1 Naming Human Diseases: Ethical Principles of Curating Exclusive

2 Substitute for Inopportune Nosology

3 Authors' names:

4 Zhiwen Hu^{1*}, PhD; Ya Chen¹, MA; Yuling Song², MA; Zhongliang Yang^{3*}, PhD; Hui 5 Huang² PhD

5 Huang², PhD

6 **The affiliation and address of the authors:**

- 7 ¹School of Computer and Information Engineering, Zhejiang Gongshang University,
- 8 Hangzhou, China
- 9 ²College of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence, Wenzhou University,
 10 Wenzhou, China
- ³Beijing National Research Center for Information Science and Technology (BNRist),
- 12 Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

13

^{*}These authors contributed equally.

15

16 Correspondence:

- 17 Zhiwen Hu
- 18 School of Computer and Information Engineering, Zhejiang Gongshang University
- 19 No 18 Xuezheng Street, Higher Education Zone, Hangzhou 310018, China
- 20 Phone: 86 0571 28008316
- 21 Email: <u>huzhiwen@zjgsu.edu.cn</u>

22 Authors' contributions:

- 23 ZWH and ZLY were involved in the conceptual design of the study. YC, YLS, ZLY and
- HH performed the metadata analyses. The authors wrote and approved the final manuscript.

26 Ethics approval and consent to participate:

27 Not applicable.

28 **Competing interests:**

29 The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

30 Data availability:

The synthetic data generated in this study and custom code supporting this study are available at GitHub (<u>https://github.com/YaChen8/Naming_human_disease</u>).

33 Acknowledgements:

- 34 We hereby desire to express indebtedness to anonymous reviewers for their valuable and 35 constructive comments. This study was partially supported by the National Natural
- 36 Science Foundation of China under Grant U1936208, the Zhejiang Provincial Natural
- 37 Science Foundation of China under Grant LZ21F020004, and the Open Research Project
- 38 of the State Key Laboratory of Media Convergence and Communication, Communication
- 39 University of China under Grant SKLMCC2021KF015.
- 40

41 Naming Human Diseases: Ethical Principles of Curating Exclusive 42 Substitute for Inopportune Nosology

43

44 Abstract

45 Background: In the medical sphere, understanding naming conventions strengthen the 46 integrity of naming human diseases remains nominal rather than substantial yet. Since the 47 current nosology-based standard for human diseases could not offer a one-size-fits-all 48 corrective mechanism, many idiomatic but flawed names frequently appear in scientific 49 literature and news outlets at the cost of sociocultural impacts.

- 50 **Objective**: We attempt to examine the ethical oversights of current naming practices and 51 propose heuristic rationales and approaches to determine a pithy name instead of an 52 inopportune nosology.
- 53 Methods: First, we examined the compiled global online news volumes and emotional
 54 tones on some inopportune nosology like *German measles*, *Middle Eastern Respiratory* 55 Syndrome, Spanish flu, Hong Kong flu, and Huntington's disease in the wake of COVID-
- 55 *Syndrome*, *Spanish flu*, *Hong Kong flu*, and *Huntington's disease* in the wake of COVID-56 19. Second, we prototypically scrutinize the lexical dynamics and pathological
- 57 differentials of *German measles* and common synonyms by leveraging the capacity of 58 the Google Books Ngram Corpus. Third, we demonstrated the empirical approaches to
- 50 the Google Books (grain corpus: Third, we demonstrated the empirical approaches to 59 curate an exclusive substitute for an anachronistic nosology *German measles* based on 60 deep learning models and *nost-hac* explanations
- 60 deep learning models and *post-hoc* explanations.
- 61 **Results:** The infodemiological study shows that the public informed the offensive names 62 with extremely negative tones in textual and visual narratives. The findings of the 63 historiographical study indicate that many synonyms of *German measles* did not survive, 64 while *German measles* became an anachronistic usage, and *rubella* has taken the 65 dominant place since 1994. The PubMedBERT model could identify *rubella* as a
- 66 potential substitution for *German measles* with the highest semantic similarity. The 67 results of the semantic drift experiments further indicate that *rubella* tends to survive 68 during the ebb and flow of semantic drift.
- 69 **Conclusions:** Our findings indicate that the nosological evolution of anachronistic names

could result in sociocultural impacts without a corrective mechanism. To mitigate such impacts, we introduce some ethical principles for formulating an improved naming scheme. Based on deep learning models and *post-hoc* explanations, our illustrated experiments could provide hallmark references to the remedial mechanism of naming practices and pertinent credit allocations.

- 75
- Keywords: human diseases; anachronistic usage; narrative ethics; credit allocation; deep
 learning

78 Introduction

79 Background

Terminology is the crystallization of human scientific and technological knowledge in natural language. In the medical sphere, appropriate names were deliberately invented for the designation of human diseases with pathological characteristics. However, underrepresented emphasis has been placed on the nomenclature of human diseases. The current wave of destigmatization calls for constant introspection of the offensive appellations of human diseases [1–3]. In the same week, the anachronistic usage of *German measles* in the leading journals *Nature* and *Science* without any caution implies

that some strongly-held but flawed names may brand social stigma and discrimination[4–6].

In the 19th century, the name *rubella* was proposed as a substitute for German term *rötheln*, then the epidemic neologism *German measles* was gradually accepted as idiomatic usages [7–16]. However, anachronistic usages like that violate the latest naming protocols of the World Health Organization (WHO) – stigmatizing a specific country and

93 its residents [1]. Arguably, the looming worry is to reignite the torch of discrimination

- 94 and fuel the current infodemic unconsciously [3,17-20].
- 95

96 Study Objectives

- Based on extensive literature review, this study aims to punctuate heuristic introspection
 of naming practices for human diseases and address the following research issues:
- 99 [1] Did the anachronistic names like *German measles* cost social impacts?
- 100 [2] What are the diachronic discourses of *German measles* and common synonyms?101 What can we learn from the lexical evolution?
- 102 [3] Should we hash out inopportune names like *German Measles*? And How?
- 103 [4] What are the pertinent principles of curating the exclusive substitute for an anachronistic nosology?

105 Methods

Rich collections of the printed or digital imprint of social individuals are formidable proxies to determine the dynamic pragmatics patterns of practical utterances and reveal the collective human behaviours from sociocultural preferences [21,22]. Following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [23], here we orchestrate rich metadata available to unveil the scientific paradigms via the following experiments (**Multimedia Appendix 1**).

Infodemiological study. In the global online news coverage experiments, we aim to unveil the scientific paradigms of the diachronic discourse and emotional tone. Here, the metadata analysis aims to demonstrate the emotional polarity of the public in the context of global online news on *German measles*, *Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome*, *Spanish flu*, *Hong Kong flu*, and *Huntington's disease* over time, respectively.

117 First, the code scheme was curated following three main principles that we established 118 before [24]. According to the code scheme, the search formulas are available in 119 Multimedia Appendix 2. Second, the unbiased and comprehensive metadata of global 120 online news coverage and emotional tone retrieved through the open project GDELT 121 Summary between December 30, 2019 (the outbreak of COVID-19) and May 8, 2021 122 (the Sixth anniversary of World Health Organization Best Practices for the Naming of 123 New Human Infectious Diseases), including the textual and visual narratives of different 124 queries [25,26]. Finally, by leveraging the capacity of GDELT's machine translate and 125 neural network image recognition [26], the instant news portfolio in Figure 1 summarizes 126 the textual and visual narratives of different queries in 65 multilingual online news. The 127 volume ratio is the total volume of matching articles divided by the total number of all 128 articles monitored by GDELT. The emotional tone is the average tone of all matching 129 documents, and the normalized score ranges from -10 (extremely negative) to +10130 (extremely positive) based on the tonal algorithm.

Historiographical study. The Google Books Ngram Corpus (GBNC) is a unique
linguistic landscape that benefits from centuries of development of rich grammatical and
lexical resources as well as its cultural context [27]. It contains *n*-grams from
approximately 8 million books, or 6% of all books published in English, Hebrew, French,
German, Spanish, Russian, Italian, and Chinese. The GBNC covers data logs from 1500

to 2019. A unigram (1-gram) is a string of characters uninterrupted by a space, and an *n*gram (*n* consecutive words) is a sequence of a 1-gram, such as *morbilli* (unigram), *rubeola* (unigram), *rubella* (unigram), *Rötheln* (unigram), and *German measles* (bigram).
In this study, by retrieving the use frequency of a specific lexicon in historical
development, we first obtain a glimpse of the nature of historical evolution in Figure 3.

Then, as we continue to stockpile seminal patterns in **Figure 3**, some have argued that correlation is threatening to unseat causation as the bedrock of scientific storytelling before. We must punctuate heuristic cautions of wrestling with information from retrospective sources, cross-validation, and the reassembly of the whole story. Finally, we provide compelling arguments to the extent of understanding the underneath nature of lexical dynamics and pathological differentials based on authentic materials and critical examination.

Semantic similarity experiments. Based on the epistemic results of the above historiographical study, as an exemplificative case, we could construct the initial candidates of *German measles*, which includes *morbilli*, *rubeola*, *rubella*, and *rötheln*.
Relatedly, as prior knowledge, the term *rotheln* is ordinarily used as a translation of the German term *rötheln* in literature. From the outset, it's reasonable to expand the initial candidates to *morbilli*, *rubeola*, *rubella*, *rötheln*, and *rötheln*.

154 Directed at five expanded candidate words, we employed the BERT model and 155 PubMedBERT model to quantify the semantic similarities between them, respectively. 156 The cosine similarity formulas to calculate semantic relevance is as follows:

157

$$similarity = \frac{A \cdot B}{\|A\| \|B\|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B_i}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_i)^2 \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (B_i)^2}}},$$
(1)

where A and B denote two vectors, A_i and B_i ($i = 1 \dots n$) represent the components of vector A and B.

160 The BERT model and PubMedBERT model have the same architecture with different 161 corpora for preliminary training and pre-training (Figure 4). Coupling with a multi-layer 162 bidirectional transformer encoder and bidirectional self-attention, the BERT and 163 PubMedBERT models are more sensitive to semantics than the constrained self-attention 164 used by GPT-2 model. The former uses the BookCorpus (800M words) and English 165 Wikipedia (2,500M words) for training, its multilingual pre-training model can handle 166 over more than 100 languages [28]. The latter model uses the latest collection of PubMed 167 abstracts (14M abstracts, 3.2B words, 21GB), and its pre-training model can facilitate 168 understanding the word semantic in the medical field [29]. The two models used the 169 Wordpiece embeddings with their own token vocabularies. The two models are capable 170 to verify the homology between *rötheln*, and *rotheln*, and identify the target word with 171 the closest similarity to German measles in the initial candidates (Figure 5). For post-hoc 172 explanations, PubMedBERT-generated case studies available facilitate to demystify the 173 typical scenarios in pre-training narratives.

Semantic drift experiments. We analyzed the dynamic evolution of the five keywords German measles, morbilli, rubeola, rubella, and rötheln. In the experiments, the twostage text corpora were retrieved from GBNC. Specifically, we choose two time periods: one or two hundred years after the word appeared and 1950 to 2020. Each keyword has two corpora, and each corpus has 1,000 targeted snippets published in two time periods with random sampling.

To accurately demonstrate the semantic evolution of each keyword, we orchestrate their synchronic and diachronic semantic structures. Since a word's historical meaning can be inferred by its most semantically similar words in two time periods, we could track down how the semantics of words change over time [30,31]. Firstly, we used word cooccurrence matrix to build semantic representations in two time periods, in this way the

185 meaning of the word could be approximated in the contexts over time. By the word co-186 occurrence matrix, we curated some semantic neighbors for the keywords *German* 187 *measles, morbilli, rubeola, rubella,* and *rötheln,* respectively. Secondly, based on the 188 word co-occurrence matrix, positive pointwise mutual information (PPMI) matrix entries 189 are given by:

$$PPMI(x, y) = max\left(0, \log_2 \frac{C(x, y) \cdot N}{C(x)C(y)}\right), \tag{2}$$

191 where C represents word co-occurrence matrix, C(x, y) refers to the number of co-192 occurrences of the words x and y, C(x) represents the number of occurrences of the word 193 x, C(y) represents the number of occurrences of the word y, N represents the number of 194 words in the corpus. Thirdly, we used singular value decomposition (SVD) to obtain a 195 4500×4500 matrix for the corpus of the two time periods. Finally, in Figure 6, we 196 employed principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the dimensions of word 197 embeddings from 4,500 to 2 and then projected the uncharted latent patterns in the five 198 word-embeddings clusters.

199 Results

190

200 Ethical oversights of naming practices

201 May 8, 2021 marks 6 years since the first best practices of new human infectious diseases was announced by WHO [1]. In recent years, we have witnessed many outbreaks of 202 203 human diseases, with proper names given by stakeholders. Sometimes, diseases are 204 initially given interim names or common names. Then, the proper names are officially 205 ratified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) of WHO. Even so, each round of naming practice is not always successful [1,32,33]. Of them, Middle Eastern 206 207 Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) [34], Spanish flu [35,36], Hong Kong flu (1968-208 1969)[37–39], and Huntington's disease [40–43] have been accused of unnecessary social 209 impacts in previous studies (Figure 1).

210 Naming conventions are not merely for naming diseases but for the vitality of science 211 and the promotion of social progress [2,33,44,45]. Evidently, as shown in **Figure 1**, the 212 results of the infodemiological study show that the global news outlets (in 65 languages) 213 enjoy long-standing but flawed naming conventions with extremely negative tones, such 214 as German measles, Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome, Spanish flu, Hong Kong flu, 215 and Huntington's disease. Admittedly, the coverage of affective tones is much negative 216 than the standard portrayal assumes on average [46]. This finding highlights that these 217 controversial stereotypes confounded the generally accepted norms at the cost of social 218 progress, such as worsening acute stress of patients, provoking the backlash against 219 particular communities, triggering unjustified slaughtering of food animals, and creating 220 needless travel barriers or trade barriers [1-3,47,48].

Understanding how naming conventions strengthen the integrity of naming practices 221 222 remains nominal rather than substantial yet. In the COVID-19 infodemic, multifarious 223 monikers have become explicit consideration in the COVID-19 paper tsunami, and the 224 global profusion of tangled hashtags has found their ways in daily communication [49]. 225 Just as the remarks of the editorial of Nature, "As well as naming the illness, the WHO 226 was implicitly sending a reminder to those who had erroneously been associating the virus 227 with Wuhan and with China in their news coverage - including Nature. That we did so 228 was an error on our part, for which we take responsibility and apologize."[50] 229 Unfortunately, many more stigmatized names somewhat aggravate the collective 230 perceptual biases and contribute to recent backlash against Asians and diaspora [24,51].



German measles

0.023



233 234 Figure 1. Prevailing stereotypes of stigmatizing names with negative tones in the wake 235 of COVID-19 pandemic. The global instant news portfolio on GDELT Summary 236 summarizes the textual and visual narratives of different queries in 65 multilingual online 237 news: A, German measles; B, Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome; C, Spanish flu; D, 238 Hong Kong flu; and E, Huntington's disease. The upper panels display the percent of all global online news coverage over time. The lower panels show the average emotional 239 240 tone of all news coverage from extremely negative to extremely positive. The temporal 241 resolution of sampling is 15 minutes per day.

242 Ethical principles of naming human diseases

243 Of similar concern, we witness that many anachronistic names, from Spanish flu to Zika,

244 and from Lyme to Ebola, are named after geographic places in our daily communications. 245 But they are stigmatized cases and plain inaccurate (Table 1).

246 Table 1. Many diseases' names have complained of both stigmatization and inaccurate.

Name	Complains	References
Spanish Flu	The 1918-19 influenza outbreak now often referred to as the Spanish	[52,53]
	<i>flu</i> did not even originate in Spain.	

Zika virus	A mosquito-borne disease caused by Zika virus was first identified	[54]
disease	in Uganda in 1947 in monkeys, and later identified in humans in	
	1952. Zika virus disease was named after the Zika forest of Uganda.	
Lyme disease	Lyme disease was named after the "original location", the town of	[53]
	Old Lyme, Connecticut in 1975. More than 130 years ago, a German	
	physician Alfred Buchwald first discovered the erythema migrans of	
	what is now known to be <i>Lyme disease</i> .	
Ebola disease	The hemorrhagic fever caused by the filovirus is named after the	[53-55]
	Ebola River at Legbala, Congo. Yambuku, a town situated 100	
	kilometers away from Legbala, was the first epicenter in 1976.	

In retrospect, WHO released the latest naming protocols of newly identified infectious 247 diseases in 2015, as a supplement to the Reference Guide on the Content Model of the 248 249 ICD-11 alpha drafted by ICD in 2011 [1,56,57]. In May 2019, the World Health Assembly (WHA) formally adopted the 11th revision of the International Classification 250 of Diseases (ICD-11). Specifically, we could crystallize the current recommendations 251 into five protocols: avoidance of geographic locations (e.g., countries, cities, regions); 252 253 avoidance of people's names; avoidance of species/class of animal or food; avoidance of 254 cultural, population, industry, or occupational references; and avoidance of terms that 255 incite undue fear.

256 In theory, all Member States should follow the nosology-based standard to name a 257 newly identified human disease at a later stage. On one hand, the global response has not 258 always been smooth in practice. On the other hand, the international framework could not 259 offer a one-size-fits-all surveillance mechanism for new designations and the pre-existed 260 names. Currently, many inopportune names are widely professed in both scientific 261 literature and news outlets without any caution, such as Ebola, Rift Valley fever, Japanese 262 encephalitis, Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever, Chagas disease, Athlete's foot, miner's 263 asthma, Marburg disease, Legionnaire' disease, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, monkey pox, bird flu, equine encephalitis, paralytic shellfish poisoning, swine flu, and so on [1,32,33]. 264 Accordingly, after the swine flu of 2009 outbreak, some countries still banned pork 265 imports, although swine flu cannot be transmitted from pigs at all. Moreover, they are 266 267 scapegoating on the resurgence of stigma in the wake of geopolitical tensions and backlashes [53]. 268

A. Avoidance of scientific credit misallocation		
Discoverer	Who first report a new human disease	
Originator	Who first coin an interim name	
Proposer	Who propose an interim name as an official name	
Auditor	Subcommittees of WHO or other stakeholders	
Ratifier	Plenary meeting of WHO or other stakeholders	
B. Ethical principles of naming/renaming human diseases		
Be socially acceptable by people (e.g., naming protocols, social norms, etc.)		
Brevity and convenience to survive in the ebb and flow of pragmatics		
Be semantically identical with clinical manifestations		

269 270

Figure 2. Proposal of ethical principles for the latest naming protocols of human diseases.

Still, the most pressing challenges concerning the nomenclature of human diseases depend on well-posed questions, including but not limited to: Who did coin a term to the designation of a specific disease? Is it still an appropriate name today? How many inopportune names garner in textbooks without any caution? How to map out an exclusive substitute for a flawed name? To that end, as a supplement to the current nosology-based nomenclature standard, we propose the ethical principles for naming a new human disease or renaming pre-existed nosology, as well as pertinent credit allocation (**Figure 2**).

278 First, as shown in Figure 2, many contributors were involved in the general taxonomy 279 and nomenclature process of human diseases, including the discover(s), originator(s), 280 proposer(s), auditor(s), and ratifier(s). Without moral discernment, the Matthew effect of 281 credit misallocations always discourages individual engagement in such practices [58]. 282 Scientists, who preluded the accession of a particular disease, do not always earn their 283 bona fide niches because of credit misallocation in the scientific narratives. Typically, the unsung originator Dick Thompson first coined the term "Severe Acute Respiratory 284 285 Syndrome" (SARS) on 15 March 2003 [59]. His tour-de-force contribution is portrayed as 286 a trivial anecdote. Similarly, Dr. Jean-Jacques Muyembe-Tamfum, one of the discoverers 287 of Ebola disease, has been unsung until 2015 [60-62]. Thus, figuring out the seminal 288 motivations of nomenclatures in routine obscurity generally presupposed that we could 289 track down the original records [63,64]. To corroborate continuous introspection of 290 previous multifarious findings, historians always find themselves buried in unending 291 retrieval of tangled contingencies to pinpoint such inherent affiliations in pithy evidence. 292 Second, any proposed name of diseases should balance science, policy, and 293 communication. Human diseases are often given names by stakeholders outside of the 294 medical sphere. As a counterexample, *Pneumonoultramicroscopicsilicovolcanoconiosis*, 295 referred to as pneumoconiosis or silicosis, was coined by Everett M. Smith in 1935 296 [65,66]. Literally, the 45-letter neologism is "a form of a chronic lung disease caused by 297 the inhalation of fine silicate or quartz dust", according to the Oxford English Dictionary 298 Online (OED Online). Such a long disease entity does not roll off the tongue for efficient 299 communication, and we should discard similar designations in scientific literature.

300 Third, erasing the stigmas of human diseases is a major public health priority. Whether 301 name change will ever destigmatize a human disease or not is a cliché. As a case in point, 302 Schizophrenia (also known as Kraepelin's disease or Bleuler's syndrome) was first 303 adopted in 1937 as a translation of the German name Schizophrenie. To erase the potential 304 stigma of Schizophrenia, the National Federation of Families with Mentally III in Japan 305 requested the Japanese Society of Psychiatry and Neurology to replace the official term 306 Seishin Bunretsu Byo with Togo-Shicchou-Sho in 2002 [67-69]. In the same vein, South 307 Korea changed their official term *ieongshin-bunyeol-byung* to *iohyun-byung* in 2011 [70]. 308 Subsequently, lexical harm reduction became a bone of contention [68,71–75]. Keep the 309 twists and turns of naming practices in mind, any novel proposal should go far beyond a 310 mere semantic equivalent, as well as across cultures and languages. In practice, an 311 alphanumeric code or a Greek letter is occasionally proposed for naming a pathogen or 312 disease, but the opposers underline that such designations often introduce new confusion. 313 For example, "filovirus-associated haemorrhagic fever 1" and "filovirus-associated 314 haemorrhagic fever 2" were proposed for potential candidates instead of Marburg disease 315 and *Ebola disease*, respectively [32]. Similarly, some Greek letters sound alike when they 316 are translated into other languages, such as eta (the seventh letter of the Greek alphabet) 317 and theta (the eighth letter of the Greek alphabet) [76]. With unreached consensus, some 318 problematic notions are barning in our textbooks to educate generation after generation 319 without any caution. Nonetheless, reassigning a curated standard name is the corrective

approach to destigmatize a flawed name of infectious diseases or noncommunicablediseases.

322 Last but not at least, sociocultural costs of inappropriate disease names receive little 323 attention [53]. Scientists mostly work to reduce the physical toll of diseases, although many of them endorse that disease names could be problematic [32]. Consistent with our 324 325 findings, in most instances, inappropriate disease names remain in our blind spot, partially 326 because the lasting damage they cause is difficult to quantify. Just as the remarks of Dr. 327 Keiji Fukuda, Assistant Director-General for Health Security of the WHO, "this may 328 seem like a trivial issue to some, but disease names really do matter to the people who 329 are directly affected."[48] Admittedly, empirical research suggested that the common 330 usage of inappropriate names could produce a vicious circle in public communication and 331 institutional notions further reinforce collective stigmatization, discrimination, and 332 prejudice [45,72].

333 Looking back and looking forward

Framed within the historical coevolution of scientific contexts, understanding the nosological continuity of diseases remains limit [63,77–80]. As a case in point, the pathological associations between *German measles* and common synonyms (e.g., *morbilli, rubeola, rubella, Rötheln,* etc.) are in the fog of confusion, although the debate has been going on over a century and a half earlier [7,81–85]. These diachronic discourses

and lexical dynamics also remain unclear [4,7,86–89].





Figure 3. Historiographical study. Google Books Ngram Corpus (GBNC) facsimiles the
diachronic discourse of *morbilli* (English corpus), *rubeola* (English corpus), *rubella*(English corpus), *Rötheln* (German corpus), and *German measles* (English corpus) from
1719 to 2019.

Nowadays, the Google Books Ngram Corpus (GBNC) is a unique linguistic landscape that benefits from centuries of development of rich grammatical and lexical resources, as well as its cultural context [27,90]. Arguably, the lexicographical and historiographical study promises to articulate the ins and outs of scientific narratives by leveraging the

capacity of these rich metadata corpora over four centuries. As shown in Figure 3, many
miscellaneous disease names (e.g., *morbilli, morbilli scarlatinosi, rötheln, feuermasern, scarlatina morbillosa, rubeola notha, rosalia idiopathica, bastard measles* or *scarlatina, hybrid measles* or *scarlatina*, etc.) have sunk back into merited oblivion in the ups and
downs of epic history, whereas *German measles* was destined to become an antiquated
and anachronistic usage, and *rubella* initiated a herald wave of dominant place after 1944.

355 The nosology of *German measles* and similar diseases is still far from being generally 356 recognized, as well as their pathological differentials [64,91]. Measles is an old English 357 disease name that classical nosologists have vainly attempted to replace by such 358 synonyms as morbilli and rubeola [92]. The English term measles was introduced by Dr. John of Gaddesden as an equivalent of the Latin term *morbilli* around the 14th century 359 360 [63,93,94]. But such designation was generally criticized for "a product of semantic and 361 nosographic confusion."[95] The term *rubeola* originally borrowed from the Latin word Rubeus (meaning reddish) in Avicenna of Bagdad's writings, is thought to have been 362 used for the first time as a translation of the term *measles* [94,96]. Indeed, the great 363 364 majority of scientists recognize German measles to be an independent disease.

According to the OED Online, the earliest known references to *German measles* date back as far as 1856 (**Table 2**). Therefore, it is generally believed that the epidemic entity *German measles* was accepted growly after 1856 [7,97,98]. But this is not the case. The earliest usages could be stemmed back to about 1814 (**Table 3**).

369 **Table 2**. The debuts of *German measles* and its synonyms according to OED Online.

	inc acouls o	of German measures and its synonyms according to GEL	omme.
Name	Debut	Description	References
morbilli	1526	The iuce of it with water of endyuye is good for the chyldren	[99]
		pockes and messeles varioli and morbilli.	
rubeola	1771	Exanthemata, or eruptive fevers; comprehending 10 genera,	[100]
		viz. 1. Erysipelas; 2. Peftis; 3. Variola; 4. Varicella; 5.	
		Rubeola; 6. Miliaria; 7. Scarlatina; 8. Urticaria; 9.	
		Pemphigus; 10. Aphtha.	
Rötheln	1 January	I shall therefore use the German word Rötheln to designate	[101]
	1840	the mixed disease under consideration.	
German	12 July	With regard to the name, 'German measles' - its usual trite	[7]
measles	1856	designation here – seems unexceptionable for common use.	
rubella	1866	Rötheln is harsh and foreign to our earsI therefore venture	[102]
		to propose Rubella as a substitute for Rötheln, or, at any rate,	
		as a name for the disease which it has been my object in this	
		paper to describe.	

370

Table 3. Historiographical origins of German measles and common synonyms.

Name	Debut	Credit	Evidence	References
rubeola	1768	François Boissier de Sauvages de Lacroix (12 May 1706 – 19 February	Shortly before (1768), the two diseases had been separated by Sauvages in his Nosology, and he was the first to call measles " <i>rubeola</i> ," instead of " <i>morbilli</i> ," by which name it had always been known before. This new name, " <i>rubeola</i> ," was adopted by Cullen in his	[89,103]
Rötheln	1 January 1840	1767) Robert Paterson (1814 – 1889)	Nosology, published four years later (1772). I fear that the adoption of the word <i>rubeola</i> for this disease would produce confusion in medical nomenclature. I shall therefore use the German word <i>Rötheln</i> to designate the mixed disease under consideration, in preference to that of <i>rubeola</i> , or the use of a new term.	[101,104]

German measles	4 April 1814	William George Maton (1774 – 1835)	On April 4, 1814, Dr. George Maton This first identification of <i>German measles</i> as a discrete illness was published one year later, an interval from presentation to publication not dissimilar to that in modern experience.	[105–107]
rubella	1740	Friedrich Hoffmann (1660 – 1742)	Friedrich Hoffmann (1660-1742),, Notable among his many clinical descriptions are those of <i>rubella</i> (called "German" measles as a consequence of his description,) chlorosis, and the diseases of the pancreas and liver.	[108,109]

371

372 The term German Measles was established as a separate disease in 1814 and officially 373 recognized by the International Congress of Medicine in 1881. The known clinical 374 description came from German physicians Friedrich Hoffmann in 1740, De Bergen in 375 1752, and Orlow in 1758, respectively [97,108,109]. Before 1768, for more learned 376 occasions, Rötheln and morbilli seem more decidedly to mark a distinct disease, than any 377 other yet proposed [7,89]. French physician Sauvages de Lacroix, who established the 378 first methodical nosology for disease classification in 1763 [57,110], first applied the term 379 rubeola to what had been previously termed morbilli in 1768 [89]. And while almost 380 immediately after him, the German physicians, Selle, Orlow, and Ziegler, clearly laid 381 down the distinctive marks between rubeola and morbilli. On April 4, 1814, Dr. George 382 de Maton read a paper entitled "Some Account of a Rash Liable to be Mistaken for 383 Scarlatina" at the Royal College of Physicians in London [105–107], which results in the 384 names rubella or German measles as a substitute for Rötheln [7,86]. Then, the epidemic 385 term German measles was accepted gradually as a synonym of rubella. German measles, 386 Rötheln or rubeola per se, was officially ratified as a distinct disease at the 7th 387 International Medical Congress, London, August 2 to 9, 1881 [88,111-118]. A quarter-388 century later, the term *German Measles* has ultimately become common usage.

389 Rubella has been "discovered – and named – multiple times" in the past centuries [119]. 390 In modern literature, rubella has become a de facto synonym for German Measles after 391 1944 [7–16]. In 1740, the English name *rubella* is derived from Latin *rubellus* reddish, 392 and the clinical description of *rubella* was first described by Friedrich Hoffmann, the 393 author of Fundamenta Medicinae [108,109]. Then, rubella was considered by Dr. Maton to be a mere variant of measles or scarlet fever in 1814 [105,106,120]. Half a century 394 395 later, English surgeon Henry Veale suggested the need to name the discrete disease, and 396 formally proposed the name *rubella* as a substitute for *Rötheln* in 1866 [97]. As a major 397 human infectious disease, *rubella* must have emerged only in the past 11,000 years for 398 which some close relatives may still exist among animals [4,64]. Indeed, consistent with 399 the historiographical results (Figure 3), rubella had been considered of "minor importance among the common communicable diseases" until 1940 [121]. Following the 400 401 rubella epidemic of 1940, the occurrence of congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) was first 402 recognized by Norman McAlister Gregg in 1941 [122,123]. As of 2018, 81 countries 403 were verified as having eliminated *rubella* via routine vaccination, and even today *rubella* 404 remains endemic in other countries [124].

405 A heuristic roadmap of exclusive substitute

406 An exclusive substitution for an anachronistic usage could be a pre-existed synonym, a 407 blend word, or a neologism. However, we should curate an exclusive substitute following 408 the ethical principles (**Figure 2**). Relatedly, as a heuristic case, we hash out the 409 inappropriate name like *German Measles* to quell confusion and avoid stigma. Here, we

demonstrate an illustrational approach to determine an exclusive substitution for *German Measles* without ambiguity.

412 First, the similarity coefficient between words is determined by deep learning models, 413 and finally screen out an exclusive substitute for German Measles according to the 414 semantic similarity scores of word embeddings. In Figure 4, the input example is first 415 constructed by summing the corresponding token, segment, and position embeddings, 416 and then word embeddings go through the BERT base model to obtain the vector 417 representations with semantic information. As for the bigram like German measles, we 418 averaged the individual word vector to get the final word vector. By quantifying the 419 cosine similarity scores between the word vectors (Figure 5), it turns out that the term 420 rotheln is substantial equivalence to rötheln with the highest semantic fidelity, and the 421 results of the BioBERT model and the PubMedBERT model shed light on each other. 422 Most notably, as a model in the medical field, the PubMedBERT model maps out that 423 Rubella should be the exclusive substitution for German measles with the highest 424 semantic similarity.



425 426





428 Figure 5. Heatmaps of semantic similarity scores. A, the BERT model and B, the 429 PubMedBERT model. The higher scores in the heatmaps, the higher the semantic 430 similarity between two synonyms.

431 Second, some case studies are given using the same function with the PubMedBERT 432 model for *post-hoc* explanations (Table 4). According to syntactical function, in case #1, 433 the qualifier 'this' refers to *rubella*, so the synonymous sentence of the original sentence 434 was that *rubella* is another name for *German measles*. Semantically, *rubella* has an 435 equivalence relationship with German measles, with a very high semantic relevance 436 (0.954). In case #2, rötheln has a dependent relationship with German measles rather than 437 an equivalence relationship. In cases #3 and #4, morbilli and rubeola tend to the 438 appositions of *measles* rather than those of *German measles*. To sum up, the case studies 439 further emphasize the high semantic similarity between *rubella* and *German measles*.

440 Table 4. Some case studies are retrieved using the same function with the PubMedBERT 441 model.

No.	Examples	Semantic similarity scores
#1	<i>Rubella</i> : This is another name for <i>German measles</i> , it causes mental retardation, deafness, and still birth.	0.954
#2	All these physicians were German, and the disease was known as <i>Rötheln</i> (from the German name Röteln), hence the common name of " <i>German measles</i> ".	0.903
#3	<i>Morbilli</i> sine catarrho has no doubt been described, but it is always held that in these cases our test for measles is awanting, and that it may be <i>German measles</i> , or something else equally non-protective against a similar attack.	0.896
#4	By the way, <i>German measles</i> is not the same as regular measles (<i>rubeola</i>), and having immunity from one illness does not protect you from the other.	0.892



442

443 Figure 6. The historical reconceptualization process of German measles and common 444 synonyms.

445 Third, the purpose of the semantic drift experiments is to examine how and to what 446 extent the meanings of German measles, morbilli, rubeola, rubella, and rötheln have 447 changed over time. In the meantime, estimate the semantics of words from a particular 448 period through historical synonyms. In Figure 6, we projected the latent semantic drifts 449 of German measles, morbilli, rubeola, rubella, and rötheln from their debuts to 2020

450 (Table 2 and Table 3). To highlight the cases of semantic drift, the five keywords (in 451 colors) were shown with their historical synonyms (in gray). The closer two words are to 452 each other, the more semantically similar they are. For example, the term *Rubella* was 453 closely associated with the German term masern in 1740, and the dominant meaning of 454 Rubella was more semantically similar to the words fowlpox and morbillivirus in 2020. 455 As for the term German measles, its meaning was closer to pustula, flowered measles, 456 and strawberry measles when it debuted. However, the semantics of German measles is 457 closer to those of paramyxoviridae and three day measles today. Rubeola changed its 458 dominant meaning from skin disease *scabies* to *röteln*, and this change approximately 459 took place between 1768 and 2020. Comparatively, Rötheln was more often associated 460 with the word *variola* rather than *anthrax*, while *Morbilli* was referred to *pediculosis* or 461 rash rather than rosacea or red sandwort. Therefore, it is found that five keywords have 462 different degrees of semantic drift over time. Coupled with the previous results (Figure 463 3), rubella is a high-pragmatic-frequency synonym of German Measles in recent 464 literature and tends to survive in due course.

465 In short, our results strongly suggest that *rubella* is a geography-free, high-pragmatic-466 frequency, and high-semantic-homology synonym of German Measles. In theory, rubella 467 is a pithy substitute for efficient communication according to Zipf's principle of least 468 effort, comparing with the blend-word German Measles [125]. Additionally, it rolls much 469 easier off the tongue than German Measles in daily communication. In retrospect, some 470 pioneers advocated the discarding of the offensive name German Measles before 471 [91,126,127], as the remarks, "it [rubella] is perhaps the best that has been used"[91] and 472 "a better name for which [German Measles] is rubella." [127] Such foresight is also 473 consistent with our experimental results. Therefore, it should be an optimal substitute to 474 fill in the niche of German Measles in practice.

475 Discussion

476 Conclusion

477 On the anniversary of the best practices of new human infectious diseases announced by 478 WHO, we take an open mind to appreciate modest introspections and rededications to 479 celebrate the big moment. The present naming rules could not offer a one-size-fits-all 480 corrective mechanism, especially for the pre-existed names. In the scientific sphere, much 481 remains to be learned about the ins and outs of naming practices. Here, we examine what 482 we need to know and what we need to eliminate the label paradox in due course.

483 Concretely, our infodemiological study first shows that long-standing but flawed 484 names of human diseases are still going viral in both the scientific community and news 485 outlets at the cost of social impacts, whatever their seemingly harmless origins. Following 486 the best practices of WHO, curated names of human diseases should be scientifically 487 pithy and socially acceptable, with the faith of minimizing marginal impacts on nations, 488 economies, and people.

489 Our lexicographical and historiographical study could articulate the twists and turns of 490 naming human diseases over several centuries, penetrate to the essence of nosology, and 491 finally bridge the gaps of contemporary considerations. Heuristic introspection would 492 help us to determine pithy names instead of offensive counterparts. Arguably, as an 493 exemplificative case, it is reasonable that *rubella* should become an exclusive usage 494 substitute for German Measles with the same clinical manifestations and equivalent 495 semantics. Thus, our proposed principles and approaches are expected to provide 496 hallmark remedial mechanisms for current nosology-based standards and reframe far-497 reaching discussions on the nomenclature of human diseases.

498 **Data availability**

499 The synthetic data generated in this study and custom code supporting this study are 500 available at GitHub (<u>https://github.com/YaChen8/Naming_human_disease</u>).

501 References

- 5021.WHO. World Health Organization Best Practices for the Naming of New Human503Infectious Diseases [Internet]. 2015. p. 1–3. Available from:504https://www.who.int/topics/infectious_diseases/naming-new-diseases/en/
- 505 2. Fukuda K, Wang R, Vallat B. Naming diseases: First do no harm. Science 506 [Internet] 2015 May 8;348(6235):643–643. [doi: 10.1126/science.348.6235.643]
- 507
 3.
 Chandrashekhar V. The burden of stigma. Science [Internet] 2020 Sep

 508
 18;369(6510):1419–1423. [doi: 10.1126/science.369.6510.1419]
- 509 4. Bennett AJ, Paskey AC, Ebinger A, Pfaff F, Priemer G, Höper D, Breithaupt A,
 510 Heuser E, Ulrich RG, Kuhn JH, Bishop-Lilly KA, Beer M, Goldberg TL. Relatives
 511 of rubella virus in diverse mammals. Nature [Internet] 2020 Oct
 512 15;586(7829):424–428. [doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2812-9]
- 5135.Gibbons A. Newly found viruses suggest rubella originated in animals. Science514[Internet] 2020 Oct 9;370(6513):157–157. [doi: 10.1126/science.370.6513.157]
- 515 6. Gibbons A. Newly discovered viruses suggest 'German measles' jumped from 516 animals to humans. Science [Internet] 2020 Oct 7; [doi: 10.1126/science.abf1520] 517 7 Phile Sectors The Publicale Encidencia Lenget [Internet] 1856 [http://www.commune.com/science.abf1520]
- 517
 7.
 Philo Scotus. The Rubeola Epidemic. Lancet [Internet] 1856 Jul;68(1715):57. [doi:

 518
 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)76420-9]
- 5198.Wesselhoeft C. Rubella (German Measles). N Engl J Med [Internet] 1947 Jun52019;236(25):943–950. [doi: 10.1056/NEJM194706192362506]
- 5219.Wesselhoeft C. Rubella (German Measles). N Engl J Med [Internet] 1947 Jun52226;236(26):978–988. [doi: 10.1056/NEJM194706262362605]
- 52310.Wesselhoeft C. Rubella (German Measles) and Congenital Deformities. N Engl J524Med [Internet]1949Feb17;240(7):258-261.[doi:52510.1056/NEJM194902172400706]
- 526
 11.
 Dudgeon JA. Immunization against Rubella. Nature [Internet]
 1969

 527
 Aug;223(5207):674–676. [doi: 10.1038/223674a0]
- 528
 12.
 Eichhorn MM. Rubella: Will Vaccination Prevent Birth Defects? Science

 529
 [Internet] 1971 Aug 20;173(3998):710–711. [doi: 10.1126/science.173.3998.710

 530
 a]
- 531
 13.
 Eichhorn MM. Rubella: Will Vaccination Prevent Birth Defects? Science

 532
 [Internet] 1971 Aug 20;173(3998):710–711. [doi: 10.1126/science.173.3998.710

 533
 b]
- 534 14. Donald MW, Goff WR. Attention-Related Increases in Cortical Responsivity
 535 Dissociated from the Contingent Negative Variation. Science [Internet] 1971 Jun
 536 11;172(3988):1163–1166. [doi: 10.1126/science.172.3988.1163]
- 537 15. Maugh TH. Diabetes: Epidemiology Suggests a Viral Connection. Science 538 [Internet] 1975 Apr 25;188(4186):347–351. [doi: 10.1126/science.188.4186.347]
- 53916.Gordis L, Gold E. Privacy, confidentiality, and the use of medical records in540research. Science [Internet]1980Jan 11;207(4427):153–156. [doi:54110.1126/science.7350648]
- 542 17. Jardetzky TS, Lamb RA. A class act. Nature [Internet] 2004 Jan;427(6972):307–
 543 308. [doi: 10.1038/427307a]
- 54418.Wadman M. The physician whose 1964 vaccine beat back rubella is working to545defeat the new coronavirus. Science [Internet] 2020 Mar 21; [doi:54610.1126/science.abb8290]

- 54719.Shimizu K. 2019-nCoV, fake news, and racism. Lancet [Internet]2020548Feb;395(10225):685-686. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30357-3]
- 54920.The Lancet. COVID-19: fighting panic with information. Lancet [Internet] 2020550Feb;395(10224):537. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30379-2]
- Lazer D, Pentland A, Adamic L, Aral S, Barabasi A-L, Brewer D, Christakis N,
 Contractor N, Fowler J, Gutmann M, Jebara T, King G, Macy M, Roy D, Van
 Alstyne M. Computational Social Science. Science [Internet] 2009 Feb
 6;323(5915):721–723. [doi: 10.1126/science.1167742]
- Lazer DMJ, Pentland A, Watts DJ, Aral S, Athey S, Contractor N, Freelon D,
 Gonzalez-Bailon S, King G, Margetts H, Nelson A, Salganik MJ, Strohmaier M,
 Vespignani A, Wagner C. Computational social science: Obstacles and
 opportunities. Science [Internet] 2020 Aug 28;369(6507):1060–1062. [doi:
 10.1126/science.aaz8170]
- Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer
 L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM,
 Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S,
 McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P,
 McKenzie JE. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and
 exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ [Internet] 2021 Mar 29;n160.
 [doi: 10.1136/bmj.n160]
- 567 24. Hu Z, Yang Z, Li Q, Zhang A. The COVID-19 Infodemic: Infodemiology Study
 568 Analyzing Stigmatizing Search Terms. J Med Internet Res [Internet] 2020 Nov
 569 16;22(11):e22639. PMID:33156807
- 570 25. Leetaru KH, Schrodt PA. A 30-Year Georeferenced Global Event Database: The
 571 Global Database of Events, Language, and Tone (GDELT). 54th Annu Conv Int
 572 Stud Assoc [Internet] San Francisco, California, USA; 2013. p. 1–51. Available
 573 from: http://data.gdeltproject.org/documentation/ISA.2013.GDELT.pdf
- Wang W, Kennedy R, Lazer D, Ramakrishnan N. Growing pains for global
 monitoring of societal events. Science [Internet] 2016 Sep 30;353(6307):1502–
 1503. [doi: 10.1126/science.aaf6758]
- 577 27. Michel J-B, Shen YK, Aiden AP, Veres A, Gray MK, Pickett JP, Hoiberg D,
 578 Clancy D, Norvig P, Orwant J, Pinker S, Nowak MA, Aiden EL. Quantitative
 579 Analysis of Culture Using Millions of Digitized Books. Science [Internet] 2011
 580 Jan 14;331(6014):176–182. [doi: 10.1126/science.1199644]
- 581 28. Devlin J, Chang M-W, Lee K, Toutanova K. BERT: Pre-training of Deep
 582 Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. Proc 2019 Conf North
 583 Am [Internet] Stroudsburg, PA, USA: Association for Computational Linguistics;
 584 2019. p. 4171–4186. [doi: 10.18653/v1/N19-1423]
- 585 29. Gu Y, Tinn R, Cheng H, Lucas M, Usuyama N, Liu X, Naumann T, Gao J, Poon
 586 H. Domain-Specific Language Model Pretraining for Biomedical Natural
 587 Language Processing. 2020 Jul 30; Available from:
 588 http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.15779
- 58930.Li Y, Engelthaler T, Siew CSQ, Hills TT. The Macroscope: A tool for examining590the historical structure of language. Behav Res Methods [Internet] 2019 Aug59111;51(4):1864–1877. [doi: 10.3758/s13428-018-1177-6]
- 59231.Li Y, Hills T, Hertwig R. A brief history of risk. Cognition [Internet] 2020593Oct;203:104344. [doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2020.104344]
- 59432.Kupferschmidt K. Rules of the name. Science [Internet] 2015 May59515;348(6236):745-745. [doi: 10.1126/science.348.6236.745]

596	33.	Kupferschmidt K. Discovered a disease? WHO has new rules for avoiding
597		offensive names. Science [Internet] 2015 May 11; [doi: 10.1126/science.aac4575]
598	34.	Enserink M. Amid Heightened Concerns, New Name for Novel Coronavirus
599		Emerges. Science [Internet] 2013 May 10;340(6133):673-673. PMID:23661733
600	35.	Dowell SF, Bresee JS. Pandemic lessons from Iceland. Proc Natl Acad Sci
601		[Internet] 2008 Jan 29;105(4):1109–1110. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.0711535105]
602	36.	Hoppe T. "Spanish Flu": When Infectious Disease Names Blur Origins and
603		Stigmatize Those Infected. Am J Public Health [Internet] 2018
604		Nov;108(11):1462–1464. [doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304645]
605	37.	Anonymous. Have we had Hong Kong flu before? Lancet [Internet] 1969
606		May;293(7601):929–930. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(69)92556-2]
607	38.	Peckham R. Viral surveillance and the 1968 Hong Kong flu pandemic. J Glob Hist
608		[Internet] 2020 Nov 6;15(3):444-458. [doi: 10.1017/S1740022820000224]
609	39.	Chang, BS C, Salerno, MSc A, Hsu, MD, MPH EB. Perspectives on xenophobia
610		during epidemics and implications for emergency management. J Emerg Manag
611		[Internet] 2020 Dec 10;18(7):23–29. [doi: 10.5055/jem.2020.0521]
612	40.	Wexler A. Stigma, history, and Huntington's disease. Lancet [Internet] 2010
613		Jul;376(9734):18–19. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60957-9]
614	41.	Rawlins M. Huntington's disease out of the closet? Lancet [Internet] 2010
615		Oct;376(9750):1372–1373. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60974-9]
616	42.	Spinney L. Uncovering the true prevalence of Huntington's disease. Lancet Neurol
617		[Internet] 2010 Aug;9(8):760-761. [doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70160-5]
618	43.	Editorial. Dispelling the stigma of Huntington's disease. Lancet Neurol [Internet]
619		2010 Aug;9(8):751. [doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70170-8]
620	44.	Hyman SE. The Unconscionable Gap Between What We Know and What We Do.
621		Sci Transl Med [Internet] 2014 Sep 10;6(253):1–4. [doi:
622		10.1126/scitranslmed.3010312]
623	45.	Gollwitzer A, Marshall J, Wang Y, Bargh JA. Relating pattern deviancy aversion
624		to stigma and prejudice. Nat Hum Behav [Internet] 2017 Dec 27;1(12):920-927.
625		[doi: 10.1038/s41562-017-0243-x]
626	46.	Soroka S, Fournier P, Nir L. Cross-national evidence of a negativity bias in
627		psychophysiological reactions to news. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet] 2019 Sep
628		17;116(38):18888–18892. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.1908369116]
629	47.	Pescosolido BA, Martin JK. The Stigma Complex. Annu Rev Sociol [Internet]
630		2015 Aug 14;41(1):87–116. [doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-071312-145702]
631	48.	WHO. WHO issues best practices for naming new human infectious diseases.
632		
633		2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-
634		2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases
()5	49.	2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available
635	49.	2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-
635 636	49.	2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma- guide.pdf
635 636 637	49. 50.	2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma- guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr
635 636 637 638	49. 50.	2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues- best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma- guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0]
635 636 637 638 639	49. 50. 51.	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science
635 636 637 638 639 640	49. 50. 51.	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science [Internet] 2020 May 1;368(6490):476–477. [doi: 10.1126/science.abc1731]
635 636 637 638 639 640 641	 49. 50. 51. 52. 	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science [Internet] 2020 May 1;368(6490):476–477. [doi: 10.1126/science.abc1731] Olson DR, Simonsen L, Edelson PJ, Morse SS. Epidemiological evidence of an
635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642	 49. 50. 51. 52. 	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science [Internet] 2020 May 1;368(6490):476–477. [doi: 10.1126/science.abc1731] Olson DR, Simonsen L, Edelson PJ, Morse SS. Epidemiological evidence of an early wave of the 1918 influenza pandemic in New York City. Proc Natl Acad Sci
635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643	 49. 50. 51. 52. 	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science [Internet] 2020 May 1;368(6490):476–477. [doi: 10.1126/science.abc1731] Olson DR, Simonsen L, Edelson PJ, Morse SS. Epidemiological evidence of an early wave of the 1918 influenza pandemic in New York City. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet] 2005 Aug 2;102(31):11059–11063. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.0408290102]
635 636 637 638 639 640 641 642 643 644	 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 	 2015; Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/08-05-2015-who-issues-best-practices-for-naming-new-human-infectious-diseases WHO. A guide to preventing and addressing social stigma [Internet]. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/covid19-stigma-guide.pdf Editorial. Stop the coronavirus stigma now. Nature [Internet] 2020 Apr 9;580(7802):165–165. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-01009-0] London AJ, Kimmelman J. Against pandemic research exceptionalism. Science [Internet] 2020 May 1;368(6490):476–477. [doi: 10.1126/science.abc1731] Olson DR, Simonsen L, Edelson PJ, Morse SS. Epidemiological evidence of an early wave of the 1918 influenza pandemic in New York City. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet] 2005 Aug 2;102(31):11059–11063. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.0408290102] Editorial. Calling it what it is. Nat Genet [Internet] 2020 Apr 3;52(4):355–355.

646 54. Fischer LS, Mansergh G, Lynch J, Santibanez S. Addressing Disease-Related 647 Stigma During Infectious Disease Outbreaks. Disaster Med Public Health Prep 648 [Internet] 2019 Dec 3;13(5-6):989-994. [doi: 10.1017/dmp.2018.157] 649 55. WHO. Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Zaire, 1976. Bull World Health Organ 650 [Internet] 1978 Sep 12;56(2):271–93. PMID:307456 651 56. WHO. Reference Guide on the Content Model of the ICD-11 alpha [Internet]. 652 2011. 59. Available from: p. 653 https://www.who.int/classifications/icd/revision/Content Model Reference Gui 654 de.January 2011.pdf 655 Kveim Lie A, Greene JA. From Ariadne's Thread to the Labyrinth Itself -57. Nosology and the Infrastructure of Modern Medicine. Malina D, editor. N Engl J 656 657 Med [Internet] 2020 Mar 26;382(13):1273-1277. [doi: 658 10.1056/NEJMms1913140] Fortunato S, Bergstrom CT, Börner K, Evans JA, Helbing D, Milojević S, Petersen 659 58. 660 AM, Radicchi F, Sinatra R, Uzzi B, Vespignani A, Waltman L, Wang D, Barabási 661 A-L. Science of science. Science [Internet] 2018 Mar 2;359(6379):eaao0185. [doi: 662 10.1126/science.aao0185] Enserink M. War Stories. Science [Internet] 2013 Mar 15;339(6125):1264-1268. 663 59. 664 [doi: 10.1126/science.339.6125.1264] 665 60. Honigsbaum M. Jean-Jacques Muyembe-Tamfum: Africa's veteran Ebola hunter. 666 Lancet [Internet] 2015 Jun;385(9986):2455. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)61128-667 X1 668 61. Jean-Jacques Muyembe Tamfum: a life's work on Ebola. Bull World Health Organ 669 [Internet] 2018 Dec 1;96(12):804-805. [doi: 10.2471/BLT.18.031218] 670 62. Kuhn JH, Adachi T, Adhikari NKJ, Arribas JR, Bah IE, Bausch DG, Bhadelia N, Borchert M, Brantsæter AB, Brett-Major DM, Burgess TH, Chertow DS, Chute 671 CG, Cieslak TJ, Colebunders R, Crozier I, Davey RT, de Clerck H, Delgado R, 672 Evans L, Fallah M, Fischer WA, Fletcher TE, Fowler RA, Grünewald T, Hall A, 673 674 Hewlett A, Hoepelman AIM, Houlihan CF, Ippolito G, Jacob ST, Jacobs M, Jakob R, Jacquerioz FA, Kaiser L, Kalil AC, Kamara RF, Kapetshi J, Klenk H-D, 675 676 Kobinger G, Kortepeter MG, Kraft CS, Kratz T, Bosa HSK, Lado M, Lamontagne 677 F, Lane HC, Lobel L, Lutwama J, Lyon GM, Massaquoi MBF, Massaquoi TA, 678 Mehta AK, Makuma VM, Murthy S, Musoke TS, Muyembe-Tamfum J-J, 679 Nakyeyune P, Nanclares C, Nanyunja M, Nsio-Mbeta J, O'Dempsey T, Paweska 680 JT, Peters CJ, Piot P, Rapp C, Renaud B, Ribner B, Sabeti PC, Schieffelin JS, Slenczka W, Soka MJ, Sprecher A, Strong J, Swanepoel R, Uyeki TM, van Herp 681 M, Vetter P, Wohl DA, Wolf T, Wolz A, Wurie AH, Yoti Z. New filovirus disease 682 classification and nomenclature. Nat Rev Microbiol [Internet] 2019 May 683 29;17(5):261-263. [doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0187-4] 684 685 63. Sykes W. On the origin and history of some disease-names. Lancet [Internet] 1896 686 Apr;147(3789):1007–1010. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)39505-3] Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, Diamond J. Origins of major human infectious diseases. 687 64. Nature [Internet] 2007 May;447(7142):279–283. [doi: 10.1038/nature05775] 688 689 65. Trisnawati I, Budiono E, Sumardi, Setiadi A. Traumatic Inhalation due to Merapi 690 Volcanic Ash. Acta Med Indones [Internet] 2015 Jul:47(3):238–43. 691 PMID:26586390 692 Burhan E, Mukminin U. A systematic review of respiratory infection due to air 66. 693 pollution during natural disasters. Med J Indones [Internet] 2020 Mar 20;29(1):11-694 8. [doi: 10.13181/mji.oa.204390]

- 695
 67.
 Kim Y. Renaming the term schizophrenia in Japan. Lancet [Internet] 2002

 696
 Sep;360(9336):879. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)09987-7]
- 697 68. Maruta T, Volpe U, Gaebel W, Matsumoto C, Iimori M. Should schizophrenia still
 698 be named so? Schizophr Res [Internet] 2014 Jan;152(1):305–306. [doi:
 699 10.1016/j.schres.2013.11.005]
- 70069.Sartorius N. Stigma: what can psychiatrists do about it? Lancet [Internet] 1998701Sep;352(9133):1058–1059. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)08008-8]
- 702 70. Park J-H, Choi Y-M, Kim B, Lee D-W, Gim M-S. Use of the Terms
 703 "Schizophrenia" and "Schizophrenic" in the South Korean News Media: A
 704 Content Analysis of Newspapers and News Programs in the Last 10 Years.
 705 Psychiatry Investig [Internet] 2012;9(1):17. [doi: 10.4306/pi.2012.9.1.17]
- 706 71. George B, Klijn A. A modern name for schizophrenia (PSS) would diminish self707 stigma. Psychol Med [Internet] 2013 Jul 17;43(7):1555–1557. [doi: 10.1017/S0033291713000895]
- 709 72. Porter R. Can the stigma of mental illness be changed? Lancet [Internet] 1998
 710 Sep;352(9133):1049–1050. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(98)07155-4]
- 711 73. Corrigan PW. Erasing Stigma Is Much More Than Changing Words. Psychiatr
 712 Serv [Internet] 2014 Oct;65(10):1263–1264. [doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201400113]
- 713 74. Koike S, Yamaguchi S, Ojio Y, Ohta K, Ando S. Effect of Name Change of
 714 Schizophrenia on Mass Media Between 1985 and 2013 in Japan: A Text Data
 715 Mining Analysis. Schizophr Bull [Internet] 2016 May;42(3):552–559. [doi:
 716 10.1093/schbul/sbv159]
- 717
 75.
 Corrigan PW. Beware the Word Police. Psychiatr Serv [Internet] 2019

 718
 Mar;70(3):234–236. [doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.201800369]
- 719
 76. Editorial. Embrace the WHO's new naming system for coronavirus variants.

 720
 Nature [Internet] 2021 Jun 10;594(7862):149–149. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-021

 721
 01508-8]
- 722 77. Anonymous. The nomenclature of diseases: I. BMJ 1868;2(403):316–318.
- 723 78. Anonymous. The nomenclature of diseases:II. BMJ 1869;2(406):396–397.
- 724 79. Anonymous. The nomenclature of diseases:III. BMJ 1869;1(420):55–57.
- 725
 80.
 Philipson GH. On the Registration of Diseases. BMJ [Internet] 1869 Nov

 726
 6;2(462):485–487. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.462.485]
- 72781.WillshireWH.The Epidemic of Rubeola.Lancet [Internet]1856728Jun;67(1710):640.[doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)55500-8]
- 72982.Kesteven WB.The Epidemic of Rubeola.Lancet [Internet]1856730Jun;67(1711):671-672.[doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)55536-7]
- 731 83. Tripe JW. The Rubeola Epidemic. Lancet [Internet] 1856 Jun;67(1713):719–720.
 732 [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)59408-3]
- 73384.Scattergood T. Morbilli and Rubeola. BMJ [Internet] 1870 Jan 29;1(474):121–121.734[doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.474.121]
- 735 85. Squire W. Remarks on Epidemic Roseola; Rosella, Rosalia, or Rubeola. BMJ
 736 [Internet] 1870 Jan 29;1(474):99–100. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.474.99]
- Forbes JA. Rubella: Historical Aspects. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med [Internet] 1969
 Jul 1;118(1):5–11. [doi: 10.1001/archpedi.1969.02100040007002]
- 739 87. Ziring PR, Florman AL, Cooper LZ. The Diagnosis of Rubella. Pediatr Clin North
 740 Am [Internet] 1971 Feb;18(1):87–97. [doi: 10.1016/S0031-3955(16)32524-X]
- 741 88. Banatvala JE, Brown D. Rubella. Lancet [Internet] 2004 Apr;363(9415):1127–
 742 1137. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)15897-2]
- 74389.Murchison C. Clinical Lectures on Medicine. Lancet [Internet]1870744Oct;96(2461):595-598. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)79936-4]1870

- Vision 200. Lin Y, Michel J, Aiden EL, Orwant J, Brockman W, Petrov S. Syntactic
 Annotations for the Google Books Ngram Corpus. Proc of the 50th Annu Meet
 of the Assoc Comput Linguist Jeju, Republic of Korea: Association for
 Computational Linguistics, Stroudsburg, PA, USA; 2012. p. 169–174.
- 74991.Putnam CP. Is German Measles (Rotheln or Rubella) an Independent Disease?750Bost Med Surg J [Internet] 1893 Jul 13;129(2):30–33. [doi:75110.1056/NEJM189307131290202]
- 752 92. Rota PA, Moss WJ, Takeda M, de Swart RL, Thompson KM, Goodson JL.
 753 Measles. Nat Rev Dis Prim [Internet] 2016 Dec 22;2(1):16049. [doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.49]
- 755 93. Gastel B. Measles: A Potentially Finite History. J Hist Med Allied Sci [Internet]
 756 1973;28(1):34–44. [doi: 10.1093/jhmas/XXVIII.1.34]
- 757 94. Drutz JE. Measles: Its history and its eventual eradication. Semin Pediatr Infect
 758 Dis [Internet] 2001 Oct;12(4):315–322. [doi: 10.1053/spid.2001.26640]
- 759 95. Rosen G. A history of public health. 1st ed. New York: M.D. Publications; 1958.
- Panum PL. Observations Made During the Epidemic of Measles on the Faroe
 Islands in the Year 1846. Copenhagen: Bibliothek for Laeger; 1847.
- 762 97. Veale H. History of an Epidemic of Rötheln, with Observations on Its Pathology.
 763 Edinb Med J [Internet] 1866 Nov;12(5):404–414. PMID:29646314
- 764 98. Tomkins H. The Diagnosis of Rötheln. BMJ [Internet] 1880 May 29;1(1013):808–
 765 808. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.1013.808]
- 766 99. Treveris P. The Grete Herball: a tendril of ancient herbal traditions reaching into
 767 16th century England. 1st ed. Treveris P, editor. London; 1526.
- 100. Encyclopaedia Britannica. 1st ed. Edinburgh, Scotland: A. Bell and C.
 Macfarquhar; 1771.
- Paterson R. Observations on Corpora Lutea: Part I. Edinburgh Med Surg J
 [Internet] 1840 Jan 1;53(142):49-67. PMID:30331001
- Veale H. On the Etiology of Stricture of the Urethra. Edinb Med J [Internet] 1867
 Jan;12(7):602-606. PMID:29646509
- 103. Shulman ST. The History of Pediatric Infectious Diseases. Pediatr Res [Internet]
 2004 Jan;55(1):163–176. [doi: 10.1203/01.PDR.0000101756.93542.09]
- Paterson R. An Account of the Rötheln of German Authors, Together with a Few
 Observations on the Disease as It Has Been Seen to Prevail in Leith and Its
 Neighbourhood. Edinburgh Med Surg J [Internet] 1840 Apr 1;53(143):381–393.
 PMID:30330988
- Maton WG. Some account of a rash liable to be mistaken for scarlatina. Med Trans
 Coll Physicians 1815;5:149–165.
- 106. Cooper LZ. The history and medical consequences of rubella. Rev Infect Dis
 1985;7:2–10. PMID:3890105
- 107. Grimwood RM, Holmes EC, Geoghegan JL. A Novel Rubi-Like Virus in the
 Pacific Electric Ray (Tetronarce californica) Reveals the Complex Evolutionary
 History of the Matonaviridae. Viruses [Internet] 2021 Mar 31;13(4):585. [doi:
 10.3390/v13040585]
- Ackerknecht EH. A short history of medicine. Baltimore, Maryland: Johns Hopkins
 University Press; 1982. ISBN:978-0-8018-2726-6
- Neuburger M. Some relations between British and German medicine in the first half of the eighteenth century. Bull Hist Med 1945;17(3):217–228.
- Hess V, Mendelsohn JA. Sauvages' Paperwork: How Disease Classification Arose
 from Scholarly Note-Taking. Early Sci Med [Internet] 2014 Nov 27;19(5):471–
 503. [doi: 10.1163/15733823-00195p05]

International Congress of Medicine. Transactions of the International Congress of 795 111. 796 Medicine. Trans Int Congr Med London; 1881. p. 14-34. 797 112. International Medical Congress. Lancet [Internet] 1881 Mar;117(3001):392-393. 798 [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)33362-2] Billings JS. International Medical Congress, London, 1881. Bost Med Surg J 799 113. 800 [Internet] 1881 Sep 8;105(10):217–222. [doi: 10.1056/NEJM188109081051001] 801 International Medical Congress. Lancet [Internet] 1881 Mar;117(3003):478. [doi: 114. 802 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)13222-3] 803 115. The International Medical Congress. Nature [Internet] 1881 Aug;24(615):338-804 339. [doi: 10.1038/024338a0] 805 116. The International Medical Congress. BMJ [Internet] 1881 Aug 13;2(1076):300-806 305. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.1076.300] 807 117. The International Medical Congress. BMJ 1881;2(1082):518-530. [doi: 808 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)93495-6] 809 International Medical Congress. BMJ [Internet] 1881 Oct 1;2(1083):545-566. 118. 810 [doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.1083.545] 811 119. Barnett R. Rubella. Lancet [Internet] 2017 Apr;389(10077):1386. [doi: 812 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30890-5] 813 120. Warrack JS. The differential diagnosis of scarlet fever, measles, and rubella. BMJ 814 [Internet] 1918 Nov 2;2(3018):486–488. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.3018.486] 121. 815 Editorial. Rubella in an Isolated Community. N Engl J Med [Internet] 1957 Feb 816 14;256(7):323–324. [doi: 10.1056/NEJM195702142560712] 817 Gregg NM. Congenital Cataract Following German Measles in the Mother. 122. 818 Epidemiol Infect [Internet] 1991 Aug 15;107(1):3-14.[doi: 819 10.1017/S0950268800048627] 820 123. Lambert N, Strebel P, Orenstein W, Icenogle J, Poland GA. Rubella. Lancet 821 [Internet] 2015 Jun;385(9984):2297-2307. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60539-822 [0] 823 124. WHO. The Measles & Rubella Initiative supports implementation of the Measles 824 & Rubella Strategic Framework 2021-2030 [Internet]. 2020. Available from: 825 https://s3.amazonaws.com/wp-agility2/measles/wp-826 content/uploads/2020/11/measles rubella initiative final print.pdf 827 125. Zipf GK. Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort: An Introduction to Human Ecology. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Press; 1949. 828 829 ISBN:4444006101174 830 Editorial. Reports of Meetings. N Engl J Med [Internet] 1941 Jan 30;224(5):216-126. 831 217. [doi: 10.1056/NEJM194101302240517] 832 127. Editorial. Miscellany. N Engl J Med [Internet] 1931 Apr 30:204(18):913–947. 833 [doi: 10.1056/NEJM193104302041806] 834 835 Abbreviations **BERT:** Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers 836 837 COVID-19: Coronavirus Disease 2019 838 **GBNC:** Google Books Ngram Corpus **GDELT:** Global Data on Events. Location and Tone 839 **ICD:** International Classification of Diseases 840 841 **ICD-11**: Eleventh revision of the International Classification of Diseases 842 MERS: Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome 843 **OED Online**: Oxford English Dictionary Online PCA: Principal Component Analysis 844

- 845 **PPMI:** Positive Pointwise Mutual Information
- 846 SARS: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
- 847 SVD: Singular Value Decomposition
- 848 WHA: World Health Assembly
- 849 WHO: World Health Organization

Multimedia Appendix 1 PRISMA-based flowchart

In this study, we orchestrate rich metadata available to unveil the scientific paradigms via four pertinent experiments, following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (PRISMA 2015; Zeraatkar and Ahmadi 2018; Jobin et al. 2019; Page et al. 2021).



* We filtered candidates of subjective searches in daily communication by three main principles: (i) Search interest on the top of the ranks; (ii) Be formal and complete in spelling; (iii) As much as possible consistent with global crowd participant. ** Eight languages are English, Hebrew, French, German, Spanish, Russian, Italian, and Chinese, respectively.

Figure A1. PRISMA-based flowchart.

References:

- Jobin, A., M. Ienca, and E. Vayena. 2019. The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence* 1(9): 389–399. doi: 10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2.
- Page, M. J., D. Moher, P. M. Bossuyt, I. Boutron, T. C. Hoffmann, C. D. Mulrow, L. Shamseer, J. M. Tetzlaff, E. A. Akl, S. E. Brennan, R. Chou, J. Glanville, J. M. Grimshaw, A. Hróbjartsson, M. M. Lalu, T. Li, E. W. Loder, E. Mayo-Wilson, S. McDonald, L. A. McGuinness, L. A. Stewart, J. Thomas, A. C. Tricco, V. A. Welch, P. Whiting, and J. E. McKenzie. 2021. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*: n160. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n160.

PRISMA. 2015. Transparent reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses: PRISMA. http://www.prisma-statement.org/

Zeraatkar, K., and M. Ahmadi. 2018. Trends of infodemiology studies: a scoping review. *Health Information & Libraries Journal* 35(2): 91–120. doi: 10.1111/hir.12216.

Multimedia Appendix 2 Code scheme

A defined itemized code scheme of crowd behavior in daily communication is paramount for our understanding of the unbiased and comprehensive archive of global online news. Firstly, the initial search candidates included *German measles*, *Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome*, *Spanish flu*, *Hong Kong flu*, and *Huntington's disease*. They have been accused of unnecessary social impacts before [1–10]. Then, we formulated the candidates of subjective searches in daily communication by three main principles: (i) Search interest on the top of the ranks; (ii) Be formal and complete in spelling; (iii) As much as possible consistent with global crowd participant [11]. Finally, the eligible search formulas meeting the inclusion criteria are as following:

- [1] German measles: ("German measles" OR "German Measles") AND PublicationDate>=12/30/2019 AND PublicationDate<=5/8/2021
- [2] Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome: ("Middle Eastern respiratory syndrome" OR "Middle Eastern Respiratory Syndrome") AND PublicationDate>=12/30/2019 AND PublicationDate<=5/8/2021</p>
- [3] Spanish flu: ("Spanish flu" OR "Spanish Flu" OR "Spanish influenza" OR "Spanish Influenza") AND PublicationDate>=12/30/2019 AND PublicationDate<=5/8/2021
- [4] Hong Kong flu: ("Hong Kong flu" OR "Hong Kong Flu" OR "Hong Kong influenza" OR "Hong Kong Influenza") AND PublicationDate>=12/30/2019 AND PublicationDate<=5/8/2021</p>
- [5] Huntington's disease: ("Huntington's disease" OR "Huntington's chorea" OR "huntington's disease" OR "huntington's chorea" OR "Huntington Disease" OR "Huntington disease" OR "Huntington chorea" OR "huntington disease" OR "huntington chorea") AND PublicationDate>=12/30/2019 AND PublicationDate<=5/8/2021

By leveraging the capacity of GDELT's machine translate and neural network image recognition, the unbiased news portfolio in 65 languages provides a unique lens into global online news coverage from December 30, 2019 to May 8, 2021.

References

- 1. Enserink M. Amid Heightened Concerns, New Name for Novel Coronavirus Emerges. Science [Internet] 2013 May 10;340(6133):673–673. PMID:23661733
- 2. Dowell SF, Bresee JS. Pandemic lessons from Iceland. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet] 2008 Jan 29;105(4):1109–1110. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.0711535105]
- 3. Hoppe T. "Spanish Flu": When Infectious Disease Names Blur Origins and Stigmatize Those Infected. Am J Public Health [Internet] 2018 Nov;108(11):1462–1464. [doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2018.304645]
- 4. Have we had Hong Kong flu before? Lancet [Internet] 1969 May;293(7601):929–930. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(69)92556-2]
- 5. Peckham R. Viral surveillance and the 1968 Hong Kong flu pandemic. J Glob Hist [Internet] 2020 Nov 6;15(3):444–458. [doi: 10.1017/S1740022820000224]
- Chang, BS C, Salerno, MSc A, Hsu, MD, MPH EB. Perspectives on xenophobia during epidemics and implications for emergency management. J Emerg Manag [Internet] 2020 Dec 10;18(7):23–29. [doi: 10.5055/jem.2020.0521]
- 7. Wexler A. Stigma, history, and Huntington's disease. Lancet [Internet] 2010 Jul;376(9734):18–19. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60957-9]
- 8. Rawlins M. Huntington's disease out of the closet? Lancet [Internet] 2010 Oct;376(9750):1372–1373. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60974-9]
- 9. Spinney L. Uncovering the true prevalence of Huntington's disease. Lancet Neurol

[Internet] 2010 Aug;9(8):760–761. [doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70160-5]

- 10. Editorial. Dispelling the stigma of Huntington's disease. Lancet Neurol [Internet] 2010 Aug;9(8):751. [doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70170-8]
- 11. Hu Z, Yang Z, Li Q, Zhang A. The COVID-19 Infodemic: Infodemiology Study Analyzing Stigmatizing Search Terms. J Med Internet Res [Internet] 2020 Nov 16;22(11):e22639. PMID:33156807