovirus infection. Susceptibility to reovirus infection was restored by complementing KO cells with human WDR81. Although the absence of WDR81 did not affect viral attachment efficiency or uptake into the endosomal compartments for initial disassembly, it delayed viral gene expression and diminished infectious virus production. Consistent with the role of WDR81 in impacting the maturation of endosomes, WDR81-deficiency led to the accumulation of reovirus particles in dead-end compartments. Though WDR81 was dispensable for infection by VSV (vesicular stomatitis virus), which exits the endosomal system at an early stage, it was required for VSV-EBO GP (VSV that expresses the Ebolavirus glycoprotein), which must reach the late endosome to initiate infection. These results reveal a broad, previously unappreciated role for WDR81 in promoting the replication of late penetrating viruses.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Viruses are obligate intracellular parasites that require the contributions of numerous host factors to complete the viral life cycle. Thus, the host-pathogen interaction can regulate cell death signaling and virus entry, replication, assembly, and egress. Functional genetic screens are useful tools to identify host factors that are important for establishing infection. Such information can also be used to understand cell biology. Notably, genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screens are robust due to their specificity and the loss of host gene expression. Mammalian orthoreovirus (reovirus) is a tractable model system to investigate the pathogenesis of neurotropic and cardiotropic viruses. Using a CRISPR-Cas9 screen, we identified WD repeat-containing protein 81 (WDR81) as an essential host factor for reovirus infection of murine cells. Ablation of WDR81 blocked a late step in the viral entry pathway. Further, our work indicates that WDR81 is required for the entry of vesicular stomatitis virus that expresses the Ebolavirus glycoprotein.

INTRODUCTION

As obligate intracellular parasites, viruses are dependent on host factors for many stages of virus replication. Classically, these factors were identified individually using biochemical methods and then biologically validated. More recently, functional genetic screens have been performed using genome-wide siRNA libraries, insertional mutagenesis of haploid cell lines, and CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screens [1-3]. Among these, CRISPR-Cas9 screening methods are likely the most robust because they are highly specific and typically result in the complete loss of gene expression due to genetic ablation. Curiously, however, screens for proviral host factors against the same virus in different cell lines can result in the identification of distinct host factors [4, 5]. Such results indicate that while a subset of host factors is required to support replication of the virus in all cell types, the relative importance of other host factors for replication in different cell types varies. These results suggest that screens performed under different conditions are likely to reveal new host dependency factors.

Mammalian orthoreovirus (reovirus) is a segmented dsRNA virus with two concentric, protein shells [6]. Reovirus is used as a tractable model to investigate the pathogenesis of neurotropic and cardiotropic viruses. Multiple host and viral determinants that influence reovirus replication and host responses in human or murine cell lines also influence reovirus pathogenesis in a newborn mouse model [7-11]. As such, a number of proviral host factors have been identified for reovirus. These include cell surface molecules, such as cell surface glycans [12, 13], Junctional adhesion molecule A (JAM-A) [14], Nogo Receptor 1 (NgR1) [15], and β 1 integrin [16, 17], that directly engage

reovirus particles. The steps following reovirus attachment are also dependent on host factors that deliver reovirus particles to late endosomes [18-22]. Within endosomes, low pH-dependent cathepsin B and L proteases mediate disassembly of the particle to generate an entry intermediate called infectious subviral particle (ISVP) [23]. ISVPs undergo conformational transitions to form ISVP*s and deliver the genome-containing core particle (inner shell) into the host cytoplasm [24]. Cores transcribe viral mRNA that is translated and packaged into progeny cores generated from viral proteins. Following intraparticle dsRNA synthesis, the outer shell proteins are assembled onto the particle for subsequent release from infected cells. Surprisingly, with the exception of the TRiC chaperonin complex, which aids in reovirus outer shell assembly [25, 26], few other host factors that act after ISVP formation have been identified.

In this work, we report the identification of host factors that are required for the infection of mouse embryo fibroblasts by reovirus using a CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen. Among these, we find the BEACH (beige and Chediak–Higashi) and WD40 repeat-containing protein, WDR81, is required. WDR81 localizes to endosomes and controls endosomal maturation by modulating phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PtdIns3P) levels [27]. In the absence of WDR81, the transport of cargo destined for lysosomal enzyme mediated degradation is inhibited [27, 28]. We find that in WDR81-deficient cells, native reovirus particles are endocytosed and converted to ISVPs but fail to initiate infection. Thus, WDR81 is required for the functional entry of ISVPs, which are generated in endosomes. Additionally, using vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) and VSV

that expresses the Ebolavirus glycoprotein (VSV-EBO GP), we find a broader role for WDR81 in the entry of viruses that pass through the late endosome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. Murine L929 (L) cells were grown at 37°C in Joklik's Minimal Essential Medium (Lonza) supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Life Technologies), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin (Invitrogen), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 25 ng/ml amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich). C57/BL6-derived mouse embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) (American Type Culture Collection) were grown at 37°C in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen). Baby Hamster Kidney-21 (BHK-21) cells (American Type Culture Collection) were grown at 37°C in Minimal Essential Medium (Mediatech) supplemented with 10% FBS (Life Technologies), nonessential amino acids (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin (Invitrogen), and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen). Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 FT cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were grown at 37°C in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with GlutaMAX (Gibco) and 10% FBS (Life Technologies). All reovirus experiments were performed with Type 3 Dearing from the Cashdollar laboratory (T3D^{CD}), which was provided by Dr. John Parker (Cornell University) [29], or with Type 1 Lang (T1L), which was generated by plasmid-based reverse genetics [30]. Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV [GFP]) and Ebola Virus (EBO) glycoprotein (GP) expressing VSV (VSV-EBO GP [GFP]) were provided by Dr. Sean Whelan (Washington

University School of Medicine in St. Louis). VSV (GFP) and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) were engineered to express green fluorescent protein (GFP) within infected cells [31].

Reovirus propagation purification. Reovirus T3D^{CD} and T1L were propagated and purified as previously described [32]. Briefly, L cells infected with second passage reovirus stocks were lysed by sonication. Virions were extracted from lysates using Vertrel-XF specialty fluid (Dupont). The extracted particles were layered onto 1.2- to 1.4-g/cm³ CsCl step gradients. The gradients were then centrifuged at 187,000×g for 4 h at 4°C. Bands corresponding to purified virions (~1.36 g/cm³) [33] were isolated and dialyzed into virus storage buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 15 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM NaCl). Following dialysis, the particle concentration was determined by measuring the optical density of the purified virion stocks at 260 nm (OD₂₆₀; 1 unit at OD₂₆₀ = 2.1×10¹² particles/ml) [33]. The purification of virions was confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie brilliant blue (Sigma-Aldrich) staining.

Generation of reovirus infectious subviral particles (ISVPs). Unlabeled and Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488)-labeled T3D^{CD} or T1L virions (2×10¹² particles/ml) were digested with 200 µg/ml *N*-*p*-tosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone-treated chymotrypsin (Worthington Biochemical) in a total volume of 100 µl for 20 min at 32°C [34]. The reactions were then incubated on ice for 20 min and quenched by the addition of 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (Sigma-Aldrich). The generation of ISVPs was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie brilliant blue (Sigma-Aldrich) staining.

VSV (GFP) and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) propagation. BHK-21 cells were adsorbed with stocks of VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 24 h at 37°C. Cell medium containing VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) was isolated and stored at -80°C.

Dynamic light scattering. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} (2×10^{12} particles/ml) were analyzed using a Zetasizer Nano S dynamic light scattering system (Malvern Instruments). All measurements were made at room temperature in a quartz Suprasil cuvette with a 3.00-mm-path length (Hellma Analytics). For each sample, the size distribution profile was determined by averaging readings across 15 iterations.

Reovirus plaque assays. Plaque assays to determine infectivity were performed as previously described [35]. Briefly, virions, ISVPs, or infected cell lysates were diluted into phosphate buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 2 mM MgCl₂ (PBS^{Mg}). L cells grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were infected with 250 µl of the diluted virus for 1 h at room temperature. Following the viral attachment incubation, the monolayers were overlaid with 4 ml of serum-free medium 199 (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 1% Bacto Agar (BD Biosciences), 10 µg/ml TLCK-treated chymotrypsin (Worthington, Biochemical), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin (Invitrogen), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 25 ng/ml amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich). The infected cells were incubated at 37°C, and plaques were counted at 5 days post infection.

VSV (GFP) and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) plaque assays. Cell medium containing VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) was diluted into PBS^{Mg}. BHK-21 cells grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were infected with 250 µl of the diluted virus for 1 h at room temperature. Following the viral attachment incubation, the monolayers were overlaid with 2 ml of Minimal Essential Medium (Mediatech) supplemented with 5% FBS (Life Technologies), nonessential amino acids (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 100 U/ml penicillin (Invitrogen), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 1% low melt agarose (Fisher Scientific). The infected cells were incubated at 37°C, and plaques were counted at 2 days post infection.

Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 screen. The genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 screen was performed using the mouse GeCKOv2 sgRNA library as previously described (Fig. 1A) (Addgene) [36]. Briefly, lentivirus that harbored the mouse GeCKOv2 sgRNA library in the lentiCRISPRv2 vector (Addgene) was produced in HEK293FT cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific). MEF cells grown in 150-mm dishes (Greiner Bio-One) were transduced with the sgRNA library lentivirus at 0.3 infectious units/cell diluted in 8 µg/ml polybrene (EMD Millipore) as previously described [36], and the transduced cells were selected with 2 µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) in growth medium for 5 days at 37°C. The cells were plated for full coverage of the library (pools A and B) [36]. The transduced and puromycin-resistant cells were then adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 5 plaque forming units (PFUs)/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 7 d at 37°C. After 7 d, the virus-resistant

cell population was transferred to fresh 150-mm dishes (Greiner Bio-One) and allowed to expand in growth medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) for 1-2 d at 37°C. Following expansion, the genomic DNA (gDNA) was prepared using the *Quick-DNA Midiprep Plus Kit* (Zymo Research), and the integrated sgRNAs were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [36]. The PCR products were isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequent gel extraction using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). The purified PCR products were then processed and sequenced using the NextSeq 75 – High Output (82 cycles in read 1, 8 cycles in index 1, and 8 cycles in index 2 SE reads) (Illumina). The sequencing data was analyzed using the Model-Based Analysis of Genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 Knockout (MAGeCK) system [37].

Generation of CRISPR modified cell lines. Non-targeting (NT), Cathepsin L (CTSL), and WD repeat-containing protein 81 (WDR81) variant cell lines were generated using CRISPR technology. Briefly, the sgRNA sequences representing NT, CTSL, and WDR81 targets were cloned into the lentiCRISPRv2 vector (Addgene) using Golden Gate assembly reactions [36]. The primers used for cloning were as follows: NT ([5'-CACCGCTGAAAAAGGAAGGAGTTGA-3'] and [5'-AAACTCAACTCCTTCCTTTTTCAGC-3']), CTSL ([5'-CACCGCATTACCTGAACTATAGAAC-3'] and [5'-AAACGTTCTATAGTTCAGGTAATGC-3']), and WDR81 ([5'-CACCGCAGCCGACTGAACAGCCGCA-3'] and [5'-AAACTGCGGCTGTTCAGTCGGCTGC-3']). Lentivirus that harbored the NT, CTSL, or

WDR81 sgRNA in the lentiCRISPRv2 vector (Addgene) was produced in HEK293FT cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific). MEF cells grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were transduced with the lentivirus at 0.3 infectious units/cell diluted in 8 µg/ml polybrene (EMD Millipore) as previously described, and the transduced cells were selected with 2 µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) in growth medium for 5 days at 37°C. The transduced and puromycin-resistant cells were then transferred to 150-mm dishes (Greiner Bio-One) by limiting-dilution and allowed to expand in growth medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) for 3-5 d at 37°C. Single colonies were isolated using glass cloning cylinders (Sigma-Aldrich), transferred to 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One), and allowed to expand in growth medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) for 3-5 d at 37°C. To identify and validate isolates that contain a modified CTSL or WDR81, gDNA was prepared using the *Quick-DNA* Midiprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research), and the gene encoding regions were amplified by PCR. The primers used for amplification were as follows: CTSL ([5'-GGACATAGTGTCCATAAGTCCTC-3'] and [5'-CTTCCGTGGGATTCTCATTTCTC-3']) and WDR81([5'-GTAGGAAGACCACAGATTCAAGTG-3'] and [5'-CCAGCTGAGTGATAAGAGCAGTAC-3']). The PCR products were isolated by agarose gel electrophoresis and subsequent gel extraction using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). The purified PCR products then were processed and sequenced. The primers used for sequencing were as follows: CTSL ([5'-GGTTCCAGAACCCATATTAGACAG-3'] and [5'-GAGTTCGCTGTGGCTAATGACAC-3']) and WDR81([5'-CAAGACCTCGTGGCTAATGC-3'] and [5'-CCACATTGCCTACCTGTATGGAG-3']).

Generation of WDR81 complementing cell lines. NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were generated using a lentivirus transduction and selection strategy. Briefly, the lentiviral vectors pHRSIN.pSFFV MCS(+) pSV40 Blast and pHRSIN.pSFFV 2xHA-WDR81 pSV40 Blast, which encode empty and human WDR81 fused to two hemagglutinin (HA) tags, respectively, were provided by Dr. Paul Lehner (University of Cambridge) [28]. These vectors were used to produce lentivirus in HEK293FT cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific). NT and Δ WDR81 cells grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were transduced with the lentiviruses at 0.3 infectious units/cell diluted in 8 μ g/ml polybrene (EMD Millipore) as previously described, and the transduced cells were selected with 2 μ g/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) and 8 μ g/ml blasticidin (InvivoGen) in growth medium for 5 days at 37°C. The transduced and puromycin- and blasticidin-resistant cells were then transferred to 150-mm dishes (Greiner Bio-One) by limiting-dilution and allowed to expand in growth medium supplemented with 2 μ g/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) and 8 μ g/ml blasticidin (InvivoGen) for 3-5 d at 37°C. Single colonies were isolated using glass cloning cylinders (Sigma-Aldrich), transferred to 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One), and allowed to expand in growth medium supplemented with 2 μ g/ml puromycin (InvivoGen) and 8 μ g/ml blasticidin (InvivoGen) for 3-5 d at 37°C. To identify and validate isolates that express 2xHA-WDR81, NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were grown in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). At ~90% confluency, the cells were fixed with 100 μ l of methanol for 30 min at -20°C, washed three times with PBS, and blocked with PBS supplemented with 2.5% BSA and 0.25% Triton X-100 (TX-100) for 30 min at room temperature. The blocked cells were incubated with an α -HA-biotin primary antibody

(Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:1,000 into PBS supplemented with 0.25% TX-100 for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS followed by incubation with an IRDye 800CW Streptavidin secondary antibody (LI-COR) diluted 1:5,000 into PBS supplemented with 0.25% TX-100 for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and total cells were labeled with a 1:1,000 dilution of DRAQ5 (Cell Signaling Technology) for 5 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS and scanned using an Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR).

Sequence analysis. The reference sequences for *Mus musculus* (house mouse) derived CTSL and WDR81 and for *Homo sapiens* (human) derived WDR81 were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information database.

Assessment of reovirus-induced cell death. NT, Δ CTSL, Δ WDR81, NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were grown in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 24 or 48 h at 37°C. Cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) by following the manufacturer's instructions. Relative cell viability was calculated by dividing the luminescent values of infected cells by the luminescent values of uninfected cells.

Assessment of VSV (GFP)- and VSV-EBO GP (GFP)-induced cell death. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were grown in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells

were adsorbed with VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 18 or 26 h at 37°C. Cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. Relative cell viability was calculated by dividing the luminescent values of infected cells by the luminescent values of uninfected cells.

Assessment of reovirus cell attachment by indirect immunofluorescence.

Quantification of reovirus attachment was performed as previously described [38]. Briefly, NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells grown in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were chilled for 15 min at 4°C. The chilled cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 1.0×10⁶ virions/cell or 1.0×10⁶ ISVPs/cell for 1 h at 4°C. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with chilled PBS and blocked with PBS supplemented with 5% bovine serum albumin (PBS-BSA) for 10 min at 4°C. The cells were then incubated with an α-reovirus primary antibody [39] diluted 1:2,500 into PBS-BSA for 30 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS-BSA followed by incubation with an IRDye 800CW secondary antibody (LI-COR) diluted 1:1,000 into PBS-BSA for 30 min at 4°C. After two washes with PBS-BSA, total cells were labeled with a 1:1,000 dilution of DRAQ5 (Cell Signaling Technology) for 5 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS-BSA and then fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature. The fixed plates were scanned using an Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR). The binding index was quantified by the ratio of green (attached virus) and red (total cells) fluorescence using Image Studio Lite software (LI-COR).

Assessment of reovirus in-cell particle disassembly. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81

cells grown in 24-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) were chilled for 15 min at 4°C. The chilled cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 1.0×10^3 virions/cell for 1 h at 4°C. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium at 37°C. At the indicated times post infection, the infected monolayers were washed three times with PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% DOC, 0.1% SDS, and 1 mM EDTA). The cell lysates were solubilized in reducing SDS sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The levels of reovirus μ 1C/ δ and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein Cdk1 were determined by Western blot using an anti-reovirus primary antibody and an anti-PSTAIR primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively.

Assessment of reovirus infectivity by RNA transcription. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81

cells were grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 6 h at 37°C. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and lysed with Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center). Total RNA was extracted from the cell lysates by following the manufacturer's instructions. For quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR), 1 μ g of RNA was reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer's instructions and gene specific primers against the T3D^{CD} S1 gene segment ([5'-TGGCGAGATTATTCCTGAC-3']) and the murine

glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA ([5'-GGATGCAGGGATGATGTTCT-3']). The cDNA was diluted 1:10 into ultrapure H₂O, mixed with forward and reverse detection primers (T3D^{CD} S1 forward [5'-TACGCGTTGATCACGACAAT-3'] and T3D^{CD} S1 reverse [5'-TGGCGAGATTATTCCTGAC-3'] or GAPDH forward [5'-ACCCAGAAGACTGTGGATGG-3'] and GAPDH reverse [5'-GGATGCAGGGATGATGTTCT-3']) and SYBR Select Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), and then subjected to PCR using the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Multiple qRT-PCR measurements were made for each sample. ΔC_T values were calculated by subtracting the threshold cycle (C_T) values of GAPDH from the C_T values of the T3D^{CD} S1 gene segment. Levels of RNA transcription with respect to the control sample was quantified using the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method.

Assessment of reovirus infectivity by initiation of protein synthesis. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were grown in 24-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium at 37°C. At the indicated times post infection, the infected monolayers were washed three times with PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 1% DOC, 0.1% SDS, and 1 mM EDTA). The cell lysates were solubilized in reducing SDS sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The levels of reovirus σ NS and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein CDK1 were determined by Western blot using an

anti-σNS primary antibody [40] and an anti-PSTAIR primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively.

Assessment of VSV (GFP) and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) infectivity by initiation of protein synthesis. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium at 37°C in an IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell Analysis System (Sartorius). At the indicated times post infection, three images per well were acquired using a X10 objective and green (excitation [440-480 nm], emission [504-544 nm]) and phase channels. The images were analyzed using the IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell Analysis System (Sartorius) software and plotted as GFP positive cells (per image) / Percent cell confluency versus Time post infection (h).

Assessment of reovirus infectivity by indirect immunofluorescence. NT, ΔCTSL, ΔWDR81, NT-empty, ΔWDR81-empty, and ΔWDR81-WDR81 cells were grown in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 18 h at 37°C. The infected monolayers were fixed with 100 μl of methanol for 30 min at -20°C, washed three times with PBS, and blocked with PBS supplemented with 2.5% BSA and 0.25% Triton X-100 (TX-100) for 30 min at room temperature. The blocked cells were incubated with an α-reovirus primary antibody diluted 1:5,000 into PBS supplemented with 0.25% TX-100 for 30 min at 37°C. The cells

were washed three times with PBS followed by incubation with an IRDye 800CW secondary antibody (LI-COR) diluted 1:5,000 into PBS supplemented with 0.25% TX-100 for 30 min at 37°C. The cells were then washed three times with PBS and total cells were labeled with a 1:1,000 dilution of DRAQ5 (Cell Signaling Technology) for 5 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times with PBS and scanned using an Odyssey imaging system (LI-COR). Infectivity index was quantified by the ratio of green (virus infected cells) and red (total cells) fluorescence using Image Studio Lite software (LI-COR).

Single step reovirus growth assay. NT, Δ CTSL, Δ WDR81, NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} or T1L at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 18 h at 37°C. The infected cells were lysed by two freeze-thaw cycles and the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay.

Single-step VSV (GFP) and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) growth assay. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were grown in 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-One). The cells were adsorbed with VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) at 5 PFUs/cell for 1 h at room temperature. After 1 h, the cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated in growth medium for 10 h (VSV [GFP]) or 18 h (VSV-EBO GP [GFP]) at 37°C. Cell media containing VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) were collected, and the virus titer was determined by plaque assay.

413

414 **Conjugation of Alexa Fluor 488 to purified reovirus.** Purified reovirus was labeled

415 using the Alexa Fluor 488 Protein Labeling Kit (Invitrogen). Briefly, T3D^{CD} virions

416 (9×10^{13} particles/ml) were diluted into fresh 50 mM sodium bicarbonate (pH 8.5) and

417 incubated in one vial of AF488 carboxylic acid, tetrafluorophenyl ester for 90 min at

418 room temperature in the dark. After 90 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition

419 of virus storage buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 15 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM NaCl), and the

420 labeled virions were layered onto 1.2- to 1.4-g/cm³ CsCl step gradients. The gradients

421 were then centrifuged at 187,000×g for 4 h at 4°C. Bands corresponding to purified and

422 labeled virions (~ 1.36 g/cm³) [33] were isolated and dialyzed into virus storage buffer

423 (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 15 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM NaCl). Following dialysis, the particle

424 concentration was determined by measuring the optical density of the purified virion

425 stocks at 260 nm (OD₂₆₀; 1 unit at OD₂₆₀ = 2.1×10^{12} particles/ml) [33]. The purification of

426 labeled virions was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and scanning for AF488 fluorescence

427 using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

428

429 **Assessment of reovirus localization within an infected cell.** NT, ΔCTSL, and

430 ΔWDR81 cells were grown on a four chambered polystyrene vessel tissue culture

431 treated glass slide (Corning). The cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} (AF488) at 10,000

432 particles/cell for 1 h at 4°C. After 1 h, the chilled cells were washed three times with

433 PBS and incubated in growth medium for 2 h at 37°C. The infected monolayers were

434 then fixed with 200 μl of 3.7% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed

435 three times with PBS, and stained with 200 μl of 1.0 μg/ml 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI; Invitrogen) diluted in PBS. The fixed and stained cells were washed with PBS and mounted using Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences). Confocal images of infected cells were acquired using a Leica SP8 Scanning Confocal Microscope controlled by Leica-X software. The images were obtained using a X63 oil-immersion objective and White Light and 405 nm lasers and HyD detectors. Three-dimensional image stacks were acquired by recording sequential sections through the z-axis. All images were processed with ImageJ software (two-dimensional maximum intensity projections) [41].

Statistical analyses. Unless otherwise noted, the results from all experiments represent three or four biological replicates. Horizontal bars indicate the means. Error bars indicate the standard deviations. *P* values were calculated using one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni Correction or Student's *t* test (two-tailed, unequal variance assumed). For comparison of titers (Figs. 2H, 3D, and 7H), two criteria were used to assign significance: *P* value of ≤ 0.05 and difference in titer of $\geq 1 \log(\text{PFU/ml})$ unit.

RESULTS

CRISPR-Cas9 screen uncovers host factors that are required for reovirus infection. Toward the goal of identifying as yet unknown host factors that are required for reovirus infection of host cells, we undertook a genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 positive selection screen in murine embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from C57/BL6 mice. We selected MEFs for this screen because unlike transformed cells that are typically used to propagate and investigate reovirus infection, MEFs have a genomic makeup that

resembles the normal diploid genome of the murine host. Additionally, multiple host factors that are important for reovirus infection and the host response have been identified or studied using MEFs [8, 9, 23, 42, 43]. To complete this screen, MEFs were transduced with lentiviruses derived from the Mouse CRISPR Knockout Pooled Library (GeCKO v2) in a one vector system, which encodes Cas9 and a single-guide RNA (sgRNA). Transduced cells, which were selected with puromycin, were challenged with reovirus strain T3D-Cashdollar (T3D^{CD}). T3D^{CD} is significantly more cytotoxic than other laboratory isolates of T3D [29]. A small percentage of reovirus-resistant cells were obtained and subsequently expanded. The representation of sgRNAs in the transduced pool (prior to reovirus infection) and the reovirus-resistant pool (obtained following reovirus infection) was quantified by deep sequencing PCR products that were amplified from the genomic DNA (gDNA) (Fig. 1A).

The GeCKO v2 library contains ~100,000 unique sgRNAs that target the mouse genome. Each gene is represented by 6 unique sgRNAs. The sequencing results were subjected to MAGeCK analysis, which ranks positively selected hits based on the number of sgRNAs enriched for each gene and the extent of enrichment of these sgRNAs following selection [37]. Using a false discovery rate (FDR) cut-off of ≤ 0.05 , MAGeCK identified 7 significant hits (Figs. 1B and 1C). These hits include SLC35A1 and CTSL, whose gene products are required for reovirus infection. SLC35A1 is necessary for the cell surface expression of sialic acid, which serves as a receptor for type 3 reovirus strains, such as T3D [44]. CTSL encodes the endosomal protease, cathepsin L, which is required for capsid disassembly, a necessary step in reovirus cell

entry [23]. The five additional hits that were identified in our screen have not been directly tested through gene knockdown or knockout experiments to evaluate their function in reovirus infection. For our current study, we characterized the function of a poorly studied BEACH (beige and Chediak–Higashi)- and WD40 repeat-containing protein, WDR81. Interestingly, WDR81 interacts with WDR91 [27, 28], which was also identified in our work (Figs. 1B and 1C) and in another screen for proviral factors of reovirus infection [44].

To evaluate the function of WDR81 in reovirus infection, we used CRISPR-Cas9 editing to generate WDR81-deficient MEFs. Sequencing the gDNA of Δ WDR81 MEFs indicated that gene editing resulted in the introduction of a premature stop codon in the WDR81 open reading frame (Fig. 1E). Δ CTSL MEFs, which were similarly generated by CRISPR-Cas9 editing, contained an in-frame deletion in the CTSL gene. Δ CTSL MEFs were used as a positive control cell line that is unable to support reovirus infection (Fig. 1D). We first measured viability of control non-targeting (NT), Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells following infection with T3D. We found that the viability of NT cells decreased at 48 h post infection (hpi). In contrast, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 remained resistant to reovirus-induced cell death (Fig. 2A). These results validate our CRISPR-Cas9 screen. Further, our data suggest a previously unidentified role for WDR81 in impacting reovirus-induced cell death or a role for WDR81 in supporting events in reovirus infection, which ultimately affect reovirus-induced cell death.

Reovirus fails to launch infection in the absence of WDR81. Reovirus initiates infection through the engagement of one or more cell surface receptors (Fig. 2B). To determine whether the absence of WDR81 influences reovirus attachment, NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were adsorbed with reovirus, and the attached reovirus was quantified by indirect immunofluorescence. Our results demonstrate equivalent attachment of reovirus to each cell type (Fig. 2C). These data indicate that Δ WDR81 does not influence reovirus attachment. Attached and internalized reovirus particles traffic through the endosomal-lysosomal system where they are acted on by luminal proteases, such as cathepsin L and B [23]. These proteases degrade the viral $\sigma 3$ outer-capsid protein and cleave the $\mu 1$ protein to produce the particle-associated δ and ϕ fragments to form ISVPs (Fig. 2B). As a marker for ISVP formation, we monitored the cleavage of $\mu 1$ into δ in NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells. We found that δ was rapidly formed from $\mu 1$ in NT and Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 2D). In contrast, $\mu 1$ remained uncleaved in Δ CTSL cells due to the absence of functional cathepsin L. These data indicate that Δ WDR81 is not required for ISVP formation. Further, these results demonstrate that the absence of CTSL and WDR81 renders cells resistant to reovirus-induced cell death via different mechanisms.

Successful disassembly of reovirus results in the delivery of the reovirus core particle to the host cytoplasm (Fig. 2B) [6]. Cytoplasmically localized cores become transcriptionally active and synthesize viral mRNA using a viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, its cofactors, and capping machinery that are all contained within the core. The accumulation of viral S1 mRNA 6 hpi was measured using RT-qPCR. We found

that compared to NT cells, the levels of the S1 gene transcript in Δ CTSL and Δ WDR81 were substantially lower (Fig. 2E). These data suggest that reovirus fails to launch infection in the absence of WDR81. Consistent with lower mRNA expression, we found that reovirus protein synthesis, as measured by the expression of the non-structural σ NS protein, was not detected in Δ CTSL cells and is significantly delayed in Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 2F). Reovirus infectivity was also measured by indirect immunofluorescence. Similar to the results shown above, reovirus infectivity was significantly lower in Δ CTSL and Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 2G). Importantly, the reductions in RNA synthesis and protein synthesis were sufficient to produce a $\sim 1.5 \log_{10}$ diminishment in virus production from Δ CTSL and Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 2H). The output of reovirus strain Type 1 Lang (T1L), was similarly lower from Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 2I). Together, our data indicate that WDR81 is required for reovirus replication. These results also suggest that a step after ISVP formation requires WDR81 (Fig. 2D). Because viral RNA synthesis is the first step that is affected in the absence of WDR81, either RNA synthesis itself or events between ISVP formation and RNA synthesis, appear to require WDR81 (Fig. 2E). Such steps could include ISVP-to-ISVP* conversion, pore formation, or core delivery into the host cytoplasm [45]. Because WDR81 directly affects the early events in infection, the increased survival of Δ WDR81 cells to reovirus infection is at least in part related to the inability of these cells to support reovirus infection. For the purpose of this study, we did not evaluate if the absence of WDR81 influences cell death independent of the capacity to allow reovirus infection.

Complementation of Δ WDR81 cells with human WDR81 restores sensitivity to

reovirus infection. To ensure that the observed defect in reovirus infection of Δ WDR81

cells is related to the genetic ablation of WDR81, we transduced Δ WDR81 with an

empty lentivirus or a lentivirus that confers expression of 2xHA-tagged human WDR81

(hu-WDR81), which shares 88% homology with murine WDR81. Expression of hu-

WDR81 in Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells, but not in Δ WDR81-empty cells, was confirmed by

indirect immunofluorescence (Fig. 3A). The complemented cells were challenged with

reovirus to determine whether the expression of hu-WDR81 restores sensitivity to

reovirus-induced cell death. As expected, NT-empty cells succumbed to infection in a

time-dependent manner, whereas Δ WDR81-empty cells remained resistant. In contrast,

Δ WDR81-WDR81 succumbed to reovirus infection, albeit to a slightly lower level than

NT-empty cells (Fig. 3B). We also measured reovirus infectivity in Δ WDR81-empty and

Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells using indirect immunofluorescence. The infectivity of reovirus in

Δ WDR81-empty cells was significantly lower than that of NT-empty cells. In contrast,

the infectivity of reovirus was partially restored in Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells (Fig. 3C). The

incomplete complementation could be due to improper expression levels or because of

less efficient interaction of hu-WDR81 with its murine partners. Nonetheless, Δ WDR81-

empty cells produced $\sim 1.0 \log_{10}$ fewer infectious particles than NT-empty and Δ WDR81-

WDR81 cells (Fig. 3D). The phenotypic rescue of Δ WDR81 cells by the re-introduction

of hu-WDR81 confirms a role for controlling an early event in reovirus replication.

WDR81 is dispensable for infection by reovirus ISVPs. *In vitro* treatment of virions

with chymotrypsin results in the degradation of $\sigma 3$ and the cleavage of $\mu 1$ to δ and ϕ to

form ISVPs (Figs. 4A and 4B) [34]. These particles remain intact and monodisperse in solution (Fig. 4C). Moreover, *in vitro* generated ISVPs are indistinguishable from those that are formed within endosomes and, thus, are capable of launching infection. Using a plate-based attachment assay, we found that ISVPs bind to the surface of NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells with equivalent efficiency (Fig. 4D). The S1 gene transcript accumulated to the same extent in NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells (Fig. 4E). Consistent with these results, the kinetics of σ NS expression were similar between all cell types (Fig. 4F). Compared to NT cells, the infectivity of ISVPs in Δ CTSL and in Δ WDR81 cells was only slightly lower (Fig. 4G). Critically, each of the three cell types supported the production of reovirus progeny to an equivalent extent (Fig. 4H). We observed comparable results when infection was initiated with ISVPs of strain T1L (Fig. 4I). These data indicate that CTSL and WDR81 are dispensable for reovirus infection that is initiated by ISVPs. The mechanisms of reovirus RNA synthesis and protein synthesis are thought to be identical, regardless of whether the infection is initiated with virions or ISVPs. Thus, our data indicate that the steps following core delivery into the host cytoplasm (Fig. 2B) are not dependent on the presence of WDR81.

Virions, but not ISVPs, accumulate in a dead-end compartment in the absence of WDR81. Because of the difference in the outcome of infection by virions and ISVPs (Figs 2 and 4), we sought to follow the fate of reovirus particles early in infection in NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells. For this purpose, virions were chemically conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488) and repurified. This labeling was most prominent on the σ 3 and μ 1 proteins (Fig. 5A). The reovirus λ proteins were also labeled. The labeling does

not affect the dispersion in solution as labeled and unlabeled particles showed an expected hydrodynamic diameter of ~100 nm (Fig. 5B). Moreover, AF488-labeled particles produce plaques with the same specific infectivity as the unlabeled particles (Fig. 5C). Upon infection of NT cells, AF488-labeled particles were found in cytoplasmic puncta, likely resembling endosomes. In Δ CTSL cells, even though the particles are unable to exit the endosome, the distribution of particles was similar to that observed in NT cells. In contrast, infection of Δ WDR81 cells produced puncta that appeared substantially larger and brighter, suggesting the presence of multiple particles at those sites (Fig. 5D).

Similar experiments were completed with AF488-labeled ISVPs that were generated using chymotrypsin. The δ fragment of ISVP-associated μ 1 retained fluorescence signal along with the λ proteins (Fig. 6A). Labeled ISVPs also remained monodisperse and infectious (Figs. 6B and 6C). The distribution of ISVPs within infected NT and Δ CTSL cells was similar to that observed for virions in the same cell type (Figs. 5D and 6D). Interestingly, the large puncta that were observed following infection of Δ WDR81 cells with virions were no longer present (Figs 5D and 6D). The distribution of ISVPs in Δ WDR81 cells was similar to the distribution in NT and Δ CTSL cells. Together, our data support the idea that the reduced capacity of virions to launch infection is related to their accumulation in a non-productive compartment due to the absence of WDR81. Because virion-to-ISVP conversion occurs normally in WDR81-deficient cells (Fig. 2D), we think that ISVPs accumulate in this dead-end compartment.

WDR81 is required for infection by late penetrating viruses. Whereas reovirus virions must travel to late endosomes to launch infection, ISVPs can initiate infection either at the plasma membrane or from within early stages of the endocytic uptake pathway [18, 46]. Based on our observation that infection by virions is blocked by the absence of WDR81 and infection by ISVPs is not, we hypothesized that WDR81 is required for the entry of late penetrating viruses (i.e., viruses that must transit through the late endosome to initiate infection) [47]. To test this idea, we used vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), an early penetrating virus, and VSV that expresses the Ebola virus glycoprotein, a late penetrating virus, in place of the VSV G protein (VSV- EBO GP). Each of these viruses were engineered to express green fluorescent protein (GFP) in infected cells.

We first tested the capacity of VSV (GFP) to compromise the viability of NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells. Infection of NT cells with VSV (GFP) resulted in increasing cell death from 18 to 26 hpi (Fig. 7A). Whereas cell death was delayed in VSV (GFP) infected Δ CTSL and Δ WDR81 cells, the differences between NT and either CTSL- or WDR81-deficient cells were modest by 26 h. The basis for these differences in cell death kinetics was not investigated in this study. The capacity of VSV (GFP) to establish infection in these cell types was also tested by quantifying the fraction of GFP expressing cells over time using an Incucyte S3 Live Cell Imager. Starting from equally confluent monolayers (Fig. 7C), we found that VSV (GFP) established infection with indistinguishable kinetics in all cell types (Fig. 7D). Correspondingly, NT, Δ CTSL, and

Δ WDR81 cells produced infectious progeny at similar levels (Fig. 7E). Thus, WDR81 is dispensable for the replication of VSV (GFP) in MEFs.

We next tested the capacity of VSV-EBO GP (GFP) to affect the viability of NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells. Whereas NT cells succumbed to VSV-EBO GP (GFP) infection within 26 h, the viability of CTSL- and WDR81-deficient cells was unaffected (Fig. 7B). Cathepsin L activity is required for infection by Ebolavirus and VSV-EBO GP (GFP) [48]. Thus, the resistant phenotype of Δ CTSL cells was expected. To determine whether WDR81 is also required for infection by VSV-EBO GP (GFP), we quantified the appearance of GFP-positive cells over time. Starting from equally confluent monolayers (Fig. 7F), we observed that NT cells were efficiently infected by VSV-EBO GP (GFP). In contrast, minimal GFP-positive cells were observed in Δ CTSL cells, whereas the infectivity of VSV-EBO GP (GFP) in Δ WDR81 cells was intermediate between NT and Δ CTSL cells (Fig. 7G). Consistent with this, infectious progeny production by VSV-EBO GP (GFP) was reduced in absence of either cathepsin L or WDR81 (Fig. 7H). Collectively, our results suggest that viruses that travel through the late endosome share a dependence on WDR81.

DISCUSSION

In this manuscript, we present the results of a CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen to identify host factors that are important for reovirus infection. We characterize the role of the endosomal protein WDR81. We find that in the absence of WDR81, reovirus particles are trapped in a compartment that is unable to launch viral gene expression and the

viral replication program. The requirement for WDR81 is not unique to reovirus. We find that infection with VSV-EBO GP (GFP), which mimics the cell entry pathway of native Ebolavirus, is inhibited by the absence of WDR81. Together, our work identifies a new host factor that is important for the entry of late penetrating viruses.

We show that infection by reovirus is dependent upon WDR81; in its absence, ISVPs are generated but do not continue the infectious cycle. These data suggest that ISVP-to-ISVP* conversion, pore formation, and/or core delivery require functional WDR81. Remarkably, these steps only require WDR81 when infection is initiated by virions. *In vitro* generated ISVPs remain capable of successfully completing virus replication [34]. Our data indicate that the requirements for the entry of *in vitro* generated ISVPs may be different. This idea is supported by another study demonstrating that endosomal cholesterol levels impact infection initiated by virions but not infection initiated by ISVPs (Ortega et al, 2021*). These results counter the generally accepted assumption that once disassembled particles encounter a membrane, the remainder of the entry process (i.e., ISVP-to-ISVP* conversion, pore formation, and core delivery) is similar regardless of whether the infection was initiated by virions or ISVPs [49-52]. One possible reason for this difference may be related to the site of membrane penetration. Extracellularly produced ISVPs are no longer dependent upon disassembly by endosomal proteases and, thus, can cross the plasma membrane or the membrane of an early-stage endocytic uptake vesicle [18, 46]. In contrast, virions must transit through early endosomes and reach late endosomes to successfully launch infection [22]. Because

WDR81 is localized to endosomal membranes, it is possible that it directly or indirectly influences the late stages of disassembly.

WDR81 and its binding partner WDR91, which was also identified in this screen, are important for endosome maturation [27]. Early and late endosomes are marked by their respective resident Rab GTPases. During maturation, Rab5 in early endosomes is replaced by Rab7 in late endosomes [53]. This Rab switch is accompanied by a change in the type or content of phosphoinositide species [53]. Early endosomes predominantly contain phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PtdIns3P). In contrast, late endosomes contain phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate (PtdIns(3,5)P₂). Each Rab GTPase, along with the phosphatidylinositol type, recruits effector proteins and, therefore, influences the timely biogenesis and function of endosomes [53]. In WDR81- or WDR91-deficient cells, the levels of PtdIns3P increase because the activity of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) is no longer repressed [27]. This results in the enlargement of the early endosomal compartment. Furthermore, cargos destined for lysosomes are not efficiently delivered to that site [27, 28]. It is possible that the defect in endosomal maturation, due to the loss of WDR81 activity, contributes to the inhibition of reovirus infection. In addition to WDR81 and WDR91, our screen uncovered two other proteins, Rab7 and CCZ1, that are required for endosomal maturation and reovirus infection. As described above, the Rab5-to-Rab7 switch is a hallmark of endosome maturation. This step is stalled in the absence of Rab7 [54-56]. CCZ1 serves as a guanine exchange factor for Rab7 [57, 58]. In the absence of CCZ1, Rab7 remains inactive, leading to the blockade of endosome maturation. This effect is consistent with the evidence that reovirus virions

must reach the late endosome for a productive infection [22]. Based on the observation that cathepsin mediated disassembly occurs normally in Δ WDR81 cells, our data suggest that some other aspect of the late endosomal environment, such as the presence of a specific protein, a characteristic lipid environment, or a precise pH, is important for infection. Indeed, membrane lipids and pH influence ISVP-to-ISVP* conversion *in vitro* [51, 52, 59]. Though the role of lipid composition in ISVP* formation during infection is unexplored, when reovirus ISVPs reach an over-acidified compartment, they fail to launch infection, likely due to failure to form ISVP*s [24, 59].

Our work is congruent with a parallel study, which used CRISPR-Cas9 and RNA interference screens to identify Niemann-Pick C1 (NPC1) as a putative host factor for reovirus entry (Ortega et al, 2021*). NPC1 mediates the transport of cholesterol from late endosomes and lysosomes to the endoplasmic reticulum [60]. NPC1-deficient cells were unable to support the delivery of transcriptionally active reovirus core particles to the host cytoplasm, whereas treatment with hydroxypropyl- β -cyclodextrin, which binds and solubilizes cholesterol, restored infection (Ortega et al, 2021*). Thus, reovirus cannot launch infection from endocytic vesicles that are disrupted by the loss of WDR81 or NPC1. Whether the phenotypes produced from each of these deficiencies are related remains to be determined.

Similar to reovirus, Ebolavirus must transit through the late endosome to initiate infection [61, 62]. Following attachment to cell surface receptors, Ebolavirus traffics to the endolysosomal compartment. Within endosomes, Ebolavirus GP is cleaved by

cathepsin B and L to expose the intracellular receptor binding region [48]. Cleaved GP then interacts with NPC1 within late endosomes [31, 63]. This interaction is necessary for eventual fusion of the viral and host membranes. Our results indicate that VSV-EBO GP (GFP), which follows the entry pathway of native Ebolavirus, fails to efficiently initiate infection in the absence of WDR81. Because cathepsin activity in Δ WDR81 cells is sufficient to disassemble reovirus, we expect that Ebolavirus GP cleavage also occurs in these cells. As such, our hypothesis is that VSV-EBO GP (GFP) cannot launch infection because particles containing cleaved GP do not reach NPC1 positive compartments. Alternatively, infection by VSV-EBO GP (GFP) is blocked at a step following NPC1 interaction [62]. Recent CRISPR-Cas9 screens to identify host factors that are required for coronavirus infection also identified WDR81 and/or WDR91 [64-66]. The coronavirus spike protein is cleaved to become fusion competent [67]. This cleavage can occur at the plasma membrane (by the action of TMPRSS2) or within endosomes (by the action of cathepsin proteases). Work using spike mutants, which can only enter by one of these routes, suggests that WDR81-WDR91 are dispensable for plasma membrane entry but are required for endosomal entry [66]. The precise step in the coronavirus entry pathway that is dependent on WDR81-WDR91 is undefined. Nonetheless, our work demonstrates that aberrant trafficking in the absence of WDR81 is the basis for reduced infectivity for multiple late penetrating viruses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank members of our laboratory and the Indiana University virology community for helpful suggestions. We are also grateful to Dr. Terry Dermody (University of Pittsburgh) for review of our manuscript and for sharing their unpublished results. Next generation sequencing was performed in the Indiana University Center for Genomics and Bioinformatics. Dynamic light scattering was performed in the Indiana University Physical Biochemistry Instrumentation Facility. Confocal microscopy was performed in the Indiana University Light Microscopy Imaging Center.

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under award numbers R01AI110637 and R03AI142013 (PD). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funders.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceived and designed the experiments: AJS PD. Performed the experiments: AJS ATA. Analyzed the data: AJS ATA PD. Wrote the paper: AJS PD.

FIGURE LEGENDS

FIG 1 Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen. (A) Strategy and workflow to identify host factors that are important for reovirus infection. (B and C) Screen results for

gene-targeting sgRNAs that were enriched in the virus-resistant cell population. WDR81 sgRNA sequences were highly represented in the recovered clones (n = 2 biological replicates). (D and E) Genomic DNA and protein sequences for CTSL- (D) and WDR81- (E) deficient cell lines. The CTSL- and WDR81-targeting sgRNA sequences are bolded in red and blue, respectively.

FIG 2 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by T3D^{CD} or T1L virions. (A) Induction of cell death. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (B) Schematic of the reovirus entry pathway. (C) Viral attachment. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} virions at 1.0×10^6 particles/cell, and the binding index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (D) Viral disassembly (virion-to-ISVP). NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 1.0×10^3 particles/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus μ1C and δ proteins and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein Cdk1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of μ1C, δ, and PSTAIR are indicated on the left (n = 3 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (E) Viral RNA transcription. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 6 hpi, the levels of the reovirus S1 gene transcript were measured using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (F) Initiation of viral protein

synthesis. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus σ NS protein and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein Cdk1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of σ NS and PSTAIR are indicated on the left (n = 3 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (G) Levels of infectivity. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (H and I) Production of infectious virus. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (H) or T1L (I) virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates).

FIG 3 Complementation of WDR81-deficient cells. (A) WDR81 complementing cell lines. The levels of 2xHA-WDR81 in each cell line were determined by indirect immunofluorescence. The DRAQ5 (total cells) signal is false colored in red, and the IRDye 800CW (2xHA-WDR81) is false colored in green (n = 4 biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Induction of cell death. NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; **, $P \leq 0.005$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (C) Levels of infectivity. NT-empty, Δ WDR81-empty, and Δ WDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with

T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (D) Production of infectious virus. NT-empty, ΔWDR81-empty, and ΔWDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates).

FIG 4 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by T3D^{CD} or T1L ISVPs. (A) Schematic of reovirus virions and ISVPs. (B) Protein compositions. T3D^{CD} virions and ISVPs were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained. The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. μ1 resolves as μ1C, and μ1δ resolves as δ [68] (n = 4 biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Size distribution profiles. T3D^{CD} virions and ISVPs were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (n = 4 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (D) Viral attachment. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 1.0×10^6 particles/cell, and the binding index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (E) Viral RNA transcription. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 6 h post infection (hpi), the levels of the reovirus S1 gene transcript were measured using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (F) Initiation of viral protein synthesis. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus σNS protein and the PSTAIR

epitope of the host protein CDK1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of σ NS and PSTAIR are indicated on the left ($n = 3$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (G) Levels of infectivity. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; *, $P \leq 0.05$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (H and I) Production of infectious virus. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} or T1L ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means ($n = 4$ biological replicates).

FIG 5 Cellular localization of T3D^{CD} (AF488) virions following infection. (A) Protein compositions. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained (left side panel) and scanned for AF488 fluorescence (right side panel). The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. μ 1 resolves as μ 1C [68] ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Size distribution profiles. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions were analyzed by dynamic light scattering ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Specific infectivity. The titers of unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions (2×10^{12} particles/ml) were determined by plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (D) Localization within an infected cell. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (AF488) at 10,000 virions/cell. At 2 h post infection (hpi), the infected monolayers were fixed, stained with DAPI, mounted, and imaged by confocal microscopy. The

images were obtained using a X63 oil-immersion objective and processed using ImageJ software [41]. The DAPI signal is false colored in blue, and the AF488 signal is false colored in green. The inset boxes in the 'Merge' column are expanded in the 'Merge (zoom)' column. The scale bars represent 20 μm ($n = 3$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown).

FIG 6 Cellular localization of T3D^{CD} (AF488) ISVPs following infection. (A) Protein compositions. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained (left side panel) and scanned for AF488 fluorescence (right side panel). The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. $\mu 1\delta$ resolves as δ [68] ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Size distribution profiles. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs were analyzed by dynamic light scattering ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Specific infectivity. The titers of unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs (2×10^{12} particles/ml) were determined by plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (D) Localization within an infected cell. NT, ΔCTSL , and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (AF488) at 10,000 ISVPs/cell. At 2 h post infection (hpi), the infected monolayers were fixed, stained with DAPI, mounted, and imaged by confocal microscopy. The images were obtained using a X63 oil-immersion objective and processed using ImageJ software [41]. The DAPI signal is false colored in blue, and the AF488 signal is false colored in green. The inset boxes in the 'Merge' column are expanded in the 'Merge

(zoom)' column. The scale bars represent 20 μ m (n = 3 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown).

FIG 7 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP). (A and B) Induction of cell death. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (A) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (B) at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 and 26 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; *, $P \leq 0.05$, **, $P \leq 0.005$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (C, D, F, and G) Initiation of protein synthesis. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (D) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (G) at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the number of total cells per image and the number of GFP positive cells per image were measured using the IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell Analysis System. The 'Percent cell confluency' at the 1 h timepoints is shown in panels C and F. Horizontal bars indicate the means (C and F); error bars indicate the standard deviations (D and G); *, $P \leq 0.05$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (E and H) Production of infectious virus. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (E) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (H) at 5 PFUs/cell. At 10 (E) or 18 (H) hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates).

REFERENCES

1. Hirsch AJ. The use of RNAi-based screens to identify host proteins involved in viral replication. *Future Microbiol.* 2010;5(2):303-11. Epub 2010/02/11. doi:

- 915 10.2217/fmb.09.121. PubMed PMID: 20143951; PubMed Central PMCID:
916 PMCPMC2864646.
- 917 2. Puschnik AS, Majzoub K, Ooi YS, Carette JE. A CRISPR toolbox to study virus-
918 host interactions. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2017;15(6):351-64. Epub 2017/04/20. doi:
919 10.1038/nrmicro.2017.29. PubMed PMID: 28420884; PubMed Central PMCID:
920 PMCPMC5800792.
- 921 3. Carette JE, Guimaraes CP, Wuethrich I, Blomen VA, Varadarajan M, Sun C, et
922 al. Global gene disruption in human cells to assign genes to phenotypes by deep
923 sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2011;29(6):542-6. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1857. PubMed PMID:
924 21623355; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3111863.
- 925 4. Bailey AL, Diamond MS. A Crisp(r) New Perspective on SARS-CoV-2 Biology.
926 *Cell.* 2021;184(1):15-7. Epub 2020/12/19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.003. PubMed
927 PMID: 33338422; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7746090
928 Biopharmaceuticals, and the Carnival Corporation and on the Scientific Advisory Boards
929 of Moderna and Immunome. The Diamond laboratory has received unrelated funding
930 support from Moderna, Vir Biotechnology, and Emergent BioSolutions.
- 931 5. Flint M, Chatterjee P, Lin DL, McMullan LK, Shrivastava-Ranjan P, Bergeron E,
932 et al. A genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate
933 transferase as a potential antiviral target for Ebola virus. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):285.
934 Epub 2019/01/19. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-08135-4. PubMed PMID: 30655525;
935 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6336797.
- 936 6. Dermody TS, Parker JC, Sherry B. Orthoreoviruses. In: Knipe DM, Howley PM,
937 editors. *Fields Virology.* 2. Sixth ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2013. p.
938 1304-46.
- 939 7. Holm GH, Pruijssers AJ, Li L, Danthi P, Sherry B, Dermody TS. Interferon
940 regulatory factor 3 attenuates reovirus myocarditis and contributes to viral clearance. *J*
941 *Virol.* 2010;84(14):6900-8. Epub 2010/05/14. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01742-09. PubMed
942 PMID: 20463082; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2898218.
- 943 8. Holm GH, Zurney J, Tumilasci V, Leveille S, Danthi P, Hiscott J, et al. Retinoic
944 acid-inducible gene-I and interferon-beta promoter stimulator-1 augment proapoptotic
945 responses following mammalian reovirus infection via interferon regulatory factor-3. *J*
946 *Biol Chem.* 2007;282(30):21953-61. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M702112200. PubMed PMID:
947 17540767.
- 948 9. Danthi P, Pruijssers AJ, Berger AK, Holm GH, Zinkel SS, Dermody TS. Bid
949 regulates the pathogenesis of neurotropic reovirus. *PLoS Pathog.* 2010;6:e1000980.
950 Epub 2010/07/10. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000980. PubMed PMID: 20617182;
951 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2895667.
- 952 10. Danthi P, Coffey CM, Parker JS, Abel TW, Dermody TS. Independent regulation
953 of reovirus membrane penetration and apoptosis by the mu1 phi domain. *PLoS Pathog.*

- 954 2008;4(12):e1000248. Epub 2008/12/30. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000248. PubMed
955 PMID: 19112493; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2600812.
- 956 11. Stencel-Baerenwald J, Reiss K, Blaum BS, Colvin D, Li XN, Abel T, et al. Glycan
957 engagement dictates hydrocephalus induction by serotype 1 reovirus. MBio.
958 2015;6(2):e02356. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02356-14. PubMed PMID: 25736887; PubMed
959 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4358001.
- 960 12. Reiss K, Stencel JE, Liu Y, Blaum BS, Reiter DM, Feizi T, et al. The GM2 glycan
961 serves as a functional coreceptor for serotype 1 reovirus. PLoS Pathog.
962 2012;8(12):e1003078. Epub 2012/12/14. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003078. PubMed
963 PMID: 23236285; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3516570.
- 964 13. Reiter DM, Frierson JM, Halvorson EE, Kobayashi T, Dermody TS, Stehle T.
965 Crystal structure of reovirus attachment protein sigma1 in complex with sialylated
966 oligosaccharides. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(8):e1002166. Epub 2011/08/11. doi:
967 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002166. PubMed PMID: 21829363; PubMed Central PMCID:
968 PMC3150272.
- 969 14. Barton ES, Forrest JC, Connolly JL, Chappell JD, Liu Y, Schnell FJ, et al.
970 Junction adhesion molecule is a receptor for reovirus. Cell. 2001;104(3):441-51. Epub
971 2001/03/10. PubMed PMID: 11239401.
- 972 15. Konopka-Anstadt JL, Mainou BA, Sutherland DM, Sekine Y, Strittmatter SM,
973 Dermody TS. The Nogo receptor NgR1 mediates infection by mammalian reovirus. Cell
974 Host Microbe. 2014;15(6):681-91. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.05.010. PubMed PMID:
975 24922571; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4100558.
- 976 16. Maginnis MS, Forrest JC, Kopecky-Bromberg SA, Dickeson SK, Santoro SA,
977 Zutter MM, et al. Beta1 integrin mediates internalization of mammalian reovirus. J Virol.
978 2006;80(6):2760-70. Epub 2006/02/28. doi: 10.1128/JVI.80.6.2760-2770.2006. PubMed PMID: 16501085; PubMed Central PMCID:
979 PMC1395463.
- 980 17. Koehler M, Petitjean SJL, Yang J, Aravamudan P, Somoulay X, Lo Giudice C,
981 et al. Reovirus directly engages integrin to recruit clathrin for entry into host cells. Nat
982 Commun. 2021;12(1):2149. Epub 2021/04/14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22380-0.
983 PubMed PMID: 33846319; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8041799.
- 984 18. Boulant S, Stanifer M, Kural C, Cureton DK, Massol R, Nibert ML, et al. Similar
985 uptake but different trafficking and escape routes of reovirus virions and infectious
986 subviral particles imaged in polarized Madin-Darby canine kidney cells. Mol Biol Cell.
987 2013;24(8):1196-207. Epub 2013/02/22. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E12-12-0852. PubMed
988 PMID: 23427267; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3623640.
- 989 19. Schulz WL, Haj AK, Schiff LA. Reovirus uses multiple endocytic pathways for cell
990 entry. J Virol. 2012;86(23):12665-75. Epub 2012/09/14. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01861-12.
991 PubMed PMID: 22973022; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3497677.
- 992

- 993 20. Maginnis MS, Mainou BA, Derdowski A, Johnson EM, Zent R, Dermody TS.
994 NPXY motifs in the beta1 integrin cytoplasmic tail are required for functional reovirus
995 entry. J Virol. 2008;82(7):3181-91. Epub 2008/01/25. doi: JVI.01612-07 [pii]
996 10.1128/JVI.01612-07. PubMed PMID: 18216114; PubMed Central PMCID:
997 PMC2268482.
- 998 21. Mainou BA, Dermody TS. Src kinase mediates productive endocytic sorting of
999 reovirus during cell entry. J Virol. 2011;85(7):3203-13. Epub 2011/01/21. doi:
1000 10.1128/JVI.02056-10. PubMed PMID: 21248042; PubMed Central PMCID:
1001 PMC3067878.
- 1002 22. Mainou BA, Dermody TS. Transport to late endosomes is required for efficient
1003 reovirus infection. J Virol. 2012;86(16):8346-58. Epub 2012/06/08. doi:
1004 10.1128/JVI.00100-12. PubMed PMID: 22674975; PubMed Central PMCID:
1005 PMC3421701.
- 1006 23. Ebert DH, Deussing J, Peters C, Dermody TS. Cathepsin L and cathepsin B
1007 mediate reovirus disassembly in murine fibroblast cells. J Biol Chem.
1008 2002;277(27):24609-17. Epub 2002/05/03. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M201107200. PubMed
1009 PMID: 11986312.
- 1010 24. Chandran K, Parker JS, Ehrlich M, Kirchhausen T, Nibert ML. The delta region of
1011 outer-capsid protein m1 undergoes conformational change and release from reovirus
1012 particles during cell entry. Journal of Virology. 2003;77(24):13361-75. PubMed PMID:
1013 14645591.
- 1014 25. Knowlton JJ, Fernandez de Castro I, Ashbrook AW, Gestaut DR, Zamora PF,
1015 Bauer JA, et al. The TRiC chaperonin controls reovirus replication through outer-capsid
1016 folding. Nat Microbiol. 2018;3(4):481-93. Epub 2018/03/14. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-
1017 0122-x. PubMed PMID: 29531365; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5874176.
- 1018 26. Knowlton JJ, Gestaut D, Ma B, Taylor G, Seven AB, Leitner A, et al. Structural
1019 and functional dissection of reovirus capsid folding and assembly by the prefoldin-
1020 TRiC/CCT chaperone network. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(11). Epub
1021 2021/04/11. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2018127118. PubMed PMID: 33836586; PubMed
1022 Central PMCID: PMCPMC7980406.
- 1023 27. Liu K, Jian Y, Sun X, Yang C, Gao Z, Zhang Z, et al. Negative regulation of
1024 phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate levels in early-to-late endosome conversion. J Cell
1025 Biol. 2016;212(2):181-98. Epub 2016/01/20. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201506081. PubMed
1026 PMID: 26783301; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4738380.
- 1027 28. Rapiteanu R, Davis LJ, Williamson JC, Timms RT, Paul Luzio J, Lehner PJ. A
1028 Genetic Screen Identifies a Critical Role for the WDR81-WDR91 Complex in the
1029 Trafficking and Degradation of Tetherin. Traffic. 2016;17(8):940-58. Epub 2016/04/30.
1030 doi: 10.1111/tra.12409. PubMed PMID: 27126989; PubMed Central PMCID:
1031 PMCPMC5025723.

- 1032 29. Coffey CM, Sheh A, Kim IS, Chandran K, Nibert ML, Parker JS. Reovirus outer
1033 capsid protein m1 induces apoptosis and associates with lipid droplets, endoplasmic
1034 reticulum, and mitochondria. *Journal of Virology*. 2006;80(17):8422-38.
- 1035 30. Kobayashi T, Ooms LS, Ikizler M, Chappell JD, Dermody TS. An improved
1036 reverse genetics system for mammalian orthoreoviruses. *Virology*. 2010;398(2):194-
1037 200. Epub 2010/01/01. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2009.11.037. PubMed PMID: 20042210;
1038 PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2823833.
- 1039 31. Miller EH, Obernosterer G, Raaben M, Herbert AS, Deffieu MS, Krishnan A, et al.
1040 Ebola virus entry requires the host-programmed recognition of an intracellular receptor.
1041 *EMBO J*. 2012;31(8):1947-60. Epub 2012/03/08. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2012.53. PubMed
1042 PMID: 22395071; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3343336.
- 1043 32. Berard A, Coombs KM. Mammalian reoviruses: propagation, quantification, and
1044 storage. *Curr Protoc Microbiol*. 2009;Chapter 15:Unit15C 1. Epub 2009/08/05. doi:
1045 10.1002/9780471729259.mc15c01s14. PubMed PMID: 19653214.
- 1046 33. Smith RE, Zweerink HJ, Joklik WK. Polypeptide components of virions, top
1047 component and cores of reovirus type 3. *Virology*. 1969;39(4):791-810.
- 1048 34. Nibert ML, Chappell JD, Dermody TS. Infectious subviral particles of reovirus
1049 type 3 Dearing exhibit a loss in infectivity and contain a cleaved s1 protein. *Journal of*
1050 *Virology*. 1995;69:5057-67.
- 1051 35. Middleton JK, Severson TF, Chandran K, Gillian AL, Yin J, Nibert ML.
1052 Thermostability of reovirus disassembly intermediates (ISVPs) correlates with genetic,
1053 biochemical, and thermodynamic properties of major surface protein mu1. *J Virol*.
1054 2002;76(3):1051-61. Epub 2002/01/05. PubMed PMID: 11773381; PubMed Central
1055 PMCID: PMC135780.
- 1056 36. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F. Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries
1057 for CRISPR screening. *Nat Methods*. 2014;11(8):783-4. Epub 2014/07/31. doi:
1058 10.1038/nmeth.3047. PubMed PMID: 25075903; PubMed Central PMCID:
1059 PMCPMC4486245.
- 1060 37. Li W, Xu H, Xiao T, Cong L, Love MI, Zhang F, et al. MAGeCK enables robust
1061 identification of essential genes from genome-scale CRISPR/Cas9 knockout screens.
1062 *Genome Biol*. 2014;15(12):554. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0554-4. PubMed PMID:
1063 25476604; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4290824.
- 1064 38. Thete D, Snyder AJ, Mainou BA, Danthi P. Reovirus mu1 Protein Affects
1065 Infectivity by Altering Virus-Receptor Interactions. *J Virol*. 2016;90(23):10951-62. Epub
1066 2016/09/30. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01843-16. PubMed PMID: 27681135; PubMed Central
1067 PMCID: PMCPMC5110151.
- 1068 39. Wetzal JD, Chappell JD, Fogo AB, Dermody TS. Efficiency of viral entry
1069 determines the capacity of murine erythroleukemia cells to support persistent infections

- 1070 by mammalian reoviruses. J Virol. 1997;71(1):299-306. Epub 1997/01/01. PubMed
1071 PMID: 8985350; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC191051.
- 1072 40. Becker MM, Peters TR, Dermody TS. Reovirus sigma NS and mu NS proteins
1073 form cytoplasmic inclusion structures in the absence of viral infection. J Virol.
1074 2003;77(10):5948-63. Epub 2003/04/30. PubMed PMID: 12719587; PubMed Central
1075 PMCID: PMC154006.
- 1076 41. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
1077 image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):671-5. Epub 2012/08/30. doi:
1078 10.1038/nmeth.2089. PubMed PMID: 22930834; PubMed Central PMCID:
1079 PMCPMC5554542.
- 1080 42. Connolly JL, Rodgers SE, Clarke P, Ballard DW, Kerr LD, Tyler KL, et al.
1081 Reovirus-induced apoptosis requires activation of transcription factor NF-kappaB. J
1082 Virol. 2000;74(7):2981-9. Epub 2000/03/09. PubMed PMID: 10708412; PubMed Central
1083 PMCID: PMC111796.
- 1084 43. Antar AA, Konopka JL, Campbell JA, Henry RA, Perdigoto AL, Carter BD, et al.
1085 Junctional adhesion molecule-A is required for hematogenous dissemination of
1086 reovirus. Cell Host Microbe. 2009;5(1):59-71. Epub 2009/01/22. doi: S1931-
1087 3128(08)00398-3 [pii]
1088 10.1016/j.chom.2008.12.001. PubMed PMID: 19154988; PubMed Central PMCID:
1089 PMC2642927.
- 1090 44. Urbanek K, Sutherland DM, Orchard RC, Wilen CB, Knowlton JJ, Aravamudhan
1091 P, et al. Cytidine Monophosphate N-Acetylneuraminic Acid Synthetase and Solute
1092 Carrier Family 35 Member A1 Are Required for Reovirus Binding and Infection. J Virol.
1093 2020;95(2). Epub 2020/10/23. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01571-20. PubMed PMID: 33087464;
1094 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7944449.
- 1095 45. Gummersheimer SL, Snyder AJ, Danthi P. Control of Capsid Transformations
1096 during Reovirus Entry. Viruses. 2021;13(2). Epub 2021/01/27. doi: 10.3390/v13020153.
1097 PubMed PMID: 33494426; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7911961.
- 1098 46. Borsa J, Morash BD, Sargent MD, Copps TP, Lievaart PA, Szekely JG. Two
1099 modes of entry of reovirus particles into L cells. Journal of General Virology.
1100 1979;45:161-70.
- 1101 47. Lozach PY, Huotari J, Helenius A. Late-penetrating viruses. Curr Opin Virol.
1102 2011;1(1):35-43. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2011.05.004. PubMed PMID: 22440565.
- 1103 48. Chandran K, Sullivan NJ, Felbor U, Whelan SP, Cunningham JM. Endosomal
1104 proteolysis of the Ebola virus glycoprotein is necessary for infection. Science.
1105 2005;308(5728):1643-5.
- 1106 49. Agosto MA, Ivanovic T, Nibert ML. Mammalian reovirus, a nonfusogenic
1107 nonenveloped virus, forms size-selective pores in a model membrane. Proceedings of

1108 the National Academy of Sciences USA. 2006;103(44):16496-501. PubMed PMID:
1109 17053074.

1110 50. Ivanovic T, Agosto MA, Zhang L, Chandran K, Harrison SC, Nibert ML. Peptides
1111 released from reovirus outer capsid form membrane pores that recruit virus particles.
1112 *Embo J.* 2008;27:1289-98. PubMed PMID: 18369316.

1113 51. Snyder AJ, Danthi P. Lipid Membranes Facilitate Conformational Changes
1114 Required for Reovirus Cell Entry. *J Virol.* 2015;90(5):2628-38. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02997-
1115 15. PubMed PMID: 26699639; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4810687.

1116 52. Snyder AJ, Danthi P. Lipids Cooperate with the Reovirus Membrane Penetration
1117 Peptide to Facilitate Particle Uncoating. *J Biol Chem.* 2016;291(52):26773-85. doi:
1118 10.1074/jbc.M116.747477. PubMed PMID: 27875299; PubMed Central PMCID:
1119 PMCPMC5207185.

1120 53. Huotari J, Helenius A. Endosome maturation. *EMBO J.* 2011;30(17):3481-500.
1121 Epub 2011/09/01. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2011.286. PubMed PMID: 21878991; PubMed
1122 Central PMCID: PMC3181477.

1123 54. Press B, Feng Y, Hoflack B, Wandinger-Ness A. Mutant Rab7 causes the
1124 accumulation of cathepsin D and cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor in
1125 an early endocytic compartment. *J Cell Biol.* 1998;140(5):1075-89. Epub 1998/04/18.
1126 doi: 10.1083/jcb.140.5.1075. PubMed PMID: 9490721; PubMed Central PMCID:
1127 PMCPMC2132709.

1128 55. Vitelli R, Santillo M, Lattero D, Chiariello M, Bifulco M, Bruni CB, et al. Role of the
1129 small GTPase Rab7 in the late endocytic pathway. *J Biol Chem.* 1997;272(7):4391-7.
1130 Epub 1997/02/14. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.7.4391. PubMed PMID: 9020161.

1131 56. Feng Y, Press B, Wandinger-Ness A. Rab 7: an important regulator of late
1132 endocytic membrane traffic. *J Cell Biol.* 1995;131(6 Pt 1):1435-52. Epub 1995/12/01.
1133 doi: 10.1083/jcb.131.6.1435. PubMed PMID: 8522602; PubMed Central PMCID:
1134 PMCPMC2120682.

1135 57. Wang CW, Stromhaug PE, Shima J, Klionsky DJ. The Ccz1-Mon1 protein
1136 complex is required for the late step of multiple vacuole delivery pathways. *J Biol Chem.*
1137 2002;277(49):47917-27. Epub 2002/10/05. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M208191200. PubMed
1138 PMID: 12364329; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2754690.

1139 58. Nordmann M, Cabrera M, Perz A, Bocker C, Ostrowicz C, Engelbrecht-Vandre
1140 S, et al. The Mon1-Ccz1 complex is the GEF of the late endosomal Rab7 homolog
1141 Ypt7. *Curr Biol.* 2010;20(18):1654-9. Epub 2010/08/28. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2010.08.002.
1142 PubMed PMID: 20797862.

1143 59. Thete D, Danthi P. Conformational changes required for reovirus cell entry are
1144 sensitive to pH. *Virology.* 2015;483:291-301. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.04.025. PubMed
1145 PMID: 26004253.

- 1146 60. Watari H, Blanchette-Mackie EJ, Dwyer NK, Glick JM, Patel S, Neufeld EB, et al.
1147 Niemann-Pick C1 protein: obligatory roles for N-terminal domains and lysosomal
1148 targeting in cholesterol mobilization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96(3):805-10.
1149 Epub 1999/02/03. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.3.805. PubMed PMID: 9927649; PubMed
1150 Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1151 61. Saeed MF, Kolokoltsov AA, Albrecht T, Davey RA. Cellular entry of ebola virus
1152 involves uptake by a macropinocytosis-like mechanism and subsequent trafficking
1153 through early and late endosomes. *PLoS Pathog*. 2010;6(9):e1001110. Epub
1154 2010/09/24. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001110. PubMed PMID: 20862315; PubMed
1155 Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1156 62. Spence JS, Krause TB, Mittler E, Jangra RK, Chandran K. Direct Visualization of
1157 Ebola Virus Fusion Triggering in the Endocytic Pathway. *mBio*. 2016;7(1):e01857-15.
1158 Epub 2016/02/11. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01857-15. PubMed PMID: 26861015; PubMed
1159 Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1160 63. Carette JE, Raaben M, Wong AC, Herbert AS, Obernosterer G, Mulherkar N, et
1161 al. Ebola virus entry requires the cholesterol transporter Niemann-Pick C1. *Nature*.
1162 2011;477(7364):340-3. Epub 2011/08/26. doi: 10.1038/nature10348. PubMed PMID:
1163 21866103; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1164 64. Wang R, Simoneau CR, Kulsuptrakul J, Bouhaddou M, Travisano K, Hayashi JM,
1165 et al. Functional genomic screens identify human host factors for SARS-CoV-2 and
1166 common cold coronaviruses. *bioRxiv*. 2020. Epub 2020/10/01. doi:
1167 10.1101/2020.09.24.312298. PubMed PMID: 32995787; PubMed Central PMCID:
1168 PMC15306.
- 1169 65. Daniloski Z, Jordan TX, Wessels HH, Hoagland DA, Kasela S, Legut M, et al.
1170 Identification of Required Host Factors for SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Human Cells. *Cell*.
1171 2021;184(1):92-105 e16. Epub 2020/11/05. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.030. PubMed
1172 PMID: 33147445; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1173 66. Zhu Y, Feng F, Hu G, Wang Y, Yu Y, Zhu Y, et al. A genome-wide CRISPR
1174 screen identifies host factors that regulate SARS-CoV-2 entry. *Nat Commun*.
1175 2021;12(1):961. Epub 2021/02/13. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21213-4. PubMed PMID:
1176 33574281; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC15306.
- 1177 67. Heald-Sargent T, Gallagher T. Ready, set, fuse! The coronavirus spike protein
1178 and acquisition of fusion competence. *Viruses*. 2012;4(4):557-80. Epub 2012/05/17. doi:
1179 10.3390/v4040557. PubMed PMID: 22590686; PubMed Central PMCID:
1180 PMC15306.
- 1181 68. Nibert ML, Odegard AL, Agosto MA, Chandran K, Schiff LA. Putative
1182 autocleavage of reovirus m1 protein in concert with outer-capsid disassembly and
1183 activation for membrane permeabilization. *Journal of Molecular Biology*.
1184 2005;345(3):461-74.
1185

1186 *, submitted manuscript: Ortega et al
 1187
 1188 Ortega P, Tayler G, Jangra RK, Tenorio R, Fernandez de Castro I, Mainou BA, Orchard
 1189 RC, Wilen CB, Bringleb PA, Sojati J, Chandran K, Virgin HW, Risco C, Dermody TS.
 1190 Reovirus infection is regulated by NPC1 and endosomal cholesterol homeostasis.
 1191 Submitted. 2021.

FIG 1 Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screen. (A) Strategy and workflow to identify host factors that are important for reovirus infection. (B and C) Screen results for gene-targeting sgRNAs that were enriched in the virus-resistant cell population. WDR81 sgRNA sequences were highly represented in the recovered clones (n = 2 biological replicates). (D and E) Genomic DNA and protein sequences for CTSL- (D) and WDR81- (E) deficient cell lines. The CTSL- and WDR81-targeting sgRNA sequences are bolded in red and blue, respectively.

FIG 2 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by T3D^{CD} or T1L virions. (A) Induction of cell death. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (B) Schematic of the reovirus entry pathway. (C) Viral attachment. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} virions at 1.0×10^6 particles/cell, and the binding index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (D) Viral disassembly (virion-to-ISVP). NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 1.0×10^3 particles/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus μ1C and δ proteins and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein Cdk1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of μ1C, δ, and PSTAIR are indicated on the left ($n = 3$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (E) Viral RNA transcription. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 6 hpi, the levels of the reovirus S1 gene transcript were measured using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (F) Initiation of viral protein synthesis. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus σNS protein and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein Cdk1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of σNS and PSTAIR are indicated on the left ($n = 3$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (G) Levels of infectivity. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (H and I) Production of infectious virus. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (H) or T1L (I) virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates).

FIG 3 Complementation of WDR81-deficient cells. (A) WDR81 complementing cell lines. The levels of 2xHA-WDR81 in each cell line were determined by indirect immunofluorescence. The DRAQ5 (total cells) signal is false colored in red, and the IRDye 800CW (2xHA-WDR81) signal is false colored in green (n=4 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Induction of cell death. NT-empty, ΔWDR81-empty, and ΔWDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 24 and 48 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; **, $P \leq 0.005$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (C) Levels of infectivity. NT-empty, ΔWDR81-empty, and ΔWDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (D) Production of infectious virus. NT-empty, ΔWDR81-empty, and ΔWDR81-WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} virions at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ (n = 4 biological replicates).

FIG 4 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by T3D^{CD} or T1L ISVPs. (A) Schematic of reovirus virions and ISVPs. (B) Protein compositions. T3D^{CD} virions and ISVPs were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained. The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. $\mu 1$ resolves as $\mu 1C$, and $\mu 1\delta$ resolves as δ [68] (n = 4 biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Size distribution profiles. T3D^{CD} virions and ISVPs were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (n = 4 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (D) Viral attachment. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were adsorbed with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 1.0×10^6 particles/cell, and the binding index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (E) Viral RNA transcription. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 6 h post infection (hpi), the levels of the reovirus S1 gene transcript were measured using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (F) Initiation of viral protein synthesis. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the levels of the reovirus σ NS protein and the PSTAIR epitope of the host protein CDK1 were measured by Western blot. The migration of σ NS and PSTAIR are indicated on the left (n = 3 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (G) Levels of infectivity. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the infectivity index was measured using indirect immunofluorescence. Horizontal bars indicate the means; *, $P \leq 0.05$ (n = 4 biological replicates). (H and I) Production of infectious virus. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} or T1L ISVPs at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates).

FIG 5 Cellular localization of T3D^{CD} (AF488) virions following infection. (A) Protein compositions. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained (left side panel) and scanned for AF488 fluorescence (right side panel). The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. $\mu 1$ resolves as $\mu 1C$ [68] ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Size distribution profiles. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions were analyzed by dynamic light scattering ($n = 4$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Specific infectivity. The titers of unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} virions (2×10^{12} particles/ml) were determined by plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (D) Localization within an infected cell. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (AF488) at 10,000 virions/cell. At 2 h post infection (hpi), the infected monolayers were fixed, stained with DAPI, mounted, and imaged by confocal microscopy. The images were obtained using a X63 oil-immersion objective and processed using ImageJ software [41]. The DAPI signal is false colored in blue, and the AF488 signal is false colored in green. The inset boxes in the 'Merge' column are expanded in the 'Merge (zoom)' column. The scale bars represent 20 μm ($n = 3$ biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown).

FIG 6 Cellular localization of T3D^{CD} (AF488) ISVPs following infection. (A) Protein compositions. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was Coomassie brilliant blue stained (left side panel) and scanned for AF488 fluorescence (right side panel). The migration of capsid proteins is indicated on the left. μ1δ resolves as δ [68] (n = 4 biological replicates; results for 1 representative experiment are shown). (B) Size distribution profiles. Unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs were analyzed by dynamic light scattering (n = 4 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown). (C) Specific infectivity. The titers of unlabeled and AF488-labeled T3D^{CD} ISVPs (2 × 10¹² particles/ml) were determined by plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means (n = 4 biological replicates). (D) Localization within an infected cell. NT, ΔCTSL, and ΔWDR81 cells were infected with T3D^{CD} (AF488) at 10,000 ISVPs/cell. At 2 h post infection (hpi), the infected monolayers were fixed, stained with DAPI, mounted, and imaged by confocal microscopy. The images were obtained using a X63 oil-immersion objective and processed using ImageJ software [41]. The DAPI signal is false colored in blue, and the AF488 signal is false colored in green. The inset boxes in the 'Merge' column are expanded in the 'Merge (zoom)' column. The scale bars represent 20 μm (n = 3 biological replicates; results from 1 representative experiment are shown).

FIG 7 Infection of WDR81-deficient cells by VSV (GFP) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP). (A and B) Induction of cell death. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (A) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (B) at 5 PFUs/cell. At 18 and 26 h post infection (hpi), the relative cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; *, $P \leq 0.05$, **, $P \leq 0.005$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (C, D, F, and G) Initiation of protein synthesis. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (D) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (G) at 5 PFUs/cell. At the timepoints indicated in the figure, the number of total cells per image and the number of GFP positive cells per image were measured using the IncuCyte S3 Live-Cell Analysis System. The 'Percent cell confluency' at the 1 h timepoints is shown in panels C and F. Horizontal bars indicate the means (C and F); error bars indicate the standard deviations (D and G); *, $P \leq 0.05$, ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates). (E and H) Production of infectious virus. NT, Δ CTSL, and Δ WDR81 cells were infected with VSV (GFP) (E) or VSV-EBO GP (GFP) (H) at 5 PFUs/cell. At 10 (E) or 18 (H) hpi, the amount of infectious virus produced was measured using plaque assay. Horizontal bars indicate the means; ***, $P \leq 0.0005$ ($n = 4$ biological replicates).