Short- and long-read metagenomics of urban and rural South African gut microbiomes reveal a transitional composition and novel taxa

Fiona B. Tamburini¹, Dylan Maghini¹, Ovokeraye H. Oduaran², Ryan Brewster³,
 Michaela R. Hulley^{2,4}, Venesa Sahibdeen⁴, Shane A. Norris^{5,6}, Stephen Tollman^{7,8},
 Kathleen Kahn^{7,8}, Ryan G. Wagner^{7,8}, Alisha N. Wade⁷, Floidy Wafawanaka⁷, F. Xavier
 Gómez-Olivé^{7,8}, Rhian Twine⁷, Zané Lombard⁴, Scott Hazelhurst^{2,9*}, Ami S. Bhatt^{1,3,10*+}

¹Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

²Sydney Brenner Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

³School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

⁴Division of Human Genetics, School of Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, National Health Laboratory Service & University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁵SAMRC Developmental Pathways for Health Research Unit, Department of Paediatrics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁶School of Human Development and Health, University of Southampton, UK

⁷MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁸INDEPTH Network, East Legon, Accra, Ghana

⁹School of Electrical and Information Engineering, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

¹⁰Department of Medicine (Hematology, Blood and Marrow Transplantation), Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA

*Co-corresponding authors: Scott.Hazelhurst@wits.ac.za, asbhatt@stanford.edu

+Lead contact

Abstract

Human gut microbiome research focuses on populations living in high-income countries or on the other end of the spectrum, namely non-urban agriculturalist and hunter-gatherer societies. The scarcity of research between these extremes limits our understanding of how the gut microbiota relates to health and disease in the majority of the world's population. We present the first study evaluating gut microbiome composition in transitioning South African populations using short- and long-read sequencing. We analyzed stool samples from adult females (age 40 - 72) living in rural Bushbuckridge municipality (n=118) or urban Soweto (n=51) and find that these microbiomes are taxonomically intermediate between those of individuals living in high-income countries and traditional communities. We demonstrate that reference collections are incomplete for characterization of microbiomes of individuals living outside high-income countries, resulting in artificially low species-level beta diversity measurements. To improve reference databases, we generated complete genomes of undescribed taxa, including *Treponema*, *Lentisphaerae*, and *Succinatimonas* species. Our results suggest that the gut microbiome in South African populations do not exist along a simple “western-nonwestern” axis and that these populations contain microbial diversity that remains to be described.

Introduction

Comprehensive characterization of the full diversity of the healthy human gut microbiota is essential to contextualize studies of the microbiome related to diet, lifestyle, and disease. To date, substantial resources have been invested in describing the microbiome of individuals living in the global industrialized “west” (United States, northern and western Europe; also sometimes referred to as the “Global North”), including efforts by large consortia such as the Human Microbiome Project¹ and MetaHIT². Though these projects have yielded valuable descriptions of human gut microbial ecology, they survey only a small portion of the world’s citizens at the extreme of industrialized, urbanized lifestyle. It is unclear to what extent these results are generalizable to non-western and non-industrialized populations across the globe.

At the other extreme, a smaller number of studies have characterized the gut microbiome composition of individuals practicing traditional lifestyles^{3,4}, including communities in Venezuela and Malawi⁵, hunter-gatherer communities in Tanzania⁶⁻⁹, non-industrialized populations in Tanzania and Botswana¹⁰, and agriculturalists in Peru¹¹ and remote Madagascar¹². However, these cohorts are not representative of how most of the world lives either. Many of the world’s communities lead lifestyles between the extremes of an urbanized, industrialized and relatively high-income lifestyle and traditional subsistence practices. It is a scientific and ethical imperative to include these diverse populations in biomedical research, yet dismayingly many of these intermediate groups are underrepresented in or absent from the published microbiome literature.

This major gap in our knowledge of the human gut microbiome leaves the biomedical research community ill-poised to relate microbiome composition to human health and disease across the breadth of the world’s population. Worldwide, many communities are currently undergoing a transition of diet and lifestyle, characterized by increased access to processed foods, diets rich in animal fats and simple carbohydrates, and more sedentary lifestyles¹³. This has corresponded with an epidemiological transition in which the burden of disease is shifting from predominantly infectious diseases to an increasing incidence of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) like obesity and diabetes¹⁴. The microbiome has been implicated in various NCDs¹⁵⁻¹⁷

and may mediate the efficacy of medical interventions including vaccines^{18,19}, but we cannot evaluate the generalizability of these findings without establishing baseline microbiome characteristics of communities that practice diverse lifestyles and by extension, harbor diverse microbiota. These understudied populations, which are more representative of the majority of the world's population, offer a unique opportunity to examine the relationship between lifestyle (including diet), disease, and gut microbiome composition, and to discover novel microbial genomic content that may associate with or drive disease biology.

Some previous studies have probed the relationship between lifestyle and microbiome composition in transitional communities^{3,20–22}. However, substantial gaps remain in our description of the microbiome in these populations. In particular, knowledge of the gut microbiota within the African continent is sparse. Of the 64 studies surveying the gut microbiome of individuals living within Africa as of January 2021 (Supplementary Table 1) only 25 of the 54 countries (46%) on the continent are represented. Of these studies, 34 of 64 (53%) have focused entirely on children or infants, whose disease risk profile and gut microbiome composition can vary considerably from adults^{5,23}. Additionally, 52 of 64 (81%) of studies of the gut microbiome in Africans employed 16S rRNA gene sequencing or qPCR, techniques which amplify only a small portion of the genome and therefore lack genomic resolution to describe species or strains which may share a 16S rRNA sequence but differ in gene content or genome structure. To our knowledge, only nine published studies to date have used shotgun metagenomics to describe the gut microbiome of adults living in Africa. Eight of these studies described the bacterial microbiome^{6,7,12,24–28}, while one²⁹ exclusively described the viral metagenome.

To address this major knowledge gap, we designed and performed the first research study applying short- and long-read DNA sequencing to study the gut microbiomes of South African individuals for whom 16S rRNA gene sequence data has recently been reported³⁰. South Africa is a prime example of a country undergoing rapid lifestyle and epidemiological transition. With the exception of the HIV/AIDS epidemic in the mid-1990s to the mid-2000s, over the past three decades South Africa has experienced a steadily decreasing mortality rate from infectious disease and an

increase in NCD^{31,32}. Concomitantly, increasingly sedentary lifestyles and changes in dietary habits, including access to calorie-dense processed foods, contribute to a higher prevalence of obesity in many regions of South Africa³², a trend which disproportionately affects women^{33,34}.

This study presents the largest shotgun metagenomic dataset of African adults in the published literature to date. In this work, we describe microbial community-scale similarities between urban and rural communities in South Africa, as well as distinct hallmark taxa that distinguish each community. Additionally, we place South African populations in context with microbiome data from other populations from countries around the world, revealing the transitional nature of gut microbiome composition in the South African cohorts. We demonstrate that metagenomic assembly of short reads yields novel strain and species draft genomes. Finally, we apply Oxford Nanopore long-read sequencing to samples from the rural cohort and generate complete and near-complete genomes. These include genomes of species that are exclusive to, or more prevalent in, traditional populations, including *Treponema* and *Prevotella* species. As long-read sequencing enables more uniform coverage of AT-rich regions compared to short-read sequencing with transposase-based library preparation, we also generate complete metagenome-assembled AT-rich genomes from less well-described gut microbes including species in the phylum *Melainabacteria*, the class *Mollicutes*, and the genus *Mycoplasma*.

Taken together, the results herein offer a more detailed description of gut microbiome composition in understudied transitioning populations, and present complete and contiguous reference genomes that will enable further studies of gut microbiota in nonwestern populations. Importantly, this study was developed with an ethical commitment to engaging both rural and urban community members to ensure that the research was conducted equitably (additional details in Supplemental Information). This work underscores the critical need to broaden the scope of human gut microbiome research and include understudied, nonwestern populations to improve the relevance and accuracy of microbiome discoveries to broader populations.

Results

Cohorts and sample collection

We enrolled 190 women aged between 40–72, living in rural villages in the Bushbuckridge Municipality (24.82°S, 31.26°E, n=132) and urban Soweto, Johannesburg (26.25°S, 27.85°E, n=58) and collected a one-time stool sample, as well as point of care blood glucose and blood pressure measurements and a rapid HIV test. As HIV status and exposure to antiretroviral medications can alter the microbiome and potentially confound analyses, only samples from HIV-negative individuals were analyzed further (n=118 Bushbuckridge, n=51 Soweto). Participants spanned a range of BMI from healthy to overweight; the most common comorbidity reported was hypertension, and many patients reported taking anti-hypertensive medication (18 of 118 (15%) in Bushbuckridge, 15 of 51 (29%) in Soweto) (Table 1, Supplementary Table 2). Additional medications are summarized in Supplementary Table 2. We extracted DNA from each stool sample and conducted 150 base pair (bp) paired-end sequencing on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. A median of 34.6 million (M) raw reads were generated per sample (range 11.4–100 M), and a median of 14.9 M reads (range 4.2–33.3 M) resulted after preprocessing including de-duplication, trimming, and human read removal (Supplementary Table 3).

Gut microbial composition

We taxonomically classified sequencing reads against a comprehensive custom reference database containing all microbial genomes in RefSeq and GenBank at “scaffold” quality or better as of January 2020 (177,626 genomes total). Concordant with observations from 16S rRNA gene sequencing of the same samples³⁰, we find that *Prevotella*, *Bacteroides*, and *Faecalibacterium* are the most abundant genera in most individuals across both study sites (Figure 1A, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 4; species-level classifications in Supplementary Table 5). Additionally, in many individuals we observe taxa that are uncommon in western microbiomes, including members of the VANISH (Volatile and/or Associated Negatively with Industrialized Societies of Humans) taxa (families *Prevotellaceae*, *Succinovibrionaceae*,

Paraprevotellaceae, and *Spirochaetaceae*) such as *Prevotella*, *Treponema*, and *Succinatimonas*, which are higher in relative abundance in communities practicing traditional lifestyles compared to western industrialized populations^{8,35} (Figure 1B, Supplementary Table 4). The mean relative abundance of each VANISH genus is higher in Bushbuckridge than Soweto, though the difference is not statistically significant for *Paraprevotella* or *Sediminispirochaeta* (Figure 1B, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). Within the Bushbuckridge cohort, we observe a bimodal distribution of the genera *Succinatimonas*, *Succinivibrio*, and *Treponema* (Supplementary Fig. 2A). While we do not identify any clinical or demographic features that associate with this distribution, we observe that VANISH taxa are weakly positively correlated with one another in metagenomes from both Bushbuckridge and Soweto (Supplementary Fig. 2B-C).

Intriguingly, we observed that an increased proportion of reads aligned to the human genome during pre-processing in samples from Soweto compared to Bushbuckridge (Supplementary Fig. 3, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test $p < 0.0001$). This could potentially indicate higher inflammation and immune cell content or sloughing of intestinal epithelial cells in the urban Soweto cohort compared to rural Bushbuckridge.

Rural and urban microbiomes cluster distinctly in MDS

We hypothesized that lifestyle differences of those residing in rural Bushbuckridge versus urban Soweto might be associated with demonstrable differences in gut microbiome composition. Bushbuckridge and Soweto differ markedly in their population density (53 and 6,357 persons per km² respectively as of the 2011 census) as well as in lifestyle variables including the prevalence of flush toilets (6.8 vs 91.6% of dwellings) and piped water (11.9 vs 55% of dwellings) (additional site demographic information in Supplementary Table 6)³⁶. Soweto is highly urbanized and has been so for several decades, while Bushbuckridge is classified as a rural community, although it is undergoing rapid epidemiological transition^{37,38}. Bushbuckridge also has circular rural/urban migrancy typified by some (mostly male) members of a rural community working and living for extended periods in urban areas, while keeping their permanent rural home³⁹. Although our participants all live in

Bushbuckridge, this migrancy in the community contributes to making the boundary between rural and urban lifestyles more fluid. Comparing the two study populations at the community level, we find that samples from the two sites have distinct centroids (PERMANOVA $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.037$) but overlap (Figure 2A), though we note that the dispersion of the Soweto samples is greater than that of the Bushbuckridge samples (PERMDISP2 $p < 0.001$). Across the study population we observe a gradient of *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella* relative abundance (Supplementary Fig. 4). This may be the result of differences in diet across the study population at both sites, as *Bacteroides* has been proposed as a biomarker of westernized lifestyles while *Prevotella* has been proposed as a biomarker of nonwestern lifestyles^{5,40,41}.

To determine if medication usage was associated with gut microbiome composition, we included each participant's self-reported concomitant medications (summarized in Supplementary Table 2) to re-visualize the microbiome composition of samples in MDS by class of medication (Supplementary Fig. 5A,B). We find that self-reported medication is not significantly correlated with community composition in this cohort after multiple hypothesis correction (PERMANOVA $q > 0.05$, Supplementary Fig. 5C), though two drug classes are nominally significant before controlling the false discovery rate: proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) ($p = 0.036$) and anti-hyperglycemics ($p = 0.041$). We note that both drug classes have previously been found to associate with changes in gut microbiome composition^{42–44}: as only two participants self-report taking PPIs at the time of sampling, additional data are required to evaluate whether PPIs associate with microbiome composition in these South African populations.

Rural and urban microbiomes differ in Shannon diversity and species composition

Gut microbiome alpha diversity of individuals living traditional lifestyles has been reported to be higher than those living western lifestyles^{9,11,40}. In keeping with this general trend, we find that alpha diversity (Shannon) is significantly higher in individuals living in rural Bushbuckridge than urban Soweto (Figure 2B; two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p < 0.01$). Using DESeq2 to identify microbial genera that are differentially abundant across study sites, we find that genera including *Bacteroides*,

Bifidobacterium, and *Streptococcus* are more abundant in individuals living in Soweto (Figure 2C, Supplementary Table 7, species shown in Supplementary Fig. 6). Interestingly, we find microbial genera enriched in gut microbiomes of individuals living in Bushbuckridge that are common to both the environment and the gut, including *Streptomyces* and *Paenibacillus* (Supplementary Table 7). Typically a soil-associated organism, *Streptomyces* encode a variety of biosynthetic gene clusters and can produce numerous immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory compounds such as rapamycin and tacrolimus, and it has been suggested that decreased exposure to *Streptomyces* is associated with increased incidence of inflammatory disease and colon cancer in western populations⁴⁵. In addition, we find enrichment of genera in Bushbuckridge that have been previously associated with nonwestern microbiomes including *Succinatimonas*, a relatively poorly-described bacterial genus with only one type species, and unclassified species of the phylum Elusimicrobia, which has been detected in the gut microbiome of rural Malagasy¹². Additionally, Bushbuckridge samples are enriched for Cyanobacteria as well as Candidatus Melainabacter, a phylum closely related to Cyanobacteria that in limited studies has been described to inhabit the human gut^{46,47}.

In terms of the non-bacterial microbiome, we identify the bacteriophage crAssphage and related crAss-like phages⁴⁸, which have recently been described as prevalent constituents of the gut microbiome globally⁴⁹, in 32 of 51 participants (63%) in Soweto and 88 of 118 (75%) in Bushbuckridge (difference in prevalence between cohorts not significant, $p = 0.14$ Fisher's exact test) using 650 sequence reads or roughly 1X coverage of the 97 kb genome as a threshold for binary categorization of crAss-like phage presence or absence. Prototypical crAssphage has been hypothesized to infect *Bacteroides* species and a crAss-like phage has been demonstrated to infect *Bacteroides intestinalis*. Though crAss-like phages do not differ between cohorts in terms of prevalence (presence/absence), we observe that crAssphage clade Delta from Guerin *et al.*⁴⁸ is enriched in relative abundance in the gut microbiome of individuals living in Bushbuckridge compared to Soweto, supporting previous observations of geographic patterns of crAssphage clades (Figure 2C)⁴⁹.

Our custom reference database of GenBank genomes paired with the kraken2 classifier optimizes for sensitivity; thus, this approach was selected as the initial tool for classification of the sequencing data given the genomic novelty anticipated in this cohort. We note that broadly similar microbiome profiles are obtained using MetaPhlAn3, a marker-gene based tool with high specificity, (Supplementary Fig. 7) as well as classifications obtained using kraken2 and a publicly available build of the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) release 95^{50,51}. Notably, we observe higher Shannon diversity with the GTDB compared to both MetaPhlAn3 and our custom database, likely due to the fact that clades containing a large amount of genomic diversity (e.g. *Escherichia coli*) are split into separate clades in the GTDB.

Differences in functional potential of the gut microbiome between populations

Recognizing that functional annotations are likely biased toward well-studied organisms, we sought to identify differentially abundant functions in the gut microbiome of participants in Bushbuckridge and Soweto.

We functionally profiled unassembled metagenomic reads to detect antibiotic resistance genes in these communities. Tetracycline resistance genes (*tetW*, *tetQ*, *tetO*, *tetX*, *tet32*, *tet40*) are broadly prevalent in both populations (Supplementary Fig. 8) as is the CfxA6 beta-lactamase. We find that Soweto and Bushbuckridge differ in the distribution of relative abundance of 30 of 113 (27%) antibiotic resistance genes (Supplementary Fig. 8). Several multidrug efflux pump components and regulators (*mdtB*, *mdtC*, *mdtF*, *mdtG*, *mdtL*, *mdtP*, *CRP*) are enriched in participants in Bushbuckridge, whereas genes including SAT-4, which is a plasmid-encoded streptothricin resistance determinant, and *CblA-1*, which encodes a class A beta-lactamase, are enriched in Soweto participants (Supplementary Fig. 8).

We additionally annotated MetaCyc pathway abundance using HUMAnN v3⁵² (Supplementary Table 8). We find 68 MetaCyc pathways that are differentially abundant between Soweto and Bushbuckridge ($q < 0.05$) (Supplementary Fig. 9A). Some of these pathways correspond clearly to observed taxonomic differences between study sites, including enrichment of the *Bifidobacterium* shunt, a pathway for degradation of hexose sugars into short chain fatty acids⁵³, in Soweto. Other differentially abundant

pathways include anaerobic degradation of 4-coumarate, a phenylpropanoid compound produced by plants and by catabolism of the amino acid tyrosine⁵⁴. Additionally, the superpathway of phenylethylamine degradation is enriched in Bushbuckridge. Intriguingly, phenylethylamine is a central nervous system stimulant in humans and increased abundance of phenylethylamine has been observed in Crohn's disease patients⁵⁵. Peptidoglycan biosynthesis V pathway, involved in microbial resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics, is enriched in Soweto, consistent with results from antibiotic resistome profiling.

In general, HUMAnN was only able to ascribe functions to taxonomy for a few well-studied genera including *Escherichia* and *Klebsiella* (Supplementary Fig. 9B). We hypothesize that this is due to gaps in reference genome collections as well as dissimilarity between strains of species that are common to reference collections and metagenomic data from this cohort.

No strong signals of interaction between human DNA variation and microbiome content detected

All participants in this study were recruited based on their participation in the first phase of the Africa Wits-INDEPTH partnership for Genomic Studies (AWI-Gen) study, which evaluated genomic and environmental risk factors for cardiometabolic disease in sub-Saharan African populations⁵⁶. This study included human genome profiling of all participants using the Human Heredity and Health in Africa (H3Africa) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array. While we have a very small sample size to assess interaction between human genetic variation and microbiome population, our study is one of the relatively few to characterize both human and microbiome DNA. Therefore, we performed association tests between key microbiome genera abundance levels and human SNPs. After correcting for multiple testing there were only a few human genomic SNPs with borderline statistically significant association with microbial genera abundance levels (Supplementary Table 9). These SNPs occur in genomic regions with no obvious connection to the gut microbiome (see Methods, Supplementary Information). Additionally, we observe that microbiome samples do not cluster by self-reported ethnicity of the participant (Supplementary Fig. 10).

South African gut microbiomes share taxa with western and nonwestern populations yet harbor distinct features

To place the microbiome composition of South African individuals in global context with metagenomes from healthy adults living in other parts of the world, we compared publicly available data from five cohorts (Figure 3A, Supplementary Table 10) comprising adult individuals living in the United States¹, northern Europe (Sweden)⁵⁷, agriculturalists living in Burkina Faso²⁸ and rural Madagascar¹², and the Hadza hunter-gatherers of Tanzania⁷. We grouped these datasets by lifestyle into the general categories of “nonwestern” (Tanzania, Madagascar, Burkina Faso), “western” (USA, Sweden), and South African (Bushbuckridge, Soweto). We note the caveat that these samples were collected at different times using different approaches, and that there is variation in DNA extraction, sequencing library preparation and sequencing, all of which may contribute to variation between studies. Recognizing this limitation, we observe that South African samples cluster between western and nonwestern populations in MDS (Figure 3B) as expected, and that the first axis of MDS correlates well with geography and lifestyle (Figure 3C). The relative abundance of *Spirochaetaceae*, *Succinivibrionaceae*, *Bacteroidaceae*, and *Prevotellaceae* are most strongly correlated with the first axis of MDS (Spearman’s rho > 0.75): *Bacteroidaceae* decreases with MDS 1 while *Spirochaetaceae*, *Succinivibrionaceae*, and *Prevotellaceae* increase (Figure 3B). We observe a corresponding pattern of decreasing relative abundance of other VANISH taxa across lifestyle and geography (Supplementary Fig. 11). These observations suggest that the gut microbiome of South African cohorts is to some extent “intermediate” in composition when compared to cohorts at the extremes of western and nonwestern lifestyle.

The two South African cohorts also have distinct differences from both nonwestern and western populations, as evidenced by displacement along the second axis of MDS (Figure 3B,C). To identify the taxa that drive this separation, we performed statistical analysis using DESeq2 to identify microbial genera that differed significantly in the South African cohort compared to both nonwestern and western categories (with the same directionality of effect in each comparison, e.g. enriched in South Africans

compared to both western and nonwestern groups) (Supplementary Fig. 12). We observe that taxa including *Lactobacillus*, *Lactococcus*, and *Eggerthella* are lower in relative abundance in South Africans compared to both western and nonwestern microbiomes. Conversely, *Klebsiella* and unclassified *Christensenellaceae* are enriched in South Africans.

Within-species diversity across cohorts

Having observed taxonomic differences at the species level between South Africans and other global populations, as well as between Soweto and Bushbuckridge, we hypothesized that strains of some species may differ between populations. We annotated the pangenome of the top six most abundant species on average across our cohorts and assessed whether pangenome content is significantly different between study sites (Supplementary Fig. 13). Interestingly, we find that *F. prausnitzii*, *B. vulgatus*, and *E. siraeum* indeed differ in pangenome content between Bushbuckridge and Soweto. *Prevotella copri* strains exhibit visible heterogeneity, but a PERMANOVA test is not significant after false discovery rate correction.

Decreased sequence classifiability in nonwestern populations

Given previous observations that gut microbiome alpha diversity is higher in individuals practicing traditional lifestyles^{3,6,58} and that immigration from Southeast Asia to the United States is associated with a decrease in gut microbial alpha diversity¹³, we hypothesized that alpha diversity would be higher in nonwestern populations, including South Africans, compared to western populations. We observe that Shannon diversity of the Tanzanian hunter-gatherer cohort is uniformly higher than all other populations (Figure 3D; $q < 0.05$ for all pairwise comparisons; FDR-adjusted two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test) and that alpha diversity is lower in individuals living in the United States compared to all other cohorts (Figure 3D; $q < 0.0001$ for all pairwise comparisons; FDR-adjusted two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). Surprisingly, we observe comparable Shannon diversity between Madagascar and Sweden ($q > 0.05$, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). However, this could be an artifact of incomplete representation of diverse microbes in existing reference collections.

Existing reference collections are known to be limited in their ability to classify metagenomic sequences from nonwestern gut microbiomes^{12,59}, and we observe low sequence classifiability in nonwestern populations (Figure 4A). Therefore, we sought orthogonal validation of our observation that South African microbiomes represent a transitional state between traditional and western microbiomes and employed a reference-independent method to evaluate the nucleotide composition of sequence data from each metagenome. We used the sourmash workflow⁶⁰ to compare nucleotide *k*-mer composition of sequencing reads in each sample and performed ordination based on angular distance, which accounts for *k*-mer abundance. Using a *k*-mer length of 31 (*k*-mer similarity at *k*=31 correlates with species-level similarity⁶¹), we observe clustering reminiscent of the species ordination plot shown in Fig. 3, further supporting the hypothesis that South African microbiomes are transitional (Figure 4B).

Previous studies have reported a pattern of higher alpha diversity but lower beta diversity in nonwestern populations compared to western populations^{9,62}. Hypothesizing that alpha and beta diversity may be underestimated for populations whose gut microbes are not well-represented in reference collections, we compared beta diversity (distributions of within-cohort pairwise distances) calculated via species Bray-Curtis dissimilarity as well as nucleotide *k*-mer angular distance (Figure 4C-E). Of note, beta diversity is highest in Soweto irrespective of distance measure (Figure 4C). Intriguingly, in some cases we observe that the relationship of distributions of pairwise distance values changes depending on whether species or nucleotide *k*-mers are considered. For instance, considering only species content, Bushbuckridge has less beta diversity than Sweden, but this pattern is reversed when considering nucleotide *k*-mer content (Figure 4D). Further, the same observation is true for the relationship between Madagascar and the United States (Figure 4E). Additionally, we compared species and nucleotide beta diversity within each population using Jaccard distance, which is computed based on shared and distinct features irrespective of abundance. In nucleotide *k*-mer space, all nonwestern populations have greater beta diversity than each western population (Supplementary Fig. 14), though this is not the case when species annotations are considered. This indicates that gut microbiomes in these

nonwestern cohorts have a longer “tail” of lowly abundant organisms which differ between individuals.

These observations are critically important to our understanding of beta diversity in the gut microbiome in western and nonwestern communities. In summary, we find evidence to refute the existing dogma of an inverse relationship between alpha and beta diversity, and note that in some cases this existing generalization represents an artifact of limitations in reference databases used for sequence classification.

Improving reference collections via metagenomic assembly

Classification of metagenomic sequencing reads can be improved by assembling sequencing data into metagenomic contigs and grouping these contigs into draft genomes (binning), yielding metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs). Notably, MAGs enable investigation of the genomes of uncultivable organisms. While MAGs can suffer from incompleteness and contamination due to limitations of assembly and binning, software tools exist for evaluating MAG quality⁶³. The majority of publications to date have focused on creating MAGs from short-read sequencing data^{12,59,64}, but generation of high-quality MAGs from long-read data from stool samples has been recently reported⁶⁵. To better characterize the genomes present in our samples, we assembled and binned shotgun sequencing reads from South African samples into MAGs. We generated 2419 MAGs (39 high-quality, 2038 medium-quality, and 342 low-quality)⁶⁶ from 169 metagenomic samples (Supplementary Fig. 15A). Applying the criteria for near-complete genomes proposed by Nayfach et al. ($\geq 90\%$ complete, $\leq 5\%$ contaminated, $N50 \geq 10$ kb, average contig length ≥ 5 kb, ≤ 500 contigs, $\geq 90\%$ of contigs with $\geq 5X$ read depth), 832 of these genomes (34%) are designated near-complete. Filtering for completeness greater than 75% and contamination less than 10% and de-replicating at 99% average nucleotide identity (ANI) yielded a set of 1342 non-redundant medium-quality or better representative strain genomes. This de-replicated collection includes VANISH taxa genomes, including 94 *Prevotella*, 41 *Prevotellamassilia*, 39 *Succinivibrio*, and 10 *Spirochaetota* (4 *Treponema_D*, 6 *UBA9732*) (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. 15, Supplementary Table 11).

To assess the novelty of this collection compared to known diversity of MAGs, we compared our de-replicated MAG set to the Unified Human Gastrointestinal Genome Collection (UHGG)⁶⁷. Of these 1342 representative strain genomes, 16 (1.2%) had less than 95% ANI to any genome in the full UHGG (Supplementary Fig. 15B) and 15 of these were retained in the final species set when de-replicated at 95% ANI against UHGG species representatives (Supplementary Table 11) (two genomes with less than 95% ANI to the UHGG species representatives were within 95% ANI of each other and thus only one was retained after dereplication). These 15 genomes represent 7 GTDB phyla (Supplementary Fig. 15C) and 13 of 15 genomes (87%) are from Bushbuckridge participants.

An additional 38 of 1332 genomes (2.9%) were not novel when compared to the UHGG species representatives, but were assigned a higher genome quality score by dRep than the corresponding UHGG representative (Supplementary Table 11, genome scoring metrics in Methods and Olm et al. 2017). We note that ANI is calculated on the basis of regions that align between genomes, and therefore may systematically underestimate genomic novelty in this genome collection.

Interestingly, many MAGs within this set represent organisms that are uncommon in Western microbiomes or not easily culturable, including organisms from the genera *Treponema* and *Vibrio*. As short-read MAGs are typically fragmented and exclude mobile genetic elements, we explored methods to create more contiguous genomes, with a goal of trying to better understand these understudied taxa. We performed long-read sequencing on three samples from participants in Bushbuckridge with an Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencer (taxonomic composition of the three samples shown in Supplementary Fig. 16). Samples were chosen for nanopore sequencing on the basis of molecular weight distribution and total mass of DNA (see Methods). One flow cell per sample generated an average of 19.71 Gbp of sequencing with a read N50 of 8,275 bp after basecalling. From our three samples, we generated 741 nanopore MAGs (nMAGs), which yielded 35 non-redundant genomes when filtered for completeness greater than 50% and contamination less than 10%, and de-replicated at 99% ANI (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 17, Supplementary Table 12). Single-contig nMAGs were evaluated for GC skew to detect possible misassemblies.

All of the de-replicated nMAGs contained at least one full length 16S sequence, and the contig N50 of 28 nMAGs was greater than 1 Mbp.

We compared assembly statistics between all MAGs and nMAGs, and found that while nMAGs were typically less complete when evaluated by CheckM, the contiguity of nanopore medium- and high-quality MAGs was an order of magnitude higher (mean nMAG N50 of 260.5 kb compared to mean N50 of medium- and high-quality MAGs of 15.1 kb) at comparable levels of average coverage (Supplementary Fig. 17, Supplementary Fig. 18). We expect that CheckM under-calculates the completeness of nanopore MAGs due to the homopolymer errors common in nanopore sequencing, which result in frameshift errors when annotating genomes. Indeed, we observe that nanopore MAGs with comparable high assembly size and low contamination to short-read MAGs are evaluated by CheckM as having lower completeness (Supplementary Fig. 18).

Novel genomes generated through nanopore sequencing

When comparing the de-replicated medium- and high-quality nMAGs with the corresponding short-read MAG for the same organism, we find that nMAGs typically include many mobile genetic elements and associated genes that are absent from the short-read MAG, such as transposases, recombinases, phages, and antibiotic resistance genes (Figure 5A). Additionally, a number of the nMAGs are among the first contiguous genomes in their clade. For example, we assembled two single contig, megabase-scale genomes from the genus *Treponema*, a clade that contains various commensal and pathogenic species. Notably, *Treponema* is a genus within the Spirochaetes phylum, a VANISH taxa member that is often considered to be completely lost with industrialization^{9,11}. While some members of the genus are known pathogens (*T. pallidum*), *Treponema* in non-industrialized communities is thought to serve as a mutualistic fiber degrader in response to different fiber-rich nonwestern diets⁹. The first of these genomes is a single-contig *Treponema succinifaciens* genome, classified as *Treponema_D succinifaciens* by GTDB. The type strain of *T. succinifaciens*, isolated from the swine gut⁶⁸, is the only genome of this species currently available in public reference collections. Our *T. succinifaciens* genome is the

first complete genome of this species from the gut of a human. We assembled a second *Treponema* sp. (GTDB *Treponema_D* sp900541945; Supplementary Fig. 19), which contains a candidate natural product synthetic biosynthetic gene cluster (aryl polyene cluster) and shares 92.1% ANI with *T. succinifaciens*. Additionally, we assembled a 5.08 Mbp genome for *Lentisphaerae* sp., which has been shown to be significantly enriched in traditional populations⁶⁹. This genome also contains an aryl polyene biosynthetic gene cluster and multiple beta-lactamases, and shares 94% 16S rRNA identity with *Victivallis vadensis* and is classified as *Victivallis* sp900550905 by the GTDB, suggesting a new species or genus of the family *Victivallaceae* and representing the second closed genome for the phylum *Lentisphaerae*.

Other nMAGs represent organisms that are prevalent in western individuals but challenging to assemble due to their genome structure. Despite the prevalence of *Bacteroides* in western microbiomes, only three closed *B. vulgatus* genomes are available in RefSeq. We assembled a single contig, 2.68 Mbp *Bacteroides vulgatus* (GTDB *Parabacteroides* sp900549585) genome that is 65.0% complete and 2.7% contaminated and contains at least 16 putative insertion sequences, which may contribute to the lack of contiguous short-read assemblies for this species. Similarly, we assembled a single-contig genome for *Catabacter* sp., a member of the order *Clostridiales* (GTDB CAG-475 sp900550915 of the Christensenellales order); the most contiguous *Catabacter* genome in GenBank is in five scaffolded contigs⁷⁰. The putative *Catabacter* sp. shares 85% ANI with the best match in GenBank, suggesting that it represents a new species within the *Catabacter* genus or a new genus entirely, and it contains a sactipeptide biosynthetic gene cluster. Additionally, we assembled a 3.29 Mbp genome for *Prevotella* sp. (N50 = 1.14 Mbp), a highly variable genus that is prevalent in nonwestern microbiomes and associated with a range of effects on host health⁷¹. Notably, the first closed genomes of *P. copri*, a common species of *Prevotella*, were only recently assembled with nanopore sequencing of metagenomic samples; one from a human stool sample⁶⁵ and the other from cow rumen⁷². *P. copri* had previously evaded closed assembly from short-read sequence data due to the dozens of repetitive insertion sequences within its genome⁶⁵. This *Prevotella* assembly contains

cephalosporin and beta-lactam resistance genes, as well as an aryl polyene biosynthetic gene cluster.

Many long-read assembled genomes were evaluated to be of low completeness despite having contig N50 values greater than 1 Mbp. Analysis showed that many of these genomes had sparse or uneven short-read coverage, leading to gaps in short-read polishing that would otherwise correct small frameshift errors. To polish genomic regions that were not covered with short-reads, we performed long-read polishing on assembled contigs from each sample, and re-binned polished contigs. Long-read polishing improved the completeness of many organisms that are not commonly described in the gut microbiota, due perhaps to their low relative abundance in the average human gut, or to biases in shotgun sequencing library preparation that limit their detection. For example, we generated a 2 Mbp *Melainabacteria* genome (GTDB species *UMGS1477 sp900552205* of the family *Gastranaerophilaceae*).

Melainabacteria is a non-photosynthetic phylum closely related to *Cyanobacteria* that has been previously described in the gut microbiome and is associated with consuming a vegetarian diet⁴⁷. *Melainabacteria* have proven difficult to isolate and culture, and the only complete, single-scaffold genome existing in RefSeq was assembled from shotgun sequencing of a human fecal sample⁴⁷. Interestingly, our *Melainabacteria* genome has a GC content of 30.9%, and along with assemblies of a *Mycoplasma* sp. (GTDB CAG_460 sp000437315 of class Bacilli) (25.3% GC) and *Mollicutes* sp. (GTDB Tener-01 sp001940985 of the class Bacilli) (28.1% GC) (Supplementary Fig. 20), represent AT-rich organisms that can be underrepresented in shotgun sequencing data due to the inherent GC bias of transposon insertion and amplification-based sequencing approaches⁷³ (Supplementary Fig. 21, Supplementary Fig. 22). Altogether, these three genomes increased in completeness by an average of 28.5% with long-read polishing to reach an overall average of 70.9% complete. While these genomes meet the accepted standards to be considered medium-quality, it is possible that some or all of these highly contiguous, megabase scale assemblies are complete or near-complete yet underestimated by CheckM, for example due to incomplete polishing.

Altogether, we find that *de novo* assembly approaches are capable of generating contiguous, high-quality assemblies for novel organisms, offering potential for investigation into the previously unclassified matter in the microbiomes of these nonwestern communities. In particular, nanopore sequencing produced contiguous genomes for organisms that are difficult to assemble due to repeat structures (*Prevotella* sp., *Bacteroides vulgatus*), as well as for organisms that are AT-rich (*Mollicutes* sp., *Melainabacteria* sp.). We observe that long-reads capture a broader range of taxa both at the read and assembly levels when compared to short-read assemblies, and that short- and long-read polishing approaches yield medium-quality or greater draft genomes for these organisms. This illustrates the increased visibility that *de novo* assembly approaches lend to the study of the full array of organisms in the gut microbiome.

Discussion

Together with Oduaran *et al.*, we provide the first description of gut microbiome composition in Soweto and Bushbuckridge, South Africa, and to our knowledge, the first effort utilizing shotgun and nanopore sequencing in South Africa to describe the gut microbiome of adults. In doing so, we increase global representation in microbiome research and provide a baseline for future studies of disease association with the microbiome in South African populations, and in other transitional populations.

We find that gut microbiome composition differs demonstrably between the Bushbuckridge and Soweto cohorts, further highlighting the importance of studying diverse communities with differing lifestyle practices. Interestingly, even though gut microbiomes of individuals in Bushbuckridge and Soweto share many features and are more similar to each other than to other global cohorts studied, we do observe hallmark taxa associated with westernization are enriched in microbiomes in Soweto. These include *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium*, which have been previously associated with urban communities³, consistent with Soweto's urban locale in the Johannesburg metropolitan area.

We also observe enrichment in relative abundance of crAssphage and crAss-like viruses in Soweto relative to Bushbuckridge, with relatively high prevalence in both cohorts yet lower abundance on average of crAssphage clades alpha and delta compared to several other populations. This furthers recent work which revealed that crAssphage is prevalent across many cohorts globally⁴⁹, but found relatively fewer crAssphage sequences on the African continent, presumably due to paucity of available shotgun metagenomic data. Just as shotgun metagenomic sequence data enables the study of viruses, it also enables us to assess the relative abundance of human cells or damaged human cells in the stool. Surprisingly, we observe a high relative abundance of human DNA in the raw sequencing data. We find a statistically significantly higher relative abundance of human DNA in samples from Soweto compared to those from Bushbuckridge. Future research may help illuminate the potential reason for this finding, which may include a higher proportion of epithelium disruption by invasive bacteria or parasites in Soweto vs. Bushbuckridge, and in South Africa, in general, compared to other geographic settings. Alternatively, this may also

be attributable to a higher baseline of intestinal inflammation and fecal shedding of leukocytes. Without additional information, it is difficult to speculate the reason for this finding.

We find that individuals in Bushbuckridge are enriched in VANISH taxa including *Succinatimonas*, which was recently reported to associate with microbiomes from individuals practicing traditional lifestyles¹². Intriguingly, several VANISH taxa (*Succinatimonas*, *Succinivibrio*, *Treponema*) are bimodally distributed in the Bushbuckridge cohort. We hypothesize that this bimodality could be caused by differences in lifestyle and/or environmental factors including diet, history of hospitalization or exposure to medicines, physical properties of the household dwelling, differential treatment of drinking water across the villages comprising Bushbuckridge. Additionally this pattern may be explained by participation in migration to and from urban centers (or sharing a household with a migratory worker). A higher proportion of men in the community engage in this pattern of rural-urban migration³⁹, but it is possible that sharing a household with a cyclical worker could influence gut microbiome composition via horizontal transmission⁷⁴.

Despite the fact that host genetics explain relatively little of the variation in microbiome composition⁷⁵, we do observe a small number of taxa that associate with host genetics in this population. Future work is required for replication and to determine whether these organisms are interacting with the host and whether they are associated with host health.

Additionally, we demonstrate marked differences between South African cohorts and other previously studied populations living on the African continent and western countries. Broadly, we find that South African microbiomes reflect the transitional nature of their communities in that they overlap with western and nonwestern populations. Tremendous human genetic diversity exists within Africa⁷⁶, and our work reveals that there is a great deal of as yet unexplored microbiome diversity as well. In fact, we find that microbiome beta diversity within communities may be systematically underestimated by incomplete reference databases: taxa that are unique to individuals in nonwestern populations are not present in reference databases and therefore not included in beta diversity calculations. Though it has been reported that nonwestern

and traditional populations tend to have higher alpha diversity but lower beta diversity compared to western populations, we show that this pattern is not universally upheld when reference-agnostic nucleotide comparisons are performed. By extension, we speculate that previous claims that beta diversity inversely correlates with alpha diversity may have been fundamentally limited by study design in some cases. Specifically, the disparity between comparing small, homogenous African populations with large, heterogenous western ones constitutes a significant statistical confounder, potentially preventing a valid assessment of beta diversity between groups. Furthermore, alpha and beta diversity comparisons based on species-level taxonomic assignment may be further confounded due to the presence of polyphyletic clades in organisms like *Prevotella copri*^{26,77} which are highly abundant in gut microbiomes of nonwestern individuals. Notably, we also demonstrate that the notion of a “western-nonwestern” axis of microbiome variation is over-simplified: we find taxa that are enriched in South Africans relative to both western and hunter-gatherer/agriculturalist cohorts.

Advances in sequencing technology are enhancing our ability to more thoroughly characterize microbiomes using culture-free approaches. Through a combination of short-read and long-read sequencing, we successfully assembled contiguous, complete genomes for many organisms that are underrepresented in reference databases, including genomes that are commonly considered to be enriched in or limited to populations with traditional lifestyles including members of the VANISH taxa (e.g., *Treponema* sp., *Treponema succinifaciens*). The phylum Spirochaetes, namely its constituent genus *Treponema*, is considered to be a marker of traditional microbiomes and has not been detected in high abundance in human microbiomes outside of those communities^{11,69}. Here, we identify Spirochaetes in the gut microbiome of individuals in urban Soweto, demonstrating that this taxon is not exclusive to traditional, rural populations, though we observe that relative abundance is higher on average in traditional populations. Generation of additional genomes of VANISH taxa and incorporation of these genomes into reference databases will allow for increased sensitivity to detect these organisms in metagenomic data. Additionally, these genomes facilitate comparative genomics of understudied gut microbes and allow for

functional annotation of potentially biologically relevant functional pathways. We note that many of these genomes (e.g., *Melainabacteria*, *Succinatimonas*) are enriched in the gut microbiota of Bushbuckridge participants relative to Soweto, highlighting the impact of metagenomic assembly to better resolve genomes present in rural populations.

In addition to investigating members of the VANISH taxa, long-read sequencing enables the study of AT-rich genomes, which are difficult to sequence using transposon-based library construction approaches common in short-read studies. Thus, using long-read sequencing, we produced genomes for organisms that exist on the extremes of the GC content spectrum, such as *Mycoplasma sp.*, *Mollicutes sp.*, and *Melainabacteria sp.* We find that these organisms are sparsely covered by short-read sequencing, illustrating the increased range of non-amplification based sequencing approaches, such as nanopore sequencing. Interestingly, these assemblies are evaluated as only medium-quality by CheckM despite having low measurements of contamination, as well as genome lengths and gene counts comparable to reference genomes from the same phylogenetic clade. We hypothesize that sparse short-read coverage leads to incomplete polishing and therefore retention of small frameshift errors, which are a known limitation of nanopore sequencing⁷⁸. Further evaluation of 16S or long-read sequencing of traditional and western populations can identify whether these organisms are specific to certain lifestyles, or are more prevalent but poorly detected with shotgun sequencing.

While we find that the gut microbiome composition of the two South African cohorts described herein reflects their lifestyle transition, we acknowledge that these cohorts are not necessarily representative of all transitional communities in South Africa or other parts of the world which differ in lifestyle, diet, and resource access. Hence, further work remains to describe the gut microbiota in detail of other such understudied populations. This includes a detailed characterization of parasites present in microbiome sequence data, an analysis that we did not undertake in this study but would be of great interest. These organisms have been detected in the majority of household toilets in nearby KwaZulu-Natal province⁷⁹, and may interact with and influence microbiota composition⁸⁰.

Our study has several limitations. Although the publicly available sequence data from other global cohorts were generated with similar methodology to our study, it is possible that batch effects exist between datasets generated in different laboratories that may explain some percentage of the global variation we observe. Additionally, while nanopore sequencing is able to broaden our range of investigation, we illustrate that our ability to produce well-polished genomes at GC content extremes is limited. This may affect our ability to accurately call gene lengths and structures, although iterative long-read polishing improves our confidence in these assemblies. Future investigation of these communities using less biased, higher coverage short-read approaches or more accurate long-read sequencing approaches, such as PacBio circular consensus sequencing, may improve assembly qualities. Additionally, long-read sequencing of samples from a wider range of populations can identify whether the genomes identified herein are limited to traditional and transitional populations, or more widespread. Further, future improvements in error rate of long-read sequencing may obviate the need for short-read polishing altogether.

Taken together, our results emphasize the importance of generating sequence data from diverse transitional populations to contextualize studies of health and disease in these individuals. To do so with maximum sensitivity and precision, reference genomes must be generated to classify sequencing reads from these metagenomes. Herein, we demonstrate the discrepancies in microbiome sequence classifiability across global populations and highlight the need for more comprehensive reference collections. Recent efforts have made tremendous progress in improving the ability to classify microbiome data through creating new genomes via metagenomic assembly^{12,59,64}, and here we demonstrate the application of short- and long-read metagenomic assembly techniques to create additional genome references. Our application of long-read sequencing technology to samples from South African individuals has demonstrated the ability to generate highly contiguous MAGs and shows immense potential to expand our reference collections and better describe microbiomes throughout diverse populations globally. In the future, microbiome studies may use a combination of short- and long-read sequencing to maximize information output, perhaps performing targeted nanopore or other long-read

sequencing of samples that are likely to contain the most novelty on the basis of short-read data.

The present study was conducted in close collaboration between site staff and researchers in Bushbuckridge and Soweto as well as microbiome experts both in South Africa and the United States, and community member feedback was invited and incorporated at multiple phases in the planning and execution of the study (see Oduaran *et al.* 2020 and Supplemental Information for additional detail). Tremendous research efforts have produced detailed demographic and health characterization of individuals living in both Bushbuckridge and Soweto^{32,56,81,82} and it is our hope that microbiome data can be incorporated into this knowledge framework in future studies to uncover disease biomarkers or microbial associations with other health and lifestyle outcomes. More broadly, we feel that this is an example of a framework for conducting microbiome studies in an equitable manner, and we envision a system in which future studies of microbiome composition can be carried out to achieve detailed characterization of microbiomes globally while maximizing benefit to all participants and researchers involved.

Methods

Cohort selection

Stool samples were collected from women aged 40-72 years in Soweto, South Africa and Bushbuckridge Municipality, South Africa. Participants were recruited on the basis of participation in AWI-Gen¹, a previous study in which genotype and extensive health and lifestyle survey data were collected. Human subjects research approval was obtained (Stanford IRB 43069, University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee M160121, Mpumalanga Provincial Health Research Committee MP_2017RP22_851) and informed consent was obtained from participants for all samples collected. Stool samples were collected and preserved in OmniGene Gut OMR-200 collection kits (DNA Genotek). Samples were frozen within 60 days of collection as per manufacturer's instructions, followed by long-term storage at -80°C. As the enrollment criteria for our study included previous participation in a larger human genomics project¹, we had access to self-reported ethnicity for each participant (BaPedi, Ndebele, Sotho, Tsonga, Tswana, Venda, Xhosa, Zulu, Other, or Unknown). Samples from participants who tested HIV-positive or who did not consent to an HIV test were not analyzed.

Metagenomic sequencing of stool samples

DNA was extracted from stool samples using the QIAamp PowerFecal DNA Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's instructions except for the lysis step, in which samples were lysed using the TissueLyser LT (QIAGEN) (30 second oscillations/3 minutes at 30Hz). DNA concentration of all DNA samples was measured using Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation (DS DNA High-Sensitivity Kit, Life Technologies). DNA sequencing libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina). Final library concentration was measured using Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation and library size distributions were analyzed with the Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent). Libraries were multiplexed and 150 base pair paired-end reads were generated on the HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina). Samples with greater than approximately 300 ng remaining mass and a peak fragment length of greater than

19,000 bp (with minimal mass under 4,000 bp) as determined by a TapeStation 2200 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) were selected for nanopore sequencing. Nanopore sequencing libraries were prepared using the 1D Genomic DNA by Ligation protocol (ONT, Oxford UK) following standard instructions. Each library was sequenced with a full FLO-MIN106D R9 Version Rev D flow cell on a MinION sequencer for at least 60 hours.

Literature review

Literature review criteria based on Brewster et al. 2019² were employed: PubMed, EMBASE, SCOPUS, and Web of Science were queried for observational and interventional research involving the human gut microbiome through January 2021. Terms including “gut microbiome” and “gut microbiota” and names of each of the 54 African countries were included in the search. Primary reports on the gut microbiome in African children and/or adults, utilizing either 16S rRNA or shotgun metagenomic sequencing and written in English, were included. Abstracts, secondary reports, poster presentations, reviews or editorials, and *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies were excluded. The list of relevant articles yielded by this search strategy was manually reviewed.

Computational methods

Preprocessing and taxonomy profiling

Stool metagenomic sequencing reads were trimmed using TrimGalore v0.6.5³ with a minimum quality score of 30 for trimming (--q 30) and minimum read length of 60 (--length 60). Trimmed reads were deduplicated to remove PCR and optical duplicates using htstream SuperDeduper v1.2.0 with default parameters. Reads aligning to the human genome (hg19) were removed using BWA v0.7.17-r1188⁴. Taxonomy profiles were created with Kraken v2.0.9-beta with default parameters⁵ and (i) a comprehensive custom reference database containing all bacterial and archaeal genomes in GenBank assembled to “complete genome,” “chromosome,” or “scaffold” quality as of January 2020, and (ii) the pre-built Struo⁶ GTDB release 95 database containing one genome per species. Bracken v2.2.0 was then used to re-estimate

abundance at each taxonomic rank⁷. MetaPhlAn3⁸ taxonomy profiles were also generated.

Additional data

Published data from additional adult populations were downloaded from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) or European Nucleotide Archive (Supplementary Table 9) and preprocessed and taxonomically classified as described above. The study by Backhed et al. sampled both mothers and infants: only the maternal samples were retained in this study. For datasets containing longitudinal samples from the same individual, one unique sample per individual was chosen (the first sample from each individual was chosen from the United States Human Microbiome Project cohort).

K-mer sketches

K-mer sketches were computed using sourmash v2.0.0⁹. Low abundance *k*-mers were trimmed using the “trim-low-abund.py” script from the khmer package¹⁰ with a *k*-mer abundance cutoff of 3 (-C 3) and trimming coverage of 18 (-Z 18). Signatures were computed for each sample using the command “sourmash compute” with a compression ratio of 1000 (--scaled 1000) and *k*-mer lengths of 21, 31, and 51 (-k 21,31,51). Two signatures were computed for each sample - one signature tracking *k*-mer abundance (--track-abundance flag) for angular distance comparisons, and one without this flag for Jaccard distance comparisons. Signatures at each length of *k* were compared using “sourmash compare” with default parameters and the correct length of *k* specified with the -k flag.

Functional annotation

Unassembled metagenomic reads were functionally profiled using ShortBRED¹¹ v0.9.3 with a pre-built antibiotic resistance database based on the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database¹². Features were pre-filtered for >10% prevalence and statistical analysis was performed using MaAsLin v2¹³ using the compound Poisson linear model (CPLM) and total sum scaling normalization with “site” as a fixed effect.

Pangenomes were calculated with PanPhlAn v3.1⁸ using parameters for increased sensitivity recommended by the authors of the tool: “--min_coverage 1 --left_max 1.70 --right_min 0.30”.

MetaCyc pathways were profiled with HUMAnN v3.0.0⁸ with default parameters, using the mpa_v30_CHOCOPhlAn_201901 database. Forward and reverse reads were concatenated into one file per sample prior to processing. Pathway abundances were normalized to copies per million (CPM) and statistical analysis was performed using MaAsLin v2 using the compound Poisson linear model (CPLM) and total sum scaling normalization with “site” as a fixed effect.

Genome assembly, binning, and evaluation

Short-read metagenomic data were assembled with SPAdes v3.15¹⁴ and binned into draft genomes using a publicly available workflow (https://github.com/bhattlab/bhattlab_workflows/blob/master/binning/bin_das_tool_maysamp.snakefile, commit version bbe6511 as of Apr 20, 2021). Briefly, short reads were aligned to assembled contigs with BWA v0.7.17⁴ and contigs were subsequently binned into draft genomes with MetaBAT v2.15¹⁵, CONCOCT v1.1.0¹⁶, and MaxBin v2.2.7¹⁷. Default parameters were used for each binner, with the following exceptions: For the jgi_summarize_bam_contig_depths step of MetaBAT, minimum contig length was set at 1000 bp (--minContigLength 1000), minimum contig depth of coverage of 1 (--minContigDepth 1), and a minimum end-to-end percent identity of reads of 50 (--percentIdentity 50). Bins were aggregated and refined with DASTool v1.1.1¹⁸. Bins were evaluated for size, contiguity, completeness, and contamination with QUAST v5.0.2¹⁹, CheckM v1.0.13²⁰, Prokka v1.14.6²¹, Aragorn v1.2.38²², and Barnap v0.9 (<https://github.com/tseemann/barnap/>). We referred to published guidelines to designate genome quality²³. Individual contigs from all assemblies were assigned taxonomic classifications with Kraken v2.0.9^{5,23}. To create de-replicated genome collections, genomes with completeness greater than 75% and contamination less than 10% (as evaluated by CheckM) were de-replicated using dRep v3.2.0²⁴ with ANI threshold to form secondary clusters (-sa) at 0.99 (strain-level) or 0.95 (species-level). For comparison to UHGG species representatives secondary ANI was set to 0.95.

dRep chooses the genome with the highest score as the cluster representative according to the following formula: $dRep\ score = A * Completeness - B * Contamination + C * (Contamination * (Strain\ heterogeneity / 100)) + D * \log(N50) + E * \log(size) + F * (centrality - secondary\ ani)$. A through F are values which can be tuned by the user to change the relative importance of each parameter in choosing representative genomes. Default parameters (A=1, B=5, C=1, D=0.5, E=0, F=1) were used herein.

Long-read data were assembled with Lathe²⁵ as previously described. Briefly, Lathe implements basecalling with Guppy v2.3.5, assembly with Flye v2.4.2²⁶, short-read polishing with Pilon v1.23²⁷, and circularization with Circlator²⁸ and Encircle²⁵. Contigs greater than 1,000 bp were subsequently binned into draft genomes with MetaBAT v2.13 using minimum contig depth coverage of 1, minimum end-to-end percent identity of reads of 50, and otherwise using default parameters, then classified, and de-replicated as described above. Additional long-read polishing was performed using four iterations of polishing with Racon v1.4.10²⁹ and long-read alignment using minimap2 v2.17-r941³⁰, followed by one round of polishing with Medaka v0.11.5 (<https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka>). Single-contig genomes were analyzed for GC skew using SkewIT³¹. Genomes of interest were plotted with the DNAPlotter GUI³².

Draft genomes were additionally classified with GTDBtk v1.4.1 (classify_wf)³³ using release 95 reference data.

Direct comparisons between nMAGs and corresponding MAGs were performed by de-replicating high- and medium-quality nMAGs with MAGs assembled from the same sample. MAGs sharing at least 99% ANI with an nMAG were aligned to the nMAG regions using nucmer v3.1 and uncovered regions of the nMAG were annotated with prokka 1.14.6, VIBRANT v1.2.1³⁴, and ResFams v1.2³⁵.

Phylogenetic trees for all dereplicated short- and long-read MAGs were constructed with GTDBtk v1.4.1. To construct phylogenetic trees for taxa of interest, reference 16S sequences were downloaded from the Ribosomal Database Project (Release 11, update 5, September 30, 2016)³⁷ and 16S sequences were identified from nanopore genome assemblies using Barnap v0.9 (<https://github.com/tseemann/barnap/>). Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE v3.8.1551³⁸ with default parameters. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were

constructed from the alignments with FastTree v2.1.10^{38,39} with default settings (Jukes-Cantor + CAT model). Support values for branch splits were calculated using the Shimodaira-Hasegawa test with 1,000 resamples (default). Trees were visualized with FigTree v1.4.4 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

Statistical analysis and plotting

Statistical analyses were performed using R v4.0.2⁴⁰ with packages MASS v7.3-53⁴¹, stats⁴⁰, ggsignif v0.6.0⁴², and ggpubr v0.4.0⁴³. Alpha and beta diversity were calculated using the vegan package v2.6.0⁴⁴. Two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used to compare alpha and beta diversity between cohorts. Count data were rarefied and normalized via cumulative sum scaling and log2 transformation⁴⁵ prior to MDS. Data separation in MDS was assessed via PERMANOVA (permutation test with pseudo F ratios) using the adonis function from the vegan package. Differential microbial features between individuals living in Soweto and Bushbuckridge were identified from unnormalized count data output from kraken2 classification and bracken abundance re-estimation and filtered for 20% prevalence and at least 500 sequencing reads using DESeq2 with the formula “~site”⁴⁶. Plots were generated in R using the following packages: cowplot v1.0.0⁴⁷, DESeq2 v1.28.0⁴⁶, genefilter v1.70.0⁴⁸, ggplot2 v3.3.2⁴⁹, ggpubr v0.4.0, ggrepel v0.8.2⁵⁰, ggsignif v0.6.0, gtools v3.8.2⁵¹, harrietr v0.2.3⁵², MASS v7.3-53, reshape2 v1.4.4⁵³, tidyverse v1.3.0⁵⁴, and vegan v2.6.0.

Data availability

All shotgun sequence data generated by this study, as well as metagenome-assembled genome sequences are deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject PRJNA678454. Participant-level metadata (age, BMI, blood pressure measurements, and concomitant medications) and human genetic data will be deposited in the European Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) under Study ID EGAS00001002482 and dataset ID EGAD0000100658.

Code availability

R code for analysis and figure generation is available at <https://github.com/bhattlab/SouthAfrica>. Data analysis workflows referenced in Methods are available at https://github.com/bhattlab/bhattlab_workflows.

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants in our study for taking part in this research. Additionally, we thank the Bushbuckridge Community Advisory Group for their thoughtful recommendations on study procedure. We thank Karen Andrade for her contributions in planning the 2019 Community Advisory Group workshop. We thank the INDEPTH consortium for their support of this project. We thank the numerous fieldworkers at the Soweto DPHRU and Agincourt HDSS who enrolled participants and collected data. In particular, we thank Melody Mabuza, the field worker at Agincourt HDSS who oversaw collection of Bushbuckridge participant enrollment, and Jackson Mabasa, who managed sample collection in Soweto. We thank Michèle Ramsay (AWI-Gen PI), Yusuf Ismail, and Amanda Haye for their contributions to organizational and sample processing aspects of the project. We thank the Stanford Research Computing Center and Ben Siranosian for their contributions to computational infrastructure and support.

This work was supported in part by a grant from the Stanford Center for Innovation in Global Health and by NIH grant P30 CA124435, which supports the following Stanford Cancer Institute Shared Resource: the Genetics Bioinformatics Service Center. A.S.B was supported by the Rosenkranz prize and by an R01AI148623 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. F.B.T. was supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and the Stanford Computational, Evolutionary, and Human Genetics Pre-Doctoral Fellowship. D.G.M was supported by the Stanford Graduate Fellowships in Science and Engineering program. O.H.O. was partially supported by a Fogarty Global Health Equity Scholar award (TW009338). ANW is supported by the Fogarty International Centre, National Institutes of Health under award number K43TW010698. The work was further

supported by the South African National Research Foundation (CPRR160421162721) and a seed grant from the African Partnership for Disease Control. The AWI-Gen project is supported by the National Human Genome Research Institute (U54HG006938) as part of the H3A Consortium. The MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit and Agincourt Health and Socio-Demographic Surveillance System, a node of the South African Population Research Infrastructure Network (SAPRIN), is supported by the Department of Science and Innovation, the University of the Witwatersrand and the Medical Research Council, South Africa, and previously the Wellcome Trust, UK (grants 058893/Z/99/A; 069683/Z/02/Z; 085477/Z/08/Z; 085477/B/08/Z). This paper describes the views of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health (USA).

Author contributions

A.S.B. and S.H. conceived of study and secured funding. A.S.B., S.H., Z.N., R.T., X.G.O., F.W., A.N.W., R.G.W., K.K., S.T., S.A.N., and V.S. organized study logistics and coordinated participant enrollment and sample collection. V.S., M.R.H., O.H.O., F.B.T., and R.B. contributed to sample preparation and sequencing. F.B.T., D.M., and S.H. performed data analysis. A.S.B., F.B.T., and D.M. wrote and edited the manuscript, S.H. edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Main Tables

Table 1. Participant characteristics

	Site	Mean	Standard deviation	Range
Age	Bushbuckridge	55.2	7.9	43.0 - 72.0
	Soweto	54.1	5.9	43.0 - 64.0
BMI*	Bushbuckridge	32.4	8.0	21.2 - 59.0
	Soweto	36.1	9.3	20.4 - 58.6
Systolic blood pressure**	Bushbuckridge	137.0	18.3	101.3 - 189.3
	Soweto	134.5	22.5	96.0 - 193.0
Diastolic blood pressure**	Bushbuckridge	83.7	12.1	54.0 - 119.0
	Soweto	90.0	14.4	58.0 - 119.0

*One Bushbuckridge participant's BMI measurement was excluded as the recorded value was too low to be physiologically possible and deemed to have been recorded in error. We could not validate the correct BMI for this participant and thus have omitted them from the BMI summary statistics.

**A second participant from Bushbuckridge had missing blood pressure measurements and is not included in blood pressure summary statistics

990
991 **Table 2. Medium- and high-quality genomes assembled from nanopore sequencing**
992

Classification	GTDB Classification	Size (Mb)	Contigs	N50 (Mb)	Quality	16S rRNAs	GC %	GC Skew	Polishing
Alistipes putredinis	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Rikenellaceae;g__s__	1.91	1	1.91	Medium-quality	2	53.1	0.96	Short Read Only
Anaerotruncus sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Acetivibacteraceae;g__Eubacterium_R;s__Eubacterium_R sp000433975	2.04	1	2.04	Medium-quality	2	43.71	0.94	Short Read Only
Bacilli bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__RF39;f__CAG-302;g__CAG-302;s__CAG-302 sp900548425	1.46	1	1.46	Medium-quality	1	26.19	0.93	Short Read Only
Bacteroidales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Paludibacteraceae;g__RF16;s__RF16 sp900556095	2.67	2	1.8	High-quality	3	47.31	NA	Short Read Only
Bacteroidales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Muribaculaceae;g__CAG-279;s__CAG-279 sp000437795	2.79	1	2.79	High-quality	4	49.82	0.92	Short Read Only
Bacteroidales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Rikenellaceae;g__Alistipes;s__Alistipes sp900546065	1.7	1	1.7	Medium-quality	1	56.6	0.7	Short Read Only
Bacteroides sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__UBA932;g__RC9;s__RC9 sp000432655	2	2	1.59	High-quality	3	48.24	NA	Short Read Only
Bacteroides sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Bacteroidaceae;g__Phocaeicola;s__Phocaeicola sp000434735	2.82	2	2	Medium-quality	6	43.31	NA	Short Read Only
Bacteroides vulgatus	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Tannerellaceae;g__Parabacteroides;s__Parabacteroides sp900549585	2.68	1	2.68	Medium-quality	3	42.71	0.84	Short Read Only
Candidatus Melainabacteria	d__Bacteria;p__Cyanobacteria;c__Vampirovibrionia;o__Gastranaerophilales;f__Gastranaerophilaceae;g__UMGS1477;s__UMGS1477 sp900552205	2	1	2	Medium-quality	1	30.9	0.32	Short and Long
Catabacter sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-917;g__CAG-475;s__CAG-475 sp900550915	1.65	1	1.65	Medium-quality	1	46.4	0.87	Short and Long
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-74;g__UBA11524;s__UBA11524 sp000437595	2.03	4	0.6	Medium-quality	4	57.9	NA	Short Read Only
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-917;g__CAG-349;s__CAG-349 sp003539515	1.53	1	1.53	Medium-quality	1	47.28	0.94	Short Read Only
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-138;g__PeH17;s__PeH17 sp000435055	1.95	4	0.73	Medium-quality	3	49.59	NA	Short Read Only
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__CAG-272;g__CAG-724;s__	2.24	5	0.58	Medium-quality	2	48.65	NA	Short Read Only
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__UMGS1810;f__UMGS1810;g__s__	2.65	1	2.65	Medium-quality	3	42.82	0.69	Short Read Only

Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__Borkfalkiaceae;g__UBA1259;s__	1.32	2	0.79	Medium-quality	1	45.19	NA	Short Read Only
Clostridiales bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-917;g__CAG-349;s__CAG-349 sp003539515	1.61	1	1.61	Medium-quality	1	46.9	0.94	Short Read Only
Clostridium sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__RF39;f__CAG-1000;g__CAG-1000;s__	1.53	1	1.53	Medium-quality	1	25.24	0.89	Short Read Only
Clostridium sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__CAG-917;g__CAG-349;s__CAG-349 sp003539515	1.3	1	1.3	Medium-quality	1	46.87	0.8	Short Read Only
Clostridium sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__Acholeplasmatales;f__Anaeroplasmataceae;g__s__	2.01	1	2.01	Medium-quality	3	28.81	0.92	Short Read Only
Clostridium sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__RF39;f__CAG-1000;g__CAG-533;s__CAG-533 sp000434495	1.14	1	1.14	Medium-quality	1	29.09	0.7	Short Read Only
Clostridium sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Acutalibacteraceae;g__CAG-177;s__CAG-177 sp000431775	2.44	2	2.23	High-quality	3	52.53	NA	Short Read Only
Eubacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Acutalibacteraceae;g__UMGS1532;s__UMGS1532 sp900552605	2	4	0.63	Medium-quality	2	44.52	NA	Short Read Only
Lachnospiraceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Lachnospirales;f__Lachnospiraceae;g__CAG-95;s__	3.38	2	1.94	Medium-quality	4	43.55	NA	Short Read Only
Lachnospiraceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Lachnospirales;f__Lachnospiraceae;g__CAG-95;s__CAG-95 sp000438155	3.81	7	2.83	Medium-quality	4	43.56	NA	Short Read Only
Lentisphaeria bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Verrucomicrobiota;c__Lentisphaeria;o__Victivallales;f__Victivallaceae;g__Victivallis;s__Victivallis sp900550905	5.08	1	5.08	Medium-quality	3	57.5	0.69	Short and Long
Mollicutes bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__ML615J-28;f__CAG-698;g__Tener-01;s__Tener-01 sp001940985	1.68	2	1.49	Medium-quality	2	28.1	NA	Short and Long
Mycoplasma sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes;c__Bacilli;o__RF39;f__CAG-1000;g__CAG-460;s__CAG-460 sp000437315	1.17	3	1.12	Medium-quality	2	25.3	NA	Short and Long
Oscillibacter sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Oscillospiraceae;g__Oscillibacter;s__Oscillibacter sp001916835	1.13	10	0.17	Medium-quality	1	57.37	NA	Short Read Only
Porphyromonadaceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Muribaculaceae;g__C941;s__C941 sp004557565	2.97	1	2.97	Medium-quality	5	47.43	0.76	Short Read Only
Prevotella sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Bacteroidota;c__Bacteroidia;o__Bacteroidales;f__Bacteroidaceae;g__Prevotella;s__Prevotella sp000434515	3.29	3	1.14	Medium-quality	6	43.6	NA	Short and Long
Ruminococcaceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Acutalibacteraceae;g__Ruminococcus_E;s__Ruminococcus_E sp003526955	1.95	3	0.8	Medium-quality	4	38.35	NA	Short Read Only
Ruminococcaceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia_A;o__Christensenellales;f__QALW01;g__UMGS1338;s__UMGS1338 sp900550805	2.27	1	2.27	High-quality	3	51.43	0.91	Short Read Only
Ruminococcaceae bacterium	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__CAG-272;g__CAG-448;s__	1.78	1	1.78	Medium-quality	3	58.25	0.63	Short Read Only
Treponema sp.	d__Bacteria;p__Spirochaetota;c__Spirochaetia;o__Treponematales;f__Trepo	2.06	1	2.06	Medium-quality	3	41.55	0.93	Short Read Only

	nemataceae;g__Treponema_D;s__Treponema_D sp900541945								
Treponema succinifaciens	d__Bacteria;p__Spirochaetota;c__Spirochaetia;o__Treponematales;f__Trepo nemataceae;g__Treponema_D;s__Treponema_D succinifaciens	2.55	1	2.55	High-quality	4	39.12	0.82	Short Read Only
uncultured Ruminococcus	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Acutalibact eraceae;g__CAG-180;s__CAG-180 sp004556705	1.59	2	1.34	Medium-quality	2	44	NA	Short Read Only
uncultured Ruminococcus	d__Bacteria;p__Firmicutes_A;c__Clostridia;o__Oscillospirales;f__Ruminococ caceae;g__CAG-353;s__CAG-353 sp900066885	2.08	1	2.08	Medium-quality	5	46.85	0.69	Short Read Only

993

Figure 1. Taxonomic composition of South African study participants

Sequence data were taxonomically classified using Kraken2 with a database containing all genomes in GenBank of “scaffold” quality or better as of January 2020.

(A) Top 20 genera by relative abundance for samples from participants in Bushbuckridge and Soweto, sorted by decreasing *Prevotella* abundance. *Prevotella*, *Faecalibacterium*, and *Bacteroides* are the most prevalent genera across both study sites.

(B) Relative abundance of VANISH genera by study site, grouped by family. A pseudocount of 1 read was added to each sample prior to relative abundance normalization in order to plot on a log scale, as the abundance of some genera in some samples is zero. Relative abundance values of most VANISH genera are higher on average in participants from Bushbuckridge than Soweto (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test, significance values denoted as follows: (*) $p < 0.05$, (**) $p < 0.01$, (***) $p < 0.001$, (****) $p < 0.0001$, (ns) not significant). For box plots, lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

1014

1015

1016 **Figure 2. Comparison of Bushbuckridge and Soweto microbiomes**

1017 (A) Multidimensional scaling of pairwise Bray-Curtis distance between samples

1018 (rarefied to 1.44M counts per sample to control for read depth and CSS normalized).

1019 Soweto samples have greater dispersion than Bushbuckridge (PERMDISP2 $p < 0.001$).

1020 (B) Shannon diversity calculated on rarefied species-level taxonomic classifications for
 1021 each sample. Samples from Bushbuckridge are higher in alpha diversity than samples
 1022 from Soweto (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test, $p < 0.05$). For box plots, lower and
 1023 upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot
 1024 whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile
 1025 range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

1026 (C) DESeq2 identifies microbial genera that are differentially abundant in rural
 1027 Bushbuckridge compared to the urban Soweto cohort. Features with log2 fold change
 1028 greater than one are plotted (full results in Supplementary Table 7).
 1029

Figure 3. Community-level comparison of global microbiomes

Comparisons of South African microbiome data to microbiome sequence data from four publicly available cohorts representing western (United States, Sweden) and nonwestern (Tanzania, Madagascar, Burkina Faso) populations.

(A) Number of participants per cohort.

(B) Multidimensional scaling of pairwise Bray-Curtis distance between samples from six datasets of healthy adult shotgun microbiome sequencing data. Western

populations (Sweden, United States) cluster away from African populations practicing a traditional lifestyle (Madagascar, Tanzania, Burkina Faso) while transitional South African microbiomes overlap with both western and nonwestern populations. Shown below are scatterplots of relative abundance of the top four taxa most correlated with MDS 1 (Spearman's rho, *Spirochaetaceae* -0.824, *Succinivibrionaceae* -0.804, *Bacteroidaceae* 0.769, and *Prevotellaceae* -0.752) against MDS 1 on the x-axis.

(C) Box plots of the first axis of MDS (MDS 1) which correlates with geography and lifestyle, and the second axis of MDS (MDS 2) which shows a distinct separation of South African cohorts.

(D) Shannon diversity across cohorts. Shannon diversity was calculated from data rarefied to the number of counts of the lowest sample.

For box plots in (C) and (D), lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

Figure 4. Comparison of beta diversity between communities calculated by taxonomy versus nucleotide *k*-mer composition

(A) Percentage of reads classified at any taxonomic rank, by cohort, based on a reference database of all reference genomes of “scaffold” quality or higher in GenBank and RefSeq as of January 2020. Western microbiomes have a higher percentage of classifiable reads compared to nonwestern microbiomes (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank-sum test $p < 0.001$).

(B) Nucleotide sequences of microbiome sequencing reads were compared using *k*-mer sketches. This reference-free approach is not constrained by comparison to existing genomes and therefore allows direct comparison of sequences. Briefly, a hash function generates signatures at varying sequence lengths (*k*) and *k*-mer sketches can be compared between samples. Data shown here are generated from comparisons at $k=31$ (approx. species-level)⁶¹. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of angular distance values computed between each pair of samples.

(C-E) Comparison of pairwise beta diversity within communities assessed by Bray-Curtis distance based on species-level classifications and angular distance of nucleotide *k*-mer sketches. (C) All populations. (D) South African populations (Bushbuckridge and Soweto) compared to the Swedish cohort. Beta diversity measured by Bray-Curtis distance is higher in Soweto but lower in Bushbuckridge compared to the United States. However, reference-independent *k*-mer comparisons indicate that nucleotide dissimilarity is higher within both South African populations compared to the Swedish cohort. (E) Species-based Bray-Curtis distance indicates that there is more beta diversity within the United States cohort compared to Malagasy, but *k*-mer distance indicates an opposite pattern.

For all box plots in (A), (C), (D), and (E), lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median. Significance values for two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum tests denoted as follows: (*) $p < 0.05$, (**) $p < 0.01$, (***) $p < 0.001$, (****) $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 5. Complete and contiguous genomes of South African microbiota

(A) Phylogenetic tree of dereplicated short-read MAGs and medium- and high-quality nanopore MAGs (green circles). Innermost ring indicates GTDB phylum, middle ring indicates study site associated with each MAG, and outer ring indicates the highest average nucleotide identity between each MAG and genomes from UHGG.

(B) A selection of MAGs assembled from long-read sequencing (green) of three South African samples compared contigs assembled from corresponding short read data (grey). Third track (pink) indicates sliding genomic GC content, and fourth track (yellow) indicates sliding genomic GC skew. Breaks in circles represent different contigs. Genomic information within plots refer to assembly statistics of nanopore MAGs.

(C) Number of additional genomic elements present in medium- and high-quality nanopore MAGs that are absent in corresponding short-read MAGs for the same organism, as diagrammed in the left hand panel. Box plot lower and upper hinges

1099 correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot whiskers represent
 1100 the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the
 1101 horizontal line represents the median.

1102 (B) Taxonomic of de-replicated medium- and high-quality nanopore MAGs. Black
 1103 circles represent nanopore MAGs, at the highest level of taxonomic classification by
 1104 GTDB.

1105

1106 **Supplementary Figures**

1107

1108 **Supplementary Figure 1. Most abundant species and genera**

1109 Most abundant taxa by mean relative abundance (total sum scaling) shown for samples
 1110 from Bushbuckridge (n=117) and Soweto (n=51). Taxa are plotted in decreasing order
 1111 of mean relative abundance (vertical line) calculated across both cohorts combined.
 1112 Lower and upper box plot hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and
 1113 lower box plot whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the
 1114 interquartile range, and the vertical line represents the median.

1115 (A) The most abundant species are *Prevotella copri*, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, and a
 1116 bacterium from the family Ruminococcaceae.

1117 (B) *Prevotella*, *Bacteroides*, and *Faecalibacterium* are the most abundant genera
 1118 across both study sites.

Supplementary Figure 2. Bimodal distribution of three VANISH taxa

(A) *Succinatimonas*, *Succinivibrio*, and *Treponema* relative abundance values follow a bimodal distribution in Bushbuckridge.

1123 Across all South African samples, several VANISH families (B) and genera (C) are
1124 correlated, with the exception of *Prevotella* and genera of the family *Spirochaetaceae*
1125 which are not correlated with *Prevotella* (*Treponema*) or weakly anti-correlated with
1126 *Prevotella* (*Spirochaeta*, *Sphaerochaeta*, *Sediminispirochaeta*).

Supplementary Figure 3. Abundance of human reads in metagenomic sequencing

(A) Histogram and (B) box plots indicating that the proportion of human reads removed after deduplication was found to be higher in the Soweto cohort compared to Bushbuckridge (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p = 1.661\text{e-}12$). Significance values for Wilcoxon rank sum tests denoted as (****) for $p < 0.0001$. Lower and upper box plot hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower box plot

1134 whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile
1135 range, and the vertical line represents the median.
1136

1137

1138

1139 **Supplementary Figure 4. Bacteroides/Prevotella gradient across study population**

1140 Multidimensional scaling ordination of Bray-Curtis distance calculated from species
 1141 classifications in South African microbiome samples (CSS normalized) colored by log2
 1142 ratio of the relative abundance of the genera *Bacteroides* and *Prevotella*. *Bacteroides*
 1143 and *Prevotella* are major axes of variation across study samples.

1144

Supplementary Figure 5: Concomitant medications do not substantially impact community composition

Multidimensional scaling ordination of Bray-Curtis distance calculated from species classifications. Circles indicate participants from Bushbuckridge, triangles indicate participants from Soweto.

(A) Points are colored red if the participant was taking a medication of the corresponding class, patients not taking a medication of that class are shown in gray.

(B) Specific antibiotics taken by participants. Points are colored according to the antibiotic or combination of antibiotics reported.

(C) PERMANOVA R^2 values and nominal and adjusted p-values for the variation explained by each drug class. $Pr(>F)$ is the unadjusted p-value associated with the PERMANOVA F statistic, and FDR is the adjusted p-value to control the false discovery rate.

1160

1161

Supplementary Figure 6. Differentially abundant species between Bushbuckridge and Soweto

Differentially abundant microbial species between rural Bushbuckridge and urban Soweto samples identified by DESeq2. Features with log2 fold change greater than one are shown (full results in Supplementary Table 7). Note that differentially abundant microbial genera are presented in Figure 2C.

Supplementary Figure 7: GTDB yields increased taxonomic precision and alpha diversity

(A) Genus-level taxonomy using the MetaPhlAn3 classifier and database.

(B) Genus-level taxonomy using the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) release 95.

(C) Shannon diversity across our custom GenBank database, the GTDB, and MetaPhlAn3. Shannon diversity is significantly higher using the GTDB as a reference collection compared to the custom GenBank database (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p = 4.929\text{e-}06$) and MetaPhlAn3 (Two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test, $p < 2.2\text{e-}16$). Significance values for Wilcoxon rank sum tests denoted in the plot as (****) to represent $p < 0.0001$.

Supplementary Figure 8: Differentially abundant antibiotic resistance genes between Bushbuckridge and Soweto

Antibiotic resistance genes were profiled using shortBRED against the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD). The shortBRED profiles were generated by grouping genes by CARD antibiotic resistance ontology (ARO) accession.

1189 (A) Heatmap showing log-transformed RPKM (reads per kilobase per million) values for
 1190 antibiotic resistance genes in the gut metagenome of each participant. Columns
 1191 (participants) are clustered by Canberra distance, rows (genes) are clustered by
 1192 Euclidean distance.

1193 (B) Differentially abundant antibiotic resistance genes in Bushbuckridge (BBR) versus
 1194 Soweto (SWT). RPKM profiles were compared between study sites using MaAsLin v2
 1195 and p-values were adjusted to control the false discovery rate (FDR). Of 113 antibiotic
 1196 resistance genes tested, 30 with $q < 0.05$ are shown.

1197 (C) Full CARD names for AROs whose names were truncated for plotting purposes in
 1198 (A) and (B).

Supplementary Figure 9: Differential MetaCyc pathways between Bushbuckridge and Soweto

(A) MetaCyc pathways were profiled with HUMAnN v3 and differentially abundant pathways were identified using MaAsLin v2. 424 of 484 features (88%) met the 10% prevalence cutoff and 68 of 424 features (16%) were significantly differentially abundant between Bushbuckridge and Soweto with a q-value < 0.05. 37 features with q-value < 0.05 and coefficient >0.5 in either direction are shown.

(B) Stratified pathway composition by taxon for each significant MetaCyc pathway.

1209

1210

1211 **Supplementary Figure 10. South African microbiomes do not cluster by self-**
1212 **reported ethnicity**

1213 Multidimensional scaling ordination of Bray-Curtis distance with samples are colored

1214 by self-reported ethnicity. Samples do not cluster by self-reported ethnicity.

Supplementary Figure 11. Relative abundance of VANISH taxa in global cohort

Relative abundance of VANISH genera from the families Prevotellaceae, Spirochaetaceae, and Succinivibrionaceae. A pseudo-percent was substituted for zero values in order to plot on a log scale. Relative abundance values for most genera trend

1220 toward decreasing from nonwestern cohorts to western cohorts. Box plot lower and
1221 upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers
1222 represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and
1223 the horizontal line represents the median.
1224

Supplementary Figure 12. Microbial genera enriched or depleted in South Africans relative to other cohorts

Samples were grouped by geographic region into “western” (USA, Sweden), “nonwestern” (Tanzania, Madagascar, Burkina Faso) and “South African” (Bushbuckridge, Soweto) and genera which distinguish the South African group from

1231 the western and nonwestern groups were determined using DESeq2. Genera present
 1232 with at least 500 counts in 20% of samples were considered (190 features total). 14
 1233 features with the same directionality of log2 fold change with respect to South Africa in
 1234 both comparisons, with a minimum log2 fold change of 2 in each comparison, are
 1235 shown. A pseudo-percent was added to zero values for plotting. Box plot lower and
 1236 upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers
 1237 represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and
 1238 the horizontal line represents the median.

1239

1240

B

Species	R2	Pr(>F)	FDR
<i>Prevotella copri</i>	0.016	0.039	0.0585
<i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i>	0.052	0.001	0.0030
<i>Bacteroides vulgatus</i>	0.027	0.001	0.0030
<i>Eubacterium siraeum</i>	0.046	0.011	0.0220
<i>Alistipes putredinis</i>	0.030	0.099	0.1188
<i>Butyrivibrio crossotus</i>	0.020	0.308	0.3080

Supplementary Figure 13: Pangenomes of South African metagenomic strains

(A) Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots of Jaccard distance between pangenome content of the six most abundant bacteria cohort-wide as measured by MetaPhlAn3.

(B) PERMANOVA results testing the null hypothesis that the centroids of Bushbuckridge and Soweto sample pangenomes differ in location. PR(>F) signifies the unadjusted p-value for the F statistic and FDR signifies p-values adjusted to control the false discovery rate.

Supplementary Figure 14. Cohort-wise beta diversity computed via Jaccard distance

Comparison of pairwise beta diversity within each cohort based on Jaccard distance between species abundance counts and nucleotide *k*-mer sketches. Nonwestern populations have greater beta diversity than western populations considering nucleotide *k*-mer composition. Box plot lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

Supplementary Figure 15: Novel short-read MAGs

(A) Distribution of completeness and contamination (as assessed by CheckM software) in medium-quality (MQ), near-complete (NC), and high-quality (HQ) MAGs derived from Bushbuckridge (BBR) and Soweto (SWT). Smaller green points indicate MQ MAGs, smaller blue points indicate NC MAGs, and larger red dots indicate HQ MAGs. MQ

1266 MAGs must be >50% complete and <10% contaminated; NC MAGs must be ≥90%
1267 complete, ≤5% contaminated, and have a contig N50 ≥ 10 kb, average contig length ≥5
1268 kb, ≤500 contigs, and ≥90% of contigs with ≥5X read depth; HQ MAGs must be >90%
1269 complete, <5% contaminated, and have at least 18 tRNA genes and at least one each
1270 of the 5S, 16S, and 23S rRNA genes.

1271 (B) Distribution of FastANI average nucleotide identity values from each MQ or HQ
1272 MAG to the most closely related genome in the Unified Human Gastrointestinal
1273 Genome collection (UHGG). Not pictured are ten MQ MAGs with insufficient identity to
1274 any genome in UHGG such that FastANI could not be calculated. Box plot lower and
1275 upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers
1276 represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and
1277 the horizontal line represents the median.

1278 (C) Taxonomic classifications of “novel” MAGs from this study with <95% ANI to any
1279 genome in UHGG. Classifications according to GTDBtk using release 95 data.
1280

Supplementary Figure 16. Taxonomic composition for samples selected for nanopore sequencing

Short-read sequencing-based GTDB taxonomic classifications for the three samples selected for Nanopore sequencing, (A) Bushbuckridge 106, (B), Bushbuckridge 108, (C) Bushbuckridge 113. Species- and genus-level classifications shown for each sample. Top thirty taxa by relative abundance shown in each plot. Symbols indicate whether a medium- or high-quality short-read (*) or nanopore MAG (†) was assembled from the corresponding genus or species in the short read metagenomic data.

Supplementary Figure 17. Summary statistics for Illumina and nanopore MAGs generated from all samples.

(A) Number of low-, medium-, and high-quality genomes as evaluated with Bowers et al. standards

(B) Distribution of MAG percent completeness as determined by CheckM.

(C) Distribution of MAG percent contamination as determined by CheckM.

(D) Distribution of MAG N50.

In all panels, box plot lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

Supplementary Figure 18. Summary statistics of nanopore and short read MAGs generated for three Bushbuckridge samples

(A) MAG short read or long-read coverage versus MAG N50.

(B) MAG total size versus MAG N50. Grey line indicates where genome N50 equals total genome size.

Supplementary Figure 19. Phylogeny of *Treponema* 16S rRNA sequences

Phylogeny of 16S rRNA sequences from species of the genus *Treponema* show that the *Treponema* sp. assembled via Nanopore sequencing is most related to *T. succinifaciens*, but is phylogenetically distinct. Branch labels indicate Shimodaira-Hasegawa support values for splits.

1322

1323

Supplementary Figure 20. Phylogeny of Mollicutes 16S rRNA sequences

Phylogeny of 16S rRNA sequences from species of the class Mollicutes showing the Mollicutes and Mycoplasma genomes assembled via nanopore sequencing. Branch labels indicate Shimodaira-Hasegawa support values for splits.

Supplementary Figure 21. GC content of MAGs and nMAGs generated from three Bushbuckridge samples

(A) GC content range of MAGs and nMAGs.

(B) nMAGs with contig N50 values greater than one megabase. GC content of low-quality nMAGs is lower than the GC content of high-quality nMAGs, despite nMAGs of all quality having N50 values of higher than one megabase. (*) denotes $p \leq 0.05$, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test.

In both panels, box plot lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, upper and lower whiskers represent the highest and lowest values within 1.5 times the interquartile range, and the horizontal line represents the median.

1340 **Supplementary Figure 22. GC content of nanopore and Illumina sequencing reads**
1341 **generated from three Bushbuckridge samples**

1342 GC content was calculated for all processed Illumina reads (average length of 126 bp)
1343 and for 126 bp windows of all nanopore reads. GC content distribution was
1344 subsampled to 100,000 measurements per method.

1345

Main Text References

1. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207–214 (2012).
2. Qin, J. *et al.* A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* **464**, 59–65 (2010).
3. Gupta, V. K., Paul, S. & Dutta, C. Geography, Ethnicity or Subsistence-Specific Variations in Human Microbiome Composition and Diversity. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 1162 (2017).
4. Brewster, R. *et al.* Surveying Gut Microbiome Research in Africans: Toward Improved Diversity and Representation. *Trends Microbiol.* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.tim.2019.05.006.
5. Yatsunenko, T. *et al.* Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature* **486**, 222–227 (2012).
6. Smits, S. A. *et al.* Seasonal cycling in the gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers of Tanzania. *Science* **357**, 802–806 (2017).
7. Rampelli, S. *et al.* Metagenome Sequencing of the Hadza Hunter-Gatherer Gut Microbiota. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, 1682–1693 (2015).
8. Fragiadakis, G. K. *et al.* Links between environment, diet, and the hunter-gatherer microbiome. *Gut Microbes* **10**, 216–227 (2018).
9. Schnorr, S. L. *et al.* Gut microbiome of the Hadza hunter-gatherers. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3654 (2014).
10. Hansen, M. E. B. *et al.* Population structure of human gut bacteria in a diverse cohort from rural Tanzania and Botswana. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 16 (2019).
11. Obregon-Tito, A. J. *et al.* Subsistence strategies in traditional societies distinguish gut microbiomes. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6505 (2015).
12. Pasolli, E. *et al.* Extensive Unexplored Human Microbiome Diversity Revealed by Over 150,000 Genomes from Metagenomes Spanning Age, Geography, and Lifestyle. *Cell* **176**, 649–662.e20 (2019).
13. Vangay, P. *et al.* US Immigration Westernizes the Human Gut Microbiome. *Cell* **175**, 962–972.e10 (2018).
14. Collinson, M. A. *et al.* Migration and the epidemiological transition: insights from the Agincourt sub-district of northeast South Africa. *Glob. Health Action* **7**, 23514 (2014).
15. Griffiths, J. A. & Mazmanian, S. K. Emerging evidence linking the gut microbiome to neurologic disorders. *Genome Medicine* vol. 10 (2018).
16. Turnbaugh, P. J. *et al.* A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature* **457**, 480–

- 484 (2009).
17. Helmink, B. A., Wadud Khan, M. A., Hermann, A., Gopalakrishnan, V. & Wargo, J. A. The microbiome, cancer, and cancer therapy. *Nature Medicine* vol. 25 377–388 (2019).
18. Hagan, T. *et al.* Antibiotics-Driven Gut Microbiome Perturbation Alters Immunity to Vaccines in Humans. *Cell* **178**, 1313–1328.e13 (2019).
19. Ciabattini, A., Olivieri, R., Lazzeri, E. & Medaglini, D. Role of the Microbiota in the Modulation of Vaccine Immune Responses. *Front. Microbiol.* **10**, 1305 (2019).
20. Ou, J. *et al.* Diet, microbiota, and microbial metabolites in colon cancer risk in rural Africans and African Americans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **98**, 111–120 (2013).
21. de la Cuesta-Zuluaga, J. *et al.* Gut microbiota is associated with obesity and cardiometabolic disease in a population in the midst of Westernization. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 11356 (2018).
22. Jha, A. R. *et al.* Gut microbiome transition across a lifestyle gradient in Himalaya. *PLoS Biol.* **16**, e2005396 (2018).
23. Lim, S. S. *et al.* A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* **380**, 2224–2260 (2012).
24. Campbell, T. P. *et al.* The microbiome and resistome of chimpanzees, gorillas, and humans across host lifestyle and geography. *ISME J.* **14**, 1584–1599 (2020).
25. Lokmer, A. *et al.* Use of shotgun metagenomics for the identification of protozoa in the gut microbiota of healthy individuals from worldwide populations with various industrialization levels. *PLoS One* **14**, e0211139 (2019).
26. Tett, A. *et al.* The Prevotella copri Complex Comprises Four Distinct Clades Underrepresented in Westernized Populations. *Cell Host Microbe* **26**, 666–679.e7 (2019).
27. Rocafort, M. *et al.* Evolution of the gut microbiome following acute HIV-1 infection. *Microbiome* vol. 7 (2019).
28. Jacobson, D. K. *et al.* Analysis of global human gut metagenomes shows that metabolic resilience potential for short-chain fatty acid production is strongly influenced by lifestyle. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1724 (2021).
29. Yinda, C. K. *et al.* Gut Virome Analysis of Cameroonians Reveals High Diversity of Enteric Viruses, Including Potential Interspecies Transmitted Viruses. *mSphere* **4**, (2019).
30. Oduaran, O. H. *et al.* Gut Microbiome Profiling of a Rural and Urban South African Cohort Reveals Biomarkers of a Population in Lifestyle Transition. *Biorxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.02.27.964023.

31. Santosa, A. & Byass, P. Diverse Empirical Evidence on Epidemiological Transition in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Population-Based Findings from INDEPTH Network Data. *PLoS One* **11**, e0155753 (2016).
32. Kabudula, C. W. *et al.* Progression of the epidemiological transition in a rural South African setting: findings from population surveillance in Agincourt, 1993--2013. *BMC Public Health* **17**, 424 (2017).
33. Ajayi, I. O. *et al.* Urban-rural and geographic differences in overweight and obesity in four sub-Saharan African adult populations: a multi-country cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health* **16**, 1126 (2016).
34. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) – Africa Working Group. Trends in obesity and diabetes across Africa from 1980 to 2014: an analysis of pooled population-based studies. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **46**, 1421–1432 (2017).
35. Sonnenburg, E. D. & Sonnenburg, J. L. The ancestral and industrialized gut microbiota and implications for human health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 383–390 (2019).
36. Statistics South Africa. Census 2011 Statistical Release. (2012).
37. Houle, B., Clark, S. J., Gómez-Olivé, F. X., Kahn, K. & Tollman, S. M. The unfolding counter-transition in rural South Africa: mortality and cause of death, 1994-2009. *PLoS One* **9**, e100420 (2014).
38. Bawah, A. *et al.* The Evolving Demographic and Health Transition in Four Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Evidence from Four Sites in the INDEPTH Network of Longitudinal Health and Demographic Surveillance Systems. *PLoS One* **11**, e0157281 (2016).
39. Ginsburg, C. *et al.* Migration and Settlement Change in South Africa: Triangulating Census 2011 with Longitudinal Data from the Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System in the Rural North-east. *South. Afr. J. Demogr.* **17**, 133–198 (2016).
40. De Filippo, C. *et al.* Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 14691–14696 (2010).
41. Gorvitovskaia, A., Holmes, S. P. & Huse, S. M. Interpreting Prevotella and Bacteroides as biomarkers of diet and lifestyle. *Microbiome* **4**, 15 (2016).
42. Maier, L. & Typas, A. Systematically investigating the impact of medication on the gut microbiome. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **39**, 128–135 (2017).
43. Vich Vila, A. *et al.* Impact of commonly used drugs on the composition and metabolic function of the gut microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 362 (2020).

44. Forslund, K. *et al.* Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut microbiota. *Nature* **528**, 262–266 (2015).
45. Bolourian, A. & Mojtahedi, Z. Streptomyces, shared microbiome member of soil and gut, as ‘old friends’ against colon cancer. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* vol. 94 (2018).
46. Soo, R. M. *et al.* An expanded genomic representation of the phylum cyanobacteria. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **6**, 1031–1045 (2014).
47. Di Rienzi, S. C. *et al.* The human gut and groundwater harbor non-photosynthetic bacteria belonging to a new candidate phylum sibling to Cyanobacteria. *Elife* **2**, e01102 (2013).
48. Guerin, E. *et al.* Biology and Taxonomy of crAss-like Bacteriophages, the Most Abundant Virus in the Human Gut. *Cell Host Microbe* (2018) doi:10.1016/j.chom.2018.10.002.
49. Edwards, R. A. *et al.* Global phylogeography and ancient evolution of the widespread human gut virus crAssphage. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1727–1736 (2019).
50. de la Cuesta-Zuluaga, J., Ley, R. E. & Youngblut, N. D. Struo: a pipeline for building custom databases for common metagenome profilers. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2314–2315 (2020).
51. Parks, D. H. *et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 996–1004 (2018).
52. Beghini, F. *et al.* Integrating taxonomic, functional, and strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with bioBakery 3. *Elife* **10**, (2021).
53. Pokusaeva, K., Fitzgerald, G. F. & van Sinderen, D. Carbohydrate metabolism in Bifidobacteria. *Genes & Nutrition* vol. 6 285–306 (2011).
54. Oliphant, K. & Allen-Vercoe, E. Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: major fermentation by-products and their impact on host health. *Microbiome* **7**, 91 (2019).
55. Santoru, M. L. *et al.* Cross sectional evaluation of the gut-microbiome metabolome axis in an Italian cohort of IBD patients. *Scientific Reports* vol. 7 (2017).
56. Ramsay, M. *et al.* H3Africa AWI-Gen Collaborative Centre: a resource to study the interplay between genomic and environmental risk factors for cardiometabolic diseases in four sub-Saharan African countries. *Glob Health Epidemiol Genom* **1**, e20 (2016).
57. Bäckhed, F. *et al.* Dynamics and Stabilization of the Human Gut Microbiome during the First Year of Life. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**, 690–703 (2015).
58. Sonnenburg, J. & Sonnenburg, E. A Microbiota Assimilation. *Cell Metab.* **28**, 675–677 (2018).
59. Nayfach, S., Shi, Z. J., Seshadri, R., Pollard, K. S. & Kyrpides, N. C. New insights from uncultivated genomes of the global human gut microbiome. *Nature* **568**, 505–510 (2019).

60. Brown, C. T. & Irber, L. sourmash: a library for MinHash sketching of DNA. *JOSS* **1**, 27 (2016).
61. Koslicki, D. & Falush, D. MetaPalette: a -mer Painting Approach for Metagenomic Taxonomic Profiling and Quantification of Novel Strain Variation. *mSystems* **1**, (2016).
62. Martínez, I. *et al.* The gut microbiota of rural papua new guineans: composition, diversity patterns, and ecological processes. *Cell Rep.* **11**, 527–538 (2015).
63. Chen, L.-X., Anantharaman, K., Shaiber, A., Eren, A. M. & Banfield, J. F. Accurate and complete genomes from metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **30**, 315–333 (2020).
64. Almeida, A. *et al.* A new genomic blueprint of the human gut microbiota. *Nature* **568**, 499–504 (2019).
65. Moss, E. L., Maghini, D. G. & Bhatt, A. S. Complete, closed bacterial genomes from microbiomes using nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0422-6.
66. Bowers, R. M. *et al.* Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 725–731 (2017).
67. Almeida, A. *et al.* A unified catalog of 204,938 reference genomes from the human gut microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 105–114 (2020).
68. Han, C. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of *Treponema succinifaciens* type strain (6091). *Stand. Genomic Sci.* **4**, 361–370 (2011).
69. Angelakis, E. *et al.* *Treponema* species enrich the gut microbiota of traditional rural populations but are absent from urban individuals. *New Microbes New Infect* **27**, 14–21 (2019).
70. Parks, D. H. *et al.* Recovery of nearly 8,000 metagenome-assembled genomes substantially expands the tree of life. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 1533–1542 (2017).
71. Scher, J. U. *et al.* Expansion of intestinal *Prevotella copri* correlates with enhanced susceptibility to arthritis. *Elife* **2**, e01202 (2013).
72. Stewart, R. D. *et al.* Compendium of 4,941 rumen metagenome-assembled genomes for rumen microbiome biology and enzyme discovery. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 953–961 (2019).
73. Sato, M. P. *et al.* Comparison of the sequencing bias of currently available library preparation kits for Illumina sequencing of bacterial genomes and metagenomes. *DNA Res.* **26**, 391–398 (2019).
74. Brito, I. L. *et al.* Transmission of human-associated microbiota along family and social networks. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 964–971 (2019).

75. Rothschild, D. *et al.* Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature* **555**, 210–215 (2018).
76. Choudhury, A. *et al.* High-depth African genomes inform human migration and health. *Nature* **586**, 741–748 (2020).
77. Parks, D. H. *et al.* A complete domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**, 1079–1086 (2020).
78. Tyler, A. D. *et al.* Evaluation of Oxford Nanopore’s MinION Sequencing Device for Microbial Whole Genome Sequencing Applications. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 10931 (2018).
79. Trönnberg, L., Hawksworth, D., Hansen, A., Archer, C. & Stenström, T. A. Household-based prevalence of helminths and parasitic protozoa in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, assessed from faecal vault sampling. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **104**, 646–652 (2010).
80. Leung, J. M., Graham, A. L. & Knowles, S. C. L. Parasite-Microbiota Interactions With the Vertebrate Gut: Synthesis Through an Ecological Lens. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, 843 (2018).
81. Richter, L., Norris, S., Pettifor, J., Yach, D. & Cameron, N. Cohort Profile: Mandela’s children: the 1990 Birth to Twenty study in South Africa. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **36**, 504–511 (2007).
82. Kabudula, C. W. *et al.* Socioeconomic differences in mortality in the antiretroviral therapy era in Agincourt, rural South Africa, 2001–13: a population surveillance analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* **5**, e924–e935 (2017).

Methods References

1. Ramsay, M. *et al.* H3Africa AWI-Gen Collaborative Centre: a resource to study the interplay between genomic and environmental risk factors for cardiometabolic diseases in four sub-Saharan African countries. *Glob Health Epidemiol Genom* **1**, e20 (2016).
2. Brewster, R. *et al.* Surveying Gut Microbiome Research in Africans: Toward Improved Diversity and Representation. *Trends Microbiol.* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.tim.2019.05.006.
3. Krueger, F. Trim Galore! http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore.
4. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* (2009).
5. Wood, D. E. & Salzberg, S. L. Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R46 (2014).

6. de la Cuesta-Zuluaga, J., Ley, R. E. & Youngblut, N. D. Struo: a pipeline for building custom databases for common metagenome profilers. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 2314–2315 (2020).
7. Lu, J., Breitwieser, F. P., Thielen, P. & Salzberg, S. L. Bracken: estimating species abundance in metagenomics data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* **3**, e104 (2017).
8. Beghini, F. *et al.* Integrating taxonomic, functional, and strain-level profiling of diverse microbial communities with bioBakery 3. *Elife* **10**, (2021).
9. Brown, C. T. & Irber, L. sourmash: a library for MinHash sketching of DNA. *JOSS* **1**, 27 (2016).
10. Crusoe, M. R. *et al.* The khmer software package: enabling efficient nucleotide sequence analysis. *F1000Res.* **4**, 900 (2015).
11. Kaminski, J. *et al.* High-Specificity Targeted Functional Profiling in Microbial Communities with ShortBRED. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **11**, e1004557 (2015).
12. McArthur, A. G. *et al.* The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **57**, 3348–3357 (2013).
13. Mallick, H. *et al.* Multivariable Association Discovery in Population-scale Meta-omics Studies. *bioRxiv* 2021.01.20.427420 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.01.20.427420.
14. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).
15. Kang, D. D., Froula, J., Egan, R. & Wang, Z. MetaBAT, an efficient tool for accurately reconstructing single genomes from complex microbial communities. *PeerJ* **3**, e1165 (2015).
16. Alneberg, J. *et al.* Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 1144–1146 (2014).
17. Wu, Y.-W., Simmons, B. A. & Singer, S. W. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 605–607 (2016).
18. Sieber, C. M. K. *et al.* Recovery of genomes from metagenomes via a dereplication, aggregation and scoring strategy. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 836–843 (2018).
19. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* vol. 29 1072–1075 (2013).
20. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1043–1055 (2015).
21. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069

- (2014).
22. Laslett, D. & Canback, B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 11–16 (2004).
23. Bowers, R. M. *et al.* Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome-assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 725–731 (2017).
24. Olm, M. R., Brown, C. T., Brooks, B. & Banfield, J. F. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. *ISME J.* **11**, 2864–2868 (2017).
25. Moss, E. L., Maghini, D. G. & Bhatt, A. S. Complete, closed bacterial genomes from microbiomes using nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0422-6.
26. Lin, Y. *et al.* Assembly of long error-prone reads using de Bruijn graphs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, E8396–E8405 (2016).
27. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**, e112963 (2014).
28. Hunt, M. *et al.* Circlator: automated circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 294 (2015).
29. Vaser, R., Sović, I., Nagarajan, N. & Šikić, M. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res.* **27**, 737–746 (2017).
30. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 3094–3100 (2018).
31. Lu, J. & Salzberg, S. L. SkewIT: The Skew Index Test for large-scale GC Skew analysis of bacterial genomes. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, e1008439 (2020).
32. Carver, T., Thomson, N., Bleasby, A., Berriman, M. & Parkhill, J. DNAPlotter: circular and linear interactive genome visualization. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 119–120 (2009).
33. Chaumeil, P.-A., Mussig, A. J., Hugenholtz, P. & Parks, D. H. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the Genome Taxonomy Database. *Bioinformatics* (2019) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz848.
34. Kieft, K., Zhou, Z. & Anantharaman, K. VIBRANT: automated recovery, annotation and curation of microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic sequences. *Microbiome* **8**, 90 (2020).
35. Gibson, M. K., Forsberg, K. J. & Dantas, G. Improved annotation of antibiotic resistance determinants reveals microbial resistomes cluster by ecology. *ISME J.* **9**, 207–216 (2015).

36. Asnicar, F. *et al.* Precise phylogenetic analysis of microbial isolates and genomes from metagenomes using PhyloPhlAn 3.0. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 2500 (2020).
37. Cole, J. R. *et al.* Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D633–42 (2014).
38. Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 1792–1797 (2004).
39. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. *PLoS One* **5**, e9490 (2010).
40. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2019).
41. Venables, W. N. & Ripley, B. D. Modern Applied Statistics with S. (2002).
42. Ahlmann-Eltze, C. ggsignif: Significance Brackets for 'ggplot2'. (2019).
43. Kassambara, A. ggpubr: 'ggplot2' Based Publication Ready Plots. (2020).
44. Oksanen, J. *et al.* vegan: Community Ecology Package. (2019).
45. Paulson, J. N., Stine, O. C., Bravo, H. C. & Pop, M. Differential abundance analysis for microbial marker-gene surveys. *Nat. Methods* **10**, 1200–1202 (2013).
46. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).
47. Wilke, C. O. cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for 'ggplot2'. (2019).
48. Gentleman, R., Carey, V., Huber, W. & Hahne, F. genefilter: genefilter: methods for filtering genes from high-throughput experiments. (2019).
49. Wickham, H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. (2016).
50. Slowikowski, K. ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with 'ggplot2'. (2020).
51. Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B. & Lumley, T. gtools: Various R Programming Tools. (2020).
52. Gonçalves da Silva, A. harrietr: Wrangle Phylogenetic Distance Matrices and Other Utilities. (2017).
53. Wickham, H. Reshaping Data with the reshape Package. *J. Stat. Softw.* **21**, 1–20 (2007).
54. Wickham, H. *et al.* Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software* vol. 4 1686 (2019).