Rvb1/Rvb2 proteins couple transcription and translation during glucose starvation
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During times of unpredictable stress, organisms must adapt their gene expression to maximize survival. Along with changes in transcription, one conserved means of gene regulation during conditions that quickly represses translation is the formation of cytoplasmic phase-separated mRNP granules such as P-bodies and stress granules. Previously, we identified that distinct steps in gene expression can be coupled during glucose starvation as promoter sequences in the nucleus are able to direct the subcellular localization and translatability of mRNAs in the cytosol. Here, we report that Rvb1 and Rvb2, conserved ATPase proteins implicated as protein assembly chaperones and chromatin remodelers, were enriched at the promoters and mRNAs of genes involved in alternative glucose metabolism pathways that we previously found to be transcriptionally upregulated but translationally downregulated during glucose starvation in yeast. Engineered Rvb1/Rvb2-binding on mRNAs was sufficient to sequester the mRNAs into phase-separated granules and repress their translation. Additionally, this Rvb-tethering to the mRNA drove further transcriptional upregulation of the target genes. Overall, our results point to Rvb1/Rvb2 coupling transcription, mRNA granular localization, and translatability of mRNAs during glucose starvation. This Rvb-mediated rapid gene regulation could potentially serve as an efficient recovery plan for cells after stress removal.
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Gene expression encompasses many steps across discrete cellular boundaries including transcription, mRNA processing and export, translation, and decay. Cells do not always live in stable and optimal conditions; instead they are faced with various types of stresses, such as nutrient starvation, heat shock, toxins, pathogens and osmotic stresses (1, 2). In dynamic environmental conditions, cells must balance disparate responses in gene expression as they quickly transition between homeostatic states. This can present challenges such as when cells repress overall translation while needing to upregulate the protein expression of stress response genes (3). To date, it is generally thought that mRNA cytoplasmic activities are predominantly dictated by cis-acting sequence elements within the RNA; however, coupling steps in gene expression presents an attractive strategy to overcome the challenges by creating regulons of mRNAs that are similarly controlled at the transcriptional level and can be coordinately tuned at the post-transcriptional level as well.

In recent years “imprinting” by co-transcriptional load-
mRNP granules and well translated. However, the mechanism by which the promoter can couple steps of gene expression during glucose starvation is unclear. As the promoter exclusively resides in the nucleus, we hypothesize factors exist that interact with promoters and are co-transcriptionally loaded onto mRNA prior to nuclear export.

In this study, we developed a novel proteomics-based screening method that enabled us to identify Rvb1/Rvb2 as interacting proteins with the promoters of the Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes (e.g., GLC3) that are up-regulated in transcription but down-regulated in translation and have granular-localized mRNA transcripts. Rvb1/Rvb2 (known as RuvbL1/RuvbL2 in mammals) are two highly conserved AAA+ (ATPases Associated with various cellular Activities) proteins that are found in multiple nucleo-protein complexes. Structural studies have shown that they form a dodecamer comprised of a stacked Rvb1 hexametric ring and a Rvb2 hexameric ring. They were reported as the chaperones of multiprotein complexes involved in chromatin remodeling processes and other nuclear pathways including snoRNP assembly (17–23). These two proteins are generally thought to act on DNA but have been found to be core components of mammalian and yeast cytoplasmic stress granules (24). Rvb1/Rvb2 have also been shown to regulate the dynamics and size of stress granules (25, 26). The dual presence of Rvb1/Rvb2 at chromatin and stress granules hints to their potential in coupling activities in the nucleus and cytoplasm. Furthermore, a human homolog of Rvb2 was found to be an RNA-binding protein that promotes the degradation of translating HIV-1 GAG mRNA (27). Relatedly, in this study we found that Rvb1/Rvb2 have roles in coupling transcription, cytoplasmic mRNA localization, and translation of specific glucose starvation induced genes in yeast, providing insight into how gene expression can be coordinated during fluctuating environmental conditions.

Results

Rvb1/Rvb2 are identified as potential co-transcriptionally loaded protein factors on the alternative glucose metabolism genes

To identify proteins involved in the ability of promoter sequences to direct the cytoplasmic fate of mRNAs during stress, we developed Co-Transcriptional ImmunoPrecipitation (CoTrIP), a novel biochemical screening technique to identify co-transcriptionally loaded protein factors (Figure 1A). Here we modified a yeast plasmid containing LacO-binding sites that was previously used as an efficient purification system to isolate histones (28, 29). To this plasmid we added a uniform open reading frame (ORF) and different promoters of interest. We then used FLAG-tagged LacI, which binds to the LacO sequences, and UV-crosslinking to purify the plasmid along with the nascent mRNAs, and co-transcriptionally loaded proteins. Thereafter, mass spectrometry was performed to identify proteins enriched in a promoter specific manner. Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) validates that the CoTrIP method yields enrichment of the target nascent mRNAs, indicating that proteins enriched could be co-transcriptionally loaded (Figure 1-figure supplement 1). Here, we performed CoTrIP of three plasmids (two heat shock genes’ promoters, HSP30 and HSP26, and an alternative glucose metabolism gene’s promoter, GLC3) in cells subject to 10 minutes of glucose deprivation. Those promoters had previously been shown to be sufficient to determine the cytoplasmic fate of the uniform open reading frame (10).

After comparing the protein enrichment on GLC3 promoter and on HSP30/HSP26 promoters (Figure 1B, 1C), we were able to detect differences in protein factors across the specific classes of promoters. The ATP-dependent DNA RuvB-like helicase Rvb1 was enriched 10-fold more on GLC3 promoter plasmids versus both HSP30/HSP26 promoters (p-value = 0.02). To further verify this enrichment, we compared our protein enrichment data against the CRAPome repository, a large database of contaminant proteins from various immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments, and we found that Rvb1 was significantly enriched on the GLC3 promoter-containing plasmid (Figure 1C) (30). Proteins that were both enriched in “promoter versus promoter comparison” as well as in comparison to the CRAPome are listed (Figure 1C).

Rvb1/Rvb2 are two highly conserved members of the AAA+ family that are involved in multiple nuclear pathways (19). These two proteins are generally thought to act on DNA but have been found to be core components of mammalian and yeast cytoplasmic stress granules (24). Microscopy revealed that Rvb1/Rvb2 are predominantly present in the nucleus when cells are not stressed but a portion of them becomes localized to cytoplasmic granules that are not P-bodies in both 15-minute and 30-minute glucose starvation conditions (Figure 1-figure supplement 2). Rvb1/Rvb2’s interactions with DNA in the nucleus and presence in the cytoplasm suggest the potential of Rvb1/Rvb2 to shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm.

Rvb1/Rvb2 are enriched at the promoters of endogenous alternative glucose metabolism genes

To validate the CoTrIP results as well as more globally explore the location of Rvb1 and Rvb2 on DNA during stress, Chromatin ImmunoPrecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) was used to investigate Rvb1/Rvb2’s enrichment across the genome. Rvb1/Rvb2 were fused with a tandem affinity purification (TAP)-tag at the C-terminus and purified by rabbit IgG beads. The TAP-tagged strains grow at a normal rate (~90-minute doubling time), which suggests TAP-tagging does not generally disrupt the endogenous protein function of these essential proteins. Here, we performed ChIP-seq on Rvb1, Rvb2, and the negative control Pgk1 in 10 minutes of glucose starvation (Figure 2-figure supplement 3, left). Rvb1/Rvb2 are enriched from the -500 bp to the transcription start site (TSS) along the genome at 10 minutes of glucose starvation, whereas ChIP-seq of the negative control Pgk1 is not enriched in the promoter region (Figure 2A). The overall enrichment on promoters is consistent with findings that Rvb’s can function as chromatin remodelers (31). We
Fig. 1. Rvb1/Rvb2 are identified as potential co-transcriptional-loaded protein factors on alternative glucose metabolism genes. (A) A schematic view of CoTrIP.
CoTrIP plasmid has an 8X lacO, a uniform ORF and various promoters of interest. CoTrIP plasmid was purified by immunoprecipitation of lacI-3XFlag protein. Enriched protein factors were identified by mass spectrometry. (B) Quantitative volcano plot of co-transcriptional-loaded protein candidates. X-axis: log2 scale of fold change of protein enrichment on 2 replicates of GLC3 promoter-containing CoTrIP plasmid over 2 replicates of HSP30 promoter-containing and 1 replicate of HSP26 promoter-containing plasmid. Y-axis: minus log10 scale of the p-values from 2-sample t-test. Null hypothesis: enrichment on GLC3 promoter equals the enrichment on HSP promoters. Rvb1 and Rvb2 are highlighted in red dots and labeled. (C) Table of protein factors enriched on GLC3 promoters. FC of GLC3 over HSP: fold change of protein enrichment on 2 replicates of GLC3 promoter-containing CoTrIP plasmid over the CRAPome repository. CRAPome: a contaminant repository for affinity purification–mass spectrometry data. CRAPome was used as a negative control. P-values were from 2-sample t-test. Null hypothesis: enrichment on GLC3 promoter equals the enrichment on HSP promoters. Protein factors were ranked from highest to lowest by “FC GLC3 over HSP”.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Reporter RNA was enriched on the CoTrIP plasmid.

Figure supplement 2. Rvb1/Rvb2 form cytoplasmic granules that are not co-localized with P-body during glucose starvation.

found Rvb1/Rvb2 are highly enriched on GSY1, GLC3 and HXX1 promoters but not HSP30, HSP26 or HSP104 promoters, which is consistent with our CoTrIP results (Figure 2C). Rvb1/Rvb2 are significantly more enriched on the proximal promoters of the transcriptionally upregulated, poorly translated genes versus the transcriptionally upregulated and well translated genes and the average genome (Figure 2D; Figure 2-supplement figure 4). More generally we found that, for genes that show a greater than 3-fold increase in mRNA levels during glucose starvation, their promoters are significantly more enriched for Rvb2 binding. Previously we had found that Hsf1-binding sequences were sufficient to exclude mRNAs from mRNP granules during glucose starvation (10). Interestingly we found that glucose starvation induced Hsf1-target promoters have no difference in Rvb1/Rvb2 binding than an average gene, and significantly lower Rvb1/Rvb2 enrichment than stress induced non-Hsf1 targets (Figure 2B).

Enrichment peaks of Rvb1/Rvb2 were called using the macs algorithm (32). Consistently, enrichment peaks of Rvb1/Rvb2 were identified on the promoter regions of the Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes but not the Class I heat shock genes (Figure 2-supplement figure 5). Rvb1 and Rvb2 also show a highly overlapped enrichment pattern across the genome, but neither of them shows overlapped enrichment with the negative control Pgk1 (Figure 2-supplement figure 3). Structural studies have shown that Rvb1/Rvb2 can form a dodecamer complex. Their overlapped enrichment also indicates that Rvb1 and Rvb2 may function together.

Rvb1/Rvb2 are co-transcriptionally loaded on the alternative glucose metabolism mRNAs

Although Rvb1/Rvb2 are predominantly considered to act on DNA, they are also found to interact with various mRNAs and regulate mRNA translation and stability (27, 33). We next sought to test whether Rvb1/Rvb2 established similar enrichment patterns on mRNAs. To test the interaction, we performed RNA ImmunoPrecipitation (RIP) on Rvb1, Rvb2,
Fig. 2. Rvb1/Rvb2 are enriched at the promoters of endogenous alternative glucose metabolism genes. (A) Rvb1/Rvb2 are enriched on promoters and nascent gene bodies. ChIP-seq of cells in 10-minute glucose starvation. X-axis: normalized scale of all genes containing -500 bp to TSS, TSS to TES and TES to +500 bp. TSS: transcription start site. TES: transcription end site. Y-axis: normalized density of target protein on the loci. Normalized density: RPKM of ChIP over RPKM of input. Input: 1% of the cell lysate. Rvb1/Rvb2/Pgk1 are C-terminally fused with TAP tag and immunoprecipitated by IgG-conjugating breads. TAP: tandem affinity purification tag. Pgk1: a negative control that considered as non-interactor on the genome. (B) Cumulative distribution of Rvb2's enrichment on genes. X-axis: log2 scale of Rvb2 ChIP read counts over Pgk1 ChIP read counts from -500 bp to TSS. Y-axis: cumulative distribution. >3 fold: genes that have more than 3-fold transcriptional induction during 10-minute glucose starvation. >3-fold Hsf1 targets: genes that have more than 3-fold transcriptional induction and are Hsf1-regulated. List of genes are in the supplementary. (C) Representative gene tracks showing Rvb1/Rvb2's enrichment. X-axis: gene track with annotation (in Mb). Arrow’s orientation shows gene’s orientation. Y-axis: normalized density of Rvb1/Rvb2 over Pgk1. Normalized density: RPKM of ChIP over RPKM of input. Class I genes are labeled in red and Class II genes are labeled in blue. Promoters are highlighted by red rectangles. (D) Enrichment profile of Rvb1/Rvb2 on Class I, II genes and genome. X-axis: normalized scale of genome containing -500 bp to TSS, TSS to TES. TSS: transcription start site. TES: transcription end site. Y-axis: RPKM of ChIP over RPKM of input. P-values are from 2-sample t-test. Null hypothesis: normalized density from -500 bp to TSS on Class II promoters equals on Class I promoters.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 2:

Figure supplement 3. (A) Western validation of ChIP-seq. (B) Rvb1 and Rvb2’s enrichment regions are highly likely overlapped.

Figure supplement 4. List of Class I upregulated and high-ribo genes and Class II upregulated and low-ribo genes.

Figure supplement 5. List of peaks called of Rvb1/Rvb2 on the genome.

and the negative control wild-type (WT) strain followed by RT-qPCR in both log phase and 15-minute glucose starved cells. Consistently, during 15 minutes of glucose starvation, Rvb1/Rvb2 are significantly more enriched on the mRNAs of the Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes versus the Class I heat shock genes (Figure 3A). Rvb2 is specifically highly enriched on GSY1 mRNA, where it is around 20-fold more enriched than on HSP30 mRNAs. However, in glucose-rich log phase conditions, Rvb1/Rvb2 are generally less enriched on the mRNAs compared to starvation conditions. Additionally, in log phase, Rvb1/Rvb2 do not show differential enrichment between the alternative glucose metabolism genes and the heat shock genes. (Figure 3-supplement figure 6).

Since Rvb1/Rvb2 are enriched on both promoters and mRNAs of Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes, we hypothesized that Rvb1/Rvb2 are loaded from the interacting promoters to the nascent mRNAs via the transcription process. To test this, we eliminated the effects from the ORF sequences by designing a pair of reporter mRNAs with a uniform CFP ORF but driven by either the GLC3 promoter or HSP26 promoter (Figure 3B). Interestingly, although the mRNA transcribed virtually identical mRNA sequences (10), Rvb1/Rvb2 are significantly more enriched on the mRNA driven by the GLC3 promoter compared to the one driven by the HSP26 promoter during 15 minutes of glucose star-
Fig. 3. Rvb1/Rvb2 are co-transcriptionally loaded on the alternative glucose metabolism mRNAs. (A) Rvb1/Rvb2's enrichment on endogenous mRNAs in 15-minute glucose starvation. RNA immunoprecipitation qPCR of cells in 15-minute glucose starvation. Error bars are from 2 biological replicates. X-axis: 4 Class I mRNAs labeled in red and 4 Class II mRNAs in blue. Y-axis: Ct values were firstly normalized by internal control ACT1, then normalized by input control, finally normalized by the wild-type immunoprecipitation control group. Input: 1% of the cell lysate. (B) A schematic view of the reporter mRNA only swapping the promoter. 5UTR: 5' untranslated region. CDS: coding sequence. CFP: cyan fluorescent protein. (C) Rvb1/Rvb2's enrichment on the reporter CFP mRNA in 15-minute glucose starvation. RNA immunoprecipitation qPCR of cells in 15-minute glucose starvation. X-axis: HSP26 promoter-driven reporter mRNA labeled in red and GLC3 promoter-driven mRNA in blue. Y-axis: Ct values were firstly normalized by internal control ACT1, then normalized by input control. Input: 1% of the cell lysate. Standard deviations are from 2 biological replicates. Statistical significance was assessed by 2-sample t-test (*p<0.05, **p<0.01). Null hypothesis: the enrichment on the 2 reporter mRNAs is equal.

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:
Figure supplement 6. Rvb1/Rvb2 did not show differential enrichment between Class I and Class II mRNAs in glucose-rich log-phase cells.

Engineered Rvb1/Rvb2 tethering to mRNAs directs the cytoplasmic localization and repressed translation

As Rvb1/Rvb2 were found to be located at both promoters in the nucleus and associated with mRNAs in the cytoplasm, we asked whether Rvb1/Rvb2 have an impact on the cytoplasmic fates of bound mRNAs. To test this, we engineered interactions between Rvb1 or Rvb2 and the mRNAs transcribed from various promoters of Class I heat shock genes (e.g., HSP30, HSP26). We took advantage of the specific interaction between a phage-origin PP7 loop RNA sequence and the PP7 coat protein (34). Here, in our engineered strains, a reporter construct consists of a promoter of interest, a nanoluciferase reporter ORF for measuring protein synthesis, a PP7 coat protein, and an MS2 loop for mRNA subcellular visualization. Along with the reporter, Rvb1 or Rvb2 are fused with PP7-coat protein to establish binding on the reporter mRNA (Figure 4A). As previously shown Rvb1/Rvb2 do not display strong binding on the promoters and mRNAs of Class I heat shock genes (Figure 2C, 3A) (e.g., HSP30). Therefore, we specifically engineered the interaction between Rvb1 or Rvb2 and two types of mRNAs driven by the Class I heat shock promoters (HSP30/HSP26). Strikingly, binding of both Rvb1 and Rvb2 alters the cytoplasmic fates of these Class I heat shock mRNAs to be similar to the Class II alternative glucose metabolism mRNAs (Figure 4; Figure 4-figure supplement 7, 8). Taking HSP30 promoter-driven reporter mRNA as an example, during glucose starvation the binding of Rvb1 and Rvb2 reduces protein synthesis by ~35% and ~50% respectively (Figure 4B). It is important to consider that final protein abundance is determined by both mRNA levels and translation. Interestingly, we observed an increase in mRNA abundance when Rvb1 or Rvb2 are tethered to the reporter mRNA (Figure 4C). When the translational efficiency was normalized by the mRNA abundance, we were surprised to observe binding of either Rvb1 or Rvb2 reduces translational efficiency by greater than ~70% during glucose starvation (Figure 4D). Additionally, Rvb1/Rvb2 binding does not significantly repress the translational efficiency of mRNA in glucose-rich unstressed cells, indicating that Rvb1/Rvb2 has a more significant effect on
showing the subcellular localization of the heat shock mRNA reporters (Figure 4E; Figure 4-figure supplement 7, 8). Taking \( HSP30 \) promoter-driven reporter mRNA as an example, only 4% of the cells form \( HSP30 \) promoter-driven mRNA-containing granules when the mRNA is not bound by Rvb1 or Rvb2, yet Rvb1-tethering increases the mRNA's granular localization to 27% of the cells and Rvb2-tethering increases the mRNA's granular localization to 39% of the cells (Figure 4E). Furthermore, the binding of Rvb1 and Rvb2 to mRNA increases the formation of granules that are non-colocalized with a P-body marker (Figure 4-figure supplement 7). This indicates that Rvb2 guides the interacting mRNA to the presumed stress granule. To further eliminate any potential artifacts caused by the C-terminal modification on Rvb1/Rvb2, the negative
Engineered Rvb1/Rvb2 binding to mRNAs increases the transcription of corresponding genes.

Interestingly, Rvb1/Rvb2 not only suppress the translation of bound mRNAs, but also increases the abundance of the interacting mRNAs by more than 2-fold (Figure 4C). There are two possibilities for this increased mRNA abundance by Rvb1/Rvb2-tethering: increased transcription and/or slower mRNA decay. To address this, we performed time-course measurements on the HSP30 promoter-driven reporter mRNA abundance in 0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45 minutes of glucose starvation. Here, we compared the mRNA abundance when mRNA is bound by Rvb2 and when mRNA is not bound by Rvb2 as a control. A mathematical modelling approach was used to model the mRNA concentration and degradation rates. The model took into account the transcription rate, degradation rate, and the initial mRNA concentration. The model predicted that the mRNA concentration would increase over time, and this was confirmed experimentally.

The coupling of induced transcription and repressed translation of the Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes may be an important adaptation for cells to survive from stress conditions. Results showed that after replenishing the glucose to the starved cells, the translation of those genes is quickly induced, with an 8-fold increase in ribosome occupancy 5 minutes after glucose readdition for Class II mRNAs (Figure 4-figure supplement 9). This indicates the potential biological role of the stress granule as a repository for these translationally repressed Class II mRNAs during stress that does not preclude these mRNAs from potentially being quickly released and translated once the stress is removed.
proach was performed to predict the mRNA induction abundance change caused by varied transcriptional efficiency or varied decay rate (Figure 5A function) (35). In the model, we assumed that mRNA level is mainly dependent on the transcriptional and decay rates, and these parameters stay constant over the course of induced expression during glucose starvation. From the mathematical modelling, mRNA fold induction differs between varied transcriptional induction versus mRNA decay changes. If transcriptional rates vary, differences in mRNA levels are the same (equal distance shift) at each time point on a log-log scale (Figure 5A upper panel). While if mRNA decay varies, although little difference is seen in mRNA abundance in early glucose starvation, our simulation predicts increasing differences in mRNA abundance at later time points of glucose starvation. (Figure 5A lower panel). By comparing experimental measurements of mRNA induction of the Rvb2-tethered condition to the unbound control condition, the mRNA induction differences are similar at each time point. When Rvb2 binds to the mRNA, the abundance of the mRNA is constantly greater than the unbound mRNA at all time points during glucose starvation, indicating that the greater mRNA abundance is mainly due to greater transcription differences driven by the Rvb2 binding (Figure 5B). Also, the mRNA abundance does not differ in log phase, which indicates the effect is potentially stress-induced only.

To further experimentally validate that the greater mRNA abundance caused by Rvb2 binding is due to increased transcription and not slower decay, we stopped cellular transcription after 15 minutes of glucose starvation by treatment with the transcription inhibitor drug 1,10-phenanthroline. Then we performed time-course measurements on mRNA abundance and compared the decay of mRNAs with and without Rvb2 binding. Consistently, mRNAs bound by Rvb2 decay at a slightly but not significantly faster rate, not a slower rate (Figure 5C). Whether or not bound by Rvb2, the reporter mRNA has around a 25-minute half-life (Figure 5D). These results further point to Rvb2 mRNA tethering driving transcriptional upregulation. Since Rvb2 is targeted to the mRNA, it is likely that local recruitment of Rvb2 to the nascently transcribed mRNA increases the local concentration of Rvb2 protein to the vicinity of the regulatory region of the corresponding gene, further showing the connections between the transcriptional and translational processes.

Discussion

In fluctuating environments, cells must quickly adjust the expression of different genes dependent upon cellular needs. Here, our results demonstrate a novel function of the AAA+ ATPases Rvb1/Rvb2 in the cytoplasm, and a novel mechanism of Rvb1/Rvb2 in coupling the transcription, mRNA cytoplasmic localization, and translation of specific genes (Figure 6). We identified Rvb1/Rvb2 as enriched protein factors on the promoters of the Class II alternative glucose metabolism genes that are upregulated in transcription but downregulated in translation during glucose starvation. Results showed that Rvb1/Rvb2 have a strong pre-
ferred interaction with both promoters and mRNAs of these genes, suggesting Rvb1/Rvb2 are loaded from enriched promoters to the nascent mRNAs. More interestingly, when we tethered Rvb1/Rvb2 to the mRNAs, the binding of Rvb1/Rvb2 had a strong impact on reducing mRNA translation and increasing the mRNA granular localization, suggesting that co-transcriptional loading of Rvb1/Rvb2 directs post-transcriptional mRNA fate in the cytoplasm. Additionally, Rvb1/Rvb2’s interaction with the mRNA can also induce transcription of the corresponding genes, further indicating that Rvb1/Rvb2 couple the transcription and translation of the interacting genes.

It is not clear how tethering Rvb1/Rvb2 to an mRNA reporter increases transcription of the corresponding DNA locus. Rvb1/Rvb2 were initially found to be associated with many chromatin-remodeling and transcription related complexes. This has further been expanded on and several studies have demonstrated a chaperone-like activity in the formation of various complexes including the assembly of chromatin remodeling complexes and RNA polymerase II (20–22). It may be that recruiting Rvb1/Rvb2 to the nascent RNA increases the local concentration, driving further enhancement of transcription-related processes. It is also intriguing to think about Rvb1/Rvb2’s reporter role in escorting client proteins to large macromolecular complexes. Like their escorting protein function, it is plausible to hypothesize that they might have additional functions in escorting mRNAs to the large macromolecule stress granule complex in stressful conditions.

The coupling of transcription and translation of specific genes may be an important adaptation for cells to survive during stress conditions. It has been postulated that to save energy during stress, mRNAs are temporarily stored in the cytoplasmic granules associated with inactive translation instead of mRNA decay (9, 11, 36–38). Our results show that, after replenishing glucose to the starved cells, the translation of those genes is quickly induced (Figure 4—figure supplement 9). The stress-induced phase-separated granules may serve as temporary repositories for the inactive translating mRNAs of many genes that are regulated by Rvb1/Rvb2 in glucose starvation and involved in alternative glucose metabolism pathways. From the perspective of cell needs, many alternative glucose metabolism genes are involved in glycogen synthesis, which may be superfluous for survival during times of complete glucose starvation, but the cell may want to produce them as quickly as possible upon glucose replenment to drive quick protein synthesis. The special coupling of increased transcription but repressed translation mediated by Rvb1/Rvb2 may serve as an emergency but prospective mechanism for cells to precisely repress the translation of these alternative glucose metabolism mRNAs during stress but be able to quickly translate these pre-stored mRNAs once the cells are no longer starved (39). Also, the genes regulated by Rvb1/Rvb2 may be dependent on the type of stresses. We observed this mechanism of gene expression control on alternative glucose metabolism genes during glucose starvation stress. It will be interesting to test if there is similar regula-
In mammalian cells overexpression of the crete steps of gene expression across cellular compartments. It also identifies Rvb1/Rvb2 as key proteins connecting dis-
mRNAs can be targeted to stress induced mRNP granules. sion is controlled under stressful conditions, including how Rvb1/Rvb2 may be excluded from these coalesced regions in conditions (41, 42). It will be interesting to explore whether nomic regions have been found to coalesce during stressful

regulation on different sets of genes that are related to other types of stresses, such as heat shock and osmotic stress responses.

To further understand the function of Rvb1/Rvb2 mechanistically, it is crucial to understand how Rvb1/Rvb2 are re-
cruited to these specific promoters. We prefer the hypothe-
sis that the recruitment of Rvb1/Rvb2 is mediated by other DNA-binding proteins, as we were unable to identify spe-
cific binding motifs of Rvb1/Rvb2 from our ChIP-seq data. As we found that Rvb1/Rvb2 are generally enriched on the promoters of transcriptionally upregulated mRNAs we favor a model in which the default is for Rvb1/Rvb2 to be recruited to active transcription sites. This fits with previous data that Rvb1/Rvb2 are required to maintain expression of many inducible promoters including galactose-inducible transcripts (40). While Rvb1/Rvb2 are generally recruited to the promoters of induced mRNAs during glucose starvation, we find that Hsf1-regulated promoters circumvent this recruitment through an unknown mechanism, as the transcriptionally upregulated Hsf1 targets show reduced recruitment relative to non-Hsf1 targets (Fig 2B). Intriguingly, Hsf1-regulated ge-
nomic regions have been found to coalesce during stressful conditions (41, 42). It will be interesting to explore whether Rvb1/Rvb2 may be excluded from these coalesced regions in future studies.

This study provides new insights into how gene expres-
sion is controlled under stressful conditions, including how mRNAs can be targeted to stress induced mRNP granules. It also identifies Rvb1/Rvb2 as key proteins connecting dis-
crete steps of gene expression across cellular compartments. In mammalian cells overexpression of the RVB1/2 homologs, RUVBL1/2, are correlated with tumor growth and poor prog-
nosis in several cancer types, yet precise mechanisms for how these proteins impact cancer progression is unclear (43–45). It is important to further study the role these proteins have on connecting gene expression in different conditions to better understand how they may be impacting cancer progression in mammalian cells.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and plasmids

The yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary file 1 and the oligonucleotides used for the plasmid construction, yeast cloning and RT-qPCR are de-
scribed in Supplementary file 2. The strains were created through genomic integration of a linear PCR product, or a plasmid linearized through restriction digest or the transfor-
mation of an episomal vector. The background strain used was W303 (EY0690), one laboratory strain that is closely re-
lated to S288C. In yeast cloning for the C-terminal fusion on the endogenous proteins (e.g. Rvb1-mNeongreen, Rvb1-
PP7CP, Rvb1-TAP etc.), we used plasmids of Pringle pFA6a and pKT system (10, 46, 47), gifts from the E. K. O’Shea laboratory and the K. Thorn laboratory. We modified the pFA6a and pKT plasmids by inserting in the peptides of inter-
est into the plasmids. The primers used to amplify the frag-
ments from these plasmids contain 2 parts from 3’ to 5’: a uniform homolog sequence to amplify the plasmid and a ho-
molog sequence to direct inserting the fragments to the ge-
nomic loci of interest. The fragments were transformed into the yeasts and integrated to the genome by homologous re-
combination. The integrations were confirmed by genomic DNA PCR (Yeast DNA Extraction Kit from Thermo Fisher).

In the cloning of the reporter strains, we used a strain that was derived from W303 and has one-copied genomic inser-
tion of MYOpr-MS2CP-2XGFP and an endogenous fusion Dcp2-mRFP (10), as the background strain. Further we transformed the linearized MS2-loop-containing reporter plasmids into the strain by restriction digest and genomic in-
tegration. RT-qPCR was performed to verify the one-copied genomic integration. To generate the MS2-loop-containing reporter plasmids (e.g., ZP207 pRS305-HSP30prUTR-nLuc-PEST-12XMS2-tADH1), we started from the plasmid ZP15 pRS305-12XMS2-tAdh1 (10). ZP15 was linearized by the restriction enzymes SacII and NotI (NEB). Promoter frag-
ments, nanoluciferase-pest CDS fragments were inserted into linearized ZP15 using Gibson Assembly. Promoter se-
quences were amplified by PCR from the W303 genomic DNA. Nanoluciferase-pest CDS was amplified by PCR from the geneblock (48). To generate the PP7-MS2-containing reporter plasmids (e.g., ZP296 pRS305-HSP30pUTR-nLucPEST-1XPPl7-12XSMS2-tADH1), ZO680 and ZO679 were firstly annealed using the primer annealing protocol described by thermo Fisher. ZP15 was linearized by restriction enzymes BamHI and NotI. Then annealed oligos were inserted into linearized ZP15 by T4 ligation to generate ZP440. ZP440 was further linearized by restriction enzymes SacII and NotI. Promoter fragments, nanoluciferase-pest CDS fragments were inserted into linearized ZP440 using Gibson Assembly. In the cloning of the CoTrIP experiments, detailed procedures were described in the “CoTrIP and CoTrIP analysis”.

Yeast growth and media

The background yeast strain w303 (Ey0690) was used for all experiments. For cells cultured in the functional experiments, cells were streaked out on the yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) agar plate (BD) from the frozen stocks and grew at 30 °C for 2 days. Single colony was selected to start the over-night culture for each biological replicate. Cells were grown at 30°C in batch culture with shaking at 200 r.p.m. in synthetic complete glucose medium (SCD medium: yeast nitrogen base from RPI, glucose from Sigma-Aldrich, SC from Sunrise Science). When the OD660 of cells reached 0.4, half of the culture was harvested as the pre-starved sample. The other half of the culture was transferred to the prewarmed synthetic complete medium lacking glucose (SC -G medium) by centrifugation method. Cells are centrifuged at 3000 xg, washed once by SC medium and resuspended in the same volume as the pre-starvation medium of SC medium. Glucose starvation was performed in the same 200 r.p.m shaking speed and 30°C. The length of the glucose starvation time varies from 10 minutes to 30 minutes depending on the experiments.

CoTrIP and CoTrIP analysis

The protocol was developed based on (29). ZP64 PRS406-CMV-lacI-FLAG was integrated into the W303 yeast background by linearization with the URA3 gene with BstBI digestion and transformation into yeast. The CoTrIP plasmid was constructed by modifying the ZP66 pUC-TAL08 plasmid which contains 8 copies of the Lac operator. The EcoRI sites in ZP66 were mutated to NotI using QuikChange II Site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Promoter specific reporters driving CFP were inserted into pUC-TAL08-NotI by digesting with NheI followed by Gibson assembly. The plasmid backbone was then digested with NotI, gel purified, ligated, and transformed into yeast using standard lithium acetate transformation. 1L of yeast were grown overnight in SCD medium -Trp to maintain selection on the CoTrIP plasmid, until an OD660 0.3-0.4. Cells were filtered, washed with SC -G -Trp media, and resuspended in 1L of prewarmed media and grown at 30°C for 5 mins. Cells were then re-filtered, resuspended in 4mLs of PBS in a glass petri dish and crosslinked using UV from a Stratalinker 1800 (254 nm, 9999 microjoules x 100, 5 cm from the UV bulb). Crosslinked cells were pelleted and resuspended in 2 mLs of Buffer H 150 (25mM HEPES KOH pH 7.6, 2mM MgCl2, 0.5mM EGTA, 0.1mM EDTA, 10% Glycerol, 150mM KCl, 0.02% NP40) plus protease inhibitor (P8215 Millipore Sigma) and then dripped into liquid N2 to be cryogenically ball milled using a Retsch PM100. Ground lysate was clarified by spinning at 3500 xg for 5 mins at 4°C, isolating the supernatant and spinning at 12K for 5 min at 4°C. Supernatant was aliquoted and frozen at –80°C. 10 µL of unpacked Anti-FLAG® M2 Magnetic Beads (M8823 Millipore Sigma) per sample were pre-washed with Buffer H 150. 300 µL of extract was added to magnetic beads and incubated at 4°C for 3 hrs with rotation. Beads were then washed 3 times with Buffer H 150, 3 times with Buffer H 300 (300mM KCl), and once with Buffer H 150. 500 µg/mL 3xFLAG peptide (F4799 Millipore Sigma) was diluted in Buffer H 150 and CoTrIP plasmids were eluted with 100 µL elution buffer with FLAG peptide. Elutions were taken forward for DNA, RNA, and mass spectrometry. Mass spectrometry was performed through the Yeast Resource Center by James MoreSCO of the Yates lab and was funded through a P41GM103533 Biomedical Technology Resource Center grant. Data-dependent acquisition of MS/MS spectra was performed with an LTQ-Orbitrap. Tandem mass spectra were extracted from raw files using RawExtract 1.9.9 (49) and were searched against a yeast protein database (http://www.yeastgenome.org) using ProLuCID (50, 51). Enrichment analysis was performed using the CRAPome online data analysis software (https://reprint-apms.org/) (30).

ChiP-sequencing

The protocol was developed based on (52). 100 mL of yeast were grown overnight in SCD medium, until an OD660 around 0.4. 50 mL of cells were filtered, washed with SC -G media, and resuspended in 50 mL of prewarmed media and grown at 30°C for 10 mins. 50 mL of pre-starved and 50 mL of 10-minute glucose-starved cell culture was fixed by incubating in the freshly made crosslink buffer (1% formaldehyde, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5 mM HEPES pH 7.5), respectively, with gentle shaking at room temperature for 15 minutes. Crosslink was quenched by introducing 0.5 M of glycine for 5 minutes at room temperature. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000 xg at 4°C, washed twice in the ice-cold TBS buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). Cells were resuspended in 400 µL of ChiP lysis buffer (50 mM Heps-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF, 0.5% SDS), and lysed by bead-beating (Biospec Products) for 1 minute for 5 times. Lysis was verified under microscope. Lysates were sonicated by Covaris Sonicator to ~500 bp fragments (130 µL/tube, 105 PIP, 5% Duty F, 200 cycles/burst, 80 seconds). Lysates were centrifuged at 15,000 xg at 4°C to remove the cell debris and diluted to 1 mL. Save 10% of the clear lysate to verify the sonication by protein digestion using Pronase, reverse crosslinking. RNA digestion
using RNase A and running the samples on 1% agarose gel. 50 µL of IgG-Dynabeads per sample was used. The protocol of making the IgG-Dynabeads from Dynabeads M-270 Epoxy (Thermo Fisher) was taken from (53). IgG-Dynabeads were pre-washed 3 times with ChIP lysis buffer. 1% of the lysate was saved as the input and for Western blotting, respectively. The immunoprecipitation (IP) sample was incubated with IgG-Dynabeads, rotating at 4°C for 4 hours. The IP samples were further washed twice by ChIP lysis buffer with 0.1% SDS, twice by ChIP lysis buffer with 0.1% SDS and 0.5 M NaCl, once by ChIP wash buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 0.25 mM LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate), and once by TE buffer pH 7.5. Before the last wash, save 10% of the sample for Western blotting. Western blotting was performed to verify the successful enrichment and purification of the protein of interest. Samples were later eluted from beads in 250 µL 2X Pronase buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 65°C for 10 minutes and beads were removed. Samples were then digested by 1.6 mg/mL Pronase (20 µL of 20 mg/mL Pronase, Sigma-Aldrich) at 42°C for 2 hours and reverse-crosslinked at 65°C for 6 hours. DNA was extracted from the sample using phenol-chloroform, washed once with chloroform, and pelleted using ethanol, washed twice with 75% ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich), and resuspended in 200 µL TE buffer. DNA sequencing libraries were prepared and sequenced following the NextSeq 500 SR 75 protocol at The UCSD IGM Genomics Center (Illumina).

ChIP-sequencing analysis

Sequencing reads were demultiplexed and the quality was verified by FastQC (54). The reads were mapped to S288C S. cerevisiae genome using Bowtie2 (55). The mapped reads were then sorted, indexed and converted into BAM files using SAMtools (56). Duplicated reads were removed using Picard Tools (57). The genome browser files for visualizing the reads were generated by igvtools and were visualized on Integrative Genomics Viewer by Broad Institute and Sushi.R (58–60). Enriched peaks for Rvb1/Rvb2 were called using MACS (32). deepTools was used to calculate and plot the enrichment of Rvb1/Rvb2 on genome and selected genes (61). The coverage of reads (BigWig files) was calculated from indexed BAM files using bamCoverage. To compare the enrichment of 2 targets, the matrix was computed from BigWig files of 2 targets using computeMatrix and further presented as a heatmap by plotHeatmap. To visualize Rvb1/Rvb2’s enrichment, the matrix was computed from BigWig files of the target and S288C genome annotation as the reference using computeMatrix and further presented by plotProfile. Additionally, BEDtools was used to calculate the coverage of regions of interest and a home-made R script was generated to analyze and plot the enrichment of Rvb1/Rvb2 on genome and specific gene groups (62).

RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP)

The RIP protocol was developed based on the protocol from (8, 63). 100 mL of yeast were grown overnight in SCD medium, until an OD660 around 0.4. 50 mL of cells were filtered, washed with SC-G media, and resuspended in 50 mL of prewarmed media and grown at 30°C for 15 mins. 50 mL of pre-starved and 15-minute glucose-starved cell culture was washed and resuspended in 10 mL of ice-cold PBS buffer, fixed by UV irradiation on a 10-cm petri dish using a Stratallinker 1800 (254 nm, 9999 microjoules × 100, 5 cm from the UV bulb), and harvested. Cells were resuspended in 400 µL of ice-cold RIP lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% SDS, 0.2 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 10U RNase inhibitor from Promega, cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail from Roche), and lysed by bead-beating (Biospec Products) for 1 minute for 5 times. Brightfield microscopy was used to verify that more than 90% of cells were lysed. The lysates were centrifuged softly at 1000 xg at 4°C for 10 minutes to remove cell debris and diluted to 500 µL. Clear lysates were treated with 5U RQ1 DNase and 5U RNase inhibitor (Promega) at 37°C for 15 minutes. Then 1% of the lysate was saved as the input and for Western blotting, respectively. 50 µL of IgG-Dynabeads per sample was used. The protocol of preparing the IgG-Dynabeads from Dynabeads M-270 Epoxy (Thermo Fisher) was taken from (53). IgG-Dynabeads were pre-washed 3 times with RIP lysis buffer. The immunoprecipitation (IP) samples were incubated with IgG Dynabeads, rotating at 4°C for 4 hours. The IP samples were further washed 6 times by RIP wash buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40) at 4°C. Samples were later eluted from the beads in 100 µL of PK buffer (100 mM Tris pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA) at 65°C for 15 minutes and later the proteins were digested by 10U Proteinase K (NEB) at 37°C for 30 minutes. Digestion was later activated by incubation with Urea (210 mg/mL) at 37°C for 20 minutes. RNA was extracted using TRIZol reagent (Thermo Fisher) according to the vendor’s protocol. RNA was washed twice by 70% EtOH and eluted in 10 µL of RNase-free water. RNA samples were further digested fully by RQ1 DNase (Promega) in 10 µL system and were reverse transcribed by ProtoScript II reverse transcriptase (NEB) (a 1:1 combination of oligo dT18 and random hexamers was used to initiate reverse transcription). The cDNA was investigated by real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR).

Live-cell microscopy and analysis

Cells were grown to an OD660 to ~0.4 in SCD medium at 30°C and glucose-starved in SC-G medium for 15 and 30 minutes. 100 µL of cell culture was loaded onto a 96-well glass-bottom microplate (Cellvis). Cells were imaged using an Eclipse Ti-E microscope (Nikon) with an oil-immersion 63X objective. Imaging was controlled using NIS-Elements software (Nikon). Imaging analysis was performed on Fiji software.

Nanoluminescence assay and analysis

The nanoluminescence assay was adapted from methods previously described by (48). Cells were grown to an OD660 to 0.4 in SCD medium at 30°C and glucose-starved in SC-G medium for 20 minutes. 90 µL of cell culture was loaded onto...
a Cellstar non-transparent white 96-well flat-bottom plate (Sigma-Aldrich). OD660 of cells was taken for each sample. For cells treated with cycloheximide (CHX), CHX was added to a final concentration of 100 µg/mL to stop the translation for 5 minutes. To measure the nanoluminescence signal, 11 µL of substrate mix (10 µL of Promega Nano-Glo® Luciferase Assay Buffer, 0.1 µL of Promega NanoLuc® luciferase substrate and 1 µL of 10 mg/mL CHX) was added and mixed with the samples by pipetting. Measurements were taken immediately after addition of substrate mix by Tecan Infinite Lumi plate reader. To analyze the data, the luciferase level of samples was firstly divided by the OD660 level of the samples. Then the normalized luciferase level of non-CHX-treated sample was further normalized by subtracting the luciferase level of CHX-treated sample.

Western blotting

The Western blotting protocol was adapted from (64). IP and input samples were mixed with the same volume of 2X Laemmli buffer (Bio-Rad) and were boiled at 95 °C for 10 minutes. The samples were then resolved by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad), and a rabbit polyclonal antibody specific for calmodulin-binding peptide (A00635-40, GenScript), a Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, HRP (Thermo Fisher) and SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Fisher) were used to detect TAP-tagged proteins. The blotting was imaged using a Gel Doc XR+ Gel Documentation System (Bio-Rad).

Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

The RT-qPCR protocol was adapted from (64). RNA was extracted using the MasterPure Yeast RNA Purification Kit (Epicentre). cDNA was prepared using ProtoScript II Reverse Transcriptase (NEB M0368X) with a 1:1 combination of oligo(dT) 18 primers and random hexamers (NEB) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. mRNA abundance was determined by qPCR using a home-brew recipe with SYBR Green at a final concentration of 0.5X (Thermo Fisher S7564). Primers specific for each transcript were described in Supplementary file 2. The mRNA levels were normalized to ACT1 abundance, and the fold change between samples was calculated by a standard Ct analysis.

Mathematical modeling on the mRNA induction

The mathematical modeling method was adapted from (35) and performed in Python Jupyter Notebook (https://jupyter.org/). To accurately describe the dynamics of induced mRNA transcription, we used an ordinary differential equation as follows,

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \beta - \alpha X
\]

where \(X\) is the mRNA concentration, \(\alpha\) is the degradation constant, and \(\beta\) is the transcription rate. We assumed that transcription and degradation rates play essential roles in shaping the overall curve of mRNA increase, and these parameters stay constant over the course of induced expression. We then hypothesized that Rvb1/Rvb2 binding to mRNAs could either increase \(\beta\) or decrease \(\alpha\), leading to greater mRNA abundance than the PP7 control. To observe the effects of varied transcription or degradation rates on the mRNA abundance, we solved the differential equations with different parameters using ODEINT algorithm, and generated time profiles of the mRNA fold in log2 scale. Solution to the differential equation was expressed as the following function of change in \(X\) with respect to time:

\[
\Delta X(t) = \frac{\beta - X_0(1 - e^{-\alpha t})}{\alpha}
\]

where \(X_0\) is the mRNA level at \(t=0\), the initial time of mRNA induction. Since the degradation rate was proportional to the mRNA concentration, we expected the curves to have a steady increase, followed by a gradual leveling off where the mRNA concentrations stay constant over time. Closer look at the differential equation showed that at steady state (\(dX/dt = 0\)), the mRNA concentration is determined by the ratio of \(\beta\) to \(\alpha\):

\[
X_{ss} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}
\]

whereas for the time it takes for the curve to transition into steady state, inversely proportional to the degradation constant, is given by:

\[
T_{1/2} = \frac{2}{\alpha}
\]

Thus, we showed that for log2 plots, the expected shape of the curves can be altered by varying \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\). At constant \(\alpha\), increasing \(\beta\) would only shift the curve up, while at constant \(\beta\), increasing \(\alpha\) would cause the mRNA abundance to enter steady state more rapidly. Through the comparison between mathematical modeling and experimental data, we could infer the actual effects of Rvb1/Rvb2 binding to mRNA on the transcription and decay rates.

Ribosome profiling

The ribosome profiling protocol was adapted from (10). Yeast was grown in SCD to an OD660 between 0.3 and 0.4. Then, cells were collected by filtration, resuspended in SC -G medium. After 15 minutes glucose was adding back. CHX was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mg/mL for 1 min, and cells were then harvested. Cells were pulverized in a PM 100 ball mill (Retsch), and extracts were digested with RNase I followed by the isolation of ribosome-protected fragments by purifying RNA from the monosome fraction of a sucrose gradient. Isolated 28-base sequences were polyadenylated, and reverse transcription was performed using OTi9pA. OTi9pA allowed samples to be multiplexed at subsequent steps. RNA-seq was performed on RNA depleted of rRNA using a yeast Ribo-Zero kit (Epicentre). Samples were multiplexed and sequenced on a HiSeq analyzer (Illumina).

To analyze the ribosomal profiling and RNA-seq sequences, reads were trimmed of the 39 run of poly(A)s and then aligned against S. cerevisiae rRNA sequences using Bowtie sequence aligner (55). Reads that did not align to
RNA sequences were aligned against the full S. cerevisiae genome. Reads that had an unambiguous alignment with less than 3 mismatches were used in the measurements of ribosome occupancy and mRNA levels. Since there were many reads mapping to the initiation region (216 bp to 120 bp in relation to the AUG), the ribosome occupancy for each mRNA was calculated by taking the total number of ribosome reads (normalized to the total number of aligned reads in reads per million reads (RPM)) in the downstream region (120 bp from the AUG to the end of the ORF) and dividing this by the number of mRNA reads (RPM) in the same region. The ribosome occupancy along the mRNA was calculated by dividing the ribosome read counts at each base pair along the gene by the average number of mRNA reads per base pair for each gene.

Data and materials availability

ChIP-sequencing reads were deposited at GEO. The raw files and analyzed ChIP-seq enrichment data generated in this study is available at GEO: GSE184473. Further information and requests for data, resources, scripts, and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding contact, B.M.Z. (zid@ucsd.edu).
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