1	Pseudomonas aeruginosa C-terminal processing protease CtpA assembles into a
2	hexameric structure that requires activation by a spiral-shaped lipoprotein
3	binding partner
4	
5	Hao-Chi Hsu ^a , Michelle Wang ^b , Amanda Kovach ^a , Andrew J. Darwin ^{b,} #, Huilin Li ^{a,} #
6	
7	
8	^a Department of Structural Biology, Van Andel Institute, Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA
9	^b Department of Microbiology, New York University Grossman School of Medicine, New
10	York, New York, USA
11	
12	
13	Running head: Structural analysis of the CtpA-LbcA system
14	
15	
16	
17	# Address correspondence to Andrew J. Darwin, andrew.darwin@med.nyu.edu or Huilin
18	Li, Huilin.Li@vai.org
19	
20	
21	
22	Abstract: 172
23	Text: 4,994 (excluding Abstract, Importance, References, Tables and Figure legends)

24 ABSTRACT

25 Pseudomonas aeruginosa CtpA is a carboxyl terminal-processing protease that 26 partners with the outer membrane lipoprotein LbcA to degrade at least five cell wall-27 associated proteins, four of which are cell wall hydrolases. This activity plays an 28 important role in supporting *P. aeruginosa* virulence in a mouse model of acute 29 pneumonia. However, almost nothing is known about the molecular mechanisms 30 underlying CtpA and LbcA function. Here, we used structural analysis to show that CtpA 31 alone assembles into an inactive hexamer comprising a trimer of dimers, which limits its 32 substrate access and prevents nonspecific degradation. The adaptor protein LbcA is a 33 right-handed open spiral with 11 tetratricopeptide repeats, which might wrap around a 34 substrate to deliver it to CtpA for degradation. By structure-guided mutagenesis and 35 functional assays, we also showed that the interfaces of the CtpA trimer-of-dimers, and 36 an N-terminal helix of LbcA, are important for LbcA-mediated substrate degradation by 37 CtpA both in vitro and in vivo. This work improves our understanding of the molecular 38 mechanism of a CTP within the C-terminal processing peptidase-3 group.

39 IMPORTANCE

40 Carboxyl-terminal processing proteases (CTPs) are found in all three domains of life. In 41 bacteria, some CTPs have been associated with virulence, raising the possibility that 42 they could be theraputic targets. However, relatively little is known about their molecular 43 mechanisms of action. In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, CtpA supports virulence by 44 working in complex with the outer membrane lipoprotein LbcA to degrade cell wall 45 hydrolases. Here, we report structure-function analyses of CtpA and LbcA, which 46 reveals that CtpA assembles into an inactive hexamer comprising a trimer of dimers. 47 LbcA is monomeric, with an N-terminal region important for binding to and activating 48 CtpA, followed by a spiral structure composed of 11 tetratricopetide repeats, which 49 could wrap around a substrate for delivery to CtpA. This work provides the first structure of a CTP-3 group member, revealing a unique mutimeric arrangement and insight into 50 51 how this important proteolytic system functions.

53 INTRODUCTION

54 A eubacterial cell is protected by a mesh-like cell wall of peptidoglycan (PG), which is 55 composed of linear glycan strands with peptide side chains that cross-link to each other 56 through peptide bonds (1). To accommodate growth, these cross-links must be cleaved 57 so that nascent PGs can be inserted into the network (1-3). Several PG endopeptidases 58 carry out this hydrolysis, including MepS, MepM, and MepH in Escherichia coli (4, 5). 59 However, if not tightly regulated, their activity could lead to rupture of the PG sacculus 60 and cell death. One way to control endopeptidase activity is through the relatively 61 recently discovered carboxyl-terminal processing (CTP) protease system. CTPs belong 62 to the S41 family of serine proteases (6). All CTPs have a PDZ domain — named 63 because it was first noted in: postsynaptic density protein of 95 kDa, Drosophila disc 64 large tumor suppressor, and zonula occludens-1 protein — which plays roles in 65 substrate recognition and protease regulation (7, 8). CTPs work within the cell envelope 66 of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria and have been linked to virulence (9-14). 67 The *E. coli* CTP Prc partners with the lipoprotein Nlpl to cleave the PG 68 endopeptidase MepS (5). Prc is a bowl-shaped monomer, and the NIpl adaptor forms a 69 homodimer that binds to two separate molecules of Prc (15). In Bacillus subtilis, the 70 CtpB protease processes and activates the intramembrane protease 4FA-4FB complex, 71 thereby regulating spore formation (16, 17). CtpB has N-terminal and C-terminal 72 dimerization domains, plus a cap domain preceding the protease core domain. 73 Structural analysis revealed that CtpB assembles a dimeric self-compartmentalizing ring 74 structure (18). The substrate peptide enters the proteolytic site via a narrow tunnel that 75 is largely sequestered by the PDZ domain and becomes exposed only in the presence

of a substrate. Therefore, the CtpB protease is reversibly activated by the substrate Cterminal peptide. In contrast to Prc and CtpB, *P. aeruginosa* CtpA has been assigned to
the C-terminal processing peptidase-3 group (19). No structural studies have been
reported so far for this group.

80 Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic human pathogen. It is one of the 81 leading causes of sepsis in intensive care units, and outbreaks of multidrug-resistant 82 strains have been reported in hospitals (20-22). In contrast to *E. coli*, in which the only 83 CTP present is Prc, *P. aeruginosa* has both the C-terminal processing peptidase-1 84 group member Prc, and the C-terminal processing peptidase-3 group member CtpA (10, 85 19). We reported previously that CtpA is required for normal function of the type 3 86 secretion system and for virulence in a mouse model of acute pneumonia (10). P. 87 aeruginosa CtpA has 39% amino acid sequence identity with B. subtilis CtpB, which 88 suggests that they might share a similar fold. However, CtpA has a much longer C-89 terminal region. This extended C-terminus might alter the oligomerization mode relative 90 to that of CtpB (18). However, it is not known if or how CtpA assembles into a self-91 compartmentalizing structure to prevent nonspecific proteolysis. Unlike CtpB, CtpA is 92 not directly activated by a protein substrate. Instead, it requires the adaptor protein 93 LbcA, the lipoprotein binding partner of CtpA, for activity *in vivo* and *in vitro* (23). 94 LbcA is predicted to be an outer membrane lipoprotein, and to contain 11 95 tetratricopeptide repeats (TPRs). The TPR motif is a degenerate 34-amino-acid 96 sequence that mediates protein-protein interactions (24-26). LbcA promotes CtpA 97 protease activity, and *ctpA* and *lbcA* null mutants share common phenotypes, such as a

98 defective type 3 secretion system and accelerated surface attachment (10, 23, 27). Five

99 LbcA-CtpA substrates have been reported to date, and four of them are predicted to be 100 PG cross-link hydrolases: the LytM/M23 family peptidases MepM and PA4404, and the 101 NIpC/P60 family peptidases PA1198 and PA1199 (23, 27). Therefore, it appears that 102 LbcA interacts with CtpA to assemble an active proteolytic complex, which controls the 103 activity of these enzymes by degrading them. However, the molecular mechanisms 104 underlying CtpA and LbcA function are unknown. Here, we describe structural and 105 functional analyses of CtpA and LbcA. We show that CtpA alone assembles as an 106 inactive trimer of dimers and that LbcA is a right-handed spiral that might wrap around a 107 substrate protein. Structure-guided mutagenesis confirms the functional importance of 108 CtpA interfaces and identifies the interface between CtpA and LbcA.

110 **RESULTS**

111 CtpA assembles a trimer-of-dimers hexamer in solution. CtpA is located in the 112 periplasm, tethered to the outer membrane via its interaction with LbcA (23). Its N-113 terminal 23 residues are a type I signal sequence, which is followed by a 14-residue 114 region that is enriched in alanine, glycine, and proline that contributes to a bacterial low 115 complexity region and is predicted to be disordered (28). Therefore, we removed these 116 37 amino acids to produce a truncated CtpA protein for structural and functional studies 117 (Supplemental Table 1, Fig. 1a). For simplicity, we refer to this $\Delta N37$ construct as CtpA 118 throughout the main text. The CtpA crystals diffracted X-rays to 3.5-Å resolution. We 119 first used molecular replacement with the *B. subtilis* CtpB as a search model to solve 120 the CtpA structure, but this did not lead to a satisfactory solution. We then produced 121 selenomethionine-substituted CtpA crystals for SAD-based phasing. The derivatized 122 crystals diffracted to 3.3 Å, leading to successful structural solution (Supplemental Table 123 2). CtpA formed a hexamer that comprised a trimer of dimers (Fig. 1b). This oligomer 124 state is consistent with an estimated mass of a hexamer from the gel filtration profile 125 (Fig. 1c). As expected, each CtpA protomer consists of an N-terminal dimerization 126 region (NDR), a PDZ domain, a cap domain, a protease core domain, and a C-terminal 127 dimerization region (CDR) (Fig. 1d). The PDZ domain is partially disordered, but we 128 were able to generate a homolog PDZ model based on the CtpB structure and 129 accurately docked the domain guided by two anomalous density peaks from 130 selenomethionine residues 153 and 160 of the domain (Supplemental Figure 1). The 131 loop connecting S10 and H6 (aa's 378–411) within the CDR was disordered, and the 132 last two residues (435-436) were not resolved.

133 The CtpA hexamer alone in the absence of LbcA is in an inactive

134 **configuration.** The CtpA core region contains the protease active site. There is a 135 narrow tunnel dividing the core domain into the upper cap and lower body regions (Fig. 136 1d). In CtpB this tunnel was suggested to guide the substrate peptide into the proteolytic 137 site (18). The cap of CtpA is a four-stranded β -sheet (S4, S5, S8, S9) located below the 138 NDR. The body region is composed of a three-helix bundle (H3, H4, H5) and a five-139 stranded β-sheet (S1, S2, S3, S6, S7). The CtpA catalytic Ser-302 is located at the end 140 of the narrow tunnel between the cap and the body region. 141 The CtpA hexamer is in an inactive conformation. The PDZ domain is in a 142 position that blocks the narrow substrate peptide tunnel leading to Ser-302. Furthermore, 143 the catalytic triad Ser-302–Lys-327–Gln-331 is well beyond hydrogen-bonding distance: 144 Ser-302 and Lys-327 are 4.2 Å apart and Lys-327 and Gln-331 are 9.1 Å apart (Fig. 1e). 145 We solved the crystal structure of CtpA that was catalytically inactive due to an S302A 146 substitution and found that it was also in the inactive configuration (Supplemental Figure 147 2). For comparison, the catalytic triad Ser-309–Lys-334–Gln-338 in the protease-active 148 B. subtilis CtpB are all within hydrogen-bonding distance (Fig. 1e). By superimposing 149 the two proteases, we found that transition to the active form requires the CtpA cap 150 domain to clamp down toward the catalytic site by 11 Å and also a large-scale 151 movement of the associated PDZ domain by 12 Å (Fig. 1f, Supplemental Video 1). The 152 CtpA structure is consistent with our previous observation that purified wild-type CtpA 153 alone was inactive in degrading its substrates (23). The addition of purified LbcA was 154 able to activate the protease of wild-type CtpA but not of the mutant CtpA(S302A). 155 Therefore, unlike CtpB, which fluctuates between an active and inactive form in solution

and can be activated by a protein substrate (18), the CtpA hexamer is locked in aninactive configuration and requires LbcA for activation (23).

158 The C-terminal dimerization interface is important for full CtpA activity. P. 159 aeruginosa CtpA dimerizes via its NDR in the same way as B. subtilis CtpB (Fig. 2a). 160 However, the two CDRs of CtpA are far apart in this dimer, and six unhinged CDRs of 161 three CtpA dimers interact to form the triangular trimer-of-dimer complex (Figs. 1b, 2a). 162 In contrast, CtpB forms a parallel homodimer by both head-to-head N-terminal and tail-163 to-tail C-terminal interactions (Fig. 2b) (18). The CtpA NDR is composed of the H1 and 164 H2 helices. The NDRs of two CtpA monomers form a domain-swapped, intermolecular 165 4-helix bundle, in which the NDR of one protomer reaches over to contact the cap 166 domain of the other protomer (Fig. 2a, 2c). The four-helix bundle is at the vertex of the 167 triangular complex. The NDR–NDR dimerization is driven by hydrophobic interactions. 168 Specifically, Leu-69, Ala-73, and Met-77 are at the intersecting point of the two crossed 169 H2's. Met-77 hydrophobically interacts with Ala-73 and Leu-69 of the second protomer 170 (Fig. 2c). H1 of protomer 1 is nearly parallel to H2 of protomer 2, with the H1 residues 171 Leu-46, Phe-49, Val-52, Leu-53, and Val-56 hydrophobically interacting with the H2 172 residues Leu-70, Ile-74, Met-77, Leu-78, and Leu-81. The Leu-46 and Phe-49 of the two 173 H1's are also within the van der Waals distance. The hydrophobic NDR–NDR 174 interaction of the CtpA dimer resembles that in the CtpB dimer, consistent with the 175 conserved sequence in this region (Fig. 2e).

The C-terminal dimerization interface of CtpA involves the β-strand S10 and the
helix H6 (Fig. 2d). The two S10 β-strands (Arg-370 to Glu-376) form an intermolecular,
antiparallel β-sheet that reinforces the dimer interface. The two H6's (Tyr-418 to Gly-435)

179 are orthogonal to each other but form a short leucine zipper in the middle section 180 mediated by Leu-426 and Leu-430. The CtpA C-terminal dimer interface is not like that 181 of CtpB, which dimerizes via interaction in a different region. Indeed, the C-terminal 182 sequence of *P. aeruginosa* CtpA is not conserved in *B. subtilis* CtpB (Fig. 2e), which is 183 consistent with their different oligomeric assembly. To investigate the functional 184 importance of the unique C-terminal dimerization interface of CtpA, we constructed a 185 mutant with a partially disrupted H6 helix by removing the last six residues Ser-431 to 186 Asn-436; CtpA(Δ C6). We found that CtpA(Δ C6) eluted from a gel filtration column as a 187 dimer (Fig. 3a), so the C-terminal truncation prevented CtpA hexamer formation. 188 We next asked whether the C-terminal dimerization interface, present in the 189 intact CtpA hexamer but disrupted in the CtpA(Δ C6) dimer, is required for normal CtpA 190 function. In an *in vitro* assay, we found that the CtpA(Δ C6) dimer was less active than 191 the CtpA hexamer in degrading the model substrate PA1198, in reactions that also 192 contained LbcA (compare lanes 3 and 4 in Fig. 3b). 193 To further investigate the functional significance of the leucine zipper in H6, we 194 generated two CtpA double-mutant constructs with L426K/L430K and L426A/L430A 195 substitutions that disrupted the leucine zipper interaction. The two purified mutant 196 proteins were mainly dimeric and failed to assemble into a hexamer, based on their gel 197 filtration profiles (Fig. 3a). Their LbcA-activated in vitro protease activity toward PA1198 198 was lower relative to wild-type CtpA (Fig. 3b, compare lanes 5 and 6 to lane 3). 199 However, like the CtpA(Δ C6) mutant, the leucine zipper mutants retained significant 200 protease activity relative to the protease dead mutant CtpA(S302A) (Fig. 3b, compare 201 lanes 5 and 6 with lane 2).

202 We extended our analysis by constructing plasmids encoding derivatives of full-203 length CtpA with the Δ C6, L426K/L430K (LLKK), or L426A/L430A (LLAA) mutations. 204 After introducing these plasmids into a *P. aeruginosa* $\Delta ctpA$ mutant, immunoblot 205 analysis showed that the steady-state levels of the mutant proteins were similar to the 206 wild-type (Fig. 3c). Therefore, the mutations did not affect CtpA stability in vivo. 207 However, PA1198 accumulated in the presence of these three mutants relative to the 208 wild-type, although not as much as in the presence of CtpA(S302A) (Fig. 3c). This 209 suggests that the protease activity of the three mutants was reduced, but not abolished, 210 which is consistent with the *in vitro* analysis (Fig. 3b). Therefore, our studies suggest 211 that the hexameric assembly is important for proper CtpA function. 212 LbcA forms a spiral that could wrap around CtpA substrates. During the 213 maturation of the lipoprotein LbcA, its N-terminal 16 residues are removed, exposing 214 Cys-17 (Fig. 4a). Then Cys-17 is lipidated so that the N-terminus can be anchored in 215 the outer membrane from the periplasmic side (29-31). LbcA is able to bind to CtpA and 216 its substrates independently (27). Therefore, to begin to understand how LbcA might be 217 capable of these separate interactions, we tried to solve the LbcA crystal structure. We 218 were able to crystallize LbcA with its N-terminal 48 residues removed (Δ N48). The 219 crystal structure was solved to 3.5-Å resolution by the SAD method with 220 selenomethionine-derivatized LbcA(Δ N48) crystals (Fig. 4a-d). This structure contains 221 only α -helices and connecting loops, with a total of 29 α -helices. We resolved all 11

222 predicted tetratricopeptide repeats, comprising 22 α -helices from helix-7 (H7) to H28.

223 The sequences of the 34-residue TPRs are largely conserved (Fig. 4b). Gly/Ala at

position 8 and Ala at positions 20 and 27 are most conserved in the TPR family,

225 although none of these are invariant. Interestingly, TPR1, TPR5, and TPR10 contain 226 only 33 amino acids, but they all contain the signature residues Gly/Ala at position 8 and 227 Ala at position 20, and TPR1 and TPR10 also contain a signature Leu at position 24. 228 Within each TPR, the first helix (TPR-A) lines the inner surface and the second helix 229 (TPR-B) lines the outer surface of the ring structure. Alignment of the 11 TPRs in 230 LbcA(Δ N48) showed that more-conserved hydrophobic residues are concentrated in the 231 TPR-A helix and more-conserved charged residues are distributed in TPR-B helix and 232 in the turns connecting TPR-A and TPR-B, suggesting that the inner and the outer 233 surfaces of the ring may have distinct functions.

234 The 11 TPRs form a notched ring, with an outer diameter of about 6 nm and an 235 inner diameter of about 3 nm (Fig. 4c). It is a right-handed spiral structure, because the 236 first TPR is slightly above, and the last TPR is below the ring. The first 4 α -helices at the 237 N terminus form an elongated extension that partially caps the TPR ring. Helices H5 238 and H6 serve as a hinge that links the N-terminal helical extension and the TPR spiral. 239 However, the loop connecting H4 and H5 (aa's 163-171) has a high crystallographic Bfactor (150-200 Å²) that is indicative of flexibility. Therefore, this loop and the H5-H6 240 241 hinge are likely to allow relative motion of the NT helical extension with respect to the 242 TPR spiral. The TPR ring is capped at the end by the single short α -helix, H29. 243 LbcA(Δ N48) purified as a monomer in solution (Fig. 5a-b). However, four 244 LbcA(Δ N48) molecules formed an interlocked tetramer as a dimer of dimers in the 245 crystal (Fig. 5c-d). The chamber of the TPR spiral of the first LbcA is occupied by the

H3-H4 of a second LbcA molecule on the top and by the H1-H2 of a third LbcA

247 molecule on the side (Fig. 5d). Therefore, there is a 4-helix bundle inside the first LbcA

TPR spiral. We suggest that this bundle may mimic a substrate and that the LbcA spiral may wrap around a substrate to target it to CtpA for degradation (Fig. 5e). In this scenario, the conserved and hydrophobic residues of the TPR-A helices lining the inner surface of the TPR spiral may participate in binding that substrate.

252 The LbcA H1 is essential for binding to and activating the CtpA protease.

253 To identify the binding interface between CtpA and LbcA, we produced a series of N-

and/or C-terminal-truncated LbcA mutants and did a CtpA pulldown assay (Fig. 6a). We

255 found that removing the C-terminal five residues of LbcA did not affect the LbcA–CtpA

interaction. All constructs containing the complete H1-H29 region pulled down CtpA.

257 However, LbcA with either H1 truncation (His₆-LbcA Δ N84) or H1-H4 truncation (His₆-

258 LbcA∆N165) failed to pull down CtpA. This result suggests that the LbcA NT helical

extension, in particular H1, is required for LbcA binding to CtpA, and that LbcA H1

260 directly participates in the binding.

261 We then carried out both *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays to monitor degradation of the 262 PA1198 substrate (23). We first incubated purified CtpA with separately purified LbcA 263 proteins and PA1198. As expected, PA1198 was degraded in the presence of His₆-264 LbcA Δ N48, which had the intact NT helical extension (Fig. 6b). However, PA1198 was 265 not degraded in the presence of His₆-LbcA(Δ N84) (missing H1) or His₆-LbcA(Δ N165) 266 (missing H1-H4). In fact, the outcomes of reactions with these two truncations were 267 indistinguishable from those containing no LbcA, or those using the protease dead 268 CtpA(S302) (Fig. 6b). In a $\Delta lbcA$ mutant *P. aeruginosa* strain, plasmids encoding LbcA 269 with in frame deletions equivalent to the $\Delta N84$ or $\Delta N165$ constructs failed to activate 270 CtpA, as revealed by the accumulation of PA1198, which was present at a similar level

- as in a strain without any LbcA (Fig. 6c). Therefore, both pulldowns and *in vitro* and *in*
- 272 *vivo* activity assays pinpointed the LbcA H1 as a key binding element for activation of
- 273 the CtpA protease.

275 DISCUSSION

276 The LbcA–CtpA system supports the function of the P. aeruginosa type 3 secretion 277 system, is required for virulence in a mouse model of acute infection, and affects 278 surface attachment (10, 23). Furthermore, four CtpA substrates are PG cross-link 279 hydrolases, which means that the LbcA–CtpA system affects the integrity of a crucial 280 cell envelope component and perhaps the most important antibiotic target, the cell wall. 281 Therefore, this system could be an effective antibiotic target, and the structural analysis 282 reported here may aid the development of such antibiotics. Here, we have shown that 283 CtpA assembles as a hexamer. However, the hexamer alone is inactive, because the 284 catalytic triad Ser302–Lys327–Gln331 is not in hydrogen-bonding distance. We also 285 found that the CtpA partner protein LbcA has an N-terminal helical region that is needed 286 to bind to CtpA, and a large spiral cavity that has the capacity to wrap around a 287 substrate for delivery to CtpA.

288 How the interaction with LbcA converts CtpA into an active protease is currently 289 unclear. Elucidation of the activation mechanism requires the determination of the CtpA-290 LbcA complex. However, our previous experiments suggested that most, if not all, CtpA 291 in the cell is bound to LbcA (23). CtpA fractionates with the membrane fraction in $lbcA^+$ 292 cells, but is in the soluble periplasmic fraction in $\Delta lbcA$ cells, and when CtpA or LbcA is 293 purified from *P. aeruginosa*, they copurify with a lot of the other one (23). Also, the 294 pulldown assays done here show that LbcA and CtpA can interact in the absence of 295 substrate (Fig. 6a). Therefore, it is possible that the LbcA-CtpA complex fluctuates 296 between inactive and active states, and perhaps the presence of a substrate would

stabilize the enzyme-adaptor-substrate in the active state for productive substratehydrolase degradation.

299 The PDZ domain is the C-terminal peptide substrate-binding element of CTPs 300 (32). The ability of PDZ domains to move in order to accommodate the incoming C-301 terminal substrate peptide partially accounts for the substrate delivery-based activation 302 mechanism of the CTPs. The PDZ domain is the most flexible region in the CtpB 303 structure and acts as an inhibitor by blocking the substrate peptide binding in the 304 inactive form, but moves away to form a narrow tunnel for a substrate peptide in the 305 active form (18). Similarly, the PDZ domain of CtpA is highly flexible and largely invisible 306 in the crystal structure of the inactive CtpA hexamer, suggesting a CtpA activation 307 mechanism similar to that of CtpB. In the case of Prc from *E. coli*, the PDZ domain acts 308 as an activator rather than an inhibitor, but its movement is still a key feature of the 309 activation mechanism (8).

310 P. aeruginosa CtpA requires the partner lipoprotein LbcA for activation and 311 targeted proteolysis (23). This is a variation in the general substrate activation 312 mechanism. In this regard, the LbcA–CtpA system is analogous to the *E. coli* NlpI–Prc 313 system, in which the TPR-containing adaptor NIpI plays the dual function of delivering 314 the PG hydrolase MepS to the Prc protease for degradation, and activating the protease 315 (8, 15). Despite this functional similarity, significant differences exist between these two 316 systems. The two proteases are in different C-terminal processing peptidase families; E. 317 coli Prc is much larger than *P. aeruginosa* CtpA; and Prc functions as a monomer, not a 318 hexamer. Therefore, the Prc N-terminal and C-terminal helical domains are not involved 319 in oligomerization; instead, they wrap around the protease core, perhaps to limit

- 320 substrate access to the protease. Nlpl has a total of 14 helices with four TPRs,
- 321 compared with the 29 helices and 11 TPRs of LbcA. Although NIpI and LbcA both
- 322 contain TPRs, the primary sequences of the proteins are not similar. Finally, NIpl
- 323 functions as a dimer, unlike the monomeric state of LbcA.
- 324 The LbcA–CtpA system contributes to the virulence of *P. aeruginosa*. This makes
- 325 understanding the structure and function of this proteolytic system broadly significant.
- 326 Future challenges include understanding exactly how LbcA activates CtpA, and how
- 327 protein substrates, not just peptides, are specifically recognized by the multitude of
- 328 CTPs and/or their adaptor proteins for tightly regulated proteolysis.

330 MATERIALS AND METHODS

331 **Purification of CtpA.** DNA encoding amino acid 38 to the C-terminus of CtpA 332 was sucloned into plasmid pET15b between the Ndel and Xhol sites. The plasmid 333 encoded N-terminal His₆-tagged CtpA(Δ N37). Similar plasmids encoding CtpA(Δ C6), 334 CtpA-L426K L430K or CtpA-L426A L430A were constructed using reverse primers that 335 incoproprated the C-terminal mutations. E. coli BL21(DE3) transformants were grown at 336 37°C to OD₆₀₀ = 0.6-0.7 before being induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and incubated at 16°C 337 overnight. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by passing through a 338 microfluidizer cell disruptor in 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, 10 mM imidazole, 339 0.25 M NaCl. The homogenate was clarified by centrifuging at 27,000 x g and the 340 supernatant was applied to a HiTrap-Ni column (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 341 the lysis buffer. Proteins were eluted with a 10-300 mM imidazole gradient in 10 mM 342 potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, containing 0.25 M NaCl. Fractions containing His₆-CtpA 343 were collected. The N-terminal His tag was removed using thrombin (0.5 units/mg) by 344 dialyzing against 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl overnight at 4 °C. Untagged CtpA 345 was further purified with HiTrap-Q in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and a 50-500 mM NaCl 346 gradient and polished by gel filtration in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl using 347 Superdex 200 prep grade column (16 x 600 mm, GE Healthcare). 348 **Purification of LbcA.** DNA encoding amino acid 49 to the C-terminus of LbcA

(LbcA[Δ N48]) was subcloned into the Ndel and HindIII sites of plasmid pET24b. Plasmids encoding N-terminal His₆-tagged LbcA proteins were constructed similarly by subcloning fragments into the Ndel and BamHI sites of plasmid pET15b. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformants were grown at 37°C to OD₆₀₀ = 0.5 before being induced with

0.5 mM IPTG and incubated at 37°C for another 3 h. His₆-tagged LbcA protein was
purified with HiTrap-Ni in 10 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, 0.25 M NaCl, and a 10–
300 mM imidazole gradient, followed by HiTrap-Q in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and a 50–500
mM NaCl gradient. The final polish of LbcA was done in a Superdex 200 prep-grade
column preequilibrated with 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 150 mM NaCl.

358 **Protein crystallization and structural solution.** CtpA(Δ N37) was crystallized at 359 20°C by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method using 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.0, 360 and 0.6 M ammonium dihydrogen phosphate at a concentration of 33 mg/mL. Diffraction 361 data were collected at the Lilly Research Laboratories Collaborative Access Team 362 (LRL-CAT) beamline of the Advanced Photon Source (APS) at Argonne National 363 Laboratory and processed with Mosflm software. Se-derived crystals diffracted to 3.3 Å. 364 which was better than the native crystals, so the Se-derived data were used to solve the 365 CtpA structure. Autosol of Phenix was used to locate Se sites and the resulting map 366 was used to build the initial model. However, the map quality from the SAD method was 367 not good enough to build the CtpA model due to a low anomalous signal (only 4 high-368 occupancy Se were identified in one asymmetric unit). In combination with molecular 369 replacement, the structure of CtpA(Δ N37) was determined by combining MR-SAD using 370 the core domain of *B. subtilis* CtpB (PDB ID 4C2C) as the search model. The structure 371 of CtpA(Δ N37, S302A) was solved by PHASER of Phenix using CtpA(Δ N37) as the 372 search model.

LbcA(ΔN48) was crystallized at 20°C by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method
using 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.6, and 1.9 M ammonium dihydrogen phosphate at a
concentration of 45 mg/mL. Diffraction data were collected at the Life Sciences

376 Collaborative Access Team (LS-CAT) beamline of APS and were processed with 377 Mosflm. The best data set was from Se-derived crystals which diffracted to 3.5 Å, so the 378 Se-derived diffraction data were used to solve the LbcA structure. Se sites were 379 determined by the SAD method using Autosol of Phenix (33). All models of CtpA and 380 LbcA were built in Coot (34) and refined with Phenix.refine (35). 381 The crystal structures of CtpA, CtpA(S302A), and LbcA have been deposited in 382 the Protein Data Bank under accession codes 7RPQ, 7RQH, and 7RQF, respectively. 383 In vitro proteolysis assay. CtpA and LbcA proteins were purified as described 384 above. To purify His₆-PA1198, *E. coli* strain M15 [pREP4] (Qiagen) containing 385 pAJD2948 was grown in 500 mL of LB broth at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-1.0. Protein 386 production was induced by adding 1 mM IPTG and incubation at 37 °C for 3 h, after 387 which cells were harvested by centrifugation. His₆-PA1198 was purified under native 388 conditions by nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid-agarose affinity chromatography in buffer 389 containing 50 mM NaH₂PO₄ and 300 mM NaCl, as recommended by the manufacturer 390 (Qiagen). Protein was eluted in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, pH 8. 391 Assays were done as described previously (23, 36). Reactions were terminated by 392 adding SDS-PAGE sample buffer and incubating at 90°C for 10 min. Samples were 393 separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with ProtoBlue Safe (National Diagnostics). For 394 experiments with mutant CtpA proteins, ImageJ analysis was used to determine the 395 densities of the His₆-PA1198 bands. The amount of His₆-PA1198 degraded in each

reaction was determined by comparing His₆-PA1198 band density to that in the reaction
with inactive CtpA(S302A). Average values from two independent experiments are

398 reported.

399 In vivo CtpA activity assay. Wild-type ctpA was amplified from P. aeruginosa 400 PAK chromosomal DNA using a primer that annealed about 30 bp upstream of the *ctpA* 401 start codon and a reverse primer that annealed immediately downstream of the stop 402 codon. A fragment encoding CtpA(Δ C6) was generated with a reverse primer that 403 annealed downstream of codon 430 and incorporated a stop codon. Fragments 404 encoding CtpA-L426K L430K or CtpA-L426A L430A were generated with reverse 405 primers that incorporated the mutagenic mismatches. A fragment encoding CtpA(S302A) 406 was generated by amplifying *ctpA* from *P. aeruginosa* strain AJDP1140 DNA using the 407 same primer pairs used to amplify wild-type *ctpA*. All fragments were cloned into 408 pHERD26T using EcoRI and Xbal restriction sites added by the amplification primers. 409 Fragments encoding LbcA(Δ N84) and LbcA(Δ N165) were generated by 410 amplifying one fragment with a forward primer that annealed ~ 40 bp upstream of the 411 *lbcA* start codon and a reverse primer that annealed at codon 31, and a second 412 fragment with a forward primer that annealed at codon 85 or codon 166 and a reverse 413 primer that annealed at the *lbcA* stop codon. The forward primer for the second 414 fragments had a 5' tail complementary to the end of the first fragment (codons 25-31). 415 The first fragment and second fragments were joined by splicing overlap extension PCR 416 (37). The resulting fragments encoded LbcA with internal deletions that removed 417 codons 32-84 (AN84) or codons 32-165 (AN165). Codons 1-31 were retained, encoding 418 the signal sequence followed by 15 amino acids corresponding to the N-terminus of 419 mature wild-type LbcA, to ensure normal signal sequence processing, lipidation, and 420 outer membrane trafficking. A fragment encoding wild-type *lbcA* was generated by 421 amplifying *lbcA* from *P. aeruginosa* DNA using a forward primer that annealed ~ 40 bp

422 upstream of the start codon and a reverse primer that annealed at the *lbcA* stop codon.

- 423 All fragments were cloned into pHERD26T using EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites
- 424 added by the amplification primers.
- 425 Plasmids were introduced into $\triangle ctpA$ or $\triangle lbcA$ mutants by electroporation (38).
- 426 Saturated cultures were diluted into 5 mL of LB broth containing 75 µg/mL tetracycline,
- 427 in 18-mm diameter test tubes, at OD₆₀₀ of 0.05. Cultures were grown on a roller drum at
- 428 37 °C for 5 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in SDS-PAGE
- 429 sample buffer at equal concentrations based on culture OD₆₀₀. Samples were separated
- 430 by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose by semi-dry electroblotting.
- 431 Chemiluminescent detection followed incubation with polyclonal antisera against CtpA,
- 432 LbcA, or PA1198, and then goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma) horseradish peroxidase
- 433 conjugate.

435 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

436 Diffraction data for CtpA were collected at the Lilly Research Laboratories Collaborative 437 Access Team (LRL-CAT) beamline and diffraction data of LbcA were collected at the 438 Life Sciences Collaborative Access Team (LS-CAT) beamline of the Advanced Photon 439 Source (APS). The APS is a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User 440 Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under 441 Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. Cryo-EM data were collected at the David Van 442 Andel Advanced Cryo-Electron Microscopy Suite at Van Andel Institute. We thank 443 Dolonchapa Chakraborty for supplying plasmid pAJD2948 and His₆-PA1198 protein, 444 Gongpu Zhao and Xing Meng for help with data collection, and David Nadziejka for 445 technical editing of the manuscript. This study was supported by U.S. National Institutes 446 of Health grant R01 Al136901 (to A.D.) and by Van Andel Institute (to H.L.). The content 447 is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official 448 views of the National Institutes of Health. 449

450 **REFERENCES**

- Vollmer W, Blanot D, de Pedro MA. 2008. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:149-67.
- 453 2. Pazos M, Peters K, Vollmer W. 2017. Robust peptidoglycan growth by dynamic
 454 and variable multi-protein complexes. Curr Opin Microbiol 36:55-61.
- 455 3. Vollmer W. 2012. Bacterial growth does require peptidoglycan hydrolases. Mol
 456 Microbiol 86:1031-5.
- 457 4. Park SH, Kim YJ, Lee HB, Seok YJ, Lee CR. 2020. Genetic Evidence for Distinct
 458 Functions of Peptidoglycan Endopeptidases in *Escherichia coli*. Front Microbiol
 459 11:565767.
- 460 5. Singh SK, Parveen S, SaiSree L, Reddy M. 2015. Regulated proteolysis of a
 461 cross-link-specific peptidoglycan hydrolase contributes to bacterial
 462 morphogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:10956-61.
- 463 6. Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Bateman A. 2010. MEROPS: the peptidase database. 464 Nucleic Acids Res 38:D227-33.
- 465 7. Ye F, Zhang M. 2013. Structures and target recognition modes of PDZ domains:
 466 recurring themes and emerging pictures. Biochem J 455:1-14.
- 467 8. Chueh CK, Som N, Ke LC, Ho MR, Reddy M, Chang CI. 2019. Structural Basis
 468 for the Differential Regulatory Roles of the PDZ Domain in C-Terminal
 469 Processing Proteases. mBio 10.
- 470 9. Bandara AB, Sriranganathan N, Schurig GG, Boyle SM. 2005. Carboxyl-terminal
 471 protease regulates *Brucella suis* morphology in culture and persistence in
 472 macrophages and mice. J Bacteriol 187:5767-75.
- 473 10. Seo J, Darwin AJ. 2013. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* periplasmic protease
 474 CtpA can affect systems that impact its ability to mount both acute and chronic
 475 infections. Infect Immun 81:4561-70.
- Deng CY, Deng AH, Sun ST, Wang L, Wu J, Wu Y, Chen XY, Fang RX, Wen TY,
 Qian W. 2014. The periplasmic PDZ domain-containing protein Prc modulates
 full virulence, envelops stress responses, and directly interacts with dipeptidyl
 peptidase of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. oryzae. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 27:10112.
- 481 12. Carroll RK, Rivera FE, Cavaco CK, Johnson GM, Martin D, Shaw LN. 2014. The
 482 lone S41 family C-terminal processing protease in *Staphylococcus aureus* is
 483 localized to the cell wall and contributes to virulence. Microbiology (Reading)
 484 160:1737-1748.
- 485 13. Bandara AB, DeShazer D, Inzana TJ, Sriranganathan N, Schurig GG, Boyle SM.
 486 2008. A disruption of *ctpA* encoding carboxy-terminal protease attenuates
 487 *Burkholderia mallei* and induces partial protection in CD1 mice. Microb Pathog
 488 45:207-16.
- 489 14. Nash ZM, Cotter PA. 2019. Regulated, sequential processing by multiple
 490 proteases is required for proper maturation and release of *Bordetella* filamentous
 491 hemagglutinin. Mol Microbiol 112:820-836.
- 492 15. Su MY, Som N, Wu CY, Su SC, Kuo YT, Ke LC, Ho MR, Tzeng SR, Teng CH,
 493 Mengin-Lecreulx D, Reddy M, Chang CI. 2017. Structural basis of adaptor494 mediated protein degradation by the tail-specific PDZ-protease Prc. Nat Commun
 495 8:1516.

- 496 16. Campo N, Rudner DZ. 2006. A branched pathway governing the activation of a
 497 developmental transcription factor by regulated intramembrane proteolysis. Mol
 498 Cell 23:25-35.
- 499 17. Rudner DZ, Losick R. 2002. A sporulation membrane protein tethers the pro500 sigmaK processing enzyme to its inhibitor and dictates its subcellular localization.
 501 Genes Dev 16:1007-18.
- Mastny M, Heuck A, Kurzbauer R, Heiduk A, Boisguerin P, Volkmer R, Ehrmann
 M, Rodrigues CD, Rudner DZ, Clausen T. 2013. CtpB assembles a gated
 protease tunnel regulating cell-cell signaling during spore formation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 155:647-58.
- Hoge R, Laschinski M, Jaeger KE, Wilhelm S, Rosenau F. 2011. The subcellular
 localization of a C-terminal processing protease in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
 FEMS Microbiol Lett 316:23-30.
- 509 20. Paterson DL. 2006. The epidemiological profile of infections with multidrug510 resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter species*. Clin Infect Dis 43
 511 Suppl 2:S43-8.
- 512 21. Tumbarello M, De Pascale G, Trecarichi EM, Spanu T, Antonicelli F, Maviglia R,
 513 Pennisi MA, Bello G, Antonelli M. 2013. Clinical outcomes of *Pseudomonas*514 *aeruginosa* pneumonia in intensive care unit patients. Intensive Care Med
 515 39:682-92.
- 516 22. Crivaro V, Di Popolo A, Caprio A, Lambiase A, Di Resta M, Borriello T, Scarcella
 517 A, Triassi M, Zarrilli R. 2009. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a neonatal intensive
 518 care unit: molecular epidemiology and infection control measures. BMC Infect
 519 Dis 9:70.
- Srivastava D, Seo J, Rimal B, Kim SJ, Zhen S, Darwin AJ. 2018. A Proteolytic
 Complex Targets Multiple Cell Wall Hydrolases in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
 mBio 9.
- 523 24. Graham JB, Canniff NP, Hebert DN. 2019. TPR-containing proteins control
 524 protein organization and homeostasis for the endoplasmic reticulum. Crit Rev
 525 Biochem Mol Biol 54:103-118.
- 526 25. Zeytuni N, Zarivach R. 2012. Structural and functional discussion of the tetra-527 trico-peptide repeat, a protein interaction module. Structure 20:397-405.
- 528 26. Blatch GL, Lassle M. 1999. The tetratricopeptide repeat: a structural motif 529 mediating protein-protein interactions. Bioessays 21:932-9.
- 530 27. Chakraborty D, Darwin AJ. 2021. Direct and indirect interactions promote
 531 complexes of the lipoprotein LbcA, the CtpA protease and its substrates, and
 532 other cell wall proteins in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol
 533 doi:10.1128/JB.00393-21:JB0039321.
- 534 28. Ntountoumi C, Vlastaridis P, Mossialos D, Stathopoulos C, Iliopoulos I,
 535 Promponas V, Oliver SG, Amoutzias GD. 2019. Low complexity regions in the
 536 proteins of prokaryotes perform important functional roles and are highly
 537 conserved. Nucleic Acids Res 47:9998-10009.
- 538 29. Konovalova A, Silhavy TJ. 2015. Outer membrane lipoprotein biogenesis: Lol is 539 not the end. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 370:20150030.
- 54030.Lewenza S, Mhlanga MM, Pugsley AP. 2008. Novel inner membrane retention541signals in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipoproteins. J Bacteriol 190:6119-25.

- 54231.Narita S, Tokuda H. 2007. Amino acids at positions 3 and 4 determine the543membrane specificity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipoproteins. J Biol Chem544282:13372-8.
- 545 32. Clausen T, Kaiser M, Huber R, Ehrmann M. 2011. HTRA proteases: regulated proteolysis in protein quality control. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 12:152-62.
- Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung LW,
 Jain S, Kapral GJ, Grosse Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner RD,
 Read RJ, Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. 2011. The
 Phenix software for automated determination of macromolecular structures.
 Methods 55:94-106.
- 552 34. Casanal A, Lohkamp B, Emsley P. 2020. Current developments in Coot for
 553 macromolecular model building of Electron Cryo-microscopy and
 554 Crystallographic Data. Protein Sci 29:1069-1078.
- 555 35. van Zundert GCP, Moriarty NW, Sobolev OV, Adams PD, Borrelli KW. 2021.
 556 Macromolecular refinement of X-ray and cryoelectron microscopy structures with
 557 Phenix/OPLS3e for improved structure and ligand quality. Structure 29:913-921
 558 e4.
- S6. Chung S, Darwin AJ. 2020. The C-terminus of substrates is critical but not
 sufficient for their degradation by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* CtpA protease. J
 Bacteriol doi:10.1128/JB.00174-20.
- 562 37. Heckman KL, Pease LR. 2007. Gene splicing and mutagenesis by PCR-driven overlap extension. Nat Protoc 2:924-932.
- S64 38. Choi KH, Kumar A, Schweizer HP. 2006. A 10-min method for preparation of
 highly electrocompetent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells: application for DNA
 fragment transfer between chromosomes and plasmid transformation. J Microbiol
 Methods 64:391-397.
- 56839.Strom MS, Lory S. 1986. Cloning and expression of the pilin gene of569Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAK in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 165:367-372.
- 570 40. Studier FW, Rosenberg AH, Dunn JJ, Dubendorff JW. 1990. Use of T7 RNA
 571 polymerase to direct expression of cloned genes. Methods in Enzymology
 572 185:60-89.
- 41. Qiu D, Damron FH, Mima T, Schweizer HP, Yu HD. 2008. PBAD-based shuttle
 vectors for functional analysis of toxic and highly regulated genes in *Pseudomonas* and *Burkholderia* spp. and other bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol
 74:7422-7426.
- 577

578 FIGURES AND LEGENDS



580 FIG 1 Overall structure of CtpA. (a) Top: CtpA domain organization. The dashed lines 581 indicate the disordered regions (PDZ and the S10-H6 connecting loop) that are not 582 resolved in the crystal structure. NDR, N-terminal dimerization region; CDR, C-terminal 583 dimerization region. The sequence ranges of the two CtpA constructs used this study are shown in the lower panels. (b) Cartoon and transparent surface views of CtpA 584 585 hexamer. The domains are colored according to the depiction in panel a. (c) SDS-PAGE 586 analysis and gel filtration profile of CtpA). (d) A CtpA subunit in cartoon view. Secondary 587 structural elements in CtpA are labeled, except in the PDZ domain. The two dashed 588 arrows indicate the mobile PDZ domain in the CtpA hexamer. (e) Comparison of the 589 catalytic triads of Pa CtpA and Bs CtpB (PDB ID 4C2E). The S8 and S9 labels refer to 590 β -strands 8 and 9 in the cap region. (f) Superposition of the core domains of inactive Pa 591 CtpA (green) and active Bs CtpB (cyan). Catalytic Ser-302 in CtpA and Ser-309 in CtpB 592 are in red sticks. Red arrows indicate lifted-up (CtpA) and clamped-down positions 593 (CtpB) of the cap subdomains. 594





596 597

598

FIG 2 Different oligomerization modes of Pa CtpA and Bs CtpB. (a) A CtpA dimer
extracted from the CtpA hexamer. (b) Bs CtpB dimer (PDB ID 4C2E). (c) The N-terminal
dimerization interface of CtpA involves hydrophobic interactions between two H2 (left)
and between H1 and H2 (right). (d) The C-terminal dimerization interface of CtpA
involves a short leucine zipper-like interaction between two H6 helices and antiparallel
β-sheet formation between two S10. (e) Alignment of the conserved NDR sequence and
divergent CDR between Pa CtpA and Bs CtpB.





608

609

610

611 Fig. 3. Full protease activity of CtpA requires C-terminal dimerization region. a)

612 Elution profiles of wild-type and mutant CtpA proteins. **b**) Substrate degradation assay 613 *in vitro*. His₆-PA1198 served as the substrate for the assay. Gels from a single

613 *in vitro*. His₆-PA1198 served as the substrate for the assay. Gels from a single 614 experiment are shown, but the amount of PA1198 degradation is the average from two

614 experiment are shown, but the amount of PA1198 degradation is the average from two 615 independent experiments, determined as described in Methods. The number below

616 each lane is the percentage of remaining PA1198 after 3 h, relative to the first lane

- 617 using the inactive CtpA. c) Substrate degradation *in vivo*. Plasmid-encoded protease-
- $\label{eq:constraint} 618 \qquad \mbox{dead CtpA}(S302A), \mbox{wild-type (WT)}, \ \mbox{ΔC6, L426K/L430K (LLKK), L426A/L430A (LLAA)$}$
- 619 were produced in a *P. aeruginosa* $\Delta ctpA$ strain. None = empty plasmid vector control.
- 620 The CtpA proteins and accumulation of the PA1198 substrate were detected by
- 621 immunoblot analysis with polyclonal antisera.

) TPR11 575
)

	TPR-A	TPR-B	
TPR1 (187-219)	GQLLFGK <mark>A</mark> LLLQQDGI	RPDEALTLLEDNSASR-HE	J
TPR2 (220-253)	VAPLLLRSRLLQSMK	<mark>₹SDEAL</mark> PL <mark>LK</mark> AGIKEH <mark>P</mark> DD	1
TPR3 (254-287)	KRVRLAYARLLVEQNE	<u>RIDDAKAE</u> FAGLVQQF <mark>PD</mark> D)
TPR4 (288-321)	DDLRFSL <mark>A</mark> LVCLEAQA	WDEARIY <mark>LEELVERD</mark> SHV	Ċ
TPR5 (322-354)	DAAHFNL <mark>G</mark> RLAEEQKI	DTARALDE <mark>Y</mark> AQVGPGN <mark>-D</mark> F	1
TPR6 (355-388)	LPAQLRQ <mark>T</mark> DVLLKAGI	RVDE <mark>A</mark> AQR <mark>LD</mark> KARSEQ <mark>P</mark> DY	
TPR7 (390-423)	IQLYLIEAEALSNND	2 <mark>0eka</mark> wqa <mark>iq</mark> eglkqy <mark>pe</mark> d)
TPR8 (424-457)	LNLLYTR <mark>S</mark> MLAEKRNI)LAQMEKD <mark>LR</mark> FVIAREPDN	(
TPR9 (459-492)	MALNALGYTLADRTT	RYGEAREL <mark>IL</mark> KAHKLN <mark>P</mark> DD)
TPR10 (493-525)	PAILDSMGWINYRQG	<pre>LADAERYLRQALQRYPD</pre>	
TPR11 (526-559)	HEVAAHLGEVLWAQGI	ROGDARAIWREYLDKO <mark>PD</mark> S	,
	8	20 24 32	



623

b

624

625 FIG 4 Overall structure of LbcA. (a) Domain organization of LbcA. The TPR motifs are 626 shown in magenta. Also shown are two LbcA constructs used in this study. (b) Sequence alignment of the 11 TPRs of LbcA. The signature residues of TPR are 627 marked in the red rectangles. The highly homologous hydrophobic residues are in green 628 629 boxes and the highly homologous charged residues are in the blue boxes. (c) The 630 crystal structure of LbcA(Δ N48) contains an N-terminal extension with 4 helices and a C-terminal superhelix composed of 11 TPRs. The thick gray curve in the left panel 631 632 follows the right-handed spiral feature of the TPRs. 633





635

636 **FIG 5** LbcA is a monomer in solution but assembles a tetramer in crystal. (a)

637 Coomassie blue stained SDS-PAGE gel of the purified of LbcA Δ N48. (b) Superdex-200

638 elution profile of LbcA Δ N48. LbcA was eluted from gel filtration column at a volume

639 corresponding to the monomeric state. (c) LbcA forms an intertwined tetramer in the

640 crystal lattice. The four protomers are individually colored. (d) This LbcA tetramer view

641 shows that the helices H1 and H4 of protomer 2 and helices H2 and H3 of protomer 3

- 642 form a 4-helix bundle inside the super helical coil of protomer 1 in the crystal lattice. (e)
- 643 H2H3 of a second LbcA (magenta cartoon and transparent surface) and H1H4 of a third

- 644 LbcA (light blue cartoon and transparent surface) insert into the first LbcA spiral, likely
- 645 mimicking the substrate binding by the first LbcA spiral.



647

- 649 **FIG 6** The LbcA N-terminal extension is essential for the protease activity of CtpA. (a) CtpA pull-650 down assay using various N-terminal and C-terminal deletion mutants of LbcA. Lane 2 is the
- 651 CtpA input control (C). Lane 3 is the background binding of CtpA to Ni beads. (b) *In vitro*
- 652 substrate (PA1198) degradation assay. (c) Substrate degradation *in vivo*. Plasmids encoding
- 653 wild-type LbcA (WT), LbcA(Δ N84), or LbcA(Δ N165) were transformed into a *P. aeruginosa*
- $\Delta lbcA$ mutant. None = empty plasmid vector control. The LbcA proteins and accumulation of the
- 655 PA1198 substrate were detected by immunoblot analysis with polyclonal antisera.

657	Nam	Genotype/Features	Reference or Source	
658				
659	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> strains			
660	PAK	wild-type PAK strain	(39)	
661	AJDP730	PAK ActpA	(23)	
662	AJDP1091	PAK △ <i>lbcA::aacC1</i>	(23)	
663 664	AJDP1140	PAK ctpA-S302A	(23)	
665	<i>E. coli</i> strain			
666	BL21(DE3)	F ^{-*} ompT gal [dcm] [lon] hsdS _B ($r_B^* m_B^*$; E. coli B strain) λ DE3	(40)	
667				
668	Plasmids			
669	pET15b	Amp ^r , pMB1 <i>ori</i> , <i>T7p</i> expression vector	Novagen	
670	pET24b	Kan ^r , pMB1 <i>ori</i> , <i>T7p</i> expression vector	Novagen	
671	pHERD26T	Tet ^r , pMB1 <i>ori</i> , <i>araBp</i> expression vector	(41)	
672	pQE-30	Amp ^r , Col E1 <i>ori</i> , <i>T5p</i> expression vector	Qiagen	
673	pAJD2948	<i>T5p-his₆-</i> PA1198 in pQE-30	(27)	
674	pAJD3037	<i>araBp-ctpA</i> in pHERD26T	This study	
675	pAJD3038	<i>araBp-ctpA</i> ∆ <i>C</i> 6 in pHERD26T	This study	
676	pAJD3039	araBp-ctpA-L426K L430K in pHERD26T	This study	
677	pAJD3045	araBp-ctpA-S302A in pHERD26T	This study	
678	pAJD3063	araBp-ctpA-L426A L430A in pHERD26T	This study	
679	pAJD3109	<i>araBp-lbcA</i> in pHERD26T	This study	
680	pAJD3110	<i>araBp-lbcA</i> ∆ <i>N84</i> in pHERD26T	This study	
681	pAJD3111	<i>araBp-lbcA</i> ∆ <i>N165</i> in pHERD26T	This study	
682	pET15b_CtpA∆N37	<i>T7p-his₆-ctpA</i> ∆ <i>N</i> 37 in pET15b	This study	

656 Supplemental Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study

683	pET15b_CtpA∆N37∆C6	<i>T7p-his₀-ctpA</i> ∆ <i>N</i> 37∆C6 in pET15b	This study
684	pET15b_CtpA∆N37_S302A	<i>T7p-his₀-ctpA</i> ∆ <i>N37_S302A</i> in pET15b	This study
685	pET15b_CtpA∆N37_L426K/L430K	<i>T7p-his</i> ₆ -ctpA∆N37_L426K L430K in pET15b	This study
686	pET15b_CtpA∆N37_L426A/L430A	<i>T7p-his</i> ₆ - <i>ctpA</i> ∆ <i>N</i> 37_ <i>L</i> 426A <i>L</i> 430A in pET15b	This study
687	pET24b_LbcA∆N48	<i>T7p-lbcA∆N48-hi</i> s₀ in pET24b	This study
688	pET15b_LbcA∆N31	<i>T7p-his₀-lbcA∆N31</i> in pET15b	This study
689	pET15b_LbcA∆N48	<i>T7p-his₀-lbcA∆N48</i> in pET15b	This study
690	pET15b_LbcA∆N84	<i>T7p-his₀-lbcA∆N84</i> in pET15b	This study
691	pET15b_LbcA∆N165	<i>T7p-his₀-lbcA∆N165</i> in pET15b	This study
692	pET15b_LbcA∆N48∆C5	<i>T7p-his₆-lbcA∆N48∆C5</i> in pET15b	This study

694	Supplemental	Table 2.	Crystallographic	data collection	and refinement	statistics
-----	--------------	----------	------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------

	CtpA∆N37_Se	CtpA(∆N37, S302A)	LbcA∆N48_Se
Data collection		,	
Wavelength (Å)	0.97931	1.07803	0.97872
Space group	H3	H3	P 32 2 1
a, b, c (Å)	187.49, 187.49,	189.65, 189.65,	120.70, 120.70,
	132.01	131.10	221.94
α, β, γ (°)	90.00, 90.00,	90.00, 90.00,	90.00, 90.00,
	120.00	120.00	120.00
Resolution (Å)	93.75 – 3.30 (3.48	94.82 - 3.2 (3.37 -	94.57 – 3.50 (3.69
	-3.30)*	3.2)*	– 3.50)*
R _{merge} (%)	16.7 (91.2)	8.9 (142)	18.3 (226)
<i>I / σI</i>	10.8 (2.9)	15.6 (2.0)	13.2 (2.0)
Total reflections	301572 (44008)	297808 (44906)	536619 (78420)
Completeness (%)	100 (100)	99.6 (99.9)	100 (100)
Redundancy	11.6 (11.4)	10.3 (10.6)	22.1 (22.5)
Refinement	11.0 (11.1)	10.0 (10.0)	22.1 (22.0)
Resolution (Å)	39.83 – 3.30	43.03 – 3.2	60.39 – 3.50
No. reflections	26026	28849	24277
<i>R</i> _{work} / <i>R</i> _{free}	0.2375 / 0. 2636	0.2422/0.2654	0.2470 / 0.2806
No. of non-hydrogen	3933	3931	8352
Macromolecule	3933	3931	8352
Ligand	0	0	0
Water	0	0	0
<i>B</i> -factors	91.58	126.34	135.73
Macromolecule Ligand Water R m s. deviations	91.58	126.34	135.73
Bond lengths (Å)	0.003	0.003	0.003
Bond angles (°)	0.757	0.739	0.608
Ramachandran statistics (%) Favored Allowed	96.83 3.17	97.02 2.98	97.89 2.11
Outliers	0	0	0

695 *Values in parentheses are for the last (highest) resolution shell.



696

697

698 Supplemental Figure 1. Se-Met peaks superimposed with PDZ homolog model. (A)

699 The overall electron density map of one asymmetric unit obtained from the Se-

700 derivatized CtpA hexamer crystal. The 2mFo–DFc electron density map is rendered at

1σ threshold and shown as gray meshes. The non-PDZ domains are shown in green

and the PDZ domains are show in magenta. The positions of Se are in orange. (B)

703 Enlarged view of the left PDZ region in (A).

705



706

707 Supplemental Figure 2. Crystal structure of CtpA(S302A) showing only a monomer. (A)

708 overall structure of CtpA(S302A) in ribbons, with the catalytic triad residues in sticks and

the point mutation S302A in red sticks. (B) Enlarged view of the catalytic triad in the

710 CtpA(S302A) crystal structure. The structure is also in the inactive configuration.

- 712 Supplemental Video 1. CtpA hexamer structure. Rotation of the hexamer, then
- transition to a monomer to show the domain structure, then transition to morphing
- 714 between inactive and active computational model (based on CtpB).
- 715
- 716