Comparative genomics of *Xylella fastidiosa* suggests determinants of host-specificity and expands its mobile genetic elements repertoire

Guillermo Uceda-Campos^{1,2‡}, Oseias R. Feitosa-Junior^{1‡§}, Caio R. N. Santiago², Paulo M. Pierry¹, Paulo A. Zaini^{1,3}, Wesley O. de Santana^{1,4}, Joaquim Martins-Junior^{1†}, Deibs Barbosa¹, Luciano A. Digiampietri⁵, João C. Setubal¹, and Aline M. da Silva^{1*}

Author Affiliations:

¹Departamento de Bioquímica, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

²Programa de Pós-Graduação Interunidades em Bioinformática, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

⁴Universidade Estadual do Piauí, Picos, PI, Brazil

⁵Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[§]Present address: Ludwig-Maximilian University of Munich, Munich, Germany

[†]Centro Nacional de Pesquisa em Energia e Materiais, Campinas, SP, Brazil

***Corresponding author:** Aline M. da Silva, almsilva@iq.usp.br

Keywords: phytopathogen, virulence, pangenome, prophage, phage-defense, Xanthomonadaceae

Author notes: All supporting data have been provided within the article or through supplementary data files; 02 supplementary tables and 01 supplementary figure are available with the online version of this article.

Abstract

The Gram-negative bacterium *Xylella fastidiosa* colonizes plant xylem vessels and is obligately vectored by xylem sap-feeding hemipteran insects. *X. fastidiosa* causes diseases in many plant species but in a variety of its plant hosts this bacterium behaves as a commensal endophyte. Originally confined to the Americas, infecting mainly grapevine, citrus and coffee plants, *X. fastidiosa* has spread to several plant species in Europe, causing devastating crop diseases. Although many pathogenicity and virulence factors have been identified in *X. fastidiosa* which enable the bacterium to successfully establish in the xylem tissue, the mechanisms by which distinct *X. fastidiosa* strains colonize and cause disease in specific plant hosts have not been fully elucidated. Here we present comparative analyses of 94 publicly available whole-genome sequences of *X. fastidiosa* strains with the goal of providing insights into plant host specificity determinants for this phytopathogen as well as of expanding the knowledge of its mobile genetic elements (MGE) content, mainly prophages. Our results revealed a pangenome of 4,549 protein coding sequences (CDSs) which is still open. The core- and accessory genomes comprise 954 and 2,219 CDSs, respectively. Phylogenetic tree construction using all core genome CDSs grouped the strains in three major clades of subspecies *fastidiosa*, *multiplex* and *pauca*, with subclades related to the strains' sequence type (ST) obtained from multi-locus sequence typing (MLST). The geographic region where the strains were collected showed stronger association with the clades of *X. fastidiosa* strains rather than the plant species from which they were isolated. Among the CDS related to virulence and pathogenicity found in the core genome, those related to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) synthesis and trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAA) are somewhat related with the plant host of a given strain according to phylogenetic inference. The *X. fastidiosa* accessory genome is represented by an abundant and heterogeneous mobilome, which includes a diversity of prophage regions. In summary, the genome comparisons reported here will enable a better understanding of the diversity of phylogenetically close genomes and warrant further investigation of LPS and TAAs as potential *X. fastidiosa* host-specificity determinants.

Impact statement

The bacterium *Xylella fastidiosa* is a pathogen that infects many plant species and has caused devastating diseases in grapevine, citrus, coffee, and olive plants. This phytopathogen *X. fastidiosa* is original from the Americas and has emerged in Europe where it is causing severe economic losses for olive producers, mainly in Italy. Although many pathogenicity and virulence factors have been identified in *X. fastidiosa*, which enable this bacterium to successfully establish in the xylem vessels network, the mechanisms by which distinct *X. fastidiosa* strains colonize and cause disease in the different plant host species have not been fully elucidated. The comparative analyses of 94 whole-genome sequences from *X. fastidiosa* strains from diverse hosts and geographic regions provide insights into host specificity determinants for this phytopathogen as well as expand the knowledge of its mobile genetic elements (MGE) content, mainly prophages. Our results contribute for a better understanding of the diversity of phylogenetically close genomes and warrant further experimental investigation of lipopolysaccharide and trimeric autotransporter adhesins as potential host-specificity determinants for *X. fastidiosa*.

Data summary

All genomic sequences were accessed from publicly available GenBank RefSeq database at NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information). A full listing of NCBI accession numbers for *X. fastidiosa* strains described in this paper is available in Table S1 (available in the online version of this article).

Introduction

Xylella fastidiosa is a Gram-negative bacterium in the Xanthomonadaceae family that colonizes the xylem vessels of its plant hosts and is exclusively vectored by xylem sap-feeding hemipteran insects [1, 2]. This bacterium causes several crop diseases, such as Pierce's disease (PD) of grapevine [3], citrus variegated chlorosis (CVC) [4], coffee leaf scorch (CLS) [5], plum leaf scald (PLS) [6], and olive quick decline syndrome (OQDS) [7]. While *X.*

fastidiosa has also been associated with diseases in many other plant species, the bacterium behaves as a commensal endophyte in a variety of its plant hosts [8, 9].

A range of pathogenicity and virulence factors has been identified in *X. fastidiosa* that potentially enable the bacterium to overcome host defenses and successfully establish in the xylem tissue [1, 8, 10]. *X. fastidiosa* cells form biofilm-like structures that are crucial for successful acquisition and transmission by the insect vectors as well as for plant host colonization and pathogenesis [1, 11]. Progression of the disease symptoms are associated to *X. fastidiosa* systemic spread through the xylem vessel network which requires dispersal of bacterial cells from the biofilms [12-15] as well as twitching motility [16] and degradation of pit membranes by bacterial cell wall-degrading enzymes (CWDEs) [17, 18]. Moreover, symptoms severity is exacerbated by host-derived xylem occlusions (i.e., tyloses) elicited by *X. fastidiosa* colonization of grapevine [19]. Indeed, the symptoms caused by *X. fastidiosa* infection are suggestive of hydric stress and vary in intensity depending on pathogen genotype, plant host species/genotype, plant age, cultivation practices, and environmental conditions [10, 20].

Originally confined to the Americas, infecting mainly grapevine, citrus and coffee plants, *X. fastidiosa* has spread to various plants species in a number of European countries, possibly through the importation of infected plant material [8, 21, 22]. Currently, most of *X. fastidiosa* strains are categorized in three major subspecies *fastidiosa*, *pauca* and *multiplex* which are presumed to have originated in Central America (subsp. *fastidiosa*), South America (subsp. *pauca*) and North America (subsp. *multiplex*) [8, 9, 23]. Another two subspecies (subsp. *sandyi* and *morus*) native from North America have also been proposed [24, 25]. Furthermore, *X. fastidiosa* strains can be classified into sequence types (STs) based on a multilocus sequence typing (MLST) scheme with seven housekeeping genes [26, 27].

There is a loose association of *X. fastidiosa* subspecies or STs with host specificity, yet some strains can infect multiple hosts [10, 28]. Indeed, intersubspecific homologous recombination

is known to drive *X. fastidiosa* adaptation to novel hosts [24, 29, 30]. However, the mechanisms by which the distinct *X. fastidiosa* strains successfully colonize specific plant hosts remain unclear. *X. fastidiosa* lacks the Type III secretion system (T3SS) [31], a membrane-embedded nanomachine typical of Gram-negative pathogens, which delivers effector proteins directly into host cells triggering or suppressing defense mechanisms, respectively in resistant or susceptible plants [32]. Instead, *X. fastidiosa* type II secretion system (T2SS) seems to be a relevant delivering source of its virulence proteins [10, 15, 33, 34]. It has been suggested that compatibility between xylem pit membrane carbohydrate composition and *X. fastidiosa* T2SS-secreted cell wall degrading enzymes is necessary for disease progression [35]. Moreover, since *X. fastidiosa* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) long chain O-antigen effectively delays plant innate immune recognition in grapevine, the heterogeneity of O-antigen composition may be among the mechanisms underlying *X. fastidiosa* host range [36].

Comparative genomics studies of *X. fastidiosa* strains isolated from different plant hosts and from diverse geographical regions identified shared and exclusive genes among these strains, chromosome rearrangements, indels, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as well as differences in their mobile genetic elements (MGE) repertoire, such as plasmids, genomic islands and prophages [22, 29, 30, 37-48]. While some studies suggest that strains belonging to a phylogenetic group have similar pathogenicity mechanisms and strong selection, possibly driven by host adaptation, and, therefore, can be separated in subspecies [45, 46], other studies identified differences in each phylogenetic clade, such as enriched molecular functions [43] and distinct rates and events of recombination [22, 29, 30, 47].

The availability of new whole genome sequences of *X. fastidiosa* strains from diverse plant hosts and distinct geographical regions fosters up-to-date comparisons to be made. Here we present a comparative analysis of 94 *X. fastidiosa* genomes with the goal of providing insights into host specificity determinants for this phytopathogen as well as expanding the knowledge

of its MGE content.

Methods

Data collection, curation, and annotation

A collection of 132 *X. fastidiosa* genome assemblies were downloaded from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) RefSeq database [49] (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/genomes/173>) accessed in 2021-07-19 (Table S1). This initial collection was curated following the workflow depicted in Fig. S1 to remove genomes of laboratory variants, redundancies, and assemblies with contamination $\geq 5\%$, or with $\geq 1\%$ of ambiguous bases, or with less than 20 tRNA genes or missing any of the 3 rRNA genes. Contamination and completeness of genome assemblies were evaluated using CheckM software [50]. Ambiguous bases in the assemblies were evaluated using QUAST tool [51]. Genomes that were not selected in the first curation round but represented a non-redundant strain, host or geographical region and had an associated publication were retrieved and included in the final curated collection, making a total of 94 genome assemblies (Table S1; Table 1). This final collection was annotated using Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) [52] standalone software package (<https://github.com/ncbi/pgap>), release 2021-07-01.build5508.

Genome comparisons

Comparative genomics analyses, pangenome, core genome and accessory genome reconstruction were performed using the Gene Tags Assessment by Comparative Genomics (GTACG) framework (<https://github.com/caiorns/GTACG-backend>). GTACG is based on an algorithm that uses clustering coefficient to find and maximize the number of orthologous groups in genomes from closely related strains [53]. The PGAP annotated genomes were uploaded in GTACG framework, and the protein coding sequences (CDSs) were compared using standalone BLASTP tool [54] with an e-value threshold of $1e-10$. The clustering tool in GTACG framework was used to find a threshold that maximizes the cluster coefficient of each

cluster. We found that a threshold of 45% of the alignment length was enough to produce concise homologous clusters. Metadata information of the *X. fastidiosa* strains (Table S1) such as plant host, country of isolation and sequence type (ST) were retrieved from NCBI RefSeq database, public databases for molecular typing and microbial genome diversity (PubMLST) [27] and from the literature, manually curated and analyzed together with the information provided by the GTACG framework.

Phylogenetic analyses

Amino acid sequences of core genome orthologous CDSs were aligned with Clustal Omega v.1.2.1 [55] using default parameters. For maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenies, the alignments were concatenated and computed using IQ-TREE v.1.5.4 [56] with a model predicted by ModelFinder and an ultrafast bootstrap of 1,000 replicates [57].

Functional Annotation

Orthologous protein clusters encoded by the core, accessory and singleton genomes were compared to the Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) [58] database using rpsblast+ (BLAST version 2.9.0) [54], with a cut-off e-value of 1e-6. COG categories were assigned to the best hits of rpsblast+ analysis.

Mobile genetic elements prediction

Mobile Genetic Elements (MGE), such as prophages, genomic islands (GI) and insertion sequences (IS) were identified in the genome assemblies by a combination of prediction tools coupled with manual curation as previously described [59]. Prophage regions were predicted with Virsorter2 [60] and PHASTER [61]. Inovirus_detector software (<https://github.com/simroux/Inovirus>) was used for identification of prophages from the Inoviridae family (filamentous single-stranded DNA phages) [62]. GI consensus regions were defined using the results of SeqWord Sniffer [63] and GIPSY [64] software, which was used to assign one or more categories related to GI potential function. GI regions overlapping to

prophages sequences were not considered. IS regions were predicted using the ISEScan [65] software. MGE regions predicted in each genome assembly were mapped in the genome for visual inspection and manual curation. Nucleotide sequences of prophages, GIs and ISs were compared to explore homology relationships using BLAST all-vs-all. The BLAST hits with an identity and coverage alignment higher than 40% and 75%, respectively, were filtered, analyzed and the resulting sequence similarity network (SSN) was visualized with Cytoscape 3.8 software [66]. Finally, the most frequent prophages and genomic islands were retrieved for the evaluation of their gene content. Taxonomic classification of selected prophages was performed with vContact2 [67] and with PhaGCN [68].

Prospection of anti-MGE defense systems

CRISPR-Cas systems were searched with the software CRISPRFinder (<http://crispr.i2bc.paris-saclay.fr/Server/>) [69]. Hidden Markov Models (HMM) matrices were built to analyze known antiphage defense systems such as superinfection exclusion (SIE), Disarm, Brex, pAgos, Abortive Infection (Abi), Hachiman, Sheddu, Septu, Lamassu, Druantia, Gabyja, Zorya and Wadjet [70]. To create HMM matrices, we recovered FASTA files with the amino acid sequences of each system from NCBI and IMG/M (Integrated Microbial Genomes & Microbiomes) databases [71] and created an alignment for a set of sequence of each system, which was then compared against the *X. fastidiosa* genomes. PICI elements (Phage-inducible chromosomal islands) were searched in *X. fastidiosa* genomes using an in-house Python pipeline that enables detection of the main PICI features [72]. Restriction-modification (R-M) systems were searched with BLASTP against the REBASE [73] database.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General features of *X. fastidiosa* genomes

The main features of genome assemblies as well as plant host and country of isolation of 132 *X. fastidiosa* strains publicly available until 2021-07-19 in NCBI RefSeq database are summarized in Table S1. This collection was curated following the pipeline depicted in Figure

S1 (to remove redundancies as well as genomes of laboratory variants) and 94 genome assemblies were selected for further analyses (Table S1; Table 1). These are high-quality draft genome sequences [74] given they present high completeness (>98%) and low contamination (<1.45%) according to CheckM [50] analysis. The average chromosome size of the selected 94 assemblies is 2,537,252 bp $\pm$ 90,235 bp with an average GC content of 51.88% $\pm$ 0.36. Strains Hib4 (isolated from *Hibiscus* spp.) and Griffin-1 (isolated from *Quercus rubra*) have, respectively, the largest (2,813,286 bp) and smallest (2,387,314 bp) chromosome sizes. While 46 strains of the selected genome assemblies do not include plasmid related-contigs, the number of plasmids in the other strains is 1 (34 strains), 2 (9 strains), and 4 (5 strains), which include conjugative and mobilizable as well as non-mobilizable plasmids [42]. Chromosomes of the selected genomes have 2,291 $\pm$ 131 CDS and 110 $\pm$ 45 protein coding pseudogenes annotated by PGAP [52]. These results indicate a reasonable homogeneity in the genomes of distinct *X. fastidiosa* strains in relation to their chromosome sizes and GC content. In contrast, the plasmid content shows a greater diversity among strains consistent with previous observations [42].

***X. fastidiosa* pangenome and core genome**

The pangenome of *X. fastidiosa* (number of orthologous CDSs clusters present in the 94 genomes) was calculated using GTACG framework [53], considering chromosome and plasmids CDSs, since pangenomes are composites of the host chromosome together with MGEs [75]. The pangenome growth curve has not yet reached saturation (Fig. 1a), indicating that the *X. fastidiosa* pangenome can be considered open and comprises 4,549 orthologous CDSs. The core genome curve (Fig. 1b) reveals that 954 CDSs belong to the core genome (conserved orthologous CDSs present in all 94 genomes). The pangenome frequency plot (Fig. 1c) shows the typical U-shape where 30.25% and 20.97% of pangenome p CDSs are detected, respectively, in a single genome (singleton genome) and in all genomes (core genome). Calculation of the soft-core genome (conserved orthologous CDSs present 95% of the selected genomes, i.e., 89 genomes) showed 1,567 CDSs (34.4% of the pangenome).

The values for core genome as well as the pangenome frequency values we report here are somewhat different than previously reported [30, 43, 48] because we have used different algorithms for genome annotation and clustering of orthologous CDSs as well as a larger number of genomes.

Genome-scale phylogeny

The core genome (954 CDSs) was used for a genome-scale phylogeny. The Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree (Fig. 2) grouped the 94 *X. fastidiosa* strains in three major clades defined by strains from the subspecies *fastidiosa*, *multiplex* and *pauca*. The strains from subspecies *morus* and *sandyi* grouped in subclades of the major *subsp. fastidiosa* clade. The overall topology of this core-genome based phylogeny tree agrees with a previously reported genome-wide phylogeny of 21 *X. fastidiosa* strains [45] and a *k-mers* based phylogeny of 72 *X. fastidiosa* strains [30].

Information of ST, country of isolation and host of origin for each strain (Table S1) were integrated to the genome-scale phylogeny (Fig. 2) as an attempt to highlight correlations, if any, among strain features and their phylogenetic relationship. We observe that most of the subclades are congruent with groups of the STs as well as country of isolation. For example, strains of ST1 belong to subclades of *subsp. fastidiosa* major clade and have been isolated in USA and Spain. Both ST6 and ST7 strains are in subclades of *subsp. multiplex* along with strains from USA, Spain and France. ST11, ST14 and ST53 were distributed among strains of subspecies *pauca*, which the first two STs are from strains isolated in Brazil while ST53 strains were isolated from Costa Rica and Italy. The strains from Italy were grouped with Costa Rica strains, corroborating the reported introduction of *X. fastidiosa* in Italy originating from Costa Rica [76]. Similarly, IVIA5235 (ST1; *subsp. fastidiosa*) isolated in Spain was possibly imported from North America as previously suggested [22]. In the case of STs represented by a single strain, most of them, such as ST5 (Ann-1), ST8 (sycamore-Sy-VA), ST43 (BB08-1), ST69 (Fb7), ST70 (Hib4), ST74 (CFBP8072) and ST76 (CFBP8356), are

found in a branch by themselves.

The core-based phylogeny indicates a weak association between host of origin with the major clades in the genome-scale ML tree (Fig.2). Some strains isolated from *Coffea*, *Citrus*, *Olea*, *Vitis*, and *Morus* belong to monophyletic clades. It has been shown that citrus and coffee strains from subspecies *pauca* seem to be limited to their original hosts, despite crop proximity and the presence of insect vectors [77, 78]. On the other hand, the core-based phylogeny also indicates that some strains isolated from *Coffea*, *Prunus*, and *Nerium* are distributed into the three distinct major clades. There is evidence that some strains can infect multiple hosts [28, 79, 80] and that intersubspecific homologous recombination drives *X. fastidiosa* adaptation to novel hosts [24, 29, 30].

Virulence factors as potential host specificity determinants

We found that the vast majority (90%; 63/70) of the CDSs listed in Table S2, which were identified or predicted to be virulence and pathogenicity factors for *X. fastidiosa* [10, 34, 36, 38, 81-84], belong either to the core or soft-core genomes. The lack of CDSs in some strains is mostly due to pseudogenization (data not shown). We highlight the polygalacturonase (PD1485 in Temecula1 strain) ortholog, previously reported to carry a frameshift mutation [38], which is confirmed as a pseudogene in strains from subspecies *pauca* isolated from citrus (strains 9a5c, U24D, Fb7, J1a12, B111, CVC0251, CVC0256, 11399 and XRB), coffee (strains 32 and 3124), and vinca (strain CFBP8078). All other strains from subspecies *pauca* such as Pr8x, 6c, Hib4, COF0324, CFBP8072, CODIRO and De-Donno harbor an intact polygalacturonase sequence, similarly to all other strains analyzed in this study from subsp. *multiplex* and *fastidiosa*. Polygalacturonase has been shown to be a critical virulence factor for *X. fastidiosa* pathogenesis in grapevine [18]; therefore, we hypothesize that another cell wall-degrading enzyme, such as a putative pectin-lyase [85], may perform that function in the strains that carry the frameshift mutation.

Each of the orthologous clusters of CDSs related to virulence/pathogenicity (Table S2) that belong to core or soft-core genomes was used for a separate phylogeny reconstruction. The resulting ML trees were inspected to verify evidence, if any, of association of clades and subclades with specific plant hosts. Among dozens of trees, we found that a few may reflect the kind of association we were looking for, such as the trees reconstructed with CDSs related to LPS biosynthesis and CDSs of the three trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAA) (Fig.3)

The ML trees (Fig. 3a) obtained with orthologous clusters of CDSs encoding the afimbrial adhesins *xadA1* (PD0731), *xadA2* (PD0744) and *xadA3* (PD0824) suggest that these genes, particularly *xadA1* and *xadA3*, are potential determinants of host specificity. These afimbrial adhesins mediate *X. fastidiosa* cell-cell aggregation and adhesion to surfaces during biofilm formation [11, 86]. The orthologs of PD0814 (O-antigen ligase family protein), PD0815 (Glycosyltransferase family 2 protein) and PD0816 (CDP-glycerol glycerophosphotransferase family protein), which are related to LPS biosynthesis, generated ML trees (Fig. 3b) that also suggest these genes, particularly the O-antigen ligase, as potential determinants of host specificity. It has been shown that O-antigen delays plant innate immune recognition in grapevine and as such the heterogeneity of O-antigen composition may be related to *X. fastidiosa* host range [36]. Overall, our results suggest that differences in the sequences of virulence-related genes may contribute to define *X. fastidiosa* host-specificity.

Unraveling *X. fastidiosa* accessory genome and its mobile genetic elements

The distribution of core, singleton and accessory genomes of the 94 strains among COG functional categories is depicted in Fig. 4. As expected, the COG functional categories of highly conserved biological processes, such as “Translational, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis” (category J), and “Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis” (category M) comprise a substantial fraction of the core genome in comparison to the accessory genome. In contrast, the accessory genome is enriched in category X (Mobilome: prophages, transposons), comprising ~15%. Other categories also enriched in the accessory genome are

“Replication, recombination and repair” (category L) and “Defense mechanisms” (category V) which is suggestive of the ability of *X. fastidiosa* strains to cope with stress conditions in distinct environments.

The enrichment of the accessory genome in the mobilome-associated CDSs (COG category X) prompted us to explore the full set of MGEs (prophages, genomic islands, insertion sequences) in the genome assemblies of the 94 *X. fastidiosa* strains. Using a combination of prediction tools, sequences related to prophages, GIs, ISs, and plasmids were identified in the genome assemblies. We found that the content of MGEs varies considerably among the strains, ranging from ~5% to ~40% of the genome, with a mean value of $19.2\% \pm 8.3$. Among the strains with the higher MGE content are RH1, J1a12, Fb7 and MUL0034 (Fig. 5).

X. fastidiosa genome assemblies harbor 9 ± 2 prophage-related regions. Among the strains with complete genomes, IVIA5901, Hib4, MUL0034, and RH1 have the greatest number of prophage regions (10 regions) while the strains with the least prophage regions are Salento-1, Salento-2, De-Donno (5 regions). A previous study reported 6 and 8 prophage-like regions in complete genomes of 9a5c and Temecula1, respectively [87] and a comparison of 72 *X. fastidiosa* genomes revealed an average of 9.5, 9.3 and 8.5 prophage regions, respectively, for strains from subsp. *fastidiosa*, *multiplex* and *pauca* [30].

The MGEs identified in the genome assemblies of the 94 strains were then grouped in a sequence similarity network (SSN). Fig. 6 shows the clusters representing the predicted *X. fastidiosa* mobilome. While some sequences are conserved in various strains (clusters in Fig. 6) several are unique to a particular strain (shown in the bottom of Fig. 6). The sizes of these MGE sequences vary from ~4 kbp to 100 kbp for prophages and genomic islands, 100 bp to 4.8 kbp for insertion sequences, and 1 kbp to 64.3 kbp for plasmids (data not shown). Most of the MGEs clusters are from GIs with an average size of $23.7 \text{ kbp} \pm 11$. A few GIs seem to be related to pathogenicity/virulence or to antibiotic resistance, such as cluster 1, cluster 10, and

cluster 17, which harbor CDSs encoding efflux RND transporter and toxin-antitoxin systems. ISs appear distributed mainly in six clusters with tightly connected nodes (clusters 4, 9, 12, 13, 15, and 16) showing ISs commonly found among *X. fastidiosa* genomes. Several ISs of the clusters 4 and 8 are found within other MGEs such as prophages or genomic islands, while the other ISs were found in the chromosome. The ISs from clusters 4 and 8 belong to the IS200/IS605 family which is widely spread in Bacteria and Archaea [88]. Members of this family are unusual because they use obligatory single-strand DNA intermediates, which distinguishes them from classical IS [88].

A closer examination of clusters 2, 8, 11, and 18 (Fig. 6) reveals that their prophage sequences carry lysozyme and holin proteins, commonly found in temperate and lytic bacteriophages. The sequences grouped in these 4 clusters belong from strains isolated from diverse countries such as Brazil, Mexico, Costa Rica, Italy, Spain and USA, and also in Taiwan in the case of clusters 2 and 11.

Cluster 7 groups prophages classified as inoviruses [62] and identified in 68 of the 94 genomes analyzed. Some inoviruses are present in two copies in a same strain such as Salento-1 and Salento-2 which could suggest superinfection events. It remains to be investigated whether multiple prophage carriage confers any fitness advantage to *X. fastidiosa*, as has been observed for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, where multiple prophage carriage seems to be beneficial during mixed bacterial infections [89]. Inoviruses play a relevant role in the structure in *P. aeruginosa* biofilm [90] and have been reported to encode *Zonula occludens* toxin (Zot) in several *Vibrio* species [91]. Zot protein seems to play a dual function as it is essential for inovirus morphogenesis and has also been reported to contribute for *Vibrio cholerae* pathogenesis [92, 93]. Zot-like CDSs are annotated in multiple inoviruses distributed among *X. fastidiosa* strains (data not shown). Zot proteins have been postulated as virulence factors for plant pathogens [94], including *X. fastidiosa* [41]. It is worth noting that EB92-1, a proposed *X. fastidiosa* biocontrol strain, lacks both Zot genes found in Temecula1

strain (PD0915 and PD0928) and as such Zot has been suggested as a potential *X. fastidiosa* virulence factor [95]. Moreover, a *X. fastidiosa* Zot protein was shown to elicit cell death-like responses in the apoplast of some *Nicotiana tabacum* cultivars [33]. Besides Zot, other prophage-encoded genes may play a role in the biology of *X. fastidiosa* as observed in other bacteria, where the so called “moron” loci have been related to virulence, stress resistance, phage resistance and host adaptation [96-98]. More studies are necessary to understand the contribution of “moron” loci, such as Zot genes, as well as events of prophage induction to *X. fastidiosa* biology. There is experimental evidence *X. fastidiosa* releases phage particles [99, 100] but the impact of prophage induction in host colonization is unknown.

Immunity systems prospection in *X. fastidiosa* genomes

Since *X. fastidiosa* strains harbor numerous MGE, we made a screening of the well-known immunity systems in Gram-negative bacteria to evaluate *X. fastidiosa* strategy to deal with mobile genetic elements. Figure 7 shows the screening results for 46 *X. fastidiosa* genome assemblies. The SuperInfection Exclusion (SIE), Abortive infection, pAGOs, DISARM and BREX systems [101-105] are absent in all *X. fastidiosa* strains analyzed in this study. The same was observed for the recently reported systems HACHIMAN, SHEDU, SEPTU, LAMASSU and DRUANTIA [70]. Although we have found genes coding for proteins of the systems GABYJA and ZORYA [70] in all *X. fastidiosa* strains analyzed, none were inside an operon, and as such cannot be considered as true systems. The proteins gp41, gp42 and gp43 previously described as part of a SIE system operon in *X. fastidiosa* strain 53 [100] are found in several of the strains we have analyzed, although not as a complete operon and also not considered as true systems. We created HMM clusters and used them against all strains genomes searching for phage-inducible chromosomal islands (PICI) elements [72]. Although they are commonly found in Gram-negative bacteria, our analysis did not detect PICI elements in *X. fastidiosa* genomes.

A survey of Restriction-Modification systems (R-M system types I, II and III) [73, 106] in the 46

genome assemblies showed that all strains possess at least one of the three main R-M system types (Fig. 7) as previously reported for 9a5c and Temecula1 strains [107]. The type II is usually found in multiple operons per genome, while the type III is observed in a single operon per genome. We also searched for CRISPR sequences and genes encoding Cas proteins [69]. All potential CRISPR candidates found in the 94 genomes assemblies are not true CRISPRs according the CRISPRfinder tool [69]. Moreover, although genes encoding Cas-like proteins were found in some *X. fastidiosa* strains, they are not in the vicinity of any CRISPR candidates. Cas proteins are required for the functionality of the CRISPR/Cas immunity system [108]. Thus, similarly to major bacterial lineages [109], *X. fastidiosa* lacks a functional CRISPR-Cas viral defense system, which may contribute its permissiveness in prophage acquisition. Moreover, despite the fact that *X. fastidiosa* genomes encode R-M systems, a mechanism of immunity known to prevent both lytic and lysogenic infections in individual bacteria, it is reported to increase the number of prophage-acquiring individuals at the population level [110].

We also investigated the presence of the WADJET system reported to act against foreign plasmidial DNA [70]. This system was found in most of the *X. fastidiosa* strains we analyzed, except in ATCC35879, OLS0479, CVC0256 and 6c (Fig. 7). Strains OLS0479, CVC0256 do not have WADJET system, which may contribute to harboring 4 plasmids each.

Final remarks

The comparative analyses of 94 publicly available whole-genome sequences of *X. fastidiosa* strains revealed an open pangenome with 4,549 protein coding sequences (CDS). A core genome-scale phylogeny grouped these *X. fastidiosa* strains in three major clades defined by strains from the subspecies *fastidiosa*, *multiplex* and *pauca* consistent with previous *k-mers* based phylogeny of 72 *X. fastidiosa* strains [30]. Most of the subclades are congruent with groups of the STs as well as country of origin. Moreover, the geographic region where the strains were collected showed stronger association with the clades of *X. fastidiosa* strains

rather than the plant species from which they were isolated. The vast majority of the CDSs identified or predicted to be virulence and pathogenicity factors for *X. fastidiosa* belong either to the core or soft-core genomes. Among the CDS related to virulence and pathogenicity found in the core genome, those related to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) synthesis and trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAA) are somewhat related with the plant host of a given strain according to phylogenetic inference, and as such may contribute to define *X. fastidiosa* host specificity. Finally, we found that the content of MGEs varies considerably among the strains, ranging from ~5% to ~40% of the genome assemblies and includes a diversity of sequences related to prophages, GI, IS and plasmids. It is worth noting the inoviruses sequences are found in all analyzed strains and that they encode a Zot protein which has been suggested to be a virulence factor for *X. fastidiosa*.

Overall, the comparative analyses of 94 whole-genome sequences from *X. fastidiosa* strains from diverse hosts and geographic regions provide insights into host specificity determinants for this phytopathogen as well as expand the knowledge of its mobile genetic elements (MGE) content. Our results contribute for a better understanding of the diversity of phylogenetically close genomes and warrant further experimental investigation of lipopolysaccharide and trimeric autotransporter adhesins as potential host-specificity determinants for *X. fastidiosa*.

References

1. Chatterjee S, Almeida RPP, Lindow S: **Living in two worlds: The plant and insect lifestyles of *Xylella fastidiosa***. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* 2008, **46**:243-271.
2. Roper C, Castro C, Ingel B: ***Xylella fastidiosa*: bacterial parasitism with hallmarks of commensalism**. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 2019, **50**:140-147.
3. Hopkins DL, Purcell AH: ***Xylella fastidiosa*: Cause of Pierce's disease of grapevine and other emergent diseases**. *Plant Disease* 2002, **86**(10):1056-1066.
4. Rossetti V, Garnier M, Bove JM, Beretta MJG, Teixeira ARR, Quaggio JA, Denegri JD: **Occurrence of xylem-restricted bacteria in sweet orange trees affected by**

- 477 **chlorotic variegation, a new citrus disease in Brazil.** *Comptes Rendus de L*
478 *Academie Des Sciences* 1990, **310**:345-349.
- 479 5. de Lima JEO, Miranda VS, Hartung JS, Brlansky RH, Coutinho A, Roberto SR, Carlos
480 EF: **Coffee leaf scorch bacterium: Axenic culture, pathogenicity, and comparison**
481 **with Xylella fastidiosa of citrus.** *Plant Disease* 1998, **82**(1):94-97.
- 482 6. Raju BC, Wells JM, Nyland G, Brlansky RH, Lowe SK: **Plum leaf scald - isolation,**
483 **culture, and pathogenicity of the causal agent.** *Phytopathology* 1982, **72**(11):1460-
484 1466.
- 485 7. Saponari M, Boscia D, Altamura G, Loconsole G, Zicca S, D'Attoma G, Morelli M,
486 Palmisano F, Saponari A, Tavano D *et al*: **Isolation and pathogenicity of Xylella**
487 **fastidiosa associated to the olive quick decline syndrome in southern Italy.**
488 *Scientific Reports* 2017, **7**.
- 489 8. Sicard A, Zeilinger AR, Vanhove M, Schartel TE, Beal DJ, Daugherty MP, Almeida
490 RPP: **Xylella fastidiosa: Insights into an Emerging Plant Pathogen.** In: *Annual*
491 *Review of Phytopathology, Vol 56.* Edited by Leach JE, Lindow SE, vol. 56; 2018: 181-
492 202.
- 493 9. Almeida RPP, Nunney L: **How Do Plant Diseases Caused by Xylella fastidiosa**
494 **Emerge?** *Plant Disease* 2015, **99**(11):1457-1467.
- 495 10. Rapicavoli J, Ingel B, Blanco-Ulate B, Cantu D, Roper C: **Xylella fastidiosa: an**
496 **examination of a re-emerging plant pathogen.** *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2018,
497 **19**(4):786-800.
- 498 11. Caserta R, Takita MA, Targon ML, Rosselli-Murai LK, de Souza AP, Peroni L, Stach-
499 Machado DR, Andrade A, Labate CA, Kitajima EW *et al*: **Expression of Xylella**
500 **fastidiosa Fimbrial and Afimbrial Proteins during Biofilm Formation.** *Applied and*
501 *Environmental Microbiology* 2010, **76**(13):4250-4259.
- 502 12. Ionescu M, Zaini PA, Baccari C, Tran S, da Silva AM, Lindow SE: **Xylella fastidiosa**
503 **outer membrane vesicles modulate plant colonization by blocking attachment to**
504 **surfaces.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

- America 2014, **111**(37):E3910-E3918.
13. Newman KL, Almeida RPP, Purcell AH, Lindow SE: **Cell-cell signaling controls *Xylella fastidiosa* interactions with both insects and plants.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2004, **101**(6):1737-1742.
14. Guilhabert MR, Kirkpatrick BC: **Identification of *Xylella fastidiosa* antivirulence genes: Hemagglutinin adhesins contribute to *X. fastidiosa* biofilm maturation and colonization and attenuate virulence.** *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2005, **18**(8):856-868.
15. Gouran H, Gillespie H, Nascimento R, Chakraborty S, Zaini PA, Jacobson A, Phinney BS, Dolan D, Durbin-Johnson BP, Antonova ES *et al*: **The Secreted Protease PrtA Controls Cell Growth, Biofilm Formation and Pathogenicity in *Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Scientific Reports* 2016, **6**.
16. Meng YZ, Li YX, Galvani CD, Hao GX, Turner JN, Burr TJ, Hoch HC: **Upstream migration of *Xylella fastidiosa* via pilus-driven twitching motility.** *Journal of Bacteriology* 2005, **187**(16):5560-5567.
17. Perez-Donoso AG, Sun Q, Roper MC, Greve LC, Kirkpatrick B, Labavitch JM: **Cell Wall-Degrading Enzymes Enlarge the Pore Size of Intervessel Pit Membranes in Healthy and *Xylella fastidiosa*-Infected Grapevines.** *Plant Physiology* 2010, **152**(3):1748-1759.
18. Roper MC, Greve LC, Warren JG, Labavitch JM, Kirkpatrick BC: ***Xylella fastidiosa* requires polygalacturonase for colonization and pathogenicity in *Vitis vinifera* grapevines.** *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 2007, **20**(4):411-419.
19. Sun Q, Sun YL, Walker MA, Labavitch JM: **Vascular Occlusions in Grapevines with Pierce's Disease Make Disease Symptom Development Worse.** *Plant Physiology* 2013, **161**(3):1529-1541.
20. Coletta HD, Castillo AI, Laranjeira FF, de Andrade EC, Silva NT, de Souza AA, Bossi ME, Almeida RPP, Lopes JRS: **Citrus Variegated Chlorosis: an Overview of 30**

- 533 **Years of Research and Disease Management. *Tropical Plant Pathology* 2020,**
534 **45(3):175-191.**
- 535 21. Occhibove F, Chapman DS, Mastin AJ, Parnell SSR, Agstner B, Mato-Amboage R,
536 Jones G, Dunn M, Pollard CRJ, Robinson JS *et al*: **Eco-Epidemiological**
537 **Uncertainties of Emerging Plant Diseases: The Challenge of Predicting *Xylella***
538 ***fastidiosa* Dynamics in Novel Environments. *Phytopathology* 2020, 110(11):1740-**
539 **1750.**
- 540 22. Landa BB, Castillo AI, Giampetruzzi A, Kahn A, Roman-Ecija M, Velasco-Amo MP,
541 Navas-Cortes JA, Marco-Noales E, Barbe S, Moralejo E *et al*: **Emergence of a Plant**
542 **Pathogen in Europe Associated with Multiple Intercontinental Introductions.**
543 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2020, **86(3).**
- 544 23. Schaad NW, Postnikova E, Lacy G, Fatmi M, Chang CJ: ***Xylella fastidiosa***
545 **subspecies: *X. fastidiosa* subsp *piercei*, subsp. nov., *X. fastidiosa* subsp.**
546 ***multiplex* subsp. nov., and *X. fastidiosa* subsp. *pauca* subsp. nov. (vol 27, pg**
547 **290, 2004). *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 2004, 27(6):763-763.**
- 548 24. Nunney L, Schuenzel EL, Scally M, Bromley RE, Stouthamer R: **Large-Scale**
549 **Intersubspecific Recombination in the Plant-Pathogenic Bacterium *Xylella***
550 ***fastidiosa* Is Associated with the Host Shift to Mulberry. *Applied and***
551 *Environmental Microbiology* 2014, **80(10):3025-3033.**
- 552 25. Schuenzel EL, Scally M, Stouthamer R, Nunney L: **A multigene phylogenetic study**
553 **of clonal diversity and divergence in North American strains of the plant**
554 **pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2005,**
555 **71(7):3832-3839.**
- 556 26. Scally M, Schuenzel EL, Stouthamer R, Nunney L: **Multilocus sequence type**
557 **system for the plant pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa* and relative contributions of**
558 **recombination and point mutation to clonal diversity. *Applied and Environmental***
559 *Microbiology* 2005, **71(12):8491-8499.**
- 560 27. Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ: **Open-access bacterial population genomics:**

- 561 **BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications.** *Wellcome*
562 *Open Res* 2018, **3**:124.
- 563 28. Nunney L, Azad H, Stouthamer R: **An Experimental Test of the Host-Plant Range of**
564 **Nonrecombinant Strains of North American *Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. *multiplex*.**
565 *Phytopathology* 2019, **109**(2):294-300.
- 566 29. Potnis N, Kandel PP, Merfa MV, Retchless AC, Parker JK, Stenger DC, Almeida RPP,
567 Bergsma-Vlami M, Westenberg M, Cobine PA *et al*: **Patterns of inter- and**
568 **intrasubspecific homologous recombination inform eco-evolutionary dynamics**
569 **of *Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Isme Journal* 2019, **13**(9):2319-2333.
- 570 30. Vanhove M, Retchless AC, Sicard A, Rieux A, Coletta HD, De La Fuente L, Stenger
571 DC, Almeida RPP: **Genomic Diversity and Recombination among *Xylella***
572 ***fastidiosa* Subspecies.** *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2019, **85**(13).
- 573 31. Simpson AJG, Reinach FC, Arruda P, Abreu FA, Acencio M, Alvarenga R, Alves LMC,
574 Araya JE, Baia GS, Baptista CS *et al*: **The genome sequence of the plant pathogen**
575 ***Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Nature* 2000, **406**(6792):151-157.
- 576 32. Puhar A, Sansonetti PJ: **Type III secretion system.** *Current Biology* 2014,
577 **24**(17):R784-R791.
- 578 33. Sertedakis M, Kotsaridis K, Tsakiri D, Mermigka G, Dominguez-Ferreras A, Ntoukakis
579 V, Sarris PF: **Expression of putative effectors of different *Xylella fastidiosa***
580 **strains triggers cell death-like responses in various *Nicotiana* model plants.**
581 *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2021, **00**:1-9.
- 582 34. Nascimento R, Gouran H, Chakraborty S, Gillespie HW, Almeida-Souza HO, Tu A,
583 Rao BJ, Feldstein PA, Bruening G, Goulart LR *et al*: **The Type II Secreted**
584 **Lipase/Esterase LesA is a Key Virulence Factor Required for *Xylella fastidiosa***
585 **Pathogenesis in Grapevines.** *Scientific Reports* 2016, **6**.
- 586 35. Sun QA, Greve LC, Labavitch JM: **Polysaccharide Compositions of Intervessel Pit**
587 **Membranes Contribute to Pierce's Disease Resistance of Grapevines.** *Plant*
588 *Physiology* 2011, **155**(4):1976-1987.

- 589 36. Rapicavoli JN, Blanco-Ulate B, Muszynski A, Figueroa-Balderas R, Morales-Cruz A,
590 Azadi P, Dobruchowska JM, Castro C, Cantu D, Roper MC: **Lipopolysaccharide O-**
591 **antigen delays plant innate immune recognition of *Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Nature*
592 *Communications* 2018, **9**.
- 593 37. Bhattacharyya A, Stilwagen S, Ivanova N, D'Souza M, Bernal A, Lykidis A, Kapatral V,
594 Anderson L, Larsen N, Los T *et al*: **Whole-genome comparative analysis of three**
595 **phytopathogenic *Xylella fastidiosa* strains.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
596 *Sciences of the United States of America* 2002, **99**(19):12403-12408.
- 597 38. Van Sluys MA, de Oliveira MC, Monteiro-Vitorello CB, Miyaki CY, Furlan LR, Camargo
598 LEA, da Silva ACR, Moon DH, Takita MA, Lemos EGM *et al*: **Comparative analyses**
599 **of the complete genome sequences of Pierce's disease and citrus variegated**
600 **chlorosis strains of *Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Journal of Bacteriology* 2003, **185**(3):1018-
601 1026.
- 602 39. Koide T, Zaini PA, Moreira LM, Vencio RZN, Matsukuma AY, Durham AM, Teixeira
603 DC, El-Dorry H, Monteiro PB, da Silva ACR *et al*: **DNA microarray-based genome**
604 **comparison of a pathogenic and a nonpathogenic strain of *Xylella fastidiosa***
605 **delineates genes important for bacterial virulence.** *Journal of Bacteriology* 2004,
606 **186**(16):5442-5449.
- 607 40. Doddapaneni H, Yao JQ, Lin H, Walker MA, Civerolo EL: **Analysis of the genome-**
608 **wide variations among multiple strains of the plant pathogenic bacterium *Xylella***
609 ***fastidiosa*.** *Bmc Genomics* 2006, **7**.
- 610 41. da Silva VS, Shida CS, Rodrigues FB, Ribeiro DCD, de Souza AA, Coletta-Filho HD,
611 Machado MA, Nunes LR, de Oliveira RC: **Comparative genomic characterization of**
612 **citrus-associated *Xylella fastidiosa* strains.** *Bmc Genomics* 2007, **8**.
- 613 42. Pierry PM, Uceda-Campos G, Feitosa OR, Martins J, de Santana WO, Della Coletta H,
614 Zaini PA, da-Silva AM: **Genetic Diversity of *Xylella fastidiosa* Plasmids Assessed**
615 **by Comparative Genomics.** *Tropical Plant Pathology* 2020, **45**(3):342-360.
- 616 43. Denance N, Briand M, Gaborieau R, Gaillard S, Jacques MA: **Identification of**

- 617 **genetic relationships and subspecies signatures in *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Bmc***
- 618 *Genomics* 2019, **20**.
- 619 44. Vanhove M, Sicard A, Ezennia J, Leviten N, Almeida RPP: **Population structure and**
- 620 **adaptation of a bacterial pathogen in California grapevines. *Environmental***
- 621 *Microbiology* 2020, **22**(7):2625-2638.
- 622 45. Marcelletti S, Scortichini M: **Genome-wide comparison and taxonomic relatedness**
- 623 **of multiple *Xylella fastidiosa* strains reveal the occurrence of three subspecies**
- 624 **and a new *Xylella* species. *Archives of Microbiology* 2016, **198**(8):803-812.**
- 625 46. Nunney L, Hopkins DL, Morano LD, Russell SE, Stouthamer R: **Intersubspecific**
- 626 **Recombination in *Xylella fastidiosa* Strains Native to the United States: Infection**
- 627 **of Novel Hosts Associated with an Unsuccessful Invasion. *Applied and***
- 628 *Environmental Microbiology* 2014, **80**(3):1159-1169.
- 629 47. Castillo AI, Bojanini I, Chen HY, Kandel PP, De La Fuente L, Almeida RPP: **Allopatric**
- 630 **Plant Pathogen Population Divergence following Disease Emergence. *Applied***
- 631 *and Environmental Microbiology* 2021, **87**(7).
- 632 48. Giampetruzzi A, Saponari M, Loconsole G, Boscia D, Savino VN, Almeida RPP, Zicca
- 633 S, Landa BB, Chacon-Diaz C, Saldarelli P: **Genome-Wide Analysis Provides**
- 634 **Evidence on the Genetic Relatedness of the Emergent *Xylella fastidiosa***
- 635 **Genotype in Italy to Isolates from Central America. *Phytopathology* 2017,**
- 636 **107**(7):816-827.
- 637 49. O'Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, Ciufu S, Haddad D, McVeigh R, Rajput B,
- 638 Robbertse B, Smith-White B, Ako-Adjei D *et al*: **Reference sequence (RefSeq)**
- 639 **database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional**
- 640 **annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016, **44**(D1):D733-745.**
- 641 50. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW: **CheckM: assessing**
- 642 **the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and**
- 643 **metagenomes. *Genome Research* 2015, **25**(7):1043-1055.**
- 644 51. Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G: **QUAST: quality assessment tool for**

- genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 2013, **29**(8):1072-1075.
52. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J: **NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016, **44**(14):6614-6624.
53. Santiago C, Assis RAB, Moreira LM, Digiampietri LA: **Gene Tags Assessment by Comparative Genomics (GTACG): A User-Friendly Framework for Bacterial Comparative Genomics**. *Front Genet* 2019, **10**:725.
54. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL: **BLAST plus : architecture and applications**. *Bmc Bioinformatics* 2009, **10**.
55. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li WZ, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Soding J *et al*: **Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega**. *Mol Syst Biol* 2011, **7**.
56. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ: **IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies**. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2015, **32**(1):268-274.
57. Minh BQ, Nguyen MAT, von Haeseler A: **Ultrafast Approximation for Phylogenetic Bootstrap**. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2013, **30**(5):1188-1195.
58. Galperin MY, Makarova KS, Wolf YI, Koonin EV: **Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database**. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2015, **43**(D1):D261-D269.
59. Oliveira Alvarenga D, Moreira LM, Chandler M, Varani AM: **A Practical Guide for Comparative Genomics of Mobile Genetic Elements in Prokaryotic Genomes**. *Methods Mol Biol* 2018, **1704**:213-242.
60. Guo J, Bolduc B, Zayed AA, Varsani A, Dominguez-Huerta G, Delmont TO, Pratama AA, Gazitúa MC, Vik D, Sullivan MB *et al*: **VirSorter2: a multi-classifier, expert-guided approach to detect diverse DNA and RNA viruses**. *Microbiome* 2021, **9**(1):ARTN 37.
61. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang YJ, Wishart DS: **PHASTER: a**

- 673 **better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016,**
- 674 **44(W1):W16-W21.**
- 675 62. Roux S, Krupovic M, Daly RA, Borges AL, Nayfach S, Schulz F, Sharrar A, Carnevali
- 676 PBM, Cheng JF, Ivanova NN *et al*: **Cryptic inoviruses revealed as pervasive in**
- 677 **bacteria and archaea across Earth's biomes. *Nat Microbiol* 2019, 4(11):1895-1906.**
- 678 63. Bezuidt O, Lima-Mendez G, Reva ON: **SeqWord Gene Island Sniffer: a Program to**
- 679 **Study the Lateral Genetic Exchange among Bacteria. *International Journal of***
- 680 ***Computer and Information Engineering* 2009, 34:2399 - 2404.**
- 681 64. Soares SC, Geyik H, Ramos RTJ, de Sa PHCG, Barbosa EGV, Baumbach J,
- 682 Figueiredo HCP, Miyoshi A, Tauch A, Silva A *et al*: **GIPSy: Genomic island**
- 683 **prediction software. *J Biotechnol* 2016, 232:2-11.**
- 684 65. Xie Z, Tang H: **ISEScan: automated identification of insertion sequence elements**
- 685 **in prokaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* 2017, 33(21):3340-3347.**
- 686 66. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N,
- 687 Schwikowski B, Ideker T: **Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated**
- 688 **models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003, 13(11):2498-**
- 689 **2504.**
- 690 67. Bolduc B, Jang HB, Doulier G, You ZQ, Roux S, Sullivan MB: **vConTACT: an iVirus**
- 691 **tool to classify double-stranded DNA viruses that infect Archaea and Bacteria.**
- 692 ***PeerJ* 2017, 5:e3243.**
- 693 68. Shang J, Jiang J, Sun Y: **Bacteriophage classification for assembled contigs**
- 694 **using graph convolutional network. *Bioinformatics* 2021, 37(Supplement_1):i25-i33.**
- 695 69. Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, Neron B, Rocha
- 696 EPC, Vergnaud G, Gautheret D, Pourcel C: **CRISPRCasFinder, an update of**
- 697 **CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance and integrates**
- 698 **search for Cas proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2018, 46(W1):W246-W251.**
- 699 70. Doron S, Melamed S, Ofir G, Leavitt A, Lopatina A, Keren M, Amitai G, Sorek R:
- 700 **Systematic discovery of antiphage defense systems in the microbial**

- pangenome. *Science* 2018, **359**(6379):ARTN eaar4120.**
71. Chen IMA, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Ratner A, Huang J, Huntemann M, Hajek P, Ritter S, Varghese N, Seshadri R *et al*: **The IMG/M data management and analysis system v.6.0: new tools and advanced capabilities. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2021, **49**(D1):D751-D763.**
72. Fillol-Salom A, Martinez-Rubio R, Abdulrahman RF, Chen J, Davies R, Penades JR: **Phage-inducible chromosomal islands are ubiquitous within the bacterial universe. *Isme Journal* 2018, **12**(9):2114-2128.**
73. Roberts RJ, Vincze T, Posfai J, Macelis D: **REBASE-a database for DNA restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015, **43**(D1):D298-D299.**
74. Bowers RM, Kyrpides NC, Stepanauskas R, Harmon-Smith M, Doud D, Reddy TBK, Schulz F, Jarett J, Rivers AR, Eloie-Fadrosch EA *et al*: **Minimum information about a single amplified genome (MISAG) and a metagenome- assembled genome (MIMAG) of bacteria and archaea. *Nat Biotechnol* 2018, **35**(8):725-731.**
75. Brockhurst MA, Harrison E, Hall JPJ, Richards T, McNally A, MacLean C: **The Ecology and Evolution of Pangenomes. *Current Biology* 2019, **29**(20):R1094-R1103.**
76. Martelli GP, Boscia D, Porcelli F, Saponari M: **The olive quick decline syndrome in south-east Italy: a threatening phytosanitary emergency. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 2016, **144**(2):235-243.**
77. Lopes SA, Raiol LL, Torres SCZ, Martins EC, Prado SS, Beriam LOS: **Differential Responses of Tobacco to the Citrus Variegated Chlorosis and Coffee Stem Atrophy Strains of *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Phytopathology* 2020, **110**(3):567-573.**
78. Almeida RPP, Nascimento FE, Chau J, Prado SS, Tsai CW, Lopes SA, Lopes JRS: **Genetic structure and biology of *Xylella fastidiosa* strains causing disease in citrus and coffee in Brazil. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2008, **74**(12):3690-3701.**

79. Abou Kubaa R, Giampetruzzi A, Altamura G, Saponari M, Saldarelli P: **Infections of the *Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. *pauca* Strain "De Donno" in Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) Elicits an Overactive Immune Response.** *Plants-Basel* 2019, **8**(9).
80. Pereira WEL, Ferreira CB, Caserta R, Melotto M, de Souza AA: ***Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. *pauca* and *fastidiosa* Colonize *Arabidopsis* Systemically and Induce Anthocyanin Accumulation in Infected Leaves.** *Phytopathology* 2019, **109**(2):225-232.
81. Gluck-Thaler E, Cerutti A, Perez-Quintero AL, Butchacas J, Roman-Reyna V, Madhavan VN, Shantharaj D, Merfa MV, Pesce C, Jauneau A *et al*: **Repeated gain and loss of a single gene modulates the evolution of vascular plant pathogen lifestyles.** *Sci Adv* 2020, **6**(46):eabc4516.
82. Assis RDB, Polloni LC, Patane JSL, Thakur S, Felestrino EB, Diaz-Caballero J, Digiampietri LA, Goulart LR, Almeida NF, Nascimento R *et al*: **Identification and analysis of seven effector protein families with different adaptive and evolutionary histories in plant-associated members of the Xanthomonadaceae.** *Scientific Reports* 2017, **7**:ARTN 16133.
83. Voegel TM, Doddapaneni H, Cheng DW, Lin H, Stenger DC, Kirkpatrick BC, Roper MC: **Identification of a response regulator involved in surface attachment, cellcell aggregation, exopolysaccharide production and virulence in the plant pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa*.** *Molecular Plant Pathology* 2013, **14**(3):256-264.
84. Cruz LF, Parker JK, Cobine PA, De la Fuente L: **Calcium-Enhanced Twitching Motility in *Xylella fastidiosa* Is Linked to a Single PilY1 Homolog.** *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2014, **80**(23):7176-7185.
85. Feitosa OR, Stefanello E, Zaini PA, Nascimento R, Pierry PM, Dandekar AM, Lindow SE, da Silva AM: **Proteomic and Metabolomic Analyses of *Xylella fastidiosa* OMV-Enriched Fractions Reveal Association with Virulence Factors and Signaling Molecules of the DSF Family.** *Phytopathology* 2019, **109**(8):1344-1353.
86. Esteves MB, Nalin JL, Kudlawiec K, Salviatto RC, Sales TD, Sicard A, de Almeida

- RPP, de Souza AA, Lopes JRS: **XadA2 Adhesin Decreases Biofilm Formation and Transmission of *Xylella fastidiosa* subsp. pauca**. *Insects* 2020, **11**(8).
87. Varani AM, Souza RC, Nakaya HI, de Lima WC, de Almeida LGP, Kitajima EW, Chen J, Civerolo E, Vasconcelos ATR, Van Sluys MA: **Origins of the *Xylella fastidiosa* Prophage-Like Regions and Their Impact in Genome Differentiation**. *Plos One* 2008, **3**(12).
88. He S, Corneloup A, Guynet C, Lavatine L, Caumont-Sarcos A, Siguier P, Marty B, Dyda F, Chandler M, Ton Hoang B: **The IS200/IS605 Family and "Peel and Paste" Single-strand Transposition Mechanism**. *Microbiol Spectr* 2015, **3**(4).
89. Burns N, James CE, Harrison E: **Polylysogeny magnifies competitiveness of a bacterial pathogen in vivo**. *Evol Appl* 2015, **8**(4):346-351.
90. Secor PR, Michaels LA, Smigiel KS, Rohani MG, Jennings LK, Hisert KB, Arrigoni A, Braun KR, Birkland TP, Lai Y *et al*: **Filamentous Bacteriophage Produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Alters the Inflammatory Response and Promotes Noninvasive Infection In Vivo**. *Infect Immun* 2017, **85**(1).
91. Mauritzen JJ, Castillo D, Tan D, Svenningsen SL, Middelboe M: **Beyond Cholera: Characterization of zot-Encoding Filamentous Phages in the Marine Fish Pathogen *Vibrio anguillarum***. *Viruses* 2020, **12**(7):730.
92. Waldor MK, Mekalanos JJ: **Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage encoding cholera toxin**. *Science* 1996, **272**(5270):1910-1914.
93. Fasano A, Baudry B, Pumphlin DW, Wasserman SS, Tall BD, Ketley JM, Kaper JB: ***Vibrio cholerae* produces a second enterotoxin, which affects intestinal tight junctions**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1991, **88**(12):5242-5246.
94. Hagemann M, Hasse D, Berg G: **Detection of a phage genome carrying a zonula occludens like toxin gene (zot) in clinical isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia***. *Arch Microbiol* 2006, **185**(6):449-458.
95. Zhang SJ, Chakrabarty PK, Fleites LA, Rayside PA, Hopkins DL, Gabriel DW: **Three New Pierce's Disease Pathogenicity Effectors Identified Using *Xylella fastidiosa***

785 **Biocontrol Strain EB92-1. *Plos One* 2015, 10(7).**

786 96. Cumby N, Davidson AR, Maxwell KL: **The moron comes of age. *Bacteriophage* 2012,**

787 **2(4):225-228.**

788 97. Owen SV, Canals R, Wenner N, Hammarlof DL, Kroger C, Hinton JCD: **A window**

789 **into lysogeny: revealing temperate phage biology with transcriptomics. *Microbial***

790 ***Genomics* 2020, 6(2).**

791 98. Tsao YF, Taylor VL, Kala S, Bondy-Denomy J, Khan AN, Bona D, Cattoir V, Lory S,

792 Davidson AR, Maxwell KL: **Phage Morons Play an Important Role in *Pseudomonas***

793 ***aeruginosa* Phenotypes. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2018, 200(22):e00189-00118.**

794 99. Chen JC, Civerolo EL: **Morphological evidence for phages in *Xylella fastidiosa*.**

795 ***Virology Journal* 2008, 5:ARTN 75.**

796 100. Summer EJ, Enderle CJ, Ahern SJ, Gill JJ, Torres CP, Appel DN, Black MC, Young R,

797 Gonzalez CF: **Genomic and Biological Analysis of Phage Xfas53 and Related**

798 **Prophages of *Xylella fastidiosa*. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2010, 192(1):179-190.**

799 101. Labrie SJ, Samson JE, Moineau S: **Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms.**

800 ***NATURE REVIEWS MICROBIOLOGY* 2010, 8(5):317-327.**

801 102. Ofir G, Melamed S, Sberro H, Mukamel Z, Silverman S, Yaakov G, Doron S, Sorek R:

802 **DISARM is a widespread bacterial defence system with broad anti-phage**

803 **activities. *Nat Microbiol* 2018, 3(1):90-98.**

804 103. Willkomm S, Makarova KS, Grohmann D: **DNA silencing by prokaryotic Argonaute**

805 **proteins adds a new layer of defense against invading nucleic acids. *FEMS***

806 ***Microbiol Rev* 2018, 42(3):376-387.**

807 104. Goldfarb T, Sberro H, Weinstock E, Cohen O, Doron S, Charpak-Amikam Y, Afik S,

808 Ofir G, Sorek R: **BREX is a novel phage resistance system widespread in**

809 **microbial genomes. *Embo J* 2015, 34(2):169-183.**

810 105. Dy RL, Przybilski R, Semeijn K, Salmond GPC, Fineran PC: **A widespread**

811 **bacteriophage abortive infection system functions through a Type IV toxin-**

812 **antitoxin mechanism. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014, 42(7):4590-4605.**

106. Oliveira PH, Touchon M, Rocha EPC: **The interplay of restriction-modification systems with mobile genetic elements and their prokaryotic hosts.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014, **42**(16):10618-U10803.
107. Matsumoto A, Igo MM: **Species-Specific Type II Restriction-Modification System of *Xylella fastidiosa* Temecula1.** *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 2010, **76**(12):4092-4095.
108. Deveau H, Garneau JE, Moineau S: **CRISPR/Cas System and Its Role in Phage-Bacteria Interactions.** *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2010, **64**:475-493.
109. Burstein D, Sun CL, Brown CT, Sharon I, Anantharaman K, Probst AJ, Thomas BC, Banfield JF: **Major bacterial lineages are essentially devoid of CRISPR-Cas viral defence systems.** *Nature Communications* 2016, **7**:ARTN 10613.
110. Pleska M, Lang M, Refardt D, Levin BR, Guet CC: **Phage-host population dynamics promotes prophage acquisition in bacteria with innate immunity.** *Nat Ecol Evol* 2018, **2**(2):359-366.

Funding Information

Funding for this work was provided by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP), research grant 08/11703-4, and by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES), research grant 3385/2013. P.A.Z., P.M.P. and J.M.J. were supported by FAPESP fellowships 11/09409-3, 09/13527-1, and 11/01217-8, respectively. C.R.N.S., D.B., G.U.C., O.R.F.J. and W.O.S. received fellowships from CAPES. A.M.D.S. and J.C.S. received research fellowship awards from the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Authors Contributions

Conceptualization: A.M.D.S., G.U.C., O.R.F.J. Methodology: C.R.N.S., G.U.C., J.C.S., J.M.J., L.A.D., O.R.F.J. Computing resources: C.R.N.S., J.C.S., L.A.D. Data curation: C.R.N.S.,

G.U.C., O.R.F.J. Formal analysis: A.M.D.S., D.B., G.U.C., J.M.J., O.R.F.J., P.A.Z., P.M.P., W.O.S. Visualization: G.U.C., O.R.F.J. Writing – original draft preparation: G.U.C., O.R.F.J., P.A.Z., P.M.P. Writing – review and editing: A.M.D.S., G.U.C., O.R.F.J. Supervision: A.M.D.S. Funding acquisition: A.M.D.S., J.C.S. All authors read, provided critical review, and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Santiago Justo Arevalo and Fernando P.N. Rossi for sharing bioinformatics Python scripts. We also thank Carlos Morais Piroupo for computational support.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Pangenome and core-genome of 94 *Xylella fastidiosa* strains. Pangenome (a) and core genome (b) curves. Each boxplot represents the distribution of the number of orthologous CDSs clusters added (pangenome) or in common (core genome) with the addition of new genomes. Pangenome frequency plot (c). Number of orthologous CDSs detected in a single genome (left) and in all analyzed genomes (right).

Fig. 2. Core genome-scale phylogeny. Amino acid sequences of 954 CDSs of *X. fastidiosa* (94 strains) core genome were used for a Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic reconstruction. The three major clades grouped strains from subspecies *fastidiosa*, *multiplex* and *pauca*. Information of sequence type (ST), country of isolation and host of origin for each strain (Table 1) are represented by the squares followed by the indicated color legends.

Fig. 3. Phylogeny reconstruction of selected CDS. Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic reconstruction of CDS of three trimeric autotransporter adhesins (TAA) (a) and CDS related to

LPS biosynthesis (b). Information of host of origin for each strain (Table 1) represented by the squares follow the indicated color legend shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4. Distribution of core, singleton and accessory genomes of the 94 genomes strains among Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) functional categories. [J] Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis; [M] Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis; [E] Amino acid transport and metabolism; [H] Coenzyme transport and metabolism; [R] General function prediction only; [O] Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones; [C] Energy production and conversion; [L] Replication, recombination and repair; [P] Inorganic ion transport and metabolism; [G] Carbohydrate transport and metabolism; [T] Signal transduction mechanisms; [K] Transcription; [I] Lipid transport and metabolism; [F] Nucleotide transport and metabolism; [N] Cell Motility; [U] Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport; [V] Defense mechanisms; [D] Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning; [W] Extracellular structures; [Q] Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism; [X] Mobilome: prophages, transposons; [A] RNA processing and modification; [Z] Cytoskeleton; [Y] Nuclear structure; [B] Chromatin structure and dynamics; [S] Function unknown.

Fig. 5 Percentage of mobile genetics elements distributed among the *X. fastidiosa* strains according to their genome assembly level: complete, scaffold and contig.

Fig. 6 Sequence Similarity Network of the *X. fastidiosa* mobilome. Prophage, genomic islands, insertion sequences and plasmids as indicated by distinct colors. Nodes correspond to each of the distinct MGEs predicted in the 94 strains analyzed, the edges represent the similarity of nucleotide sequence with more than 75% of identity and 40% of the coverage, and $p < 1e-6$.

Fig. 7. Circus plot showing the distribution of the different immunity systems in the genomes of *X. fastidiosa* strains.

Table 1. Final curated collection of *Xylella fastidiosa* genome assemblies

Strain name	Assembly accession	Genome assembly status	Plasmid number	Country of isolation	Host of origin	Sequence Type
32	GCA_000506405	Contig	0	Brazil	<i>Coffea sp</i>	16
3124	GCA_001456195	Complete	0	Brazil	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	16
11399	GCA_001684415	Contig	2	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	11
6c	GCA_000506905	Contig	1	Brazil	<i>Coffea sp</i>	14
9a5c	GCA_000006725	Complete	2	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	13
AlmaEM3	GCA_018069645	Complete	0	USA	<i>Vaccinium sp</i>	42
Ann-1	GCA_000698805	Complete	1	USA	<i>Nerium sp</i>	5
ATCC-35871	GCA_000428665	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Prunus sp</i>	41
ATCC-35879	GCA_011801475	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	2
B111	GCA_013283685	Scaffold	2	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	11
Bakersfield-1	GCA_009664125	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
Bakersfield-11	GCA_015476015	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
Bakersfield-13	GCA_015475995	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
Bakersfield-14	GCA_015475975	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
Bakersfield-8	GCA_015476035	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
BB01	GCA_001886315	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>	42
BB08-1	GCA_018069665	Complete	0	USA	<i>Vaccinium sp</i>	43
CFBP7970	GCA_004016315	Contig	1	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	2
CFBP8071	GCA_004016295	Contig	1	USA	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	1
CFBP8072	GCA_001469345	Scaffold	0	Ecuador	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	74
CFBP8073	GCA_001469395	Scaffold	0	Mexico	<i>Coffea canephora</i>	75
CFBP8078	GCA_004016365	Contig	0	USA	<i>Vinca sp</i>	51
CFBP8082	GCA_004016375	Contig	1	USA	<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i>	2
CFBP8351	GCA_004016405	Contig	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1
CFBP8356	GCA_004016415	Scaffold	0	Costa-Rica	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	76
CFBP8416	GCA_001971475	Contig	0	France	<i>Polygala myrtifolia</i>	7
CFBP8417	GCA_001971505	Contig	0	France	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	6
CO33	GCA_001417925	Contig	0	Costa-Rica	<i>Coffea sp</i>	72
CoDiRO	GCA_000811965	Contig	1	Italy	<i>Olea sp</i>	53
COF0324	GCA_001549815	Contig	4	Brazil	<i>Coffea sp</i>	14
COF0407	GCA_001549825	Contig	4	Costa-Rica	<i>Coffea sp</i>	53
CVC0251	GCA_001549765	Contig	4	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	11
CVC0256	GCA_001549745	Contig	4	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	11
De-Donno	GCA_002117875	Complete	1	Italy	<i>Olea europaea</i>	53
Dixon	GCA_000166835	Scaffold	1	USA	<i>Prunus sp</i>	6
DSM-10026	GCA_900129695	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
EB92-1	GCA_000219235	Contig	1	USA	<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	1
ESVL	GCA_004023385	Contig	2	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	6
Fb7	GCA_001456335	Complete	1	Argentina	<i>Citrus sp</i>	69
Fillmore	GCA_012974105	Complete	0	USA	<i>Olea europaea</i>	81
GB514	GCA_000148405	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
Griffin-1	GCA_000466025	Contig	0	USA	<i>Quercus rubra</i>	7
GV156	GCA_009910885	Contig	0	Taiwan	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
GV230	GCA_014249995	Complete	0	Taiwan	<i>Vitis labrusca</i>	2
Hib4	GCA_001456315	Complete	1	Brazil	<i>Hibiscus sp</i>	70
IAS-AXF-21	GCA_009669445	Contig	0	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	6
IAS-AXF-235T10	GCA_009669465	Contig	1	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	6
IVIA5235	GCA_003515915	Complete	1	Spain	<i>Prunus avium</i>	1
IVIA5901	GCA_004023395	Complete	0	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	6
IVIA6586-2	GCA_009669335	Contig	2	Spain	<i>Helicrysum italicum</i>	6
IVIA6731	GCA_009669375	Contig	2	Spain	<i>Helicrysum italicum</i>	6
J1a12	GCA_001456235	Complete	2	Brazil	<i>Citrus sp</i>	11
LM10	GCA_012974145	Complete	0	USA	<i>Olea europaea</i>	7
M12	GCA_000019325	Complete	0	USA	<i>Prunus sp</i>	7
M23	GCA_000019765	Complete	1	USA	<i>Prunus sp</i>	1
Ma151	GCA_018449095	Contig	0	Italy	<i>Rhamnus alaternus</i>	87
MUL0034	GCA_000698825	Complete	1	USA	<i>Morus alba</i>	30
Mul-MD	GCA_000567985	Contig	0	USA	<i>Morus alba</i>	29
NOB1	GCA_012952075	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Vitis rotundifolia</i>	2
OK3	GCA_012952085	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
OLS0478	GCA_001549755	Contig	2	Costa-Rica	<i>Nerium sp</i>	53
OLS0479	GCA_001549735	Contig	4	Costa-Rica	<i>Nerium sp</i>	53
PD7202	GCA_006370235	Contig	0	Netherlands	<i>Plant tissue</i>	undetermined
PD7211	GCA_006370175	Contig	0	Netherlands	<i>Plant tissue</i>	73
Pr8x	GCA_001456295	Complete	1	Brazil	<i>Prunus sp</i>	14
RAAR14-plum327	GCA_009695495	Contig	0	Brazil	<i>Prunus domestica</i>	26
RAAR6-Butte	GCA_009695485	Contig	0	USA	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	7
Red-Oak-2	GCA_015475935	Complete	0	USA	<i>Quercus rubra</i>	7
RH1	GCA_012974125	Complete	0	USA	<i>Olea europaea</i>	7
Riv5	GCA_015475955	Complete	1	USA	<i>Prunus cerasifera</i>	34
Salento-1	GCA_002954185	Complete	1	Italy	<i>Olea europaea</i>	53
Salento-2	GCA_002954205	Complete	1	Italy	<i>Olea europaea</i>	53
Stag-s-Leap	GCA_001572105	Contig	1	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
sycamore-Sy-VA	GCA_000732705	Contig	0	USA	<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	8
Temecula1	GCA_000007245	Complete	1	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
Temecula1Star	GCA_006370185	Contig	0	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
TemeculaL	GCA_006370155	Scaffold	0	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
TOS14	GCA_007713995	Contig	0	Italy	<i>Spartium junceum</i>	87
TOS4	GCA_007713905	Contig	0	Italy	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	87
TOS5	GCA_007713945	Contig	0	Italy	<i>Polygala myrtifolia</i>	87
TPD3	GCA_007845655	Contig	0	Taiwan	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
TPD4	GCA_007845705	Contig	0	Taiwan	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
U24D	GCA_001456275	Complete	1	Brazil	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>	13
VB11	GCA_012952095	Scaffold	1	USA	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	2
WM1-1	GCA_006370215	Contig	0	USA	<i>Vitis sp</i>	2
XF3348	GCA_009669515	Contig	1	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	81
XRB	GCA_013283695	Scaffold	2	Brazil	<i>Citrus sp</i>	11
XYL1732	GCA_003973705	Contig	0	Spain	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
XYL1752	GCA_009669505	Contig	1	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	81
XYL1968-18	GCA_014856935	Contig	0	Spain	<i>Olea europaea</i>	81
XYL1981	GCA_009669455	Contig	0	Spain	<i>Ficus carica</i>	81
XYL2055	GCA_003973695	Contig	0	Spain	<i>Vitis sp</i>	1
XYL2107-18	GCA_014856795	Scaffold	0	Spain	<i>Prunus dulcis</i>	1
XYL2153-18	GCA_014856785	Scaffold	0	Spain	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	1

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

a

b

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7