Title: Force redistribution in clathrin-mediated endocytosis revealed by phase-separating force sensors

Authors: Yuan Ren^{1,2}, Jie Yang³, Huaizhou Jin³, Yongli Zhang^{1,3*}, Julien Berro^{1,2,3*}

Affiliations:

¹Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry; Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

²Nanobiology Institute, Yale University; West Haven, CT 06516, USA

³Department of Cell Biology, Yale University School of Medicine; New Haven, CT 06520, USA

*Corresponding author. Email: julien.berro@yale.edu and yongli.zhang@yale.edu

Abstract: Forces are central to countless cellular processes, yet *in vivo* force measurement at the molecular scale remains difficult if not impossible. During clathrin-mediated endocytosis, forces produced by the actin cytoskeleton are transmitted to the plasma membrane by a multi-protein coat for membrane deformation. However, the magnitudes of these forces remain unknown. Here, we present new *in vivo* force sensors that phase separate under force. We measured the forces on the fission yeast HIP1R homologue End4p, a protein that links the membrane to the actin cytoskeleton. End4p is under ~15 pN force near the actin cytoskeleton, ~ 11 pN near the clathrin lattice, and ~9 pN near the plasma membrane. Our results demonstrate that forces are collected and redistributed across the endocytic machinery.

One-Sentence Summary: Phase-separating coiled-coil force sensors reveal an unexpected mode of force transduction during endocytosis *in vivo*.

Main Text

Extracellular materials are transported into cells via endocytosis. In eukaryotic cells, clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) is the major internalization pathway for nutrients, signaling molecules and pathogenic agents(1, 2). It is implicated in numerous diseases including cancer, neurological disorders and virus entry, and is therefore the focus for both basic and translational research(3). The distinctive feature of CME is a layer of proteinaceous coat, of which clathrin is a prominent member. The coat contains more than 20 evolutionarily conserved proteins that assemble at the intracellular side of the endocytic site, curves as the endocytic pit matures, and disassembles after the budding of the endocytic vesicle(4, 5). Endocytic coat proteins make extensive interactions with each other, with the lipids of the plasma membrane, and with a meshwork of actin filaments that surrounds the endocytic coat(6–9). Forces produced by actin polymerization are transmitted through adaptor proteins of the endocytic coat to help transform a flat membrane patch into a cargo-filled vesicle into the cytoplasm(10–12) (Fig. 1a).

Deformation of the membrane during CME is energetically expensive(12–14). In mammalian cells with elevated membrane tension and in yeast cells where the turgor pressure is as high as 1 MPa, actin polymerization is required for successful CME(4, 14, 15). Epsins and Hip1R are believed to transmit the force produced by actin assembly to the plasma membrane because their removal stalls the invagination of endocytic pits, and their C-terminal domains (ACB and THATCH, respectively) bind actin filaments and their N-terminal domains (ENTH and ANTH, respectively) bind PIP2 on the membrane(8, 10, 11, 16) (Fig. 1b). Because both proteins also bind clathrin and other endocytic coat proteins, it is possible that forces could be transmitted to the membrane via multiple routes(6, 17–19). In contrast to the abundant knowledge gleaned from biochemical and genetic approaches, a quantitative understanding of force production and distribution at the molecular level during CME is lacking, because tools to measure forces in live cells in the context of small (~ 100-nm diameter) and transient (~10 s) endocytic pits are scarce, difficult to use, and often too bulky to insert into proteins without causing side effects. Here we present a new approach for force measurement *in vivo* that overcomes these limitations.

The assembly of the endocytic coat relies on weak and multivalent interactions that facilitate the rapid exchange of binding partners during the dynamic rearrangement of the endocytic coat and the constant change in membrane shape during CME(20–22). Many endocytic proteins contain promiscuous binding sites, multiple copies of short peptide motifs, and intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs)(9, 20, 21, 23). Recent developments in protein engineering have indicated that the dual incorporation of IDRs and oligomerization domains (either controlled by light or small molecules) promotes the phase separation of proteins *in vivo*(24–26). We reasoned that if a mechanically actuated oligomerization domain is introduced into an endocytic adaptor protein that contains an IDR, protein phase separation could be induced in a force-dependent manner. The force required to activate this domain for oligomerization could be determined through calibration, and the formation of protein condensates would inform us of the presence of force.

Dimeric coiled-coils are excellent candidates for such mechanically actuated oligomerization domain, because they are small, have well-characterized shape and mechanical properties, and can be easily introduced into proteins without affecting their normal functions(27–29) (Fig. S3a, S8). We and others have previously measured the unfolding forces and energies of dimeric coiled-coils, and found that they unfold at pulling force thresholds in the range of 2–14 piconewtons (pN)(30–32). The unfolding of a coiled-coil exposes two hydrophobic interaction surfaces that can mediate higher order oligomerization(33) (Fig. S3b, e), while a folded coiled-coil puts an upper limit to the

local force magnitude and serves as a control for the insertion. Therefore, we used this property to repurpose dimeric coiled-coils as *in vivo* force sensors.

First, we linked the two parallel α -helices in a heterodimeric GCN4 leucine zipper with a 30-amino acid flexible linker to form a single chain polypeptide that can be genetically encoded (Fig. S3a). To measure its unfolding force using optical tweezers, a single GCN4 coiled-coil was tethered between two beads held in two optical traps (Fig. 1c) and pulled to high force by separating the two traps at a speed of 10 nm/s(31), which is the typical predicted speed of actin polymerization during endocytosis. Unfolding of the coiled-coil was manifested by a sudden extension increase at ~11 pN (Fig. 1d, black trace). The unfolded coiled-coil refolded during relaxation, but at a lower force (Fig. 1d, red trace). Repeated pulling and relaxation reveals a distribution of unfolding forces, with a single peak at 10.8 ± 0.4 pN (Mean $\pm$ SEM) (Fig. 1e). This coiled-coil is referred to as cc-11pN hereafter.

We inserted cc-11pN into the fission yeast homolog of Hip1R (End4p) at its genomic locus using CRISPR/Cas9 so that the expression level of End4p was not perturbed (Fig. S4). End4p functions as a dimer *in vivo*(10, 34), and contains an IDR between its proline rich domain and its dimerization domain (Fig. S1). In wild-type cells, fluorescently tagged End4p is present at endocytic sites and appear as diffraction-limited puncta (hereafter referred to as End4p patches) that are enriched at cell tips during interphase and around the division plane during mitosis (Fig. 1f, S2a, movie1, S5b-c). When cc-11pN was inserted before the actin-binding THATCH domain of End4p, many large spherical End4p condensates appeared among small patches (Fig. 1g, S5e-f). The condensates remained visible in the cell during the entire time course of the movies (>10 min) whereas End4p remained at endocytic patches for a much shorter time (~50 seconds). Interestingly, the condensates displayed liquid-like features such as fusion and fission (Fig. 1h, S2b-c, movies 2-3). We hypothesized that condensate assembly of End4p constructs is mediated by force-induced unfolding of cc-11pN, which in turn leads to the formation of inter-molecular association of the unfolded α -helices (Fig. 2a, S3e). To test this hypothesis, we created a construct where we shortened the linker between the two α -helices of cc-11pN to force the coiled-coil to be unfolded (Fig. S3c-d). Indeed, we observed larger condensates (Fig. 2b, S5h-i), supporting our hypothesis that the unfolded coiled coils mediate the condensate assembly.

We constructed several control strains to demonstrate that the formation of End4p condensates is indeed force dependent. To remove the force on cc-11pN, we inserted it at the C-terminal of End4p (Fig. 2c), deleted the THATCH domain (Fig. 2d) or split the construct after the dimerization domain of End4p using the self-cleaving 2A peptide (Fig. 2e). We did not observe any condensate in the three cases, suggesting that the incorporation of cc-11pN *per se* does not cause End4p condensation, and that force is needed to generate End4p condensates. In all these experiments, the cellular localization of these End4p constructs was the same with or without the insertion of cc-11pN (Fig. S6a-b). Splitting cc-11pN between the two α -helices prohibited the formation of intermolecular coiled-coils, and thereby prevented the formation of End4p condensates (Fig. 2f, S3f). Mutations in the THATCH domain (RVK1010DDD in Fig. 2g, R1093G in Fig. 2h) that abolish the binding of End4p to F-actin also prevented the formation of End4p condensates(35, 36). Here too we controlled that the insertion of cc-11pN did not change the localization of End4p (Fig. S6d, S6e). Last, by inhibiting the polymerization of F-actin through Latrunculin A (LatA), we observed a reduction in both the size and the number of End4p condensates (Fig. 2i-k), demonstrating that the formation of End4p condensates depends on F-actin polymerization. Collectively, our data are consistent with the idea that force induced unfolding of cc-11pN in

End4p promotes the phase separation of End4p constructs, and that the magnitude of force between End4p's dimerization and THATCH domain is above 11 pN.

To further assess the force involved in the condensate assembly, we designed and tested three more force sensors (based on artificial coiled-coils(37, 38) and a B-ZIP protein(39)) (Fig. 3a-d) with average unfolding force thresholds of 8.2 ± 0.2 pN, 10.0 ± 0.1 pN and 20.0 ± 0.7 pN, which are hereafter referred to as cc-8pN, cc-10pN and cc-20pN respectively (Fig. 3e, Fig. S7a-c). The insertion of these three coiled-coils into actin-binding defective End4p did not lead to the formation of End4p condensates (Fig. S7d-f), and the insertion of these force sensors into the same position as cc-11pN showed that the magnitude of force before the THATCH domain is 11-20 pN (Figure 4a, right column).

This library of calibrated coiled-coil force sensors not only allowed us to refine our force measurements before the THATCH domain but also to measure the force at two other locations on End4p (Fig. 4a, S1a). The coiled-coil force sensors were inserted after the lipid-binding ANTH domain (Fig. 4a, left column), between the proline rich domain and the dimerization domain (Fig. 4a, middle column), or before the THATCH domain (Fig. 4a, right column). The insertion of the same coiled-coil at different locations led to End4p condensates in some but not all cases (compare each row in Fig. 4a). Because the formation of End4p condensates reflects the local presence of force above the unfolding force threshold of the coiled-coil force sensors, our results demonstrate a gradient in the magnitude of force along End4p molecule: between 8 and 10 pN after the ANTH domain, between 10 and 11 pN between the proline rich domain and the dimerization domain, and between 11 and 20 pN before the THATCH domain. These data also provide internal controls for the full functionality of the constructs when the forces are lower than the sensors' force thresholds, since the timing of endocytosis is unchanged for all constructs that do not condensate (Fig. S8).

The gradient of force on End4p suggests that the binding of other endocytic coat proteins to End4p dissipates the force transmitted from F-actin to the lipid membrane. We demonstrated this hypothesis by splitting End4p after its proline rich domain, therefore disconnecting End4p N-terminal from its C-terminal, but preserving the localization of End4p C-terminal at endocytic patches (Fig. S6c). Despite its inability to bind lipid, forces on End4p C-terminal were still large enough to unfold cc-11pN, confirming that forces are also transmitted to End4p via its binding partners in the endocytic coat (Fig. 4b). In the same vein, when we mutated the THATCH domain to prevent the direct binding between End4p and F-actin, we still measured forces higher than 8 pN between End4p's ANTH and proline rich domains, while forces after the proline rich domain were now smaller than 8 pN (whereas they were larger than 8 pN in wild-type cells) (Fig. 4c, 4d). This result clearly shows that other endocytic coat proteins mediate the transmission of force to the N-terminal of End4p, even when End4p itself is unable to bind F-actin. Consequent to the extensive inter-connectivity of the endocytic coat, the deletion of the F-actin binding domain of another putative force transmitting endocytic protein Ent1p (homologous to Human epsin-1) only led to an increase of tension on End4p before the THATCH domain, while forces after the ANTH domain or after the proline rich domain remain unchanged (Fig. 5). Taken together, these results demonstrate that, contrary to the common belief, forces are not transmitted directly through adaptor proteins such as End4p but are relayed and redistributed by End4p and its binding partners along the different layers of the endocytic coat.

Discussion

Force requirement in CME has been the subject of multiple theoretical work, but direct force measurements in the densely woven coat of CME have been lacking(12, 40, 41). Only one recent study in *S. cerevisiae* used FRET-based force sensors to measure the forces on the budding yeast homolog of End4p, Sla2, in a mutant background(34). This study measured forces larger than 8 pN near the THATCH actin-binding domain. In our study, we developed calibrated coiled-coils as novel force sensors to induce force-dependent phase separation of the endocytic adaptor End4p, and discovered that, in a wild-type background, the forces directly transmitted by the actin network to End4p C-terminal end are between 11 and 20 pN. We also showed that there is a gradient of force along End4p, demonstrating forces are relayed and redistributed across the endocytic coat.

Our new strategy to measure forces in live cells harnesses the pre-existing multivalent interactions in protein complexes and circumvents the need for inserting two large fluorescent proteins in the middle of the target protein as prescribed in approached using FRET force sensors. Our force sensors are significantly smaller also because the effector of force, i.e., the calibrated coiled-coils, and the fluorescence reporters are physically separated. The self-propagating intermolecular interactions of the coiled-coil force sensors are triggered by transient force on End4p, and the effect of force is amplified both spatially and temporally through the generation of condensates that have micrometer diameters and outlast the lifetime of the endocytic coat. The combination of the small size and the clear readout makes our coiled-coil based strategy a promising tool for easy *in vivo* force measurements in previously inaccessible locations with standard fluorescence microscopes, and an exciting platform for further development. Another advantage of our strategy is that it embeds internal controls and redundancies since all sensors have very similar folds. After insertion into the same position within a protein, a folded coiled-coil force sensor detects the upper limit of the local force magnitude without changing the protein function, while an unfolded coiled-coil force sensor reports the lower limit of force and drives the formation of protein condensates for easy detection. Pairwise application of the coiled-coil force sensors demarcates the force range. Although our strategy in the current format does not allow one to precisely determine the location or the time frame of the force, our calibrated coiled-coils, as digital force-responsive elements, are compatible with the FRET approach(42) and complement nicely the FRET based strategy especially in situations where the insertion of the large FRET pair is not tolerated.

We do not expect the coiled-coil force thresholds measured *in vitro* to be significantly different *in vivo*. First, the coiled-coils are derived from prokaryotic proteins or were artificially designed, and no proteins are known to interact with them, so their unfolding properties are unlikely to be changed *in vivo*. Second, the *in vivo* phenotypes are always consistent with the order of the calibrated coiled-coils (e.g. if the cc-11pN construct aggregates, the cc-10pN and cc-8pN constructs also aggregate). Third, they are small and they are inserted into unstructured regions that are unlikely to interfere with the protein's function. Fourth, even though the rate of pulling on the protein in the subcellular context and *in vitro* may be different and may affect the opening force thresholds, the rate we used *in vitro* is in the same range as what we expect during most cellular processes including clathrin-mediated endocytosis in yeast, and previous data and theory strongly suggest the effect of pulling rate on the force threshold is small (less than 30% for a 10-fold faster rate).

We estimate the force on each End4p molecule to be in the 8-10 pN range after the lipid-binding ANTH domain, in the 10-11 pN range between the proline rich domain and the dimerization domain, and in the 11-20 pN range before the THATCH domain (Fig. 4e). The gradient of force

along End4p and our mutant data showing that force can still be transmitted to the membrane even if End4p cannot not bind actin strongly suggest that the endocytic forces are integrated along the endocytic coat according to a “collect-and-redistribute” mechanism. Since previous quantitative microscopy studies showed there are up to ~120 End4p molecules per endocytic coat(5), our data suggest that forces in the 1320-2400 pN range are generated by the actin meshwork on End4p at the periphery of the endocytic coat, and at least 960-1200 pN is transmitted directly to the lipid membrane by End4p ANTH domain. These forces on End4p are smaller but in the same order of magnitude as the total endocytosis forces predicted by theory. Total forces on the plasma membrane are likely larger since we showed that force transmission in the endocytic coat is relayed through the binding to other adaptor proteins, as fragments of End4p that do not bind actin are still under tension in the endocytic coat (Fig. 4b, 4c). We speculate that the Sla1p/Pan1p/End3p protein complex, which arrives later than End4p in the assembly of the endocytic coat and has been shown to have interactions with both End4p and Ent1p, bridges the transmission of force from F-actin to End4p (Fig. 4e)(43, 44). The clathrin lattice is probably a hub for integrating the transmission of force in the endocytic coat, as End4p, Ent1p, Sla1p and numerous other endocytic adaptor proteins bind to the clathrin lattice, and we detected a change in the magnitude of force on End4p before and after its dimerization domain, which contains the putative clathrin binding site(18, 19). The heavily interconnected endocytic coat ensures redundancy to robustly transmit forces deep into the endocytic coat and to the membrane, despite peripheral perturbations closer to the F-actin binding side (Fig. 5). We expect the magnitudes of forces during CME to be slightly smaller in budding yeast because turgor pressure there is slightly lower, and we expect the forces to be even smaller in mammalian cells where turgor pressure is several orders of magnitude lower. The redundancy in force transmission through the binding of endocytic coat proteins, however, is probably conserved.

The active involvement of protein phase separation during natural cellular processes is increasingly appreciated. Force produced by the cytoskeleton or motor proteins may change the folding of protein domains to promote or inhibit protein phase separation. The phase separation of LIMD1 recruits focal adhesion proteins in a force dependent manner(45). The prevalence of low-affinity interactions in the endocytic coat suggests the potential involvement of protein phase separation in normal CME(46–48), which might be more transient in the natural state but seems to be enhanced by the insertion of the coiled-coil force sensors within End4p.

Although we did not focus on the *in vitro* characterization of the phase-separating properties of End4p proteins with coiled-coil insertions, our *in vivo* data offer a clear mechanism for the phase separation of End4p constructs. We show that valency amplification from zero to two following the unfolding of coiled-coils is critical for generating End4p condensates (Fig. 2b, S5, S7g), and that the disconnection of two α -helices, which prevents intermolecular interactions (Fig. 2f), disrupts End4p condensates. Our coiled-coil force sensors therefore represent a new way to induce *in vivo* protein condensates in a force-dependent manner, joining currently existing approaches using light or small molecules. The ample knowledge related to the design and engineering of coiled-coils pave the road for additional functionalities based on this simple protein motif.

Materials and Methods

Protein constructs and purification

The codon optimized DNA of coiled-coils were synthesized (Invitrogen) and cloned into pGEX-6P-1 vectors (Sigma-Aldrich) after PCR amplification and Gibson cloning (New England BioLabs). Constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing and introduced into BL21 (DE3) (New England BioLabs) for protein expression. GST fusion proteins were purified by binding to Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) and the GST tag was removed by cleaving with PreScission Protease (Sigma-Aldrich). The purified proteins were exchanged to the biotinylation buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, 200 mM potassium glutamate with pH 7.7 and biotinylated at the Avi-tag in the presence of 50 µg/mL BirA, 50 mM bicine buffer, pH 8.3, 10 mM ATP, 10 mM magnesium acetate, and 50 µM d-biotin (Avidity) at 4°C overnight.

Protein-DNA handle crosslinking

The PCR-generated DNA handle used in the single-molecule experiments was 2,260 bp in length and contained a thiol group (-SH) at one end and two digoxigenin moieties at the other end. The DNA handle was crosslinked to the coiled coil protein construct as was described previously(31). Briefly, the protein construct was mixed with the DTDP-treated DNA handle in a 50:1 molar ratio in 100 mM phosphate buffer, 500 mM NaCl, pH 8.5 and incubated at room temperature overnight.

Single-molecule manipulation experiments

All pulling experiments were performed using dual-trap high-resolution optical tweezers as previously described(31, 32). Briefly, an aliquot of the crosslinked protein-DNA mixture was mixed with 5 µL 2.1 µm diameter anti-digoxigenin antibody coated polystyrene beads (SpheroTech) and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Then the anti-digoxigenin coated beads and 0.5 µL 1.7 µm diameter streptavidin-coated beads were diluted in 1 mL PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8.1 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄, pH 7.4) and were separately injected into the top and bottom channels of a homemade microfluidic chamber. Both channels were connected to the central channel with glass tubing. The two beads entering the central channel were caught in two optical traps and brought close to allow a single protein to be tethered between them. All manipulation experiments were carried out in the PBS buffer supplemented with the oxygen scavenging system (400 mg/mL glucose (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.02 unit/mL glucose oxidase (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.06 unit/mL catalase). All single molecules were pulled and relaxed by increasing and decreasing, respectively, the trap separation at a speed of 10 nm/s. We chose this speed because during clathrin-mediated endocytosis in yeast ~100-nm long actin filaments are assembled in ~10 seconds(49, 50), corresponding to an average growth speed of 10nm/s. Since actin dynamics is the main source of force during endocytosis, we expect endocytic proteins participating in force transmission to be under loads moving at ~10 nm/s. The data was processed by MATLAB codes as were described elsewhere(31, 32) and the unfolding forces were determined from the force-extension curves.

Yeast strains and media

The *S. pombe* strains used in this study are presented in Supplemental Table S1. Strains were constructed using the method described in our previous publication(51), and verified by sequencing of the colony PCR products. Fission yeast cells were grown in YE5S (Yeast Extract supplemented with 0.225 g/L of uracil, lysine, histidine, adenine and leucine), and imaged in EMM5S (Edinburgh Minimum media supplemented with 0.225 g/L of uracil, lysine, histidine,

adenine and leucine). Yeast cells were grown at 32 °C under 200 rpm shaking overnight to reach exponential phase at OD_{595nm} between 0.3 and 0.5.

Microscopy

Cells were imaged on 25% gelatin pad at room temperature on a Nikon TiE inverted microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a CSU-W1 Confocal Scanning Unit (Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) under a CFI Plan Apo 100X/1.45NA Phase objective (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Images were acquired with an iXon Ultra888 EMCCD camera (Andor, Belfast, UK). mEGFP tagged strains were excited with a 488-nm argon-ion laser and filtered by Spectra X with a single band pass filter 510/25. mScarlet-I tagged strains were excited with a 561-nm argon-ion laser and filtered by Spectra X with a single band pass filter 575/25. Fluorescent signals from the whole cell were collected with 21 optical sections separated by 0.5 µm, and max projected to create 2D images. The laser excitation and image acquisition settings for the same fluorophore (mEGFP or mScarlet-I) were the same for all strains imaged. Images were displayed and analyzed with the Fiji distribution of ImageJ (NIH, USA).

LatA treatment

Cells were washed with EMM5S and loaded into CellASIC microfluidics chambers (Y04C-02-5PK, Millipore-Sigma, Saint Louis, USA) before LatA (Thermo Fisher, MA, USA) treatment. The media exchange was controlled by the CellASIC ONIX2 microfluidics system (Millipore-Sigma, Saint Louis, USA) with a flow pressure of 4 psi. LatA was diluted in EMM5S to a final concentration of 100 µM. Fluorescent signals from the whole cell were collected with 21 optical sections each with 0.5 µm thickness, and stacks were projected with average intensity. Cells were imaged every 5 minutes, and the final images were corrected for photobleaching (exponential fit) before being analyzed.

Protein condensate analysis

For the quantification of End4p protein condensates, we created an ImageJ plugin that identifies protein condensates and excludes End4p patches based on fluorescence intensity (900-65535), size (4-500 pixel) and circularity (0.2-1.0). Our plugin shows good agreement with manual selection. Examples of automatically identified protein condensates are shown in Figure S5.

References

1. M. Mettlen, P.-H. Chen, S. Srinivasan, G. Danuser, S. L. Schmid, Regulation of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*. **87**, 871–896 (2018).
2. M. Kaksonen, A. Roux, Mechanisms of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. **19**, 313–326 (2018).
3. H. T. McMahon, E. Boucrot, Molecular mechanism and physiological functions of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. **12**, 517–533 (2011).
4. W. Kukulski, M. Schorb, M. Kaksonen, J. A. G. Briggs, Plasma Membrane Reshaping during Endocytosis Is Revealed by Time-Resolved Electron Tomography. *Cell*. **150**, 508–520 (2012).
5. Y. Sun, J. Schöneberg, X. Chen, T. Jiang, C. Kaplan, K. Xu, T. D. Pollard, D. G. Drubin, Direct comparison of clathrin-mediated endocytosis in budding and fission yeast reveals conserved and evolvable features. *eLife*. **8**, e50749 (2019).
6. M. Skruzny, E. Pohl, S. Gnoth, G. Malengo, V. Sourjik, The protein architecture of the endocytic coat analyzed by FRET microscopy. *Molecular Systems Biology*. **16**, e9009 (2020).
7. V. Legendre-Guillemain, ENTH/ANTH proteins and clathrin-mediated membrane budding. *Journal of Cell Science*. **117**, 9–18 (2004).
8. J. J. Baggett, K. E. D’Aquino, B. Wendland, The Sla2p Talin Domain Plays a Role in Endocytosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*. **165**, 1661–1674 (2003).
9. L. Maldonado-Báez, B. Wendland, Endocytic adaptors: recruiters, coordinators and regulators. *Trends in Cell Biology*. **16**, 505–513 (2006).
10. M. Skruzny, T. Brach, R. Ciuffa, S. Rybina, M. Wachsmuth, M. Kaksonen, Molecular basis for coupling the plasma membrane to the actin cytoskeleton during clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **109**, E2533–E2542 (2012).
11. M. Skruzny, A. Desfosses, S. Prinz, S. O. Dodonova, A. Gieras, C. Uetrecht, A. J. Jakobi, M. Abella, W. J. H. Hagen, J. Schulz, R. Meijers, V. Rybin, J. A. G. Briggs, C. Sachse, M. Kaksonen, An Organized Co-assembly of Clathrin Adaptors Is Essential for Endocytosis. *Developmental Cell*. **33**, 150–162 (2015).
12. M. Lacy, R. Ma, N. Ravindra, B.-J. letters, Molecular mechanisms of force production in clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *FEBS letters* (2018).
13. R. Ma, J. Berro, Endocytosis against high turgor pressure is made easier by partial coating and freely rotating base. *Biophys J*. **120**, 1625–1640 (2021).
14. R. Basu, E. L. Munteanu, F. Chang, Role of turgor pressure in endocytosis in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*. **25**, 679–687 (2014).

15. S. Aghamohammadzadeh, K. R. Ayscough, Differential requirements for actin during yeast and mammalian endocytosis. *Nat Cell Biol.* **11**, 1039–1042 (2009).
16. M. Messa, R. Fernández-Busnadiego, E. Sun, H. Chen, H. Czapla, K. Wrasman, Y. Wu, G. Ko, T. Ross, B. Wendland, P. De Camilli, Epsin deficiency impairs endocytosis by stalling the actin-dependent invagination of endocytic clathrin-coated pits. *eLife*. **3** (2013), doi:10.7554/eLife.03311.
17. R. C. Aguilar, H. A. Watson, B. Wendland, The Yeast Epsin Ent1 Is Recruited to Membranes through Multiple Independent Interactions. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 10737–10743 (2003).
18. Å. E. Y. Engqvist-Goldstein, R. A. Warren, M. M. Kessels, J. H. Keen, J. Heuser, D. G. Drubin, The actin-binding protein Hip1R associates with clathrin during early stages of endocytosis and promotes clathrin assembly in vitro. *J Cell Biol.* **154**, 1209–1224 (2001).
19. J. D. Wilbur, C.-Y. Chen, V. Manalo, P. K. Hwang, R. J. Fletterick, F. M. Brodsky, Actin Binding by Hip1 (Huntingtin-interacting Protein 1) and Hip1R (Hip1-related Protein) Is Regulated by Clathrin Light Chain. *J Biol Chem.* **283**, 32870–32879 (2008).
20. S. M. Smith, M. Baker, M. Halebian, C. J. Smith, Weak Molecular Interactions in Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* **4** (2017), doi:10.3389/fmolb.2017.00072.
21. M. M. Garcia-Alai, J. Heidemann, M. Skruzny, A. Gieras, H. D. T. Mertens, D. I. Svergun, M. Kaksonen, C. Uetrecht, R. Meijers, Epsin and Sla2 form assemblies through phospholipid interfaces. *Nature Communications.* **9**, 328 (2018).
22. Y. Zhuo, K. E. Cano, L. Wang, U. Ilango, A. P. Hinck, R. Sousa, E. M. Lafer, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Structural Mapping Reveals Promiscuous Interactions between Clathrin-Box Motif Sequences and the N-Terminal Domain of the Clathrin Heavy Chain. *Biochemistry.* **54**, 2571–2580 (2015).
23. Y. Miao, T. Tipakornsaowapak, L. Zheng, Y. Mu, E. Lewellyn, Phospho-regulation of intrinsically disordered proteins for actin assembly and endocytosis. *The FEBS Journal.* **285**, 2762–2784 (2018).
24. D. Bracha, M. T. Walls, C. P. Brangwynne, Probing and engineering liquid-phase organelles. *Nature Biotechnology.* **37**, 1435–1445 (2019).
25. D. Bracha, M. T. Walls, M.-T. Wei, L. Zhu, M. Kurian, J. L. Avalos, J. E. Toettcher, C. P. Brangwynne, Mapping Local and Global Liquid Phase Behavior in Living Cells Using Photo-Oligomerizable Seeds. *Cell.* **175**, 1467–1480.e13 (2018).
26. H. Nakamura, A. A. Lee, A. S. Afshar, S. Watanabe, E. Rho, S. Razavi, A. Suarez, Y.-C. Lin, M. Tanigawa, B. Huang, R. DeRose, D. Bobb, W. Hong, S. B. Gabelli, J. Goutsias, T. Inoue, Intracellular production of hydrogels and synthetic RNA granules by multivalent molecular interactions. *Nat Mater.* **17**, 79–89 (2018).

27. M. E. Tanenbaum, L. A. Gilbert, L. S. Qi, J. S. Weissman, R. D. Vale, A protein tagging system for signal amplification in gene expression and fluorescence imaging. *Cell*. **159**, 635–646 (2014).
28. L. Truebestein, T. A. Leonard, Coiled-coils: The long and short of it. *Bioessays*. **38**, 903–916 (2016).
29. T. Lebar, D. Lainšček, E. Merljak, J. Aupič, R. Jerala, A tunable orthogonal coiled-coil interaction toolbox for engineering mammalian cells. *Nat Chem Biol*. **16**, 513–519 (2020).
30. M. Goktas, C. Luo, R. M. A. Sullan, A. E. Bergues-Pupo, R. Lipowsky, A. V. Verde, K. G. Blank, Molecular mechanics of coiled coils loaded in the shear geometry. *Chem. Sci*. **9**, 4610–4621 (2018).
31. Y. Gao, G. Sirinakis, Y. Zhang, Highly Anisotropic Stability and Folding Kinetics of a Single Coiled Coil Protein under Mechanical Tension. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**, 12749–12757 (2011).
32. Z. Xi, Y. Gao, G. Sirinakis, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, Single-molecule observation of helix staggering, sliding, and coiled coil misfolding. *PNAS*. **109**, 5711–5716 (2012).
33. M. J. Pandya, G. M. Spooner, M. Sunde, J. R. Thorpe, A. Rodger, D. N. Woolfson, Sticky-End Assembly of a Designed Peptide Fiber Provides Insight into Protein Fibrillogenesis. *Biochemistry*. **39**, 8728–8734 (2000).
34. M. Abella, L. Andruck, G. Malengo, M. Skruzny, Actin-generated force applied during endocytosis measured by Sla2-based FRET tension sensors. *Developmental Cell*. **56**, 2419–2426.e4 (2021).
35. R. O. McCann, S. W. Craig, The I/LWEQ module: a conserved sequence that signifies F-actin binding in functionally diverse proteins from yeast to mammals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **94**, 5679–5684 (1997).
36. T. J. Brett, V. Legendre-Guillemain, P. S. McPherson, D. H. Fremont, Structural definition of the F-actin-binding THATCH domain from HIP1R. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. **13**, 121–130 (2006).
37. E. K. O’Shea, K. J. Lumb, P. S. Kim, Peptide ‘Velcro’: Design of a heterodimeric coiled coil. *Current Biology*. **3**, 658–667 (1993).
38. D. G. Gurnon, J. A. Whitaker, M. G. Oakley, Design and Characterization of a Homodimeric Antiparallel Coiled Coil. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 7518–7519 (2003).
39. J. R. Moll, S. B. Ruvinov, I. Pastan, C. Vinson, Designed heterodimerizing leucine zippers with a ranger of pIs and stabilities up to 10–15 M. *Protein Sci*. **10**, 649–655 (2001).
40. S. Dmitrieff, F. Nédélec, Membrane Mechanics of Endocytosis in Cells with Turgor. *PLOS Computational Biology*. **11** (2015), doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004538.

41. M. Nickaen, J. Berro, T. D. Pollard, B. M. Slepchenko, Simulation of the mechanics of actin assembly during endocytosis in yeast. *bioRxiv*, 518423 (2019).
42. P. Ringer, A. Weißl, A.-L. Cost, A. Freikamp, B. Sabass, A. Mehlich, M. Tramier, M. Rief, C. Grashoff, Multiplexing molecular tension sensors reveals piconewton force gradient across talin-1. *Nature Methods*. **14**, 1090–1096 (2017).
43. Y. Sun, N. Leong, T. Wong, D. Drubin, A Pan1/End3/Sla1 complex links Arp2/3-mediated actin assembly to sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. **26**, 3841–3856 (2015).
44. H.-Y. Tang, J. Xu, M. Cai, Pan1p, End3p, and Sla1p, Three Yeast Proteins Required for Normal Cortical Actin Cytoskeleton Organization, Associate with Each Other and Play Essential Roles in Cell Wall Morphogenesis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. **20**, 12–25 (2000).
45. Y. Wang, C. Zhang, W. Yang, S. Shao, X. Xu, Y. Sun, P. Li, L. Liang, C. Wu, LIMD1 phase separation contributes to cellular mechanics and durotaxis by regulating focal adhesion dynamics in response to force. *Dev Cell*. **56**, 1313–1325.e7 (2021).
46. M. Kozak, M. Kaksonen, “Phase separation of Ede1 promotes the initiation of endocytic events” (preprint, Cell Biology, 2019), , doi:10.1101/861203.
47. K. J. Day, G. Kago, L. Wang, J. B. Richter, C. C. Hayden, E. M. Lafer, J. C. Stachowiak, Liquid-like protein interactions catalyse assembly of endocytic vesicles. *Nat Cell Biol*. **23**, 366–376 (2021).
48. L.-P. Bergeron-Sandoval, S. Kumar, H. K. Heris, C. Chang, C. E. Cornell, S. L. Keller, P. François, A. G. Hendricks, A. J. Ehrlicher, R. V. Pappu, S. W. Michnick, Proteins with prion-like domains can form viscoelastic condensates that enable membrane remodeling and endocytosis. *bioRxiv*, 145664 (2021).
49. V. Sirotkin, J. Berro, K. Macmillan, L. Zhao, T. D. Pollard, Quantitative analysis of the mechanism of endocytic actin patch assembly and disassembly in fission yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*. **21**, 2894–2904 (2010).
50. J. Berro, V. Sirotkin, T. D. Pollard, Mathematical Modeling of Endocytic Actin Patch Kinetics in Fission Yeast: Disassembly Requires Release of Actin Filament Fragments. *MBoC*. **21**, 2905–2915 (2010).
51. R. Fernandez, J. Berro, Use of a fluoride channel as a new selection marker for fission yeast plasmids and application to fast genome editing with CRISPR/Cas9. *Yeast*. **33**, 549–557 (2016).
52. A. K. Lancaster, A. Nutter-Upham, S. Lindquist, O. D. King, PLAAC: a web and command-line application to identify proteins with prion-like amino acid composition. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 2501–2502 (2014).

Acknowledgments: We thank the Yale West Campus Imaging Core for providing access to the spinning disc confocal microscope, and Keck DNA Sequencing Facility at Yale for their assistance. We thank Jim Rothman, Martin Schwartz, Min Wu, Xiaolei Su, Mathis Riehle, Daniel Suter, Yue Liu, Julia von Blume, Valentina Greco and Tom Pollard for their comments on the manuscript.

Funding:

National Institutes of Health grant R21GM132661 (JB)

National Institutes of Health grant R35GM131714 (YZ)

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: JB, YR

Methodology: YR, JB, YZ

Investigation: YR, JY, HJ

Visualization: YR, JB, JY, YZ

Funding acquisition: JB, YZ

Project administration: JB, YZ

Supervision: JB, YZ

Writing – original draft: YR

Writing – review & editing: YR, JB, JY, YZ

Competing interests: Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: Raw data, *S. pombe* strains, and plasmids containing the coiled-coil force sensors are available upon reasonable request from J.B. and purified protein constructs for single-molecule force calibration are available upon reasonable request from Y.Z. MATLAB code for the analysis of the pulling of coiled-coils and the ImageJ plugin for condensate quantification are available upon reasonable request.

Supplementary Materials

Materials and Methods

Figs. S1 to S8

Table S1

Captions for Movies S1 to S3

Fig. 1. Insertion of a calibrated coiled-coil force sensor within End4p leads to the formation of End4p condensates. **a**, End4p links the lipid membrane to F-actin at sites of clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Upper left, cross section of a fission yeast cell with endocytic pits at different stages. Lower left, side view of an endocytic pit with invaginated membrane. Right, End4p dimers traverse the clathrin coat (gray dotted curve) to transmit the forces generated by the assembly of actin filaments (magenta rods) to deform the plasma membrane. Cyan: End4p lipid binding AP180 N-Terminal Homology (ANTH) domain; yellow: End4p proline rich domain; magenta: End4p F-actin binding talin-HIP1/R/Sla2p actin-tethering C-terminal homology (THATCH) domain. Drawings are not to scale. **b**, Schematic of an End4p dimer with the insertion of the coiled-coil force sensor before the THATCH domain. **c**, Schematic of the constructs used to calibrate the coiled-coil force sensor with optical tweezers. The coiled-coil is attached to two beads trapped by optical tweezers through a 2260-bp DNA handle. **d**, Representative force-extension curves obtained by pulling (black curve) and then relaxing (red curve) the coiled-coil force sensor. **e**, Distribution of the unfolding force for the coiled-coil force sensor cc-11pN (N=46). The average unfolding force is presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. **f**, representative fission yeast cells expressing fluorescently-tagged wild-type End4p. End4p is

mostly found in endocytic patches that are enriched at cell tips and the division plane. See also Fig. S1 and movie 1. **g**, Representative fission yeast cells expressing fluorescently-tagged End4p with cc-11pN inserted before the THATCH domain as in **b**. Multiple large persistent spherical condensates of End4p constructs (indicated by arrows) can be distinguished from transient diffraction limited endocytic patches. **h**, End4p condensates display liquid-like behaviors. Upper panel, fusion of two End4p condensates (arrow). Lower panel, fission of one End4p condensate into two smaller condensates (arrow). See the full dynamics of End4p condensates in Fig. S2, movie 2 and movie 3. **f** and **g**, scale bars: 5 μm . **h**, scale bars: 2 μm . The schematic under each image indicates the modifications on End4p, and fluorescent tags are omitted for clarity.

Figure 2. Force-induced unfolding of the coiled-coil force sensor promotes the formation of End4p condensates. **a**, Schematic of End4p condensate formation. The coiled-coil force sensor unfolds when the magnitude of force on End4p exceeds the unfolding force threshold of the coiled-coil force sensor. During the refolding process, α -helices from different End4p molecules mediate the entanglement of End4p molecules into condensates. See also Fig. S3e. **b-i**, Localization of different End4p constructs in fission yeast cells. Both the N- and C-terminal End4p fragments are fluorescently labeled in **e-f**. **b**, The insertion of an open conformation cc-11pN into End4p led to larger End4p condensates. See also Fig. S3c-d, S5. **c-e**, The insertion of cc-11pN into End4p does not lead to condensate formation at the absence of force. The force on cc-11pN was removed by inserting after the THATCH domain (**c**), deleting the THATCH domain (**d**), or disconnecting the THATCH domain with the rest of End4p (**e**). **f**, The formation of End4p condensates is dependent on the connection between the two α -helices of cc-11pN. The cleaving of the linker of cc-11pN prevented the formation of condensates. See also Fig. S3e-f. **g-h**, End4p does not form condensates when a cc-11pN was inserted before the THATCH domain, and RVK1010DDD (**g**) or R1093G (**h**) mutations were introduced into the THATCH domain to abolish its binding to F-actin. **i**, Snapshots of fission yeast cells with End4p condensates before and after the treatment with 100 μ M LatA. The size (**j**) and number(**k**) of End4p condensates decreases after actin assembly is impaired by the latA treatment. Data in **j-k** are presented as the mean $\pm$ SD (>300 condensates from $n = 3$ independent repeats). Asterisk indicates a significant difference. **j**, $P = 0.0003$, two-tailed t test. **k**, $P = 0.01$, two-tailed t test. **b-h**, Scale bar in **b** applies to all images, 5 μ m. End4p is tagged at the C-terminal with mEGFP in **b-i**, and End4p is also

tagged at the N-terminal with mScarlet-I in **e-f**. *In vivo* protein cleaving in **e-f** was achieved by the insertion of a 2A peptide. The schematic under each image indicates the modifications on End4p, and fluorescent tags are omitted for clarity. See the localization of End4p without cc-11pN in Figure S6.

Figure 3. Library of calibrated coiled-coil force sensors. a-d, Sequence and the pulling direction (indicated by black arrows) of coiled-coil force sensors. Among the four force sensors, cc-8pN, cc-11pN and cc-20pN (a, c, and d, respectively) are parallel coiled-coils while cc-10pN (b) is an anti-parallel coiled-coil. The flexible linker between the two α -helices (blue and magenta) are drawn as black lines. e, Average unfolding forces of the coiled-coil force sensors, presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. N=29 for cc-8pN. N=45 for cc-10pN. N=48 for cc-20pN. See the distribution of unfolding forces and controls of the force sensors in Figure S7.

Figure 4. End4p is under a force gradient. **a**, End4p localization when a calibrated coiled-coil force sensor was inserted after the ANTH domain (first column), after the proline rich domain (second column), or before the THATCH domain (third column). Coiled-coils unfolding thresholds are 20 pN (first row), 11 pN (second row), 10 pN (third row), and 8 pN (fourth row). Images containing End4p condensates are boxed in blue frames. **b**, End4p N- and C-terminal localization when a cc-11pN was inserted before the THATCH domain, and a self-cleaving 2A peptide was introduced after the proline rich domain. The End4p C-terminal fragment formed condensates despite its disconnection from the lipid-binding ANTH domain, demonstrating that at least 11 pN of force is transmitted by End4p C-terminal (after the proline-rich domain) to other components of the endocytic machinery. See the localization of End4p fragments without cc-11pN in Figure S6c. **c**, End4p localization when a cc-8pN was inserted after the ANTH domain, and an R1093G mutation was introduced into the THATCH domain to abolish its binding to actin filaments. This End4p construct formed condensates despite its inability to bind actin, demonstrating that at least 8 pN of force is transmitted by other components of the endocytic machinery to End4p ANTH domain. **d**, End4p localization when a cc-8pN was inserted after the proline rich domain, and an R1093G mutation was introduced into the THATCH domain to abolish its binding to F-actin. This construct didn't form condensates. This result and the result of panel **c** demonstrate that most of the force not transmitted through the THATCH domain is transmitted through the proline rich domain. **e**, Model of force transmission

during CME. Top: distribution of forces on End4p. Our new coiled-coil library allowed us to determine that the forces between different domain of End4p are different. Bottom: hypothetical organization of adaptor proteins across the clathrin coat. End4p and Ent1p make direct interactions with the plasma membrane, the clathrin lattice and actin filaments, and are known mechanical linkers to transmit the forces produced by the actin meshwork to deform the membrane. Other endocytic proteins or protein complexes, through their binding to domains in End4p or Ent1p, also relay force from F-actin to the membrane. Double arrows indicate known protein-protein interactions. Drawings are not to scale. **a-d**, Scale bar in **a** applies to all images, 5 μ m. End4p is tagged at the C-terminal with mEGFP in **a-d**, and End4p is also tagged at the N-terminal with mScarlet-I in **b**. The schematic under each image indicates the modifications on End4p, and fluorescent tags are omitted for clarity.

a Ent1p truncated after P571

Figure 5. Force transmission to the membrane is robust against perturbation. a, A mutant background was created by removing the actin cytoskeleton-binding (ACB) domain of Ent1p, and calibrated coiled-coil force sensors were inserted after the ANTH domain (first column), after the proline rich domain (second column), or before the THATCH domain (third column). The force before the THATCH domain increased to more than 20pN, whereas forces after the ANTH domain or after the proline rich domain remained unchanged. Scale bar, 5 μm. The image containing End4p condensates are boxed in blue frames. End4p is tagged at the C-terminal with mEGFP, and the fluorescent tags in the schematics are omitted for clarity.