Fitness tracking reveals task-specific associations between memory, mental health, and exercise

Jeremy R. Manning^{1, *}, Gina M. Notaro¹, Esme Chen¹, and Paxton C. Fitzpatrick¹

¹Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH

*Address correspondence to jeremy.r.manning@dartmouth.edu

October 22, 2021

Abstract

Physical exercise can benefit both physical and mental well-being. Different forms of exercise (i.e., aerobic versus anaerobic; running versus walking, swimming, or yoga; high-intensity interval training versus endurance workouts; etc.) impact physical fitness in different ways. For example, running may substantially impact leg and heart strength but only moderately impact arm strength. We hypothesized that the mental benefits of exercise might be similarly differentiated. We focused specifically on how different forms of exercise might related to different aspects of memory and mental health. To test our hypothesis, we collected (in aggregate) roughly a century's worth of fitness data. We then asked participants to fill out surveys asking them to self-report on different aspects of their mental health. We also asked participants to engage in a battery of memory tasks that tested their short and long term episodic, semantic, and spatial memory performance. We found that participants with similar exercise habits and fitness profiles tended to also exhibit similar mental health and task performance profiles. These effects were task-specific in that different exercise patterns or fitness characteristics varied with different aspects of memory, on different tasks.

Introduction

Engaging in physical activity (exercise) can improve physical fitness by increasing muscle strength (Crane et al., 2013; Knuttgen, 2007; Lindh, 1979; Rogers and Evans, 1993), bone density (Bassey and Ramsdale, 1994; Chilibeck et al., 2012; Layne and Nelson, 1999), cardiovascular performance (Maiorana et al., 2000; Pollock et al., 2000), lung capacity (Lazovic-Popovic et al., 2016, although see Roman et al. (2016)), endurance (Wilmore and Knuttgen, 2003), and more. Exercise can also improve mental health (Basso and Suzuki, 2017; Callaghan, 2004; Deslandes et al., 2009; Mikkelsen et al., 2017; Paluska and Schwenk, 2000; Raglin, 1990; Taylor et al., 1985) and cognitive performance (Basso and Suzuki, 2017; Brisswalter et al., 2002; Chang et al., 2012; Etnier et al., 2006).

The physical benefits of exercise can be explained by stress-responses of the affected body tissues. For example, skeletal muscles that are taxed during exercise exhibit stress responses (Morton et al., 2009) that can in turn affect their growth or atrophy (Schiaffino et al., 2013). By comparison, the benefits of exercise on mental health are less direct. For example, one hypothesis is that exercise leads to specific physiological changes, such as increased aminergic synaptic transmission and endorphin release, which in turn act on neurotransmitters in the brain (Paluska and Schwenk, 2000).

Speculatively, if different exercise regimens lead to different neurophysiological responses, one might be able to map out a spectrum of signalling and transduction pathways that are impacted by a given type, duration, and intensity of exercise in each brain region. For example, prior work has shown that exercise increases acetylcholine levels, starting in the vicinity of the exercised muscles (Shoemaker et al., 1997). Acetylcholine is thought to play an important role in memory formation (e.g., by modulating specific synaptic inputs from entorhinal cortex to the hippocampus, albeit in rodents; Palacios-Filardo et al., 2021). Given the central role that these medial temporal lobe structures play in memory, changes in acetylcholine might lead to specific changes in memory formation and retrieval.

In the present study, we hypothesize that (a) different exercise regimens will have different, quantifiable impacts on cognitive performance and mental health, and that (b) these impacts will

49 be consistent across individuals. To this end, we collected a year of fitness tracking data from each
50 of 113 participants. We then asked each participant to fill out a brief survey in which they self-
51 evaluated and self-reported several aspects of their mental health. Finally, we ran each participant
52 through a battery of memory tasks, which we used to evaluate their memory performance along
53 several dimensions. We searched the data for potential associations between memory, mental
54 health, and exercise.

55 **Methods**

56 We ran an online experiment using the Amazon Mechanical Turk (MTurk) platform (Gureckis
57 et al., 2016). We collected data about each participant's fitness and exercise habits, a variety of
58 self-reported measures concerning their mental health, and about their performance on a battery
59 of memory tasks.

60 **Experiment**

61 **Participants**

62 We recruited experimental participants by posting our experiment as a Human Intelligence Task
63 (HIT) on the MTurk platform. We limited participation to MTurk Workers who had been assigned
64 a "master worker" designation on the platform, given to workers who score highly across several
65 metrics on a large number of HITs, according to a proprietary algorithm managed by Amazon.
66 One criteria embedded into the algorithm is a requirement that master workers must maintain a
67 HIT acceptance rate of at least 95%. We further limited our participant pool to participants who
68 self-reported that they were fluent in English and regularly used a Fitbit fitness tracker device.
69 A total of 160 workers accepted our HIT in order to participate in our experiment. Of these,
70 we excluded all participants who failed to log into their Fitbit account (giving us access to their
71 anonymized fitness tracking data), encountered technical issues (e.g., by accessing the HIT using an
72 incompatible browser, device, or operating system), or who ended their participation prematurely,

73 before completing the full study. In all, 113 participants contributed usable data to the study.

74 For their participation, workers received a base payment of \$5 per hour (computed in 15
75 minute increments, rounded up to the nearest 15 minutes), plus an additional performance-based
76 bonus of up to \$5. Our recruitment procedure and study protocol were approved by Dartmouth's
77 Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects.

78 **Gender, age, and race.** Of the 113 participants who contributed usable data, 77 reported their
79 gender as female, 35 as male, and 1 chose not to report their gender. Participants ranged in age
80 from 19–68 years old (25th percentile: 28.25 years; 50th percentile: 32 years; 75th percentile: 38
81 years). Participants reported their race as White (90 participants), Black or African American (11
82 participants), Asian (7 participants), Other (4 participants), and American Indian or Alaska Native
83 (3 participants). One participant opted not to report their race.

84 **Languages.** All participants reported that they were fluent in either 1 and 2 languages (25th
85 percentile: 1; 50th percentile: 1; 75th percentile: 1), and that they were “familiar” with between 1
86 and 11 languages (25th percentile: 1; 50th percentile: 2; 75th percentile: 3).

87 **Reported medical conditions and medications.** Participants reported having and/or taking med-
88 ications pertaining to the following medical conditions: anxiety or depression (4 participants),
89 recent head injury (2 participants), high blood pressure (1 participant), bipolar disorder (1 partici-
90 pant), hypothyroidism (1 participant), and other unspecified conditions or medications (1 partici-
91 pant). Participants reported their current and typical stress levels on a Likert scale as very relaxed
92 (-2), a little relaxed (-1), neutral (0), a little stressed (1), or very stressed (2). The “current” stress
93 level reflected participants' stress at the time they participated in the experiment. Their responses
94 ranged from -2 to 2 (current stress: 25th percentile: -2; 50th percentile: -1; 75th percentile: 1; typical
95 stress: 25th percentile: 0; 50th percentile: 1; 75th percentile: 1). Participants also reported their
96 current level of alertness on a Likert scale as very sluggish (-2), a little sluggish (-1), neutral (0), a
97 little alert (1), or very alert (2). Their responses ranged from -2 to 2 (25th percentile: 0; 50th per-
98 centile: 1; 75th percentile: 2). Nearly all (111 out of 113) participants reported that they had normal

99 color vision, and 15 participants reported uncorrected visual impairments (including dyslexia and
100 uncorrected near- or far-sightedness).

101 **Residence and level of education.** Participants reported their residence as being located in the
102 suburbs (36 participants), a large city (30 participants), a small city (23 participants), rural (14 partic-
103 ipants), or a small town (10 participants). Participants reported their level of education as follows:
104 College graduate (42 participants), Master’s degree (23 participants), Some college (21 partici-
105 pants), High school graduate (9 participants), Associate’s degree (8 participants), Other graduate
106 or professional school (5 participants), Some graduate training (3 participants), or Doctorate (2
107 participants).

108 **Reported water and coffee intake.** Participants reported the number of 8 oz cups of water and
109 coffee they had consumed prior to accepting the HIT. Water consumption ranged from 0–6 cups
110 (25th percentile: 1; 50th percentile: 3; 75th percentile: 4). Coffee consumption ranged from 0–4 cups
111 (25th percentile: 0; 50th percentile: 1; 75th percentile: 2).

112 **Tasks**

113 Upon accepting the HIT posted on MTurk, each worker was directed to read and fill out a screening
114 and consent form, and to share access to their anonymized Fitbit data via their Fitbit account. After
115 consenting to participate in our study and successfully sharing their Fitbit data, participants filled
116 out a survey and then engaged in a series of memory tasks (Fig. 1). All stimuli and code for running
117 the full MTurk experiment may be found [here](#).

118 **Survey questions.** We collected the following demographic information from each participant:
119 their birth year, gender, highest (academic) degree achieved, race, language fluency, and language
120 familiarity. We also collected information about participants’ health and wellness, including about
121 their vision, alertness, stress, sleep, coffee and water consumption, location of their residence,
122 activity typically required for their job, and exercise habits.

Figure 1: **Battery of memory tasks.** **a. Free recall.** Participants study 16 words (presented one at a time), followed by an immediate memory test where they type each word they remember from the just-studied list. In the delayed memory test, participants type any words they remember studying, from any list. **b. Naturalistic recall.** Participants watch a brief video, followed by two immediate memory tests. The first test asks participants to write out what happened in the video. The second test has participants answer a series of multiple choice questions about the conceptual content of the video. In the delayed memory test, participants (again) write out what happened in the video. **c. Foreign language flashcards.** Participants study a sequence of 10 English-Gaelic word pairs, each presented with an illustration of the given word. During an immediate memory test, participants perform a multiple choice test where they select the Gaelic word that corresponds to the given photograph. During the delayed memory test, participants perform a second multiple choice test, where they select the Gaelic word that corresponds to each of a new set of photographs. **d. Spatial learning.** In each trial, participants study a set of randomly positioned shapes. Next, the shapes' positions are altered, and participants are asked to drag the shapes back to their previous positions. **All panels.** The gray numbers denote the order in which participants experienced each task or test.

123 **Free recall (Fig. 1a).** Participants studied a sequence of four word lists, each comprising 16 words.
124 After studying each list, participants received an immediate memory test, whereby they were asked
125 to type (one word at a time) any words they remembered from the just-studied list, in any order.

126 Words were presented for 2 s each, in black text on a white background, followed by a 2 s blank
127 (white) screen. After the final 2 s pause, participants were given 90 s to type in as many words
128 as they could remember, in any order. The memory test was constructed such that the participant
129 could only see the text of the current word they were typing; when they pressed any non-letter
130 key, the current word was submitted and the text box they were typing in was cleared. This was
131 intended to prevent participants from retroactively editing their previous responses.

132 The word lists participants studied were drawn from the categorized lists reported by Ziman
133 et al. (2018). Each participant was assigned four unique randomly chosen lists (in a randomized
134 order), selected from a full set of 16 lists. Each chosen list was then randomly shuffled before
135 presenting the words to the participants. Participants also performed a final delayed memory test
136 where they were given 180 s to type out any words they remembered from *any* of the 4 lists they
137 had studied.

138 Recalled words within an edit distance of 2 (i.e., a Levenshtein Distance less than or equal to
139 2) of any word in the wordpool were “autocorrected” to their nearest match. We also manually
140 corrected clear typos or misspellings by hand (e.g., we corrected “hippoptumas” to “hippopota-
141 mus”, “zucinni” to “zucchini”, and so on). Finally, we lemmatized each submitted word to match
142 the plurality of the matching wordpool word (e.g., “bongo” was corrected to “bongos”, and so
143 on). After applying these corrections, any submitted words that matched words presented on the
144 just-studied list were tagged as “correct” recalls, and any non-matching words were discarded
145 as “errors.” Because participants were not allowed to edit the text they entered, we chose not to
146 analyze these putative “errors,” since we could not distinguish typos from true misrememberings.

147 **Naturalistic recall (Fig. 1b).** Participants watched a 2.5 minute video clip entitled “The Temple
148 of Knowledge.” The video comprises an animated story told to StoryCorps by Ronald Clark, who
149 was interviewed by his daughter, Jamilah Clark. The narrator (Ronald) discusses growing up

150 living in an apartment over the Washington Heights branch of the New York Public Library, where
151 his father worked as a custodian during the 1940s.

152 After watching the video clip, participants were asked to type out anything they remembered
153 about what happened in the video. They typed their responses into a text box, one sentence at a
154 time. When the participant pressed the return key or typed any final punctuation mark (".", "!", or
155 "?") the text currently entered into the box was "submitted" and added to their transcript, and the
156 text box was cleared to prevent further editing of any already-submitted text. This was intended to
157 prevent participants from retroactively editing their previous responses. Participants were given
158 up to 10 minutes to enter their responses. After 4 minutes, participants were given the option of
159 ending the response period early, e.g., if they felt they had finished entering all of the information
160 they remembered. Each participant's transcript was constructed from their submitted responses by
161 combining the sentences into a single document and removing extraneous whitespace characters.
162 Following this 4–10 minute free response period, participants were given a series of 10 multiple
163 choice questions about the conceptual content of the story. All participants received the same
164 questions, in the same order. Participants also performed a final delayed memory test, where they
165 carried out the free response recall task a second time, near the end of the testing session. This
166 resulted in a second transcript, for each participant.

167 **Foreign language flashcards (Fig. 1c).** Participants studied a series of 10 English-Gaelic word
168 pairs in a randomized order. We selected the Gaelic language both for its relatively small number
169 of native speakers and for its dissimilarity to other commonly spoken languages amongst MTurk
170 workers. We verified (via self report) that all of our participants were fluent in English and that
171 they were neither fluent nor familiar with Gaelic.

172 Each word's "flashcard" comprised a cartoon depicting the given word, the English word or
173 phrase in lowercase text (e.g., "the boy"), and the Gaelic word or phrase in uppercase text (e.g.,
174 "BUACHAILL"). Each flashcard was displayed for 4 s, followed by a 3 s interval (during which
175 the screen was cleared) prior to the next flashcard presentation.

176 After studying all 10 flashcards, participants were given a multiple choice memory test where

177 they were shown a series of novel photographs, each depicting one of the 10 words they had
178 learned. They were asked to select which (of 4 unique options) Gaelic word went with the given
179 picture. The 3 incorrect options were selected at random (with replacement across trials), and the
180 order in which the choices appeared to the participant were also randomized. Each of the 10 words
181 they had learned were tested exactly once.

182 Participants also performed a final delayed memory test, where they were given a second set of
183 10 questions (again, one per word they had studied). For this second set of questions participants
184 were prompted with a new set of novel photographs, and new randomly chosen incorrect choices
185 for each question. Each of the 10 original words they had learned were (again) tested exactly once
186 during this final memory test.

187 **Spatial learning (Fig. 1d).** Participants performed a series of study-test trials where they memo-
188 rized the onscreen spatial locations of two or more shapes. During the study phase of each trial,
189 a set of shapes appeared on the screen for 10 s, followed by 2 s of blank (white) screen. During the
190 test phase of each trial, the same shapes appeared onscreen again, but this time they were vertically
191 aligned and sorted horizontally in a random order. Participants were instructed to drag (using the
192 mouse) each shape to its studied position, and then to click a button to indicate that the placements
193 were complete.

194 In different study-test trials, participants learned the locations of different numbers of shapes
195 (always drawn from the same pool of 7 unique shapes, where each shape appeared at most one
196 time per trial). They first performed three trials where they learned the locations of 2 shapes; next
197 three trials where they learned the locations of 3 shapes; and so on until their last three trials, where
198 (during each trial) they learned the locations of 7 shapes. All told, each participant performed 18
199 study-test trials of this spatial learning task (3 trials for each of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 shapes).

200 **Fitness tracking using Fitbit devices**

201 To gain access to our study, participants provided us with access to all data associated with their
202 Fitbit account from the year (365 calendar days) up to and including the day they accepted the HIT.

203 We filtered out all identifiable information (e.g., participant names, GPS coordinates, etc.) prior to
204 importing their data.

205 **Collecting and processing Fitbit data**

206 The fitness tracking data associated with participants' Fitbit accounts varied in scope and duration
207 according to which device the participant owned (Fig. S1), how often the participant wore (and/or
208 synced) their tracking device, and how long they had owned their device. For example, while all
209 participants' devices supported basic activity metrics such as daily step counts, only a subset of
210 the devices with heart rate monitoring capabilities provided information about workout intensity,
211 resting heart rate, and other related measures. Across all devices, we collected the following infor-
212 mation: heart rate data, sleep tracking data, logged bodyweight measurements, logged nutrition
213 measurements, Fitbit account and device settings, and activity metrics.

214 **Heart rate.** If available, we extracted all heart rate data collected by participants' Fitbit device(s)
215 and associated with their Fitbit profile. Depending on the specific device model(s) and settings, this
216 included second-by-second, minute-by-minute, daily summary, weekly summary, and/or monthly
217 summary heart rate information. These summaries include information about participants' aver-
218 age heart rates, and the amount of time they were estimated to have spent in different "heart rate
219 zones" (rest, out-of-range, fat burn, cardio, or peak, as defined by their Fitbit profile), as well as an
220 estimate of the number of estimated calories burned while in each heart rate zone.

221 **Sleep.** If available, we extracted all sleep data collected by participants' Fitbit device(s). Depend-
222 ing on the specific device model(s) and settings, this included nightly estimates of the duration
223 and quality of sleep, as well as the amount of time spent in each sleep stage (awake, REM, light, or
224 deep).

225 **Weight.** If available, we extracted any weight-related information affiliated with participants'
226 Fitbit accounts within 1 year prior to enrolling in our study. Depending on their specific device
227 model(s) and settings, this included their weight, body mass index, and/or body fat percentage.

228 **Nutrition.** If available, we extracted any nutrition-related information affiliated with participants’
 229 Fitbit accounts within 1 year prior to enrolling in our study. Depending on their specific account
 230 settings and usage behaviors, this included a log of the specific foods they had eaten (and logged)
 231 over the past year, and the amount of water consumed (and logged) each day.

232 **Account and device settings.** We extracted any settings associated with participants’ Fitbit ac-
 233 counts to determine (a) which device(s) and model(s) are associated with their Fitbit account, (b)
 234 time(s) when their device(s) were last synced, and (c) battery level(s).

235 **Activity metrics.** If available, we extracted any activity-related information affiliated with par-
 236 ticipants’ Fitbit accounts within 1 year prior to enrolling in our study. Depending on their specific
 237 device model(s) and settings, this included: daily step counts; daily amount of time spent in each
 238 activity level (sedentary, lightly active, fairly active, or very active, as defined by their account
 239 settings and preferences); daily number of floors climbed; daily elevation change; and daily total
 240 distance traveled.

241 **Comparing recent versus baseline measurements.**

242 We were interested in separating out potential associations between *absolute* fitness metrics and
 243 *relative* metrics. To this end, in addition to assessing potential raw (absolute) fitness metrics, we
 244 also defined a simple measure of recent changes in those metrics, relative to a baseline:

$$\Delta_{R,B}m = \frac{B \sum_{i=1}^R m(i)}{R \sum_{i=R+1}^{R+B} m(i)},$$

245 where $m(i)$ is the value of metric m from $i - 1$ days prior to testing (e.g., $m(1)$ represents the value
 246 of m on the day the participant accepted the HIT, and $m(10)$ represents the value of m 9 days prior
 247 to accepting the HIT. We set $R = 7$ and $B = 30$. In other words, to estimate recent changes in any
 248 metric m , we divided the average value of m taken over the prior week by the average value of m
 249 taken over the 30 days before that.

250 Exploratory correlation analyses

251 We used a bootstrap procedure to identify reliable correlations between different memory-related,
 252 fitness-related, and demographic-related variables. For each of $N = 10,000$ iterations, we selected
 253 (with replacement) a sample of 113 participants to include. This yielded, for each iteration, a
 254 sampled “data matrix” with one row per sampled participant and one column for each measured
 255 variable. When participants were sampled multiple times in a given iteration, as was often the
 256 case, this matrix contained duplicate rows. Next, we computed the Pearson’s correlation between
 257 each pair of columns. This yielded, for each pair of columns, a distribution of N bootstrapped
 258 correlation coefficients. If 97.5% or fewer of the coefficients for a given pair of columns had the
 259 same sign, we excluded the pair from further analysis and considered the expected correlation
 260 between those columns to be undefined. If $> 97.5\%$ of the coefficients for a given pair of columns
 261 had the same sign (corresponding to a bootstrap-estimated two-tailed p threshold of 0.05), we
 262 computed the expected correlation coefficient as:

$$\mathbb{E}_{i,j}[r] = \tanh\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \tanh^{-1}(\text{corr}(m(i)_n, m(j)_n))\right),$$

263 where $m(x)_n$ represents column x of the bootstrapped data matrix for iteration n , $\tanh$ is the
 264 hyperbolic tangent, and $\tanh^{-1}$ is the inverse hyperbolic tangent. We estimated the corresponding
 265 p -values for these correlations as one minus the proportion of bootstrapped correlations with the
 266 same sign, multiplied by two.

267 Reverse correlation analyses

268 We sought to characterize potential associations between the *dynamics* of participants’ fitness-
 269 related activities leading up to the time they participated in a memory task and their performance
 270 on the given task. For each fitness-related variable, we constructed a timeseries matrix whose rows
 271 corresponded to timepoints (sampled once per day) leading up to the day the participant accepted
 272 the HIT for our study, and whose columns corresponded to different participants. These matrices
 273 often contained missing entries, since different participants’ Fitbit devices tracked fitness-related

activities differently. For example, participants whose Fitbit devices lacked heart rate sensors would have missing entries for any heart rate-related variables. Or, if a given participant neglected to wear their fitness tracker on a particular day, the column corresponding to that participant would have missing entries for that day. To create stable estimates, we smoothed the timeseries of each fitness measure using a sliding window of 1 week. In other words, for each fitness measure, we replaced the “observed value” for each day with the average values of that measure (when available) over the 7 day interval ending on the given day.

In addition to this set of matrices storing timeseries data for each fitness-related variable, we also constructed a memory performance matrix, M , whose rows corresponded to different memory-related variables, and whose columns corresponded to different participants. For example, one row of the memory performance matrix reflected the average proportion of words (across lists) that each participant remembered during the immediate free recall test, and so on.

Given a fitness timeseries matrix, F , we computed the weighted average and weighted standard error of the mean of each row of F , where the weights were given by a particular memory-related variable (row of M). For example, if F contained participants’ daily step counts, we could use any row of M to compute a weighted average across any participants who contributed step count data on each day. Choosing a row of M that corresponded to participants’ performance on the naturalistic recall task would mean that participants who performed better on the naturalistic recall task would contribute more to the weighted average timeseries of daily step counts. Specifically, for each row, t , of F , we computed the weighted average (across the S participants) as:

$$\bar{f}(t) = \sum_{s=1}^S \hat{m}(s)F(t,s),$$

where $\hat{m}$ denotes the normalized min-max scaling of m (the row of M corresponding to the chosen memory-related variable):

$$\hat{m} = \frac{m}{\sum_{s=1}^S \hat{m}(s)},$$

296 where

$$\hat{m} = (1 - \epsilon) \frac{m - \min(m)}{\max(m) - \min(m)} + \epsilon.$$

297 Here, ϵ provides a lower bound on the influence of the lowest-weighted participant's data. We
298 defined $\epsilon = 0.001$, ensuring that the lowest-weighted participant had relatively low (but non-zero)
299 influence. We computed the weighted standard error of the mean as:

$$\text{SEM}_m(f(t)) = \frac{\left| \sum_{s=1}^S (F(t, s) - \bar{f}(t)) \right|}{\sqrt{S}}.$$

300 When a given row of F was missing data from one or more participants, those participants were
301 excluded from the weighted average for the corresponding timepoint and the weights (across all
302 remaining participants) were re-normalized to sum to 1. The above procedure yielded, for each
303 memory variable, a timeseries of weighted average (and weighted standard error of the mean)
304 fitness tracking values leading up to the day of the experiment.

305 Results

306 Before testing our main hypotheses, we examined the behavioral data from each of four memory
307 tasks: a random word list learning “free recall” task (Fig. 1); a naturalistic recall task whereby par-
308 ticipants watched a short video and then recounted the narrative; a foreign language “flashcards”
309 task; and a spatial learning task. Each of the first three tasks (free recall, naturalistic recall, and the
310 flashcards task) included both an immediate (short term) memory test and a delayed (long term)
311 memory test. The spatial learning task included only an immediate test. Participants in all four
312 tasks exhibited general trends and tendencies that have been previously reported in prior work.
313 We were also interested in characterizing the variability in task performance across participants.
314 For example, if all participants exhibited near-identical behaviors or performance on a given task,
315 we would be unable to identify how memory performance on that task varied with mental health
316 or exercise.

317 When participants engaged in free recall of random word lists, they displayed strong primacy

318 and recency effects (Murdock, 1962) on the immediate memory tests (as reflected by improved
319 memory for early and late list items; Fig. 2a, left and right panels). On the delayed memory test,
320 the recency effect was substantially diminished (Fig. 3a, left and right panels), consistent with
321 myriad previous studies (for review see Kahana, 2012). Participants also tended to cluster their
322 recalls according to the words' study positions (Kahana, 1996) on both the immediate (Fig. 2a,
323 middle panel) and delayed (Fig. 3a, middle panel) memory tests.

324 When participants engaged in naturalistic recall by recounting the narrative of a short story
325 video, they reliably and accurately remembered the major narrative events on both the immediate
326 (Fig. 2b) and delayed (Fig. 3b) tests. This is consistent with prior work showing that memory for
327 rich narratives is both detailed and accurate (Chen et al., 2017; Heusser et al., 2021).

328 Performance on the foreign language flashcards task (immediate: Fig. 2c; delayed: Fig. 3c)
329 varied substantially across participants, and did not show any clear serial position effects. Partic-
330 ipants also displayed substantial variation in performance on the spatial learning task (Fig. 2d).
331 In general, participants reported the shape's positions more accurately when there were fewer
332 shapes. However, both the baseline estimation accuracy and the rate of decrease in accuracy as a
333 function of increasing number of memorized locations varied substantially across participants.

334 In addition to observing substantial across-participant variability in memory performance,
335 we also observed substantial variability in participants' fitness and activity metrics (Fig. 4). We
336 examined recent measurements, averaged over the week prior to testing (Fig. 4a), baselined mea-
337 surements (average over the prior week, divided by the average over the preceding 30 days;
338 Fig. 4b), along with more gradually varying measures that tended to remain relatively static over
339 timescales of weeks to months (Fig. 4c). Figure S6 displays across-participant distributions for
340 a broad selection of these measures, and Figures S7, S8, S9, and S10 show different participants'
341 fitness metrics, broken down by their performance on different memory tasks.

342 We wondered about potential links between the different aspects of participants' data. For
343 example, if people who exercised in a particular way also tended to perform better on a given
344 memory task, this could suggest that either (a) some property intrinsic to participants who exer-
345 cised in a particular way might also affect their memory performance on the given task, and/or

Figure 2: Immediate memory tests. **a. Free recall.** Left: probability of recalling each word first as a function of its presentation position. Middle: probability of transitioning between successively recalling the word presented at position i , followed by word presented at position $i + \text{Lag}$. Right: probability of recalling each word as a function of its presentation position. See Figure S2 for additional details. **b. Naturalistic recall.** Top: 2D embedding of a 2.5 min video clip; each dot reflects a narrative event (red denotes early events and blue denotes later events). Bottom: 2D embedding of the averaged transcripts of participants' recountings of the narrative (dots: same format as top panel). The arrows denote the average trajectory directions through the corresponding region of text embedding space, for any participants whose recountings passed through that region. Blue arrows denote statistically reliable agreement across participants ($p < 0.05$, corrected). See Figure S3 for additional details. **c. Foreign language flashcards.** Each bar denotes the average proportion of correctly recalled Gaelic-English word pairs from early (first 3), late (last 3), or all (i.e., all 10) study positions. See Figure S4 for additional details. **d. Spatial learning.** Average estimation error in shape locations as a function of the number of shapes. See Figure S5 for additional details. All panels: error bars and error ribbons denote bootstrap-estimated 95% confidence intervals. Shading (saturation) denotes results for different subsets of participants assigned based on their task performance (Figs. S2, S3, S4, and S5 provide information about which performance metrics and values the shading reflects; in general more saturated colors denote participants who performed better on the given task.) In Panel d, participants are grouped in two ways; in the left panel, participants are grouped according to the y -intercepts of regression lines (estimation error as a function of the number of shapes); in the right panel, participants are grouped according to the slopes of the same regression lines.

Figure 3: **Delayed memory tests.** **a. Free recall.** These panels are in the same format as Figure 2a, but they reflect performance on the delayed free recall task. For additional details see Figure S2. **b. Naturalistic recall.** These panels are in the same format as Figure 2b, but the right panel reflects performance on the delayed naturalistic recall task. For additional details see Figure S3. **c. Foreign language flashcards.** This panel is in the same format as Figure 2c, but it reflects performance on the delayed flashcards test. For additional details see Figure S4.

Figure 4: **Fitness measures.** **a. Recent measures.** Resting heart rate (HR) and daily step counts, averaged over the week prior to testing. **Baseline-normalized measures.** Resting heart rate and daily step counts averaged over the week prior to testing, divided by the average resting heart rate and step counts averaged over the preceding month. **Static measures.** Body mass index (BMI), body fat percentage, and weight (in kg). For more information see Figures S6, S7, S8, S9, and S10.

(b) particular exercise behaviors could have a causal impact on memory performance. We carried out an exploratory analysis whereby we used a bootstrap-based approach (see *Exploratory correlation analyses*) to identify reliable correlations between different aspects of memory performance (Fig. S11), different aspects of fitness (Fig. S12), different demographic attributes (Fig. S13), and correlations between memory performance, fitness information, and demographic attributes (Fig. S14). Several patterns emerged. First, we found that participants' performance on the (within-task) immediate versus delayed memory tests from the free recall, naturalistic recall, and foreign language flashcards tasks were positively correlated ($r_s > 0.25$, $p_s < 0.003$). This suggests that, within each of these tasks, similar processes or constraints may influence both short term and long term information retrieval. We also found reliable across-task correlations between participants' (immediate and delayed) performance on the free recall and foreign language flashcards tasks ($r_s > 0.3$, $p_s < 0.03$).

A large number of fitness-related measures displayed reliable correlations (for a complete report, see Fig. S12). For example, body mass index (BMI) and weight were correlated ($r = 0.91$, $p < 0.0001$). Resting heart rate over the prior week was negatively correlated with recent low-to-moderate-intensity ("fat burn") cardiovascular activity levels ($r = 0.70$, $p = 0.0004$). Participants' peak heart rates (averaged over the prior week) were also negatively correlated with recent increases in step counts and daily elevation gains ($r_s < -0.26$, $p_s < 0.03$), where recent changes were defined as the average values over the seven days leading up to the test day divided by the average values over the preceding 30 days. Several demographic attributes (Fig. S13) displayed trivial correlations (e.g., participants identifying as male never reported identifying as female, and so on). We also observed a negative correlation between reported stress and alertness ($r = -0.44$, $p < 0.0001$), and positive correlations between the reported clarity of the instructions for all tasks ($r_s > 0.26$, $p_s < 0.02$).

We also found reliable correlations between participants' fitness and demographic measures and their behaviors in different tasks (for a complete report, see Fig. S14). For example, recent low-to-moderate-intensity ("fat burn") cardiovascular activity was positively correlated with immediate ($r = 0.38$, $p = 0.03$) and delayed ($r = 0.38$, $p = 0.029$) recall performance on the naturalistic

Behavioral measures	Free recall (immediate)		-0.11	0.11	-0.16	0.16	-0.05				
	Free recall (delayed)			0.20	-0.16	0.10	-0.13		0.17		
	Naturalistic recall (immediate)		0.07	0.12	-0.25		0.05				
	Naturalistic recall (delayed)		-0.06	0.12	-0.15	0.10	-0.10				
	Foreign language flashcards (immediate)	0.11				0.09	0.17				
	Foreign language flashcards (delayed)		0.15		0.15			-0.29		-0.15	
	Spatial learning (intercept)		0.02		-0.14	-0.10					
	Spatial learning (slope)	0.04			-0.03	0.04	0.13				-0.19
			Anxiety or depression	High blood pressure	Bipolar	Hypothyroid	Unspecified medications	Recent head injury	Current stress	Typical stress	Current / typical stress
Mental health measures											

Figure 5: **Memory performance differs according to mental health measures.** The reported values in the table reflect correlations between each behavioral measure and mental health measure. Only statistically reliable correlations ($p < 0.05$, corrected) are displayed. We used participants' mean recall accuracy to characterize performance on the free recall and foreign language flashcards tasks, and mean precision to characterize performance on the naturalistic recall tasks. We characterized performance on the spatial learning task using the (inverted and normalized) intercepts and slopes of linear regressions on mean estimation errors as a function of the number of studied shapes (also see Figs. 2, 3, S2, S3, S4, and S5). Typical and current stress levels were measured by self report. Mental health information was inferred using each participants' list of self-reported medications.

memory task. Recent increases in moderate-intensity (“cardio”) activity over the prior 7 days (relative to the preceding 30 days) was also positively correlated with immediate naturalistic recall performance ($r = 0.48, p = 0.003$) and immediate recall performance on the foreign language flashcards task ($r = 0.43, p = 0.048$). Recent high-intensity (“peak”) activity was positively correlated with performance on the spatial learning task ($r = 0.34, p < 0.0001$), as were recent increases in high-intensity activity (prior 7 days versus preceding 30 days; $r = 0.41, p = 0.01$). Mental health indicators, such as self-reported stress levels and medications were also associated with differences in memory (Figs. 5, S14). For example, self-reported stress levels at the time of test were negatively correlated with performance on the delayed memory test for the foreign language flashcards task ($r = -0.29, p = 0.038$), whereas participants who were medicated for anxiety and depression tended to perform slightly (but reliably) *better* on the immediate memory test for the foreign language flashcards task ($r = 0.11, p < 0.0001$).

The above analyses indicate that recent differences in fitness-related activity are associated with differences in memory performance and mental health measures. Although the analyses treated these measures on average or in aggregate, many of the measures we collected are dynamic. For example, the amount or intensity of physical exercise people engage in can vary over time, and so on. We wondered whether the dynamics of fitness-related measures might relate to memory performance and/or mental health measures. To this end, we carried out a series of reverse correlation analyses (see *Reverse correlation analyses*) to examine whether participants with different cognitive or mental health profiles also tended to display differences in fitness-related measures over time. In particular, we examined fitness data collected from participants’ Fitbit devices over the year prior to their test day in our study. Several example findings are summarized in Figure 6. We found that participants who performed well on the immediate and delayed free recall memory tests and on the naturalistic recall tests tended to be more active than participants who performed poorly on those tests (Figs. 6a, b; S15). Conversely, participants who performed well on the immediate and delayed foreign language flashcards tasks tended to be *less* active. These differences were present even a full year before the testing day. We also found substantial variability across people with different (self-reported) mental health profiles (Figs. 6c, S18). Due to small sample sizes of

Figure 6: Dynamics of physical activity varies with memory performance and mental health measures. **a. Daily step counts.** Each timecourse is weighted by either performance on immediate recall tests (left panel) or on delayed recall tests (right panel). The black (baseline) timecourses display the (unweighted) average across all participants. **b. Daily duration (in minutes) of low-intensity physical activity.** Timecourses are displayed in the same format and color scheme as those in Panel A. Analogous timecourses for additional fitness-related measures may be found in Figures S15, S16, and S17. **c. Timecourses of physical activity, weighted by mental health measures.** The timecourses in each panel display the average daily step counts (top panel) or duration of low-intensity activity (bottom panel). The colored lines show average activity dynamics weighted by self-reported stress levels at the start of the experiment (purple) and self-reported “typical” stress levels (pink). The baseline curves (black) display the average across all participants (re-plotted in Panel C to illustrate scale differences across panels). Timecourses for additional mental health-related and fitness-related measures may be found in Figures S18, S19, and S20. Error ribbons in all panels denote the standard error of the mean. Horizontal lines below each panel’s timecourses denote intervals over which each weighted measure (color) differs from the unweighted baseline (via a paired sample two-sided t -test of the weighted mean values for each measure within a 30 day window around each timepoint; horizontal lines denote $p < 0.05$, corrected).

individuals exhibiting several mental health dimensions, it is difficult to distinguish generalizable trends from individual differences that one or two individuals happened to exhibit. However, several large-sample-size trends emerged. For example, participants who reported higher levels of stress also tended to be slightly more physically active than participants who reported lower stress levels. We found analogous differences in other activity-related measures (Figs. S15 and S18), cardiovascular measures (Figs. S16 and S19), and sleep-related measures (Figs. S17 and S20). Taken together, the analyses suggest that cognitive and mental health differences are also associated with differences in the dynamics of physical health measures.

Discussion

After collecting a year's worth of fitness-tracking data from each of 113 participants, we ran each participant in a battery of memory tasks and had them fill out a series of demographic and mental health-related questions. We found that the associations between fitness-related activities, memory performance, and mental health were heterogeneous. Our results suggest that engagement in particular physical activities (e.g., differing in time relative to the test day, intensity, duration, etc.) is also reflected in participants' memory performance patterns across tasks and in participants' mental health attributes.

One important limitation of our study is that we cannot distinguish correlations between different measures from potential causal effects. For example, we cannot know (from our study) whether engaging in particular forms of exercise *causes* changes in memory performance or mental health, or whether (alternatively) people who tend to engage in similar forms of exercise also happen to exhibit similar memory and/or mental health profiles. In other words, an overlapping set of processes or person-specific attributes may lead someone to both form particular habits around exercise and display high or low performance on a given memory test. We do not know whether memory performance or aspects of mental health might be manipulated or influenced by changing the patterns of physical activity someone engages in. For this reason, we have been careful to frame our findings as correlations and associations, rather than to imply knowledge

about a causal direction of our findings.

Although the present study cannot reveal causal effects, a large prior literature provides some insight into potential causal effects by examining the neural and cognitive effects of a variety of exercise interventions (Chang et al., 2015; Imboden et al., 2019; Kamijo et al., 2007; Sinha et al., 2021; Suwabe et al., 2017; Tomporowski, 2003; Vidoni et al., 2015). A limitation of that prior work is that most of these studies examine how relatively short-term changes in exercise (e.g., on timescales of hours to days or, rarely, weeks to months) affect a cognitive performance on single task or aspect of mental health. The present study examines longer-term exercise (over a full year), and relates long-term exercise history to performance on a variety of tasks and to a variety of mental health dimensions.

To the extent that exercise *does* provide a non-invasive means of manipulating cognitive performance and mental health, our work may have exciting implications for cognitive enhancement. For example, one might imagine building a recommendation system that suggests a particular exercise regimen tailored to improve a specific aspect of an individual's cognitive performance (e.g., the efficacy of a student's study session for an upcoming exam) or mental health (e.g., reducing symptoms of anxiety before an important meeting). Just as strength training may be customized to target a specific muscle group, or to improve performance on a specific physical task, similar principles might also be applied to target specific improvements in cognitive fitness and mental health.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge useful discussions with David Bucci, Emily Glasser, Andrew Heusser, Abigail Bartolome, Lorie Loeb, Lucy Owen, and Kirsten Ziman. Our work was supported in part by the Dartmouth Young Minds and Brains initiative. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of our supporting organizations. This paper is dedicated to the memory of David Bucci, who helped to inspire the theoretical foundations of this work. Dave served as a mentor and colleague on the project prior to his passing.

454 **Data and code availability**

455 All analysis code and data used in the present manuscript may be found [here](#).

456 **Author contributions**

457 Concept: J.R.M. Experiment implementation and data collection: G.M.N. Analyses: G.M.N., E.C.,
458 P.C.F., and J.R.M. Writing: J.R.M. with input from all authors.

459 **Competing interests**

460 The authors declare no competing interests.

461 **References**

- 462 Bassey, E. J. and Ramsdale, S. J. (1994). Increase in femoral bone density in young women following
463 high-impact exercise. *Osteoporosis International*, 4:72–75.
- 464 Basso, J. C. and Suzuki, W. A. (2017). The effects of acute exercise on mood, cognition, neurophys-
465 iology, and neurochemical pathways: a review. *Brain Plasticity*, 2(2):127–152.
- 466 Brisswalter, J., Collardeau, M., and René, A. (2002). Effects of acute physical exercise characteristics
467 on cognitive performance. *Sports Medicine*, 32:555–566.
- 468 Callaghan, P. (2004). Exercise: a neglected intervention in mental health care? *Psychiatric and*
469 *Mental Health Nursing*, 11(4):476–483.
- 470 Chang, Y.-K., Chu, C.-H., Wang, C.-C., Wang, Y.-C., Song, T.-F., Tsai, C.-L., and Etnier, J. L. (2015).
471 Dose-response relation between exercise duration and cognition. *Medicine and Science in Sports*
472 *and Exercise*, 47:159–165.

- 473 Chang, Y. K., Labban, J. D., Gapin, J. I., and Etnier, J. L. (2012). The effects of acute exercise on
474 cognitive performance: a meta-analysis. *Brain Research*, 1453:87–101.
- 475 Chen, J., Leong, Y. C., Honey, C. J., Yong, C. H., Norman, K. A., and Hasson, U. (2017). Shared
476 memories reveal shared structure in neural activity across individuals. *Nature Neuroscience*,
477 20(1):115.
- 478 Chilibeck, P. D., Sale, D. G., and Webber, C. E. (2012). Exercise and bone mineral density. *Sports*
479 *Medicine*, 19:103–122.
- 480 Crane, J. D., MacNeil, L. G., and Tarnopolsky, M. A. (2013). Long-term aerobic exercise is associated
481 with greater muscle strength throughout the life span. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*,
482 68(6):631–638.
- 483 Deslandes, A., Moraes, H., Ferreira, C., Veiga, H., Silveira, H., Mouta, R., Pompeu, F. A. M. S.,
484 Coutinho, E. S. F., and Laks, J. (2009). Exercise and mental health: many reasons to move.
485 *Neuropsychobiology*, 59:191–198.
- 486 Etnier, J. L., Nowell, P. M., Landers, D. M., and Sibley, B. A. (2006). A meta-regression to examine the
487 relationship between aerobic fitness and cognitive performance. *Brain Research: Brain Research*
488 *Reviews*, 52(1):119–130.
- 489 Gureckis, T. M., Martin, J., McDonnell, J., Rich, A. S., Markant, D., Coenen, A., Halpern, D.,
490 Hamrick, J. B., and Chan, P. (2016). psiTurk: an open-source framework for conducting replicable
491 behavioral experiments online. *Behavior Research Methods*, 48(3):829–842.
- 492 Heusser, A. C., Fitzpatrick, P. C., and Manning, J. R. (2021). Geometric models reveal behavioral
493 and neural signatures of transforming naturalistic experiences into episodic memories. *Nature*
494 *Human Behavior*, 5:905–919.
- 495 Imboden, C., Gerber, M., Beck, J., Eckert, A., Pühse, U., Holsboer-Trachsler, E., and Hatzinger, M.
496 (2019). Effects of aerobic exercise as add-on treatment for inpatients with moderate to severe
497 depression on depression severity, sleep, cognition, psychological well-being, and biomarkers:

498 study protocol, description of study population, and manipulation check. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*,
499 10(262):doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00262.

500 Kahana, M. J. (1996). Associative retrieval processes in free recall. *Memory and Cognition*, 24:103–109.

501 Kahana, M. J. (2012). *Foundations of human memory*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

502 Kamijo, K., Nishihira, Y., Higashiura, T., and Kuroiwa, K. (2007). The interactive effect of exercise
503 intensity and task difficulty on human cognitive processing. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*,
504 65(2):114–121.

505 Knuttgen, H. G. (2007). Strength training and aerobic exercise: comparison and contrast. *Journal of*
506 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, 21(3):973–978.

507 Layne, J. E. and Nelson, M. E. (1999). The effects of progressive resistance training on bone density:
508 a review. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 31(1):25–30.

509 Lazovic-Popovic, B., Zlatkovic-Svenda, M., Durmic, T., Djelic, M., Saranovic, D., and Zugic, V.
510 (2016). Superior lung capacity in swimmers: some questions, more answers! *Revista Portuguesa*
511 *de Pneumologia*, 22(3):151–156.

512 Lindh, M. (1979). Increase of muscle strength from isometric quadriceps exercises at different knee
513 angles. *Scandinavian Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 11(1):33–36.

514 Maiorana, A., O'Driscoll, G., Cheetham, C., Collis, J., Goodman, C., Rankin, S., Taylor, R., and
515 Green, D. (2000). Combined aerobic and resistance exercise training improves functional capacity
516 and strength in CHF. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 88(1565–1570).

517 Mikkelsen, K., Stojanovska, L., Polenakovic, M., Bosevski, M., and Apostolopoulos, V. (2017).
518 Exercise and mental health. *Maturitas*, 106:48–56.

519 Morton, J. P., Kayani, A. C., McArdle, A., and Drust, B. (2009). The exercise-induced stress response
520 of skeletal muscle, with specific emphasis on humans. *Sports Medicine*, 39:643–662.

- 521 Murdock, B. B. (1962). The serial position effect of free recall. *Journal of Experimental Psychology:*
522 *General*, 64:482–488.
- 523 Palacios-Filardo, J., Udakis, M., Brown, G. A., Tehan, B. G., Congreve, M. S., Nathan, P. J., Brown, A.
524 J. H., and Mellor, J. R. (2021). Acetylcholine prioritises direct synaptic inputs from entorhinal cor-
525 tex to CA1 by differential modulation of feedforward inhibitory circuits. *Nature Communications*,
526 12(5475):doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25280-5.
- 527 Paluska, S. A. and Schwenk, T. L. (2000). Physical activity and mental health. *Sports Medicine*,
528 29(3):167–180.
- 529 Pollock, M. L., Franklin, B. A., Balady, G. J., Chaltman, B. L., Fleg, J. L., Fletcher, B., Limacher, M.,
530 na, I. L. P., Stein, R. A., Williams, M., and Bazzarre, T. (2000). Resistance exercise in individuals
531 with and without cardiovascular disease. *Circulation*, 101:828–833.
- 532 Raglin, J. S. (1990). Exercise and mental health. *Sports Medicine*, 9:323–329.
- 533 Rogers, M. A. and Evans, W. J. (1993). Changes in skeletal muscle with aging: effects of exercise
534 training. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 21:65–102.
- 535 Roman, M. A., Rossiter, H. B., and Casaburi, R. (2016). Exercise, ageing and the lung. *European*
536 *Respiratory Journal*, 48:1471–1486.
- 537 Schiaffino, S., Dyar, K. A., Ciciliot, S., Blaauw, B., and Sandri, M. (2013). Mechanisms regulating
538 skeletal muscle growth and atrophy. *The febs Journal*, 280(17):4294–4314.
- 539 Shoemaker, J. K., Halliwill, J. R., Hughson, R. L., and Joyner, M. J. (1997). Contributions of
540 acetylcholine and nitric oxide to forearm blood flow at exercise onset and recovery. *Vascular*
541 *Physiology*, 273(5):2388–2395.
- 542 Sinha, N., Berg, C. N., Yassa, M. A., and Gluck, M. A. (2021). Increased dynamic flexibility in
543 the medial temporal lobe network following an exercise intervention mediates generalization of
544 prior learning. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory*, 177:107340.

- 545 Suwabe, K., Hyodo, K., Byun, K., Ochi, G., Yassa, M. A., and Soya, H. (2017). Acute moderate
546 exercise improves mnemonic discrimination in young adults. *Hippocampus*, 27(3):229–234.
- 547 Taylor, C. B., Sallis, J. F., and Needle, R. (1985). The relation of physical activity and exercise to
548 mental health. *Public Health Reports*, 100(2):195–202.
- 549 Tomporowski, P. D. (2003). Effects of acute bouts of exercise on cognition. *Acta Psychologica*,
550 112(3):297–324.
- 551 Vidoni, E. D., Johnson, D. K., Morris, J. K., Van Sciver, A., Greer, C. S., Billinger, S. A., Donnelly, J. E.,
552 and Burns, J. M. (2015). Dose-response of aerobic exercise on cognition: A community-based,
553 pilot randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One*, 10(7):1–13.
- 554 Wilmore, J. H. and Knuttgen, H. G. (2003). Aerobic exercise and endurance. *The Physician and*
555 *Sportsmedicine*, 31(5):45–51.
- 556 Ziman, K., Heusser, A. C., Fitzpatrick, P. C., Field, C. E., and Manning, J. R. (2018). Is automatic
557 speech-to-text transcription ready for use in psychological experiments? *Behavior Research*
558 *Methods*, 50:2597–2605.