A Computational Approach in Identification of Putative Risk Genes in Parkinsons Disease

Bhargav Dave^a, Prasenjit Majumder^{b,c}, Shamayeeta Sarkar^d

^a*Department of Information Technology, Faculty of Technology, Dharmsinh Desai University, Nadiad, Gujarat, India*

^b*DA-IICT, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India*

^c*TCG CREST, Kolkata, West Bengal, India*

^d*Rishi Bankim Chandra College, Naihati, North 24 Parganas, West Bengal, India*

Abstract

This study focussed on identification of risk genes involved in PD through analysis of microarray data. The two methods were applied viz; WGCNA and DEGs Analysis to identify important genes that are downregulated or upregulated in PD. Both methods show high agreement with each other and also with the available biomedical literature available on this neurodegenerative disease. On the basis of their p-value, 20 significantly upregulated and 19 significantly downregulated genes were found to be playing role in the manifestation of motor and non motor symptoms of the disease, as interpreted from the enrichment analysis.

Gene expression dataset of Parkinson's Disease (PD) used in this study was obtained from the GeneExpression Omnibus namely GSE8397, GSE20164, and GSE20295 (Edgar, 2002). Among the important genes extracted, the top downregulated and upregulated genes were studied using enrichment analysis. Out of the 19 common downregulated genes, 10 were directly associated with neuron development and differentiation. Two of the genes , FGF13 and CDC42 were associated with multiple signalling pathways. The gene NSF was found to be enriched with GABAergic synapse, associated with the predominating inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian CNS. The inhibitory synapses are thought to provide a brake to neural firing.

The upregulated genes DDIT4, HSPB1, NUPR1, GPNMB and CH13L1 were enriched with apoptotic signalling pathway while MT1M, MT1E, MT1F, MT1X were associated with mineral absorption pathways. Genes like CDC42 have already been reported to be potential diagnostic markers of PD in clinic (Chi et al., 2018).

Keywords: Parkinsons Disease, Microarray data, Gene co-expression, Differential gene expression, Enrichment analysis

1. Introduction

Parkinsons Disease is one of those neurodegenerative diseases, whose diagnosis and treatment are still to be understood. One of the major challenges is the early diagnosis of PD. Though the disease is a slowly progressive disease, with the symptoms worsening over a long period of time, but by the time the symptoms appear, the effect at cellular level is immense and irreparable (Obeso et al., 2017). For example, the death of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra region of the basal ganglia have been identified to play major role in causing PD but by the time PD symptoms like tremor, rigidity and bradykinesia are visible, there has already been an 80 % loss in dopamine neurons (Obeso et al., 2017).

The symptoms of the disease can be classified into two- motor and non motor. While the former consists of tremors, bradykinesia and muscle rigidity, the latter consists of dementia, pain and loss of smell, to name a few (Greenland and Barker, 2018).

PD is a progressive disease of the Central Nervous System. At cellular level, it involves the loss of dopamine producing cells (Greenland and Barker, 2018).

Hence, it is highly essential to identify early disease diagnostic markers (biomarkers) that can be easily studied in clinic through simple tests like blood tests. By definition, “The term biomarker, or biological marker, refers to a broad range of measures which capture what is happening in a cell or organism at a given moment. Biomarkers are objective medical signs (as opposed to symptoms reported by the patient) used to measure the presence or progress of disease” (Califf, 2018).

An observation of the genes whose expressions change significantly in PD as well as in otherwise symptomatically healthy but aged population can be targetted as genes whose expressions progressively change through ageing in potential PD human beings. A further study of such genes in adult population (which are more prone to neurodegenerative diseases like PD), not only in brain but also in blood microarray samples can help in tracking disease onset and progress and used to predict potential diagnostic markers.

2. Material and Methods

The following Fig. 1 shows the schematic representation of the workflow of the experiment design.

Figure 1: Workflow used to study PD_normal_Dataset

2.1. Data Collection and Processing:

Microarray dataset of Parkinson's Disease (PD) was obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) ¹, a free public functional genomics data repository of an array and sequence-based data (Edgar, 2002). The datasets used in this study were GSE8397, GSE20164, and GSE20295, which are obtained using Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array chip. The dataset comprised of samples from four brain regions: Substantia Nigra (SN), Pre-frontal Cortex (PC), Putamen (PM), Superior Frontal Gyrus (SFG). Samples were between age 41 to 94 years. Depending on the age and sample condition (control/disease), the samples were divided into three groups: Group1 (young), Group2 (aged), and Group3 (PD). Group1 had samples with age between 41 to 70 (less or equal to 70) and neuropathologically healthy individuals, Group2 had samples with age between 70 to 94 (greater than 70) and neuropathologically healthy individuals while Group 3 (PD) consisted of samples

¹<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>

with age between 68 to 89 and with Parkinson’s Disease (PD). Additionally four gene expression profiles- GSE7621, GSE20141, GSE20163, GSE20292 were used for preservation analysis. The details of the four datasets which have been used is provided in the Table 1

Brain Region	Group 1 (age $\leq$ 70)	Group 2 (age $>$ 70)	PD Group	Total Sample
SN	12	26	41	79
PC	5	10	14	29
PM	10	10	15	35
SFG	1	2	5	8
Total	28	48	75	151

Table 1: Distribution of samples into group in PD_normal_dataset

The raw data was provided in CEL file for each sample, which were processed. Robust Multichip Average (RMA) procedures was used to process raw data with background correction, normalization, and log transformation. Normalization was done to correct variation between the arrays and within the probe sets. Log transformation was used to improve the distribution of the data. After RMA, The Affy bioconductor package (Gautier et al., 2004) and the Gene Expression Matrix (GEM) or MicroArray Representation ”hgu133a.DB” human genome annotation package of R (Carlson) was used to annotate the probe-level data into the gene-level data. Probes with no annotation or with multiple annotation were removed from the analysis. In case of multiple probes associated with the same gene, probes with the highest Interquartile Range (IQR) values was retained. Microarray dataset after preprocessing was represented in the format as shown in Table 2 where the expression values were shown with gene in rows and samples in the column.

3. Differentially Expressed Gene Analysis

Differentially Expressed Genes, commonly abbreviated as DEGs analysis, is a method of relative determination of changes in expression of one population, relative to another (Lazar et al., 2012) In another way, it mainly focuses on the analysis and interpretation of differences in the gene expression values of the gene between sample group types.

DEGs were determined from the dataset based on the threshold of statistical measure - False discovery rate (FDR) corrected P-value and fold change.

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	...	...	...	Sample n
Gene 1							
Gene 2							
Gene 3							
Gene 4							
Gene 5							
Gene 6							
...							
...							
...							
Gene m							

Table 2: Gene Expression Matrix (GEM) or MicroArray Representation

FDR corrected P-value was calculated using Benjamini and Hochberg’s method (Ferreira, 2007), and Fold change (FC) difference was calculated (Dalman et al., 2012). The limma package of R (Sakharkar et al., 2019a) was used to find DEGs which provided a facility to calculate FDR corrected P-value and fold change

The genes with positive fold change difference were classified into an upregulated gene, and the genes with negative fold change were classified into a downregulated gene (Lazar et al., 2012). Enrichment analysis of DEGs was done using The Database for Annotation Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) v6.81. DAVID identifies pathways, biological processes, cellular components, and other functionality of genes (Huang et al., 2008).

4. Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis

Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis (WGCNA) is a statistical method for analyzing gene expression data (Zhang and Horvath, 2005). The main focus of WGCNA is to classify genes into a few biologically meaningful modules with similar expression patterns and perform different analyses on the module genes (Horvath, 2011). This was performed using the WGCNA R package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008). At first, sample-based Hierarchical clustering approach was applied to gene expression data for removing outlier of the sample. Then Pearson correlation was used to generate a gene correlation matrix based random network (Mukaka, 2012).

The genes were classified into modules using a dynamic tree cut algorithm (Langfelder et al., 2007) based on TOM similarity with minimum module size n and then the individual module's driver gene was determined. Finally, Module enrichment Analysis was done using DAVID version 6.8 (Huang et al., 2008) for each individual module.

The Module cell type overlap was studied to check the significant overlap between the cell-type-specific genes and the module genes. The brain is made up of many cell types, including Astrocytes, Microglia, Oligo-dendrocytes, Neurons, and Endothelial Cells. The overlap between the module and cell-type-specific genes was tested using Fisher's exact test and a p-value. The GeneOverlap package in R (Shen and Sinai, 2019). (p-value < 0.05) was used to study the overlap of a module to the cell type.

4.1. Module Preservation Analysis

Preservation of modules is a statistical method used to check the robustness and reproducibility of the defined module across other datasets (Langfelder et al., 2011a). *Z_summary* score and median rank were calculated to measure module preservation.

5. Result

5.1. Differentially Expressed Gene Analysis:

DEGs were obtained from three pair-wise comparison viz. Group1 vs. aging(Group2), Group1 vs. PD, and aging(Group2)vs. PD. Figure 2 shows a Volcano plot, which arranges genes along with biological and statistical significance and helps to decide the threshold of fold change and p-value for a differentially expressed gene. The X-axis gives the log fold change between the two groups, so that up and down-regulation appears symmetrically. Right side of the figure indicate upregulated DEG, and left side indicates down-regulated DEG. The Y-axis represents the FDR corrected p-value comparing samples on a negative log scale, so that expression change with smaller p-values appear higher up. The first one indicates the biological impact of the change, and the second shows the statistical evidence of the change. In this study, a gene with a log fold change greater than 0.5 with a false discovery rate (FDR) corrected p-value less than 0.05 was selected as a differentially expressed gene.

From the volcano plot generated using the R package, downregulated and upregulated genes were identified. Table 3, 4, 5, 6 shows the top 25 genes

Figure 2: The Volcano plot of Group 2 (aging) vs. PD generated using the EnhancedVolcano R package (Blighe et al., 2019)

identified under the comparative analysis between Group 1 vs PD and Group 2 vs PD for upregulated and downregulated genes respectively.

5.2. WGCNA (Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis)

The PD_normal_dataset generated upon preprocessing and annotation steps contain 12402 genes and 151 samples. Gene with IQR > 0.2 across the 3 groups (Group 1, Group 2 (aged) and PD) were considered for WGCNA analysis to remove non-varying genes as well as decrease computation time (Oldham et al., 2006; Zhang and Horvath, 2005). This screening resulted in 11104 genes. Sample outlier were detected and removed using the sample based hierarchical clustering method (Zhang and Horvath, 2005). Figure (B.9) 3 displays the hierarchical clustering dendrogram, based on which the sample was generated using PD_normal_dataset. Gene similarity matrix was calculated using Pearson correlation (Mukaka, 2012) and transformed to the adjacency matrix using powerlaw (Broido and Clauset, 2019). The Figure 4 shows the network achieved scale-free topology at ten. Hence, a soft power (β) value of 10 was used to construct a signed co-expression network. The hierarchical clustering, based on the gene with TOM similarity (Yip and Horvath, 2007), generated the tree-type structure Figure 5 with a minimum module size of 170). The Figure 6 shows dendrogram based on the genes generated with modules colors (turquoise, blue, brown, yellow, green, red, black, and

pink). A total of 690 outlier genes were detected (grey color). Furthermore, the individual module's hub (Driver) gene was determined, which showed the highest connectivity in the module. The following driver or hub genes, namely PCMT1, CAPZB, IGF2-AS, NFAT5, PRB4, HPRT1, ATP5MC3, TYROBP, C1orf105, FUT7, RHOT1, RSL24D1, USP33, ATP11B, SSX2IP were found. The Table 7 provides details of all modules with driver genes associated with the individual module.

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
1	XIST	X inactive specific transcript	1.79E+00	9.79E-06	3.71E-04
2	S100A8	S100 calcium binding protein A8	1.48E+00	3.49E-04	4.82E-03
3	CHI3L1	chitinase 3 like 1	1.47E+00	4.41E-05	1.07E-03
4	MT1M	metallothionein 1M	1.41E+00	1.07E-08	3.66E-06
5	SERPINA3	serpin family A member 3	1.29E+00	5.99E-05	1.34E-03
6	MT1G	metallothionein 1G	1.25E+00	1.90E-09	1.58E-06
7	HSPB1	heat shock protein family B (small) member 1	1.20E+00	3.19E-07	3.75E-05
8	CEBPD	CCAAT enhancer binding protein delta	1.20E+00	4.87E-07	4.57E-05
9	MAFF	MAF bZIP transcription factor F	1.20E+00	4.92E-04	6.25E-03
10	NUPR1	nuclear protein 1, transcriptional regulator	1.17E+00	3.97E-07	4.07E-05
11	SLCO4A1	solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 4A1	1.12E+00	4.24E-09	2.37E-06

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
12	MT1X	metallothionein 1X	1.12E+00	2.27E-07	2.90E-05
13	SLC14A1	solute carrier family 14 member 1 (Kidd blood group)	1.12E+00	4.02E-07	4.09E-05
14	MT1F	metallothionein 1F	1.09E+00	1.17E-07	1.81E-05
15	GPNMB	glycoprotein nmb	1.09E+00	3.14E-06	1.71E-04
16	DDIT4	DNA damage inducible transcript 4	1.07E+00	4.58E-09	2.37E-06
17	MT1H	metallothionein 1H	1.06E+00	2.52E-09	1.64E-06
18	TAGLN	transgelin	1.02E+00	2.00E-04	3.20E-03
19	CD163	CD163 molecule	9.90E-01	8.03E-06	3.26E-04
20	SRGN	serglycin	9.83E-01	6.86E-04	7.86E-03
21	MT2A	metallothionein 2A	9.83E-01	1.91E-09	1.58E-06
22	RPL37A	ribosomal protein L37a	9.74E-01	5.47E-04	6.73E-03
23	CD44	CD44 molecule (Indian blood group)	9.39E-01	3.34E-03	2.44E-02
24	MT1E	metallothionein 1E	9.08E-01	4.97E-08	1.05E-05
25	VSIG4	V-set and immunoglobulin domain containing 4	8.77E-01	2.07E-05	6.14E-04

Table 3: List of top 25 Group1 vs. PD up-regulated genes.

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
1	TAC1	tachykinin precursor 1	-2.29E+00	4.85E-08	1.04E-05
2	FGF13	fibroblast growth factor 13	-2.18E+00	4.50E-13	5.58E-09
3	PRKCB	protein kinase C beta	-1.92E+00	3.74E-07	3.96E-05
4	GAP43	growth associated protein 43	-1.81E+00	1.09E-08	3.66E-06
5	RPS4Y1	ribosomal protein S4 Y-linked 1	-1.78E+00	2.43E-06	1.41E-04
6	RGS4	regulator of G protein signaling 4	-1.76E+00	6.38E-08	1.22E-05
7	MYT1L	myelin transcription factor 1 like	-1.75E+00	4.75E-07	4.57E-05
8	NEFL	neurofilament light	-1.73E+00	6.50E-05	1.43E-03
9	PENK	proenkephalin	-1.73E+00	1.61E-05	5.31E-04
10	CALB1	calbindin 1	-1.68E+00	3.59E-07	3.94E-05
11	SCG2	secretogranin II	-1.64E+00	3.59E-08	8.24E-06
12	PCDH8	protocadherin 8	-1.62E+00	1.46E-07	2.13E-05
13	KCNAB1	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 1	-1.61E+00	5.13E-06	2.40E-04
14	ARPP21	cAMP regulated phosphoprotein 21	-1.58E+00	1.42E-03	1.31E-02
15	SYT1	synaptotagmin 1	-1.56E+00	9.31E-06	3.58E-04
16	CDC42	cell division cycle 42	-1.54E+00	3.43E-08	8.02E-06
17	STMN2	stathmin 2	-1.54E+00	1.62E-05	5.31E-04
18	ANO3	anoctamin 3	-1.54E+00	4.34E-03	2.94E-02

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
19	SV2C	synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2C	-1.50E+00	7.67E-05	1.60E-03
20	GAD1	glutamate decarboxylase 1	-1.46E+00	3.28E-07	3.75E-05
21	NSG1	neuronal vesicle trafficking associated 1	-1.46E+00	6.59E-08	1.24E-05
22	NSF	N-ethylmaleimide sensitive factor, vesicle fusing ATPase	-1.45E+00	5.07E-09	2.51E-06
23	TPBG	trophoblast glycoprotein	-1.44E+00	2.09E-09	1.62E-06
24	DCLK1	doublecortin like kinase 1	-1.44E+00	2.80E-09	1.74E-06
25	CHGB	chromogranin B	-1.41E+00	5.37E-09	2.56E-06

Table 4: List of top 25 Group1 vs. PD down-regulated genes.

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
1	HSPB1	heat shock protein family B (small) member 1	1.19E+00	2.27E-09	4.69E-06
2	MAFF	MAF bZIP transcription factor F	1.16E+00	5.85E-05	2.56E-03
3	DNAJB1	DnaJ heat shock protein family (Hsp40) member B1	1.02E+00	4.84E-07	1.54E-04

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
4	DDIT4	DNA damage inducible transcript 4	9.67E-01	2.74E-10	1.70E-06
5	SLCO4A1	solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 4A1	9.49E-01	2.86E-09	5.07E-06
6	MKNK2	MAPK interacting serine/threonine kinase 2	8.79E-01	1.15E-06	2.55E-04
7	PKP4	plakophilin 4	8.51E-01	1.24E-03	1.89E-02
8	CEBPB	CCAAT enhancer binding protein beta	8.47E-01	1.96E-08	1.62E-05
9	MAN2A1	mannosidase alpha class 2A member 1	8.45E-01	9.57E-06	8.68E-04
10	CEBPD	CCAAT enhancer binding protein delta	8.30E-01	2.43E-05	1.45E-03
11	CRYAB	crystallin alpha B	7.74E-01	1.97E-05	1.32E-03
12	JMJD6	jumonji domain containing 6, arginine demethylase and lysine hydroxylase	7.51E-01	3.71E-06	4.84E-04
13	SLC38A2	solute carrier family 38 member 2	7.45E-01	2.59E-04	6.75E-03
14	NPTX2	neuronal pentraxin 2	7.39E-01	3.54E-05	1.91E-03
15	DUSP1	dual specificity phosphatase 1	7.17E-01	5.80E-06	6.48E-04
16	CA2	carbonic anhydrase 2	7.10E-01	2.36E-03	2.92E-02

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
17	DNAJB6	DnaJ heat shock protein family (Hsp40) member B6	7.06E-01	2.85E-06	4.26E-04
18	NFKBIA	NFKB inhibitor alpha	7.04E-01	3.02E-06	4.31E-04
19	SLC5A3	solute carrier family 5 member 3	6.83E-01	1.01E-03	1.66E-02
20	CALR	calreticulin	6.80E-01	4.43E-03	4.35E-02
21	MT1G	metallothionein 1G	6.77E-01	5.61E-05	2.51E-03
22	MYOT	myotilin	6.66E-01	2.01E-04	5.70E-03
23	ZBTB16	zinc finger and BTB domain containing 16	6.64E-01	1.72E-04	5.13E-03
24	NUPR1	nuclear protein 1, transcriptional regulator	6.62E-01	4.22E-04	9.59E-03
25	IL1RL1	interleukin 1 receptor like 1	6.62E-01	2.48E-03	3.00E-02

Table 5: List of top 25 aging (Group2) vs. PD up-regulated genes.

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
1	CDC42	cell division cycle 42	-1.19E+00	2.75E-07	1.03E-04
2	FGF13	fibroblast growth factor 13	-1.18E+00	7.96E-07	2.10E-04
3	SLC18A2	solute carrier family 18 member A2	-1.13E+00	9.70E-05	3.55E-03

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
4	SV2C	synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2C	-1.09E+00	5.36E-04	1.11E-02
5	SYT1	synaptotagmin 1	-1.05E+00	2.88E-04	7.30E-03
6	RGS4	regulator of G protein signaling 4	-1.05E+00	7.53E-05	3.05E-03
7	VSNL1	visinin like 1	-1.04E+00	1.46E-03	2.15E-02
8	DCLK1	doublecortin like kinase 1	-1.01E+00	3.52E-07	1.21E-04
9	HPRT1	hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl-transferase 1	-1.00E+00	1.24E-06	2.70E-04
10	SLC6A3	solute carrier family 6 member 3	-9.93E-01	1.01E-03	1.66E-02
11	SEC22B	SEC22 homolog B, vesicle trafficking protein (gene/pseudogene)	-9.78E-01	2.23E-06	3.89E-04
12	NEFM	neurofilament medium	-9.73E-01	1.42E-04	4.50E-03
13	SCG2	secretogranin II	-9.68E-01	6.66E-05	2.79E-03
14	TH	tyrosine hydroxylase	-9.67E-01	1.31E-03	1.97E-02
15	PCDH8	protocadherin 8	-9.61E-01	1.29E-04	4.23E-03
16	CIRBP	cold inducible RNA binding protein	-9.45E-01	1.57E-06	3.25E-04
17	GBE1	1,4-alpha-glucan branching enzyme 1	-9.08E-01	2.69E-06	4.22E-04
18	ALDH1A1	aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1	-8.99E-01	2.65E-03	3.10E-02
19	CHGB	chromogranin B	-8.98E-01	4.93E-06	5.56E-04

Continue on the next page

No.	Gene Symbol	Gene Name	logFC	P.Value	adj.P.Val
20	REEP1	receptor accessory protein 1	-8.96E-01	2.42E-05	1.45E-03
21	NSG1	neuronal vesicle trafficking associated 1	-8.92E-01	5.17E-05	2.38E-03
22	DDC	dopa decarboxylase	-8.85E-01	8.81E-05	3.33E-03
23	SYNJ1	synaptojanin 1	-8.84E-01	5.43E-05	2.47E-03
24	PLPPR4	phospholipid phosphatase related 4	-8.83E-01	1.01E-05	8.68E-04
25	GABBR2	gamma-aminobutyric acid type B receptor subunit 2	-8.74E-01	3.88E-03	3.97E-02

Table 6: List of top 25 aging (Group2) vs. PD down-regulated genes.

The correlation between module eigengene (ME) expression value and age, gender (0-male, 1- female), stage (0 - Group1, 1 - Group2 (aging), 2 - PD), PD.effect (Group1 and Group2 (aging) - 0, PD - 1) calculated for each module. The module eigengene (ME) expression values of module PD_M4 (Yellow), PD_M6 (Red), PD_M7 (Black), PD_M11 (Greenyellow), and PD_M12 (tan) shows a negative correlation and module PD_M8 (pink), PD_M10 (purple), and PD_M15 (midnight-blue) shows a negative correlation with aging, stage, and PD. The module PD_M4 (yellow), PD_M6 (red), PD_M7 (black), PD_M9 (magenta), PD_M11 (green-yellow), PD_M12 (tan), and PD_M13 (salmon) show a positive correlation and PD_M3 (brown), PD_M5 (green), PD_M10 (purple) and PD_M14 (cyan) shows a negative correlation with gender. Module PD_11 (green-yellow) and PD_15 (midnight-blue) strongly correlated with both aging and PD and module PD_M6 (red), PD_M7 (black), PD_M10 (purple) and PD_M12 (tan) strongly correlated with only aging. The Figure 7 shows the correlation significance of ME expression values to traits age, gender, stage, and PD.effect. The ME expres-

Figure 3: Hierarchical clustering dendrogram based on the sample generated using samples of PD_normal_dataset, the red color line shows an outlier detection threshold at the height of 105. No outlier samples found in PD_normal_dataset.

Figure 4: The scale-free index generated using samples of PD_normal_dataset, the scale-free topology model fit and signed R^2 vs. Soft (Power) threshold is shown on the left side, which shows the network achieve scale-free topology at ten. The result on the right side shows the mean connectivity vs. Soft (Power) threshold, which indicates mean connectivity stable at power ten. Both results give ten power, so ten selected as soft thresholds (power).

Figure 5:
Hierarchical clustering dendrogram obtained using samples of PD_normal.datset, based on the genes using TOM (Yip and Horvath, 2007) based similarity.

Figure 6: A hierarchical clustering dendrogram generated using samples of PD.normal.dataset based on the genes using TOM (Yip and Horvath, 2007) based similarity with module colors. The individual module represented by colors like turquoise, blue, brown, yellow, green, red, black, and pink. Gray color represents a gene outlier in the dataset.

Moduel	Moduel Color	Module Size	Driver gene gene
0	gray	690	-
1	turquoise	1847	ATP11B
2	blue	1407	CAPZB
3	brown	1028	IGF2-AS
4	yellow	835	SSX2IP
5	green	734	PRB4
6	red	696	RHOT1
7	black	542	PCMT1
8	pink	519	C1orf105
9	magenta	454	ATP5MC3
10	purple	426	FUT7
11	greenyellow	395	HPRT1
12	tan	395	USP33
13	salmon	390	RSL24D1
14	cyan	388	NFAT5
15	midnightblue	358	TYROBP

Table 7: Details of the module size and drive or hub gene that generated using PD.normal.data.

sion values indicate that the genes in PD_M15 module were up-regulated. In contrast, the genes in the PD_M11 module were down-regulated in the transition from Group1 to Group2 (aging) to PD. The Figure 8 shows ME expression values of M11 and M15 module for individual samples according to the grouping Group1, Group2 (aged), and PD.

Figure 7: Correlation between ME expression value and value and age, gender (0-male, 1-female), stage (0 - Group1, 1 - Group2 (aging) , 2 - PD), PD.effect (Group1 and Group2 (aging) - 0, PD - 1) for each module. Pearson correlation is reported with the p-value given inside the parentheses.

The overlap between the module and cell-type-specific genes was calculated and analyzed. Table 8 represented the p-value obtained from the overlap of the module and cell-type-specific genes. The analysis showed that modules PD_M1 (turquoise), PD_M2 (blue), PD_M4 (yellow), and PD_M13 (salmon) associated with astrocytes cell, modules PD_M4 (yellow), and PD_M11 (green-yellow) associated with neurons cells, module PD_M2 (blue), PD_M11 (green-yellow), PD_M12 (tan), PD_M15 (midnight-blue) associated with endothelial cells, module PD_M6 (red) and PD_M15 (midnight-blue) associated

Figure 8: ME expression values of M11 and M15 (y-axis) across samples (x-axis) in PD_normal_dataset. The samples grouped into Group1 (Red), aging (Green), and PD (Blue).

Module	Astrocytes	Endothelial	Microglia	Neurons	Oligodendrocytes
PD_M1	2.86E-03	0.578	0.845	1.0	5.00E-04
PD_M2	1.53E-05	1.71E-02	0.935	0.924	0.369
PD_M3	0.974	0.969	0.779	0.994	0.994
PD_M4	4.65E-02	0.298	1.0	4.21E-53	1.34E-12
PD_M5	0.946	0.439	0.978	0.991	1.0
PD_M6	0.689	0.674	6.74E-02	0.809	0.434
PD_M7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.930
PD_M8	0.808	0.514	1.0	0.639	0.921
PD_M9	0.730	0.190	1.0	0.258	0.891
PD_M10	0.972	1.0	0.645	0.637	1.0
PD_M11	0.843	4.19E-02	1.0	4.52E-48	0.854
PD_M12	0.964	4.19E-02	0.604	0.176	0.854
PD_M13	4.48E-02	0.370	1.0	0.997	1.17E-02
PD_M14	0.403	1.0	0.866	0.107	0.561
PD_M15	0.178	2.76E-06	1.25E-73	1.0	1.0

Table 8: Overlap between cell type specific genes and modules

with microglia gene and module PD_M1(turquoise), PD_M4 (yellow) and PD_M13 (salmon) associated with oligodendrocytes cell. On the other hand, modules PD_M3 (brown), PD_M7 (black), PD_M8(pink), PD_M9 (magenta), PD_M10 (purple), and PD_M14 (cyan) showed less significance with the cell type. Further, the module specific to Microglia, neurons, showed a significant correlation with aging or PD.

Module preservation analysis was performed (Langfelder et al., 2011b) using GSE7621, GSE20141, GSE20163, GSE20292 dataset. Most of the aging and PD related modules identified previously showed moderate to high preservation. The modules PD_M1, PD_M3, PD_M7, PD_M9, PD_M11, PD_M12 showed high preservation compared to other modules. The modules specific to neurons (PD_M4 and PD_M11), microglia (PD_M6 and PD_M15), endothelial cells (PD_M11 and PD_M15), and astrocytes (PD_M2, PD_M4, and PD_M13) were preserved in multiple datasets. Since both neuron and glial cells are affected together in PD, we suggest that neuron-glial interactions might be affected in PD. Further, the module PD_M7, PD_M8, PD_M9, and PD_M10, which showed less significance with the cell type, was also preserved with these datasets. The Figure 9,10 showed Z-summary measure of module

Figure 9: Module preservation analysis using Zsummary with GSE7627 and GSE20141 datasets.

preservation analysis.

There were several modules that showed significant associations with chronological age and PD and several that showed negative associations were enriched for observing what the genes encoded for.

6. DISCUSSION

Using the microarray dataset GSE8397, GSE20164, and GSE20295 from the GeneExpression Omnibus database, which included 75 samples from PD patients and 76 matched controls, 828 DEGs were identified after data pre-processing, WGCNA followed by enrichment analysis and differential expression analysis and its enrichment. The important upregulated and downregulated genes were selected from analysis from all the data (Table 3, 4, 5, 6).

In WGCNA Co-expression analysis obtained by merging the microarray data of three groups viz young, old, and diseased, some biologically meaningful modules were identified. From the heatmap Fig. 7 generated in WGCNA, it was observed that M11 and M15 were two modules that showed the highest p-value. Of this M11 had the highest negative correlation and M15 had the highest positive correlation in context to aging and PD effect. The enrich-

Figure 10: Module preservation analysis using Z_summary with GSE20163 and GSE20292 datasets.

ment analysis study done on M11 and M15 clusters (Table 9, 10) showed the following result.

- The top 5 significantly enriched GO terms in biological processes are axon development, protein localization to plasma membrane, neurofilament bundle assembly, exocytosis, and inositol phosphate metabolic process for DEG IN M11.
- The top5 significantly enriched molecular function annotations for genes differentially ex-pressed in M11 are protein binding, structural constituent of cytoskeleton, SNARE binding, protein kinase binding, and ATP binding.
- The top 5 significantly enriched cellular component annotations for genes differentially expressed in M11 are myelin sheath, cytosol, synaptic vesicle, and synaptic vesicle membrane, mitochondrion and neuron projection and neurofilament.
- The top 5 significantly enriched GO terms in biological processes for M15 are : The top 5 significantly enriched GO terms in biological processes are inflammatory response, immune response,innate immune

response, interferon gamma mediated signalling pathway, cellular response to lipopolysaccharide. The Top 5 significantly enriched molecular function annotation for DEG in M15 are MHC class II receptor activity, protein binding, peptide antigen binding, IgG binding, receptor activity. The top 5 significantly enriched cellular component annotations for DEG in M15 are extracellular exosome, MHC class II protein complex, plasma membrane, extracellular space, integral component of plasma membrane.

An overlap between cell type specific genes and modules (Table 8) showed that Module M11 is associated with neurons and endothelial cells, M15 had wide association with microglia.

From the differential Expression Analysis, using volcano plot (Fig. 2), 568 upregulated and 126 downregulated genes were identified, from which the top 25 genes (from each cluster) were ranked using logFC.

The data obtained in DEG analysis had three clusters viz Group 1 vs PD, Group 1 vs Group 2 and Group 2 vs PD, taking into account the parameters of influence of ageing on PD (Table 3, 4, 5, 6).

On close study of comparison between Group1 vs PD along with Group 1 vs Group 2, an assessment of the differential expression in context to both aging and PD was done. It has been noticed that genes that showed down-regulation/upregulation for both PD samples and Group 2 are more likely to reflect age dependant contribution to the disease risk rather than genes altered only in PD (Wood-Kaczmar et al., 2006). Parkinson's Disease is known to be an age related neurodegenerative disease (Nussbaum and Ellis, 2003). It affects 41 people per 100,000 in the age group of 30-40 years old to over 1900 per 100,000 in people over 80 years of age, thus showing its high prevalence in senior population (Lau and Breteler, 2006). Table refEnrichment analysis 19 downregulated genes, 10 summarizes those genes that are common in both the clusters i.e Group 1 vs PD and Group1 vs Group 2.

A combined analysis of the enrichment data (using DAVID 6.7) for both upregulated and down-regulated genes obtained by merging the two different methods (WGCNA and differential expression analysis) narrowed down the number of significant DEG to 39. It was observed that the list of DEG downregulated (Group1 vs Group2, Group 1 vs PD and Group2 vs PD) from DEG analysis had 19 genes common with the Cluster 11 module of WGCNA. These genes were FGF13, RGS4, NEFL, SYT1, CDC42, STMN2, NSG1, NSF, DCLK1, CHGB, YWHAB, FKBP1B, IDS, HPRT1, REEP1,

NEFM, DDC, GABBR2, and SYNJ1. Similarly, a list of DEG (Group 1 vs PD and Group2 vs PD) from DEG analysis had 20 upregulated genes in common with the M15 cluster of WGCNA study. These were MT1G, MT2A, MT1H, SLCO4A1, DDIT4, MT1M, MT1E, MT1F, MT1X, HSPB1, NUPR1, SLC14A1, CEBPD, GPNMB, CD163, VSIG4, CH13L1, SERPINA3, S100A8, TAGLN. Table 9, 10 shows details of Enrichment analysis of 19 downregulated genes and 20 downregulated genes.

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
FGF13	Rap1 signaling pathway, Ras signaling pathway, MAPK signaling pathway	negative regulation of microtubule depolymerization, cell-cell signaling, MAPK cascade, learning	neuron projection, axon, plasma membrane, dendrite, growth cone	microtubule binding
RGS4			plasma membrane	
NEFL		axon development, MAPK cascade	axon, growth cone, myelin sheath	
SYT1		chemical synaptic transmission, regulation of exocytosis, synaptic vesicle endocytosis	neuron projection, synaptic vesicle, terminal bouton, synaptic vesicle membrane, clathrin-sculpted monoamine transport vesicle membrane, dense core granule, cell junction, plasma membrane	calmodulin binding, syntaxin-1 binding, phosphatidylserine binding

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			Molecular Function
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	
CDC42	Rap1 signaling pathway, Ras signaling pathway, MAPK signaling pathway	regulation of filopodium assembly	neuron projection, secretory granule, plasma membrane, myelin sheath	
STMN2		negative regulation of microtubule depolymerization, cellular response to nerve growth factor stimulus	axon, neuron projection, neuronal cell body, growth cone	calmodulin binding
NSG1		positive regulation of receptor recycling	postsynaptic membrane	
NSF	GABAergic synapse	positive regulation of receptor recycling, regulation of exocytosis	secretory granule, myelin sheath, myelin sheath	syntaxin-1 binding
DCLK1		dendrite morphogenesis	integral component of plasma membrane, postsynaptic density	

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
CHGB			clathrin-sculpted monoamine transport vesicle membrane, secretory granule	
YWHAB				
FKBP1B				
IDS				
HPRT1		locomotory behavior, response to amphetamine, dendrite morphogenesis		
REEP1				microtubule binding
NEFM			axon	microtubule binding
DDC	Cocaine addiction, Amphetamine addiction, Dopaminergic synapse, Alcoholism	phytoalexin metabolic process, isoquinoline alkaloid metabolic process	synaptic vesicle, axon	amino acid binding

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
GABBR2		chemical synaptic transmission	neuron projection, cell junction, postsynaptic membrane, integral component of plasma membrane	
SYNJ1		synaptic vesicle endocytosis, learning	neuron projection, terminal bouton	

Table 9: Detail Enrichment analysis of 19 downregulated genes common in Cluster 11 and DEG analysis.

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
MT1G	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to cadmium ion, cellular response to vascular endothelial growth factor stimulus	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding, protein binding
MT2A	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to erythropoietin, response to metal ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
MT1H	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to cadmium ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
SLCO4A1				
DDIT4		intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway in response to DNA damage by p53 class mediator	cytosol	

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
MT1M	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
MT1E	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to cadmium ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
MT1F	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to cadmium ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
MT1X	Mineral absorption	negative regulation of growth, cellular response to zinc ion, cellular response to cadmium ion, cellular response to erythropoietin, response to metal ion	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, nucleus, cytoplasm	zinc ion binding, metal ion binding
HSPB1		cellular response to vascular endothelial growth factor stimulus, negative regulation of apoptotic process	nucleus, cytoplasm, Z disc, cytosol	identical protein binding, protein binding

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			Molecular Function
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	
NUPR1	Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway in response to DNA damage by p53 class mediator, acute inflammatory response	nucleus, cytoplasm	
SLC14A1				
CEBPD		negative regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	nucleus	protein homodimerization activity, protein binding
GPNMB		negative regulation of T cell proliferation, positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade		
CD163				
VSIG4		negative regulation of T cell proliferation		
CH13L1		positive regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade	perinuclear region of cytoplasm, cytoplasm	
SERPINA3			nucleus	

Continue on the next page

Gene Name	Enrichment Analysis			
	KEGG Pathway	Biological process	Cellular Components	Molecular Function
S100A8		acute inflammatory response	nucleus	zinc ion binding
TAGLN			cytoplasm	

Table 10: Detail Enrichment analysis of 20 upregulated genes.

7. Conclusion

Parkinson's Disease has been considered as a disorder of cell metabolism (Anandhan et al., 2017). Some of the metabolic pathways that result in the neurological disorder have been identified. Study of the correlations between PD using gene expression comparisons between normal and diseased human samples can lead to identifying important genes and pathways which can contribute to the process of disease diagnosis, study of disease progression, identification of biomarkers and drug discovery. Among the various pathways, alterations in redox homeostasis and bioenergetics are thought to be the central component of neurodegeneration that contribute to the impairment of important homeostatic process in dopaminergic cells (Anandhan et al., 2017). Similarly, GABA plays an important role in behaviour, cognition, and the body's response to stress (Jie et al., 2018). GABA is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the Central Nervous System. The long term stability and function of the neuronal network is dependent on a maintained balance between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission (Tatti et al., 2017). The purpose of our work was to identify such genes that are essentially involved in bringing alterations at various levels of the disease progression. For this, our study involved analysis of gene expression data (microarray data) to cluster the genes on the basis of their expression as suggested from previous works by (Papapetropoulos et al., 2006). The important genes were identified that are significantly upregulated or downregulated with ageing and/or onset of the disease. The function of these potential genes was then checked through the annotations available by enrichment analysis from available gene annotation databases like KEGG (Kanehisa, 2000). Further correlation to the disease could then be interpreted.

From comparative study of the WGCNA and DEG analysis results, it was observed that the top 25 downregulated and upregulated genes obtained in DEG analysis (Table 3, 4, 5, 6) had maximum overlap with the two modules viz. Cluster 11 and Cluster 15 of WGCNA clusters obtained. These two clusters had shown highest negative and positive correlation respectively with respect to ageing and PD as seen in the heatmap shown in Fig. 7. This overlapping of a major number of genes, showed the following conclusions:-

- WGCNA method gave robust clusters in this study.
- The overlapping or common genes from the two studies could be marked as potential risk genes in relation to PD, on the basis of their

- statistical significance of upregulation or down regulation of expression against healthy sample.
- Enrichment analysis study of these genes.
- literature surveys on reported correlation of these genes with PD.

Some of the important genes that were identified as important downregulated genes in relation to both ageing and PD, as studied in all the methods viz. WGCNA and DEG analysis are SYT1, NEFL, RGS4, CDC42, FGF13, CHGB, NSG1, DCLK1. These genes have been previously reported to be associated with PD (Sonntag et al., 2009; Su et al., 2018; Sakharkar et al., 2019b; Magalingam et al., 2015). Similarly, among the upregulated genes, VSIG4, MT1H, SLCO4A1, CEBPD, CD163, GPNMB, (Sakharkar et al., 2019b; Li et al., 2019) were previously reported to be associated with PD.

A cell type overlap study with the two important modules M11 and M15 shows the highest association with neuron and microglial cells respectively (Table 8). This shows that most of the downregulated gene expressions have occurred in the neuron cells while the maximum upregulation of gene expression has been found in the microglial cells. This can be assessed as the presence of significantly downregulated genes in the neuron cells and upregulated genes in the microglial cells. Prominent down-regulation of members of the PARK gene family and dysregulation of multiple genes associated with programmed cell death and survival, deregulation of genes for neurotransmitter and ion channel receptors have been reported in several studies including that of Simunovic et al (Simunovic et al., 2008). The present study reported here shows similar association of gene expression changes in particular cell types.

Thus, this study identified a set of potential crucial PD associated genes which were associated with neuron development and differentiation. By implementing both the methods viz WGCNA and DEG analysis, putative risk genes could be identified from the microarray data of the brain region on the basis of gene upregulation or downregulation with respect to young and healthy samples. Further, the comparative analysis from enrichment analysis study of both the methodologies indicate that these genes are involved in particular biological processes, cellular components, and molecular functions that contribute to the motor and non-motor symptoms of the disease. Of the 568 upregulated and 126 downregulated genes, 19 downregulated genes were found to play significant roles in various signalling

pathways (FGF13, CDC42), axon development (NEFL), chemical synaptic transmission (SYT1), neuron projection (FGF13), calmodulin binding (RGS4), regulation of exocytosis (SYT1). Similarly, 20 upregulated genes were found to be enriched in several processes like metal binding proteins associated with neuroprotection (MT1G, MT2A, MT1H, MT1M, MT1E, MT1F, MT1X), stress responsive genes related to regulation of cellular reactive oxygen species (DDIT4), transporter family (SLCO4A1, SLC14A1), transcriptional regulator (NUPR1, CEBPD), negative regulation of T cell proliferation (VSIG4), inflammatory response (TAGLN, CD163, CH13L1). It was also observed from the WGCNA modules heatmap generated using several parameters (Fig. 7), that the differential gene expression related to PD and ageing were related, confirming age to be a major risk factor for PD (Dawson and Dawson, 2003). Previous studies have shown that the neuron cells show high amount of down-regulated gene expressions while the microglial cells show higher upregulation in gene expressions in PD (Sonninen et al., 2020). The identified potential risk genes could be further compared to microarray data from blood samples of healthy and PD individuals to suggest tentative biomarkers in disease diagnosis, disease progression, effect of treatment and therapy.

References

- Anandhan, A., Jacome, M.S., Lei, S., Hernandez-Franco, P., Pappa, A., Panayiotidis, M.I., Powers, R., Franco, R., 2017. Metabolic dysfunction in parkinson's disease: Bioenergetics, redox homeostasis and central carbon metabolism. *Brain Research Bulletin* 133, 12–30. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2017.03.009>. oxidative Stress and Neurodegeneration.
- Blighe, K., Rana, S., Lewis, M., 2019. EnhancedVolcano: Publication-ready volcano plots with enhanced colouring and labeling. URL: <https://github.com/kevinblighe/EnhancedVolcano>. r package version 1.4.0.
- Broido, A.D., Clauset, A., 2019. Scale-free networks are rare. *Nature Communications* 10. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08746-5.
- Califf, R.M., 2018. Biomarker definitions and their applications. *Experimental Biology and Medicine* 243, 213–221. doi:10.1177/1535370217750088. PMID: 29405771.

- Carlson, M., . hgu133a.db: Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Set annotation data (chip hgu133a). R package version 3.2.2.
- Chi, J., Xie, Q., Jia, J., Liu, X., Sun, J., Deng, Y., Yi, L., 2018. Integrated analysis and identification of novel biomarkers in parkinson’s disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 10, 178. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2018.00178.
- Dalman, M.R., Deeter, A., Nimishakavi, G., Duan, Z.H., 2012. Fold change and p-value cutoffs significantly alter microarray interpretations. *BMC Bioinformatics* 13. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-s2-s11.
- Dawson, T.M., Dawson, V.L., 2003. Molecular pathways of neurodegeneration in parkinson’s disease. *Science* 302, 819–822. doi:10.1126/science.1087753.
- Edgar, R., 2002. Gene expression omnibus: Ncbi gene expression and hybridization array data repository. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30, 207–210. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.207.
- Ferreira, J.A., 2007. The benjamini-hochberg method in the case of discrete test statistics. *The International Journal of Biostatistics* 3. doi:10.2202/1557-4679.1065.
- Gautier, L., Cope, L., Bolstad, B.M., Irizarry, R.A., 2004. affy-analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip data at the probe level. *Bioinformatics* 20, 307–315. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg405.
- Greenland, J.C., Barker, R.A., 2018. The differential diagnosis of parkinson’s disease. *Parkinson’s Disease: Pathogenesis and Clinical Aspects* , 109–128doi:10.15586/codonpublications.parkinsonsdisease.2018.ch6.
- Horvath, S., 2011. *Weighted Network Analysis Applications in Genomics and Systems Biology*. Springer New York.
- Huang, D.W., Sherman, B.T., Lempicki, R.A., 2008. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols* 4, 44–57. doi:10.1038/nprot.2008.211.
- Jie, F., Yin, G., Yang, W., Yang, M., Gao, S., Lv, J., Li, B., 2018. Stress in regulation of gaba amygdala system and relevance to neuropsychiatric diseases. *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 12, 562. doi:10.3389/fnins.2018.00562.

- Kanehisa, M., 2000. Kegg: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 28, 27–30. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.27.
- Langfelder, P., Horvath, S., 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.
- Langfelder, P., Luo, R., Oldham, M.C., Horvath, S., 2011a. Is my network module preserved and reproducible? *PLOS Computational Biology* 7, 1–29. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001057.
- Langfelder, P., Luo, R., Oldham, M.C., Horvath, S., 2011b. Is my network module preserved and reproducible? *PLoS Computational Biology* 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001057.
- Langfelder, P., Zhang, B., Horvath, S., 2007. Defining clusters from a hierarchical cluster tree: the Dynamic Tree Cut package for R. *Bioinformatics* 24, 719–720. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm563.
- Lau, L.M.D., Breteler, M.M., 2006. Epidemiology of parkinsons disease. *The Lancet Neurology* 5, 525–535. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(06)70471-9.
- Lazar, C., Taminiau, J., Meganck, S., Steenhoff, D., Coletta, A., Molter, C., de Schaetzen, V., Duque, R., Bersini, H., Nowe, A., 2012. A Survey on Filter Techniques for Feature Selection in Gene Expression Microarray Analysis. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics* 9, 1106–1119.
- Li, M., Xu, H., Chen, G., Sun, S., Wang, Q., Liu, B., Wu, X., Zhou, L., Chai, Z., Sun, X., Lu, Y., Younus, M., Zheng, L., Zhu, F., Jia, H., Chen, X., Wang, C., Zhou, Z., 2019. Impaired D2 receptor-dependent dopaminergic transmission in prefrontal cortex of awake mouse model of Parkinson’s disease. *Brain* 142, 3099–3115. doi:10.1093/brain/awz243.
- Magalingam, K.B., Radhakrishnan, A.K., Haleagrahara, N., 2015. Protective mechanisms of flavonoids in parkinson’s disease. *Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity* 2015, 1–14. doi:10.1155/2015/314560.
- Mukaka, M., 2012. Statistics corner: A guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. *Malawi medical journal : the journal of Medical Association of Malawi* 24, 69–71.

- Nussbaum, R.L., Ellis, C.E., 2003. Alzheimer's disease and parkinson's disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 348, 1356–1364. doi:10.1056/NEJM2003ra020003. PMID: 12672864.
- Obeso, J., Stamelou, M., Goetz, C., Poewe, W., Lang, A., Weintraub, D., Burn, D., Halliday, G., Bezard, E., Przedborski, S., Lehericy, S., Brooks, D., Rothwell, J., Hallett, M., DeLong, M., Marras, C., Tanner, C., Ross, G., Langston, J., Klein, C., Bonifati, V., Jankovic, J., Lozano, A., Deuschl, G., Bergman, H., Tolosa, E., Rodriguez-Violante, M., Fahn, S., Postuma, R., Berg, D., Marek, K., Standaert, D., Surmeier, D., Olanow, C., Kordower, J., Calabresi, P., Schapira, A., Stoessl, A., 2017. Past, present, and future of parkinson's disease: A special essay on the 200th anniversary of the shaking palsy. *Movement Disorders* 32, 1264–1310. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27115>.
- Oldham, M.C., Horvath, S., Geschwind, D.H., 2006. Conservation and evolution of gene coexpression networks in human and chimpanzee brains 103, 17973–17978. URL: <https://www.pnas.org/content/103/47/17973>, doi:10.1073/pnas.0605938103.
- Papapetropoulos, S., Ffrench-Mullen, J., Mccorquodale, D., Qin, Y., Pablo, J., Mash, D.C., 2006. Multiregional gene expression profiling identifies mrps6 as a possible candidate gene for parkinsons disease. *Gene Expression* 13, 205–215. doi:10.3727/000000006783991827.
- Sakharkar, M.K., Kashmir Singh, S.K., Rajamanickam, K., Mohamed Essa, M., Yang, J., Chidambaram, S.B., 2019a. A systems biology approach towards the identification of candidate therapeutic genes and potential biomarkers for parkinson's disease. *PLOS ONE* 14, 1–16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220995.
- Sakharkar, M.K., Singh, S.K.K., Rajamanickam, K., Essa, M.M., Yang, J., Chidambaram, S.B., 2019b. A systems biology approach towards the identification of candidate therapeutic genes and potential biomarkers for parkinson's disease. *Plos One* 14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220995.
- Shen, L., Sinai, M., 2019. GeneOverlap: Test and visualize gene overlaps. URL: <http://shenlab-sinai.github.io/shenlab-sinai/>. r package version 1.22.0.

- Simunovic, F., Yi, M., Wang, Y., Macey, L., Brown, L.T., Krichevsky, A.M., Andersen, S.L., Stephens, R.M., Benes, F.M., Sonntag, K.C., 2008. Gene expression profiling of substantia nigra dopamine neurons: further insights into Parkinson's disease pathology. *Brain* 132, 1795–1809. doi:10.1093/brain/awn323.
- Sonninen, T.M., Hämäläinen, R.H., Koskivi, M., Oksanen, M., Shakirzyanova, A., Wojciechowski, S., Puttonen, K., Naumenko, N., Goldsteins, G., Laham-Karam, N., et al., 2020. Metabolic alterations in parkinson's disease astrocytes. *Scientific Reports* 10. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-71329-8.
- Sonntag, M., Englitz, B., Kopp-Scheinflug, C., Rübsamen, R., 2009. Early postnatal development of spontaneous and acoustically evoked discharge activity of principal cells of the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body: An in vivo study in mice. *Journal of Neuroscience* 29, 9510–9520. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1377-09.2009.
- Su, D., Chen, H., Hu, W., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Liu, G., Ma, H., Zhou, J., Feng, T., et al., 2018. Frequency-dependent effects of subthalamic deep brain stimulation on motor symptoms in parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Scientific Reports* 8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32161-3.
- Tatti, R., Haley, M.S., Swanson, O.K., Tselha, T., Maffei, A., 2017. Neurophysiology and regulation of the balance between excitation and inhibition in neocortical circuits. *Biological Psychiatry* 81, 821–831. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.09.017.
- Wood-Kaczmar, A., Gandhi, S., Wood, N., 2006. Understanding the molecular causes of parkinsons disease. *Trends in Molecular Medicine* 12, 521–528. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2006.09.007.
- Yip, A.M., Horvath, S., 2007. Gene network interconnectedness and the generalized topological overlap measure. *BMC Bioinformatics* 8. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-22.
- Zhang, B., Horvath, S., 2005. A General Framework for Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology* 4. doi:https://doi.org/10.2202/1544-6115.1128.