1 Anther cones increase pollen release in 2 buzz-pollinated *Solanum* flowers

3
4 Mario Vallejo-Marín^{1, *}

5 Carlos Eduardo Pereira Nunes¹

6 Avery Leigh Russell²

7
8 ¹Biological and Environmental Sciences. University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, United
9 Kingdom.

10 ²Department of Biology, Missouri State University, Springfield, Missouri 65897. U.S.A.

11 *Author for correspondence. Email: mario.vallejo@stir.ac.uk

12
13 **Running title:** Functional significance of anther cones

14
15 **Author contributions:** MVM, CEPN, and ALR conceived the idea. MVM designed and performed
16 the experiments, wrote the software, and analysed the data. CEPN collected and analysed data.
17 MVM, CEPN, and ALR wrote the manuscript and contributed to reviewing and editing it. MVM
18 acquired the funding.

19
20 **Acknowledgements:** We thank L. Nevard and the rest of the Vallejo-Marin Lab for feedback and
21 discussions during the development of this project, and J. Weir for support in the Controlled
22 Environment Facility. We thank S. C. H. Barrett and A. Etcheverry for sharing their seed collection
23 of *S. sisymbriifolium*. This research was supported by a grant from The Leverhulme Trust (RG-2018-
24 235) to MVM.

25
26 **Data accessibility:** The vibration and pollen data will be made publicly available in DataSTORRE
27 (<https://datastorre.stir.ac.uk>) upon publication.

28

29 Abstract

30 The widespread evolution of tube-like anthers releasing pollen from apical pores is associated with
 31 buzz pollination, in which bees vibrate flowers to remove pollen. The mechanical connection
 32 among anthers in buzz-pollinated species varies from loosely held conformations, to anthers
 33 tightly held together with trichomes or bio-adhesives forming a functionally joined conical
 34 structure (anther cone). Joined anther cones in buzz-pollinated species have evolved
 35 independently across plant families and via different genetic mechanisms, yet their functional
 36 significance remains mostly untested. We used experimental manipulations to compare
 37 vibrational and functional (pollen release) consequences of joined anther cones in three buzz-
 38 pollinated species of *Solanum* (Solanaceae). We applied bee-like vibrations to focal anthers in
 39 flowers with (“joined”) and without (“free”) experimentally created joined anther cones, and
 40 characterised vibrations transmitted to other anthers and the amount of pollen released. We
 41 found that joined anther architectures cause non-focal anthers to vibrate at higher amplitudes
 42 than free architectures. Moreover, in the two species with naturally loosely held anthers, anther
 43 fusion increases pollen release, while in the species with a free but naturally compact architecture
 44 it does not. We discuss hypotheses for the adaptive significance of the convergent evolution of
 45 joined anther cones.

46

47 **Keywords:** Anther cone, bees, buzz pollination, convergent evolution, pollen release, pollination.

48 Introduction

49 Flowers are extremely morphologically diverse, and establishing how this morphological diversity
 50 affects function has long been a focus of research (Darwin 1877; Vogel 1996). Buzz-pollinated
 51 plants capture the close relationship between floral form and function. In these species,
 52 modifications of floral structures result in morphologies that require the visits of bees that
 53 produce vibrations to remove pollen grains (Macior 1968; Thorp and Estes 1975; Buchmann et al.
 54 1977). The floral vibrations produced by the bee cause the anthers to shake, transmitting energy
 55 to the pollen grains inside the anthers and causing them to be propelled outwards through the
 56 apical pores (Buchmann and Hurley 1978). In buzz-pollinated plants, floral structures, usually the
 57 anthers, but sometimes the corolla, have evolved a tubular form that retains the pollen grains
 58 inside after anthesis (Buchmann 1983; Vallejo-Marín 2019). A taxonomically widespread floral
 59 form of buzz-pollinated plants that has evolved convergently across multiple plant families is the
 60 *Solanum*-like or “solanoid” flower, named by Fægri (1986) after the canonical flower form of
 61 *Solanum* (Solanaceae) (Endress 1996a; De Luca and Vallejo-Marin 2013; Russell et al. 2016). In
 62 *Solanum*-like flowers, the anthers are often arranged in the centre of the flower forming a
 63 structure that resembles a cone (Faegri 1986). The degree to which the anthers in the solanoid
 64 flower are physically connected to one another varies. In one extreme, the enlarged stamens
 65 might be held loosely towards the centre of the flower, with each individual stamen capable of
 66 relatively independent movement from the other stamens (“free” stamen architecture). Other
 67 species may have connivent anthers that are closely pressed together yet non-joined. In the other
 68 extreme, anthers can be physically attached to each other (postgenitally connate; Endress 1996a),
 69 forming a single conical structure (Glover et al. 2004). This type of joined anther cone (“joined”
 70 architecture) has evolved multiple independent times in different plant groups including species in
 71 the families Anthericaceae, Luzuriageaceae, Pittosporaceae, Tecophilaceae and Solanaceae
 72 (Endress 1996a; Glover et al. 2004). Despite the repeated evolution of conical anther architecture
 73 across different species, to date, no studies have tried to empirically evaluate its functional
 74 significance.

75 During buzz pollination, an individual bee might only vibrate one or few anthers. However,
 76 the vibrations generated by the bee’s thorax and applied to this focal anther(s), propagate
 77 through the flower (Brito et al. 2020; Nevard et al. 2021), and can cause pollen release even in
 78 distal anthers that are not in direct contact with the bee’s body (Arroyo-Correa et al. 2019). These
 79 oscillations in the focal anther(s) can cause other stamens to vibrate via two transmission
 80 pathways: **(1) Filament pathway**. Anthers are attached to the corolla or to the base of the flower

via a filament that, in buzz pollinated plants is usually short and relatively stiff. The oscillation of the focal anther can thus cause shaking in the whole flower via the filament attachment, which in turn causes vibrations in other, non-focal anthers. **(2) Anther-anther pathway.** In species with floral architectures in which stamens are held closely together, for example forming a cone (joined anthers) (Glover et al. 2004), vibrations can be transmitted by direct anther-anther contact from the vibrating focal anther to adjacent anthers even when these distal anthers are not touching the bee's body. Recent work across buzz-pollinated flowers with different morphologies in three different plant families (Solanaceae, Primulaceae and Gentianaceae), suggests that stamen architecture, defined as the stamen's relative sizes, degree of fusion and their spatial and functional connections (Endress 1996b), affects the transmission of vibrations (Nevard et al. 2021). Therefore, variation in stamen architecture could be associated with different types of vibrations experienced by distal, non-focal anthers during buzz pollination with potential consequences for pollen release and pollen placement on the pollinator's body (Glover et al. 2004; Nevard et al. 2021).

Here we use an experimental approach to compare the vibrational and functional (pollen release) consequences of joined anther cones in buzz-pollinated species in the genus *Solanum* (Solanaceae). Specifically, we address the following two questions: **(1)** How do the vibrations experienced in stamens differ between floral configurations with free vs. joined anthers? We hypothesise that when vibrations are applied to a focal anther (proximate anther), the vibration amplitude experienced by distal anthers (those anthers not directly being vibrated) is higher in floral configurations with joined anthers than in floral configurations with free anthers. Our hypothesis assumes that species with loose anthers mainly transmit vibrations to distal anthers via the filament pathway, while anther fusion enables vibration transmission via both the filament and anther-anther pathways. **(2)** How does anther fusion into a cone affects pollen release upon vibrations? We hypothesise that the higher vibration amplitude of anthers in joined architectures result in higher pollen release compared to free anther configurations. Our hypothesis is based on the fact that higher vibration amplitudes (e.g., higher velocity or acceleration amplitude) have been shown to be theoretically (Buchmann and Hurley 1978; Hansen et al. 2021) and empirically associated with higher rates of pollen release (De Luca et al. 2013; Rosi-Denadai et al. 2020; Kemp and Vallejo-Marin 2021). If joined anther architectures are associated with higher vibration magnitudes across more anthers (both focal and distal anthers), then we would expect pollen release to be proportionally higher as well.

113 Material and Methods

114 Study system

115 *Solanum* is the largest buzz-pollinated genus of flowering plants with approximately 1,400 species
 116 (Knapp 2002; Särkinen et al. 2013). Within the genus *Solanum* L. and its close relatives, joined
 117 anther cones have evolved independently at least four times: in tomatoes (*S. lycopersicum* L., sect.
 118 *Lycopersicon*) and its wild relatives, in *S. dulcamara* L. (sect. *Dulcamara*), in *S. luridifuscescens*
 119 Bitter (sect. *Cyphomandropsis*) and related taxa (Glover et al. 2004; Falcão et al. 2016), and in
 120 some species of *Lycianthes* such as *L. synanthera* and *L. anomala* (Dean et al. 2020). Strikingly, the
 121 joined anther cone in clades of *Solanum* is formed via different attachment mechanisms. In *S.*
 122 *lycopersicum* and *S. luridifuscescens*, the anther cone is formed via interlocking epidermal cells,
 123 joining adjacent anthers, while in *S. dulcamara* smooth anthers are held together by adhesive
 124 secretions (Glover et al. 2004; Falcão et al. 2016). In some cases, the pores of individual anthers in
 125 species with joined cones can secondarily evolve increasingly longitudinally dehiscent slits as in *S.*
 126 *lycopersicum* and related taxa. In these species, the slits open to the interior of the joined cone
 127 which functions as a single poricidal unit (Endress 1996a).

128 We studied three *Solanum* species from the subgenus *Leptostemonum* that differ in
 129 stamen architecture, specifically, the extent to which the anthers are loosely or closely held
 130 together: *Solanum sisymbriifolium* Lam. and *S. elaeagnifolium* Cav. have free, relatively loose,
 131 stamen architectures, while *S. pyracanthos* Lam. has stamens that, although not joined, are held
 132 closely together forming a cone-like structure. In *S. sisymbriifolium* and *S. elaeagnifolium*, the
 133 petals become reflexed soon after anthesis, and the anthers, which are loosely connivent at
 134 anthesis, become increasingly spread out (Knapp 2014a; Vorontsova and Knapp 2014). In *S.*
 135 *pyracanthos*, the petals are slightly reflexed, and the stamens are free but held closely together
 136 forming a conical structure that persists throughout anthesis. All three species are nectarless,
 137 andromonoecious (producing both hermaphroditic and staminate flowers in the same individual)
 138 (D'Arcy 1992; Knapp 2014a; Vorontsova and Knapp 2014), and present flowers to pollinators more
 139 or less horizontally, with the anthers' long axis pointing parallel to the ground (Figure 1). We used
 140 seeds of two accessions of *S. sisymbriifolium*, either from seeds collected in the field and
 141 outcrossed in the glasshouse or sourced from a commercial provider (Chiltern Seeds, Wallingford,
 142 UK) (Table 1). For *S. elaeagnifolium* we used two accessions of this species collected in arid regions
 143 in Mexico where they formed locally abundant populations along roadsides and train tracks (Table

144 1). A summary of the distribution, floral characteristics, and floral visitors of these species as well
145 as the accessions used in this study is presented in Table 1.

146 Plant growth

147 Seeds were germinated following a 24h treatment with 1000ppm aqueous solution of gibberellic
148 acid (GA3, Asklepios-seeds, Bad Liebenzell, Germany) at room temperature. Approximately 10
149 days after germination, seedlings were transplanted to 1.5L plastic pots with an 80:20 compost
150 mix of John Innes No. 2 and medium grade perlite (LBS Horticulture, Colne, UK), and placed in a
151 Snijders Microclimate cabinet in the Controlled Environment Facilities at the University of Stirling
152 with the following growth conditions: 16h-light/8h-dark cycles, at 27°C/25°C, with constant 50%
153 RH. A few additional plants of *S. pyracanthos* and *S. elaeagnifolium* were transplanted to 10L pots
154 and placed in the glasshouse with 16h of supplemental light using compact fluorescent lamps and
155 provided with heating to keep temperature above 16°C at night. Plants were fertilised as needed
156 with Tomorite Concentrated Tomato Food (Levington, Surrey, UK).

157 Signal generation

158 To mimic a vibration produced by bees during buzz pollination, we synthesised a pure tone at
159 300Hz of two seconds in duration with a 50ms fade-in and fade-out feature using *seewave* (Sueur
160 et al. 2008) and saved it as a Mono WAV file at a sampling rate of 44.1kHz. The frequency and
161 duration of the synthetic buzz is within the range observed in buzz pollinating bees (De Luca and
162 Vallejo-Marin 2013; De Luca et al. 2019). The 50ms fade-in and fade-out features in the
163 synthesised signal were introduced to avoid amplitude spikes in the playback equipment caused
164 by a rapid voltage change.

165 Vibration system

166 We built a vibration system to generate and apply vibrations to experimental flowers (Figure 2). A
167 permanent magnetic shaker (LDV210, Brüel & Kjær, Nærum, Denmark) attached to a linear power
168 amplifier (LDS-LPA100, Brüel & Kjær) that received the signal played back in the computer was
169 used to generate the vibration. An M4, 10cm stainless steel screw (“wand”) (ACCU, Huddersfield,
170 UK) was attached to the magnetic shaker. A miniature IPC force sensor (209C11, PCB Piezotronics)
171 was placed at the end of the wand. The system was attached to the flower using an entomological
172 pin “00” of 0.30mm in diameter (Austerlitz, Entomoravia, Czech Republic) cut 10 mm from the tip
173 and superglued to a head screw in the force sensor (Figure 2).

174 Vibration playback

175 The synthesised signal was played back in Audacity ver. 3.0 (Audacity 2021) using a laptop
176 computer (HP Elitebook) with the volume set to 70% and output to the linear amplifier. We
177 adjusted the gain in the linear power amplifier by hand to generate approximately 80mm/s peak
178 velocity (~56mm/s, Root Mean Square (RMS) velocity) measured in a small piece of retroreflective
179 tape at the base of the pin. The tape was kept in place after calibration. The value of peak velocity
180 was chosen to be within the range of values observed in buzz-pollinating bumblebees (De Luca et
181 al. 2013), and used previously in pollen release experiments (Kemp and Vallejo-Marin 2021).
182 Calibration measurements were taken and recorded each day at the start and end of the
183 experimental run.

184 Experimental treatment

185 Flowers were collected in the morning from plants growing in the cabinets or, more rarely, the
186 glasshouses and immediately transferred to a temperature- and humidity-controlled laboratory
187 (19C, 40% RH) for data acquisition. The age of the flower was recorded as days since anthesis (day
188 1 = flowered opened that day). Flowers were removed from the inflorescence by cutting at the
189 base of the pedicel and placing them in wet floral foam (Oasis Ideal Floral Foam Maxlife, Smithers-
190 Oasis UK Ltd, Washington, UK) on a plastic container. Flowers were measured within 1-3 hours of
191 being removed from plant.

192 Once in the lab, each flower was randomly assigned to one of two treatments: (1) **Free**
193 **configuration:** This represents the natural arrangement of the flowers. A small amount of PVA
194 glue was applied as a sham treatment to the outside of the anther. (2) **Joined configuration:** The
195 anthers were glued together using a small amount of PVA applied along the lateral edge of each
196 anther without blocking the pores. The treatment was applied with the help of a dissecting scope
197 (6.7x magnification). Every flower in the experiment experienced both treatments assigned in a
198 random order. When the free configuration treatment was applied to a flower that had been
199 previously glued for the joined configuration treatment, the anthers were freed using fine-tip
200 forceps and carefully separating the anthers from each other while leaving the glue on the anther
201 (in some cases a small amount of glue fell off in the process of freeing the anthers).

202 To increase the reflectivity of the anther surface for Doppler vibrometry, we placed a small
203 square of retroreflective tape (approximately 1-4mm²) onto a single anther in the adaxial side of
204 the flower (anther 3 or 4 in Figure 3) depending on which one presented a surface that was
205 perpendicular to the laser beam and parallel to the axis of the vibrations produced by the shaker
206 (Figure 2). The tape was placed as close to the tip of the anther as possible, without blocking the

207 pores. The tape was attached to anthers 3 or 4 (at the top of the flower). The shaker pin was
208 inserted at the base of anther 1 (the lowest most anther, see Figure 3). Sometimes, we used the
209 dissecting microscope to draw a small dot made with a black marker to help placing the pin in the
210 exact desired location. The pin made a microscopic wound in the anther. The pin was carefully
211 pushed into the anther without going all the way through.

212 During the experiment, the flower was held by the pedicel using a stainless-steel micro-V
213 clamp (VK250/M; Thorlabs Ltd., Ely, UK) on a 100mm post (TR100/M), held in a 40mm post holder
214 (PH40/M), perpendicular to the ground. The post holder was screwed in an adjustable-angle
215 mounting plate (AP180/M; Thorlabs) placed on a linear stage (M-UMR8.25; Newport Spectra-
216 Physics Ltd, Didcot, UK) with a standard resolution micrometer (BM17.25; Newport) (see Jankauski
217 2020). The system was attached to a vertical translation stage (VAP10/M; Thorlabs) fixed to a
218 250x300x12.7mm aluminium breadboard (MB2530/M). To reduce external vibration noise, the
219 breadboard was placed on four sorbothane isolators (25.4mm x 27mm in diameter; AV4/M) and
220 rested on an antivibration table.

221 Vibration recording

222 To measure the velocity of the vibrations applied and measured, we used a Doppler laser
223 vibrometer (PDV-100, Polytec Ltd, Coventry, UK) set to 500mm/s maximum velocity and a Low
224 Pass Filter at 22kHz. The force applied by the shaker was simultaneously measured using the
225 miniature force sensor. The signals of both the laser vibrometer and the force sensor were
226 simultaneously acquired using a two-channel NI9250 Sound and Vibration module (NI Corporation
227 (UK) Ltd, Newbury, UK) and a USB powered data acquisition module (cDAQ-9171, NI). The
228 acquisition was done using custom-written software in LabView NXG 5.1 (NI). Samples were
229 acquired at a rate of 10,240 samples per second. Data was saved in TDMS format and
230 subsequently converted to text files using a custom program in LabView.

231 Signal processing

232 For each recorded vibration, we estimated the dominant frequency (Hz) and the RMS amplitude
233 for both the velocity (mm/s) measured in the distal anther, and the force (mN) measured in the
234 proximate anther. The text file containing the velocity and force measurements for each vibration
235 was processed in R ver. 4.0.5 (R Core Development Team 2021) using the package *seewave* (Sueur
236 et al. 2008). In brief, we first removed the offset of the signal and used the *timer* function
237 (threshold = 3, dmin = 1, window size = 30, no overlap) on the force channel to identify the
238 segment of the recording to be analysed (approximately 2 seconds or 20,480 samples per
239 channel). For each channel, we then applied a high pass filter at 20Hz (Hanning window, window

length = 520 samples) to remove background noise. The filtered signal was then used to obtain a power spectrum using the function *spec* (PSD = TRUE) with a Hanning window of 1,024 samples and a frequency range of 0-2,000Hz. The RMS amplitude was calculated on the same filtered signal.

Pollen collection

The pollen ejected through floral vibrations was collected on a plate made of black polyethylene measuring 13 cm x 5cm x 4mm (4083829; RS Components Ltd, Corby, UK) (see Ito et al. 2020). The plate had a hole drilled at 2cm from one of the short edges at the midline to allow the shaker wand to go through. The surface of the plate was painted with black acrylic paint (Black 3.0, Culture Hustle, Dorset, UK) (2 layers) to increase the contrast of pollen grains against the background. The polyethylene slide was positioned immediately below the flower with the aid of a micromanipulator (M330 with M3 tilting base and 2.5kg weight; World Precision Instruments, Hitchin, UK). After the vibration was applied, the ejected, light-coloured, pollen fell on the slide and provided a good contrast against the black background. The pollen was collected from the slide using a 2mm³ cube of fuchsine-glycerol jelly kept at room temperature. The jelly with the collected pollen was then placed in a 1.5mL microcentrifuge tube and stored at 4°C until pollen counting.

Pollen counting

To estimate the number of pollen grains released after experimental buzz, we used a particle counter (Multisizer 4e Coulter Counter, Indianapolis, USA). Each pollen sample (contained in a 2mm³ piece of fuchsin jelly in a vial) was suspended in 1 ml distilled water by heating it at 80°C for at least 30 min then shaking the vial vigorously with the help of an electric shaker until the vial content looked homogeneous. Prior to counting with the particle counter, the vial contents were added to 19 ml 0.9% NaCl solution, for a total volume of approximately 20 mL. For each sample, the amount of pollen was counted in four 1 ml subsamples. Only particles within the size range of 15-30µm were included in downstream analysis. Although the size of viable pollen grains within the studied species is much less variable and averaged around 24-25µm (Supplementary Figure 1), using a broader particle size range allowed us to also include inviable pollen grains, which were considerable smaller. In total, the particle analysis counted and measured 2,291,835 particles in the 15-30µm range (Supplementary Figure 1). We ran blank samples, containing only 0.9% NaCl solution at the beginning of every daily session and regularly between samples to ensure the equipment calibration accuracy. Finally, we summed the pollen counts for the four subsamples and multiplied by five to obtain each pollen release estimate.

273 Statistical analysis

274 To analyse the effect of anther treatment (free vs. joined) on vibration RMS amplitude we used
 275 generalized mixed effects models using the package *lme4* (Bates et al. 2014) in *R* ver. 4.0.5 (R Core
 276 Development Team 2021). We fitted separate models for RMS velocity of the distal anther and for
 277 RMS force of the proximate anther. For each response variable, we started by fitting a full model
 278 with anther fusion treatment (free vs. joined), plant species, the interaction between anther
 279 treatment and plant species, sequential buzz order (first or second), and flower age (in days) as
 280 fixed effects. Plant accession, individual, and flower identity were used as random effects to yield
 281 the following model:

$$282 \quad A_{RMS} \sim g + s + g \times s + n_{buzz} + a + (1|i_{accession}) + (1|i_{plant}) + (1|i_{flower}),$$

283 where A_{RMS} is vibration RMS amplitude (either velocity or force), g is anther fusion treatment, s is
 284 plant species, n_{buzz} is sequential buzz order, a is flower age, and $i_{accession}$, i_{plant} , and i_{flower} are indices
 285 corresponding to accession, individual and flower identity, respectively. For distal anther velocity,
 286 the full model yielded a singular fit and thus we reduced model complexity by removing the plant
 287 accession random effect ($i_{accession}$). This simplified model yielded qualitatively identical results to
 288 the full model (results not shown) while avoiding the fit singularity. For proximate anther velocity,
 289 the full model also yielded a singular fit, and thus we sequentially removed the random effects of
 290 plant accession and plant identity ($i_{accession}$, i_{plant}). The simpler model also yielded qualitatively
 291 identical results (coefficients and statistical significance) to the full model (results not shown). No
 292 overdispersion of the residuals of the final models was detected with the statistical package
 293 *DHARMa* (Hartig 2021).

294 To test for the effect of anther configuration on pollen release, we also fitted a generalized
 295 mixed effects model using the number of pollen grains removed per buzz as the response variable.
 296 The response variable was square root transformed to improve the distribution of the residuals.
 297 The fitted model was:

$$298 \quad \sqrt{r} \sim g + s + g \times s + n_{buzz} + a + (1|i_{accession}) + (1|i_{plant}) + (1|i_{flower}),$$

299 where r is number of pollen grains removed, and other variables are as previously defined. No
 300 singular fit was detected in this case and the full model was used in the final analysis. No
 301 overdispersion of the residuals was detected with the statistical package *DHARMa* (Hartig 2021).
 302 Statistical significance of fixed effects (P -values) for all final models were obtained using Type III
 303 analysis of variance and Satterthwaite's estimation of degrees of freedom implemented in the
 304 package *lmerTest* (Kuznetsova et al. 2017). Given that we detected species x treatment
 305 interactions (see Results), we calculated the estimated marginal means with treatment nested

306 within species using the fitted mixed-effects model in the *R* package *emmeans* (Lenth 2021).
 307 Statistical significance of the linear contrast (free – joined) was obtained using a Kenward-Roger
 308 approximation of degrees of freedom.

309 Results

310 We found a statistically significant effect of anther fusion treatment, which depended on plant
 311 species, on both the velocity of the distal anther and on the force measured in the proximate
 312 anther (treatment x species interaction; Table 2, Figure 4). For distal anther velocity, we found
 313 that anther fusion increased the RMS velocity achieved by distal anthers compared to the free
 314 anther treatment (Figure 4). The effect of treatment on RMS velocity was significant for all within
 315 species comparisons (estimated marginal means contrasts, $P < 0.0001$) but the marginal means
 316 contrast was 73-83% higher for *S. elaeagnifolium* (110.0 ± 8.93 mm/s) and *S. sisymbriifolium*
 317 (116.4 ± 6.88 mm/s) than for *S. pyracanthos* (63.3 ± 8.58 mm/s) (Figure 4). For both *S.*
 318 *elaegnifolium* and *S. sisymbriifolium*, the RMS velocity at the tip of the distal anther in the free
 319 treatment was lower than the input RMS velocity measured in the shaker, while the anther
 320 velocity in the joined treatment was higher than the input velocity for all species (Figure 5). For
 321 the force measured in the proximate anther, we also found a species-dependent effect of
 322 treatment (Table 2). All species experienced a higher force in the proximate anther in the joined
 323 treatment (estimated marginal means contrasts, $P < 0.005$), although the magnitude of this
 324 difference varied across species (marginal means difference = 2.43 ± 0.827 mN, 2.54 ± 0.637 mN,
 325 6.93 ± 0.795 mN, for *S. elaeagnifolium*, *S. sisymbriifolium* and *S. pyracanthos*, respectively) (Figure
 326 4). In *S. elaeagnifolium* and *S. pyracanthos*, the force measured in the proximate anther was
 327 generally higher than the input force measured in the shaker before loading the flower, but in *S.*
 328 *sisymbriifolium* RMS force in the proximate anther varied more from lower to higher compared to
 329 the one measured before loading (Figure 5). Neither the order in which the buzzes were applied
 330 and measured, nor flower age, had a significant effect on distal anther velocity or measured force
 331 in proximate anthers (Table 2).

332 Floral vibrations removed on average $9,531 \pm 679$ pollen grains per buzz (mean $\pm$ se; range
 333 55-59,665, $n = 240$). We found a significant effect of anther treatment on pollen release that
 334 depended on plant species (species x treatment interaction, Table 3). For *S. elaeagnifolium* and *S.*
 335 *sisymbriifolium*, more pollen (1,500 – 2,300 more pollen grains; square-root transformed marginal
 336 means estimates = 48.2 ± 9.38 pollen^{1/2} and 38.3 ± 7.18 pollen^{1/2}, respectively; $P < 0.0001$) was
 337 released in the joined than in the free anther treatment (Figure 6). Conversely, the average

number of pollen grains released in *S. pyracanthos* was not statistically different between free and joined treatments (estimated marginal means contrast 12.3 ± 8.91 pollen^{1/2}, $P = 0.169$; Figure 6). The order in which the buzzes were applied and measured did not affect pollen release, but older flowers released significantly more pollen grains (Table 3).

Discussion

In this study, we set out to address how different anther architectures, specifically the presence or absence of joined anther cones in buzz-pollinated flowers, affect patterns of vibration transmission and pollen release. Our results show that when applying vibrations to a focal (proximate) anther, the vibration velocity experienced in other (distal) anthers is significantly higher in flowers with joined anther cones, compared to those with free anthers. This result is consistent with our hypothesis that joined anther cones enable more effective transmission of applied vibrations from proximate to distal anthers via both the filament and the anther-anther pathways. Importantly, this difference in vibration transmission across anthers translates to functional differences in pollen release. In two species that naturally have loosely held, and sometimes sprawling anther architectures (*S. elaeagnifolium* and *S. sisymbriifolium*), experimental anther fusion results in more pollen released per buzz. In another species that naturally has non-joined, but tightly held anthers forming a cone (*S. pyracanthos*), experimental anther fusion did not increase pollen release. Anther fusion thus increases pollen release more strongly in species with loosely held, sprawling anthers, than in those in which anthers form a non-joined but tightly held cone. Our study suggests that anther architecture and the evolution of joined anther cones might serve to influence the rate of pollen released across the flower following vibrations applied to individual anthers.

Functional consequences of joined anther cones

The evolution of joined anther cones is likely to incur in different costs and benefits for flower function depending on its interaction with floral visitors. Given that regardless of anther architecture, both focal and non-focal anthers release pollen upon vibrations (e.g., Nevard et al. 2021, and the present study), joined anther cones might reduce pollen wastage by directing more pollen onto the bee's body (Glover et al. 2004). We call this the **reduced pollen wastage hypothesis**. Reducing the amount of pollen grains that misses the body of floral visitors should increase pollination success. At the same time, this anther architecture may involve a trade-off if bees can also benefit from joined anther cones by capturing a greater proportion of pollen that is released upon vibrating. For example, the stereotypical C-shape posture that bees take during the

370 production of floral vibrations (De Luca and Vallejo-Marin 2013), should favour the receipt of
 371 pollen grains in the ventral region, where bees are generally capable of grooming and harvesting
 372 pollen grains (Vallejo-Marin et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2015; Koch et al. 2017; Tong et al. 2019).
 373 Reduced pollen wastage could also be achieved in flowers with loosely held anthers if the visiting
 374 bee can gather together all stamens using its legs and mandibles (M. Mayberry, D. McCart, J.
 375 Burrow, T.L. Ashman, and A. Russell, *unpublished data*). Bees that are relatively large compared to
 376 the flower they visit should be capable of such manipulation, although quantitative evidence of
 377 this behaviour remains scarce. At the same time, the evolution of loosely held anthers might be
 378 favored when buzzing bees can remove pollen from only one or a few anthers but only
 379 infrequently contact the stigma, such as when the bees are relatively small compared to the
 380 flower (Li et al. 2015; Solis-Montero and Vallejo-Marin 2017; Telles et al. 2020; Mesquita-Neto et
 381 al. 2021). In this context, loosely held anthers that reduce vibrations being transmitted to non-
 382 focal anthers (as shown in our study) would simultaneously reducing pollen wastage and pollen
 383 theft. We call this the **reduced costs of pollen thieves hypothesis**. The hypotheses of reduced
 384 pollen wastage and reduced costs of pollen thieves highlight how the potential benefits of fused
 385 anther cones depend on ecological context; namely the presence and abundance of floral visitors
 386 differing in size and behaviour. For example, in ecological communities dominated by large bee
 387 pollinators capable of embracing all loosely held anthers and intercepting most of the ejected
 388 pollen with their bodies (e.g. carpenter bees), the benefits of reducing pollen wastage to the plant
 389 (when pollen grains miss the visitor's body) may be relatively minor. Conversely, the conditions for
 390 the reduced cost of pollen thieves hypothesis may prevail in communities where smaller bees
 391 acting as pollen thieves are dominant (Mesquita-Neto et al. 2018).

392 Additionally, the evolution of joined anther cones may increase the precision of pollen
 393 placement on specific parts of the pollinator's body, which could increase the likelihood of stigma
 394 contact (**unimodal pollen deposition hypothesis**). During buzz-pollination, anther cones are
 395 expected to interact with the bee's ventral side depositing pollen in a single region (unimodal),
 396 making the location of pollen placement and pick-up relatively predictable. At the same time,
 397 unimodal pollen deposition could involve a trade-off, if the pollen were thus more readily
 398 groomed into the bee's pollen baskets (Russell et al. 2021). Accordingly, the evolution of loosely
 399 held anthers, could be favoured if pollen were thereby more frequently deposited into hard-to-
 400 groom "safe sites" on the bee's body. The presence of loosely held anthers may also facilitate the
 401 evolution of anther specialization within a flower, if different sets of loose anthers consistently
 402 deposit pollen on different parts of the bee's body. This preliminary division of labour may

403 facilitate the evolution of anther morphology that improves the effectiveness of the division of
 404 labour, such as heteranthery, which commonly occurs in buzz pollinated plants (Vallejo-Marin et
 405 al. 2010; Barrett 2021). Division of labour in heterantherous species is achieved by two or more
 406 types of morphologically distinct stamens that release and deposit pollen on distinct parts of the
 407 pollinator's body, one of which is more effectively groomed by floral visitors (feeding anthers) and
 408 another that is more likely to contact the stigma of other conspecific flowers (pollinating anthers)
 409 (Luo et al. 2008; Vallejo-Marin et al. 2009; Papaj et al. 2017; Saab et al. 2021). In heterantherous
 410 flowers, pollen release and deposition are thus expected to have a bimodal (or multimodal if more
 411 than two stamen types) distribution on the pollinator's body, and such distribution is incompatible
 412 with joined anther cones.

413 Finally, a non-mutually exclusive hypothesis, for which our results provide direct empirical
 414 support, is that joined anther cones increase the transmission of vibrations across the stamens
 415 and, in some case, result in higher rates of pollen released per buzz (**increased pollen release**
 416 **hypothesis**). Our experiments across three *Solanum* species with slightly different anther
 417 architectures, show that in all cases, joined anther cones significantly increase the vibration
 418 amplitude (RMS velocity) transmitted to non-focal anthers showing an immediate consequence of
 419 joined anther cones. However, our experiments also show that the extent to which experimental
 420 fusion of anther cones influence pollen release is contingent on species-specific characteristics.
 421 Pollen release was increased in the two species that naturally have loosely arranged anther
 422 architectures (*S. elaeagnifolium* and *S. sisymbriifolium*), and in which the experimental treatment
 423 notably increases the extent to which anthers contact each other (the anther-anther vibration
 424 transmission pathway). An increase in pollen release through anther fusion is not observed in the
 425 Malagasy species *S. pyracanthos*, in which anthers are closely held together since the beginning of
 426 anthesis and throughout the flower's life. Although vibration amplitude in joined anthers of *S.*
 427 *pyracanthos* increases, we found no effect on pollen release. This might be because, despite being
 428 non-joined by either trichomes or bio-adhesives, the closely held androecium of *S. pyracanthos* is
 429 sufficient to transmit strong enough vibrations across all anthers to maximise pollen release rate.
 430 Pollen release rate in this case might be limited not by vibration amplitude, but by other factors
 431 such as the size of the apical pore from which pollen can come out during buzzing, and the
 432 amount of freely available pollen inside the anther locules, which in part is determined by flower
 433 age (Harder and Barclay 1994; Kemp and Vallejo-Marin 2021).

434 The four hypotheses mentioned above are not an exhaustive list or mutually exclusive, and
 435 they could act in concert, for example by simultaneously reducing pollen wastage and increasing

436 pollen release rates. Other consequences of free anthers could include lengthening the amount of
437 time that a visitor spends in a flower, due to having to separately manipulate anthers, which in
438 some cases might increase pollen deposition on the stigma, including self-pollen deposition.
439 However, a common feature of these hypotheses is their dependence on specific ecological
440 factors, particularly the morphological and behavioural characteristics of floral visitors. Evaluating
441 the relative value of these hypotheses in explaining the evolution of joined anther cones,
442 therefore requires explicitly considering the interaction of buzz pollinated plants and their wild
443 bee pollinators.

444 References

- 445 Armbruster, W. S., J. Lee, M. E. Edwards, and B. G. Baldwin. 2013. Floral paedomorphy leads to
446 secondary specialization in pollination of Madagascar *Dalechampia* (Euphorbiaceae).
447 Evolution 67:1196-1203.
- 448 Arroyo-Correa, B., C. E. Beattie, and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2019. Bee and floral traits affect the
449 characteristics of the vibrations experienced by flowers during buzz-pollination. Journal of
450 Experimental Biology 222:jeb198176.
- 451 Audacity. 2021. Audacity (ver. 3.0.2) <https://www.audacityteam.org>.
- 452 Barrett, S. C. 2021. Heteranthery. Current Biology 31:R774-R776.
- 453 Bates, D., M. Maechler, and B. Bolker. 2014. lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and
454 S4. R package version 1.1-7. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4>.
- 455 Brito, V. L. G., C. E. P. Nunes, C. R. Resende, F. Montealegre-Zapata, and M. Vallejo-Marín. 2020.
456 Biomechanical properties of a buzz-pollinated flower. Royal Society Open Science
457 7:201010.
- 458 Buchmann, S. L. 1983. Buzz pollination in angiosperms. Pp. 73-113 in C. E. Jones, and R. J. Little,
459 eds. Handbook of Experimental Pollination Biology. Scientific and Academic Editions, NY.
- 460 Buchmann, S. L. and J. H. Cane. 1989. Bees assess pollen returns while sonicating *Solanum* flowers.
461 Oecologia 81:289-294.
- 462 Buchmann, S. L. and J. P. Hurley. 1978. Biophysical model for buzz pollination in Angiosperms.
463 Journal of Theoretical Biology 72:639-657.
- 464 Buchmann, S. L., C. E. Jones, and L. J. Colin. 1977. Vibratile pollination of *Solanum douglasii* and
465 *Solanum xanti* (Solanaceae) in Southern California USA. Wasmann Journal of Biology 35:1-
466 25.
- 467 D'Arcy, W. G. 1992. Solanaceae of Madagascar: form and geography. Annals of the Missouri
468 Botanical Garden:29-45.
- 469 Darwin, C. 1877. The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species. J. Murray, London.
- 470 De Luca, P. A., S. Buchmann, C. Galen, A. C. Mason, and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2019. Does body size
471 predict the buzz-pollination frequencies used by bees? Ecology and Evolution 9:4875-4887.
- 472 De Luca, P. A., L. F. Bussiere, D. Souto-Vilaros, D. Goulson, A. C. Mason, and M. Vallejo-Marín.
473 2013. Variability in bumblebee pollination buzzes affects the quantity of pollen released
474 from flowers. Oecologia 172:805-816.
- 475 De Luca, P. A. and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2013. What's the 'buzz' about? The ecology and evolutionary
476 significance of buzz-pollination. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 16:429-435.

477 Dean, E., J. Poore, M. A. Anguiano-Constante, M. H. Nee, H. Kang, T. Starbuck, A. Rodríguez, and
478 M. Conner. 2020. The genus *Lycianthes* (Solanaceae, Capsiceae) in Mexico and Guatemala.
479 *PhytoKeys* 168:1.

480 Endress, P. K. 1996a. Diversity and evolutionary trends in angiosperm anthers. Pp. 92-110 in W. G.
481 D'Arcy, and R. C. Keating, eds. *The Anther: Form, Function and Phylogeny*. Cambridge
482 University Press, Cambridge.

483 Endress, P. K. 1996b. Homoplasy in Angiosperm flowers. Pp. 303-325 in S. J. Sanderson, and L.
484 Hufford, eds. *Homoplasy - The Recurrence of Similarity in Evolution*. San Diego Academic
485 Press, San Diego.

486 Faegri, K. 1986. The solanoid flower. Pp. 51-59. *Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh*.
487 Taylor & Francis.

488 Falcão, B. F., C. Schlindwein, and J. R. Stehmann. 2016. Pollen release mechanisms and
489 androecium structure in *Solanum* (Solanaceae): Does anther morphology predict
490 pollination strategy? *Flora* 224:211-217.

491 Glover, B. J., S. Bunnewell, and C. Martin. 2004. Convergent evolution within the genus *Solanum*:
492 the specialised anther cone develops through alternative pathways. *Gene* 331:1-7.

493 Hansen, M., G. C. Lanes, V. L. Brito, and E. D. Leonel. 2021. Investigation of pollen release by
494 poricidal anthers using mathematical billiards. *Physical Review E* 104:034409.

495 Harder, L. D. and M. R. Barclay. 1994. The functional significance of poricidal anthers and buzz
496 pollination: controlled pollen removal from *Dodecatheon*. *Functional Ecology* 8:509-517.

497 Hartig, F. 2021. DHARMA: Residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level / mixed) regression
498 models.

499 Huang, Z.-H., H.-L. Liu, and S.-Q. Huang. 2015. Interspecific pollen transfer between two
500 coflowering species was minimized by bumblebee fidelity and differential pollen placement
501 on the bumblebee body. *J Plant Ecol* 8:109-115.

502 Ito, S., H. Rajabi, and S. N. Gorb. 2020. A ballistic pollen dispersal strategy hidden in stylar
503 oscillation. *bioRxiv* 217:109 - 114.

504 Jankauski, M. A. 2020. Measuring the frequency response of the honeybee thorax. *Bioinspiration*
505 & *Biomimetics* 15:046002.

506 Kemp, J. E. and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2021. Pollen dispensing schedules in buzz-pollinated plants:
507 Experimental comparison of species with contrasting floral morphologies. *American*
508 *Journal of Botany* 108:1-13.

509 Knapp, S. 2002. Floral diversity and evolution in the Solanaceae. Pp. 267-297 in Q. C. B. Cronk, R.
510 M. Bateman, and J. A. Hawkins, eds. *Developmental Genetics and Plant Evolution*. Taylor &
511 Francis, London.

512 Knapp, S. 2014a. Solanaceae Source: *Solanum elaeagnifolium* Cav.
513 <http://solanaceaesource.org/taxonomy/term/106820/descriptions>.

514 Knapp, S. 2014b. Solanaceae Source: *Solanum pyracanthos* Lam.
515 <http://solanaceaesource.org/content/solanum-pyracanthos>

516 Koch, L., K. Lunau, and P. Wester. 2017. To be on the safe site - Ungroomed spots on the bee's
517 body and their importance for pollination. *PLOS One* 12.

518 Kuznetsova, A., P. B. Brockhoff, and R. H. B. Christensen. 2017. lmerTest package: Tests in linear
519 mixed effect models. *Journal of Statistical Software* 82:1-26.

520 Lenth, R. V. 2021. emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means.

521 Li, J.-K., Y.-P. Song, H. Xu, Y.-W. Zhang, J.-Y. Zhu, and L.-L. Tang. 2015. High ratio of illegitimate
522 visitation by small bees severely weakens the potential function of heteranthery. *Journal of*
523 *Plant Ecology* 8:213-223.

524 Luo, Z., D. Zhang, and S. S. Renner. 2008. Why two kinds of stamens in buzz-pollinated flowers?
525 Experimental support for Darwin's division-of-labour hypothesis. *Functional Ecology*
526 22:794-800.

527 Macior, L. W. 1968. Pollination adaptation in *Pedicularis groenlandica*. *American Journal of Botany*
528 55:927-932.

529 Mesquita-Neto, J. N., N. Blüthgen, and C. Schlindwein. 2018. Flowers with poricidal anthers and
530 their complex interaction networks—Disentangling legitimate pollinators and illegitimate
531 visitors. *Functional Ecology* 32:2321-2332.

532 Mesquita-Neto, J. N., A. L. C. Vieira, and C. Schlindwein. 2021. Minimum size threshold of visiting
533 bees of a buzz-pollinated plant species: consequences for pollination efficiency. *American*
534 *Journal of Botany*.

535 Nevard, L., A. L. Russell, K. Foord, and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2021. Transmission of bee-like vibrations
536 in buzz-pollinated plants with different stamen architectures. *Scientific Reports* 11:13541.

537 Papaj, D. R., S. L. Buchmann, and A. L. Russell. 2017. Division of labor of anthers in heterantherous
538 plants: flexibility of bee pollen collection behavior may serve to keep plants honest.
539 *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* 11:307-315.

540 Petanidou, T., M. V. Price, J. L. Bronstein, A. Kantsa, T. Tscheulin, R. Kariyat, N. Krigas, M. C.
541 Mescher, C. M. De Moraes, and N. M. Waser. 2018. Pollination and reproduction of an
542 invasive plant inside and outside its ancestral range. *Acta Oecologica* 89:11-20.

543 Plebani, M., O. Imanizabayo, D. M. Hansen, and W. S. Armbruster. 2015. Pollination ecology and
544 circadian patterns of inflorescence opening of the Madagascan climber *Dalechampia aff.*
545 *bernieri* (Euphorbiaceae). *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 31:99-101.

546 Ramos, A. F., S. G. Bauermann, L. A. Lopes, and A. C. P. Evaldt. 2016. Produção polínica de *Solanum*
547 *sisymbriifolium* Lam. (Solanaceae) e a coleta de pólen pelas abelhas visitantes florais.
548 *Educação Ambiental em Ação*:1-7.

549 Robyns, W. 1931. L'Organisation florale des solanacées zygomorphes, par le Dr. Walter Robyns. M.
550 Lamertin.

551 Rosi-Denadai, C. A., P. C. S. Araujo, L. A. O. Campos, L. Cosme, Jr., and R. N. C. Guedes. 2020. Buzz-
552 pollination in Neotropical bees: genus-dependent frequencies and lack of optimal
553 frequency for pollen release. *Insect Sci* 27:133-142.

554 Russell, A. L., A. M. Fethers, E. I. James, and T.-L. Ashman. 2021. Pollinator effectiveness is affected
555 by intraindividual behavioral variation. *Oecologia*:1-12.

556 Russell, A. L., R. E. Golden, A. S. Leonard, and D. R. Papaj. 2016. Bees learn preferences for plant
557 species that offer only pollen as a reward. *Behavioral Ecology* 27:731-740.

558 Saab, G. d. S., V. d. F. Mansano, A. Nogueira, I. C. Maia, P. J. Bergamo, and J. V. Paulino. 2021. A
559 sophisticated case of division of labour in the trimorphic stamens of the *Cassia fistula*
560 (Leguminosae) flower. *AoB Plants* 13:plab054.

561 Särkinen, T., L. Bohs, R. G. Olmstead, and S. Knapp. 2013. A phylogenetic framework for
562 evolutionary study of the nightshades (Solanaceae): a dated 1000-tip tree. *Bmc*
563 *Evolutionary Biology* 13.

564 Solis-Montero, L. and M. Vallejo-Marin. 2017. Does the morphological fit between flowers and
565 pollinators affect pollen deposition? An experimental test in a buzz-pollinated species with
566 anther dimorphism. *Ecology and Evolution* 7:2706-2715.

567 Sueur, J., T. Aubin, and C. Simonis. 2008. Seewave, a free modular tool for sound analysis and
568 synthesis. *Bioacoustics* 18:213-226.

569 Team, R. D. C. 2021. R. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
570 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org>.

571 Telles, F. J., C. L. Klunk, F. R. d. Maia, V. L. G. de Brito, and I. G. Varassin. 2020. Towards a new
572 understanding of the division of labour in heterantherous flowers: the case of *Pterolepis*
573 *glomerata* (Melastomataceae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 131:1-11.

574 Thorp, R. W. and J. R. Estes. 1975. Intrafloral behavior of bees on flowers of *Cassia fasciculata*.
575 *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 48:175-184.

576 Tong, Z.-Y., X.-P. Wang, L.-Y. Wu, and S.-Q. Huang. 2019. Nectar supplementation changes
577 pollinator behaviour and pollination mode in *Pedicularis dichotoma*: implications for
578 evolutionary transitions. *Annals of Botany* 123:373-380.

579 Tscheulin, T., T. Petanidou, S. G. Potts, and J. Settele. 2009. The impact of *Solanum elaeagnifolium*,
580 an invasive plant in the Mediterranean, on the flower visitation and seed set of the native
581 co-flowering species *Glaucium flavum*. *Plant Ecology* 205:77-85.

582 Vallejo-Marín, M. 2019. Buzz pollination: studying bee vibrations on flowers. *New Phytologist*
583 224:1068-1074.

584 Vallejo-Marin, M., E. M. Da Silva, R. D. Sargent, and S. C. H. Barrett. 2010. Trait correlates and
585 functional significance of heteranthery in flowering plants. *New Phytologist* 188:418-425.

586 Vallejo-Marin, M., J. S. Manson, J. D. Thomson, and S. C. H. Barrett. 2009. Division of labour within
587 flowers: heteranthery, a floral strategy to reconcile contrasting pollen fates. *Journal of*
588 *Evolutionary Biology* 22:828-839.

589 Vogel, S. 1996. Christian Konrad Sprengel's theory of the flower: the cradle of floral ecology. Pp.
590 44-62 in D. G. Lloyd, and S. C. H. Barrett, eds. *Floral Biology*. Chapman & Hall, NY.

591 Vorontsova, M. S. and S. Knapp. 2014. Solanaceae Source: *Solanum sisymbriifolium*.
592 <http://solanaceaesource.org/content/solanum-sisymbriifolium>.
593

595 **Table 1.** Species distribution, flower and anther characteristics, floral visitors and accessions of the three *Solanum* species used in the present study.
 596 Accessions 1179F and 1180M were sourced commercially (see Methods) and their exact origin is unknown.

Species	Native range	Corolla diameter (anther length x width) mm	Anther size	Floral visitors	Accession	Population	Lat.	Lon.	References
<i>S. elaeagnifolium</i>	Americas	20-35 (6-10 x 1.5-2)		<i>Amegilla</i> , <i>Bombus</i> , <i>Centris</i> , <i>Exomalopsis</i> , <i>Melissodes</i> , <i>Nomia</i> , <i>Protandrena</i> , <i>Pseudapis</i> , <i>Ptiloglossa</i> , <i>Xylocopa</i>	10-QSJ-12	Querétaro, México	20.37	99.99	(Knapp 2014a) (Buchmann and Cane 1989; Tscheulin et al. 2009; Petanidou et al. 2018)
					11s36	Puebla, México	18.46	97.40	
<i>S. pyracanthos</i>	Madagascar	23-30 (6-7 x 1.5- 2)		Unknown, but likely to include Malagasy <i>Nomia</i> and <i>Xylocopa</i>					(Armbruster et al. 2013; Knapp 2014b; Plebani et al. 2015)
					1179F	Unknown	--	--	
<i>S. sisymbriifolium</i>	South	20-35		<i>Parapsaenythia</i> sp.,					(Vorontsova and Knapp 2014; Ramos
	America	(9-10 x		<i>Augochlora</i> spp.,					

1.5-2)	<i>Augochloropsis spp.</i> , <i>Dialictus sp.</i> , <i>Xylocopa</i> <i>sp.</i>			et al. 2016)
		F1-sys-3	Salta, Argentina	-24.71 -65.41
		1180M	Unknown	-- --

597

598 **Table 2.** Statistical analysis of the effect of anther fusion treatment (free vs. joined), buzz order (first or second), and flower age on the RMS
599 amplitude velocity measured in the distal anther, and RMS amplitude force experienced by the proximate anther in three *Solanum* species. Model
600 estimates were obtained from a linear mixed-effects model with individual and/or flower identity as random effects (see Methods). Statistical
601 significance (*P*-values) of the fixed effects was calculated with a Type III analysis of variance. Significant effects (*P*-value < 0.05) are shown in bold. The
602 reference level used for coefficient estimation is *S. pyracanthos*, free stamen configuration.

Velocity (mm/s; distal anther)					Force (mN; proximate anther)		
Variable	Model coefficient	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i> -value	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i> -value
	Intercept	63.456	12.122		117.397	1.522	
Treatment				<0.001			<0.001
	Joined	63.273	8.582		6.925	0.795	
Species				0.073			<0.001
	<i>S. elaeagnifolium</i>	-48.609	11.553		-1.014	1.371	
	<i>S. sisymbriifolium</i>	-40.225	10.297		-7.234	1.263	
Species × Treatment				<0.001			<0.001
	<i>S. elaeagnifolium</i> × joined	46.774	12.406		-4.490	1.149	
	<i>S. sisymbriifolium</i> × joined	53.146	10.969		-4.384	1.016	
Buzz order	Order	2.862	4.630	0.537	-0.188	0.431	0.663

603

Flower age	Days	-3.739	5.077	0.463	0.581	0.823	0.481
------------	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

604 **Table 3.** Statistical analysis of the effect of anther fusion treatment (free vs. joined), buzz order (first or second), and flower age on number of pollen
605 grains released after single buzzes in flowers from three *Solanum* species. Model estimates were obtained from a linear mixed-effects model with
606 accession, individual and flower identity as random effects using a square-root transformation of pollen grains removed. Statistical significance (*P*-
607 values) of the fixed effects was calculated with a Type III analysis of variance. Significant effects (*P*-value < 0.05) are shown in bold. The reference
608 level used for coefficient estimation is *S. pyracanthos*, free stamen configuration.

Variable	Model coefficient	Estimate	SE	<i>P</i> -value
	Intercept	76.152	20.960	
Treatment				<0.001
	Joined	-12.332	8.915	
Species				0.609
	<i>S. elaeagnifolium</i>	-35.432	23.895	
	<i>S. sisymbriifolium</i>	-47.562	22.725	
Species × Treatment				<0.001
	<i>S. elaeagnifolium</i> × joined	60.545	12.966	
	<i>S. sisymbriifolium</i> × joined	50.645	11.422	
Buzz order	Order	-4.269	4.842	0.379
Flower age	Days	18.777	6.165	0.003

609 Figure legends

610 **Figure 1.** Flower profiles of the three studied species of *Solanum* before (left-hand side panels)
611 and after (right-hand side panels) applying the experimental treatment joining the anthers in a
612 joined cone. The left hand-side panels show the flowers in their natural form and orientation.
613 Notice the natural variation in the level of contact between the individual anthers across the three
614 species, with *S. sisymbriifolium* and *S. elaeagnifolium* having relatively free anthers, while the
615 anthers of *S. pyracanthos* are naturally arranged in a connivent cone. A, B: *S. sisymbriifolium*; C, D:
616 *S. elaeagnifolium*; E, F: *S. pyracanthos*. Scale bar = 1cm.

617
618 **Figure 2.** Diagram of the experimental set up (for details see Methods). Diagram not to scale.

619
620 **Figure 3. (A)** Close up of the experimental set up showing the attachment of the shaker system via
621 an entomological pin to the proximate anther (anther 1 in B) and the laser beam of the Doppler
622 vibrometer targeting one of the distal anthers (either anther 3 or 4 in B). **(B)** Floral diagram of
623 *Solanum* showing the labelled anthers (1-5). Floral diagram modified from (Robyns 1931; Knapp
624 2002).

625
626 **Figure 4.** Vibration properties measured in anthers of three species of *Solanum* with
627 experimentally joined anther cones (“Joined”; golden symbols) and without (“Free”; grey
628 symbols). The “Joined” anther cones are created by gluing anthers together with water-based
629 polyvinyl acetate glue (PVA). The bottom row shows the vibration force (root mean square, RMS
630 force in mN) measured in the anther to which the mechanical vibrations were applied (proximate
631 anther). The top row shows the vibration velocity (RMS velocity in mm/s) in a different anther in
632 the opposite side of the cone (distal anther). As a reference, the experiment-wide median RMS
633 values of the vibration velocity (53.85 mm/s) and force (116.05 mN) of the mechanical shaker
634 measured both before the flower was attached to the system, and after it was removed, are
635 shown with a dashed line. Dark circles represent observed data, with random noise added in the x-
636 axis to facilitate visualisation. The white symbols inside the violin plots indicate the mean and 95%
637 confidence interval of the mean calculated using 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Asterisks indicate
638 statistical significance of within-species comparisons between treatments (marginal means
639 contrasts): *** = $P < 0.001$; ** = $P < 0.005$.

641 **Figure 5.** Relationship between RMS force measured in the anther to which mechanical vibrations
 642 are applied (proximate anther, x-axis) and RMS velocity of an anther located in the opposite side
 643 of the anther cone (distal anther, y-axis). RMS values are shown relative to the average daily
 644 values of RMS force and velocity measured during calibration of the mechanical shaker system.
 645 Symbol shape and colour indicate the experimental treatment: “Joined” is shown in golden circles,
 646 and “Free” in grey squares. Within each category, each symbol indicates a different flower. All
 647 flower received both treatments in random order.

648
 649 **Figure 6.** Effect of experimental manipulation of the anther cone on the number of pollen grains
 650 removed during a single buzz in three species of buzz-pollinated *Solanum* species. Dark circles
 651 represent observed data, with random noise added in the x-axis to facilitate visualisation. The
 652 white symbols inside the violin plots indicate the mean and 95% confidence interval of the mean
 653 calculated using 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Comparison of the effect of treatment (marginal
 654 means contrast): NS = $P > 0.05$, *** = $P < 0.001$.

656 Supplementary Material

657 **Supplementary Figure 1.** Frequency distribution of the size of measured particles (pollen grains) in
 658 the electric particle counter as described in the Methods. All samples for each species are
 659 presented in the same histogram. Top = *Solanum elaeagnifolium*. Centre = *S. pyracanthos*. Bottom
 660 = *S. sisymbriifolium*.

A

B

C

D

E

F

Vallejo-Marin, Pereira Nunes and Russell
Functional significance of anther cones

Supplementary Figure 1. Frequency distribution of the size of measured particles (pollen grains) in the electric particle counter as described in the Methods. All samples for each species are presented in the same histogram. Top = *Solanum elaeagnifolium*. Centre = *S. pyracanthos*. Bottom = *S. sisymbriifolium*

Vallejo-Marin, Pereira Nunes and Russell
Functional significance of anther cones