Chronic PPAR γ Stimulation Shifts Amyloidosis to Higher Fibrillarity but Improves Cognition

Tanja Blume^{1*}, Maximilian Deussing^{2*}, Gloria Biechele², Finn Peters¹, Benedikt Zott^{3,4},
Claudio Schmidt², Nicolai Franzmeier⁵, Karin Wind^{1,2}, Florian Eckenweber², Christian
Sacher², Yuan Shi¹, Katharina Ochs¹, Gernot Kleinberger^{6,7}, Xianyuan Xiang⁶, Carola Focke²,
Simon Lindner², Franz-Josef Gildehaus², Leonie Beyer², Barbara von Ungern-Sternberg²,
Peter Bartenstein^{2,11}, Karlheinz Baumann⁸, Helmuth Adelsberger³, Axel Rominger^{9,10}, Paul
Cumming^{2,11}, Michael Willem⁶, Mario M. Dorostkar^{1,12}, Jochen Herms^{1,9,12*}, Matthias
Brendel^{1,2,9*}

¹DZNE – German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Munich, Germany

²Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital of Munich, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany

³Institute of Neuroscience, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

⁴Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical
University of Munich, Munich, Germany

⁵Institute for Stroke and Dementia Research, University Hospital of Munich, LMU Munich, Munich,
Germany

⁶Metabolic Biochemistry, Biomedical Center (BMC), Faculty of Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-
Universität München, Munich, Germany

⁷ISAR Bioscience GmbH, Planegg, Germany

⁸Roche Pharma Research and Early Development, Neuroscience Discovery, Roche, Innovation
Center Basel, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland

⁹SyNergy, University of Munich, Munich, Germany

¹⁰Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, Inselspital Bern, Bern, Switzerland

¹¹School of Psychology and Counselling, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

¹²Center for Neuropathology and Prion Research, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Munich,
Germany

*contributed equally

04/10/2021

Running title: Improved cognition despite enhanced fibrillar A β

Keywords: pioglitazone; A β -PET; *App*^{NL-G-F} mice; PS2APP mice; microglia; A β -plaque
composition

Word count (body): 3996

Corresponding author:

Dr. Matthias Brendel, MHBA

Department of Nuclear Medicine

University Hospital of Munich, Marchioninistr.15, 81377 Munich, Germany

Phone: +49 (0) 89 4400 74650

Fax: +49 (0) 89 4400 77646

E-Mail: matthias.brendel@med.uni-muenchen.de

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** We undertook longitudinal β -amyloid positron emission tomography (A β -PET)
3 imaging as a translational tool for monitoring of chronic treatment with the peroxisome
4 proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR γ) agonist pioglitazone in A β model mice. We
5 thus tested the hypothesis this treatment would rescue from increases of the A β -PET signal
6 while promoting spatial learning and preservation of synaptic density.

7
8 **Methods:** PS2APP mice (N=23; baseline age: 8 months) and *App*^{NL-G-F} mice (N=37; baseline
9 age: 5 months) were investigated longitudinally for five months using A β -PET. Groups of
10 mice were treated with pioglitazone or vehicle during the follow-up interval. We tested spatial
11 memory performance and confirmed terminal PET findings by immunohistochemical and
12 biochemistry analyses.

13
14 **Results:** Surprisingly, A β -PET and immunohistochemistry revealed a shift towards higher
15 fibrillary composition of A β -plaques during upon chronic pioglitazone treatment. Nonetheless,
16 synaptic density and spatial learning were improved in transgenic mice with pioglitazone
17 treatment, in association with the increased plaque fibrillarity.

18
19 **Conclusion:** These translational data suggest that a shift towards higher plaque fibrillarity
20 protects cognitive function and brain integrity. Increases in the A β -PET signal upon
21 immunomodulatory treatments targeting A β aggregation can thus be protective.

22

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Alzheimer's disease (AD) has become the most common cause of dementia, and is imposing
3 a significant burden on health care systems of societies with aging populations (1). During
4 the past few decades, research on AD pathogenesis led to the formulation of a model that
5 accumulation of amyloid beta (A β)-plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, the histologically
6 characterizing hallmarks of AD (2), triggers a cascade of neurodegenerative events, leading
7 to disease progression (3). Additionally, novel emerging evidence indicates that
8 neuroinflammation plays an important role in pathogenesis and progression of AD and many
9 other neurodegenerative diseases (4; 5). In AD, activated microglial cells are able to bind
10 and phagocytize soluble A β , and to some degree also the fibrillary A β aggregates, as part of
11 the increased inflammatory response (4). However, others report that A β -recognition
12 receptors on microglia downregulate during the progression of AD, such that microglial cells
13 eventually undergo senescence, characterized by reduced phagocytosis of A β -aggregates
14 (7). With time, the decreased microglial activity is permissive to expansion of fibrillar
15 amyloidosis (8; 9) and a high proportion of dystrophic microglia were observed in human AD
16 brain *post mortem* (11). These observations have led some to speculate that the microglial
17 response is overwhelmed by the massive A β -deposition occurring in advanced AD, such that
18 their chronic activation has a detrimental impact on disease progression (12; 7).

19 It might follow that treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs should alleviate AD progression.
20 Pioglitazone is an anti-inflammatory insulin sensitizer widely used to treat hyperglycemia in
21 type 2 diabetes via activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR- γ).
22 Treatment with pioglitazone enables microglial cells to undergo a phenotypic conversion from
23 a pro-inflammatory towards an anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective phenotype (14; 15).
24 Furthermore, activation of PPAR- γ in the brains of AD mice initiate a coupled metabolic cycle
25 with the Liver X Receptor to increase brain apolipoprotein E levels, which promotes the
26 ability of microglial cells to phagocyte and degrade both soluble and fibrillary A β (14; 15).
27 However, another study showed that only low-dose PPAR- γ agonist treatment, but not the
28 conventional doses, promotes an A β -clearing effect by increasing (LDL Receptor Related

1 Protein 1 (LRP1) in human brain microvascular endothelial cells (HBMECs) (16). Despite this
2 compelling preclinical evidence, a meta-analysis encompassing nine clinical studies did not
3 compelling support a beneficial effect of PPAR- γ agonist treatment on cognition and memory
4 in in patients with mild-to-moderate AD (18). Furthermore, a phase III trial of pioglitazone in
5 patients with mild AD was discontinued due to lacking efficacy (19). It remains a conundrum
6 why the translation of PPAR γ stimulation into human AD failed, which calls for further
7 investigation to uncover the basis of the seemingly false lead. Conceivably, the efficacy of
8 pioglitazone may be confined to a specific stage of AD, or in cases distinguished by a
9 particular biomarker.

10 Given this background, we hypothesized that A β -load and composition would determine the
11 individual efficacy of PPAR γ stimulation effect in the progression of AD mouse models.
12 Therefore, we undertook serial small animal positron emission tomography (μ PET) with the
13 A β -tracer [18 F]florbetaben (20–22) in two AD mouse models with distinct A β -plaque
14 composition. The transgenic PS2APP-line develops dense fibrillary A β -plaques with late
15 debut whereas the knock-In mouse model *App*^{NL-G-F} develops more diffuse oligomeric A β -
16 plaques with early debut. Both strains of mice were treated with pioglitazone or vehicle for
17 five months during the phase of main A β accumulation. We conducted behavioral
18 assessments of spatial learning and confirmed longitudinal PET findings by
19 immunohistochemical analysis and biochemical analysis, thus aiming to test the hypothesis
20 that response to pioglitazone would depend on the type of A β -plaques formed in transgenic
21 mice.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. Methods and Materials

Study design

Groups of PS2APP and *App*^{NL-G-F} mice were randomized to either treatment (PS2APP-PIO N=13; *App*^{NL-G-F}-PIO N=14) or vehicle (PS2APP-VEH N=10; *App*^{NL-G-F}-VEH N=23) groups at the age of 8 (PS2APP) and 5 (*App*^{NL-G-F}) months. In PS2APP mice, the baseline [¹⁸F]florbetaben-PET scan (Aβ-PET) was performed at the age of eight months, followed by initiation of pioglitazone treatment or vehicle for a period of five months and a follow-up Aβ-PET scan at 13 months. In *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, the baseline Aβ-PET scan was performed at the age of five month, followed by initiation of pioglitazone treatment or vehicle, for a period of five months. Follow-up Aβ-PET scans were acquired at 7.5 months and ten months of age, which was the study termination in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice. For all mice, behavioral testing after the terminal PET scan was followed by immunohistochemical and biochemical analyses of randomized hemispheres. The TSPO-PET arm of the study and detailed analyses of neuroinflammation imaging are reported in a separate manuscript focusing on the predictive value of TSPO-PET for outcome of PPARγ-related immunomodulation (23). The sample size estimation of the in vivo PET study was based on previous experience and calculated by G*power (V3.1.9.2, Kiel, Germany), assuming a type I error α=0.05 and a power of 0.8 for group comparisons, a 10% drop-out rate per time-point (including TSPO-PET), and a treatment effect of 5% change in the PET signal (23). Shared datapoints between the study arms are indicated.

Animals

PS2APP transgenic (24), *App*^{NL-G-F} APP knock-in (25) and wild-type C57Bl/6 mice were used in this investigation (for details see Supplement). All experiments were performed in compliance with the National Guidelines for Animal Protection, Germany, with approval of the local animal care committee of the Government of Oberbayern (Regierung Oberbayern) and

overseen by a veterinarian. The experiments complied with the ARRIVE guidelines and were carried out in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. Animals were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled environment with a 12-h light–dark cycle, with free access to food (Ssniff) and water.

Aβ-PET Acquisition and Reconstruction

[¹⁸F]florbetaben radiosynthesis was performed as previously described (22). This procedure yielded a radiochemical purity exceeding 98% and a specific activity of 80±20 GBq/μmol at the end of synthesis. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (1.5%, delivered via a mask at 3.5 L/min in oxygen) and received a bolus injection [¹⁸F]florbetaben 12±2 MBq in 150 μL of saline to a tail vein. Following placement in the tomograph (Siemens Inveon DPET), a single frame emission recording for the interval 30–60 min p.i., which was preceded by a 15-min transmission scan obtained using a rotating [⁵⁷Co] point source. The image reconstruction procedure consisted of three-dimensional ordered subset expectation maximization (OSEM) with four iterations and twelve subsets followed by a maximum *a posteriori* (MAP) algorithm with 32 iterations. Scatter and attenuation correction were performed and a decay correction for [¹⁸F] was applied. With a zoom factor of 1.0 and a 128x128x159 matrix, a final voxel dimension of 0.78x0.78x0.80 mm was obtained.

Small-Animal PET Data Analyses

Volumes of interest (VOIs) were defined on the MRI mouse atlas (26). A forebrain target VOI (15 mm³) was used for group comparisons and an additional hippocampal target VOI (8 mm³) served for correlation analysis with spatial learning. We calculated [¹⁸F]florbetaben standard-uptake-value ratios (SUVRs) using the established white matter (PS2APP; 67 mm³; pons, midbrain, hindbrain and parts of the subcortical white matter) and periaqueductal grey (*App*^{NL-G-F}; 20 mm³) reference regions (27–29).

1 **Water Maze**

2 Two different water maze tasks were applied due to changing facilities between the
3 investigations of PS2APP and *App*^{NL-G-F} cohorts. We used a principal component analysis of
4 the common read outs of each water maze task to generate a robust index for correlation
5 analyses in individual mice (30). The principal component of the water maze test was
6 extracted from three spatial learning read-outs (PS2APP: escape latency, distance, platform
7 choice; *App*^{NL-G-F}: escape latency, frequency to platform, time spent in platform quadrant).
8 Thus, one quantitative index of water maze performance per mouse was generated for
9 correlation with PET imaging readouts. The experimenter was blind to the phenotype of the
10 animals.

11 *Water Maze in PS2APP mice*: PS2APP and age-matched wild-type mice were subjected to a
12 modified Morris water maze task as described previously (31–34) yielding escape latency,
13 distance to the correct platform and correct choice of the platform as read-outs.

14 *Water Maze in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice*: *App*^{NL-G-F} mice (treated and vehicle) and 14 age- and sex-
15 matched wild-type mice (vehicle) underwent a classical Morris water maze test, which was
16 performed according to a standard protocol with small adjustments (35) as previously
17 described (29). Details are provided in the Supplement.

18

19 **Immunohistochemistry**

20 Immunohistochemistry in brain regions corresponding to PET analyses was performed for
21 fibrillary as well as oligomeric A β , microglia and synaptic density as previously published
22 (36–38). We obtained immunofluorescence labelling of oligomeric A β using NAB228
23 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with a dilution of 1:500. For histological staining against
24 fibrillar A β , we used methoxy-X04 (TOCRIS, Bristol, United Kingdom) at a dilution of 0.01
25 mg/ml in the same slice as for NAB228 staining. We obtained immunofluorescence labelling
26 of microglia using an Iba-1 antibody (Wako, Richmond, USA) with a dilution of 1:200 co-
27 stained with CD68 (BioRad, California, USA) with a dilution of 1:100. The synaptic density
28 was measured using an anti-vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1) primary antibody

(1:500, MerckMillipore). Quantification was calculated as area-%. Details are provided in the Supplement.

Biochemical characterization of brain tissue

DEA (0,2% Diethylamine in 50 mM NaCl, pH 10) and RIPA lysates (20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate) were prepared from brain hemispheres. The later was centrifuged at 14,000 g (60 min at 4°C) and the remaining pellet was homogenized in 70% formic acid (FA fraction). The FA fraction was neutralized with 20 x 1 M Tris-HCl buffer at pH 9.5 and used further diluted for A β analysis. A β contained in FA fractions was quantified by a sandwich immunoassay using the Meso Scale A β Triplex plates and Discovery SECTOR Imager 2400 as described previously (39). Samples were measured in triplicates.

Statistics

The principal component of the water maze test was extracted using SPSS 26 statistics (IBM Deutschland GmbH, Ehningen, Germany). Prior to the PCA, the linear relationship of the data was tested by a correlation matrix and items with a correlation coefficient <0.3 were discarded. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure and Bartlett's test of sphericity were used to test for sampling adequacy and suitability for data reduction. Components with an Eigenvalue >1.0 were extracted and a varimax rotation was selected. Water maze results were also used as an endpoint in the dedicated manuscript on serial TSPO-PET in both cohorts (23). For immunohistochemistry quantifications GraphPad Prism (Graphpad Prism 7 Software, USA) was used. All analyses were performed by an operator blinded to the experimental conditions. Data were normally distributed according to Shapiro-Wilk or D'Agostino-Pearson test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) including Bonferroni post hoc correction was used for group comparisons > 2 subgroups. For assessment of inter-group differences at single time points, Student's t-test (unpaired, two-sided) was applied. All results are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. P values <0.05 are defined as statistically significant.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

3. Results

Long-term pioglitazone treatment provokes a significant increase of the Aβ-PET signal in PS2APP mice

First, we analyzed serial changes of fibrillar amyloidosis under chronic pioglitazone treatment by [¹⁸F]florbetaben Aβ-PET in PS2APP mice and wild-type controls. Vehicle treated PS2APP mice showed an elevated Aβ-PET SUVR when compared to vehicle treated wild-type at eight (+20.4%, p<0.0001) and 13 months of age (+37.9%, p<0.0001). As expected, the Aβ-PET SUVR of wild-type mice did not change between eight and 13 months of age (0.831±0.003 vs. 0.827±0.008: p=0.645). Surprisingly, pioglitazone treatment provoked a stronger longitudinal increase in the Aβ-PET signal of PS2APP mice (+21.4%) when compared to vehicle treated PS2APP mice (+14.1%, p=0.002). At the follow-up time point, the Aβ-PET SUVR was significantly elevated when compared to untreated PS2APP mice (Fig. 1; 1.140±0.014 vs. 1.187±0.011; p=0.0017). Pioglitazone treatment in wild-type mice provoked no changes of Aβ-PET SUVR compared to vehicle-treated wild-type mice at the follow-up time-point (0.827±0.008 vs. 0.823±0.005: p=0.496). Taken together, we found a significant increase in the Aβ-PET signal, which implied an increase in fibrillary Aβ-levels under pioglitazone treatment in PS2APP mice.

Aβ-PET detects a strong increase of the fibrillar Aβ-load in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice during chronic PPARγ stimulation

Next, we sought to validate our unexpected findings in PS2APP mice a mouse model with differing Aβ plaque composition, namely the *App*^{NL-G-F} mouse, which has limited fibrillarity due to endogenous expression of APP with three FAD mutations (25). Strikingly, the effect of pioglitazone treatment on the Aβ-PET signal was even stronger in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice than in

1 PS2APP mice. There was a pronounced increase of the A β -PET signal during chronic
2 pioglitazone treatment (+17.2%) compared to vehicle (+5.3%, $p < 0.0001$). *App*^{NL-G-F} mice with
3 pioglitazone treatment had a higher A β -PET SUVR at 7.5 (+4.6%, $p = 0.0071$) and ten
4 (+7.7%, $p < 0.0001$) months of age when compared to vehicle-treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice (Fig. 2).
5 The baseline level of A β -PET SUVR was non-significantly lower in treated compared to
6 untreated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice (0.878 ± 0.010 vs. 0.906 ± 0.006 , $p = 0.1350$). In both mouse models,
7 the A β -signal increase after pioglitazone-treatment compared to baseline scans was
8 pronounced in the frontotemporal cortex and hippocampal area (Figs. 1A & 2A). In summary,
9 the pioglitazone treatment augmented the A β -PET signal increase in both mouse models;
10 this unexpected result was more pronounced in the *App*^{NL-G-F} model, which expresses less
11 fibrillary A β plaques.

12

13 **Pioglitazone triggers a shift towards increased A β -plaque fibrillarity in two distinct** 14 **mouse models of amyloidosis**

15 Given the unexpected *in vivo* findings, we set about to evaluate the molecular correlates of
16 the potentiation of A β -PET signal during pioglitazone treatment in AD model mice. The
17 (immuno)histochemical analysis showed that the observed increase of the A β -PET signal
18 was predominantly explicable by a change in plaque composition rather than by a change in
19 plaque density (Fig. 3). In both mouse models, the proportion of fibrillary A β stained with
20 methoxy-X04 increased significantly under pioglitazone treatment compared to vehicle
21 treated animals (PS2APP: $29.6 \pm 3.5\%$ vs. $15.2 \pm 0.7\%$, $p = 0.0056$, Fig. 3C; *App*^{NL-G-F}: $9.1 \pm 1.6\%$
22 vs. $4.4 \pm 0.4\%$, $p = 0.0001$, Fig. 3D). Pioglitazone treatment had no significant effect on the
23 proportion of oligomeric A β stained with NAB228 in PS2APP mice (PS2APP: $65.4 \pm 6.1\%$ vs.
24 $67.0 \pm 6.9\%$, $p = 0.865$, Fig. 3C). In *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, however, the proportion of oligomeric A β
25 decreased significantly in treated animals (*App*^{NL-G-F}: $26.7 \pm 1.7\%$ vs. $34.5 \pm 1.7\%$, $p = 0.0138$,
26 Fig. 3E). The effect size of pioglitazone treatment on plaque morphology was larger in *App*^{NL-}
27 ^{G-F} mice than in PS2APP mice, which was reflected by a significantly increased overlay of
28 methoxy-X04 and NAB228 positive plaques proportions in relation to untreated mice

1 (PS2APP: 40.4±3.6% vs. 25.1±2.1%, p=0.0075, Fig. 3C; *App*^{NL-G-F}: 35.0±3.4% vs.
2 12.9±1.3%, p=0.0005, Fig. 3E). We attribute this effect to the generally diffuse nature of the
3 plaque composition of *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, which predominantly contain high oligomeric and low
4 fibrillary fractions of Aβ (40) (compare Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B).
5 The number of methoxy positive Aβ-plaques were similar between vehicle and pioglitazone
6 treated groups for PS2APP (1016±107 vs. 1118±121, p=0.547, Fig. 3D) and *App*^{NL-G-F} mice
7 (242±56 vs. 266±33, p=0.722, Fig. 3F). Notably there was no significant effect of chronic
8 pioglitazone treatment on the different insoluble Aβ species (Aβ40, Aβ42) as well as on the
9 level of the soluble Aβ42-isoform observed in either mouse model (Suppl. Fig. 1A). Taken
10 together, our results indicate that the potentiated increase of the Aβ-PET signal upon
11 pioglitazone treatment reflected a change in plaque composition from oligomeric to fibrillary
12 Aβ-fractions.

13

14 **Microglial activation is reduced upon PPARγ stimulation in both AD mouse models**

15 To confirm changes in the activation state of microglial cells, we performed Iba1 as well as
16 CD68 immunohistochemical staining of activated microglia in both mouse models. We
17 observed that pioglitazone treatment significantly decreased microglial activation in both
18 mouse models (Fig. 4). In PS2APP mice, PPARγ stimulation provoked a one-third reduction
19 of area coverage of Iba1-positive microglial cells (area: 9.1±0.6%) compared to untreated
20 mice (14.0±0.5%, p=0.0003), and also a significant reduction of CD68-positive microglial
21 cells area (7.6±0.4% vs. 9.9±0.3%, p=0.0018). In pioglitazone treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, the
22 area reduction was less pronounced, but still significant for Iba1-positive microglial cells
23 (9.4±0.2% vs. 10.6±0.2%, p=0.0015) and CD68-positive microglial cells (2.7±0.1% vs.
24 3.0±0.1%, p=0.0141) compared to untreated mice. Thus, we observed a consistent net
25 reduction of activated microglial coverage in both models; the lesser effect in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice
26 might indicate partial compensation by triggering of microglial activation due to increased
27 fibrillary Aβ levels (40).

28

Cognitive function is improved by chronic pioglitazone treatment in association with an increasing A β -PET rate of change

Finally, we aimed to elucidate whether the observed longitudinal changes in the composition of A β -plaques affected synaptic density and hippocampus related cognitive performance.

In PS2APP mice, treatment with pioglitazone resulted in a significant reduction of the water maze performance index compared to untreated mice during the probe trial (Fig. 5A; $p=0.0155$), whereas in wild-type animals there was no difference between treated and untreated animals ($p>0.999$). The water maze performance index of pioglitazone treated PS2APP mice correlated strongly with the rate of increase in A β -PET signal (Fig. 5C; $R=0.686$; $p=0.0097$). In *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, pioglitazone treatment did not result in a significant change of spatial learning performance (Fig. 5B; $p>0.999$). Accordingly, the water maze performance index and the rate of change in the A β -PET signal of pioglitazone treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice did not correlate significantly (Fig. 5D; $R=0.341$; $p=0.254$). There was no significant association between the water maze performance index and the A β -PET rate of change in vehicle treated PS2APP or *App*^{NL-G-F} mice.

To explore the basis of water maze results in PS2APP mice at the molecular level, we performed staining of synaptic density in the hippocampus. A β -oligomers are the primary neurotoxic forms of A β , while A β -fibrils have less neurotoxicity (44–46). Thus, we hypothesized that pre-synaptic density in the hippocampal CA1-Area would be rescued upon pioglitazone-treatment. In wild-type mice we did not observe altered changed VGLUT1 density under pioglitazone treatment (Fig. 5E, F; 0.519 ± 0.007 1/ μ m vs. 0.502 ± 0.008 1/ μ m, $p=0.810$). In PS2APP mice, however, we found that pioglitazone treatment significantly rescued spine density in the CA1-region of the hippocampus compared to untreated animals (Fig. 5E, F; 0.497 ± 0.006 1/ μ m vs. 0.459 ± 0.007 1/ μ m, $p=0.0012$), supporting the hippocampal-dependent water maze results.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first large-scale longitudinal PET study of cerebral A β -deposition in two distinct AD mouse models treated with the PPAR γ agonist pioglitazone. We combined *in vivo* PET monitoring with behavioral testing and detailed immunohistochemical analysis. Our main finding was an unexpected potentiation in both mouse models of the increasing A β -PET signal during five months of pioglitazone treatment. This increase occurred despite an improvement of spatial learning and prevention of synaptic loss in the treated mice. Immunohistochemistry revealed a shift towards plaque composition of higher fibrillarity as the molecular correlate of the A β -PET signal, which was directly associated with improved cognitive performance in PS2APP mice.

A β -PET enables longitudinal *in vivo* detection of A β -plaques, which plays an important role in AD diagnosis, monitoring disease progression, and as an endpoint for therapeutic treatment effects (47). In our preceding observational and interventional studies, we validated in AD model mice the clinically established A β -PET tracer [18 F]florbetaben relative to histologically defined indices A β deposition (3; 21). So far, an enhanced or increasing [18 F]florbetaben-PET signal has been interpreted as an indicator of disease progression or treatment failure (48). Unexpectedly, we found that pioglitazone potentiated the increasing A β -PET signal in two mouse models compared to vehicle controls; in both cases, this increase was due to a shift of the plaque composition towards higher fibrillarity, and away from the more neurotoxic oligomeric form. However, ELISA measurements of plaque associated fibrillary A β extracted with formic acid did not indicate a change in the A β species composition in brain. This suggests that A β -PET imaging and immunohistochemical analysis detect treatment effects on A β -plaque composition that do not arise from a shift in the levels of A β species, and which may thus evade detection in studies of CSF or plasma content (49).

Furthermore, our study provides evidence that rescued spatial learning deficits and

1 prevented hippocampal synaptic loss can occur despite an increasing A β -PET signal upon
 2 immunomodulation. The combined results might sound contradictory, but according to the
 3 amyloid cascade hypothesis, A β -oligomers rather than A β -fibrils are the neurotoxic A β -forms
 4 (44; 50). Indeed, high concentrations of A β -oligomers isolated from brain of AD patients
 5 correlated significantly with the degree of cognitive impairment prior to death (51–53).
 6 Furthermore, A β -oligomers have been shown to disrupt long-term potentiation at synapses
 7 and provoke long-term depression (54–56). Thus, improved spatial learning and rescued
 8 synaptic density could reflect a therapeutically induced shift of A β to hypercondensed
 9 plaques, in keeping with observations of greater neuritic damage in association with more
 10 diffuse plaques (59; 60). Furthermore, strongly in line with our present data, a recent study
 11 argued that microglia promoted formation of dense-core plaques may play a protective role in
 12 AD (61).

13 The shift in plaque composition was more pronounced in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice than in the PS2APP
 14 model. Due to the expression of the Arctic mutation (25), the A β -deposits of the *App*^{NL-G-F} line
 15 consist predominantly of A β -oligomers (29; 40). However, we observed no improvement in
 16 cognition in the APP knock-in mouse line after pioglitazone treatment. We attribute the
 17 lacking improvement of spatial learning to the minor deterioration of this model in water maze
 18 assessment at ten months of age (64; 29). Our present observation stand in contrast with
 19 previous studies showing that PPAR- γ agonists reduced A β -plaque formation by increasing
 20 A β -clearance (15; 65; 14). However, those studies only performed endpoint analyses, in part
 21 after short-term treatment of nine days (14); the current work is the first to perform
 22 longitudinal *in vivo* monitoring of A β -deposition over a five-month chronic PPAR- γ treatment
 23 period. We note that the divergent results could also reflect the different markers used for
 24 immunohistochemistry compared to our present differentiated analysis of fibrillar and
 25 oligomeric A β components. As such, the decreased NAB228-positive plaque fraction in our
 26 treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice fits to the earlier reported decrease of the 6E10-positive area in
 27 APPPS1 mice (14). We note that the biochemical source of the A β -PET signal is still a matter
 28 of controversy, since some studies found no impact of non-fibrillar plaque components (66)

1 whereas others postulated a significant contribution of non-fibrillar A β to the A β -PET signal
2 (67–69). Recently, we were able to show that non-fibrillar components of A β plaques indeed
3 contribute to the net A β -PET signal (70). Therefore, increases in the [18 F]florbetaben-PET
4 signal must be precisely differentiated and interpreted with caution. Development of new PET
5 tracers that selectively target oligomeric A β may realize a more precise discrimination of
6 neurotoxic A β plaque manifestation (71; 72) and its impact on disease severity.

7 In line with previous pioglitazone studies (14; 15), we observed a decrease in microglial
8 activity (23), thus confirming the immunomodulatory effect of the drug. Since earlier studies
9 have shown that fibrillary A β -deposits activate microglial cells (40) which then migrate
10 towards the fibrillar deposits (6), resulting in an increased number of activated microglial cells
11 surrounding A β -plaques (8), the inactivation and migration effects could cancel each other
12 out. Based on our findings in both AD models, we conclude that, by increasing plaque
13 fibrillarity, the immunomodulatory effect of pioglitazone overweighs the potential triggering of
14 activated microglia. Modulating microglial phenotype to restore their salutogenic effects may
15 prove crucial in new therapeutic trials (74). In several preclinical and clinical trials,
16 pioglitazone proved to be a promising immunomodulatory approach for treatment of AD,
17 especially in patients with comorbid diabetes (75; 76). However, a large phase III trial of
18 pioglitazone in patients with mild AD was discontinued due to lacking efficacy (19). Our data
19 calls for monitoring of the effects of PPAR γ agonists by A β -PET, which may help to stratify
20 treatment responders based on their individual rates of A β plaque accumulation. Based on
21 our results, we submit that personalized PPAR γ agonist treatment might be effective when
22 the patient has capacity to successfully shift toxic oligomeric A β towards fibrillar parts of the
23 plaque.

24

25 **5. Limitations**

26 We note as a limitation that PPAR γ receptor agonists represent a rather unspecific class of
27 drugs since PPAR γ is involved in various pathways in addition to peroxisome activation,
28 notably including glucose metabolism and insulin sensitization [48]. Future studies should

address if the observed effects on A β plaque composition are also present for more selective immunomodulation strategies such as NLRP3 regulators [49]. Two different water maze examinations were performed in the present study due a switch of the laboratory. Hence, although we calculated a similar water maze performance index by a PCA of the main read-outs of each examination, the obtained results and the sensitivity to detect spatial learning deficits are not comparable between both A β mouse models.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, chronic pioglitazone treatment provoked a longitudinal A β -PET signal increase in transgenic and knock-in mice due to a shift towards hypercondensed fibrillar A β plaques. The increasing rate of A β -PET signal increase with time was accompanied by ameliorated cognitive performance and attenuated synaptic loss after pioglitazone treatment. It follows that increasing A β -PET signal need not always indicate a treatment failure, since it is the composition of A β plaques that determines their neurotoxicity. In summary, our preclinical data indicate that a shift towards increasing fibrillar amyloidosis can be beneficial for the preservation of cognitive function and synaptic integrity.

7. Declarations

Ethical Approval and Consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All source data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

1 T.B., M.D., G.B., F.P., B.Z., and C.S. reported no biomedical financial interests or potential
2 conflicts of interest. N.F. is funded by the BrightFocus foundation. K.W., F.E., C.S., Y.S., and
3 K.O. reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. G.K. is an
4 employee of ISAR bioscience. X.X., C.F., S.L., F-J.G., L.B, B.U., and P.B. reported no
5 biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. K.B. is an employee of Roche.
6 H.A. reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. A.R. has
7 received research support and speaker honoraria from Siemens. P.C., M.W. M.M.D. and J.H.
8 reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest. M.B. received
9 speaker honoraria from GE healthcare, Roche and LMI and is an advisor of LMI.

10

Funding

12 The study was supported by the *FöFoLe* Program of the Faculty of Medicine of the Ludwig
13 Maximilian University, Munich (grant to M.B.). This work was funded by the Deutsche
14 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) to A.R. and M.B. – project
15 numbers BR4580/1-1/ RO5194/1-1. The work was supported by the Deutsche
16 Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's
17 Excellence Strategy within the framework of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC
18 2145 SyNergy – ID 390857198). M.B. was supported by the Alzheimer Forschung Initiative
19 e.V (grant number 19063p).

20

Author's contributions

22 K.B., H.A., A.R., P.C., M.W., M.M.D., J.H. and M.B. conceived the study and analyzed the
23 results. T.B., M.D. and M.B. wrote the manuscript with further input from all co-authors. M.D.,
24 G.B., C.Sch., K.W., F.E., C.Sa., and C.F. performed the small animal PET experiments and
25 small animal PET data analyses. T.B., F.P., Y.S., K.O., G.K., X.X., M.M.D. and J.H.
26 performed immunohistochemistry experiments, analyses, and interpretation. FJ.G. and S.L.
27 performed PET tracer synthesis and analyses. N.F. analyzed and interpreted serial PET data
28 and contributed to their analysis. G.B., B.Z., K.W., and H.A. performed spatial learning tests

and interpretation. B.U.,K.B., and M.W. supplied the study with animal models and interpreted the dedicated results. All authors contributed with intellectual content.

Acknowledgements

We thank Karin Bormann-Giglmair and Rosel Oos for excellent technical assistance. Florbetaben precursor was provided by Piramal Imaging. We thank Takashi Saito and Takaomi C. Saido for providing the *App*^{NL-G-F} mice.

References

1. Ziegler-Graham K, Brookmeyer R, Johnson E, Arrighi HM (2008): Worldwide variation in the doubling time of Alzheimer's disease incidence rates. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 4: 316–323.
2. H. Braak, E. Braak (1991): Neuropathological staging of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol* 82: 239–259.
3. M Brendel, A Jaworska, J Herms, J Trambauer, C Rötzer, F-J Gildehaus, *et al.* (2015): Amyloid-PET predicts inhibition of de novo plaque formation upon chronic γ -secretase modulator treatment. *Mol Psychiatry* 20: 1179–1187.
4. Heneka MT, Carson MJ, Khoury JE, Landreth GE, Brosseron F, Feinstein DL, *et al.* (2015): Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet Neurology* 14: 388–405.
5. Zimmer E, Leuzy A, Benedet A, Breitner J, Gauthier S, Rosa-Neto P (2014): Tracking neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease. The role of positron emission tomography imaging. *J Neuroinflammation* 11: 120.
6. Petra Föger, Jasmin K Hefendehl, Karthik Veeraraghavalu, Ann-Christin Wendeln, Christine Schlosser, Ulrike Obermüller, *et al.* (2017): Microglia turnover with aging and in an Alzheimer's model via long-term in vivo single-cell imaging. *Nat Neurosci* 20: 1371–1376.
7. Hickman SE, Allison EK, El Khoury J (2008): Microglial Dysfunction and Defective -Amyloid Clearance Pathways in Aging Alzheimer's Disease Mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28: 8354–8360.
8. Blume T, Focke C, Peters F, Deussing M, Albert NL, Lindner S, *et al.* (2018): Microglial response to increasing amyloid load saturates with aging. A longitudinal dual tracer in vivo μ PET-study. *Journal of neuroinflammation* 15: 307.
9. Heppner FL, Ransohoff RM, Becher B (2015): Immune attack. The role of inflammation in Alzheimer disease. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* 16.
10. Krabbe G, Halle A, Matyash V, Rinnenthal JL, Eom GD, Bernhardt U, *et al.* (2013): Functional impairment of microglia coincides with Beta-amyloid deposition in mice with Alzheimer-like pathology. *PLoS ONE* 8: e60921.
11. Wolfgang J Streit, Qing-Shan Xue, Jasmin Tischer, Ingo Bechmann (2014): Microglial pathology. *acta neuropathol commun* 2: 1–17.
12. C. Y. Daniel Lee, Gary E. Landreth (2010): The role of microglia in amyloid clearance from the AD brain. *J Neural Transm* 117: 949–960.
13. Rogers J, Kirby LC, Hempelman SR, Berry DL, McGeer PL, Kaszniak AW, *et al.* (1993): Clinical trial of indomethacin in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 43: 1609–1611.
14. Mandrekar-Colucci S, Karlo JC, Landreth GE (2012): Mechanisms Underlying the Rapid Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor- -Mediated Amyloid Clearance and Reversal of Cognitive Deficits in a Murine Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32: 10117–10128.
15. Yamanaka M, Ishikawa T, Griep A, Axt D, Kummer MP, Heneka MT (2012): PPAR /RXR -Induced and CD36-Mediated Microglial Amyloid- Phagocytosis Results in Cognitive Improvement in Amyloid Precursor Protein/Presenilin 1 Mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 32: 17321–17331.
16. Moon JH, Kim HJ, Yang AH, Kim HM, Lee B-W, Kang ES, *et al.* (2012): The effect of rosiglitazone on LRP1 expression and amyloid β uptake in human brain microvascular endothelial cells. A

- 1 possible role of a low-dose thiazolidinedione for dementia treatment. *The international journal of*
- 2 *neuropsychopharmacology* 15: 135–142.
- 3 17. Hannah Seok, Minyoung Lee, Eugene Shin, Mi Ra Yun, Yong-ho Lee, Jae Hoon Moon, *et al.*: Low-
- 4 dose pioglitazone can ameliorate learning and memory impairment in a mouse model of dementia
- 5 by increasing LRP1 expression in the hippocampus. *Sci Rep* 9: 1–10.
- 6 18. Cheng H, Shang Y, Jiang L, Shi T-I, Wang L (2016): The peroxisome proliferators activated
- 7 receptor-gamma agonists as therapeutics for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease and mild-to-
- 8 moderate Alzheimer's disease. A meta-analysis. *The International journal of neuroscience* 126:
- 9 299–307.
- 10 19. Geldmacher DS, Fritsch T, McClendon MJ, Landreth G (2011): A randomized pilot clinical trial of
- 11 the safety of pioglitazone in treatment of patients with Alzheimer disease. *Archives of neurology* 68:
- 12 45–50.
- 13 20. Manook A, Yousefi BH, Willuweit A, Platzer S, Reder S, Voss A, *et al.* (2012): Small-Animal PET
- 14 Imaging of Amyloid-Beta Plaques with [11C]PiB and Its Multi-Modal Validation in an APP/PS1
- 15 Mouse Model of Alzheimer's Disease. *PLoS ONE* 7: e31310.
- 16 21. Brendel M, Jaworska A, Griebinger E, Rötzer C, Burgold S, Gildehaus FJ, *et al.* (2015): Cross-
- 17 sectional comparison of small animal [18F]-florbetaben amyloid-PET between transgenic AD
- 18 mouse models. *PLoS ONE* 10.
- 19 22. Rominger A, Brendel M, Burgold S, Keppler K, Baumann K, Xiong G, *et al.* (2013): Longitudinal
- 20 Assessment of Cerebral -Amyloid Deposition in Mice Overexpressing Swedish Mutant -Amyloid
- 21 Precursor Protein Using 18F-Florbetaben PET. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 54: 1127–1134.
- 22 23. Biechele G, Blume T, Deussing M, Zott B, Shi Y, Xiang X *et al.* (2021): Pre-therapeutic Microglia
- 23 Activation and Sex Determine Therapy Effects of Chronic Immunomodulation. *bioRxiv*.
- 24 24. Ozmen L, Albientz A, Czech C, Jacobsen H (2008): Expression of Transgenic APP mRNA Is the
- 25 Key Determinant for Beta-Amyloid Deposition in PS2APP Transgenic Mice. *Neurodegener Dis* 6:
- 26 29–36.
- 27 25. Saito T, Matsuba Y, Mihira N, Takano J, Nilsson P, Itohara S, *et al.* (2014): Single App knock-in
- 28 mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature neuroscience* 17.
- 29 26. Dorr A, Sled JG, Kabani N (2007): Three-dimensional cerebral vasculature of the CBA mouse
- 30 brain. A magnetic resonance imaging and micro computed tomography study. *NeuroImage* 35.
- 31 27. Brendel M, Probst F, Jaworska A, Overhoff F, Korzhova V, Albert NL, *et al.* (2016): Glial Activation
- 32 and Glucose Metabolism in a Transgenic Amyloid Mouse Model. A Triple-Tracer PET Study.
- 33 *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 57: 954–960.
- 34 28. Overhoff F, Brendel M, Jaworska A, Korzhova V, Delker A, Probst F, *et al.* (2016): Automated
- 35 Spatial Brain Normalization and Hindbrain White Matter Reference Tissue Give Improved [18F]-
- 36 Florbetaben PET Quantitation in Alzheimer's Model Mice. *Front. Neurosci.* 10: 14022.
- 37 29. Sacher C, Blume T, Beyer L, Peters F, Eckenweber F, Sgobio C, *et al.* (2019): Longitudinal PET
- 38 Monitoring of Amyloidosis and Microglial Activation in a Second-Generation Amyloid- β Mouse
- 39 Model. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 60: 1787–
- 40 1793.
- 41 30. Biechele G, Wind K, Blume T, Sacher C, Beyer L, Eckenweber F, *et al.* (2020): Microglial Activation
- 42 in the Right Amygdala-Entorhinal-Hippocampal Complex is Associated with Preserved Spatial
- 43 Learning in AppNL-G-F mice. *NeuroImage*: 117707.
- 44 31. Marc Aurel Busche, Maja Kekuš, Helmuth Adelsberger, Takahiro Noda, Hans Förstl, Israel Nelken,
- 45 *et al.* (2015): Rescue of long-range circuit dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease models. *Nat Neurosci*
- 46 18: 1623–1630.
- 47 32. Focke C, Blume T, Zott B, Shi Y, Deussing M, Peters F, *et al.* (2019): Early and Longitudinal
- 48 Microglial Activation but Not Amyloid Accumulation Predicts Cognitive Outcome in PS2APP Mice.
- 49 *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 60.
- 50 33. Keskin AD, Kekuš M, Adelsberger H, Neumann U, Shimshek DR, Song B, *et al.* (2017): BACE
- 51 inhibition-dependent repair of Alzheimer's pathophysiology. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
- 52 *of Sciences of the United States of America* 114: 8631–8636.
- 53 34. Sauvage M, Brabet P, Holsboer F, Bockaert J, Steckler T (2000): Mild deficits in mice lacking
- 54 pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide receptor type 1 (PAC1) performing on memory
- 55 tasks. *Molecular Brain Research* 84: 79–89.
- 56 35. Bromley-Brits K, Deng Y, Song W (2011): Morris water maze test for learning and memory deficits
- 57 in Alzheimer's disease model mice. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*.
- 58 36. Brendel M, Focke C, Blume T, Peters F, Deussing M, Probst F, *et al.* (2017): Time Courses of
- 59 Cortical Glucose Metabolism and Microglial Activity Across the Life Span of Wild-Type Mice. A PET
- 60 Study. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 58.

37. Brendel M, Kleinberger G, Probst F, Jaworska A, Overhoff F, Blume T, *et al.* (2017): Increase of TREM2 during Aging of an Alzheimer's Disease Mouse Model Is Paralleled by Microglial Activation and Amyloidosis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 9: 795.
38. Dorostkar MM, Dreosti E, Odermatt B, Lagnado L (2010): Computational processing of optical measurements of neuronal and synaptic activity in networks. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* 188: 141–150.
39. Page RM, Baumann K, Tomioka M, Pérez-Revuelta BI, Fukumori A, Jacobsen H, *et al.* (2008): Generation of Abeta38 and Abeta42 is independently and differentially affected by familial Alzheimer disease-associated presenilin mutations and gamma-secretase modulation. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 283: 677–683.
40. Sebastian ML, Müller SA, Colombo AV, Tanriover G, König J, Roth S, *et al.* (2020): Fibrillar A β triggers microglial proteome alterations and dysfunction in Alzheimer mouse models. *eLife* 9.
41. Puzo D, Privitera L, Leznik E, Fa M, Staniszwski A, Palmeri A, *et al.* (2008): Picomolar amyloid-beta positively modulates synaptic plasticity and memory in hippocampus. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 28: 14537–14545.
42. Lambert MP, Barlow AK, Chromy BA, Edwards C, Freed R, Liosatos M, *et al.* (1998): Diffusible, nonfibrillar ligands derived from Abeta1-42 are potent central nervous system neurotoxins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 95: 6448–6453.
43. Ganesh M, Shankar, Brenda L. Bloodgood, Matthew Townsend, Dominic M. Walsh, Dennis J. Selkoe, Bernardo L. Sabatini (2007): Natural Oligomers of the Alzheimer Amyloid- β Protein Induce Reversible Synapse Loss by Modulating an NMDA-Type Glutamate Receptor-Dependent Signaling Pathway. *J. Neurosci.* 27: 2866–2875.
44. Christian Haass, Dennis J. Selkoe (2007): Soluble protein oligomers in neurodegeneration. Lessons from the Alzheimer's amyloid β -peptide. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 8: 101–112.
45. Hardy J, Selkoe DJ (2002): The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease. Progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 297: 353–356.
46. Zott B, Simon MM, Hong W, Unger F, Chen-Engerer H-J, Frosch MP, *et al.* (2019): A vicious cycle of β amyloid-dependent neuronal hyperactivation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 365: 559–565.
47. Valotassiou V, Malamitsi J, Papatriantafyllou J, Dardiotis E, Tsougos I, Psimadas D, *et al.* (2018): SPECT and PET imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *Annals of nuclear medicine* 32.
48. Laforce R, Soucy J-P, Sellami L, Dallaire-Théroux C, Brunet F, Bergeron D, *et al.* (2018): Molecular imaging in dementia. Past, present, and future. *Alzheimer's & Dementia* 14: 1522–1552.
49. Hansson O, Seibyl J, Stomrud E, Zetterberg H, Trojanowski JQ, Bittner T, *et al.* (2018): CSF biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease concord with amyloid- β PET and predict clinical progression. A study of fully automated immunoassays in BioFINDER and ADNI cohorts. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association* 14: 1470–1481.
50. Selkoe DJ, Hardy J (2016): The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. *EMBO molecular medicine* 8.
51. Wang J, Dickson DW, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM (1999): The levels of soluble versus insoluble brain Abeta distinguish Alzheimer's disease from normal and pathologic aging. *Experimental neurology* 158: 328–337.
52. Lue L-F, Kuo Y-M, Roher AE, Brachova L, Shen Y, Sue L, *et al.* (1999): Soluble Amyloid β Peptide Concentration as a Predictor of Synaptic Change in Alzheimer's Disease. *The American Journal of Pathology* 155: 853–862.
53. McLean CA, Cherny RA, Fraser FW, Fuller SJ, Smith MJ, Beyreuther K, *et al.* (1999): Soluble pool of Abeta amyloid as a determinant of severity of neurodegeneration in Alzheimer's disease. *Annals of neurology* 46.
54. Hu NW, Im Smith, Walsh DM, Rowan MJ (2008): Soluble amyloid-beta peptides potentially disrupt hippocampal synaptic plasticity in the absence of cerebrovascular dysfunction in vivo. *Brain : a journal of neurology* 131.
55. Cullen WK, Suh YH, Anwyl R, Rowan MJ (1997): Block of LTP in rat hippocampus in vivo by beta-amyloid precursor protein fragments. *Neuroreport* 8.
56. Klyubin I, Ondrejcek T, Hayes J, Cullen WK, Mably AJ, Walsh DM, *et al.* (2014): Neurotransmitter receptor and time dependence of the synaptic plasticity disrupting actions of Alzheimer's disease A β in vivo. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 369.
57. Tong L, Thornton PL, Balazs R, Cotman CW (2001): Beta -amyloid-(1-42) impairs activity-dependent cAMP-response element-binding protein signaling in neurons at concentrations in which cell survival is not compromised. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 276.
58. Pascale N. Lacor, Maria C. Buniel, Paul W. Furlow, Antonio Sanz Clemente, Pauline T. Velasco, Margaret Wood, *et al.* (2007): A β Oligomer-Induced Aberrations in Synapse Composition, Shape,

- and Density Provide a Molecular Basis for Loss of Connectivity in Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Neurosci.* 27: 796–807.
59. Jason D Ulrich, Mary Beth Finn, Yaming Wang, Alice Shen, Thomas E Mahan, Hong Jiang, *et al.* (2014): Altered microglial response to A β plaques in APPPS1-21 mice heterozygous for TREM2. *Mol Neurodegeneration* 9: 1–9.
60. Wang Y, Ulland TK, Ulrich JD, Song W, Tzaferis JA, Hole JT, *et al.* (2016): TREM2-mediated early microglial response limits diffusion and toxicity of amyloid plaques. *The Journal of experimental medicine* 213: 667–675.
61. Youtong Huang, Kaisa E. Happonen, Patrick G. Burrola, Carolyn O'Connor, Nasun Hah, Ling Huang, *et al.* (2021): Microglia use TAM receptors to detect and engulf amyloid β plaques. *Nat Immunol* 22: 586–594.
62. Sasaguri H, Nilsson P, Hashimoto S, Nagata K, Saito T, Strooper B de, *et al.* (2017): APP mouse models for Alzheimer's disease preclinical studies. *The EMBO journal* 36: 2473–2487.
63. Saido TC, Iwatsubo T, Mann DM, Shimada H, Ihara Y, Kawashima S (1995): Dominant and differential deposition of distinct beta-amyloid peptide species, A beta N3(pE), in senile plaques. *Neuron* 14.
64. Masuda A, Kobayashi Y, Kogo N, Saito T, Saido TC, Itohara S (2016): Cognitive deficits in single App knock-in mouse models. *Neurobiology of learning and memory* 135.
65. Camacho IE, Serneels L, Spittaels K, Merchiers P, Dominguez D, De SB (2004): Peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor gamma induces a clearance mechanism for the amyloid-beta peptide. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* 24.
66. Catafau AM, Bullich S, Seibyl JP, Barthel H, Ghetti B, Leverenz J, *et al.* (2016): Cerebellar Amyloid- β Plaques. How Frequent Are They, and Do They Influence 18F-Florbetaben SUV Ratios? *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 57: 1740–1745.
67. Ikonomic MD, Buckley CJ, Heurling K, Sherwin P, Jones PA, Zanette M, *et al.* (2016): Post-mortem histopathology underlying β -amyloid PET imaging following flutemetamol F 18 injection. *Acta neuropathologica communications* 4: 130.
68. Milos D. Ikonomic, Christopher J. Buckley, Eric E. Abrahamson, Julia K. Kofler, Chester A. Mathis, William E. Klunk, *et al.* (2020): Post-mortem analyses of PiB and flutemetamol in diffuse and cored amyloid- β plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 140: 463–476.
69. Milos D. Ikonomic, Enrico R. Fantoni, Gill Farrar, Stephen Salloway (2018): Infrequent false positive [18 F]flutemetamol PET signal is resolved by combined histological assessment of neuritic and diffuse plaques. *Alz Res Therapy* 10: 1–4.
70. Gloria Biechele, Laura Sebastian Monasor, Karin Wind, Tanja Blume, Samira Parhizkar, Thomas Arzberger, Christian Sacher, Leonie Beyer, Florian Eckenweber, Franz-Josef Gildehaus, Barbara von Ungern-Sternberg, Michael Willem, Peter Bartenstein, Paul Cumming, Axel Rominger, Jochen Herms, Stefan F. Lichtenthaler, Christian Haass, Sabina Tahirovic, Matthias Brendel (2021): Glitter in the darkness? Non-fibrillar β -amyloid plaque components significantly impact the β -amyloid PET signal. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 2021.
71. Sehlin D, Fang XT, Cato L, Antoni G, Lannfelt L, Syvänen S (2016): Antibody-based PET imaging of amyloid beta in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Commun* 7: 306.
72. Fang XT, Hultqvist G, Meier, SR, Antoni G, Sehlin D, Syvänen S (2019): High detection sensitivity with antibody-based PET radioligand for amyloid beta in brain. *NeuroImage* 184.
73. Li-Kai Huang, Shu-Ping Chao, Chaur-Jong Hu (2020): Clinical trials of new drugs for Alzheimer disease. *J Biomed Sci* 27: 1–13.
74. Lewcock JW, Schlepckow K, Di Paolo G, Tahirovic S, Monroe KM, Haass C (2020): Emerging Microglia Biology Defines Novel Therapeutic Approaches for Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuron* 108: 801–821.
75. Liu J, Wang LN, Jia JP (2015): Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonists for Alzheimer's disease and amnesic mild cognitive impairment. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drugs & aging* 32.
76. Cao B, Rosenblat JD, Brietzke E, Park C, Lee Y, Musial N, *et al.* (2018): Comparative efficacy and acceptability of antidiabetic agents for Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Diabetes, obesity & metabolism* 20.
77. Zou C, Shi Y, Ohli J, Schüller U, Dorostkar MM, Herms J (2016): Neuroinflammation impairs adaptive structural plasticity of dendritic spines in a preclinical model of Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 131: 235–246.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
22

Legends tables and figures

Fig.1

Figure 1: PPAR γ stimulation in PS2APP mice provokes an increase in the A β -PET signal. A) Regional analysis of group-averaged standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) images of the A β -PET radiotracer [18 F]florbetaben in untreated and in pioglitazone-treated PS2APP mice aged eight and 13 months. Coronal and axial slices are projected upon a standard MRI template. B) Plots show cortical SUVR values of [18 F]florbetaben in PS2APP and wild-type (WT) mice between eight and 13 months of age under vehicle (Veh) or pioglitazone (Pio) treatment. The A β -PET signal increased in PS2APP mice during aging, but the increase was more pronounced in pioglitazone treated mice ($F_{(1,12)} = 12.9$; $p = 0.0017$). In wild-type animals, no difference was observed between untreated and treated animals during aging ($F_{(1,13)} = 0.490$; $p = 0.496$). Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. P values of Bonferroni *post hoc* test result from two-way ANOVA. N=10-13 PS2APP; N=7-8 WT.

Fig.2

Figure 2: Distinct A β -PET signal increase upon PPAR γ stimulation in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice with limited plaque fibrillarity and without overexpression of APP. A) Regional analysis of group-averaged standardized uptake value ratios (SUVR) of the A β -PET radiotracer [18 F]florbetaben in untreated and in pioglitazone treated *App*^{NL-G-F} animals at the age of 5, 7.5 and 10 months. Coronal and axial slices are projected upon a standard MRI template. B) Plots show cortical SUVR of [18 F]florbetaben in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice between the age of five and ten months under vehicle or pioglitazone treatment. A β -PET signal increased in untreated mice during age but the increase was more pronounced in pioglitazone treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice

1 (F_(2,70) = 20.12; p < 0.0001). Data are presented as mean ± SEM. P values of Bonferroni *post*
2 *hoc* test result from two-way ANOVA. N=14-23.

3 **Fig. 3**

4 **Figure 3: Pioglitazone treatment triggers a change in plaque composition in two**
5 **different mouse models of amyloidosis.** Staining of fibrillary Aβ (methoxy-X04, cyan) and
6 oligomeric Aβ (NAB228, magenta) in vehicle and pioglitazone treated PS2APP mice A) and
7 *App*^{NL-G-F} mice B). C) The plaque area covered by methoxy-X04 staining was significantly
8 higher (t₍₉₎ = 3.612; p = 0.0056), whereas the plaque area covered by NAB228 staining
9 remained equal (t₍₁₀₎ = 0.175; p = 0.865) in pioglitazone treated PS2APP mice. The overlay of
10 NAB228 and methoxy staining increased under pioglitazone treatment (t₍₉₎ = 3.432; p =
11 0.0075). D) The number of methoxy positive Aβ-plaques did not change under pioglitazone
12 treatment in PS2APP-mice. E) In *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, methoxy coverage (t₍₁₁₎ = 5.802; p =
13 0.0001), NAB228 coverage (t₍₁₁₎ = 5.80; p = 0.0001), as well as the overlay of both stainings
14 (t₍₁₁₎ = 2.93; p = 0.0138), increased under pioglitazone treatment. F) In *App*^{NL-G-F} mice, the
15 number of methoxy positive Aβ-plaques did not change under pioglitazone. Data are
16 presented as mean ± SEM; n = 5-13 mice. Two-sample student's *t*-test results: * p < 0.05; **
17 p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.

19 **Fig. 4**

20 **Figure 4: Pioglitazone treatment reduces microglial activation in both AD mouse**
21 **models.** Iba1- (magenta) as well as CD68-(cyan) positive microglial cells in PS2APP A) and
22 *App*^{NL-G-F} mice B). C) The area of Iba1 positive microglial cells (t₍₈₎ = 5.95; p = 0.0003) as well
23 as CD68 positive microglial cells (t₍₈₎ = 4.58; p = 0.0018) decreased in treated PS2APP mice.
24 The same effect was observed in *App*^{NL-G-F} mice where the area covered by Iba1 positive (t₍₁₁₎
25 = 4.21; p = 0.0015) as well as CD68 positive microglial cells (t₍₁₁₎ = 2.91; p = 0.014) were
26 significantly reduced in treated compared to untreated mice. Data are presented as mean ±
27 SEM; n = 5-7 mice. Two-sample student's *t*-test results: * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p <
28 0.001.

1

2 **Fig. 5**

3 **Figure 5. Improved spatial learning correlates with an increased Aβ-PET rate of**

4 **change in PS2APP mice.** A) One-way ANOVA revealed a significant difference of the water

5 maze performance index between pioglitazone treated and untreated PS2APP and wild-type

6 groups ($F_{(3,34)} = 10.37$; $p < 0.0001$; $N=7-13$). Group-wise comparisons revealed that

7 pioglitazone treated PS2APP mice achieved a higher performance index in the water maze

8 test compared to untreated PS2APP mice ($p = 0.016$), whereas wild-type animals showed no

9 significant difference between treatment groups ($p > 0.999$). B) One-way ANOVA revealed a

10 significant difference of the water maze performance index between pioglitazone treated and

11 untreated *App*^{NL-G-F} and WT groups ($F_{(3,34)} = 5.825$; $p = 0.0016$). However, pioglitazone

12 treated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice showed no difference in the water maze performance index when

13 compared to untreated *App*^{NL-G-F} mice ($p > 0.999$) and wild-type animals again showed no

14 significant difference between treatment groups ($p > 0.999$). Scatter plots show correlations

15 between the Aβ-PET rate of change ($[^{18}F]$ florbetaben; Δ SUVr) during the treatment period

16 and individual cognitive testing scores in C) PS2APP mice and in D) *App*^{NL-G-F} mice (R

17 indicates Pearson's coefficient of correlation) E) The decrease in synaptic density in the

18 hippocampal CA1-region as assessed by VGLUT1 staining was ameliorated in treated

19 PS2APP mice when compared to untreated mice ($p = 0.0012$), whereas no such treatment

20 effect was seen in wild-type animals ($p = 0.810$; group effect: $F_{(3,34)} = 12.03$; $p < 0.0001$;

21 $N=7-13$). F) VGLUT1 staining in the hippocampal CA1-region of representative untreated and

22 treated PS2APP mice (left column) as well as of representative untreated and treated wild-

23 type (WT) mice (right column). Statistics of group wise comparisons derive from one-way

24 ANOVA with Bonferroni *hoc* correction: * $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.005$. Data are presented as

25 mean $\pm$ SEM.

A

B

A

B

C

● PS2APP vehicle ● PS2APP pioglitazone ▲ *App*^{NL-G-F} vehicle ▲ *App*^{NL-G-F} pioglitazone